BOUND ON THE DIAMETER OF SPLIT METACYCLIC GROUPS
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Abstract. Let $G_{m,n,k} = Z_m \rtimes_k Z_n$ be the split metacyclic group, where $k$ is a unit modulo $n$. We derive an upper bound for the diameter of $G_{m,n,k}$ using an arithmetic parameter called the weight, which depends on $n$, $k$, and the order of $k$. As an application, we show how this would determine a bound on the diameter of an arbitrary metacyclic group.

1. Introduction

The diameter of a finite group $G$ with respect to a generating set $S$ is the graph diameter of the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, S)$ of $G$ with respect to $S$. Consider the semidirect product of the two cyclic groups $Z_m$ and $Z_n$ given by the presentation

$$G_{m,n,k} := Z_m \rtimes_k Z_n = \langle x, y \mid x^m = y^n = 1, x^{-1}yx = y^k \rangle,$$

where $Z_m = \langle x \rangle$, $Z_n = \langle y \rangle$, and $k \in Z_n^\times$ of order $a | m$, where $Z_n^\times$ denote the group of units of $Z_n$ with respect to multiplication. We define the diameter of $G_{m,n,k}$ (in symbols $\text{diam}(G_{m,n,k})$) to be the graph diameter of $\Gamma(G_{m,n,k}, \{x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}\})$.

The diameter of finite groups and their bounds have been widely studied, especially from the viewpoint of efficient communication networks (see [1, 2] and the references therein). In particular, the networks arising from the Cayley graphs of groups in the subfamily $\{G_{ck,c^2t,c^3t+1}\}$, also known in computer science parlance as super-toroids, have been extensively analyzed [11-13]. For example, in [5, 6], it was shown that for $c \geq 8$, $\text{diam}(G_{ck,c^2t,c^3t+1}) = \lfloor ck/2 \rfloor + \lfloor c/2 \rfloor$. However, to our knowledge, the diameter bounds for arbitrary groups in $\{G_{m,n,k}\}$ have not been studied. This problem also has connections with the well known degree-diameter problem pertaining to this family of graphs (see [7, 9, 12]). This is the main motivation behind undertaking such an analysis in this paper.

Every element of $g \in G_{m,n,k}$ has the unique expression as $g = x^a y^b$. A path $P$ from 1 to an element $g \in G_{m,n,k}$ would take the form $g = \prod_{i=1}^t x^{a_i} y^{b_i}$. Such a path is said to be reduced if $a_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, for $2 \leq i \leq t$, and $b_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, for $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$. We define $t$ to be the syllable of the reduced path $P$ (as above), and $\sum_{i=1}^t |a_i| + |b_i|$ to be its length $l(P)$. Denoting by $P_g$, the collection of all reduced paths in $G$ from 1 to $g$, we have $\|x^ay^b\| = \min\{l(P) : P \in P_g\}$, where $\|\|$ is the usual word norm in $G_{m,n,k}$. Thus, the diameter of $G_{m,n,k}$ is given by

$$\text{diam}(G_{m,n,k}) = \max\{\|x^ay^b\| : 0 \leq a \leq m - 1, 0 \leq b \leq n - 1\}.$$

It is apparent that $[m/2] \leq \text{diam}(G_{m,n,k}) \leq [m/2] + [n/2]$. In reality, $\text{diam}(G_{m,n,k}) = [m/2] + \delta$, where $\delta$ is significantly smaller than $[n/2]$. For example, we can show that $\text{diam}(G_{60,61,2}) = 31$ (see Section 5). In order to obtain a better bound for $\text{diam}(G_{m,n,k})$, we begin by noting that

$$\prod_{i=1}^t x^{a_i} y^{b_i} = x^{a_1 + \ldots + a_t} y^{b_1 k^{a_2 + \ldots + a_t} + \ldots + b_t k^{a_1 + \ldots + a_{t-1}} + b_t}.$$
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Consequently, the problem of computing \( \|x^n y^k\| \) reduces to the following nonlinear optimization problem in the pair of rings \((\mathbb{Z}_m, \mathbb{Z}_n)\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{minimize} & \quad \sum_{i=1}^{t} |a_i| + |b_i| \quad \text{ (in } \mathbb{Z}), \\
\text{subject to} & \quad a_1 + \ldots + a_t \equiv a \quad \text{ (mod } m), \\
& \quad b_1 k^{a_2 + \ldots + a_1} + \ldots + b_{t-1} k^{a_t} + b_t \equiv b \quad \text{ (mod } n).
\end{align*}
\]

(†)

Fix a positive integer \( n \geq 3 \), and consider a unit \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times \) of multiplicative order \( \text{ord}(k) = \alpha \geq 2 \). For \( 0 \leq i \leq \alpha - 1 \) and an integer \( 1 \leq \lambda \leq [n/2] \), a \( k \)-interval is a set of the form \( A(\lambda, i) = \{ak^i : -\lambda \leq a \leq \lambda \} \). We further reduce the problem of solving (†) to the problem of determining the least positive integer \( \lambda = \lambda_0 + \ldots + \lambda_{\alpha - 1} \) so that

\[
\mathbb{Z}_n = \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} A(\lambda_i, i).
\]

We will call this the problem of covering the ring \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) by sum sets of \( k \)-intervals. By showing that the solution to this covering problem in \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) depends on two parameters, namely \( \text{wt}(n, k; \alpha) \) and \( \text{deg}(n, k; \alpha) \) (Section 2), we obtain our main result (Theorem 4.4), which gives a bound for \( \text{diam}(G_{m,n,k}) \).

**Theorem 1** (Main theorem). Let \( G_{m,n,k} \) be the split metacyclic group given by the presentation

\[
G_{m,n,k} = \langle x, y : x^n = 1 = y^k, x^{-1}yx = y^k \rangle,
\]

where \( k \) has order \( \alpha \) in the group \( \mathbb{Z}_n^\times \) of units. If \( \alpha \) is even and \( k^{\alpha/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{n} \), then

\[
\text{diam}(G_{m,n,k}) \leq \begin{cases} 
\lfloor m/2 \rfloor + \text{wt}(n, k; \alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \neq m, \\
\lfloor m/2 \rfloor + \text{wt}(n, k; \alpha) + \text{deg}(n, k; \alpha), & \text{if } \alpha = m.
\end{cases}
\]

Based on our observations, we believe that \( \text{diam}(G_{m,n,k}) \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + \text{wt}(n, k; \alpha) \) should hold true, irrespective of the conditions on \( m, n, k, \) and \( \alpha \). As a direct application of our main result, we obtain an upper bound for the diameter of an arbitrary metacyclic group (Corollary 4.5).

In practice, it is difficult to compute \( \text{wt}(n, k; \alpha) \), or provide a reasonable upper bound for it. Nevertheless, we show that for an odd prime \( p \), the growth of \( \text{wt}(p^n, k; \alpha) \) is at most linear in \( n \) (Corollary 3.7).

**Theorem 2.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime and \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \) with \( \text{ord}(k) = p^{n-1}(p-1) \). Denote \( \text{wt}(p, s; p-1) \) by \( \text{wt}(p) \), where \( s \) is the image of \( k \) under the natural surjection \( \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \). Then,

\[
\text{wt}(p) \leq \text{wt}(p^n, k; p^{n-1}(p-1)) \leq 2n\text{wt}(p).
\]

A similar bound is obtained for the case when \( p = 2 \). Finally, we derive an upper bound of \( \text{wt}(p) \), when \( p \) is an odd prime (Theorem 3.1).

**Theorem 3.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime, and let \( s \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \) with \( \text{ord}(s) = p - 1 \). Then,

\[
\text{wt}(p) \leq \begin{cases} 
\frac{p^3 - 1}{p+1} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\
\frac{p^4 - 3}{p+5} & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}.
\end{cases}
\]

2. Some combinatorics pertaining to the covering problem in \( \mathbb{Z}_n \)

We will now introduce some formal notations to make the problem of covering of \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) more precise. Fix a positive integer \( n \geq 3 \), and consider a unit \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times \) of multiplicative order \( \text{ord}(k) = \alpha \geq 2 \).
Definition 2.1. Given a pair, \( \mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r) \) and \( \mathbf{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_r) \), of sequences of integers such that \( \alpha - 1 \geq i_1 > i_2 > \ldots > i_r \geq 0 \) and \( \lambda_j \geq 0 \), for \( 1 \leq j \leq r \), we define
\[
\Omega(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{\lambda}) = \{ b_1 k^{i_1} + \ldots + b_{r-1} k^{i_{r-1}} + b_r k^{i_r} \pmod{n} : |b_i| \leq \lambda_i, 1 \leq i \leq r \}.
\]
Sometimes we write \( \Omega(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{\lambda}) \) as \( \Omega(\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{i}), \mathbf{\lambda}) \), where \( \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{i}) = (k^{i_1}, \ldots, k^{i_r}) \). We will refer to \( i_1 \) as the degree, and the smallest nonzero number among the \( i_k \), for \( 1 \leq k \leq r \) will be called the co-degree of the sequence \( \mathbf{i} \), which we denote by \( \text{deg}(\mathbf{i}) \) and \( \text{codec}g(\mathbf{i}) \), respectively. The integer \( r \geq 1 \) will be referred as the length of \( \mathbf{i} \).

Since \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times \), we have \( k\mathbb{Z}_n = \mathbb{Z}_n \), and so for each sequence \( \mathbf{i} \), there exists a finite sequence \( \mathbf{\lambda} \pmod{n} \) such that \( \Omega(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{\lambda}) = \mathbb{Z}_n \). This leads us to the following definition.

Definition 2.2. Given a pair, \( \mathbf{i} \) and \( \mathbf{\lambda} \) of sequences as in Definition 2.1, we define:
(i) The weight of \( \Omega = \Omega(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{\lambda}) \) as \( \text{wt}(\Omega) := \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \ldots + \lambda_r \).
(ii) The weight of \( (n, k) \) with respect \( \mathbf{i} \) as \( \text{wt}(n, k; \mathbf{i}) := \min\{ \text{wt}(\Omega(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{\lambda})) : \Omega(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{\lambda}) = \mathbb{Z}_n \} \).
(iii) The weight of \( (n, k) \) of level \( r \) as \( \text{wt}(n, k; r) := \min\{ \text{wt}(n, k; \mathbf{i}) : \alpha - 1 \geq i_1 > i_2 > \ldots > i_r \geq 0 \} \).

Remark 2.3. From the definition of \( \text{wt}(n, k; \alpha) \), it is clear that \( \text{wt}(n, k; \alpha) = \text{wt}(n, k'; \alpha) \), whenever \( k \) and \( k' \) generate the same cyclic subgroup of \( \mathbb{Z}_n^\times \).

In our calculations, we will require sequences \( \mathbf{i} \) with \( i_r = 0 \), which we call reduced sequences.

Definition 2.4. Given a sequence \( \mathbf{i} \) as in Definition 2.1, the complement of \( \mathbf{i} \) is the sequence \( \mathbf{i}' = (j_1, \ldots, j_r) \) defined by \( \alpha - 1 \geq j_1 = \alpha - (i_1 - i_2) > j_2 = \alpha - (i_1 - i_3) > \ldots > j_{r-1} = \alpha - (i_1 - i_r) > j_r = 0 \).

In the following proposition, we show that \( I(\mathbf{i}) \) is a reduced sequence of length \( r \) having the same weight as \( \mathbf{i} \).

Proposition 2.5. Consider sequences \( \mathbf{i} \) and \( \mathbf{\lambda} \) as in Definition 2.1. If \( \Omega(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{\lambda}) = \mathbb{Z}_n \), then
(i) \( \Omega(I(\mathbf{i}), \mathbf{\lambda}') = \mathbb{Z}_n \), where \( \mathbf{\lambda}' = (\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \ldots, \lambda_r, \lambda_1) \), and
(ii) \( \text{wt}(n, k; I(\mathbf{i})) \).

Proof. Given any \( b \pmod{n} \), there exists \( b_1, \ldots, b_r \) such that \( |b_i| \leq \lambda_i \), for \( 1 \leq s \leq r \), and \( b = b_1 k^{i_1} + b_2 k^{i_2} + \ldots + b_{r-1} k^{i_{r-1}} + b_r k^{i_r} \). So, we have
\[
b k^{\alpha - i_1} = b_1 + b_2 k^{\alpha - (i_1 - i_2)} + \ldots + b_r k^{\alpha - (i_1 - i_r)} \in \Omega(I(\mathbf{i}), \mathbf{\lambda}') \).
Hence, \( k^{\alpha - i_1} \Omega(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{\lambda}) \subseteq \Omega(I(\mathbf{i}), \mathbf{\lambda}') \), and as \( k \) is a unit, we have \( k^{\alpha - i_1} \Omega(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{\lambda}) = \mathbb{Z}_n \), which establishes (i).

For (ii), note that if \( \text{wt}(n, k; I(\mathbf{i})) = \lambda \), then \( \Omega(I(\mathbf{i}), \mathbf{\lambda}) = \mathbb{Z}_n \), for some sequence \( \mathbf{\lambda}' = (\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \ldots, \lambda_r, \lambda_1) \) with \( \lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \ldots + \lambda_r \) being the least possible value.

As seen above, we have \( \Omega(I(\mathbf{i}), \mathbf{\lambda}') = \mathbb{Z}_n \), and furthermore \( \mathbf{\lambda}' = (\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \ldots, \lambda_r, \lambda_1) \) yields the same weight \( \lambda \). Thus, we have \( \text{wt}(n, k; I(\mathbf{i})) \leq \text{wt}(n, k; \mathbf{i}) \).

Suppose that \( \mu = \text{wt}(n, k; I(\mathbf{i})) < \text{wt}(n, k; \mathbf{i}) \). Then there exists a sequence \( \mu = (\mu_2, \ldots, \mu_r, \mu_1) \) such that \( \Omega(I(\mathbf{i}), \mu) = \mathbb{Z}_n \). Multiplying by \( k^\mu \), we get \( \Omega(I(\mathbf{i}), \mu') = \mathbb{Z}_n \), where \( \mu' = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_r) \). As this contradicts the minimality of \( \text{wt}(n, k; \mathbf{i}) \), (iii) follows. \( \square \)
Lemma 2.6 implies that it suffices to consider only reduced sequences while computing \(wt(n, k; r)\).

**Remark 2.6.** For any length \(r \leq \alpha\), we can see that \(wt(n, k; r) \leq [n/2]\), by considering the sequence \(\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r)\), where \(\lambda_i = [n/2]\) for a fixed \(i\), and \(\lambda_j = 0\), for the indices \(j \neq i\).

**Definition 2.7.** Given a sequence \(\hat{i} : \alpha - 1 \geq i_1 > i_2 > \ldots > i_r \geq 0\), a sequence \(\hat{j} = \alpha - 1 \geq j_1 > j_2 > \ldots > j_q \geq 0\) of length \(q \geq r\) is said to be finer than \(\hat{i}\) (in symbols \(\hat{i} \preceq \hat{j}\)), if it is obtained from \(\hat{i}\) by adding one or more terms.

**Remark 2.8.** Let \(P(\alpha - 1)\) denote the collection of all sequences of length \(\leq \alpha\) as in Definition 2.1, and let \(P'(\alpha - 1)\) be the subcollection of all reduced sequences. Note that \(\preceq\) defines a partial order on \(P(\alpha - 1)\) under which \(P'(\alpha - 1)\) is a subposet of \(P(\alpha - 1)\).

**Proposition 2.9.** Consider the posets \((P(\alpha - 1), \preceq)\) and \((P'(\alpha - 1), \preceq)\) as in Remark 2.8. Then:

(i) For any two elements \(\hat{i}, \hat{j} \in P(\alpha - 1)\) (resp. \(P'(\alpha - 1))\), there exists \(\hat{x} \in P(\alpha - 1)\) (resp. \(P'(\alpha - 1))\) such that \(\hat{i} \preceq \hat{x}\) and \(\hat{j} \preceq \hat{x}\).

(ii) \((P'(\alpha - 1), \preceq)\) has a maximal element \(\Delta\), and a minimal element \(\delta\) given by

\[
\Delta = (\alpha - 1, \alpha - 2, \ldots, 1, 0),
\]

\[
\delta = (\alpha - 1, 0)
\]

of lengths are \(\alpha\) and 2, respectively.

(iii) The map \(\Psi : (P(\alpha - 1), \preceq) \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}, \leq)\) defined by

\[
\Psi(\hat{i}) := wt(n, k; \hat{i})
\]

is an order reversing function, where \((\mathbb{N}, \leq)\) is regarded as a linearly ordered poset with respect to natural order.

**Proof.** Given sequences \(\hat{i}, \hat{j} \in P(\alpha - 1)\) (resp. \(P'(\alpha - 1))\), consider the sequence \(\hat{x}\) obtained by taking the union of elements in \(\hat{i}\) and \(\hat{j}\), rearranged in decreasing order. Then, clearly \(\hat{i} \preceq \hat{x}\) and \(\hat{j} \preceq \hat{x}\), from which (i) and (ii) follow.

For showing (iii), consider sequences \(\hat{i} \preceq \hat{j}\) with lengths \(r < s\) such that \(wt(n, k; \hat{i}) = \lambda\) is realized by a sequence \(\hat{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_s)\). Define \(\hat{\mu} = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_s)\) by \(\mu_i = \lambda_i\), if \(j_i\) is an element in \(\hat{i}\), and \(\mu_i = 0\) otherwise. Then \(\lambda = \mu_1 + \ldots + \mu_s\) and \(\Omega(\hat{j}; \hat{\mu}) = \mathbb{Z}_n\). Hence, we have that \(wt(n, k; \hat{j}) \leq \lambda = wt(n, k; \hat{i})\), and (iii) follows. \(\square\)

**Remark 2.10.** Clearly, \(wt(n, k; \delta) = [n/2]\), and the only sequence of length \(\alpha\) is the maximal element \(\Delta\). Thus, \(wt(n, k; \Delta) = wt(n, k; \alpha)\), which shows the importance of analyzing \(wt(n, k; \Delta)\).

**Definition 2.11.** A sequence \(\hat{i} \in P'(\alpha - 1)\) is called a minimal prime sequence realizing \(wt(n, k; \alpha)\) if:

(i) \(\hat{i} \in S(\alpha) = \{\hat{i} \in P'(\alpha - 1) : wt(n, k; \hat{i}) = wt(n, k; \alpha)\}\), and

(ii) \(\text{length}(\hat{i}) = \min\{\text{length}(\hat{j}) : \hat{j} \in S(\alpha)\}\)

We denote the smallest possible degree of a minimal prime sequence realizing \(wt(n, k; \alpha)\) by \(\deg(n, k; \alpha)\).

**Example 2.12.** When \((n, k) = (30, 7)\), \(\text{ord}(7) = 4 \in \mathbb{Z}_{30}^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4\). We consider the sequences \(\hat{i}_1 : 1, 0, \hat{i}_2 : 2, 0\), and \(\hat{i}_3 : 3, 0\). For each sequence \(\hat{i}_k\), in Table 1 below, we list some possible choices of a sequence \(\hat{\Delta} : \lambda_1, \lambda_2\) (as in Definition 2.11), and the values of \(wt(\Omega(\hat{i}_k; \hat{\Delta}))\).
A direct calculation (using software written for Mathematica 11) shows that \( wt(30, 7; 4) = 5 \). Hence, \( i_1 \) and \( i_3 \) are minimal prime sequences that realize this weight, and \( S(4) = \{(3, 0), (1, 0)\} \).

This example shows that in practice it is difficult to compute \( wt(n, k; \alpha) \) in most situations. However, we will now obtain reasonable bounds on \( wt(n, k; \alpha) \) in case \( n \) is a prime power.

### 3. Bounds on the weight of a prime power

It is well known that when \( p \) is an odd prime, for \( n \geq 1 \), we have \( \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^n-1} \) (mod 4), and for \( n \geq 2 \), we have \( \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-2}} \). For \( n \geq 2 \), let \( \varphi_n \) denote the natural quotient ring homomorphism \( \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^n-1}^\times \). If \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}^\times \) has order \( p^n-1(p-1) \), then \( \varphi_n(k) \) generates the cyclic group \( \mathbb{Z}_{p^n-1}^\times \). Denoting the epimorphism \( \varphi_n|_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}^\times} \) by \( \tilde{\varphi}_n \), for an arbitrary unit \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}^\times \), we have

\[
\text{ord}(\tilde{\varphi}_n(k)) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\text{ord}(k)}{p}, & \text{if } p \mid \text{ord}(k), \\
\text{ord}(k), & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

When \( n \geq 1 \), we derive bounds for \( wt(p^n, k; \text{ord}(k)) \) in terms of the \( wt(p^n, \tilde{\varphi}_n(k); \text{ord}(\tilde{\varphi}_n(k))) \). We first consider the case when \( n = 1 \).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime, and let \( s \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \) with \( \text{ord}(s) = p - 1 \).

(i) If \( p \equiv 1 \) (mod 4), then \( wt(p, s; p-1) \leq \frac{p+3}{4} \). Moreover, there exists a sequence \( i_1 \) such that \( \text{deg}(i_1) = \frac{p-1}{4} \) and \( wt(p, s; i_1) \leq \frac{p+3}{4} \).

(ii) If \( p \equiv 3 \) (mod 4), then \( wt(p, s; p-1) \leq \frac{p+3}{4} \). Moreover, there exists a sequence \( i_1 \) such that \( \text{deg}(i_1) = \frac{p-3}{4} \) and \( wt(p, s; i_1) \leq \frac{p+3}{4} \).

**Proof.** We present a proof only for (i), as (ii) will follow from similar arguments. Consider the list of units \( A = \{s^1, s^{i-1}, \ldots, s, 1\} \), where \( i_1 = \frac{i-1}{4} - 1 \). Since

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i</th>
<th>( \lambda_1 )</th>
<th>( \lambda_2 )</th>
<th>( \text{wt}(\Omega(i, \lambda)) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4, 5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6, ..., 14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7, ..., 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5, ..., 14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6, ..., 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3, ..., 7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5, ..., 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8, ..., 14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9, ..., 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Some computations of \( \text{wt}(\Omega(i, \lambda)) \).
\( s^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \), the set

\[
A \cup -A = \{ s^j : 0 \leq j \leq \frac{p-1}{4} - 1 \text{ or } \frac{p-1}{2} \leq j \leq \frac{p-1}{2} + i \}
\]

comprises exactly half of the elements of \( \mathbb{Z}_p^* \), that is, \( |A \cup -A| = \frac{p-1}{2} \). Now let \( s^\tau \not\in A \cup -A \). For every \( s^j \in A \cup -A \), there are \( \frac{p-1}{2} \) distinct elements among the \( s^\tau \) and \( s^j \), none of which is equal to \( s^\tau \). Since there are only \( \frac{p-1}{2} - 1 \) elements outside \( A \cup -A \) other than \( s^\tau \), one of these elements must be from \( A \cup -A \). Hence, there exists \( s^{\tau_1} \in A \cup -A \) such that \( s^\tau = s^j + s^{\tau_1} \).

Suppose that \( j = j_1 \). Then write \( s^\tau = s^j - (s^{\tau_1}) = s^j - s^{\tau_1 + i} \). If \( s^j \in A \), then \( s^{\tau_1 + i} \in -A \). Otherwise, if \( s^j \in -A \), then we have \( s^{j+\frac{p-1}{2}} \in A \), except when \( j = \frac{p-1}{2} \). In this particular case, we have \( s^\tau = -2 \), which implies that \( \Omega(\frac{p-1}{2}) = \mathbb{Z}_p \).

\[ \Omega = (i_1, i_1 - 1, \ldots, 1, 0) \text{ and } \lambda = (1, \ldots, 1, 2). \]

**Remark 3.2.** Note that the only difficulty in the proof of Theorem \( \ref{thm:split} \) was to represent \( s^\tau = -2 \not\in A \cup -A \). But this situation does not arise when \( 2 \in A \cup -A \), in which case we have \( \lambda = (1, \ldots, 1) \), and we obtain the following slightly improved bound

\[
\omega(p, s; p-1) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{p-1}{4}, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \text{ and} \\ \frac{p+1}{4}, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}
\]

**Remark 3.3.** One might also consider applying a generalization of the Cauchy-Davenport theorem (\cite[Theorem 2.3]{Davenport}) in the proof of Theorem \( \ref{thm:split} \). However, this would yield the bound \( \omega(p, s; p-1) \leq \frac{p-1}{4} \), which is significantly weaker than the one we have derived.

**Definition 3.4.** For a prime \( p \) and \( s \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* \) with \( \text{ord}(s) = p - 1 \) we define

\[
\omega(p) := \omega(p, s; p-1)
\]

From the discussion after definition \( 2.2 \) it follows that \( \omega(p) \) does not depend on the choice of \( s \).

**Theorem 3.5** (An upper bound). For a fixed prime \( p \) and \( n > 1 \), consider \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_n^* \) with \( \text{ord}(k) = m \), and \( k_0 = \tilde{\varphi}_n(k) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* \), with \( \text{ord}(k_0) = m_0 \). Then,

\[
\omega(p^n, k; m) \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \omega(p^{n-1}, k_0; m_0) + 2\omega(p), & \text{if } p \mid m, \text{ and} \\ \omega(p^{n-1}, k_0; m_0) + \lfloor p/2 \rfloor, & \text{if } p \text{ odd and } p \nmid m. \end{array} \right.
\]

**Proof.** First note that while \( p = 2 \), we must have \( 2 \mid m \) and hence these are the only cases. Let \( \lambda' = \omega(p^{n-1}, \tilde{\varphi}_n(k); m_0) \) be realized by a sequence \( \lambda'_1, \ldots, \lambda'_{m_0} \) such that every \( a' \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-1}} \) is expressed as

\[
a' \equiv b'_1\tilde{\varphi}_n(k)^{m_0-1} + b'_2\tilde{\varphi}_n(k)^{m_0-2} + \ldots + b'_{m_0-1}\tilde{\varphi}_n(k) + b'_{m_0} \pmod{p^{n-1}}
\]

for integers \( b'_j \) with \( |b'_j| \leq \lambda'_j \). Then every \( a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \) can be expressed as

\[
a \equiv b'_1k_{m_0-1} + b'_2k_{m_0-2} + \ldots + b'_{m_0-1}k + b'_{m_0} + z_a \pmod{p^n},
\]

with \( |b'_j| \leq \lambda'_j \), and \( z_a \in \ker(\varphi_n) \), which depends on \( a \). Note that

\[
\ker(\varphi_n) = \{ \xi p^{n-1} : 0 \leq \xi \leq p-1 \} \text{ and } \ker(\tilde{\varphi}_n) = \{ k^{m_0} : -1 \leq k \leq p-1 \}.
\]

If \( p \mid m \), then we have \( m_0 = m/p \), and so

\[
\ker(\varphi_n) = \{ k^{m_0} : 0 \leq \tau \leq p-1 \}.
\]
Corollary 3.7. The following is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1.

Let $p$ be a prime.

(i) If $p$ is odd and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, with $\text{ord}(k) = p^{n-1}(p-1)$, then

\[ \text{wt}(p) \leq \text{wt}(p^n, k; p^{n-1}(p-1)) \leq 2\nu(t(p)), \]

where $\epsilon(p) = +1$, if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and is $-1$, otherwise.

(ii) If $p = 2$, then

\[ \text{wt}(p^n, k; 2^{n-1}(2^{n-1}-1)) \leq 2\nu(t(p^{n-1}), 2^{n-1}) \]

where $\epsilon(p) = +1$, if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and is $-1$, otherwise.
(ii) If \( p = 2, \ n \geq 4, \) and \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n \) with \( \text{ord}(k) = 2^n - 2 \), then
\[
\gamma(k) \leq \text{wt}(2^n, k; 2^n - 2) \leq \gamma(k) + 2(n - 1),
\]
where \( \gamma(k) = 4 \), if \( k^{2^n - 3} \equiv -1 \mod 2^n \), and is 2, otherwise.

Based on our observations, we believe that the following conjectures have to hold true. However, this will require much deeper combinatorics to establish them.

**Conjecture 1.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime. Then there exists a constant \( C(p) \approx 1 \) such that
\[
\text{wt}(p) \leq C(p) \log_2(p).
\]

**Conjecture 2.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime and \( n > 1 \). Let \( \varphi_n : \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{Z}_{p^n - 1} \) denote the natural surjective morphism. Suppose \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}^\times \) with \( \text{ord}(k) = pm0 \), and \( k_0 = \varphi_n(k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n - 1}^\times \) with \( \text{ord}(k_0) = m_0 \). Then,
\[
\text{wt}(p^{n-1}, k; m_0) + \text{wt}(p - 1) \leq \text{wt}(p^n, k; pm_0) \leq \text{wt}(p^{n-1}, k_0; m_0) + \text{wt}(p).
\]

For a sequence \( i \) realizing the weight corresponding to a unit of maximum order in \( \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}^\times \), we have:
\[
\deg(i) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{p-1}{2} + (n-1)p - 1, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \mod 4, \\ \frac{p-2}{2} + (n-1)p, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \mod 4. \end{cases}
\]

The final result in this section gives a bound on the degree of a minimal prime sequence realizing \( \text{wt}(n, k; \alpha) \), when \( \alpha \) is even and \( k^{\alpha/2} \equiv -1 \mod n \).

**Proposition 3.8.** Let \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times \) with \( \text{ord}(k) = \alpha > 1 \), where \( \alpha \) is even and \( k^{\alpha/2} \equiv -1 \mod n \). Then \( \deg(n, k; \alpha) \leq \alpha/2 \).

**Proof.** We know from Remark 2.10 that \( \text{wt}(n, k; \Delta) = \text{wt}(n, k; \alpha) \), where \( \Delta : \alpha - 1, \ldots, 1, 0 \). For this \( \Delta \), let \( \text{wt}(n, k; \alpha) \) be realized by a sequence \( \Omega(i, \lambda) : \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\alpha \), so that each element of \( \Omega(i, \lambda) \) has a representation of the form
\[
b_1k^{\alpha-1} + b_2k^{\alpha-2} + \ldots + b_{\alpha-1}k + b_{\alpha},
\]
where \( |b_i| \leq \lambda_i \). Replacing the powers \( k^j \), for \( \alpha - 1 \geq j \geq \alpha/2 \), in this representation by \( -k^{j-\alpha/2} \), we obtain an expression of the form
\[
(b_{\alpha/2+1} - b_1)k^{\alpha/2-1} + (b_{\alpha/2+2} - b_2)k^{\alpha/2-2} + \ldots + (b_{\alpha-1} - b_{\alpha-2-1})k + (b_{\alpha} - b_{\alpha/2}),
\]
which represents an element of \( \Omega(i', \lambda') \), where \( i' : \alpha/2 - 1, \ldots, 1, 0 \) and \( \lambda' : \lambda_{\alpha/2+1} + \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\alpha + \lambda_{\alpha/2} \). The result now follows from the definition of a minimal prime sequence realizing \( \text{wt}(n, k; \alpha) \).

4. **Bounding the Diameter of Split Metacyclic Groups**

There are two key steps involved in solving our main optimization problem (†). In the first step (first reduction), we restrict our optimization to the component ring \( \mathbb{Z}_n \). In the second step (second reduction), we build on the results of the first step towards arriving at a solution to (†). We fix the notation that \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times \) with \( \text{ord}(k) = \alpha \), and regard the elements of \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) as formal sums
\[
w(b, c) = b_1k^{c_1} + b_2k^{c_2} + \ldots + b_\ell k^{c_\ell},
\]
where \( b = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_\ell) \) and \( c = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_\ell) \) are two arbitrary integer sequences. Further, we will abuse notation by using the same expression of \( w(b, c) \) while treating the sum as an element of \( \mathbb{Z}_n \). The first reduction step (Proposition 1.2) will connect these to the sequences which we have introduced in Definition 2.1.
Definition 4.1. The formal sum \( w(b; \omega) \) is called \textit{primal}, if for any \( c \equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha} \), there is at most one non-zero entry among \( b_t \) with \( c_t \equiv c \pmod{\alpha} \). We call the number \( |b_1| + |b_2| + \ldots + |b_t| \) as the \textit{absolute coefficient sum} of \( w(b; \omega) \), which we denote by \( \text{acs}(w(b; \omega)) \). The \textit{ordered sequence relative to} \( w(b; \omega) \) is defined to be \( S(w(b; \omega)) = (i_1, \ldots, i_r) \) such that
\[
\alpha - 1 \geq i_1 > \ldots > i_r \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \{k^{i_1}, \ldots, k^{i_r}\} = \{k^{c_1}, \ldots, k^{c_t}\}.
\]

For example, the sum \( 1 \cdot k^2 + 0 \cdot k^3 + 1 \cdot k + 1 \) is primal, while \( 2 \cdot k^2 - 1 \cdot k^2 + 1 \cdot k + 1 \) is not, and the ordered sequence related to both of them is \( (2, 1, 0) \). Our first step of reduction process involves reducing the absolute coefficient sum of \( w(b; \omega) \) without changing the value of \( w(b; \omega) \pmod{n} \), and the powers \( k^{c_1}, k^{c_2}, \ldots, k^{c_t} \), while retaining their multiplicities. For example we want to reduce \( 2 \cdot k^2 - 1 \cdot k^2 + 1 \cdot k + 1 \) to \( 1 \cdot k^2 + 0 \cdot k^3 + 1 \cdot k + 1 \). Keeping the same powers of \( k \) with zero coefficient in the reduction leads to the idea of connecting the main optimization problem to the problem of covering finite rings by powers of the same unit.

**Proposition 4.2** (First reduction step). Consider the formal sum
\[
w(b; \omega) = b_1 k^{c_1} + b_2 k^{c_2} + \ldots + b_t k^{c_t},
\]
and let \( \tilde{s} = (i_1, \ldots, i_r) \) be the (ordered) sequence with \( \alpha - 1 \geq i_1 > \ldots > i_r \geq 0 \) and \( \{k^{i_1}, \ldots, k^{i_r}\} = \{k^{c_1}, \ldots, k^{c_t}\} \). Then there exists a sequence \( b' = (b'_1, b'_2, \ldots, b'_r) \) of integers such that
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(i) } & w(b'_1; \omega) \text{ is primal, as a formal element,} \\
\text{(ii) } & w(b'_1; \omega) \equiv w(b; \omega) \pmod{n}, \\
\text{(iii) } & \text{acs}(w(b'_1; \omega)) \leq \text{acs}(w(b; \omega)), \text{ and} \\
\text{(iv) } & \text{acs}(w(b'_1; \omega)) \leq \text{wt}(n; k; \tilde{s}).
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** We first write
\[
w(b; \omega) = b_1 k^{c_1} + b_2 k^{c_2} + \ldots + b_t k^{c_t} = s_1 k^{i_1} + s_2 k^{i_2} + \ldots + s_r k^{i_r},
\]
where \( \alpha - 1 \geq i_1 > i_2 > \ldots > i_r \geq 0 \) with \( r \) being the number of distinct powers of \( k \) in the formal sum on the left, and \( s_j = \sum_{c_t \equiv j} (\pmod{\alpha}) b_t \). Then any sequence \( b'_1, b'_2, \ldots, b'_r \) obtained by replacing exactly one of the elements in each collection \( \{b_t : c_t \equiv j (\pmod{\alpha})\} \) by \( s_j \), and the remaining by \( 0 \) satisfies conditions (i) - (ii). We obtain (iii) by applying the triangle inequality to the expression for \( s_j \). Finally, if \( \text{acs}(w(b'_1; \omega)) > \text{wt}(n; k; \tilde{s}) \), then by definition of \( \text{wt}(n; k; \tilde{s}) \), we may replace the sequence \( s_1, \ldots, s_r \) by a sequence \( s'_1, \ldots, s'_r \) so that
\[
s_1 k^{i_1} + s_2 k^{i_2} + \ldots + s_r k^{i_r} \equiv s'_1 k^{i_1} + s'_2 k^{i_2} + \ldots + s'_r k^{i_r} \pmod{n},
\]
where \( |s'_1| + \ldots + |s'_r| \leq \text{wt}(n; k; \tilde{s}) < \text{acs}(w(b'_1; \omega)) \). Thus, replacing the terms \( s_j \) by \( s'_j \), and then reconstructing the sequence \( b'_1; \omega \) yields (iv). \( \square \)

At this point we need to clarify the requirement of introducing the concept of formal sum. Let \( g = x^a y^b \in G_{m,n,k} \) be connected to \( 1 \) by a fixed reduced path
\[
P : x^{a_1} y^{b_1} x^{a_2} y^{b_2} \ldots x^{a_t} y^{b_t} = x^a y^b.
\]
Set \( c_t := a_{t+1} + \ldots + a_t, \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq t - 1, \) and \( c_t = 0 \). The first reduction step essentially reduces the length of the path \( l(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} |a_i| + |b_i| \) without changing \( \sum_{i=1}^{t} |a_i| \). To do this we need to keep the recursive sequence \( c_t \) intact, and this was the main outline of the proof above. Also, note that while \( r = \alpha \) in the first reduction step, we have reduced to the case \( \text{acs}(w(b'_1; \omega)) \leq \text{wt}(n; k; \alpha) \) since there is a unique (ordered) sequence of length \( \alpha \) in terms of the powers of \( k \).
Now recall that the number $t$ is called the syllable of $P$ (which we denoted by $syl(P)$ in Section 1). Also, we denote the collection of all reduced paths from 1 to $g \in G_{m,n,k}$ by $\mathcal{P}_g$.

**Proposition 4.3** (Second reduction step). Let $P : \prod_{i=1}^{t} x^{a_i} y^{b_i}$ be a reduced path from 1 to an element $g = x^a y^b \in G_{m,n,k}$. Suppose that $r$ is the number of distinct terms in the formal element $w(b, c) = \prod_{i=1}^{t} b_i k_i c_i$.

(i) If $w(b, c)$ is not primal as a formal element, then there is another $P' \in \mathcal{P}_g$ such that $l(P') \leq l(P)$.

(ii) If $\mathrm{acs}(w(b, c)) > \mathrm{wt}(n, k; \xi)$ (where $\xi$ is as denoted in Proposition 4.2), then $P$ cannot be a shortest path in $\mathcal{P}_g$.

(iii) If $P \in \mathcal{P}_g$ is a path of shortest length, then $syl(P) \leq \text{ord}(k)$.

**Proof.** Parts (i)-(ii) follow directly from Proposition 4.2. For (iii), note that the length of the ordered sequence $S(w(b, c))$ is $\leq \alpha$. Using Proposition 4.2, we reduce the path $P$ to $P'$ and combine the terms that are powers of $x$ (which does not change the length of the path $P'$).

Using the second reduction we may assume that $|b_1| + \ldots + |b_t| \leq \text{wt}(n, k; \alpha)$, which finally brings us to the main result in this paper.

**Theorem 4.4** (Main theorem). Let $G_{m,n,k}$ be the split metacyclic group given by the presentation

$$G_{m,n,k} = \langle x, y : x^m = 1 = y^n, x^{-1} y x = y^k \rangle,$$

where $k$ has order $\alpha$ in the group $\mathbb{Z}_n^\times$ of units. If $\alpha$ is even and $k^{\alpha/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$, then

$$\text{diam}(G_{m,n,k}) \leq \begin{cases} \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + \text{wt}(n, k; \alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \neq m, \\ \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + \text{wt}(n, k; \alpha) + \deg(n, k; \alpha), & \text{if } \alpha = m. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** We wish to bound the length of a path from 1 to an element $g = x^a y^b \in G$. Let $i_1 = \deg(n, k; \alpha)$, and assume without loss of generality that $-\lfloor m/2 \rfloor \leq a \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$. We break our argument into three cases.

Case 1: Assume that $i_1 \leq a \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$. Let $\xi$ denote a minimal prime sequence with degree $i_1 = \deg(n, k; \alpha)$ given by

$$\alpha - 1 \geq i_1 > i_2 > \ldots > i_{t-1} > i_t = 0.$$

First, we express $b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ as

$$b \equiv b_1 k^{i_1} + b_2 k^{i_2} + \ldots + b_{t-1} k^{i_{t-1}} + b_t \pmod{n},$$

with $\sum_{j=1}^{t} |b_j| \leq \text{wt}(n, k; \alpha)$. Take $\xi = a - i_1 \geq 0$, and consider the path

$$P : x^\xi y^{b_1 x^{i_1} y^{b_2 x^{i_2} y^{b_3 \ldots x^{i_{t-1}} y^{b_{t-1}} x^{i_t} y^{b_t}}}}.$$

Clearly, $P \in \mathcal{P}_g$, and since every exponent of $x$ in $P$ is non-negative, we have

$$l(P) = (a - i_1) + (i_1 - i_2) + \ldots + (i_{t-2} - i_{t-1}) + i_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{t} |b_j| \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + \text{wt}(n, k; \alpha),$$

which proves the result for this case.

Case 2: Assume that $-\lfloor m/2 \rfloor \leq a \leq -i_1$. Note that for any path $P \in \mathcal{P}_g$, we have $P^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}_g^{-1}$ and $l(P) = l(P^{-1})$, where $P^{-1} = \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} y^{-b_{i+1} x^{-a+i}}$. Consider $b' \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $y^{-b'} x^{-a} = x^{-a} y^{b'}$. Since the exponent $-a$ of $x$ satisfies the hypothesis of Case 1, the result for this case follows.
Case 3: Finally, assume that $-i_1 < a < i_1$. As in previous case, it suffices to assume that $0 \leq a < i_1$. Write $b$ as in Equation 1 above, set $\xi = a - i_1$, and consider a path $P'$ of the form in Equation 2. Clearly, $P' \in P_g$, and further note that every other exponent, except the first exponent of $x$ in $P'$ is non-negative. Hence, we have

$$l(P) = -a + i_1 + (i_1 - i_2) + (i_2 - i_3) + \ldots + (i_{t-2} - i_{t-1}) + i_{t-1} = -a + 2i_1.$$ 

Applying Proposition 5.8 we get

$$l(P) \leq \left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil + i_1.$$ 

The result now follows from the fact that $\alpha \leq \begin{cases} 
\left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil, & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is a proper divisor of } m, \\
\left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil + i_1, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}$

Note that the third case of Theorem 4.4 used the fact that $2i_1 \leq \alpha$. However, when $n$ is a prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we know that $2i_1 \leq \lfloor \alpha/2 \rfloor$, which leads to a better bound. More generally, we have the following:

**Corollary 4.5.** Let $G_{m,n,k}$ be the split metacyclic group given by the presentation

$$G_{m,n,k} = \langle x, y : x^m = 1 = y^n, x^{-1}yx = y^k \rangle,$$

where $k$ has order $\alpha$ in the group $\mathbb{Z}_n^\times$ of units. If $\alpha$ is even with $k^{\alpha/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$ and $2 \deg(n, k; \alpha) \leq \lfloor \alpha/2 \rfloor$, then

$$\text{diam}(G_{m,n,k}) \leq \left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil + \text{wt}(n, k; \alpha).$$

The fact that every metacyclic group $G$ is a quotient of a split metacyclic group yields a bound for $\text{diam}(G)$.

**Corollary 4.6.** Let $G_{m_0,\ell,n,k}$ be an arbitrary metacyclic group given by the presentation

$$G_{m_0,\ell,n,k} = \langle x, y : x^{m_0} = y^\ell, y^n = 1, x^{-1}yx = y^k \rangle,$$

where $k^{m_0} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, $n \mid \ell(k-1)$ and $\ell \mid n$. Then

$$\text{diam}(G_{m_0,\ell,n,k}) \leq \text{diam}(G_{m_0,n/k,n,k}) \leq \left\lceil \frac{m_0n/\ell}{2} \right\rceil + \text{wt}(m_0n/\ell, k; m_0).$$

**Proof.** First, we note that the condition $\ell \mid n$ does not violate the generality of the above presentation (see [8, Lemma 2.1]). Clearly, there exists a natural surjection $G_{m_0,n/k,n,k} \twoheadrightarrow G_{m_0,\ell,n,k}$, and the result follows. $\square$

5. **Some explicit computations**

When $n$ is a prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (resp. $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$), we showed in Theorem 3.1 that $\text{wt}(p, k; p-1) \leq \frac{p+3}{4}$ (resp. $\leq \frac{p+5}{4}$). Nevertheless, in practice (as we will see), the value of $\text{wt}(p, k; p-1)$ is much less than these bounds.

In Tables 2 and 3 below, we list several computations of $\text{wt}(n, k; \alpha)$ for various primes $n$ and primitive units $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$. Further, for these values of $n$, we consider $m = n - 1$, and indicate how the values of the bound (derived in Corollary 4.5) compares with the actual values of $\text{diam}(G_{m,n,k})$. These computations were made using software written in Mathematica 11 [11].
Table 2. Values of \(\text{wt}(p, k; p - 1)\), for some primes \(p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\).

| \(m, n, k\) | \(|n, k; \alpha| \) | \(|\text{realizing wt}(n, k; \alpha)| | \(\text{diam}(G_{m,n,k})\) | \(|m/2| + \text{wt}(n, k; \alpha)\) |
|---------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| (12, 13, 2) | 3 | 0, 1, 1 | 7 | 9 |
| (16, 17, 3) | 3 | 0, 1, 1 | 9 | 11 |
| (28, 29, 2) | 4 | 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1 | 15 | 18 |
| (36, 37, 2) | 4 | 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1 | 19 | 22 |
| (40, 41, 6) | 4 | 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1 | 21 | 24 |
| (52, 53, 2) | 4 | 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1 | 27 | 30 |
| (60, 61, 2) | 4 | 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1 | 31 | 34 |

Table 3. Values of \(\text{wt}(p, k; p - 1)\), for some primes \(p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}\).

| \(m, n, k\) | \(|n, k; \alpha| \) | \(|\text{realizing wt}(n, k; \alpha)| | \(\text{diam}(G_{m,n,k})\) | \(|m/2| + \text{wt}(n, k; \alpha)\) |
|---------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| (6, 7, 3) | 2 | 0, 1, 1 | 4 | 5 |
| (10, 11, 2) | 3 | 0, 1, 1 | 6 | 8 |
| (18, 19, 2) | 3 | 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1 | 10 | 12 |
| (22, 23, 5) | 3 | 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1 | 12 | 14 |
| (30, 31, 3) | 4 | 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1 | 16 | 19 |
| (42, 43, 4) | 4 | 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1 | 22 | 25 |
| (46, 47, 5) | 4 | 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1 | 24 | 27 |
| (58, 59, 2) | 4 | 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1 | 30 | 33 |
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