

ADMISSIBLE MODULES AND NORMALITY OF CLASSICAL NILPOTENT ORBITS I

DAN BARBASCH AND KAYUE DANIEL WONG

ABSTRACT. In the case of complex symplectic and orthogonal groups, we find (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules with the property that their K -structure matches the structure of regular functions on the closures of nilpotent orbits.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $G = Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ or $O(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a complex classical Lie group, with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Classical nilpotent varieties $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, i.e. Zariski closures of nilpotent orbits $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ are of interest in algebraic geometry and representation theory. In this paper, we study the relation between regular functions on closures of such orbits and representation theory in detail. Consequences are new proofs of the classification of normal nilpotent orbits, and relations to the ‘*Orbit Method*’.

In [Br], Ranee Brylinski associates to each closure of a classical nilpotent orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ a Dixmier algebra $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$. In particular, $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ has a (\mathfrak{g}_c, K_c) -module structure. Its $K_c \cong G$ spectrum is isomorphic to $R(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$, the ring of regular functions of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ viewed as a module for $K_c \cong G$. In this manuscript, we study the structure of $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ for all nilpotent orbits in the classical groups.

The Brylinski model $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ is closely related to quantization of complex nilpotent orbits \mathcal{O} , which amounts to ‘*attaching*’ a unitarizable (\mathfrak{g}_c, K_c) -module to the orbits whose K_c -spectrum is equal to that of $R(\mathcal{O})$. In Type A , a quantization model for all nilpotent orbits and their closures can be obtained by parabolic induction on the trivial representation of a suitable Levi subgroup of G . For other classical groups, the first author [B5] constructed a unipotent representation $\Pi(\mathcal{O})$ for each classical nilpotent orbit \mathcal{O} , and proved that it is a quantization model of the orbit.

To relate the above discussions with the Brylinski model, we ‘deform’ some GL -characters in the inducing module of $\Pi(\mathcal{O})$ and obtain a family of (\mathfrak{g}_c, K_c) -modules $\Pi_t(\mathcal{O})$ for $t \geq 0$ with $\Pi_0(\mathcal{O}) = \Pi(\mathcal{O})$ (Definition 2.9). In fact, deformation of this kind was first studied by Vogan [V2, Section 6] for the only non-normal nilpotent variety in $\mathfrak{sp}(8, \mathbb{C})$.

The first reducibility point of $\Pi_t(\mathcal{O})$ occurs at $t = 1/2$. The main theorem of the manuscript is the following:

Date: November 11, 2021.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 5.1). *Let \mathcal{O} be a classical nilpotent orbit. Then there is an injective (\mathfrak{g}_c, K_c) -module morphism:*

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{E} : \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) \hookrightarrow \Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O}),$$

whose image is the cyclic submodule of $\Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O})$.

Since it is well-known that one has an inclusion of K_c -modules

$$(2) \quad R(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) \subseteq R(\mathcal{O}), \text{ and } R(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) = R(\mathcal{O}) \text{ if and only if } \overline{\mathcal{O}} \text{ is normal,}$$

one can therefore see \mathcal{E} as a ‘quantized’ version of the above inclusion.

It would be of interest to have a geometric interpretation of the quantized morphism \mathcal{E} . Indeed, Losev in [Lo] studied *filtered quantizations* of conical symplectic singularities, and applied it to the case of nilpotent orbits. More explicitly, for each orbit \mathcal{O} , there is a ‘*quantization parameter space*’ \mathfrak{P} such that for all $\mu \in \mathfrak{P}$, there is a filtered algebra $\mathcal{A}_\mu(\mathcal{O})$ equipped with a graded Poisson isomorphism satisfying $\text{gr}(\mathcal{A}_\mu(\mathcal{O})) \cong R(\mathcal{O})$. Recently, [LMM] defined the *canonical quantization* of \mathcal{O} as the filtered algebra $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{O})$, and proved that there is a (\mathfrak{g}_c, K_c) -module isomorphism $\Pi(\mathcal{O}) \cong \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{O})$ for all classical nilpotent orbits. More generally, there exists $\nu \in \mathfrak{P}$ such that $\Pi_t(\mathcal{O}) \cong \mathcal{A}_{t\nu}(\mathcal{O})$ for all $t \geq 0$ (see Remark 2.10). It is therefore natural to ask about the relationship between the filtered Poisson algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\nu/2}(\mathcal{O})$ and the normality of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$.

On the other hand, under the perspective of (2), one can determine the (non)-normality of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ by checking whether (1) is a proper inclusion or not. Indeed, the classification of normal classical nilpotent varieties is given by Kraft-Procesi [KP]. In particular, all nilpotent varieties of Type *A* are normal. In Corollary 5.2, we obtain the multiplicities of *diminutive* K -types (Definition 2.4) of $R(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ and $R(\mathcal{O})$. This refines the theorem of [KP] by showing that the that whenever $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is not normal, the discrepancy between $R(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ and $R(\mathcal{O})$ occurs as early as diminutive K -types.

In the Appendix, we list the candidates of the composition factors of $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ and $\Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O})$ (Proposition A.4). The fact that all the candidates have diminutive lowest K_c -types plays an important role in the proof of the main theorem. Since $\Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O})$ occurs at the first reducibility point by deforming the unitary representation $\Pi(\mathcal{O})$, all its composition factors must be unitarizable. In a subsequent work, we will show which of these candidates appear in the composition series of $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ and $\Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O})$. As a result, the multiplicities of *all* K_c -types in $R(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ and $R(\mathcal{O})$ can be computed explicitly.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Langlands Parameters. We recall the Langlands parametrization of irreducible (\mathfrak{g}_c, K_c) -modules for a complex Lie group G viewed as a real Lie group. Fix a maximal compact subgroup K , and a pair $(B, H = TA)$ where B is a real Borel subgroup and H is a θ -stable Cartan subgroup such that $T = B \cap H$, and A the complement stabilized by θ .

The *Langlands parameter* of any irreducible module is a pair $(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$ such that $\mu := \lambda_L - \lambda_R$ is the parameter of a character of T in the decomposition of the θ -stable Cartan subalgebra $H = T \cdot A$, and $\nu := \lambda_L + \lambda_R$ the A -character. The *principal series representation* associated to $(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$ is the (\mathfrak{g}_c, K_c) -module

$$X(\lambda_L, \lambda_R) = \text{Ind}_B^G(e^\mu \otimes e^\nu \otimes 1)_{K\text{-finite}}.$$

The symbol Ind refers to Harish-Chandra induction. The infinitesimal character, when \mathfrak{g}_c is identified with $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$, is $(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$. Since $e^{(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)}|_T = e^\mu$,

$$X(\lambda_L, \lambda_R)|_K = \text{Ind}_T^K(e^\mu).$$

Let $\overline{X}(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$ be the unique irreducible subquotient of $X(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$ containing the K -type with extremal weight $\mu = \lambda_L - \lambda_R$. This is called the Langlands subquotient.

Proposition 2.1 (Parthasarathy-Rao-Varadarajan, Zhelobenko). *Let $(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$ and (λ'_L, λ'_R) be parameters. The following are equivalent:*

- $(\lambda_L; \lambda_R) = (w\lambda'_L; w\lambda'_R)$ for some $w \in W$.
- $X(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$ and $X(\lambda'_L; \lambda'_R)$ have the same composition factors with same multiplicities.
- The Langlands subquotient of $X(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$, written as $\overline{X}(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$, is the same as that of $X(\lambda'_L, \lambda'_R)$.

Furthermore:

- Every irreducible (\mathfrak{g}_c, K_c) -module is equivalent to some $\overline{X}(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$.
- When $\text{Re } \nu$ is dominant with respect to the roots in B , $X(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$ has a unique irreducible quotient identical to $\overline{X}(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$; it is the image of the long intertwining operator given by integration (see [Kn] for details).

If we need to specify the group, the standard module and Langlands quotient will acquire a subscript, e.g. $X_G(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$ or $\overline{X}_G(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$. If G is omitted in the notation, G is assumed Type C .

We will often write $(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$ in matrix notation $(\lambda_L; \lambda_R) \cong \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_L \\ \lambda_R \end{pmatrix}$.

Definition 2.2. *We employ the following shorthand for the Langlands parameter $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_L \\ \lambda_R \end{pmatrix}$:*

$$(a \curvearrowright A) := \begin{pmatrix} a, a+1, \dots, A-1, A \\ a, a+1, \dots, A-1, A \end{pmatrix}$$

We also introduce some shorthand notation for induced modules:

Definition 2.3. *Let G' be the Lie group with the same type as G of lower rank, and Ψ be a representation of G' . We write*

$$I^G \left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_L \\ \lambda_R \end{pmatrix} \dots \begin{pmatrix} \lambda'_L \\ \lambda'_R \end{pmatrix} \Psi \right) := \text{Ind}_{G_L \times \dots \times G_L \times G'}^G \left(\overline{X}_{G_L} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_L \\ \lambda_R \end{pmatrix} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \overline{X}_{G_L} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda'_L \\ \lambda'_R \end{pmatrix} \boxtimes \Psi \right).$$

Similarly, we write

$$I^G \left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_L \\ \lambda_R \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} \lambda'_L \\ \lambda'_R \end{pmatrix} \right) := \text{Ind}_{GL \times \cdots \times GL}^G \left(\overline{X}_{GL} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_L \\ \lambda_R \end{pmatrix} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \overline{X}_{GL} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda'_L \\ \lambda'_R \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Definition 2.4. Let G be a classical complex Lie group, and V_μ be the irreducible, finite-dimensional K -type with highest weight μ . We use the notation for irreducible representations of the orthogonal groups introduced by Hermann Weyl (see page 6 of [AB]). The **diminutive K -types** of G are:

$$\begin{aligned} O(2n+1, \mathbb{C}) &: V_{(1^k, 0^{n-k}; \pm)}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n \\ O(2n, \mathbb{C}) &: V_{(1^k, 0^{n-k}; \pm)}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n \\ Sp(2n, \mathbb{C}) &: V_{(1^k, 0^{n-k})}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n \end{aligned}$$

Let X, Y be two admissible (\mathfrak{g}_c, K_c) -modules. We write

$$X \approx Y \quad \text{if} \quad [X : V_\mu] = [Y : V_\mu] \quad \text{for all diminutive } V_\mu.$$

2.2. Nilpotent Orbits. A classical nilpotent orbit \mathcal{O} is denoted by its Young diagram. We specify \mathcal{O} by the *columns* of its Young diagram. This parametrization is already employed in Kraft and Procesi [KP]. Our results are best phrased in these terms as well.

We describe the nilpotent orbits of Type C first. Denote the columns of the Young diagram by

$$(3) \quad (c_0 \geq \cdots \geq c_{2p+1}) \quad (\text{set } c_{2p+1} = 0 \text{ if necessary}).$$

The condition that \mathcal{O} is type C , translates into $c_{2i} + c_{2i+1}$ is even. A *special* nilpotent orbit in the sense of [Lu] satisfies the additional condition that if c_{2i} is odd, then $c_{2i} = c_{2i+1}$.

There are similar characterizations of nilpotent orbits for Type B, D . Namely, suppose the columns of the Young diagram are given by

$$(4) \quad (c_1 \geq \cdots \geq c_{2p+1}) \quad (\text{set } c_{2p+1} = 0 \text{ if necessary}),$$

then it corresponds to an orthogonal nilpotent orbit iff $c_{2i} + c_{2i+1}$ is even for $1 \leq i \leq p$. Moreover, the special nilpotent orbits satisfies the additional condition that if c_{2i} is even in Type B (or odd in Type D), then $c_{2i} = c_{2i+1}$.

From now on, we will specify any classical nilpotent orbit \mathcal{O} by the column sizes of its corresponding Young diagram given by (3) or (4).

Definition 2.5. We call \mathcal{O} **odd** or **even** if its column sizes c_i are all odd or all even respectively.

We recall the necessary and sufficient conditions for a classical nilpotent variety orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ to be non-normal:

Theorem 2.6 ([KP]). *A classical nilpotent orbit \mathcal{O} of the form (3) or (4) has non-normal closure if and only if there exists $1 \leq i \leq j \leq k$ such that*

$$c_{2i-2} > c_{2i-1} = c_{2i} = \cdots = c_{2j-1} = c_{2j} > c_{2j+1}.$$

(omit the condition on c_{2i-2} in Type B, D when $i = 1$). For instance, the first example for a Type C orbit having non-normal closure is $\mathcal{O} = (4 > 2 = 2 > 0)$.

Proof. This is a rephrasing of the main result in [KP]. Let \mathcal{O} be of the form

$$\mathcal{O} = (\cdots c_{2i-2} > c_{2i-1} = c_{2i} = \cdots = c_{2j-1} = c_{2j} > c_{2j+1} \cdots).$$

Then the orbit \mathcal{O}' with

$$\mathcal{O}' = (\cdots c_{2i-2} \geq c_{2i-1} + 2 > c_{2i} = \cdots = c_{2j-1} > c_{2j} - 2 \geq c_{2j+1} \cdots)$$

occurs in the closure of \mathcal{O} . Table I of [KP] states that \mathcal{O}' is a minimal ϵ -degeneration of type $A_{2n-1} \cup A_{2n-1}$ with $n = 2(i - j + 1)$. By Theorem 2 of [KP], this is the only type of non-normal singularity that can occur in $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$. \square

Example 2.7. *The closure of $\mathcal{O} = (8, 8, 6, 6, 6, 4, 4, 2)$ in $\mathfrak{sp}(44, \mathbb{C})$ is not normal, since $c_4 = 6 > c_5 = 4 = c_6 = 4 > c_7 = 2$.*

In fact, under the setting of Definition 3.3(a) of [KP] and the main results therein, the singularity type of the orbit

$$\mathcal{O}' = (8, 8, 6, 6, 6, 6, 2, 2) \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}} = \overline{(8, 8, 6, 6, 6, 4, 4, 2)}$$

is equivalent to that of

$$(6, 2, 2) \subset \overline{(4, 4, 2)}$$

in $\mathfrak{o}(10, \mathbb{C})$ by removing the common columns of \mathcal{O}' and \mathcal{O} on the left, which in turn is equivalent to that of

$$(4) \subset \overline{(2, 2)}$$

in $\mathfrak{o}(4, \mathbb{C})$ by removing the first two common rows. This becomes a minimal irreducible ϵ -degeneration by Definition 3.3(b) of [KP], whose singularity is of type $A_1 \cup A_1$ from Table I of [KP], which is not normal.

2.3. Unipotent Representations $\Pi(\mathcal{O})$. In this section, we recall the construction of the quantization model $\Pi(\mathcal{O})$ of \mathcal{O} given in [B5].

Let $\mathcal{O} = (c_0 \geq c_1 \geq \cdots \geq c_{2p+1})$ (resp. $\mathcal{O} = (c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \cdots \geq c_{2p+1})$) be a nilpotent orbit of Type C (resp. B or D). Consider

$$\mathcal{R} := \{i \mid c_{2i-1} = c_{2i}\},$$

and $\mathcal{O}' = (c'_0 \geq c'_1 \geq \cdots \geq c'_{2q+1})$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}' = (c'_1 \geq c'_2 \geq \cdots \geq c'_{2q+1})$) is obtained from \mathcal{O} by removing all c_{2i-1}, c_{2i} for $i \in \mathcal{R}$, so that $c'_0 = c_0$ and $c'_{2q+1} = c_{2p+1}$. Then \mathcal{O}' defines a nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{g}' of the same type as \mathfrak{g} but of smaller rank. Now define

$$\Pi(\mathcal{O}) := \text{Ind}_{\prod_{i \in \mathcal{R}} GL(c_{2i}) \times G'}^G (\text{triv} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \text{triv} \boxtimes \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}')),$$

where

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}') := \begin{cases} \overline{X} \left(-\frac{c'_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright -\frac{1}{2}; -\frac{c'_3}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_2}{2}; \dots; -\frac{c'_{2q+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q}}{2} \right) & \text{if } \mathcal{O} \text{ is of Type B} \\ \overline{X} \left(-\frac{c'_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_0}{2}; \dots; -\frac{c'_{2q+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q}}{2} \right) & \text{if } \mathcal{O} \text{ is of Type C} \\ \overline{X} \left(-\frac{c'_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright 0; -\frac{c'_3}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_2}{2}; \dots; -\frac{c'_{2q+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q}}{2} \right) & \text{if } \mathcal{O} \text{ is of Type D} \end{cases}$$

is the spherical unipotent representation attached to \mathcal{O}' (note that c'_{2j} and c'_{2j+1} are of same parity by the description of nilpotent orbits in Section 2.2). Then

Theorem 2.8 ([B1], [B5]). $\Pi(\mathcal{O})$ is an irreducible, unitary (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module. Its $K_c \cong G$ -spectrum satisfies $\Pi(\mathcal{O})|_{K_c} \cong R(\mathcal{O})$.

Definition 2.9. Retain the notations above. For $t \geq 0$, define

$$\Pi_t(\mathcal{O}) := \text{Ind}_{\prod_{i \in \mathcal{R}} GL(c_{2i}) \times G'}^G (|\det|^t \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes |\det|^t \boxtimes \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}')),$$

Obviously, when $t = 0$, $\Pi_0(\mathcal{O}) = \Pi(\mathcal{O})$. Also note that $\Pi_t(\mathcal{O})$ has the same K_c -spectrum for all $t \geq 0$.

Remark 2.10. We now explain the relation between $\Pi_t(\mathcal{O})$ and deformation quantization. For all nilpotent orbits \mathcal{O} , Losev in [Lo] constructed a quantization parameter space \mathfrak{P} such that for each $\mu \in \mathfrak{P}$, there exists a filtered quantization $\mathcal{A}_\mu(\mathcal{O})$ satisfying $\text{gr}(\mathcal{A}_\mu(\mathcal{O})) \cong R(\mathcal{O})$ (see [LMM, Section 4] for more details). In the special case when \mathcal{O} is a classical nilpotent orbit, it is proved in Section 10 of [LMM] that there exists a $\nu \in \mathfrak{P}$ such that for all $t \geq 0$, we have a (\mathfrak{g}_c, K_c) -module isomorphism $\Pi_t(\mathcal{O}) \cong \mathcal{A}_{t\nu}(\mathcal{O})$.

3. DUAL PAIRS AND THE KRAFT-PROCESI MODEL

3.1. The Kraft-Procesi Model $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$. The Kraft-Procesi model, introduced in Definition 6.1 in [Br], is an admissible (\mathfrak{g}_c, K_c) -module whose K_c -structure matches $R(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$.

Given a nilpotent orbit in type C with columns $(c_0 \geq c_1 \geq \cdots c_{2p} \geq c_{2p+1})$, let G_{2i} be $Sp(c_{2i} + \cdots + c_{2p+1}, \mathbb{C})$ and $G_{2i+1} = O(c_{2i+1} + \cdots + c_{2p+1}, \mathbb{C})$. We denote $G = G_0$. Let \mathfrak{g}_j be the corresponding Lie algebras, and K_j the maximal compact subgroups. Let $G_j \times G_{j+1}$ be a reductive dual pair, and Ω_{j+1} be the oscillator representation as in [AB].

Let $K^1 := K_1 \times \cdots \times K_{2p+1}$, and $(K^1)^0$ be the connected component of the identity.

Definition 3.1 (Kraft-Procesi Model). Let

$$\Omega(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) := \Omega_1 \otimes \Omega_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega_{2p+1} / (\mathfrak{g}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{g}_{2p+1})(\Omega_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega_{2p+1}).$$

This is a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module. Then $(K^1)^0$ acts trivially on $\Omega(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$. So $K^1/(K^1)^0$ acts on $\Omega(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$.

Theorem 3.2 ([Br], Theorem 6.3). Let $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) := \Omega(\overline{\mathcal{O}})^{K^1/(K^1)^0}$, then

$$\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) \cong R(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$$

as K_0 -modules. We have identified the complex group G_0 with the complexification of K_0 .

3.2. The Induction Principle for Dual Pairs. Since the definition of $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ involves oscillator representations, we first recall some results in [AB] on the dual pair correspondence:

Theorem 3.3 ([AB], Corollary 3.21). Let $H_1 \times H_2$ be a reductive dual pair, and $P = MN = P_1 \times P_2 = M_1 N_1 \times M_2 N_2 \subset H_1 \times H_2$ a real parabolic subgroup:

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= O(2m + \tau), & M_1 &= GL(k) \times O(2m + \tau - 2k); \\ H_2 &= Sp(2n), & M_2 &= GL(\ell) \times Sp(2n - 2\ell); \end{aligned}$$

with $\tau = 0, 1$. Suppose there is a nontrivial M -equivariant map $\Omega_M \rightarrow \sigma_1 \boxtimes \sigma_2$. Then there is a nontrivial map

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &\longrightarrow \text{Ind}_{P_1}^{H_1}(\alpha_1 \sigma_1) \boxtimes \text{Ind}_{P_2}^{H_2}(\alpha_2 \sigma_2), \text{ where} \\ \alpha_1(g_1) &= |\det g_1|^{2n-2m-\tau+k-\ell+1}, \quad \alpha_2(g_2) = |\det g_2|^{-2n+2m+\tau-k+\ell-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.4. The exponents in α_1, α_2 are reversed because the parabolic subgroups in [AB] are opposite from the ones used here.

The following corollary is an almost direct consequence of Theorem 3.3:

Corollary 3.5.

(a) Let Ω be the oscillator representation corresponding to the dual pair $O(2n + 2M) \times Sp(2n)$. Then there is a non-trivial map

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &\longrightarrow I^{O(2n+2M)}((a \curvearrowright A) \dots (b \curvearrowright B) (-M + 1 \curvearrowright L)) \\ &\quad \boxtimes I^{Sp(2n)}((-A \curvearrowright -a) \dots (-B \curvearrowright -b) \overline{X}(1 \curvearrowright L)) \end{aligned}$$

with the spherical vector in its image.

(b) Let Ω be the oscillator representation corresponding to the dual pair $O(2m) \times Sp(2m + 2L)$. Then there is a non-trivial map

$$\Omega \longrightarrow I^{O(2m)}((-A \curvearrowright -a) \dots (-B \curvearrowright -b)) \boxtimes I^{Sp(2m+2L)}((a \curvearrowright A) \dots (b \curvearrowright B) \overline{X}(1 \curvearrowright L))$$

with the spherical vector in its image.

Proof. We prove (a) by induction on the number of GL -factors. When there are no GL -factors, i.e. $n = L$, consider the dual pairs

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= O(2L + 2M), & M_1 &= GL(L + M) \times O(0); \\ H_2 &= Sp(2L), & M_2 &= GL(0) \times Sp(2L); \end{aligned}$$

and $\Omega_M \rightarrow \text{triv} \boxtimes \text{triv} = \overline{X}_{GL}(-\frac{L+M-1}{2} \curvearrowright \frac{L+M-1}{2}) \boxtimes \overline{X}(1 \curvearrowright L)$. Then

$$2n - 2m - \tau + k - \ell + 1 = 2L - (2L + 2M) - 0 + (L + M) - 0 + 1 = L - M + 1$$

and Theorem 3.3 implies there is a non-trivial map

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &\longrightarrow I^{O(2L+2M)}\left(-\frac{L+M-1}{2} + \frac{L-M+1}{2} \curvearrowright \frac{L+M-1}{2} + \frac{L-M+1}{2}\right) \boxtimes \overline{X}(1 \curvearrowright L) \\ &= I^{O(2L+2M)}(-M + 1 \curvearrowright L) \boxtimes \overline{X}(1 \curvearrowright L). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the corollary holds for $k = 0$.

Now suppose (a) holds when there are k GL -factors, i.e. there is a non-trivial map

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega' &\longrightarrow I^{O(2m')}((a' \curvearrowright A') \dots (b \curvearrowright B) (-M + 1 \curvearrowright L)) \boxtimes \\ &\quad I^{Sp(2n')}((-A' \curvearrowright -a') \dots (-B \curvearrowright -b) \overline{X}(1 \curvearrowright L)) \end{aligned}$$

with image containing the spherical vector. We denote the above correspondence by

$$\Omega' \longrightarrow \theta(\Pi) \otimes \Pi,$$

and consider the dual pairs

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= O(2m), \quad M_1 = GL(A - a + 1) \times O(2m'); \\ H_2 &= Sp(2n), \quad M_2 = GL(A - a + 1) \times Sp(2n'), \end{aligned}$$

where $m - m' = n - n' = (A - a + 1)$ and $\Omega_M \longrightarrow (\chi \otimes \theta(\Pi)) \boxtimes (\chi^* \otimes \Pi)$, with

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &= \overline{X}_{GL} \left(a - \frac{2n' - 2m' + 1}{2} \curvearrowright A - \frac{2n' - 2m' + 1}{2} \right); \\ \chi^* &= \overline{X}_{GL} \left(-A + \frac{2n' - 2m' + 1}{2} \curvearrowright -a + \frac{2n' - 2m' + 1}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

are some characters of $GL(A - a + 1)$. Then Theorem 3.3 implies the existence of the map

$$\Omega \longrightarrow I^{O(2m)}((a \curvearrowright A) \theta(\Pi)) \boxtimes I^{Sp(2n)}((-A \curvearrowright -a) \Pi)$$

with image containing the spherical vector. So the result follows from induction in stages.

For part (b), we give details when there is only one GL -factor. Consider the dual pairs

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= O(2m), & M_1 &= GL(m) \times O(0); \\ H_2 &= Sp(2m + 2L), & M_2 &= GL(m) \times Sp(2L) \end{aligned}$$

where $m = A - a + 1$, and $\Omega_M \rightarrow \chi^* \boxtimes (\chi \otimes \text{triv})$, with $\chi = |\det g|^x$ for some suitable x . We obtain a non-trivial map

$$\Omega \longrightarrow I^{O(2m)}(-A \curvearrowright -a) \boxtimes I^{Sp(2m+2L)}((a \curvearrowright A) \overline{X}(1 \curvearrowright L)).$$

The rest of the proof of part (b) is omitted. \square

3.3. A Non-trivial Map to an Induced Module. We apply the results in the previous section to construct a non-trivial (\mathfrak{g}_c, K_c) -equivariant map from $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ to an induced module **when \mathcal{O} is an even orbit**. As before, the spherical vector is in the image.

Lemma 3.6. *Let \mathcal{O} be an even orbit of Type C with*

$$\mathcal{O} = (c_0 \geq c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \cdots \geq c_{2p-1} \geq c_{2p} \geq c_{2p+1}).$$

There is a non-trivial (\mathfrak{g}_c, K_c) -equivariant map

$$\Omega(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) \longrightarrow I^G \left(\left(-\frac{c_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_2}{2} \right) \cdots \left(-\frac{c_{2p-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p}}{2} \right) \left(0 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p+1}}{2} - 1 \right) \overline{X}(1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_0}{2}) \right)$$

with the spherical vector in its image.

Proof. We do an induction on the number of columns of \mathcal{O} . First of all, consider the case when $p = 0$, i.e. $\mathcal{O} = (c_0 \geq c_1)$.

If $c_1 = 0$, the result is obvious. Suppose $c_1 \neq 0$. There are non-trivial maps

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &\longrightarrow I^{O(c_1)} \left(-\frac{c_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright 0 \right) \boxtimes I^{Sp(c_0+c_1)} \left(\left(0 \curvearrowright \frac{c_1}{2} - 1 \right) \overline{X}(1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_0}{2}) \right) \\ &\longrightarrow \overline{X}_{O(c_1)} \left(-\frac{c_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright 0 \right) \boxtimes I^{Sp(c_0+c_1)} \left(\left(0 \curvearrowright \frac{c_1}{2} - 1 \right) \overline{X}(1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_0}{2}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the first map comes from Corollary 3.5(b) with the dual pair $O(c_1) \times Sp(c_0 + c_1)$, and the second map is the quotient map to the Langlands quotient.

Note that $\overline{X}_{O(c_1)}(-\frac{c_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright 0)$ is the trivial G_1 -module, so the above map factors through $\mathfrak{g}_1\Omega_1$, i.e.

$$\Omega_1/\mathfrak{g}_1\Omega_1 \longrightarrow I^{Sp(c_0+c_1)} \left((0 \curvearrowright \frac{c_1}{2} - 1) \overline{X}(1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_0}{2}) \right).$$

Suppose the Proposition holds for $\mathcal{O}' = (c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{2p+1})$, i.e. there is a non-trivial map $\Omega_3 \otimes \dots \otimes \Omega_{2p+1}/(\mathfrak{g}_3 \times \dots \times \mathfrak{g}_{2p+1})(\Omega_3 \otimes \dots \otimes \Omega_{2p+1}) \longrightarrow$

$$\Pi_2 := I^{G_2} \left(\left(-\frac{c_3}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_4}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2p-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p}}{2} \right) \left(0 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p+1}}{2} - 1 \right) \overline{X}(1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_2}{2}) \right)$$

Consider the oscillator representation Ω_2 with dual pair $G_1 \times G_2$. By Corollary 3.5(a) with $2M = c_1$, there is a non-trivial map

$$\Omega_2 \longrightarrow \Pi_1 \boxtimes \Pi_2^*$$

with Π_1 equal to

$$\Pi_1 := I^{G_1} \left(\left(-\frac{c_3}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_4}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2p-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p}}{2} \right) \left(0 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p+1}}{2} - 1 \right) \left(-\frac{c_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_2}{2} \right)$$

Therefore, we have a non-trivial map

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_2 \otimes (\Omega_3 \otimes \dots \otimes \Omega_{2p+1}/(\mathfrak{g}_3 \times \dots \times \mathfrak{g}_{2p+1})(\Omega_3 \otimes \dots \otimes \Omega_{2p+1})) &\longrightarrow (\Pi_1 \boxtimes \Pi_2^*) \otimes \Pi_2 \\ &\longrightarrow \Pi_1 \boxtimes \text{triv}_{G_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last map is given by projecting $\Pi_2^* \otimes \Pi_2$ onto the trivial representation. Note that the final image does not depend on the G_2 -module structure, so the map factors through $\mathfrak{g}_2\Omega_2$, and we obtain a non-trivial map

$$\Omega_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \Omega_{2p+1}/(\mathfrak{g}_2 \times \dots \times \mathfrak{g}_{2p+1})(\Omega_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \Omega_{2p+1}) \longrightarrow \Pi_1.$$

Finally, Corollary 3.5(b) with $2L = c_0$ implies that there is a non-trivial map

$$\Omega_1 \longrightarrow \Pi_0 \boxtimes \Pi_1^*,$$

where Π_0 is the induced module

$$\Pi_0 := I^G \left(\left(-\frac{c_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_2}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2p-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p}}{2} \right) \left(0 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p+1}}{2} - 1 \right) \overline{X}(1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_0}{2}) \right)$$

as in the proposition. Then the above contraction argument applies again to give the result. We omit the details about the spherical vector. \square

Remark 3.7. *The proof of the above lemma also yields the analogous result to Proposition 3.6 for the closure of the nilpotent orbits $\overline{\mathcal{O}} = (c_1 \geq c_2 \cdots \geq c_{2p} \geq c_{2p+1})$ for orthogonal Lie algebras.*

Proposition 3.8. *Let \mathcal{O} be an even nilpotent orbit of Type C with*

$$\mathcal{O} = (c_0 \geq c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \dots \geq c_{2p-1} \geq c_{2p} \geq c_{2p+1}).$$

There is a non-trivial (\mathfrak{g}_c, K_c) -equivariant map

$$\Omega(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) \longrightarrow \Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O}).$$

with the spherical vector in its image.

Proof. By Proposition 3.6, there is a non-trivial G -equivariant map

$$\Omega(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) \longrightarrow I^G \left(\left(-\frac{c_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_2}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2p-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p}}{2} \right) \left(0 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p+1}}{2} - 1 \right) \overline{X} \left(1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_0}{2} \right) \right).$$

Let \mathcal{O} , \mathcal{O}' and \mathcal{R} be as given in Section 2.3, where $\mathcal{R} = \{r_1 < \dots < r_k\}$ are listed in ascending order. By repeatedly applying the intertwining operators

$$\iota : I_{GL(m) \times GL(n)}^{GL(m+n)}(\alpha \boxtimes \beta) \rightarrow I_{GL(n) \times GL(m)}^{GL(m+n)}(\beta \boxtimes \alpha),$$

one has a G -equivariant map

$$\begin{aligned} & I^G \left(\left(-\frac{c_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_2}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2p-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p}}{2} \right) \left(0 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p+1}}{2} - 1 \right) \overline{X} \left(1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_0}{2} \right) \right) \\ \rightarrow & I^G \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(-\frac{c_{2r_1-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_1}}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2r_k-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_k}}{2} \right) \\ \left(-\frac{c'_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_2}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c'_{2q-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q}}{2} \right) \left(0 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q+1}}{2} - 1 \right) \overline{X} \left(1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_0}{2} \right) \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In fact one can check that it is an isomorphism, since for all $a < b$, the strings $(-\frac{c_{2a-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2a}}{2})$, $(-\frac{c_{2b-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2b}}{2})$ are *nested* in the sense of [B2, Definition 2.1].

Note that for all $c_{2r_i-1} = c_{2r_i}$,

$$\overline{X}_{GL(c_{2r_i})} \left(-\frac{c_{2r_1-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_1}}{2} \right) = |\det|^{1/2},$$

and there is a surjection to the Langlands quotient

$$\begin{aligned} & I^{G'} \left(\left(-\frac{c'_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_2}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c'_{2q-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q}}{2} \right) \left(0 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q+1}}{2} - 1 \right) \overline{X} \left(1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_0}{2} \right) \right) \\ \rightarrow & \overline{X} \left(-\frac{c'_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_2}{2}; \dots; -\frac{c'_{2q-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q}}{2}; -\frac{c'_{2q+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_0}{2} \right) \\ = & \overline{X} \left(-\frac{c'_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_0}{2}; -\frac{c'_3}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_2}{2}; \dots; -\frac{c'_{2q+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q}}{2} \right) = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}') \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, one has a non-trivial map

$$\Omega(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) \longrightarrow \text{Ind}_{\prod_i GL(c_{2r_i}) \times G'}^G (|\det|^{1/2} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes |\det|^{1/2} \boxtimes \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}')) = \Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O}).$$

□

Corollary 3.9. *Let \mathcal{O} be an even orbit of Type C, there is a (\mathfrak{g}_c, K_c) -equivariant map*

$$\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) \longrightarrow \Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O})$$

with the spherical vector in its image.

Proof. The inclusion map

$$\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) := \Omega(\overline{\mathcal{O}})^{K^1/(K^1)^0} \hookrightarrow \Omega(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$$

has the trivial K -type in its image. Then the result follows directly from the above inclusion and Proposition 3.8. \square

We now give a discussion on how the results of Proposition 3.8 and Corollary 3.9 can be extended to general orbits.

Firstly, let \mathcal{O} be an odd orbit of Type C . Indeed, an analogous result of Corollary 3.5 holds for half-integral infinitesimal characters given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &\longrightarrow I^{O(2n+2M+1)} \left((a \curvearrowright A) \dots (b \curvearrowright B) \left(-\frac{2M-1}{2} \curvearrowright \frac{L-1}{2} \right) \boxtimes \\ &\quad I^{Sp(2n)} \left((-A \curvearrowright -a) \dots (-B \curvearrowright -b) \overline{X} \left(\frac{1}{2} \curvearrowright \frac{L-1}{2} \right) \right) \\ \Omega &\longrightarrow I^{O(2m+1)} \left((-A \curvearrowright -a) \dots (-B \curvearrowright -b) \right) \boxtimes \\ &\quad I^{Sp(2m+2L)} \left((a \curvearrowright A) \dots (b \curvearrowright B) \overline{X} \left(\frac{1}{2} \curvearrowright \frac{2L-1}{2} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

(this relies on the fact that there is a non-trivial morphism $\Omega_M \longrightarrow \text{triv} \boxtimes \overline{X}(\frac{1}{2} \curvearrowright \frac{2L-1}{2})$ on the dual pair $O(1) \times Sp(2L)$, where $\overline{X}(\frac{1}{2} \curvearrowright \frac{2L-1}{2})$ is the spherical metaplectic representation).

Once we have established Corollary 3.9 for both odd and even orbits, one can study the general Type C orbit where \mathcal{O} consists of both odd and even columns. In such a case, the arguments in Proposition 3.8 involves strings $(a \curvearrowright A)$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ (corresponding to even columns) or $a \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ (corresponding to odd columns). Since the strings with \mathbb{Z} -coordinates and strings with $(\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$ -coordinates are nested, one can use the intertwining operator ι appearing in the proof to ‘separate’ the odd and even columns. Therefore, Corollary 3.9 holds for all \mathcal{O} of Type C .

The same arguments can be easily applied for all orthogonal orbits $\mathcal{O} = (c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \dots \geq c_{2p+1})$. We omit the details here.

By Corollary 3.9, we have a surjective map

$$\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) \longrightarrow \Xi \subseteq \Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O}),$$

where Ξ is the *cyclic submodule* of $\Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O})$. In the next couple of sections, we will study Ξ in full detail, and conclude that \mathcal{E} gives an isomorphism $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) \cong \Xi$.

4. CYCLIC SUBMODULE OF $\Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O})$

As discussed at the last paragraph of the previous section, we are interested in studying the cyclic submodule Ξ of $\Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O})$. The main result of this section is the following:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{O} = (c_0 \geq c_1 \geq \cdots \geq c_{2p} \geq c_{2p+1})$ be a nilpotent orbit of Type C, and Ξ be the cyclic submodule of $\Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O})$. Then*

$$\Xi \approx I^G \left(\left(-\frac{c_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_0}{2}\right) \cdots \left(-\frac{c_{2p+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p}}{2}\right),$$

where \approx is defined in Definition 2.4.

Let $\mathcal{O} = (c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \cdots \geq c_{2p} \geq c_{2p+1})$ be a nilpotent orbit of Type B or D, and Ξ be the cyclic submodule of $\Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O})$. Then

$$\Xi \approx I^G \left(\left(-\frac{c_3}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_2}{2}\right) \cdots \left(-\frac{c_{2p+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p}}{2}\right) \overline{X}_O(\rho_{c_1}; \rho_{c_1}),$$

where $\overline{X}_O(\rho_{c_1}; \rho_{c_1})$ is the trivial representation of the orthogonal group $O(c_1, \mathbb{C})$.

As in the previous section, we present the proof of Theorem 4.1 for even orbits of Type C only. The proof for general orbits follows analogously by the discussions at the end of the previous section.

Lemma 4.2. *Let \mathcal{O} be an even nilpotent orbit of Type C with*

$$\mathcal{O} = (c_0 \geq c_1 \geq \cdots \geq c_{2p+1}), \quad \mathcal{O}' = (c'_0 \geq c'_1 \geq \cdots \geq c'_{2q+1}), \quad \mathcal{R} = \{r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_k\}$$

be as in Section 2.2. Consider the induced module

$$\Gamma := I^G \left(\left(-\frac{c_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_0}{2}\right) \cdots \left(-\frac{c_{2p+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p}}{2}\right)$$

appearing in Theorem 4.1. The following holds:

- (a) Γ is cyclic.
- (b) Γ is a submodule of

$$I^G \left(\left(-\frac{c_{2r_1-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_1}}{2}\right) \cdots \left(-\frac{c_{2r_k-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_k}}{2}\right) \left(-\frac{c'_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_0}{2}\right) \cdots \left(-\frac{c'_{2q+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q}}{2}\right).$$

Proof. (a) Rearrange the infinitesimal character of Γ into the dominant form $\lambda^0 = (\frac{c_0}{2}, \frac{c_0}{2} - 1, \dots, r, \dots, r, \dots, 1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, and consider the principal series $X(\lambda^0; \lambda^0)$. One can see that Γ is indeed the homomorphic image of an intertwining operator $T_w : X(\lambda^0; \lambda^0) \rightarrow X(w\lambda^0; w\lambda^0)$, for some $w \in W$, hence it is cyclic.

(b) We will prove (b) for $G = GL$, and the result for $G = Sp$ follows by induction in stages.

Prove by induction on the length of \mathcal{O} : The result is automatic if $\mathcal{O} = (c_0 \geq c_1)$. Now suppose $\mathcal{O} = (c_0 \geq c_1 \geq c_2 \geq c_3)$. There are two cases:

- (i) If $c_1 > c_2$, i.e. $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}'$ with $\mathcal{R} = \emptyset$ is empty, then there is nothing to prove.
- (ii) If $c_1 = c_2$, i.e. $\mathcal{O}' = (c_0 \geq c_3)$ with $\mathcal{R} = \{1\}$, Consider the intertwining operator

$$I^{GL} \left(\left(-\frac{c_3}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_0}{2}\right) \left(-\frac{c_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_2}{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\iota} I^{GL} \left(\left(-\frac{c_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_2}{2}\right) \left(-\frac{c_3}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_0}{2}\right).$$

By [BSS], its image is equal to

$$(5) \quad I^{GL} \left(\left(-\frac{c_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_0}{2}\right) \left(-\frac{c_3}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_2}{2}\right) \subseteq I^{GL} \left(\left(-\frac{c_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_2}{2}\right) \left(-\frac{c_3}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_0}{2}\right).$$

So (b) follows in this setting.

Suppose the result holds for $\mathcal{O}_p = (c_0 \geq c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \dots \geq c_{2p-1} \geq c_{2p} \geq c_{2p+1})$, i.e.

$$(6) \quad I^{GL} \left(\left(-\frac{c_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_0}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2p+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p}}{2} \right) \right) \\ \subseteq I^{GL} \left(\left(-\frac{c_{2r_1-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_1}}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2r_k-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_k}}{2} \right) \left(-\frac{c'_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_0}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c'_{2q+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q}}{2} \right) \right),$$

keeping in mind that $c'_0 = c_0$ and $c'_{2q+1} = c_{2p+1}$.

Consider $\mathcal{O}_{p+1} = (c_0 \geq c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \dots \geq c_{2p+1} \geq c_{2p+2} \geq c_{2p+3})$. By induction in stages, we have

$$I^{GL} \left(\left(-\frac{c_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_0}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2p+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p}}{2} \right) \left(-\frac{c_{2p+3}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p+2}}{2} \right) \right) \\ \stackrel{(6)}{\subseteq} I^{GL} \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(-\frac{c_{2r_1-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_1}}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2r_k-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_k}}{2} \right) \\ \left(-\frac{c'_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_0}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c'_{2q+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q}}{2} \right) \left(-\frac{c_{2p+3}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p+2}}{2} \right) \end{array} \right) \\ = I^{GL} \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(-\frac{c_{2r_1-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_1}}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2r_k-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_k}}{2} \right) \\ \left(-\frac{c'_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_0}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2p+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q}}{2} \right) \left(-\frac{c_{2p+3}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p+2}}{2} \right) \end{array} \right)$$

(i) If $c_{2p+1} > c_{2p+2}$, i.e. $p+1 \notin \mathcal{R}$, then we are done.

(ii) If $c_{2p+1} = c_{2p+2}$, then $r_{k+1} = p+1 \in \mathcal{R}$, and one has

$$I^{GL} \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(-\frac{c_{2r_1-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_1}}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2r_k-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_k}}{2} \right) \\ \left(-\frac{c'_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_0}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2p+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q}}{2} \right) \left(-\frac{c_{2p+3}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p+2}}{2} \right) \end{array} \right) \\ \stackrel{(5)}{\subseteq} I^{GL} \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(-\frac{c_{2r_1-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_1}}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2r_k-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_k}}{2} \right) \\ \left(-\frac{c'_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_0}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2p+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p+2}}{2} \right) \left(-\frac{c_{2p+3}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q}}{2} \right) \end{array} \right) \\ \cong I^{GL} \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(-\frac{c_{2r_1-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_1}}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2r_k-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_k}}{2} \right) \left(-\frac{c_{2p+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p+2}}{2} \right) \\ \left(-\frac{c'_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_0}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2p+3}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q}}{2} \right) \end{array} \right) \\ = I^{GL} \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(-\frac{c_{2r_1-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_1}}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2r_k-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_k}}{2} \right) \left(-\frac{c_{2r_{k+1}-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_{k+1}}}{2} \right) \\ \left(-\frac{c'_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_0}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2p+3}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q}}{2} \right) \end{array} \right)$$

To see why the above \cong holds, note that $c_{2p+1} = c_{2p+2} \leq c'_l$ for all $l = 1, \dots, 2q$. So the strings

$$\left(-\frac{c'_{2j-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2j}}{2} \right), \quad \left(-\frac{c_{2p+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p+2}}{2} \right)$$

are nested for all $j = 1, \dots, q$. Therefore, the Lemma follows. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 4.1. By the Lemma above, we have

$$\Gamma \subseteq I^G \left(\left(-\frac{c_{2r_1-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_1}}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2r_k-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_k}}{2} \right) \left(-\frac{c'_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_0}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c'_{2q+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q}}{2} \right) \right)$$

is the cyclic submodule. Now consider the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -surjection to the Langlands quotient

$$(7) \quad I^G \left(\left(-\frac{c'_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_0}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c'_{2q+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q}}{2} \right) \right) \twoheadrightarrow \overline{X} \left(-\frac{c'_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_0}{2}; \dots; \frac{c'_{2q+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q}}{2} \right) = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}')$$

which is an isomorphism of diminutive K'_c -types by [Wo3]. So by induction in stages again one has

$$(8) \quad I^G \left(\left(-\frac{c_{2r_1-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_1}}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2r_k-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_k}}{2} \right) \left(-\frac{c'_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_0}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c'_{2q+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c'_{2q}}{2} \right) \right) \\ \twoheadrightarrow I^G \left(\left(-\frac{c_{2r_1-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_1}}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2r_k-1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2r_k}}{2} \right) \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}') \right) = \Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O}),$$

which is an isomorphism on diminutive K_c -types. Consequently, upon restricting the map (8) to the cyclic submodule Γ , we have

$$(9) \quad \Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \Xi \subseteq \Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O}),$$

Since (7) is an isomorphism on the level diminutive K -types, this implies $\Gamma \approx \Xi$, and hence the theorem follows. \square

5. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

We are now in the position to state and prove the main theorem of this manuscript:

Theorem 5.1. *Let \mathcal{O} be a classical nilpotent orbit. Then Equation (1) gives an isomorphism onto the cyclic submodule Ξ of $\Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O})$.*

Proof. By the discussion at the end of Section 3, we have a surjective (\mathfrak{g}_c, K_c) -module morphism

$$\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) \longrightarrow \Xi$$

Therefore, the composition factors of the cyclic module Ξ must appear in $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ as well. By the main result of Section 4, the multiplicities of diminutive K_c -types V_β of Ξ is given by

$$(10) \quad [\Xi : V_\beta] \\ = \begin{cases} [I^G \left(\left(-\frac{c_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_0}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2p+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p}}{2} \right) \right) : V_\beta] & \text{if } \mathcal{O} \text{ is of Type } C \\ [I^G \left(\left(-\frac{c_1}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_0}{2} \right) \dots \left(-\frac{c_{2p+1}}{2} + 1 \curvearrowright \frac{c_{2p}}{2} \right) \overline{X}_O(\rho_{c_1}; \rho_{c_1}) \right) : V_\beta] & \text{if } \mathcal{O} \text{ is of Type } B, D \end{cases} \\ = \begin{cases} \left[\text{Ind}_{GL(\frac{c_0+c_1}{2}) \times \dots \times GL(\frac{c_{2p}+c_{2p+1}}{2})}^G (\text{triv}) : V_\beta \right] & \text{if } \mathcal{O} \text{ is of Type } C \\ \left[\text{Ind}_{GL(\frac{c_0+c_1}{2}) \times \dots \times GL(\frac{c_{2p}+c_{2p+1}}{2}) \times O(c_1)}^G (\text{triv}) : V_\beta \right] & \text{if } \mathcal{O} \text{ is of Type } B, D \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, we have an inclusion of nilpotent varieties $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}^\#}$, where

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{O}^\# := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{c_0+c_1}{2} \geq \frac{c_0+c_1}{2} \geq \dots \geq \frac{c_{2p}+c_{2p+1}}{2} \geq \frac{c_{2p}+c_{2p+1}}{2} \right) & \text{if } \mathcal{O} \text{ is of Type } C \\ \left(c_1 \geq \frac{c_2+c_3}{2} \geq \frac{c_2+c_3}{2} \geq \dots \geq \frac{c_{2p}+c_{2p+1}}{2} \geq \frac{c_{2p}+c_{2p+1}}{2} \right) & \text{if } \mathcal{O} \text{ is of Type } B, D \end{cases}$$

Note that $\overline{\mathcal{O}^\#}$ is normal and is a Richardson orbit induced from

$$L = \begin{cases} GL(\frac{c_0+c_1}{2}) \times \dots \times GL(\frac{c_{2p}+c_{2p+1}}{2}) & \text{if } \mathcal{O} \text{ is of Type } C \\ GL(\frac{c_2+c_3}{2}) \times \dots \times GL(\frac{c_{2p}+c_{2p+1}}{2}) \times O(c_1) & \text{if } \mathcal{O} \text{ is of Type } B, D \end{cases}$$

Hence the multiplicities of all K_c -types of $R(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ is **bounded above** by

$$(12) \quad [R(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) : V_\beta] \leq [R(\overline{\mathcal{O}^\#}) : V_\beta],$$

where

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} [R(\overline{\mathcal{O}^\#}) : V_\beta] &= [R(\mathcal{O}^\#) : V_\beta] \\ &= \begin{cases} \left[\text{Ind}_{GL(\frac{c_0+c_1}{2}) \times \dots \times GL(\frac{c_{2p}+c_{2p+1}}{2})}^G (\text{triv}) : V_\beta \right] & \text{if } \mathcal{O} \text{ is of Type } C \\ \left[\text{Ind}_{GL(\frac{c_2+c_3}{2}) \times \dots \times GL(\frac{c_{2p}+c_{2p+1}}{2}) \times O(c_1)}^G (\text{triv}) : V_\beta \right] & \text{if } \mathcal{O} \text{ is of Type } B, D \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Suppose on the contrary that $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ is not isomorphic to Ξ . Then it must have at least one extra composition factor other than those appearing Ξ . Let π be one of such factor; its lowest K -type, V_γ , must be diminutive by Proposition A.4. So one has

$$(14) \quad [R(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) : V_\gamma] = [\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) : V_\gamma] \geq [\pi \oplus \Xi : V_\gamma] > [\Xi : V_\gamma] \stackrel{(10),(13)}{=} [R(\overline{\mathcal{O}^\#}) : V_\gamma],$$

contradicting Equation (12). Therefore, $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ must have the same composition factors as Ξ . \square

Corollary 5.2. *Let \mathcal{O} be a classical nilpotent orbit. The diminutive K_c -type multiplicity of $R(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ is equal to that of $R(\overline{\mathcal{O}^\#})$, where $\overline{\mathcal{O}^\#} \supset \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is given in Equation (11). Moreover, $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is not normal iff $R(\mathcal{O})$ and $R(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ have different multiplicities on the level of diminutive K -types.*

Proof. The statement on diminutive K -type multiplicities are precisely Theorem 5.1. For the second statement, let $\mathcal{O} = (c_0 \geq c_1 \geq \dots \geq c_{2p} \geq c_{2p+1})$ in Type C and $\mathcal{O} = (c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \dots \geq c_{2p} \geq c_{2p+1})$ in Type B, D . By Theorem 5.1 and [B5], the diminutive K -type multiplicities of $R(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ and $R(\mathcal{O})$ are given by the induced modules

$$(15) \quad \text{Ind}_{\prod_{j=0}^p GL(\frac{c_{2j}+c_{2j+1}}{2})}^G (\text{triv}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ind}_{\prod_{i \in \mathcal{R}} GL(c_{2i}) \times \prod_{j=0}^q GL(\frac{c'_{2j}+c'_{2j+1}}{2})}^G (\text{triv})$$

in Type C , and

$$(16) \quad \text{Ind}_{\prod_{j=1}^p GL(\frac{c_{2j}+c_{2j+1}}{2}) \times O(c_1)}^G (\text{triv}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ind}_{\prod_{i \in \mathcal{R}} GL(c_{2i}) \times \prod_{j=1}^q GL(\frac{c'_{2j}+c'_{2j+1}}{2}) \times O(c'_1)}^G (\text{triv})$$

for Type B , D respectively. The two induced modules have the same multiplicities iff they are induced from the same Levi type, which occurs precisely when \mathcal{O} is of the form given in Theorem 2.6. \square

Example 5.3. *We study the orbit $\mathcal{O} = (6, 4, 4, 2, 2, 0)$ in $\mathfrak{sp}(18, \mathbb{C})$. Then the induced modules in Equation (15) are*

$$(17) \quad \text{Ind}_{GL(5) \times GL(3) \times GL(1)}^G(\text{triv}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ind}_{GL(4) \times GL(2) \times GL(3)}^G(\text{triv}).$$

By Frobenius reciprocity, the discrepancy of K_c -type multiplicities between $R(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ and $R(\mathcal{O})$ occurs as early as $V_{(1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0)}$.

By the Appendix, the seven possible composition factors of $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ and $\Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O})$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1 &:= \text{Ind}_{GL(2) \times GL(4) \times Sp(6)}^G(\det \boxtimes \det \boxtimes \text{triv}), & \pi_2^\pm &:= \text{Ind}_{GL(4) \times Sp(10)}^G(\det \boxtimes \mathcal{U}(6, 4; \pm)), \\ \pi_3^\pm &:= \text{Ind}_{GL(2) \times Sp(14)}^G(\det \boxtimes \mathcal{U}(6, 6, 2; \pm)), & \pi_4^\pm &:= \mathcal{U}(6, 6, 3, 3; \pm). \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}; \epsilon)$ are the special unipotent representations attached to the dual orbit \mathcal{O}^\vee . All these factors are unitary.

By studying closely the expression of $\Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O})$ in Definition 2.9, one can check that all the seven factors appears in the composition series of $\Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O})$ with multiplicity one. As for $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}) \cong \Xi$, one can obtain the composition series of Ξ by studying that of $\Gamma = I^G((-1 \curvearrowright 3)(0 \curvearrowright 2)(1 \curvearrowright 1))$ in Equation (9). In our subsequent work, we show that the composition factors of $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ are precisely π_1, π_2^-, π_3^+ and π_4^+ .

APPENDIX A. COMPOSITION FACTORS OF $\Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O})$

In this section, we list the possible Langlands parameters that *can* appear in the composition series of $\Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ for even orbits of Type C . The results can be generalized to all other classical orbits.

For any orbit $\mathcal{O} = (c_0 \geq c_1 \geq \dots \geq c_{2p} \geq c_{2p+1})$, the infinitesimal character attached to $\Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ is

$$(18) \quad c_{2i} \longrightarrow \left(\frac{c_{2i}}{2}, \dots, 1\right); \quad c_{2i+1} \longrightarrow \left(\frac{c_{2i+1}}{2} - 1, \dots, 1, 0\right).$$

This is implicit from the constructions in Section 3. Moreover, it also matches the dual pair correspondence in, for example, [Pz].

Let λ be as in Equation (18). There is a unique maximal primitive ideal $I(\lambda) \subset U(\mathfrak{g})$ with a given infinitesimal character λ . This determines a nilpotent orbit \mathcal{O}_λ such that any admissible irreducible (\mathfrak{g}_c, K_c) -module will have at least $\overline{\mathcal{O}_\lambda}$ as its associated variety. In particular, the spherical irreducible module with infinitesimal character λ has associated variety precisely the closure of \mathcal{O}_λ (see [BV2] for details). The composition factors of $\Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ are irreducible (\mathfrak{g}_c, K_c) -modules with infinitesimal character λ and associated varieties contained in $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ and containing $\overline{\mathcal{O}_\lambda}$.

A.1. **Definition of $Norm(\mathcal{O})$.** In this section, we describe all nilpotent orbits \mathcal{P} lying between $\overline{\mathcal{O}_\lambda}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$.

Definition A.1. Let $(b_0 \geq b_1 = b_2 \geq b_3)$ be four positive integers of the same parity. A **fundamental degeneration** is defined by:

- $(b_0 > b_1 = b_2 > b_3) \rightarrow (b_0, b_1 + 2, b_2 - 2, b_3)$.
- $(b_0 = b_1 = b_2 > b_3) \rightarrow (b_0 + 1, b_1 + 1, b_2 - 2, b_3)$.
- $(b_0 > b_1 = b_2 = b_3) \rightarrow (b_0, b_1 + 2, b_2 - 1, b_3 - 1)$.
- $(b_0 = b_1 = b_2 = b_3) \rightarrow (b_0 + 1, b_1 + 1, b_2 - 1, b_3 - 1)$.

In the first two cases, we omit the columns $b_2 - 2, b_3$ if both terms are equal to zero. Note that when $b_0 > b_1$, the size of b_0 remains unchanged after degeneration. Similarly, if $b_2 > b_3$, the size of b_3 is the same after degeneration.

Definition A.2. Let $\mathcal{O} = (c_0 \geq c_1 \geq \dots \geq c_{2p} \geq c_{2p+1})$ be an even orbit. We construct a collection of orbits as follows:

(1) For each $\dots c_{2i} \geq c_{2i+1} = c_{2i+2} = \dots = c_{2j-1} = c_{2j} \geq c_{2j+1} \dots$ appearing in \mathcal{O} , perform fundamental degeneration on the columns $c_{2i} \geq c_{2i+1} = c_{2j} \geq c_{2j+1}$ and get a new orbit:

$$(c_0 \geq \dots \geq c'_{2i} \geq c'_{2i+1} \geq c_{2i+2} = \dots = c_{2j-1} \geq c'_{2j} \geq c'_{2j+1} \geq \dots \geq c_{2p+1}).$$

(2) For each new orbit obtained in Step (1), repeat Step (1) on them until there are no more $c_{2j+1} = c_{2j+2}$'s.

Denote the collection of all such orbits by $Norm(\mathcal{O})$. They are precisely the orbits between $\overline{\mathcal{O}_\lambda}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$.

Example A.3. Let $\mathcal{O} = (8 \geq 6 \geq 6 \geq 4 \geq 4 \geq 2 \geq 2 \geq 0)$. Then the $\mathcal{P} \in Norm(\mathcal{O})$ are given by

$$(19) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & (86644220) & \\ & (88444220) \quad (86662220) \quad (866444) & \\ & (88552220) \quad (884444) \quad (866633) & \\ & (885533) & \end{array}$$

The following proposition gives an explicit construction of the irreducible modules $I(\mathcal{P}, \epsilon)$ with infinitesimal character given by (18) and associated variety $AV(I(\mathcal{P}, \epsilon)) = 1 \cdot \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ for all $\mathcal{P} \in Norm(\mathcal{O})$.

Proposition A.4. Let \mathcal{O} be an even orbit. For each $\mathcal{P} = (d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_{2s+1}) \in Norm(\mathcal{O})$, let

$$\mathcal{R}_0 := \{i \mid d_{2i-1} = d_{2i} \text{ is even}\}$$

and \mathcal{P}' is obtained from \mathcal{P} by removing the columns $d_{2i-1} = d_{2i}$ for $i \in \mathcal{R}_0$. Consider the induced modules

$$(20) \quad I(\mathcal{P}, \epsilon) := \text{Ind}_{\prod_{i \in \mathcal{R}_0} GL(d_{2i}) \times G'}^G (\det \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \det \boxtimes \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P}'; \epsilon)),$$

where each $\epsilon \in \overline{A}(\mathcal{P}')^\vee$ is an irreducible representation of the **Lusztig's quotient group** $\overline{A}(\mathcal{P}')$ of \mathcal{P}' , and $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P}'; \epsilon)$ are the special unipotent representations attached to the dual orbit $(\mathcal{P}')^\vee$.

Then all $I(\mathcal{P}, \epsilon)$ are irreducible having associated variety $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$. Moreover, this exhausts all irreducible representations with infinitesimal character given by Equation (18) and associated variety $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$.

We will prove the proposition in the next subsection.

Since the modules $\Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ constructed in Section 3 have infinitesimal character given by Equation (18) and associated variety $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, the last paragraph of the above Proposition says all composition factors of $\Pi_{1/2}(\mathcal{O})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ must be of the form given by Equation (20).

By construction, all the representations in Equation (20) are unitary. Furthermore, the lowest K'_c -types of the unipotent representations $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P}'; \epsilon)$ are diminutive, so the induced modules in Equation (20) also have diminutive lowest K_c -types. This observation is essential in the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Example A.5. Let $\mathcal{O} = (8 \geq 6 \geq 6 \geq 4 \geq 4 \geq 2 \geq 2 \geq 0)$ as above. Then the induced modules in Equation (20) are:

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{GL(6,4,2) \times G'}^G (\det^{\boxtimes 3} \boxtimes \mathcal{U}(80)) \\ & I_{GL(4,2) \times G'}^G (\det^{\boxtimes 2} \boxtimes \mathcal{U}(8840; \pm)) \quad I_{GL(6,2) \times G'}^G (\det^{\boxtimes 2} \boxtimes \mathcal{U}(8620; \pm)) \quad I_{GL(6,4) \times G'}^G (\det^{\boxtimes 2} \boxtimes \mathcal{U}(84; \pm)) \\ & I_{GL(2) \times G'}^G (\det \boxtimes \mathcal{U}(885520; \pm)) \quad I_{GL(4) \times G'}^G (\det \boxtimes \mathcal{U}(8844; \pm, \pm)) \quad I_{GL(6) \times G'}^G (\det \boxtimes \mathcal{U}(8633; \pm)) \\ & \mathcal{U}(885533; \pm) \end{aligned}$$

where $GL(n_1, \dots, n_k) := GL(n_1) \times \cdots \times GL(n_k)$. In particular, there are 17 irreducible representations in total, all of which are unitary.

A.2. Proof of Proposition A.4. Since \mathcal{O} is even, the infinitesimal character λ in Equation (18) is integral.

Given the integral infinitesimal character (λ, λ) in Equation (18), we study the **left cone representation** corresponding to the orbit \mathcal{O}_λ as described in the beginning of Section 4:

$$\overline{V}^L(w_0 w_\lambda) = \text{Ind}_{W(\lambda)}^W(\text{triv}),$$

where $W(\lambda)$ is the largest Weyl Levi subgroup of W fixing λ , and w_λ is the longest element in $W(\lambda)$.

For any special orbit \mathcal{P} , the number of irreducible representations with infinitesimal character (λ, λ) and associated variety $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ is given by

$$[\overline{V}^L(w_0w_\lambda) : V(\mathcal{P})] = [Ind_{W(\lambda)}^W(\text{triv}) : V(\mathcal{P})],$$

where $V(\mathcal{P})$ is the **left cell representation** corresponding to the orbit \mathcal{P} . In particular, each $V(\mathcal{P})$ contains $|\overline{A}(\mathcal{P})|$ distinct irreducible representations of W (see [BV2], Proposition 5.28 for instance).

The decomposition of $\overline{V}^L(w_0w_\lambda) = Ind_{W(\lambda)}^W(\text{triv})$ into irreducible representations can be easily computed using the Robinson-Schensted algorithm. In particular, one can check that for any even \mathcal{O} and any $\mathcal{P} \in Norm(\mathcal{O})$, each irreducible factor of $V(\mathcal{P})$ appears in $Ind_{W(\lambda)}^W(\text{triv})$ exactly once.

Example A.6. Consider $\mathcal{O} = (6, 4, 4, 2, 2, 0)$ with $\lambda = (322111100)$. Then

$$Norm(\mathcal{O}) = \{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P}_1 := (6, 4, 4, 4), \mathcal{P}_2 := (6, 6, 2, 2, 2, 0), \mathcal{O}_\lambda = (6, 6, 3, 3)\}$$

and $W(\lambda) = W(A_0) \times W(A_1) \times W(A_3) \times W(C_2)$.

The left cell representation for each $\mathcal{P} \in Norm(\mathcal{O})$ is given by the following:

- \mathcal{O} : (21×321) .
- \mathcal{P}_1 : $(22 \times 32), (43 \times 11)$.
- \mathcal{P}_2 : $(31 \times 311), (41 \times 211)$.
- \mathcal{O}_λ : $(32 \times 31), (42 \times 21)$.

Note that the Young diagrams are all described in terms of columns, i.e.

$$(43 \times 11) = \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \\ \hline \end{array} \right).$$

One can easily show that each irreducible representation above shows up exactly once in $Ind_{W(\lambda)}^W(\text{triv})$. In other words, there is only one way to fill up the Young diagrams into semi-standard Young tableaux using the coordinates of λ with the first row of the left Young diagram filled with zeros. For example, the only way to fill up (43×11) is given by

$$\left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 2 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline \square & \\ \hline \end{array} \right).$$

For an arbitrary orbit \mathcal{O} with even columns, there is a systematic way of describing the left cell representations for all $\mathcal{P} \in Norm(\mathcal{O})$ (c.f. [Lu, Chapter 4]) and the same results hold. We omit the details here.

Consequently, the number of irreducible modules with infinitesimal character (λ, λ) and associated variety $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ for each $\mathcal{P} \in Norm(\mathcal{O})$ is equal to $|\overline{A}(\mathcal{P})|$. By our definition of fundamental degeneration and $Norm(\mathcal{O})$, one can check that all $\mathcal{P} \in Norm(\mathcal{O})$ are special

orbits. Moreover, the number of irreducible representations of the form $I(\mathcal{P}, \epsilon)$ in Proposition A.4 is equal to $|\overline{A}(\mathcal{P}')|$ which is equal to $|\overline{A}(\mathcal{P})|$ by the construction of \mathcal{P}' from \mathcal{P} . So we are left to show that the induced module $I(\mathcal{P}, \epsilon)$ in Equation (20) is irreducible.

Let $\overline{X}(\mathcal{P}, \epsilon)$ be the irreducible subquotient of $I(\mathcal{P}, \epsilon)$ having the same lowest K_c -type. Then the lowest K_c -type of both modules are diminutive, and hence all diminutive K -types of $\overline{X}(\mathcal{P}, \epsilon)$ are *bottom-layer* in the sense of [SV]. Therefore, one can check, by [B1, Proposition 2.7] for instance, that

$$(21) \quad I(\mathcal{P}, \epsilon) \approx \overline{X}(\mathcal{P}, \epsilon).$$

In order to prove Proposition A.4, one needs to check the \approx in (21) is indeed an equality.

We proceed by induction on the closure ordering of the orbits in $Norm(\mathcal{O})$: Consider the smallest orbit $\mathcal{O}_\lambda \in Norm(\mathcal{O})$, then the $I(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \epsilon)$ are precisely the special unipotent representations $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda; \epsilon)$, which is irreducible.

Now consider any nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{P} \in Norm(\mathcal{O})$. By induction hypothesis, assume that for all $\mathcal{P}' \subsetneq \overline{\mathcal{P}}$, all the induced modules $I(\mathcal{P}', \epsilon') = \overline{X}(\mathcal{P}', \epsilon')$ are irreducible.

Suppose on the contrary that Φ is a composition factor of $I(\mathcal{P}, \epsilon)$ other than $\overline{X}(\mathcal{P}, \epsilon)$, then Φ must have associated variety $\overline{\mathcal{P}}' \subsetneq \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ since $AV(I(\mathcal{P}, \epsilon)) = 1 \cdot \overline{\mathcal{P}}$. Hence $\psi = \overline{X}(\mathcal{P}', \epsilon') = I(\mathcal{P}', \epsilon')$ for some ϵ' , which has diminutive lowest K_c -type V_ω . So we have

$$[I(\mathcal{P}, \epsilon) : V_\omega] \geq [\overline{X}(\mathcal{P}, \epsilon) : V_\omega] + [\psi : V_\omega] > [\overline{X}(\mathcal{P}, \epsilon) : V_\omega] \stackrel{(21)}{=} [I(\mathcal{P}, \epsilon) : V_\omega],$$

which gives a contradiction. So $I(\mathcal{P}, \epsilon) = \overline{X}(\mathcal{P}, \epsilon)$, and the result follows. \square

A.3. General Orbits. For general nilpotent orbits \mathcal{O} of Type C , the infinitesimal character λ in (18) is not integral, and is formed of integers and half-integers. The Kazhdan-Lusztig conjectures reduce this case to the one considered in the previous section for an *endoscopic group*. Let

$$\Delta(\lambda) := \{\alpha \in R : (\check{\alpha}, \alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

This root system is again of classical type. Then $\check{\Delta}(\lambda) = \{\check{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta(\lambda)\}$ forms a root system. As in [V6], for instance, the character theory of (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules at infinitesimal character (λ, λ) can be deduced via translation functors from a corresponding $(\lambda_{reg}, \lambda_{reg})$ with the same “*integral roots*”. The category of representations with this infinitesimal character decomposes into a sum of “*blocks*” each with a *coherent continuation action* of the Weyl group $W(\lambda)$ generated by the root reflections coming from $\Delta(\lambda)$. The Kazhdan-Lusztig conjectures for non-integral infinitesimal character state that the character theory of each block is equivalent to the character theory of an *endoscopic group* $G(\lambda)$ with roots $\delta(\lambda)$. The induced module in Proposition A.4 corresponding to an even nilpotent orbit. We omit further details.

REFERENCES

- [AB] J. Adams, D. Barbasch *The Reductive Dual Pairs Correspondence for Complex Groups*, J. of Func. An. vol 132, 1995
- [B1] D. Barbasch *The unitary dual for complex classical Lie groups*, Invent. Math., vol 96, 1989, pp 103-176

- [B2] ——— *Unipotent representations for real reductive groups*, Proceedings of ICM 1990, Springer Verlag, Tokyo, 1991, pp. 769-777
- [B3] ——— *Orbital integrals of nilpotent orbits*, volume in honor of Harish-Chandra, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 68 (2000) pp. 97-110.
- [B4] ——— *The unitary spherical spectrum for split classical groups*, Journal of Inst. of Math. Jussieu, 2010 9(2) pp 265-356
- [B5] ——— *Unipotent Representations and the Dual Pairs Correspondence*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 323, 2017
- [BSS] D. Barbasch, S. Sahi, B. Speh, *Degenerate series representations for $GL(2n, \mathbb{R})$ and Fourier Analysis* Symposia Mathematica, vol XXXI, 45-69, Rome 1988, Academic Press, London, 1990
- [BV] N. Berline, M. Vergne *Fourier transforms of orbits of the coadjoint representation*, Representation theory of reductive groups, Birkhäuser-Boston, Progress in Mathematics vol 40, 1983, pp. 53-69
- [BV1] D. Barbasch, D. Vogan *The local structure of characters*, J. Funct. Analysis, vol. 34 no. 1, 1980, pp. 27-55
- [BV2] D. Barbasch, D. Vogan *Unipotent representations of complex semisimple Lie groups*, Ann. of Math., 1985, vol 121, pp. 41-110
- [BV3] D. Barbasch, D. Vogan *Weyl group representations and nilpotent orbits*, Representation theory of reductive groups, Birkhäuser-Boston, Progress in mathematics vol 40, 1983, pp. 21-35
- [Br] R. Brylinski *Line bundles on the cotangent bundle of the flag variety*, Invent. Math., vol 113 Fasc. 1, 1993, pp. 1-20
- [BZ] I.N. Bernstein, A.V. Zelevensky *Induced representations of reductive p -adic groups. I*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 10 (1977), no. 4, 441-472.
- [CM] D. Collingwood and W. M. McGovern, *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*, Van Norstrand Reinhold Mathematics Series, 1993
- [G] W. Graham, *Functions on the universal cover of the principal nilpotent orbit*, Inv. Math. **108** (1992), 15-27.
- [HC1] Harish-Chandra, *Fourier transform on a semisimple Lie algebra II*, Amer. J. of Math., vol 79, 1957, pp. 193-257
- [HC2] Harish-Chandra, *Harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups*, Bulletin AMS. vol 76, 1970, pp. 529-551
- [J] J. C. Jantzen, *Nilpotent orbits in representation theory*, in volume Lie Theory, Lie algebras and Representations by J.-P Anker and B. Orsted, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, 2004
- [Kn] A. Knapp, *Representation Theory of Semisimple Groups*, Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, 1986
- [KnV] A. Knapp, D. Vogan, *Cohomological induction and unitary representations*, Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, 1995
- [KP] H-P. Kraft, C. Procesi *On the geometry of conjugacy classes in classical groups*, Comm. Math. Helv., 57, 1982, pp. 539-601
- [LMM] I. Losev, L. Mason-Brown, D. Matvieievskiy, *Unipotent Ideals and Harish-Chandra Bimodules*, arXiv:2108.03453
- [Lo] I. Losev., *Deformations of symplectic singularities and Orbit method for semisimple Lie algebras*, arXiv: 1605.00592
- [Lu] G. Lusztig, *Characters of Reductive Groups over a Finite Field*, Ann. Math. Studies, vol. 107, Princeton University Press, 1984
- [LS] G. Lusztig, N. Spaltenstein *Induced unipotent classes*, J. London Math. Soc., vol. 19, 1979, pp. 41-52
- [McG] M. McGovern *Rings of regular functions on nilpotent orbits and their covers*, Invent. Math., 97, 1989, pp. 209-217
- [Pz] T. Przebinda *The dual correspondence of infinitesimal characters*, Colloq. Math. 70 (1996), pp. 93-102
- [R] R. Rao *Orbital integrals in reductive groups*, Annals of Math., vol. 96 no. 3, 1972, pp. 505-510

- [Ro] W. Rossmann *Nilpotent orbital integrals in a real semisimple Lie algebra and representations of Weyl groups*, Operator algebras, unitary representations, enveloping algebras and invariant theory, Progress in Mathematics 92, Birkhäuser Boston, 1990, pp. 263-287
- [ScVi1] W. Schmid, K. Vilonen *Two geometric character formulas for reductive Lie groups*, J. Amer. math. Soc., vol. 11 no. 4, 1998, pp. 799-867
- [ScVi2] W. Schmid, K. Vilonen *Characteristic cycles and wave front cycles of representations of reductive groups*, Annals of Math. 151 (2000), pp. 1071 - 1118.
- [SV] B. Speh, D. Vogan *Reducibility of generalized principal series representations*, Acta Math. 145 (1980), pp. 227-299
- [V1] D. Vogan *The Algebraic Structure of the Representations of Semisimple Lie Groups I*, Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, Vol. 109, No. 1 (Jan., 1979), pp. 1-60
- [V2] D. Vogan *The orbit method and primitive ideals for semisimple Lie algebras*, Canad. Math. Soc. Conf. Proc. Vol. 5 (1986), pp. 281-316
- [V3] D. Vogan *The unitary dual of $GL(n)$ over an archimedean field*, Invent. Math. 83 (1986), pp. 449-505
- [V4] D. Vogan *Associated varieties and unipotent representations*, Harmonic Analysis on reductive groups, Progress in Mathematics vol. 101, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 1991, pp. 315-388
- [V5] D. Vogan *The method of coadjoint orbits for real reductive groups*, Representation Theory of Lie Groups, IAS/Park City Mathematics Series 8 (1999), pp. 179-238
- [V6] D. Vogan *Representation of Real Reductive Lie Groups*, Birkhauser, 1981
- [W] N. Wallach *Invariant differential operators on a reductive Lie algebra and Weyl group representations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 6 no. 4, 1993, pp. 779-816
- [Wo1] K. D. Wong, *Dixmier Algebras on Complex Classical Nilpotent Orbits and their Representation Theories*, Ph.D. Thesis, Cornell University, 2013
- [Wo2] K.D. Wong *Regular functions on symplectic spherical nilpotent orbits and their quantizations*, Represent. Theory 19 (2015), pp. 333-346
- [Wo3] K.D. Wong *Quantization of special symplectic nilpotent orbits and normality of their closures*, J. Algebra 462 (2016), pp. 37-53

(Barbasch) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NY 14853, U.S.A.
Email address: `barbasch@math.cornell.edu`

(Wong) SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG 518172, P. R. CHINA
Email address: `kayue.wong@gmail.com`