

**POLYNOMIALS WITH SURJECTIVE ARBOREAL GALOIS  
REPRESENTATIONS EXIST IN EVERY DEGREE**

JOEL SPECTER

ABSTRACT. Let  $E$  be a Hilbertian field of characteristic 0. R.W.K. Odoni conjectured that for every positive integer  $n$  there exists a polynomial  $f \in E[X]$  of degree  $n$  such that each iterate  $f^{\circ k}$  of  $f$  is irreducible and the Galois group of the splitting field of  $f^{\circ k}$  is isomorphic to the automorphism group of a regular,  $n$ -branching tree of height  $k$ . We prove this conjecture when  $E$  is a number field.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a polynomial  $f \in \mathbf{Q}[X]$ , the roots of  $f$  are the most evident set on which the absolute Galois group acts. This note concerns the Galois action on the second most evident set: the set of roots of all compositional iterates of  $f$ .

We begin by establishing some notation. All fields considered in this note have characteristic 0. If  $F$  is a field and  $f \in F[X]$  is a polynomial, for each positive integer  $k$ , we denote the  $k$ -th iterate of  $f$  under composition by  $f^{\circ k}$ . The set of all pre-images of 0 under the iterates of  $f$  is denoted

$$T_f := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \{r \in \overline{F} : f^{\circ k}(r) = 0\}.$$

To organize  $T_f$ , we give it the structure of a rooted tree: a zero  $r_k$  of  $f^{\circ k}$  is connected to a zero  $r_{k-1}$  of  $f^{\circ(k-1)}$  by an edge if  $f(r_k) = r_{k-1}$ . We call  $T_f$  the pre-image tree of 0. The absolute Galois group  $G_F$  of  $F$  acts on  $T_f$  by tree automorphisms. The resulting map

$$\rho_f : G_F \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_f)$$

is called the arboreal Galois representation associated to  $f$ . We will say  $\rho_f$  is *regular* if  $T_f$  is a regular, rooted tree of degree equal to the degree of  $f$ .

Interest in arboreal Galois representations originates from the study of prime divisors appearing in the numerators of certain polynomially-defined recursive sequences. Explicitly, given a polynomial  $f \in \mathbf{Q}[X]$  and an element  $c_0 \in \mathbf{Q}$ , one wishes to understand the density of the set of primes

$$S_{f,c_0} := \{p : v_p(f^{\circ n}(c_0)) > 0 \text{ for some value of } n\}$$

inside the set of all prime integers. An observation, first made by Odoni in [Odo85b], is that one may bound this density from above using Galois theory. Specifically, if one excludes the primes  $p$  for which  $c_0$  and  $f$  are not  $p$ -integral, a prime  $p$  is contained in  $S_{f,c_0}$  if and only if  $c_0$  is a root of some iterate of  $f \pmod p$ . By the Chebotarev Density Theorem, the proportion of primes  $p$  for which  $f^{\circ k} \pmod p$  has a root is determined by the image of  $\rho_f$ . As a general

principle, if a polynomial has an arboreal Galois representation with *large* image, then *few* primes appear in  $S_{f,c_0}$ . For specific results, we refer the reader to [Odo85b] or [Jon08].

In [Odo85a], Odoni showed that for any field  $F$  of characteristic 0, the arboreal Galois representation associated to the generic monic, degree  $n$  polynomial

$$f_{gen}(X) := X^n + a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \dots + a_1X + a_0 \in F(a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0)[X]$$

is regular and surjective.<sup>1</sup> When  $F$  is Hilbertian, for example when  $F = \mathbf{Q}$ , one expects that *most* monic, degree  $n$  polynomials behave like  $f_{gen}$ . Indeed, this expectation holds true for any finite number of iterates: for each  $k > 0$ , the set of monic, degree  $n$  polynomials  $f$  such that the Galois group of  $f^{\circ k}$  over  $F$  is smaller than the Galois group of  $f_{gen}^{\circ k}$  over  $F(a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0)$  is thin. Alas, in general, the intersection of the complement of countably many thin sets may be empty; therefore, Odoni's theorem does not imply the existence of any specialization with surjective arboreal Galois representation. He conjectures that such specializations exist.

**Conjecture 1.1** ([Odo85a], Conjecture 7.5). *Let  $E$  be a Hilbertian field of characteristic 0. For each positive integer  $n$ , there exists a monic, degree  $n$  polynomial  $f \in E[X]$  such that every iterate of  $f$  is irreducible and the associated arboreal Galois representation*

$$\rho_f : G_E \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_f)$$

*is surjective.*

In this note, we prove Odoni's conjecture when  $E$  is a number field. More generally, we prove Conjecture 1.1 for extensions of  $\mathbf{Q}$  that are unramified outside of finitely many primes of  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $E/\mathbf{Q}$  is an algebraic extension that is unramified outside finitely many primes, then for each positive integer  $n$  there exists a positive integer  $a < n$  and infinitely many  $A \in \mathbf{Q}$  such that the polynomial*

$$f_{a,A}(X) := X^a(X - A)^{n-a} + A$$

*and all of its iterates are irreducible over  $E$  and the arboreal  $G_E$ -representation associated to  $f_{a,A}$  is surjective.*

Our choice to consider the polynomial families in Theorem 1.2 was inspired by examples of surjective arboreal Galois representations over  $\mathbf{Q}$  constructed by Robert Odoni and Nicole Looper. In [Odo85b], Odoni shows that the arboreal  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ -representation associated to  $X(X - 1) + 1$  is regular and surjective. In [Loo16], Looper proves Conjecture 1.1 for polynomials over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of prime degree by analyzing the arboreal Galois representations associated to certain integer specializations of the trinomial family  $X^n - ntX^{n-1} + nt = X^{n-1}(X - nt) + nt$ .

In addition to our note, there have been a series of recent, independent works concerning Odoni's conjecture. Borys Kadets [Kad18] has proved Conjecture 1.1 when  $n$  is even and greater than 19, and  $E = \mathbf{Q}$ . Robert Benedetto and Jamie Juul [BJ18] have proved Conjecture 1.1 when  $E$  a number field, and  $n$  is even or  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{n}, \sqrt{n-2}) \not\subseteq E$ .

The organization of this paper is as follows. Section 2 provides a criterion with which to check if an arboreal Galois representation contains a congruence subgroup  $\Gamma(N)$ . This

---

<sup>1</sup>Jamie Juul has shown that the arboreal Galois representation associated to the generic monic, degree  $n$  polynomial over a field  $F$  of any characteristic is regular and surjective under the assumption that the characteristic of  $F$  and the degree  $n$  do not both equal 2 [Juu14].

criterion is that the image of the arboreal Galois representation contains, up to conjugation, some set of preferred elements

$$\{\sigma_0\} \cup \{\sigma_k : k > N\} \cup \{\sigma_{\infty, N}\}$$

which topologically generate a subgroup containing  $\Gamma(N)$ . In Section 3, we show that for various explicit choices of  $A$  and  $a$  there are prime integers

$$\{p_0\} \cup \{p_k : k > 0\} \cup \{p_\infty\}$$

such that the image of the inertia group  $I_{p_k} \leq G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p_k}}$  under  $\rho_{f_{a,A}}$  contains an element conjugate to  $\sigma_k$  if  $k < \infty$ , and conjugate to either  $\sigma_{\infty,1}$  or  $\sigma_{\infty,0}$  if  $k = \infty$ . By choosing  $A$  well, one can force  $p_k$  to lie outside any fixed, finite set of primes; hence if  $E/\mathbf{Q}$  is unramified outside finitely many primes, then there is a choice of  $a$  and  $A$  such that the image of  $G_E$  under  $\rho_{f_{a,A}}$  contains  $\Gamma(1)$ . Given such a polynomial, its arboreal Galois representation is surjective if and only if its splitting field is an  $S_n$ -extension. In Section 4, we prove there are infinitely many values of  $A$  and  $a$  for which the representation  $\rho_{f_{a,A}} : G_E \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_{f_{a,A}})$  is surjective by means of a Hilbert Irreducibility argument.

## 2. RECOGNIZING SURJECTIVE REPRESENTATIONS

Fix a field  $F$  of characteristic 0 and let  $f \in F[X]$  be a polynomial. For every non-negative integer  $N$ , let

$$T_{f,N} := \prod_{k=0}^N \{r \in \overline{F} : f^{\circ k}(r) = 0\} \subseteq T_f$$

denote the full subtree of  $T_f$  whose vertices have at most height  $N$ . The subtree  $T_{f,N}$  is stable under the action of  $\text{Aut}(T_f)$ . Let  $\Gamma(N) \leq \text{Aut}(T_f)$  be the vertex-wise stabilizer of  $T_{f,N}$  in  $\text{Aut}(T_f)$ . In this section, we describe a condition under which the image of  $\rho_f$  contains  $\Gamma(N)$ . Since  $\Gamma(0)$  equals  $\text{Aut}(T_f)$ , the case when  $N = 0$  is of primary interest.

To state our criterion, we introduce some terminology. For each non-negative integer  $k$ , we denote the splitting field of  $f^{\circ k}$  over  $F$  by  $F_k$ . If  $k$  is negative, we define  $F_k := F$ . By a *branch* of the tree  $T_f$ , we mean a sequence of vertices  $(r_i)_{i=0}^\infty$  such that  $r_0 = 0$  and  $f(r_i) = r_{i-1}$  for  $i > 0$ . The group  $G_F$  acts on the branches of  $T_f$ . If  $X$  is some set of branches and  $\sigma \in G_F$ , we say that  $\sigma$  acts *transitively* on  $X$  if the closed, pro-cyclic subgroup  $\overline{\langle \sigma \rangle} \subset G_F$  stabilizes  $X$  and acts transitively in the usual sense.

The following is a sufficient condition for the image of a regular arboreal Galois representation to contain  $\Gamma(N)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $N$  be a non-negative integer,  $f \in F[X]$  be a monic polynomial of degree  $n$ , and  $a < n$  be a positive integer such that either  $a = 1$ , or  $a < n/2$  and  $n - a$  is prime. Assume that all iterates of  $f$  are separable. Furthermore, assume that:*

- (1) *there is an element  $\sigma_0 \in G_F$  which acts transitively on the branches of  $T_f$ ,*
- (2) *there is an element  $\sigma_{\infty, N} \in G_F$  and a regular,  $(n - a)$ -branching subtree  $T \subseteq T_f$  such that  $\sigma_{\infty, N}$  acts transitively on the branches of  $T$ , and*
- (3) *for every positive integer  $k > N$ , there is an element  $\sigma_k \in \text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$  which acts on the roots of  $f^{\circ k}$  in  $F_k$  as a transposition,*

*then all iterates of  $f$  are irreducible, and the image of the arboreal Galois representation associated to  $f$  contains  $\Gamma(N)$ .*

*Proof.* Since all iterates of  $f$  are separable, Hypothesis 1 implies that all iterates of  $f$  are irreducible. We show that  $\Gamma(N)$  is contained in the image of  $\rho_f$ .

For all integers  $k > N$ , the subgroup  $\Gamma(k) \leq \Gamma(N)$  is finite index, and  $\Gamma(N)$  is isomorphic to the inverse limit  $\varprojlim_{k > N} \Gamma(N)/\Gamma(k)$ . We regard  $\Gamma(N)$  as a topological group with respect to the topology induced by the system of neighborhoods  $\{\Gamma(k)\}_{k > N}$ . The map  $\rho_f : G_F \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_f)$  is continuous in this topology. Since  $G_F$  is compact, the image,  $\rho_f(G_F)$ , is closed.

To show that the closed subgroup  $\rho_f(G_F)$  contains  $\Gamma(N)$ , it suffices to show that for all  $k$  greater than  $N$

$$(2.1) \quad (\rho_f(G_F) \cap \Gamma(k-1))/(\rho_f(G_F) \cap \Gamma(k)) = \Gamma(k-1)/\Gamma(k).$$

Fix an integer  $k > N$ . Concretely,  $\Gamma(k-1)/\Gamma(k)$  is the group of permutations  $\sigma$  of the roots of  $f^{\circ k}$  which satisfy the relation  $f(\sigma(r_k)) = f(r_k)$ . For each root  $\pi$  of  $f^{\circ(k-1)}$ , let  $X_\pi$  denote the set of roots of  $f(X) - \pi$  in  $\overline{F}$ . The group  $\Gamma(k-1)/\Gamma(k)$  stabilizes  $X_\pi$ , and there is an isomorphism

$$(2.2) \quad \Gamma(k-1)/\Gamma(k) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\pi \in \overline{F} \\ f^{\circ(k-1)}(\pi)=0}} S_{X_\pi}$$

given by the direct sum of the restriction maps. Note that  $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$  is the subquotient of  $G_F$  which is mapped isomorphically to  $(\rho_f(G_F) \cap \Gamma(k-1))/(\rho_f(G_F) \cap \Gamma(k))$  via the map induced by  $\rho_f$ .

To show Equation (2.1) holds (and therefore prove the lemma), it suffices by Equation (2.2) to show that:

- ( $\star$ ) If  $(r \ r')$  is a transposition in the symmetric group on the roots  $f^{\circ k}$  and  $f(r) = f(r')$ , then  $(r \ r')$  is realized by an element of the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$ .

We will say a transposition  $(r \ r')$  on the set of roots of  $f^{\circ k}$  lies *above* a root  $\pi$  of  $f^{\circ(k-1)}$  if

$$f(r) = f(r') = \pi.$$

We conclude the proof by demonstrating that ( $\star$ ) holds.

First, we show that  $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$  contains *at least one* transposition above each root of  $f^{\circ(k-1)}$ . Fix a root  $\pi$  of  $f^{\circ(k-1)}$ . By Assumption 3, the automorphism  $\sigma_k \in \text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$  acts on roots of  $f^{\circ k}$  as a transposition. Since  $\sigma_k$  is an element of  $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$ , it necessarily lies above a root  $\pi'$  of  $f^{\circ(k-1)}$ . By Assumption 1, there is some  $\tau \in \langle \sigma_0 \rangle$  such that  $\tau(\pi') = \pi$ . The conjugate  $\sigma_k^\tau$  acts on the roots of  $f^{\circ k}$  as a transposition above  $\pi$ .

To conclude the proof, we show that  $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$  contains *every* transposition above  $\pi$ . Observe that elements of  $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$  which are  $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$ -conjugate to a transposition above  $\pi$  are also transpositions and lie above  $\pi$ . We know  $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$  contains some transposition above  $\pi$ . To show  $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$  contains all transpositions above  $\pi$ , it suffices to show  $G_{F(\pi)}$  acts doubly transitively on  $X_\pi$ .

Let  $F_\pi$  be the splitting field of  $f(X) - \pi$  over  $F(\pi)$ . We want to show that  $G_{F(\pi)}$  acts doubly transitively on  $X_\pi$ , we will show  $\text{Gal}(F_\pi/F(\pi))$  is isomorphic to the symmetric group  $S_{X_\pi}$ . We use the following criterion for recognizing the symmetric group:

**Lemma 2.2** (pg. 98 [Gal73], Lemma 4.4.3 [Ser92]). *Let  $G$  be a transitive subgroup of  $S_n$ . Assume  $G$  contains a transposition. If  $G$  either contains*

- (i) *an  $(n-1)$ -cycle, or*
- (ii) *a  $p$ -cycle for some prime  $p > n/2$ ,*

then  $G = S_n$ .

We show these conditions hold for  $\text{Gal}(F_\pi/F(\pi)) \leq S_{X_\pi}$ . First, by Assumption 1, the automorphism  $\sigma_0$  acts on the roots of  $f^{\circ k}$  as an  $n^k$ -cycle. It follows  $\sigma_0^{n^{k-1}}$  is an element of  $G_{F(\pi)}$  which acts on  $X_\pi$  as an  $n$ -cycle. Consequently,  $\text{Gal}(F_\pi/F(\pi))$  acts transitively on  $X_\pi$ .

Next, consider the element  $\sigma := \sigma_{\infty, N}^{(n-a)^{k-N-1}}$ . If  $\pi_2$  is a root of  $f^{\circ k-1}$  contained in  $T$ , then  $\sigma$  fixes  $\pi_1$  and cyclically permutes the  $(n-a)$ -vertices of  $T$  which lie above  $\pi_1$ . It follows that the image of  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Gal}(F_{\pi_1}/F(\pi_1))$  is either a  $(n-1)$ -cycle, or has an order divisible by a prime  $p := n-a > n/2$ . Taking a further power of  $\sigma$  if necessary, we deduce that there is a root  $\pi_1$  of  $f^{\circ k}$  such that the image of the permutation representation of  $\text{Gal}(F_{\pi_1}/F(\pi_1))$  on  $X_{\pi_1}$  contains either an  $(n-1)$ -cycle or a  $p$ -cycle for some prime  $p > n/2$ . By Hypothesis 1, there is some element  $\tau \in \langle \sigma_0 \rangle$  which maps  $\pi_1$  to  $\pi$ . Under such an element  $\tau$ , the set  $X_{\pi_1}$  is mapped to  $X_\pi$ , and the actions of  $\text{Gal}(F_{\pi_1}/F(\pi_1))$  and  $\text{Gal}(F_\pi/F(\pi))$  are intertwined. In particular, the cycle types occurring in  $\text{Gal}(F_{\pi_1}/F(\pi_1))$  are the same as in  $\text{Gal}(F_\pi/F(\pi))$ . By Lemma 2.2, we conclude  $\text{Gal}(F_\pi/F(\pi)) \cong S_{X_\pi}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** *Hypothesis 1 of Lemma 2.1 can be replaced by the weaker assumption that  $T_f$  is a regular,  $n$ -branching tree and  $G_F$  acts transitively on the branches of  $T_f$ , i.e. that  $f^{\circ k}$  is irreducible for all  $k$ . We have chosen to state Lemma 2.1 in this form, as it better indicates our strategy for the proof of the main theorem of Section 3.*

### 3. ALMOST SURJECTIVE REPRESENTATIONS

Fix an integer  $n \geq 2$  and a field  $E \subset \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$  that is ramified outside of finitely many primes in  $\mathbf{Z}$ . In this section, we give explicit examples of polynomials of degree  $n$  whose arboreal  $G_E$ -representation contains  $\Gamma(1)$ . In fact, many of our examples have surjective arboreal Galois representation.

Given a non-zero rational number  $\alpha$ , define  $\alpha^+ \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  and  $\alpha^- \in \mathbf{Z}$  to be the unique positive integer and integer, respectively, such that  $(\alpha^+, \alpha^-) = 1$  and  $\alpha = \frac{\alpha^+}{\alpha^-}$ . Our main theorem in this section is:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $E/\mathbf{Q}$  be an extension which is unramified outside finitely many primes of  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Choose  $a < n$  to satisfy:*

- (a.1) if  $n \leq 6$ , then  $a = 1$ ,
- (a.2) if  $n \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ , then  $a = 1$ ,
- (a.3) otherwise,  $n-a$  is a prime and  $a < n/2$ .

Assume  $A \in \mathbf{Q}$  satisfies:

- (A.1) if  $p$  is a prime which ramifies in  $E$ , then  $p$ -adic valuation  $v_p(A) > 0$ ,
- (A.2) there is a prime  $p_0$  which is unramified in  $E$  and prime to  $n$  such that  $v_{p_0}(A) = 1$ ,
- (A.3)  $A > 2^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \left(\frac{a}{n}\right)^{-\frac{a}{n-1}} \left|\frac{a}{n} - 1\right|^{-\frac{n-a}{n-1}} > 1$ ,
- (A.4)  $v_2(A) \geq \frac{3}{n-1} + \frac{n}{n-1}v_2(n)$ ,
- (A.5)  $(A^+, n) = 2^{v_2(n)}$ ,
- (A.6)  $(A^-, a(a-n)) = 1$ ,
- (A.7) there is a prime  $p_\infty > n$  which is unramified in  $E$  such that  $v_{p_\infty}(A) = -1$ , and
- (A.8) if  $n$  is even, then  $A^- \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ ,

then the polynomial

$$f(X) := X^a(X-A)^{n-a} + A$$

and all of its iterates are irreducible over  $E$  and the image of the arboreal  $G_E$ -representation associated to  $f$  :

- (1) contains  $\Gamma(1)$  if  $a = 1$  and  $n > 2$ , (i.e.  $n$  satisfies  $2 < n \leq 6$  or  $n \equiv -7 \pmod{8}$ ), and
- (2) equals  $\text{Aut}(T_f)$ , otherwise.

It is clear that there are infinitely many values of  $A$  satisfying Hypotheses (A.1) - (A.8). The fact that there is a value of  $a$  satisfying Hypotheses (a.1) - (a.3) is a consequence of Bertrand's postulate.

The remainder of this section constitutes the proof of Theorem 3.1. Fix elements  $a < n$  and  $A \in \mathbf{Q}$  which satisfy the hypotheses of this theorem, and let  $f(X) = X^a(X - A)^{n-a} + A$ . Let  $N = 1$  if  $a = 1$  and  $n > 2$ ; otherwise, let  $N = 0$ . As in Section 2, for each non-negative integer  $k$ , we denote the extension of  $E$  generated by all roots of  $f^{\circ k}$  by  $E_k \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ . Finally, for each prime  $p \in \mathbf{Z}$ , fix for once and for all an embedding  $i_p : \overline{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ . The map  $i_p$  induces an inclusion on Galois groups  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \hookrightarrow G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ . Throughout the remainder of this note, we will regard  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$  as a subfield of  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ , and  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  as a subgroup of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  via these maps. We denote the maximal unramified extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  by  $\mathbf{Q}_p^{un}$ .

We will use Lemma 2.1 to show that the image of  $G_E$  under  $\rho_f : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_f)$  contains  $\Gamma(N)$ . To do so, we will show that  $G_E$  contains a set of elements  $\{\sigma_k : k \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{\infty\}\}$  that satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 2.1, where  $\sigma_{\infty}$  denotes  $\sigma_{\infty, N}$ , an element satisfying Hypothesis 2. As described in the introduction, our strategy will be to find a set of prime integers  $\{p_k : k \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{\infty\}\}$  that are unramified in  $E$  and have the property that the inertia subgroup  $I_{p_k} \leq G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p_k}} \leq G_E$  contains an element  $\sigma_k$  satisfying the relevant hypothesis of Lemma 2.1. The primes  $p_0$  and  $p_{\infty}$  are those primes described in Theorem 3.1 that satisfy hypotheses (A.2) and (A.7), respectively. The local behavior of  $\rho_f$  at these primes mimic the local behavior at 0 and  $\infty$  in the arboreal Galois representation attached to  $f(X, t) = X^a(X - t)^{n-a} + t$  over  $\mathbf{C}(t)$ . In Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4, we show that when  $k$  is 0 or  $\infty$ , the  $I_{p_k}$ -action on  $T_f$  factors through its tame quotient, and a lift  $\sigma_k$  of any generator of tame inertia satisfies the relevant hypothesis of Lemma 2.1. From Lemma 3.2, we will also deduce all iterates of  $f$  are separable. The primes  $p_k$  for  $k$  a positive integer are found in Lemma 3.5. Every iterate of the polynomial  $f$  has a critical point at  $\frac{a}{n}A$ . Therefore,  $f^{\circ k}(\frac{a}{n}A)$  divides the discriminant of  $f^{\circ k}$ . Furthermore,  $\frac{a}{n}A$  is a simple critical point of  $f$ . In Lemma 3.5, we find a prime  $p_k$  that is prime to the numerator of  $A$  (and hence by Assumption (A.1) is unramified in  $E$ ) and divides the numerator of  $f^{\circ k}(\frac{a}{n}A)$  to odd order. Assumptions (A.3) - (A.6) and (A.8) are made to guarantee that such a prime divisor occurs. In Lemma 3.6, we show the ring of integers of  $E_k$  is simply branched over  $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{Z})$  at  $p_k$ . At such primes  $p_k$ , the elements of the inertia group  $I_{p_k}$  that act non-trivially on the roots  $f^{\circ k}$  act as a transposition  $\sigma_k$ .

We begin by verifying that all iterates of  $f$  are separable and that Hypothesis 1 of Lemma 2.1 holds for  $f$ . Let  $p_0$  be a prime that satisfies Assumption (A.2). We wish to show that *all iterates of  $f$  are separable, and that there is an element  $\sigma_0 \in G_E$ , which acts transitively on the branches of  $T_f$* . We will show that all iterates of  $f$  are separable over  $\mathbf{Q}_{p_0}$ , and that there is an element  $\sigma_0 \in I_{p_0}$  which acts transitively on the branches. This is immediate consequence of the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $a \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  and  $A \in \mathbf{Q}$  satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. Let  $p_0$  be a prime that witnesses Assumption (A.2). For all positive integers  $i$ , the polynomial  $f^{\circ i}$  is irreducible over  $\mathbf{Q}_{p_0}^{un}$  and splits over a cyclic extension.*

*Proof.* We show that  $f^{\circ i}$  is an Eisenstein polynomial over  $\mathbf{Z}_{p_0}$ . By Assumption (A.2), the polynomial  $f$  has  $p_0$ -integral coefficients, and satisfies the congruence  $f \equiv X^n \pmod{p_0}$ . Therefore,  $f^{\circ k} \in \mathbf{Z}_{p_0}[X]$  and satisfies the congruence  $f^{\circ k}(X) \equiv X^{n^k} \pmod{p_0}$ . Noting that  $f(0) = A$  and that  $A$  is a fixed point of  $f$ , we conclude that  $f^k(0) = A$ , which is a uniformizer in  $\mathbf{Z}_{p_0}$ . Therefore,  $f^{\circ k} \in \mathbf{Z}_{p_0}[X]$  is an Eisenstein polynomial.

Since the degree  $\deg(f^{\circ i}) = n^i$  is prime to  $p_0$ , an Eisenstein polynomial of this degree is irreducible over  $\mathbf{Q}_{p_0}^{un}$  and splits over the cyclic, tame extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_{p_0}^{un}$  of ramification degree  $n^i$ .  $\square$

Our next task is to verify that Hypothesis 2 of Lemma 2.1 holds for  $f$ . Note that the conditions (a.1)-(a.3) of Theorem 3.1 are those on  $a$  that appear in the statement of Lemma 3.1. Therefore, we must show that *there is a regular  $(n - a)$ -branching subtree  $T \subseteq T_f$  whose lowest vertex has height  $N$ , and an element  $\sigma_\infty \in G_E$  which preserves  $T$  and acts transitively on the branches of  $T$* . This claim is vacuously true if  $n = 2$ ; in this case one can take  $T$  to be any branch of  $T_f$  and  $\sigma_\infty$  to be the identity. We may therefore restrict our attention to the case that  $n > 2$ .

Let  $p_\infty$  be a prime that witnesses Assumption (A.7) of Theorem 3.1. Since  $p_\infty > n$ , the pro- $p_\infty$ -Sylow of  $\text{Aut}(T_f)$  is trivial and the action of  $I_{p_\infty}$  on  $T_f$  factors through its pro-cyclic, tame quotient. By the unramifiedness condition in (A.7), we have  $I_{p_\infty} \leq G_E$ . To verify the Hypothesis 2, it thus suffices to show there is an  $I_{p_\infty}$ -stable, regular,  $(n - a)$ -branching tree  $T$  whose lowest vertex has height  $N$  such that  $I_{p_\infty}$ -acts transitively on the branches of  $T$ . In Lemma 3.4, we will find such a tree.

Before proving Lemma 3.4, we prove the following lemma, which explains the failure of our methods to produce surjective arboreal Galois representations in Theorem 3.1 under the assumption that  $a = 1$ . In Section 4, we will utilize this lemma to produce examples of surjective arboreal Galois representations when  $n \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  or  $n$  is in the range  $3 \leq n \leq 6$ , i.e. in the cases that  $a = 1$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $l$  be a prime integer which does not divide  $n - 1$ . Assume that  $B \in \mathbf{Q}_l$  satisfies  $v_l(B) = -1$ . Then the polynomial*

$$g(X) := X(X - B)^{n-1} + B$$

*splits completely over an unramified extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_l$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the polynomial

$$S(X) := B^{-1}f(B + X) = B^{-1}X^n + X^{n-1} + 1 \in \mathbf{Z}_l[X]$$

The polynomial  $S$  splits over a given field if and only if  $g$  does. We show  $S$  splits over an unramified extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_l$ . Consider the Newton polygon of  $S$ ; it has one segment of slope 0 and length  $n - 1$ , and one segment of length 1 and slope 1. It follows that  $S$  has  $n - 1$  roots of valuation 0 and one root of valuation  $-1$ . The root of valuation  $-1$  is necessarily  $\mathbf{Q}_l$ -rational. As for the roots of valuation 0, since

$$S(X) \equiv X^{n-1} + 1 \pmod{l}$$

is separable, these roots have distinct images in the residue field. By Hensel's lemma, we conclude  $S$  splits over an unramified extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_l$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Assume  $n > 2$ . Let  $a \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  and  $A \in \mathbf{Q}$  satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. Let  $p_\infty$  be a prime that witnesses Assumption (A.7). Then there is a subtree  $T \subseteq T_f$  whose*

lowest vertex has height  $N$  which is  $I_{p_\infty}$ -stable, regular, and  $(n-a)$ -branching such that  $I_{p_\infty}$  acts transitively on the branches of  $T$ .

*Proof.* Consider the subtree of  $T_f^\infty \subseteq T_f$  consisting of 0 and the roots  $r \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p_\infty}$  of  $f^{\circ i}$  such that the valuation  $v_{p_\infty}(f^{\circ j}(r)) = -1$  for all non-negative integers  $j < i$ . Since the action of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}}$  on  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p_\infty}$  preserves the valuation, the tree  $T_f^\infty$  is  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}}$ -stable.

We claim that  $T_f^\infty$  is a regular,  $(n-a)$ -branching tree. To see this, observe that if  $\epsilon$  is any element of  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p_\infty}$  of valuation less than or equal to  $-1$ . Then the Newton polygon of

$$f(X) - \epsilon = X^a(X - A)^{n-a} + (A - \epsilon) = (A - \epsilon) + \sum_{j=a}^n \binom{n-a}{n-j} A^{n-j} X^j$$

has two segments: one has length  $n-a$  and slope  $-v_{p_\infty}(A) = 1$ , and the other has length  $a$  and slope

$$\frac{v_{p_\infty}(A^{n-a}) - v_{p_\infty}(A - \epsilon)}{a} = \frac{a - n - v_{p_\infty}(A - \epsilon)}{a} \leq \frac{a - n + 1}{a} \leq 2 - \frac{n}{a},$$

which is less than 1. It follows that the pre-image of  $\epsilon$  under  $f$  contains exactly  $n-a$  elements of valuation  $-1$ . Specializing to the pre-image tree of 0, we deduce that the tree  $T_f^\infty$  is regular and  $(n-a)$ -branching.

When  $a = 1$ , by Lemma 3.3, the polynomial  $f$  splits completely over an unramified extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}$ . In this case, choose  $T$  to be any of the  $(n-a)$  full subtrees of  $T_f^\infty$  whose lowest vertex has height 1. The inertia group  $I_{p_\infty}$  acts on  $T$ . If  $a > 1$ , let  $T$  equal  $T_f^\infty$ . We claim that the inertia group  $I_{p_\infty}$  acts transitively on the branches of  $T$ .

Let  $r_k$  be a root of  $f^{\circ k}$  contained in  $T_f^\infty$ . The ramification index of  $\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}(r_k)/\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}$  is the size of the orbit of  $r_k$  in  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p_\infty}$  under  $I_{p_\infty}$ . We wish to show that  $I_{p_\infty}$  acts transitively on  $T$ . By induction on  $k$ , it suffices to show that  $r_k$  orbit has size:

$$(3.1) \quad e_k := \begin{cases} (n-a)^k, & \text{if } a > 1, \text{ and} \\ (n-a)^{k-1}, & \text{if } a = 1. \end{cases}$$

We show  $e(\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}(r_k)/\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}) = e_k$ . Note that  $e(\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}(r_k)/\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty})$  is at most  $e_k$  as the size of the orbit of  $r_k$  under  $I_{p_\infty}$  is at most the number of vertices in  $T$  that have height  $k$  in  $T_f^\infty$ . To conclude the proof, it suffices to show that  $e_k$  greater than or equal to  $e(\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}(r_k)/\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty})$ .

We will show a root  $r_k$  of  $f^{\circ k}$  contained in  $T_f^\infty$  satisfies:

$$(3.2) \quad v_{p_\infty}((r_k - A)) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{n-1}{(n-a)^i}.$$

For each integer  $i$  in the range  $0 \leq i \leq k$  define

$$r_i := f^{\circ k-i}(r_k) \text{ and } \epsilon_i := (r_i - A)/A.$$

Equation (3.2) is equivalent to the assertion that

$$(3.3) \quad v_{p_\infty}(\epsilon_0) = 0 \text{ and } v_{p_\infty}(\epsilon_i) = \frac{v_{p_\infty}(\epsilon_{i-1})}{n-a} + \frac{n-1}{n-a} \text{ if } i > 1.$$



We verify (3.3). The case when  $i = 0$  is clear, as  $\epsilon_0 = -1$ . Consider the case where  $i > 0$ . Then since  $A(1 + \epsilon_i) = r_i$ , we see that  $\epsilon_i$  is a root of

$$\begin{aligned} g_i(X) &:= f(A(1 + X)) - r_{i-1} \\ &= A^n(1 + X)^a X^{n-a} + (A - r_{i-1}) \\ &= A^n(1 + X)^a X^{n-a} + \epsilon_{i-1}A. \end{aligned}$$

Examining the Newton polygon of  $g_i$ , one sees that  $g_i$  has exactly  $a$  roots of valuation 0 and  $n - a$  roots of valuation

$$-\frac{v_{p_\infty}(\epsilon_{i-1}A) - v_{p_\infty}(A^n)}{n - a} = \frac{v_{p_\infty}(\epsilon_{i-1})}{n - a} + \frac{n - 1}{n - a}.$$

Since  $f - r_{i-1}$  has exactly  $n - a$  roots of valuation  $-1$ , it must be the case that  $\epsilon_i$  is a root of  $g_i$  of valuation

$$\frac{v_{p_\infty}(\epsilon_{i-1})}{n - a} + \frac{n - 1}{n - a} > 0.$$

Hence, Equation (3.2) holds and  $e_k \geq e(\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}(r_k)/\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty})$ .  $\square$

We thus conclude that Hypothesis 2 of Lemma 2.1 holds for  $f$ .

The final hypothesis of Lemma 2.1 is *that for every positive integer  $k > N$  the permutation representation of  $\text{Gal}(E_k/E_{k-1})$  acting on the roots of  $f^{\circ k}$  in  $E_k$  contains a transposition*. It is shown to hold for  $f$  for all values of  $k \geq 0$  by the following two lemmas. Recall our convention for writing a rational number as a fraction: for  $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}$ , we denote by  $\alpha^+ \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  and  $\alpha^- \in \mathbf{Z}$  the unique *positive* integer and integer, respectively, such that  $(\alpha^+, \alpha^-) = 1$  and  $\alpha = \frac{\alpha^+}{\alpha^-}$ .

Note that  $\frac{a}{n}A$  is a critical point of  $f$ , and therefore by the chain rule, a critical point of all iterates of  $f$ . The next lemma, Lemma 3.5, shows that for every  $k > 0$ , there is a prime  $p_k$  (satisfying certain conditions), which does not divide  $A^+$ , so that  $\frac{a}{n}A$  is a root of  $f^{\circ k} \pmod{p_k}$ . By assumption A.2, all primes which ramify in  $E$  divide  $A^+$ . Hence,  $p_k$  is unramified in  $E$ . In Lemma 3.6, we will show that under the Hypotheses of Lemma 3.5 the inertia group  $I_{p_k}$  acts on the roots of  $f^{\circ k}$  as a transposition.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $a \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  and  $A \in \mathbf{Q}$  satisfy the assumptions of theorem 3.1. For each positive integer  $k$ , there exists a prime integer  $p_k \nmid nA^-A^+$  so that the  $p_k$ -adic valuation of  $f^{\circ k}(\frac{a}{n}A)$  is positive and odd.*

*Proof.* For each positive integer  $k$ , let  $c_k$  denote  $\frac{f^{\circ k}(\frac{a}{n}A)}{A}$ . To prove this lemma it suffices to show for all positive integers  $k$  that  $c_k^+$  is relatively prime to  $nA^-A^+$  and is not a perfect square. We will show the following. First, we show that  $c_k^+$  and  $A^+$  are relatively prime. Then, we show that  $c_k = c_k^+/c_k^-$  is a square in  $\mathbf{Z}_2^\times$ . To finish the proof, we analyze the denominator  $c_k^-$ . We show that if  $n_2 = n/2^{v_2(n)}$ , then  $n_2A^-|c_k^-$  and that  $c_k^-$  is not a square in  $\mathbf{Z}_2^\times$ . Noting that  $2|A^+$  by Hypothesis (A.4), these claims imply that  $nA^-A^+$  and  $c_k^+$  are relatively prime, and that  $c_k^+$  is not a square.

Define  $c_0 = \frac{a}{n}$ . Then for all  $k > 0$ ,

$$(3.4) \quad c_k = A^{n-1}c_{k-1}^a(c_{k-1} - 1)^{n-a} + 1.$$

Let  $p \neq 2$  be a prime integer factor of  $A^+$ . By Assumption (A.5), the prime  $p$  is not a factor of  $n$ . Hence,  $c_0$  is  $p$ -integral. Using Equation (3.4), one concludes by induction that  $c_k$  is  $p$ -integral and  $c_k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

Now consider the case where  $p = 2$ . By Hypothesis (A.4), the valuation  $v_2(A)$  satisfies

$$v_2(A) \geq \frac{3}{n-1} + \frac{n}{n-1}v_2(n) > 0.$$

Combining this with Equation (3.4), we observe

$$v_2(c_1 - 1) = v_2 \left( A^{n-1} \left( \frac{a}{n} \right)^a \left( \frac{a}{n} - 1 \right)^{n-a} \right) \geq (n-1)v_2(A) - nv_2(n) \geq 3,$$

and

$$v_2(c_k - 1) = v_2 \left( A^{n-1} (c_{k-1})^a (c_{k-1} - 1)^{n-a} \right) \geq v_2(c_{k-1} - 1),$$

if  $k > 1$ . Therefore,  $c_k$  is 2-integral and congruent to 1 mod 8. We conclude that  $c_k^+$  and  $A^+$  are relatively prime. Furthermore, recalling that the squares in  $\mathbf{Z}_2^\times$  are exactly the elements congruent to 1 mod 8, we conclude that  $c_k$  is a square in  $\mathbf{Z}_2^\times$ .

Now, we examine  $c_k^-$ . We've seen that  $c_k^-$  is prime to 2. Let  $n_2 := n/2^{v_2(n)}$ . We will show by induction that

$$(3.5) \quad c_k^- = (A^-)^{n^k-1} n_2^{n^k} (-1)^{(n-a)n^{k-1}}.$$

This equation shows that  $c_k^+$  is prime to  $n_2 A^-$ . More subtly, Equation (3.5) shows  $c_k^- \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , and therefore is not a square in  $\mathbf{Z}_2^\times$ . To see this, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (A^-)^{n^k-1} n_2^{n^k} (-1)^{(n-a)n^{k-1}} &\equiv \begin{cases} \pm A^- \pmod{8} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ (-1)^{n-a} \pmod{8} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ \pm n \pmod{8} & \text{if } n \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8} \\ n(-1)^{(n-a)} \pmod{8} & \text{if } n \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases} \\ &\equiv \begin{cases} \pm 3 \pmod{8} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \text{ by Assumption (A.8),} \\ -1 \pmod{8} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \text{ by Assumption (a.3),} \\ \pm 3 \pmod{8} & \text{if } n \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8} \\ -1 \pmod{8} & \text{if } n \equiv 7 \pmod{8}, \text{ as } n-a = n-1 \text{ is even} \\ & \text{by Assumption (a.2).} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, to conclude the proof, it suffices to confirm Equation (3.5).

We will prove Equation (3.5) by induction on  $k$ . We begin by showing the equation holds when  $k = 1$ . The element

$$c_1 = A^{n-1} \left( \frac{a}{n} \right)^a \left( \frac{a}{n} - 1 \right)^{n-a} + 1 = (-1)^{n-a} \frac{(A^+)^{n-1} a^a (n-a)^{n-a}}{(A^-)^{n-1} n^n} + 1.$$

So a prime  $p$  divides  $c_1^-$  only if  $p|A^-$  or  $p|n_2$ . To deduce Equation (3.5) in this case, we must show that for all  $p|A^- n_2$  the valuation:

$$(3.6) \quad v_p(c_1^-) = v_p((A^-)^{n-1} n_2^n),$$

and the sign

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{c_1^-}{|c_1^-|} = (-1)^{n-a}.$$

These equalities hold if and only if

$$(3.8) \quad (A^- n_2, A^+ a(n-a)) = 1,$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{(A^+)^{n-1} a^a (n-a)^{n-a}}{(A^-)^{n-1} n^n} > 1,$$

respectively. We prove (3.8) and (3.9). By Assumption (A.6), if  $p$  divides  $n_2$ , then  $p$  is prime to  $A^+$ . Since  $a$  and  $n$  are relatively prime, a prime  $p$  dividing  $n_2$  does not divide  $a(n-a)$ . Similarly, if  $p$  divides  $A^-$ , then by definition  $p$  is prime to  $A^+$ , and by Assumption (A.6), the prime  $p$  does not divide  $a(n-a)$ . We conclude Equation (3.8) holds. To see (3.9), observe that

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{(A^+)^{n-1} a^a (n-a)^{n-a}}{(A^-)^{n-1} n^n} = (A \left( \frac{a}{n} \right)^{\frac{a}{n-1}} \left| \frac{a}{n} - 1 \right|^{\frac{n-a}{n-1}})^{n-1} > 2$$

by Assumption (A.3). We conclude Equation (3.5) holds when  $k = 1$ .

Now assume that Equation (3.5) holds  $k \geq 1$ , we show Equation (3.5) holds for  $k + 1$ . Observe that

$$c_{k+1} = A^{n-1} c_k^a (c_k - 1)^{n-a} + 1 = \frac{(A^+)^{n-1} (c_k^+)^a ((c_k - 1)^+)^{n-a}}{(A^-)^{n-1} (c_k^-)^n} + 1.$$

Hence, a prime  $p$  divides  $c_{k+1}^-$  only if  $p | A^- c_k^-$ . By induction, it follows that all prime divisors of  $c_{k+1}^-$  must divide  $A^- n_2$ . Note that,

$$(A^-)^{n-1} (c_k^-)^n = (A^-)^{n-1} ((A^-)^{n^k-1} n_2^{n^k-1})^n = (A^-)^{n^k-1} n_2^{n^k}.$$

Hence, to show Equation (3.5), it is sufficient to show for all  $p | A^- n_2$  the valuation

$$(3.11) \quad v_p(c_{k+1}^-) = v_p((A^-)^{n-1} (c_k^-)^n),$$

and that the sign

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{c_{k+1}^-}{|c_{k+1}^-|} = \left( \frac{c_k^-}{|c_k^-|} \right)^n.$$

These equations are implied by

$$(3.13) \quad (A^- n_2, A^+ c_k^+ (c_k - 1)^+) = 1,$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad \left| \frac{(A^+)^{n-1} (c_k^+)^a ((c_k - 1)^+)^{n-a}}{(A^-)^{n-1} (c_k^-)^n} \right| = |A^{n-1} c_k^a (c_k - 1)^{n-a}| = |c_{k+1} - 1| > 2 > 1,$$

respectively.

We conclude the proof by demonstrating equations 3.13 and 3.14. Because  $n_2$  and  $A^+$  are relatively prime (by Assumption (A.5)), and  $A^- n_2$  divides  $c_k^-$  and  $A^- n_2$  divides  $(c_k - 1)^-$  by induction, we conclude equality 3.13 holds. By Equation (3.10), we see that  $|c_k - 1| > 2$  when  $k = 1$ . It follows by induction that

$$|c_{k+1} - 1| = |A^{n-1} c_k^a (c_k - 1)^{n-a}| > |A|^{n-1} |c_k|^a |(c_k - 1)|^{n-a} > 2^{n-a}.$$

Hence, Equation (3.14) holds.  $\square$

By Lemma 3.5, the prime  $p_k$  does not divide  $A^+$ . Therefore by Assumption (A.2), this prime is unramified in  $E$ . To finish the proof of Theorem 3.1, we show that some element of the inertia group  $I_{p_k} \leq G_E$  acts on the roots of  $f^{\circ k}$  as a transposition.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $a \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  and  $A \in \mathbf{Q}$  satisfy the assumptions of theorem 3.1. Let  $p_k$  be a prime integer such that  $p_k \nmid nA^-A^+$  and the  $p_k$ -adic valuation of  $f^{\circ k}(\frac{a}{n}A)$  positive and odd, then*

- (1) *there is a factorization of  $f^{\circ k}(X) \equiv g(X)b(X) \pmod{p_k}$  as where  $g(X)$  and  $b(X)$  are coprime,  $g(X)$  is a separable, and  $b(X) = (X - \frac{aA}{n})^2$ , and*
- (2) *the inertia group  $I_{p_k} \leq G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p_k}} \leq G_E$  acts on the set of roots  $f^{\circ k}$  in  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p_k}$  as a transposition.*

*Proof of Claim 1.* We show that  $\frac{a}{n}A$  is the unique multiple root of  $f^{\circ k}$  and its multiplicity is 2.

We begin by showing  $\frac{a}{n}A$  is a multiple root of  $f^{\circ k}$ . A polynomial over a field  $F$  has a multiple root at  $\alpha \in \overline{F}$  if and only if  $\alpha$  is both a root and a critical point. By assumption, the value  $\frac{a}{n}A$  is a root of  $f^{\circ k} \pmod{p_k}$ . To see  $\frac{a}{n}A$  is a multiple root, observe that

$$(3.15) \quad (f^{\circ k})'(X) = f'(X) \prod_{0 < i < k} f'(f^{\circ i}(X))$$

and

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} f'(X) &= aX^{a-1}(X - A) + (n - a)X^a(X - A)^{n-a-1} \\ &= X^{a-1}X^{n-a-1}(nX - aA), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $\frac{a}{n}A$  is a critical point of  $f^{\circ k}$ .

Now assume  $c$  is a root of  $f^{\circ k} \pmod{p_k}$  with multiplicity  $m > 1$ . Let  $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{p_k}$  be the ring of integers of  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p_k}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  be its maximal ideal. Because  $f^{\circ k}$  is separable, there exists exactly  $m$  roots  $r_1, \dots, r_m \in \overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{p_k}$  of  $f^{\circ k}$  such that  $r_i \equiv c \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Let  $L(c) := \{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$ . To prove Claim 1, it suffices to show  $c$  equals  $\frac{a}{n}A$  and  $m = |L(c)|$  equals 2.

For each pair of pair of distinct roots  $r$  and  $r'$  lifting  $c$ , let  $l(r, r')$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $f^{\circ l(r, r')}(r) = f^{\circ l(r, r')}(r')$ . Considering  $r$  and  $r'$  as vertices of the tree  $T_f$ , the value  $l(r, r')$  is the distance to the most common recent ancestor between  $r$  and  $r'$ . Let

$$N(c) := \max\{l(r, r') : r, r' \in L(c)\}.$$

We claim that if  $N(c)$  equals 1, then  $c$  equals  $\frac{a}{n}A$  and  $m$  equals 2. To see why, assume  $N(c)$  equals 1. Then  $r_1, \dots, r_m$  are all roots of the polynomial  $f(X) - f(r_1)$ . Therefore,  $c$  is a critical point of  $f(X) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ . From Equation (3.16), one observes that the critical points of  $f(X)$  are 0,  $A$  and  $\frac{a}{n}A$ . By assumption  $f^{\circ k}(c) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ . On the other hand, since  $A$  is a fixed point of  $f$  and  $f(0) = A$ ,

$$f^{\circ k}(0) = f^{\circ k}(A) = A \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Thus,  $c$  must equal  $\frac{a}{n}A$ . The critical point  $\frac{a}{n}A$  has multiplicity 1. Therefore,  $m = L(c) = 2$ .

To finish the proof the claim, we must show  $N(c) = 1$ . Assume this is not the case, and let  $r$  and  $r'$  be a pair of lifts such that  $l := l(r, r') > 1$ . Then  $f^{\circ l-1}(r)$  and  $f^{\circ l-1}(r')$  are distinct roots of the polynomial

$$g_{r, r'}(X) := f(X) - f^{\circ l}(r) = f(X) - f^{\circ l}(r')$$

which reduce to  $f^{ol-1}(c)$  modulo  $\mathfrak{m}$ . It follows  $f^{ol-1}(c)$  is a root of  $g'_{r,r'}(X) = f'(X)$ , and hence equals  $A$  or  $0$  or  $\frac{a}{n}A$ . Since  $f^{ok}(c) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_k}$  and

$$f^{ok-l-1}(0) = f^{ok-l-1}(A) = A \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p_k},$$

it must be the case that  $f^{ol-1}(c)$  equals  $\frac{a}{n}A$ . But this implies, as  $0 \equiv f^{ok}(\frac{a}{n}A) \pmod{p_k}$  by assumption, that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\equiv f^{ok}\left(\frac{a}{n}A\right) \pmod{p_k} \\ &\equiv f^{ok}(f^{ol-1}(c)) \pmod{p_k} \\ &\equiv f^{l-1}(f^{ok}(c)) \pmod{p_k} \\ &\equiv f^{l-1}(0) \pmod{p_k} \\ &\equiv A \pmod{p_k}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. □

*Proof of Claim 2.* The factorization  $b(x)g(x) = f(x)$ , appearing in Claim 1, lifts by Hensel's Lemma to a factorization

$$B(X)G(X) = f(X)$$

in  $\mathbf{Z}_{p_k}[X]$ , where  $B(X)$  and  $G(X)$  are monic polynomials such that

$$B \equiv b \pmod{p_k} \text{ and } G \equiv g \pmod{p_k}.$$

As  $g$  is separable,  $G$  splits over an unramified extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_{p_k}$ . To show  $I_{p_k}$  acts a transposition, we show the splitting field of  $B$  is a ramified quadratic extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_{p_k}$ .

Consider the quadratic polynomial  $B(X + \frac{a}{n}A) = X^2 + B'(\frac{a}{n}A)X + B(\frac{a}{n}A)$ . As

$$B'\left(\frac{a}{n}A\right)G\left(\frac{a}{n}A\right) + B\left(\frac{a}{n}A\right)G'\left(\frac{a}{n}A\right) = f'\left(\frac{a}{n}A\right) = 0,$$

and

$$G\left(\frac{a}{n}A\right) \equiv g\left(\frac{a}{n}A\right) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p_k},$$

we observe  $v_{p_k}(B'(\frac{a}{n}A)) \geq v_{p_k}(B(\frac{a}{n}A))$ . It follows that the Newton polygon  $B(X + \frac{a}{n}A)$  has a single segment of slope  $\frac{v_{p_k}(B(\frac{a}{n}A))}{2}$  and width 2. As

$$v_{p_k}\left(B\left(\frac{a}{n}A\right)\right) = v_{p_k}\left(f\left(\frac{a}{n}A\right)\right) - v_{p_k}\left(G\left(\frac{a}{n}A\right)\right) = v_{p_k}\left(f\left(\frac{a}{n}A\right)\right)$$

the slope is non-integral. We conclude  $B(X + \frac{a}{n}A)$  is irreducible and splits over a ramified (quadratic) extension. □

Having verified that the conditions of Lemma 2.1 hold for  $f$ , we conclude that Theorem 3.1 is true.

## 4. BRIDGING THE GAP

Having proven Theorem 3.1, we observe that our main theorem, Theorem 1.2, holds in polynomial degrees  $n$  satisfying  $n \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  and  $n \geq 6$ , or  $n = 2$ . In this section, we prove that Theorem 1.2 holds in all remaining cases.

Assume that either  $n \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ , or  $n$  is in the range  $3 \leq n \leq 6$ . Define

$$f(X, t) := X(X - t)^{n-1} + t \in \mathbf{Q}[t, X].$$

By Theorem 3.1, there are infinitely many values of  $A \in \mathbf{Q}$  such that the image of the arboreal Galois representation  $\rho_{f(X,A)} : G_E \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_{f(X,A)})$  associated to the specialization

$$f(X, A) = X(X - A)^{n-1} + A \in \mathbf{Q}[X]$$

contains  $\Gamma(1)$ . To prove Theorem 1.2, we will use the Hilbert Irreducibility Theorem to show that for some infinite subset of these values the splitting field of the specialization  $f(X, A)$  over  $E$  is an  $S_n$ -extension. For our first step, we calculate the geometric Galois group of the 1-parameter family  $f(X, t)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic 0. The splitting field of the polynomial  $f(X, t)$  over  $F(t)$  is an  $S_n$ -extension.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume  $F$  is the complex numbers  $\mathbf{C}$ . Let

$$g(X, t) = f(X - t, -t) = X^n - tX^{n-1} - t.$$

It suffices to show that the splitting field of  $g(X, t)$  over  $\mathbf{C}(t)$  is an  $S_n$ -extension. Let  $\pi : C_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  be the étale morphism whose fiber above a point  $t_0 \in \mathbf{C}$  is the set of isomorphisms

$$\phi_t : \{0, \dots, n-1\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{r \in \mathbf{C} : g(r, t_0) = 0\}.$$

Let  $C$  be a smooth, proper curve containing  $C_0$ , and let  $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  be the map extending  $\pi : C_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ . The splitting field of  $g$  is an  $S_n$ -extension if and only if  $C$  is connected. We show the latter.

We will analyze the monodromy around the branch points of  $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ . The cover  $C$  is ramified above the roots of

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta g(X, t) &= n^n \prod_{\substack{c \in \overline{\mathbf{C}(t)}, \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(c, t) = 0}} g(c, t)^{m_c} \\ &= n^n g(0, t)^{n-2} g\left(\frac{n-1}{n}t, t\right) \\ &= n^n (-t)^{n-2} \left( \left(-\frac{1}{n}t\right) \left(\frac{n-1}{n}t\right)^{n-1} - t \right) \\ &= n^n (-t)^{n-1} \left( \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left(\frac{n-1}{n}t\right)^{n-1} + 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $m_c$  is the multiplicity of the critical point  $c$ . Hence,  $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  is branched at 0 and

$$\alpha_k := M e^{\frac{(2k+1)\pi i}{(n-1)}},$$

where  $k \in \{0, \dots, n-2\}$  and  $M$  is a positive real number which is independent of  $k$ . Each of the branch points  $\alpha_k$  is simple. One may check (though it is not relevant to our proof)

that  $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  is unramified at  $\infty$ ; for a proof, see Lemma 3.3. We let  $D := \{0, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-2}\}$  denote the branch locus.

Since  $g(X, t) = X^n - tX^{n-1} - t$  is  $t$ -Eisenstein, it splits over  $\mathbf{C}[[t^{1/n}]]$ . Observing that

$$t^{-1}g(Xt^{1/n}, t) \equiv X^n - 1 \pmod{t^{1/n}},$$

it follows that each of the roots  $r$  of  $g$  in  $\mathbf{C}[[t^{1/n}]]$  satisfy

$$r = e^{2\pi ik/n} t^{1/n} \pmod{t^{2/n}}$$

for some unique value of  $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ . Let  $pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}$  be the set  $(0, |\alpha_0|)\alpha_0 \in \mathbf{C}$ , i.e. the image of the straight line path from 0 to  $\alpha_0$ . Let  $s : pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0} \rightarrow C$  be the unique holomorphic section of  $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{s(t)(k)}{|s(t)(k)|} = e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{n}} e^{\frac{\pi i}{(n-1)n}}.$$

We consider the monodromy representation  $\varphi : \pi_1(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus D, pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}) \rightarrow S_n$  which maps a path  $p$  in  $\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus D$  with endpoints in  $pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}$  to  $\hat{p}(1)^{-1} \circ \hat{p}(0)$  where  $\hat{p}$  is the unique lift of  $p$  satisfying  $\hat{p}(0) = s(p(0))$ . To show  $C$  is connected, it suffices to show  $\varphi$  is surjective. Our strategy will be to show that the generators of the symmetric group  $(0 \ 1 \ 2 \ \dots \ n-1)$  and  $(0 \ 1)$  are contained in the image of  $\varphi$ .

Consider a counterclockwise circular path  $p_0$  around 0 with endpoints in  $pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}$ . Since 0 is the only branch point contained in the circle bounded by  $p_0$ , the image of  $p_0$  under  $\varphi$  is the cycle  $(0 \ 1 \ 2 \ \dots \ n-1)$ . Let  $p_1$  be a path with endpoint in  $pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}$  which bounds a punctured disk in  $\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus D$  around  $\alpha_0$ . Since the branch point  $\alpha_0$  is simple, the image of  $p_1$  under  $\varphi$  is a transposition. We claim  $\varphi(p_1) = (0 \ 1)$ .

Let  $S$  be the set of complex numbers  $z$  which satisfies

$$\frac{\pi}{n(n-1)} \leq \text{Arg}(z) \leq \frac{2\pi}{n} + \frac{\pi}{n(n-1)}.$$

Note that  $\alpha_0 \in S$ . Furthermore, observe the boundary rays of  $S$  are the two tangent directions by which the 0-th and 1-st root of  $g(X, t_0)$  (in the labeling given by the section  $s$ ) converge to 0. To show  $\varphi(p_1) = (0 \ 1)$ , we will demonstrate that

( $\star$ ) for all  $t_0 \in pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}$  there exists a unique pair of roots of  $g(X, t_0)$  contained in  $S$ .

From ( $\star$ ), one concludes by uniqueness  $\varphi(p_1) = (0 \ 1)$ .

Since  $\alpha_0$  is a simple branch point contained in  $S$ , when  $t_0$  is sufficiently close to  $\alpha_0$  there are at *least* two roots in  $S$ . On the other hand, as  $t_0$  approaches 0, there is a unique pair of roots whose tangent directions are contained in  $S$ . Hence for  $t_0$  sufficiently close to 0, there are at *most* two roots contained in  $S$ . To prove ( $\star$ ) for all  $t_0 \in pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}$ , we will show that there is no value  $t_0 \in pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}$  such that  $g(X, t_0)$  has a root  $r$  whose argument equals  $\frac{\pi}{n(n-1)}$  or  $\frac{2\pi}{n} + \frac{\pi}{n(n-1)}$ , i.e. roots cannot leave or enter the sector  $S$  as one varies  $t_0$  along  $pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}$ .

Assume for the sake of contradiction that there is a value  $t_0 \in pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}$  and a root  $r$  of  $g(X, t_0)$  such that  $\text{Arg}(r) = \frac{\pi}{n(n-1)}$  or  $\text{Arg}(r) = \frac{2\pi}{n} + \frac{\pi}{n(n-1)}$ . Then since  $g(r, t_0) = 0$ , one observes that

$$r^n = t_0(r^{n-1} + 1).$$

And so,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi}{n-1} &\equiv \text{Arg}(r^n) \pmod{2\pi} \\ &\equiv \text{Arg}(t_0) + \text{Arg}(r^{n-1} + 1) \pmod{2\pi} \\ &\equiv \frac{\pi}{n-1} + \text{Arg}(r^{n-1} + 1) \pmod{2\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

From which it follows  $\text{Arg}(r^{n-1} + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ . Note however,

$$\text{Arg}(r^{n-1}) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{n} \pmod{2\pi} & \text{if } \text{Arg}(r) = \frac{\pi}{n(n-1)}, \text{ and} \\ 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{n} \pmod{2\pi}, & \text{if } \text{Arg}(r) = \frac{\pi}{n(n-1)}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,  $r^{n-1}$  is not a real number. It follows  $r^{n-1} + 1$  is not real, and therefore has non-zero argument, a contradiction. We conclude that there is no value  $t_0 \in pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}$  such that  $g(X, t_0)$  has a root with argument  $\frac{\pi}{n(n-1)}$  or  $\frac{\pi}{n(n-1)} + \frac{2\pi}{n}$ . Therefore,  $\varphi(p_1) = (0 \ 1)$  and  $C$  is connected.  $\square$

We deduce our main theorem, Theorem 1.2, via a Hilbert irreducibility argument.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. If  $n \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  or in the range  $3 \leq n \leq 6$ , then the theorem is a consequence of Theorem 3.1.

Assume that  $n \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  or  $3 \leq n \leq 6$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $E$  is a Galois extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Let  $D$  be the unique positive, square-free integer which is divisible by the primes which ramify in  $E$  and those that divide  $n(n-1)$ . In particular, note that 2 divides  $D$ . Let  $B = D/(D, n-1)$ . Consider the polynomial

$$h(X, t) = f(X, B^{-1}(1 + Dt)) \in \mathbf{Q}[t, X].$$

By Lemma 4.1, the polynomial  $h(X, t)$  has Galois group  $S_n$  over  $\mathbf{Q}(B^{-1}(1 + Dt)) = \mathbf{Q}(t)$ . Therefore by the Hilbert Irreducibility Theorem, there exists infinitely many values  $t_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that the splitting field  $K_{t_0}$  of  $h(X, t_0) = f(X, B^{-1}(1 + Dt_0))$  is an  $S_n$ -extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Fix such a value  $t_0$ . We claim that there is a finite set  $L$  of prime integers which satisfy the following two conditions.

- (1) If  $l \in L$ , then  $l \nmid D$ .
- (2) The closed, normal subgroup<sup>2</sup>  $S_L \leq G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  generated by the inertia groups  $I_l$  for  $l \in L$  acts on the roots  $f(X, B^{-1}(1 + Dt_0))$  as the full symmetric group  $S_n$ .

Since there are no everywhere unramified extensions of  $\mathbf{Q}$ , the set of primes which ramify in  $K_{t_0}$  satisfy Condition 2. We show this set satisfies Condition 1, i.e. that  $K_{t_0}$  is unramified at all primes dividing  $D$ .

Recall that  $D = B(D, n-1)$ . If  $l$  divides  $B$ , then  $l$  is prime to  $n-1$  and the valuation  $v_l(B^{-1}(1 + Dt_0)) = -1$ . It follows by Lemma 3.3, that the extension  $L_{t_0}$  is unramified at  $l$ . On the other hand, if  $l$  divides  $n-1$ , then  $f(X, B^{-1}(1 + Dt_0))$  has  $l$ -integral coefficients

---

<sup>2</sup>the subgroup  $S_L$  is simply the absolute Galois group of the maximal extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$  in which all primes in  $L$  are unramified.



and the discriminant:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(f(X, B^{-1}(1 + Dt_0))) &= n^n \prod_{c \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}} : h'(c, t_0) = 0} f(c, B^{-1}(1 + Dt_0))^{m_c} \\ &= n^n (B^{-1}(1 + Dt_0))^{n-1} \left( (B^{-1}(1 + Dt_0))^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} - 1 \right) + 1 \right) \\ &\equiv B^{1-n} \pmod{l}, \end{aligned}$$

is prime to  $l$ . Hence,  $K_{t_0}$  is unramified at  $l$ . We conclude that  $K_{t_0}$  is unramified at all primes dividing  $D$ .

To conclude the proof of the Theorem, we perturb  $B^{-1}(1 + Dt_0)$  in  $\prod_{l \in L} \mathbf{Q}_l$  to produce values of  $A$  for which  $f(X, A)$  has a surjective arboreal  $G_E$ -representation. Let  $X_0$  denote the set of roots of  $f(X, B^{-1}(1 + Dt_0))$  in  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ . Note that since the splitting field of  $f(X, B^{-1}(1 + Dt_0))$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  is  $S_n$ -extension, the polynomial  $f(X, B^{-1}(1 + Dt_0))$  is separable over  $\mathbf{Q}_l$ . Let

$$\delta_l := \min\{|r_1 - r_2|_l : f(r_1, B^{-1}(1 + Dt_0)) = f(r_2, B^{-1}(1 + Dt_0)) = 0 \text{ and } r_1 \neq r_2\}$$

be the minimum distance between a distinct pair of roots. By Krasner's Lemma, there exists an open ball  $U_l \subseteq \mathbf{Q}_l$  centered at  $B^{-1}(1 + Dt_0)$  such that if  $A_l \in U_l$  and  $r$  is a root of  $f(X, B^{-1}(1 + Dt_0))$ , then there is a unique root  $r(A_l)$  of  $f(X, A_l)$  such that  $|r - r(A_l)|_l < \delta_l$ . Since the action of  $I_l$  on  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_l$  preserves distances, the map  $r \mapsto r(A_l)$  is  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_l}$ -equivariant. Identifying the set of roots of  $f(X, A_l)$  and  $f(X, B^{-1}(1 + Dt_0))$  via this map, we see that for all  $A_l \in U_l$  the image of  $I_l$  in the symmetric group  $S_{X_0}$  is locally constant.

The group  $S_L$  is the normal closure of the group generated by the subgroups  $I_l$  for  $l \in L$ . Let  $U_L := \prod_{l \in L} U_l$ . Since the action of  $S_L$  on  $X_0$  surjects onto  $S_{X_0}$ , for all  $A \in U_L \cap \mathbf{Q}$  the permutation representation of  $S_L$  on the roots of  $f(X, A)$  is surjective. Since  $E$  is Galois and unramified at the primes in  $L$ , the group  $G_E \leq G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is normal and contains  $S_L$ . It follows that for any  $A \in U_L \cap \mathbf{Q}$  the splitting field of  $f(X, A)$  over  $E$  is an  $S_n$ -extension.

We conclude the proof by showing that there are infinitely many values  $A \in U_L \cap \mathbf{Q}$  such that the arboreal Galois representation attached to  $f_{1,A}(X) := f(X, A)$  contains  $\Gamma(1)$ . By Theorem 3.1, it suffices show that there are infinitely many  $A \in U_L \cap \mathbf{Q}$  satisfying Hypotheses (A.1) - (A.8). Let  $p_0$  and  $p_\infty$  be any choice of distinct primes which are greater than  $n$ , unramified in  $E$ , and not contained in  $L$ . Then Hypotheses (A.1) - (A.7) are open local conditions on  $A$  at the finite set of places dividing  $Dp_0p_\infty$  and  $\infty$ . In particular, they are conditions at places distinct from those in  $L$ . Let  $U_{\Gamma(1)}$  denote the open subset of  $\mathbf{R} \times \prod_{p|Dp_0p_\infty} \mathbf{Q}_p$  consisting of values which satisfy Hypotheses (A.1) - (A.7) locally. Let  $S$  denote the set of places

$$S := \{|\cdot|_p : p \in L, \text{ or } p = \infty, \text{ or } p|Dp_0p_\infty\}.$$

By weak approximation there are infinitely many values  $A_0 \in (U_{\Gamma(1)} \times U_L) \cap \mathbf{Q}$ . Fix any such value. Since  $U_{\Gamma(1)} \times U_L$  is open, there exists a real number  $\epsilon > 0$  such that if  $|1 - w|_p < \epsilon$  at all places in  $S$ , then  $wA_0 \in U_{\Gamma(1)} \times U_L$ . Fix such an  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $M$  be a positive integer such that  $|M|_p < \epsilon$  at all *finite* places  $|\cdot|_p \in S$ . If  $x$  is any positive integer which is

- (1) not divisible by the primes contained in  $S$ , and
- (2) sufficiently large: specifically  $M/x < \epsilon$ ,

then  $A_x := \frac{x+M}{x} A_0 \in U_{\Gamma(1)} \times U_L$ , and therefore satisfies hypotheses (A.1) - (A.7). For such a value  $x \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ , if one additionally asks that

- (3)  $(x, A_0^+) = 1$  and  $x \not\equiv \pm(A_0^-)^{-1} \pmod{8}$ ,

then  $A_x^- \equiv A_0^- x \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ , and hence  $A_x$  satisfies hypothesis (A.8). There are infinitely many  $x \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  satisfying conditions 1, 2, and 3. For every such value, the arboreal  $G_E$ -representation associated to  $f(X, A_x)$  is surjective.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Nicole Looper for explaining her arguments in [Loo16], the University of Chicago for its hospitality, and Mathilde Gerbelli-Gauthier for reading a preliminary draft of this work.

#### REFERENCES

- [BJ18] Robert Benedetto and Jamie Juul, *Odoni's conjecture for number fields*, arXiv e-prints arXiv:1803.01987 (2018).
- [Gal73] Patrick X Gallagher, *The large sieve and probabilistic galois theory*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math, vol. 24, 1973, pp. 91–101.
- [Jon08] Rafe Jones, *The density of prime divisors in the arithmetic dynamics of quadratic polynomials*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society **78** (2008), no. 2, 523–544.
- [Juu14] Jamie Juul, *Iterates of generic polynomials and generic rational functions*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1410.3814 (2014).
- [Kad18] Borys Kadets, *Large arboreal Galois representations*, ArXiv e-prints arXiv:1802.09074 (2018).
- [Loo16] Nicole R Looper, *Dynamical galois groups of trinomials and Odoni's conjecture*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.03398 (2016).
- [Odo85a] RWK Odoni, *The galois theory of iterates and composites of polynomials*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **3** (1985), no. 3, 385–414.
- [Odo85b] ———, *On the prime divisors of the sequence  $w_{n+1} = 1 + w_1 \dots w_n$* , Journal of the London Mathematical Society **2** (1985), no. 1, 1–11.
- [Ser92] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Topics in Galois theory*, Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston, MA, 1992.

JOEL SPECTER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, 3400 N. CHARLES STREET, BALTIMORE, MD 21218, UNITED STATES

*E-mail address:* jspecter@jhu.edu