

Stochastic multi-symplectic Runge-Kutta methods for stochastic Hamiltonian PDEs

Liyang Zhang^a, Lihai Ji^{b,*}

^a*School of Mathematical Science, China University of Mining and Technology, Beijing 100083, China*

^b*Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, Beijing 100094, China*

Abstract

In this paper, we consider stochastic Runge-Kutta methods for stochastic Hamiltonian partial differential equations and present some sufficient conditions for multi-symplecticity of stochastic Runge-Kutta methods of stochastic Hamiltonian partial differential equations. Particularly, we apply these ideas to stochastic Maxwell equations with multiplicative noise, possessing the stochastic multi-symplectic conservation law and energy conservation law. Theoretical analysis shows that the methods can preserve both the discrete stochastic multi-symplectic conservation law and discrete energy conservation law almost surely.

Keywords: stochastic Hamiltonian partial differential equations, Runge-Kutta methods, multi-symplecticity, stochastic Maxwell equations

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: lyzhang@lsec.cc.ac.cn (Liyang Zhang), jilihai@lsec.cc.ac.cn (Lihai Ji)

¹The first author is supported by NNSFC (NO. 11601514 and NO. 11771444) and the second author is supported by NNSFC (NO. 11471310 and NO. 11601032).

1. Introduction

Consider the following stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) in the sense of Stratonovich

$$\mathbf{K}d_t z + \mathbf{L}z_x dt = \nabla_z S_1(z)dt + \nabla_z S_2(z) \circ dW(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad n \geq 2, \quad (1.1)$$

where \mathbf{K} , \mathbf{L} are skew-symmetric matrices, and S_1 , S_2 are smooth functions of state variable z . Let $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space with a normal filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$. Moreover, let $W : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be a standard Q -Wiener process with respect to $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$, with a trace class operator $Q : H \rightarrow H$. The SPDEs are called the stochastic Hamiltonian PDEs (see [16]). Moreover, the stochastic Hamiltonian PDEs (1.1) possess the stochastic multi-symplectic conservation law (Theorem 2.2 in [16]) as follows

$$d_t \omega(t, x) + \partial_x \kappa(t, x) dt = 0, \quad a.s., \quad (1.2)$$

where $\omega(t, x) = \frac{1}{2}dz \wedge \mathbf{K}dz$, $\kappa(t, x) = \frac{1}{2}dz \wedge \mathbf{L}dz$ are differential 2-forms associated with the two skew-symmetric matrices \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{L} , respectively.

Many physical and engineering phenomena are modeled by stochastic Hamiltonian PDEs. The advantage of modeling using these so-called stochastic Hamiltonian PDEs is that stochastic Hamiltonian PDEs possess stochastic multi-symplectic geometric structure (1.2) and then are able to more fully capture the behavior of interesting phenomena. Therefore, it requires the corresponding numerical schemes could exactly preserve the discrete stochastic multi-symplectic structure, which are known as stochastic multi-symplectic schemes. In recent years, many researchers have studied different stochastic Hamiltonian PDEs and various stochastic multi-symplectic numerical schemes have been developed, analyzed

and tested, see, stochastic Maxwell equations [6, 11, 13]; stochastic nonlinear Schrödinger equation [8, 9, 14, 16]; stochastic Korteweg-de Vries equation [15].

In the well developed numerical analysis of deterministic ODEs, derivative free approximation methods are of particular interest. Especially Runge-Kutta (RK) methods are widely used. In the last decade, it has been widely recognized that the stochastic RK methods play an important role in numerically solving stochastic ODEs and popularly employed, see, e.g., [1, 2, 12, 17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24] and the references therein. The symplectic condition of stochastic RK methods for stochastic Hamiltonian ODEs was obtained firstly in [19]. Furthermore, [20] derived the symplectic conditions of stochastic partitioned Runge-Kutta (PRK) methods. Recently, based on variational principle, [7] proposed a general class of stochastic symplectic RK methods in the temporal direction to the stochastic Schrödinger equation in the Stratonovich sense and showed that the methods preserve the charge conservation law. In particular, the authors present the mean-square convergence order of the semidiscrete scheme is 1 under appropriate assumptions.

For deterministic Hamiltonian PDEs, the multi-symplecticity of RK methods has been studied in [10]. To the best of our knowledge, there has been no work in the literature which studies the multi-symplectic RK methods for stochastic Hamiltonian PDEs (1.1). Motivated by [7, 19, 20], we consider the general case of stochastic Hamiltonian PDEs, investigate the multi-symplecticity of stochastic RK methods, and present some sufficient conditions for stochastic multi-symplectic RK methods in this paper. To this end, we mainly utilize the corresponding variation form of stochastic Hamiltonian PDEs and the chain rule of Stratonovich integral, then derive the multi-symplectic conditions by a tedious computation.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we apply stochastic RK methods to solve (1.1) and then present conditions for multi-symplecticity of stochastic RK methods. In particular, an one-stage stochastic multi-symplectic RK method is given. In Section 3, the multi-symplecticity of stochastic RK methods for the three-dimensional stochastic Maxwell equations with multiplicative noise is discussed. Concluding remarks are presented in Section 4.

2. Stochastic multi-symplectic RK methods

2.1. Stochastic multi-symplectic conditions

In this section, we give the conditions of multi-symplecticity of stochastic RK discretization for general stochastic Hamiltonian PDEs. In the sequel, we denote $Z_m^k \approx z(c_m h, d_k \tau)$, $Z_m^1 \approx z(c_m h, \tau)$, $Z_1^k \approx z(h, d_k \tau)$, $c_m = \sum_{n=1}^s \tilde{a}_{mn}$, $d_k = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{kj}$. To solve (1.1), we present a class of stochastic RK methods with r-stage in temporal direction and s-stage in spatial direction, respectively, namely

$$Z_m^k = z_m^p + \tau \sum_{j=1}^r a_{kj} \delta_t^{m,j} Z_m^j, \quad \forall p = 0, 1, \dots, P \quad (2.1a)$$

$$z_m^{p+1} = z_m^p + \tau \sum_{k=1}^r b_k \delta_t^{m,k} Z_m^k, \quad \forall p = 0, 1, \dots, P \quad (2.1b)$$

$$Z_m^k = z_i^k + h \sum_{n=1}^s \tilde{a}_{mn} \delta_x^{n,k} Z_n^k, \quad \forall i = 0, 1, \dots, I \quad (2.1c)$$

$$z_{i+1}^k = z_i^k + h \sum_{m=1}^s \tilde{b}_m \delta_x^{m,k} Z_m^k, \quad \forall i = 0, 1, \dots, I \quad (2.1d)$$

$$\tau \mathbf{K} \delta_t^{m,k} Z_m^k + \tau \mathbf{L} \delta_x^{m,k} Z_m^k = \tau \nabla_z S_1(Z_m^k) + \nabla_z S_2(Z_m^k) \Delta W_m^k, \quad (2.1e)$$

where τ, h are the stepsizes in time and spatial directions, respectively. $\delta_t^{m,k}$ and $\delta_x^{m,k}$ the discretizations of the partial derivatives ∂_t and ∂_x , respectively. The in-

crement $\Delta W_m^k := W(t_{k+1}, x_m, \omega) - W(t_k, x_m, \omega)$ is given by

$$\Delta W_m^k(\omega) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\eta_j} e_j(x_m) \left(\beta_j(t^{k+1}, \omega) - \beta_j(t^k, \omega) \right) \quad (2.2)$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Here e_j , $j \in \mathbb{N}$ is an orthonormal basis of H consisting of eigenfunctions of Q such that $Qe_j = \eta_j e_j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and β_j , $j \in \mathbb{N}$ are independent real-valued Brownian motions on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T})$.

In order not to complicate the notation, and for sake of brevity, we shall use the abbreviations, δ_t denotes $\delta_t^{m,k}$, δ_x denotes $\delta_x^{m,k}$ and so on.

Definition 2.1. *A numerical method with the approximating solution z_m^k for (1.1) is said to be stochastic multi-symplectic if it satisfies*

$$\tau \delta_t \omega_m^k + h \delta_x \kappa_m^k = 0, \text{ a.s.}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\omega_m^k = \frac{1}{2} dz_m^k \wedge \mathbf{K} dz_m^k$, $\kappa_m^k = \frac{1}{2} dz_m^k \wedge \mathbf{L} dz_m^k$.

As follows we state the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that the coefficients $a_{kj}, \tilde{a}_{mn}, b_k, \tilde{b}_m$ of (2.1) satisfy the relations*

$$b_k b_j - b_k a_{kj} - b_j a_{jk} = 0 \text{ and } \tilde{b}_m \tilde{b}_n - \tilde{b}_m \tilde{a}_{mn} - \tilde{b}_n \tilde{a}_{nm} = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

for all $k, j = 1, \dots, r$ and $n, m = 1, \dots, s$, then the stochastic RK methods (2.1) is stochastic multi-symplectic with the discrete stochastic multi-symplectic conservation law almost surely

$$\frac{\omega^{p+1} - \omega^p}{\tau} + \frac{\kappa_{i+1} - \kappa_i}{h} = 0, \quad \forall p = 0, 1, \dots, P; i = 0, 1, \dots, I, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\omega^p = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^s \tilde{b}_m dz_m^p \wedge \mathbf{K} dz_m^p, \quad \kappa_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^r b_k dz_i^k \wedge \mathbf{L} dz_i^k.$$

PROOF. Differentiating (2.1a) and (2.1b), it holds

$$dZ_m^k = dz_m^p + \tau \sum_{j=1}^r a_{kj} d(\delta_t Z_m^j), \quad (2.6a)$$

$$dz_m^{p+1} = dz_m^p + \tau \sum_{k=1}^r b_k d(\delta_t Z_m^k). \quad (2.6b)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} dz_m^{p+1} \wedge \mathbf{K} dz_m^{p+1} &= \left(dz_m^p + \tau \sum_{k=1}^r b_k d(\delta_t Z_m^k) \right) \wedge \mathbf{K} \left(dz_m^p + \tau \sum_{k=1}^r b_k d(\delta_t Z_m^k) \right) \\ &= dz_m^p \wedge \mathbf{K} dz_m^p + \tau \sum_{k=1}^r b_k \left(dz_m^p \wedge \mathbf{K} d(\delta_t Z_m^k) + d(\delta_t Z_m^k) \wedge \mathbf{K} dz_m^p \right) \\ &\quad + \tau^2 \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r b_k b_j \left(d(\delta_t Z_m^k) \wedge \mathbf{K} d(\delta_t Z_m^j) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Substituting (2.6a) into the second term of the right-side of the equation (2.7), it yields

$$\begin{aligned} dz_m^{p+1} \wedge \mathbf{K} dz_m^{p+1} &= dz_m^p \wedge \mathbf{K} dz_m^p + \tau \sum_{k=1}^r b_k \left(dZ_m^k \wedge \mathbf{K} d(\delta_t Z_m^k) + d(\delta_t Z_m^k) \wedge \mathbf{K} dZ_m^k \right) \\ &\quad + \tau^2 \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r (b_k b_j - b_k a_{kj} - b_j a_{jk}) \left(d(\delta_t Z_m^k) \wedge \mathbf{K} d(\delta_t Z_m^j) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.4) and the skew-symmetry of matrix \mathbf{K} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} dz_m^{p+1} \wedge \mathbf{K} dz_m^{p+1} &= dz_m^p \wedge \mathbf{K} dz_m^p \\ &\quad + \tau \sum_{k=1}^r b_k \left(dZ_m^k \wedge \mathbf{K} d(\delta_t Z_m^k) + d(\delta_t Z_m^k) \wedge \mathbf{K} dZ_m^k \right) \quad (2.8) \\ &= dz_m^p \wedge \mathbf{K} dz_m^p + 2\tau \sum_{k=1}^r b_k dZ_m^k \wedge \mathbf{K} d(\delta_t Z_m^k). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, it can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
dz_{i+1}^k \wedge \mathbf{L}dz_{i+1}^k &= dz_i^k \wedge \mathbf{L}dz_i^k \\
&\quad + h \sum_{m=1}^s \tilde{b}_m \left(dZ_m^k \wedge \mathbf{L}d(\delta_x Z_m^k) + d(\delta_x Z_m^k) \wedge \mathbf{L}dZ_m^k \right) \quad (2.9) \\
&= dz_i^k \wedge \mathbf{L}dz_i^k + 2h \sum_{m=1}^s \tilde{b}_m dZ_m^k \wedge \mathbf{L}d(\delta_x Z_m^k).
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of (2.8) and (2.9) with $\frac{1}{2}h\tilde{b}_m$, $\frac{1}{2}\tau b_k$, summing over all spatial grid points m and temporal grid points k , respectively, and adding them together, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{h}{2} \sum_{m=1}^s \tilde{b}_m dz_m^{p+1} \wedge \mathbf{K}dz_m^{p+1} + \frac{\tau}{2} \sum_{k=1}^r b_k dz_{i+1}^k \wedge \mathbf{L}dz_{i+1}^k \\
&= \frac{h}{2} \sum_{m=1}^s \tilde{b}_m dz_m^p \wedge \mathbf{K}dz_m^p + \frac{\tau}{2} \sum_{k=1}^r b_k dz_i^k \wedge \mathbf{L}dz_i^k \quad (2.10) \\
&\quad + \tau h \sum_{m=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^r b_k \tilde{b}_m \left(dZ_m^k \wedge \mathbf{K}d(\delta_t Z_m^k) + dZ_m^k \wedge \mathbf{L}d(\delta_x Z_m^k) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Noticing that, if we take the differential in the phase space on both sides of (2.1e), we can deduce that

$$\mathbf{K}d(\delta_t Z_m^k) + \mathbf{L}d(\delta_x Z_m^k) = D_{zz}S_1(Z_m^k)dZ_m^k + D_{zz}S_2(Z_m^k)dZ_m^k \frac{\Delta W_m^k}{\tau}$$

then we use dZ_m^k to perform wedge product with the above equation, it yields

$$dZ_m^k \wedge \mathbf{K}d(\delta_t Z_m^k) + dZ_m^k \wedge \mathbf{L}d(\delta_x Z_m^k) = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

where the equality is due to the symmetry of $D_{zz}S_1(Z_m^k)$ and $D_{zz}S_2(Z_m^k)$. Combining (2.10) and (2.11), we get the stochastic multi-symplectic conservation law (2.5).

2.2. One-stage stochastic multi-symplectic RK method

In this subsection, we use the stochastic multi-symplectic conditions (2.4) to construct an one-stage stochastic multi-symplectic RK method. Consider one-stage stochastic multi-symplectic RK methods in the following form

$$\begin{array}{c|c} a & \\ \hline b & \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c|c} \tilde{a} & \\ \hline \tilde{b} & \end{array}.$$

Using the stochastic multi-symplectic conditions (2.4), the following results hold:

$$b = 2a, \quad \tilde{b} = 2\tilde{a}. \quad (2.12)$$

In particular, choosing $b = \tilde{b} = 1$, we get the scheme as

$$\begin{array}{c|c} 1/2 & \\ \hline 1 & \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c|c} 1/2 & \\ \hline 1 & \end{array},$$

more precisely,

$$\mathbf{K} \left(\frac{z_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{p+1} - z_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^p}{\tau} \right) + \mathbf{L} \left(\frac{z_{i+1}^{p+\frac{1}{2}} - z_i^{p+\frac{1}{2}}}{h} \right) = \nabla_z \mathcal{S}_1(z_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{p+\frac{1}{2}}) + \nabla_z \mathcal{S}_2(z_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{p+\frac{1}{2}}) \frac{\Delta W_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{p+\frac{1}{2}}}{\tau}, \quad (2.13)$$

which is equivalent to implicit midpoint scheme in [16].

3. Application of stochastic multi-symplectic RK methods

In this section, we apply the stochastic RK methods (2.1) to the stochastic Maxwell equations with multiplicative noise in statistical radiophysics [21]. We obtain the sufficient conditions for multi-symplecticity of stochastic RK methods. Moreover, we derive the discrete energy conservation law under stochastic multi-symplectic RK methods.

Considering the following stochastic Maxwell equations with multiplicative noise in Stratonovich sense [13, 18, 21]

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{E}(x, t) &= A_M \mathcal{E}(x, t) dt + B(\mathcal{E})(x, t) \circ dW(t), \quad x \in D, \quad t > 0 \\ \mathcal{E}_0(x) &= \xi, \quad x \in D \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

in $L^2(D)^6 = L^2(D)^3 \times L^2(D)^3$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ driven by a standard Q -Wiener process $W(t)$ and the perfect conductor boundary condition $n \times E = 0$ on ∂D . Let $\mathcal{E} = (H^T, E^T)^T$, $H = (H_1, H_2, H_3)$ and $E = (E_1, E_2, E_3)$, then the Maxwell operator is given by

$$A_M \begin{pmatrix} H \\ E \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\nabla \times \\ \nabla \times & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} H \\ E \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$B(\mathcal{E}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ -\lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} H \\ E \end{pmatrix}.$$

In [13], the authors show that stochastic Maxwell equations can be written

$$\mathbf{K} d_t \mathcal{E} + \mathbf{L}_1 \mathcal{E}_x dt + \mathbf{L}_2 \mathcal{E}_y dt + \mathbf{L}_3 \mathcal{E}_z dt = \nabla S(\mathcal{E}) \circ dW(t), \quad (3.2)$$

with

$$S(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{\lambda}{2} (|E_1|^2 + |E_2|^2 + |E_3|^2 + |H_1|^2 + |H_2|^2 + |H_3|^2) \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_{3 \times 3} \\ I_{3 \times 3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{L}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_i & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{D}_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

The sub-matrix $I_{3 \times 3}$ is a 3×3 identity matrix and

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{D}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{D}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we investigate the stochastic multi-symplecticity of RK methods for the equations (3.1). The stochastic RK method (2.1) applied to the equation (3.1) is

$$\Upsilon_{mplk} = \mathcal{E}_{mpl}^\rho + \tau \sum_{j=1}^r a_{kj} \delta_t \Upsilon_{mplj}, \quad \forall \rho = 0, 1, \dots, N \quad (3.4a)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho+1} = \mathcal{E}_{mpl}^\rho + \tau \sum_{k=1}^r b_k \delta_t \Upsilon_{mplk}, \quad \forall \rho = 0, 1, \dots, N \quad (3.4b)$$

$$\Upsilon_{mplk} = \mathcal{E}_{i_1 pl}^k + \Delta x \sum_{n=1}^s \tilde{a}_{mn} \delta_x \Upsilon_{nplk}, \quad \forall i_1 = 0, 1, \dots, I_1 \quad (3.4c)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{(i_1+1)pl}^k = \mathcal{E}_{i_1 pl}^k + \Delta x \sum_{m=1}^s \tilde{b}_m \delta_x \Upsilon_{mplk}, \quad \forall i_1 = 0, 1, \dots, I_1 \quad (3.4d)$$

$$\Upsilon_{mplk} = \mathcal{E}_{mi_2 l}^k + \Delta y \sum_{q=1}^l \bar{a}_{pq} \delta_y \Upsilon_{mq lk}, \quad \forall i_2 = 0, 1, \dots, I_2 \quad (3.4e)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{m(i_2+1)l}^k = \mathcal{E}_{mi_2 l}^k + \Delta y \sum_{p=1}^l \bar{b}_p \delta_y \Upsilon_{mplk}, \quad \forall i_2 = 0, 1, \dots, I_2 \quad (3.4f)$$

$$\Upsilon_{mplk} = \mathcal{E}_{mpi_3}^k + \Delta z \sum_{v=1}^\sigma \hat{a}_{lv} \delta_z \Upsilon_{mpvk}, \quad \forall i_3 = 0, 1, \dots, I_3 \quad (3.4g)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{mp(i_3+1)}^k = \mathcal{E}_{mpi_3}^k + \Delta z \sum_{u=1}^\sigma \hat{b}_u \delta_z \Upsilon_{mpuk}, \quad \forall i_3 = 0, 1, \dots, I_3 \quad (3.4h)$$

$$\tau \mathbf{K} \delta_t \Upsilon_{mplk} + \tau \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{L}_i \delta_{x_i} \Upsilon_{mplk} = \nabla S(\Upsilon_{mplk}) \Delta W_m^k. \quad (3.4i)$$

Theorem 3.1. Assume that the coefficients $a_{kj}, \tilde{a}_{mn}, \bar{a}_{pq}, \hat{a}_{lv}, b_k, \tilde{b}_m, \bar{b}_p, \hat{b}_u$ of (3.4)

satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned} b_k b_j - b_k a_{kj} - b_j a_{jk} &= 0, & \bar{b}_p \bar{b}_q - \bar{b}_p \bar{a}_{pq} - \bar{b}_q \bar{a}_{qp} &= 0 \\ \tilde{b}_m \tilde{b}_n - \tilde{b}_m \tilde{a}_{mn} - \tilde{b}_n \tilde{a}_{nm} &= 0, & \hat{b}_l \hat{b}_v - \hat{b}_l \hat{a}_{lv} - \hat{b}_v \hat{a}_{lv} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for all $k, j = 1, \dots, r$, $n, m = 1, \dots, s$, $p, q = 1, \dots, \iota$ and $l, v = 1, \dots, \sigma$, then the stochastic RK methods (3.4) are stochastic multi-symplectic with the discrete stochastic multi-symplectic conservation law

$$\frac{\omega^{\rho+1} - \omega^\rho}{\tau} + \frac{\kappa_{i_1+1}^{(1)} - \kappa_{i_1}^{(1)}}{\Delta x} + \frac{\kappa_{i_2+1}^{(2)} - \kappa_{i_2}^{(2)}}{\Delta y} + \frac{\kappa_{i_3+1}^{(3)} - \kappa_{i_3}^{(3)}}{\Delta z} = 0, \text{ a.s.}, \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^\rho &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^s \sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{l=1}^\sigma \tilde{b}_m \bar{b}_p \hat{b}_l dz_{mpl}^\rho \wedge \mathbf{K} dz_{mpl}^\rho, & \rho &= 0, 1, \dots, N, \\ \kappa_{i_1}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{l=1}^\sigma b_k \bar{b}_p \hat{b}_l dz_{i_1 pl}^k \wedge \mathbf{L}_1 dz_{i_1 pl}^k, & i_1 &= 0, 1, \dots, I_1, \\ \kappa_{i_2}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{m=1}^s \sum_{l=1}^\sigma b_k \tilde{b}_m \hat{b}_l dz_{mi_2 l}^k \wedge \mathbf{L}_2 dz_{mi_2 l}^k, & i_2 &= 0, 1, \dots, I_2, \\ \kappa_{i_3}^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{m=1}^s \sum_{p=1}^l b_k \tilde{b}_m \bar{b}_p dz_{mpi_3}^k \wedge \mathbf{L}_3 dz_{mpi_3}^k, & i_3 &= 0, 1, \dots, I_3. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The proof is analogous to that of the Theorem 2.4, so we ignore it here.

For stochastic Maxwell equations (3.1), [13] presents that the energy is invariant, i.e.,

$$I(t) := \int_D (|\mathbf{E}(x, y, z, t)|^2 + |\mathbf{H}(x, y, z, t)|^2) dx dy dz = \text{Constant}, \text{ a.s.}$$

In the context of our methods, it is interesting to see whether this energy functional $I(t)$ remain invariant, thus describing the persistence of integrability of the fully discrete scheme. The result is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Under the periodic boundary condition and the conditions (3.5), the stochastic Runge-Kutta methods (3.4) have the following discrete energy conservation law, that is, for all $\rho = 0, 1, \dots, N$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1} \sum_{i_2} \sum_{i_3} \sum_{m=1}^s \sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{l=1}^{\sigma} \tilde{b}_m \bar{b}_p \hat{b}_l \left(|\mathbf{E}_{mpl;i_1,i_2,i_3}^{\rho+1}|^2 + |\mathbf{H}_{mpl;i_1,i_2,i_3}^{\rho+1}|^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{i_1} \sum_{i_2} \sum_{i_3} \sum_{m=1}^s \sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{l=1}^{\sigma} \hat{b}_m \bar{b}_p \hat{b}_l \left(|\mathbf{E}_{mpl;i_1,i_2,i_3}^{\rho}|^2 + |\mathbf{H}_{mpl;i_1,i_2,i_3}^{\rho}|^2 \right), \text{ a.s.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

PROOF. Define energy functional $\zeta(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E}^T \mathcal{E}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(\mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho+1}) - \zeta(\mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho}) &= \left(\mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho+1} \right)^T \mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho+1} - \left(\mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho} \right)^T \mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho} \\ &= \left(\mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho+1} \right)^T \left(\mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho+1} - \mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho} \right) + \left(\mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho+1} - \mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho} \right)^T \mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.4a) and (3.4b) that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(\mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho+1}) - \zeta(\mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho}) &= \tau \left(\mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho+1} \right)^T \sum_{k=1}^r b_k \delta_t \Upsilon_{mplk} + \tau \sum_{k=1}^r b_k \left(\delta_t \Upsilon_{mplk} \right)^T \mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho} \\ &= \tau \left(\mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho} + \tau \sum_{k=1}^r b_k \delta_t \Upsilon_{mplk} \right)^T \sum_{k=1}^r b_k \delta_t \Upsilon_{mplk} + \tau \sum_{k=1}^r b_k \left(\delta_t \Upsilon_{mplk} \right)^T \mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho} \\ &= \tau \sum_{k=1}^r b_k \left(\left(\mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho} \right)^T \delta_t \Upsilon_{mplk} + \left(\delta_t \Upsilon_{mplk} \right)^T \mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho} \right) + \tau^2 \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r b_k b_j \left(\delta_t \Upsilon_{mplk} \right)^T \left(\delta_t \Upsilon_{mplj} \right) \\ &= \tau \sum_{k=1}^r b_k \left(\left(\Upsilon_{mplk} - \tau \sum_{j=1}^r a_{kj} \delta_t \Upsilon_{mplj} \right)^T \delta_t \Upsilon_{mplk} + \left(\delta_t \Upsilon_{mplk} \right)^T \left(\Upsilon_{mplk} - \tau \sum_{j=1}^r a_{kj} \delta_t \Upsilon_{mplj} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \tau^2 \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r b_k b_j \left(\delta_t \Upsilon_{mplk} \right)^T \left(\delta_t \Upsilon_{mplj} \right) \\ &= 2\tau \sum_{k=1}^r b_k \Upsilon_{mplk}^T \delta_t \Upsilon_{mplk} + \tau^2 \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r (b_k b_j - b_k a_{kj} - b_j a_{jk}) \left(\delta_t \Upsilon_{mplk} \right)^T \left(\delta_t \Upsilon_{mplj} \right) \\ &= 2\tau \sum_{k=1}^r b_k \Upsilon_{mplk}^T \delta_t \Upsilon_{mplk}, \end{aligned}$$

(3.8)

where the last equality is due to (3.5).

Denote $\Lambda_i := \mathbf{K}^{-1}\mathbf{L}_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and define $\alpha_i(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E}^T \Lambda_i \mathcal{E}$, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1(\mathcal{E}_{(i+1)pl}^\rho) - \alpha_1(\mathcal{E}_{i1pl}^\rho) &= \left(\mathcal{E}_{(i+1)pl}^\rho\right)^T \Lambda_1 \mathcal{E}_{(i+1)pl}^\rho - \left(\mathcal{E}_{i1pl}^\rho\right)^T \Lambda_1 \mathcal{E}_{i1pl}^\rho \\ &= \left(\mathcal{E}_{(i+1)pl}^\rho\right)^T \Lambda_1 \left(\mathcal{E}_{(i+1)pl}^\rho - \mathcal{E}_{i1pl}^\rho\right) + \left(\mathcal{E}_{(i+1)pl}^\rho - \mathcal{E}_{i1pl}^\rho\right)^T \Lambda_1 \mathcal{E}_{i1pl}^\rho. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.4c) and (3.4d) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_1(\mathcal{E}_{(i+1)pl}^\rho) - \alpha_1(\mathcal{E}_{i1pl}^\rho) \\ &= \Delta x \left(\mathcal{E}_{(i+1)pl}^\rho\right)^T \Lambda_1 \sum_{m=1}^s \tilde{b}_m \delta_x \Upsilon_{mplk} + \Delta x \sum_{m=1}^s \tilde{b}_m (\delta_x \Upsilon_{mplk})^T \Lambda_1 \mathcal{E}_{i1pl}^\rho \\ &= \Delta x \left(\mathcal{E}_{i1pl}^\rho + \Delta x \sum_{m=1}^s \tilde{b}_m \delta_x \Upsilon_{mplk}\right)^T \Lambda_1 \sum_{m=1}^s \tilde{b}_m \delta_x \Upsilon_{mplk} + \Delta x \sum_{m=1}^s \tilde{b}_m (\delta_x \Upsilon_{mplk})^T \Lambda_1 \mathcal{E}_{i1pl}^\rho \\ &= \Delta x \sum_{m=1}^s \tilde{b}_m \left((\mathcal{E}_{i1pl}^\rho)^T \Lambda_1 \delta_x \Upsilon_{mplk} + (\delta_x \Upsilon_{mplk})^T \Lambda_1 \mathcal{E}_{i1pl}^\rho \right) + \Delta x^2 \sum_{m=1}^s \sum_{n=1}^s \tilde{b}_m \tilde{b}_n (\delta_x \Upsilon_{mplk})^T \Lambda_1 \delta_x \Upsilon_{nplk} \\ &= \Delta x \sum_{m=1}^s \tilde{b}_m \left(\left(\Upsilon_{mplk} - \Delta x \sum_{n=1}^s a_{k_j} \delta_x \Upsilon_{nplk} \right)^T \Lambda_1 \delta_x \Upsilon_{mplk} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\delta_x \Upsilon_{mplk})^T \Lambda_1 \left(\Upsilon_{mplk} - \Delta x \sum_{n=1}^s a_{k_j} \delta_x \Upsilon_{nplk} \right) \right) + \Delta x^2 \sum_{m=1}^s \sum_{n=1}^s \tilde{b}_m \tilde{b}_n (\delta_x \Upsilon_{mplk})^T \Lambda_1 (\delta_x \Upsilon_{nplk}) \\ &= 2\Delta x \sum_{m=1}^s \tilde{b}_m \Upsilon_{mplk}^T \Lambda_1 \delta_x \Upsilon_{mplk} + \Delta x^2 \sum_{m=1}^s \sum_{n=1}^s (\tilde{b}_m \tilde{b}_n - \tilde{b}_m \tilde{a}_{mn} - \tilde{b}_n \tilde{a}_{nm}) (\delta_x \Upsilon_{mplk})^T \Lambda_1 (\delta_x \Upsilon_{nplk}) \\ &= 2\Delta x \sum_{m=1}^s \tilde{b}_m \Upsilon_{mplk}^T \Lambda_1 \delta_x \Upsilon_{mplk}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where the last two equalities are due to the symmetry of matrix Λ_1 and the multi-symplectic conditions (3.5), respectively.

Similarly, we can prove that

$$\alpha_2(\mathcal{E}_{m(i_2+1)l}^\rho) - \alpha_2(\mathcal{E}_{mi_2l}^\rho) = 2\Delta y \sum_{p=1}^l \bar{b} \Upsilon_{mplk}^T \Lambda_2 \delta_y \Upsilon_{mplk}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\alpha_3(\mathcal{E}_{mp(i_3+1)}^\rho) - \alpha_3(\mathcal{E}_{mpi_3}^\rho) = 2\Delta z \sum_{l=1}^\sigma \hat{b} \Upsilon_{mplk}^T \Lambda_3 \delta_z \Upsilon_{mplk}. \quad (3.11)$$

Next, by using the non-singularity of matrix \mathbf{K} and the equality (3.3), multiplying both sides of (3.4i) with $\Upsilon_{mplk}^T \mathbf{K}^{-1}$, it yields

$$\Upsilon_{mplk}^T \delta_t \Upsilon_{mplk} + \Upsilon_{mplk}^T \sum_{i=1}^3 \Lambda_i \delta_{x_i} \Upsilon_{mplk} = \lambda \Upsilon_{mplk}^T \mathbf{K}^{-1} \Upsilon_{mplk} \frac{\Delta W_m^k}{\tau}. \quad (3.12)$$

Due to the skew-symmetry of matrix \mathbf{K} , summing up for m, p, l, k , we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{m=1}^s \sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{l=1}^\sigma b_k \tilde{b}_m \bar{b}_p \hat{b}_l \left(\Upsilon_{mplk}^T \delta_t \Upsilon_{mplk} + \Upsilon_{mplk}^T \sum_{i=1}^3 \Lambda_i \delta_{x_i} \Upsilon_{mplk} \right) = 0,$$

then, multiplying the above equation by $2\tau\Delta x\Delta y\Delta z$ and substituting (3.8), (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) into the above equation, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\Delta x \Delta y \Delta z \sum_{m=1}^s \sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{l=1}^\sigma \tilde{b}_m \bar{b}_p \hat{b}_l \left(\zeta(\mathcal{E}_{mpl}^{\rho+1}) - \zeta(\mathcal{E}_{mpl}^\rho) \right) \right. \\ & \quad + \tau \Delta y \Delta z \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{l=1}^\sigma b_k \bar{b}_p \hat{b}_l \left(\alpha_1(\mathcal{E}_{(i_1+1)pl}^\rho) - \alpha_1(\mathcal{E}_{i_1pl}^\rho) \right) \\ & \quad + \tau \Delta x \Delta z \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{m=1}^s \sum_{l=1}^\sigma b_k \tilde{b}_m \hat{b}_l \left(\alpha_2(\mathcal{E}_{m(i_2+1)l}^\rho) - \alpha_2(\mathcal{E}_{mi_2l}^\rho) \right) \\ & \quad \left. + \tau \Delta x \Delta y \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{m=1}^s \sum_{p=1}^l b_k \tilde{b}_m \bar{b}_p \left(\alpha_3(\mathcal{E}_{mp(i_3+1)}^\rho) - \alpha_3(\mathcal{E}_{mpi_3}^\rho) \right) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up for i_1, i_2, i_3 over the spatial domain and utilizing the periodic boundary condition, we can get the result (3.7).

Remark 3.1. The results of these two theorems are evidently consistent with the stochastic multi-symplectic conservation law and discrete energy conservation law in [13], respectively, which means that the stochastic multi-symplectic conservation law and energy conservation law can be exactly preserved by the proposed stochastic multi-symplectic RK methods (3.4)-(3.5).

For the general stochastic Hamiltonian PDEs in the sense of the Stratonovich

$$\mathbf{K}d_t z + \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{L}_m z_{x_m} dt = \nabla_z S_1(z) dt + \nabla_z S_2(z) \circ dW(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (3.13)$$

where \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{L}_m , $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$ are skew-symmetric matrices, we can obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *Let the matrix \mathbf{K} be nonsingular and the Hamiltonian functions $S_1 = \frac{1}{2}z^T \mathbf{A}z$, $S_2 = \frac{1}{2}z^T \mathbf{B}z$, where \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{B} are symmetric matrices. If $\mathbf{K}^{-1}\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{K}^{-1}\mathbf{B}$ are skew-symmetric matrices, then for all $\rho = 0, 1, \dots, N$, it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1} \cdots \sum_{i_M} \sum_{j_1=1}^{s_1} \cdots \sum_{j_M=1}^{s_M} b_{j_1}^{(1)} \cdots b_{j_M}^{(M)} |z_{j_1 \cdots j_M; i_1, \dots, i_M}^{\rho+1}|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i_1} \cdots \sum_{i_M} \sum_{j_1=1}^{s_1} \cdots \sum_{j_M=1}^{s_M} b_{j_1}^{(1)} \cdots b_{j_M}^{(M)} |z_{j_1 \cdots j_M; i_1, \dots, i_M}^{\rho}|^2, \quad a.s., \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where i_1, \dots, i_M denote the spatial grids, s_1, \dots, s_M denote the stage of stochastic RK methods applied to the directions of space, $|z|^2$ denotes the sum of components, i.e., $|z|^2 := |z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_d|^2$.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we construct a class of stochastic RK methods to stochastic Hamiltonian PDEs and give some sufficient conditions for stochastic multi-symplecticity

of the constructed stochastic RK methods. Finally, we apply these techniques to three-dimensional stochastic Maxwell equations with multiplicative noise in sense of Stratonovich. We show that the proposed stochastic RK methods can preserve the discrete stochastic multi-symplectic conservation law and the discrete energy conservation law almost surely. However, the theoretical analysis of the convergence of stochastic multi-symplectic RK methods is difficult, we will devote to study it rigorously in the future work.

5. References

References

- [1] K. Burrage and P.M. Burrage, Order conditions of stochastic Runge-Kutta methods by B-series, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **38** (2001), 1626-1646.
- [2] P.M. Burrage and K. Burrage, Structure-preserving Runge-Kutta methods for stochastic Hamiltonian equations with additive noise, *Numer. Algor.*, **65** (2014), 519-532.
- [3] A. De Bouard and A. Debussche, The stochastic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in H^1 , *Stoch. Anal. Appl.*, **21** (2003), 97-126.
- [4] A. De Bouard and A. Debussche, A semi-discrete scheme for the stochastic nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Numer. Math.*, **96** (2004), 733-770.
- [5] A. De Bouard and A. Debussche, Weak and strong order of convergence of a semidiscrete scheme for the stochastic nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Appl. Math. Optim.*, **54** (2006), 369-399.

- [6] C. Chen, J. Hong and L. Zhang, Preservation of physical properties of stochastic Maxwell equations with additive noise via stochastic multi-symplectic methods, *J. Comput. Phys.*, **306** (2016), 500-519.
- [7] C. Chen and J. Hong, Symplectic Runge-Kutta semidiscretization for stochastic Schrödinger equation, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **54** (2016), 2569-2593.
- [8] C. Chen, J. Hong, L. Ji and L. Kong, A compact scheme for coupled stochastic nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Commun. Comput. Phys.*, **21** (2017), 93-125.
- [9] J. Cui, Z. Liu, J. Hong and W. Zhou, Stochastic symplectic and multi-symplectic methods for nonlinear Schrödinger equation with white noise dispersion, *J. Comput. Phys.*, **342** (2017), 267-285.
- [10] J. Hong, H. Liu and G. Sun, The multi-symplecticity of partitioned Runge-Kutta methods for Hamiltonian PDEs, *Math. Comput.*, **75** (2005), 167-181.
- [11] J. Hong, L. Ji and L. Zhang, A stochastic multi-symplectic scheme for stochastic Maxwell equations with additive noise, *J. Comput. Phys.*, **268** (2014), 255-268.
- [12] J. Hong, D. Xu and P. Wang, Preservation of quadratic invariants of stochastic differential equations via Runge-Kutta methods, *Appl. Numer. Math.*, **87** (2015), 38-52.
- [13] J. Hong, L. Ji, L. Zhang and J. Cai, An energy-conserving method for stochastic Maxwell equations with multiplicative noise, *J. Comput. Phys.*, **351** (2017), 216-229.

- [14] J. Hong, X. Wang and L. Zhang, Numerical analysis on ergodic limit of approximations for stochastic NLS equation via multi-symplectic scheme, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **55** (2017), 305-327.
- [15] S. Jiang, L. Wang and J. Hong, Stochastic multisymplectic integrator for stochastic KdV equation, *AIP Conf. Proc.*, **1479** (2012), 1757-1760.
- [16] S. Jiang, L. Wang and J. Hong, Stochastic multi-symplectic integrator for stochastic Hamiltonian nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Commun. Comput. Phys.*, **14** (2013), 393-411.
- [17] P.E. Kloeden and E. Platen, Numerical solution of stochastic differential equations, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [18] K. Liaskos, I. Stratis and A. Yannacopoulos, Stochastic integrodifferential equations in Hilbert spaces with applications in electromagnetics, *J. Integral Equations Appl.*, **22** (2010), 559-590.
- [19] Q. Ma, D. Ding and X. Ding, Symplectic conditions and stochastic generating functions of stochastic Runge-Kutta methods for stochastic Hamiltonian systems with multiplicative noise, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **219** (2012), 635-643.
- [20] Q. Ma and X. Ding, Stochastic symplectic partitioned Runge-Kutta methods for stochastic Hamiltonian systems with multiplicative noise, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **252** (2015), 520-534.
- [21] S. Rytov, Y. Kravtsov and V. Tatarskii, Principles of statistical radiophysics 3: elements of random fields, Springer-Verlag, 1989.

- [22] A. Rössler, Second order Runge-Kutta methods for Itô stochastic differential equations, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **47** (2009), 1713-1738.
- [23] A. Tocino and J. Vigo-Aguiar, Weak second order conditions for stochastic Runge-Kutta methods, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, **24** (2002), 507-523.
- [24] P. Wang, Three-stage stochastic Runge-Kutta methods for stochastic differential equations, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **222** (2008), 324-332.