

POLYNOMIALS WITH SURJECTIVE ARBOREAL GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS EXIST IN EVERY DEGREE

JOEL SPECTER

ABSTRACT. Let E be a Hilbertian field of characteristic 0. R.W.K. Odoni conjectured that for every positive integer n there exists polynomial $f \in E[X]$ of degree n such that each iterate $f^{\circ k}$ of f is irreducible and the Galois group of the splitting field of $f^{\circ k}$ is isomorphic to the automorphism group of a regular, n -branching tree of height k . We prove this conjecture when E is a number field.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a polynomial $f \in \mathbf{Q}[X]$, the roots of f are the most evident set on which the absolute Galois group acts. This note concerns the Galois action on the second most evident set: the set of roots of all compositional iterates of f .

We begin by establishing some notation. All fields considered in this note have characteristic 0. If F is a field and $f \in F[X]$ is a polynomial, for each positive integer k , we will denote the k -th iterate of f under composition by $f^{\circ k}$. The set of all pre-images of 0 under the iterates of f is denoted

$$T_f := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \{r \in \overline{F} : f^{\circ k}(r) = 0\}.$$

To organize T_f , we give it the structure of a rooted tree: a zero r_k of $f^{\circ k}$ is connected to a zero r_{k-1} of $f^{\circ(k-1)}$ by an edge if $f(r_k) = r_{k-1}$. We call T_f the pre-image tree of 0. The absolute Galois group G_F of F acts on T_f by tree automorphisms. The resulting map

$$\rho_f : G_F \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_f)$$

is called the arboreal Galois representation associated to f . We will say ρ_f is *regular*, if T_f is a regular, rooted tree of degree equal to the degree of f .

Interest in arboreal Galois representations originates from the study of prime divisors appearing in the numerators of certain polynomially-defined recursive sequences. Explicitly, given a polynomial $f \in \mathbf{Q}[X]$ and an element $c_0 \in \mathbf{Q}$, one wishes to understand the density of the set of primes

$$S_{f,c_0} := \{p : v_p(f^{\circ n}(c_0)) > 0 \text{ for some value of } n\}$$

inside the set of all prime integers. An observation, first made by Odoni in [Odo85b], is that one may bound this density from above using Galois theory. Specifically, for all but the finitely many primes p for which c_0 and f are not p -integral, a prime p is contained in S_{f,c_0} if and only if c_0 is a root of some iterate of $f \pmod p$. By the Chebotarev density theorem, determining the proportion of primes p for which an iterate of $f \pmod p$ has a root is completely determined by the image of ρ_f . As general principle, if a polynomial has an

arboreal Galois representation with *large* image, then *few* primes appear in S_{f,c_0} . For specific results, we refer the reader to [Odo85b] or [Jon08].

In [Odo85a], Odoni showed that for any field F of characteristic 0, the arboreal Galois representation associated to the generic monic, degree n polynomial

$$f_{gen}(X) := X^n + a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \dots + a_1X + a_0 \in F(a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0)[X]$$

is regular and surjective.¹ When F is Hilbertian, for example when $F = \mathbf{Q}$, one expects that *most* monic, degree n polynomials behave like f_{gen} . Indeed, this expectation holds true for any finite number of iterates: for each $k > 0$, the set of specializations f of f_{gen} such that the Galois group of f^{ok} is smaller than the Galois group of f_{gen}^{ok} over $F(a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0)$ is thin. Alas, in general, the intersection of the complement of countably many thin sets may be empty, so Odoni's theorem does not imply the existence of any specialization with surjective arboreal Galois representation. He conjectures that such specializations exist.

Conjecture 1.1. [Odo85a, Conjecture 7.5]. *Let E be a Hilbertian field of characteristic 0. For each positive integer n , there exists a monic, degree n polynomial $f \in E[X]$ such that every iterate of f is irreducible and the associated arboreal Galois representation*

$$\rho_f : G_E \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_f)$$

is surjective.

In this note, we prove Odoni's conjecture when E is a number field. More generally, we prove the conjecture for extensions of \mathbf{Q} that are unramified outside of finitely many primes of \mathbf{Z} .

Theorem 1.2. *If E/\mathbf{Q} is an algebraic extension which is unramified outside finitely many primes, then for each positive integer n there exists a positive integer $a < n$ and infinitely many $A \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that the polynomial*

$$f_{a,A}(X) := X^a(X - A)^{n-a} + A$$

and all of its iterates are irreducible over E and the arboreal G_E -representation associated to $f_{a,A}$ is surjective.

Our choice to consider the polynomial families in Theorem 1.2 was inspired by examples of surjective arboreal Galois representations over \mathbf{Q} constructed by Robert Odoni and Nicole Looper. In [Odo85b], Odoni shows that the arboreal Galois representation associated to the polynomial $X^2 - X + 1 = X(X - 1) + 1$ over \mathbf{Q} is regular and surjective. In [Loo16], Looper proves Odoni's conjecture for polynomials over \mathbf{Q} of prime degree by analyzing the arboreal Galois representations associated to certain integer specializations of the trinomial family $X^n - ntX^{n-1} + nt = (X - nt)X^{n-1} + nt$. Recently, Borys Kadets has announced a proof of Odoni's conjecture over \mathbf{Q} for polynomials of *even* degrees greater than 19 [Kad18].

Variants of the polynomials studied in this note yield examples of surjective arboreal Galois representations over algebraic function fields of characteristic 0 and over the maximal cyclotomic extension $E\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ of a number field E . We hope to report on these results in the near future.

¹Jamie Juul has shown that the arboreal Galois representation associated to the generic monic, degree n polynomial over a field F of any characteristic is regular and surjective under the assumption that the characteristic of F and the degree n do not both equal 2 [Juu14].

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we prove a general criterion with which to check if an arboreal Galois representation contains a congruence subgroup. This criterion is essentially that the image of the arboreal Galois representation contains some preferred set of generators

$$\{\sigma_{k,N} : k \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{\infty\}\}$$

of the congruence subgroup $\Gamma(N)$. In section 3, we show that for various explicit choices of A and a there are prime integers

$$\{p_k : k \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{\infty\}\}$$

such that the generator $\sigma_{k,1}$ is the image of an inertia element in $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p_k}}$. By choosing A well, one can force p_k to lie outside any fixed, finite set of primes; hence if E/\mathbf{Q} is unramified outside finitely many primes, there is a choice of a and A such that the image of G_E under ρ_f contains $\Gamma(1)$. Given such a polynomial, its arboreal Galois representation is surjective if and only if its splitting field is an S_n -extension. In section 4, we prove there are infinitely many values of A and a for which the representation $\rho_{f_{A,a}} : G_E \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_f)$ is surjective by means of a Hilbert irreducibility argument.

2. RECOGNIZING SURJECTIVE REPRESENTATIONS

We begin by giving a general criterion with which to check if an arboreal Galois representation is surjective.

Fix a field F of characteristic 0 and let $f \in F[X]$ be a polynomial. For every non-negative integer N , let

$$T_{f,N} := \prod_{k=0}^N \{r \in \overline{F} : f^{\circ k}(r) = 0\} \subseteq T_f$$

denote the full subtree of T_f whose vertices have at most height N . The subtree $T_{f,N}$ is stable under the action of $\text{Aut}(T_f)$. Let $\Gamma(N) \subset \text{Aut}(T_f)$ be the vertex-wise stabilizer of $T_{f,N}$ in $\text{Aut}(T_f)$. In this section, we describe a condition under which the image of ρ_f contains $\Gamma(N)$. Since $\Gamma(0)$ equals $\text{Aut}(T_f)$, the case when $N = 0$ is of primary interest.

For each non-negative integer k , denote the splitting field of $f^{\circ k}$ over F by F_k . We show:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f \in F[X]$ be a monic polynomial. Assume that:*

- (1) *all iterates of f irreducible over F .*
- (2) *for every positive integer $k > N$ there is some root π_1 of $f^{\circ k-1}$ such that $f(X) - \pi_1$ is irreducible over F_{k-1} .*
- (3) *for some positive integer $a_0 < n$ satisfying either*
 - (a) *a_0 equals 1, or*
 - (b) *a_0 is less than $n/2$ and $n - a_0$ is a prime,**there is a regular $(n - a_0)$ -branching subtree $T \subseteq T_f$ whose lowest vertex has height N and an element $\sigma_{\infty,N} \in G_F$ which acts transitively on the branches of T .*
- (4) *for every positive integer $k > N$ the permutation representation of $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$ acting on the roots of $f^{\circ k}$ in F_k contains a transposition σ_k .*

Then the image of arboreal Galois representation $\rho_f : \text{Gal}(F^{\text{sep}}/F) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_f)$ contains $\Gamma(N)$.

Proof. The subgroups $\Gamma(N) \subset \text{Aut}(T_f)$ are of each of finite index and $\Gamma(N)$ is isomorphic to the inverse limit $\varprojlim_{k>N} \Gamma(N)/\Gamma(k)$. We regard $\Gamma(N)$ as a topological group with respect to the topology induced by the system of neighborhoods $\{\Gamma(k)\}_{k>N}$. The map $\rho_f : G_F \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_f)$ is continuous with respect to this topology. Hence the image $\text{im}(\rho_f)$ of ρ_f is closed.

To show that the closed subgroup $\text{im}(\rho_f)$ contains $\Gamma(N)$, it suffices to show that for all k greater than N

$$(2.1) \quad (\text{im}(\rho_f) \cap \Gamma(k-1)) / (\text{im}(\rho_f) \cap \Gamma(k)) = \Gamma(k-1) / \Gamma(k).$$

Fix an integer $k > N$. Concretely, $\Gamma(k-1)/\Gamma(k)$ is the group of abstract permutations σ of the roots of $f^{\circ k}$ which satisfy the relation $f(\sigma(r_k)) = f(r_k)$. For each root π of $f^{\circ(k-1)}$, let X_π denote the set of roots of $f(X) - \pi$ in \overline{F} . The group $\Gamma(k-1)/\Gamma(k)$ stabilizes X_π , and

$$(2.2) \quad \Gamma(k-1)/\Gamma(k) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\pi \in \overline{F} \\ f^{\circ(k-1)}(\pi)=0}} S_{X_\pi}$$

via the sum of the restriction maps. Note that $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$ is the subquotient of G_F which is mapped isomorphically to $(\text{im}(\rho_f) \cap \Gamma(k)) / (\text{im}(\rho_f) \cap \Gamma(k+1))$ via the map induced by ρ_f .

To show equation (2.1) (and therefore prove the lemma), it suffices by equation (2.2) to show that:

- (\star) every transposition $(r \ r')$ in the symmetric group on the roots $f^{\circ k}$, which satisfies $f(r) = f(r')$, is realized by an element of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$.

We will say a transposition $(r \ r')$ on the set of roots of $f^{\circ k}$ *lies above/over* a root π of $f^{\circ(k-1)}$, if

$$\pi = f(r) = f(r').$$

We conclude the proof by demonstrating that (\star) holds.

First, observe that $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$ contains at least one transposition above *each* root π of $f^{\circ(k-1)}$. To see this, note that all transpositions contained in $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$ lie above some root of $f^{\circ(k-1)}$, and by assumption (4), the permutation action of $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$ on the set of roots of $f^{\circ k}$ contains at least one transposition $\sigma_k = (r \ r')$. Since $f^{\circ(k-1)}$ is irreducible, the group $\text{Gal}(F_{k-1}/F_{k-2})$ acts transitively on the roots of $f^{\circ(k-1)}$. Therefore, by conjugating $(r \ r')$ by an appropriate element of $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-2})$, one can produce a transposition σ above each root of $f^{\circ(k-1)}$.

Next, if π is a root of $f^{\circ(k-1)}$, we will show that $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$ contains *every* transposition over π . Observe that elements of $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$ which are $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$ -conjugate to a transposition over π are also transpositions and lie over π . Hence, since $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$ contains a transposition σ above π , the group $\text{Gal}(F_k/F_{k-1})$ will contain every transposition over π exactly when $G_{F(\pi)}$ acts on X_π doubly transitively.

Let F_π be the splitting field of $f(X) - \pi$ over $F(\pi)$. We conclude the proof by showing $\text{Gal}(F_\pi/F(\pi)) \cong S_{X_\pi}$. We use the following criterion for recognizing the symmetric group:

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a transitive subgroup of S_n . Assume G contains a transposition. If G contains*

- (i) *an $(n-1)$ -cycle, or*
- (ii) *a p -cycle for some prime $p > n/2$,*

then $G = S_n$ [Gal73, pg. 98] [Ser16, lemma 4.4.3].

We show these conditions hold for $\text{Gal}(F_\pi/F(\pi)) \subseteq S_{X_\pi}$. By assumptions (2), (3), and (4) there are roots π_1, π_2 , and π_3 of $f^{\circ(k-1)}$, respectively, so that:

- (a) the group $\text{Gal}(F_{\pi_1}/F(\pi_1))$ acts transitively X_{π_1} ,

- (b) the image of the permutation representation of $\text{Gal}(F_{\pi_2}/F(\pi_2))$ on X_{π_2} , contains either an $(n-1)$ -cycle or a p -cycle for some prime $p > n/2$, (explicitly, the $(n-a_0)^{k-N}$ -th power of the element $\sigma_{\infty, N}$) and
- (c) the image of the permutation representation $\text{Gal}(F_{\pi_3}/F(\pi_3)) \hookrightarrow S_{X_{\pi_3}}$ contains a transposition.

Since $f^{\circ(k-1)}$ is irreducible over F , for every pair of roots π' and π'' of $f^{\circ(k-1)}$ there is an isomorphism $X_{\pi'} \cong X_{\pi''}$ inducing an isomorphism $\text{Gal}(F_{\pi'}/F(\pi'))$ and $\text{Gal}(F_{\pi''}/F(\pi''))$. It follows statements (a), (b) and (c) hold for $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = \pi_3 = \pi$. By lemma 2.2, the isomorphism $\text{Gal}(F_{\pi}/F(\pi)) \cong S_{X_{\pi}}$ holds. \square

3. ALMOST SURJECTIVE REPRESENTATIONS

Fix an integer $n \geq 2$. In this section, we give explicit examples of polynomials of degree n whose arboreal Galois representation contains $\Gamma(1)$. In fact, many of our examples have surjective arboreal Galois representation. This will be demonstrated in the next section.

Given a non-zero rational number α , define $\alpha^+ \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $\alpha^- \in \mathbf{Z}$ to be the unique positive integer and integer, respectively, such that $(\alpha^+, \alpha^-) = 1$ and $\alpha = \frac{\alpha^+}{\alpha^-}$. For a prime $p \in \mathbf{Z}$, we denote the p -adic valuation of an element $\alpha \in K$ by $v_p(\alpha)$.

Our main theorem in this section is:

Theorem 3.1. *Let E/\mathbf{Q} be an extension which is unramified outside finitely many primes of \mathbf{Z} . Choose $a < n$ to satisfy:*

- (a.1) if $n \leq 6$, then $a = 1$,
- (a.2) if $n \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, then $a = 1$,
- (a.3) otherwise, $n - a$ is a prime in the range $(n/2, n - 1)$.

Assume $A \in \mathbf{Q}$ satisfies:

- (A.1) if p is a prime which ramifies in E , then p -adic valuation $v_p(A) > 0$,
- (A.2) there is a prime p_0 which is unramified in E and prime to n such that $v_{p_0}(A) = 1$,
- (A.3) $A > 2^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \left(\frac{a}{n}\right)^{-\frac{a}{n-1}} \left|\frac{a}{n} - 1\right|^{-\frac{n-a}{n-1}} > 1$,
- (A.4) $v_2(A) \geq \frac{3}{n-1} + \frac{n}{n-1}v_2(n)$,
- (A.5) $(A^+, n) = 2^{v_2(n)}$,
- (A.6) $(A^-, a(a-n)) = 1$,
- (A.7) there is a prime $p_{\infty} > n$ which is unramified in E such that $v_{p_{\infty}}(A) = -1$, and
- (A.8) if n is even, then $A^- \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$,

then the polynomial

$$f(X) := X^a(X - A)^{n-a} + A$$

and all of its iterates are irreducible over E and the image of the arboreal G_E -representation associated to f :

- (1) contains $\Gamma(1)$, if $a = 1$ and $n > 2$ (i.e. n satisfies $2 < n \leq 6$ or $n \equiv -7 \pmod{8}$), and
- (2) equals $\text{Aut}(T_f)$, otherwise.

It is clear that there infinitely many values of A satisfying assumptions (A.1) - (A.8). The fact that there is a value of a satisfying assumptions (a.1) - (a.3) is a consequence of Bertrand's postulate.

To prove Theorem 3.1, we will verify that the assumptions of lemma 2.1 hold for f . As in section 2, for each non-negative integer k , we denote the extension of E generated by all roots of $f^{\circ k}$ by E_k .

The first two conditions of lemma 2.1 are that *all iterates of f are irreducible*, and *there is some root π_1 of $f^{\circ k-1}$ such that $f(X) - \pi_1$ is irreducible over E_{k-1}* . Our first lemma shows these conditions hold for all values of k .

Lemma 3.2. *Let $a \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $A \in \mathbf{Q}$ satisfy assumption (A.2) of theorem 3.1, then for each positive integer k*

- (1) *the polynomial $f^{\circ k}$ is irreducible over E , and*
- (2) *if π is a root of $f^{\circ k-1}$, then $f - \pi$ is irreducible over E_{k-1} .*

Proof of claim (1). Let p_0 be a prime integer not dividing n such that $v_{p_0}(A) = 1$ and such that p_0 is unramified in E . We claim $f^{\circ k} \in \mathbf{Z}_{p_0}[X]$ is an Eisenstein polynomial. By assumption (A.2), the polynomial $f(X) = X^a(X - A)^{n-a} + A$ has p_0 -integral coefficients, and satisfies $f \equiv X^n \pmod{p_0}$. It follows $f^{\circ k} \in \mathbf{Z}_{p_0}[X]$, and satisfies $f^{\circ k}(X) \equiv X^{n^k} \pmod{p_0}$. Noting that $f(0) = A$ and A is a fixed point of f , we conclude that $f^k(0) = A$, which is a uniformizer in \mathbf{Z}_{p_0} . Therefore, $f^{\circ k} \in \mathbf{Z}_{p_0}[X]$ is an Eisenstein polynomial. Because p_0 is unramified in E , we conclude $f^{\circ k}$ is irreducible over E . \square

Proof of claim (2). Let $d := n^{k-1}$ denote the degree of $f^{\circ k-1}$. Because p_0 does not divide n , the Eisenstein polynomial $f^{\circ k-1} \in \mathbf{Z}_{p_0}[X]$ splits over the tame extension $\mathbf{Q}_{p_0^d}(\sqrt[d]{p_0})$ and π has p_0 -adic valuation $1/d$. It follows $f - \pi$ is an Eisenstein polynomial over $\mathbf{Z}_{p_0^d}[\sqrt[d]{p_0}]$ and hence is irreducible over $\mathbf{Q}_{p_0^d}(\sqrt[d]{p_0})$. Since E is unramified at p_0 , the field E_k embeds into $\mathbf{Q}_{p_0^d}(\sqrt[d]{p_0})$, from which we deduce $f - \pi$ is irreducible over E_{k-1} . \square

The second condition of the criterion given in lemma 2.1 for the image of ρ_f to contain $\Gamma(N)$ is that *for some positive integer $a_0 < n$ satisfying either*

- (1) *a_0 equals 1, or*
- (2) *a_0 is less than $n/2$ and $n - a_0$ is a prime,*

there is a regular $(n - a_0)$ -branching subtree $T \subseteq T_f$ whose lowest vertex has height N and an element $\sigma_\infty \in G_E$ which acts transitively on the branches of T . In the next two lemmas, we show that under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, this condition holds for $N = 0$ if $a \neq 1$ (i.e. if $n \geq 7$ and $n \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$), and holds for all $N = 1$, otherwise. We take a as the value of a_0 . By assumptions (a.1) – (a.3), either $a = 1$, or $a < n/2$ and $n - a$ is prime.

Lemma 3.3. *Let l be a prime integer which does not divide $n - 1$. Assume that $B \in \mathbf{Q}_l$ satisfies $v_l(B) = -1$. The polynomial*

$$g(X) := X(X - B)^{n-1} + B$$

splits completely over an unramified extension of \mathbf{Q}_l .

Proof. Consider the polynomial

$$S(X) := B^{-1}f(B + X) = B^{-1}X^n + X^{n-1} + 1 \in \mathbf{Z}_l[X]$$

The polynomial S splits over a given field if and only if g does. We show S splits over an unramified extension of \mathbf{Q}_l . Consider the Newton polygon of S ; it has one segment of slope 0 and length $n - 1$, and one segment of length 1 and slope 1. It follows that S has $n - 1$ roots of

valuation 0 and one root of valuation -1 . The root of valuation -1 is necessarily \mathbf{Q}_l -rational. As for the roots of valuation 0, since

$$S \equiv X^{n-1} + 1 \pmod{l}$$

is separable, these roots of valuation 0 have distinct images in the residue field. By Hensel's lemma, we conclude S splits over an unramified extension of \mathbf{Q}_l . \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $a \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $A \in \mathbf{Q}$ satisfy the assumptions of theorem 3.1. Then there is a regular $(n-a)$ -branching subtree $T \subseteq T_f$ and an element σ of G_E which acts transitively on the branches of T . The lowest vertex of T has height 1 if $a = 1$ and $n > 2$ and has height 0 otherwise.*

Proof. This claim is vacuously true if $n = 2$: let T be any branch of T_f and let σ be identity. We assume $n > 2$.

Let p_∞ be a prime which witnesses assumption (A.7) of theorem 3.1, i.e. the prime p_∞ is greater than n , unramified in E , and $v_{p_\infty}(A) = -1$. Fix an embedding $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p_\infty}$. Since the pro- p_∞ sylow subgroup of $\text{Aut}(T_f)$ is trivial, the inertia group $I_{p_\infty} \subseteq G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}}$ acts on T_f through its cyclic tame quotient. Consider the subtree of $T_f^\infty \subseteq T_f$ consisting of 0 and the roots $r \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p_\infty}$ of $f^{\circ i}$ such that the valuation $v_{p_\infty}(f^{\circ j}(r)) = -1$ for all non-negative integers $j < i$. Since the action of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}}$ on $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p_\infty}$ preserves the valuation, the tree T_f^∞ is $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}}$ -stable.

We claim that T_f^∞ is a regular $(n-a)$ -branching tree. To see this, observe that if ϵ is any element of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p_\infty}$ of valuation less than or equal to -1 . Then the Newton polygon of

$$f(X) - \epsilon = X^a(X - A)^{n-a} + (A - \epsilon) = (A - \epsilon) + \sum_{j=a}^n \binom{n-a}{n-j} A^{n-j} X^j$$

has two segments: one has length $n-a$ and slope $-v_{p_\infty}(A) = 1$, and the other has length a and slope $(v_{p_\infty}(A - \epsilon) - v_{p_\infty}(A^{n-a}))/a$, which is less than 1. It follows that the pre-image of ϵ under f contains exactly $n-a$ elements of valuation -1 . Specializing to the pre-image tree of 0, we deduce that T_f^∞ is a regular $(n-a)$ -branching rooted tree.

When a equals 1, by lemma 3.3, the polynomial f splits completely over an unramified extension of \mathbf{Q}_{p_∞} . In this case, choose T to be any of the $(n-a)$ full subtrees of T_f^∞ whose lowest vertex has height 1. The inertia group I_{p_∞} acts on T . If a is greater than 1, let T equal T_f^∞ . We claim that the inertia group I_{p_∞} acts transitively on the branches of T .

We will show that a root of $f^{\circ k}$ contained in T_f^∞ generates an extension of \mathbf{Q}_{p_∞} of ramification index:

$$(3.1) \quad e_k := \begin{cases} (n-a)^k, & \text{if } a > 1, \text{ and} \\ (n-a)^{k-1}, & \text{if } a = 1. \end{cases}$$

Since the ramification index of $\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}(r_k)/\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}$ is the size of the orbit of r_k under I_{p_∞} , and e_k is exactly the number of vertices in T that have height k in T_f^∞ , proving that

$$e(\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}(r_k)/\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}) = e_k$$

implies that the action of I_{p_∞} on the branches of T is transitive.

To conclude the proof, we show $e(\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}(r_k)/\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}) = e_k$. Note that $e(\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}(r_k)/\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty})$ is at most e_k as the size of the orbit of r_k under I_{p_∞} is at most the number of vertices in T that

have height k in T_f^∞ . To conclude the proof this lemma, it suffices to show e_k is greater than or equal to $e(\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}(r_k)/\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty})$. We will show a root r_k of $f^{\circ k}$ contained in T_f^∞ satisfies:

$$(3.2) \quad v_{p_\infty}((r_k - A)) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{n-1}{(n-a)^i}.$$

For each integer i in the range $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ define

$$r_i := f^{\circ k-i}(r_k) \text{ and } \epsilon_i := (r_i - A)/A.$$

Equation (3.2) is equivalent to the assertion that

$$(3.3) \quad v_{p_\infty}(\epsilon_0) = 0 \text{ and } v_{p_\infty}(\epsilon_i) = \frac{v_{p_\infty}(\epsilon_{i-1})}{n-a} + \frac{n-1}{n-a} \text{ if } i > 1.$$

We verify (3.3). The case when $i = 0$ is clear, as $\epsilon_0 = -1$. Consider the case where $i > 0$. Then since $A(1 + \epsilon_i) = r_i$, we see that ϵ_i is a root of

$$\begin{aligned} g_i(X) &:= f(A(1+X)) - r_{i-1} \\ &= A^n(1+X)^a X^{n-a} + (A - r_{i-1}) \\ &= A^n(1+X)^a X^{n-a} + \epsilon_{i-1}A. \end{aligned}$$

Examining the Newton polygon of g_i , one sees that g_i has exactly a roots of valuation 0 and $n-a$ roots of valuation

$$-\frac{v_{p_\infty}(\epsilon_{i-1}A) - v_{p_\infty}(A^n)}{n-a} = \frac{v_{p_\infty}(\epsilon_{i-1})}{n-a} + \frac{n-1}{n-a}.$$

Since $f - r_{i-1}$ has exactly $n-a$ roots of valuation -1 , it must be the case that ϵ_i is a root of g_i of valuation

$$\frac{v_{p_\infty}(\epsilon_{i-1})}{n-a} + \frac{n-1}{n-a} > 0.$$

Hence, equation (3.2) holds and $e_k \geq e(\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty}(r_k)/\mathbf{Q}_{p_\infty})$. \square

The final condition of lemma 2.1 is *that for every positive integer $k > N$ the permutation representation of $\text{Gal}(E_k/E_{k-1})$ acting on the roots of $f^{\circ k}$ in E_k contains a transposition*. It is shown to hold for f for all values of $k \geq 0$ by the next three lemmas.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $a \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $A \in \mathbf{Q}$ satisfy the assumptions of theorem 3.1. For each positive integer k , there exists a prime integer $p_k \nmid nA^-A^+$ so that the p_k -adic valuation of $f^{\circ k}(\frac{a}{n}A)$ is positive and odd.*

Proof. For each positive integer k , let c_k denote $\frac{f^{\circ k}(\frac{a}{n}A)}{A}$. To prove this lemma it suffices to show that c_k^+ is prime to nA^-A^+ and is not a perfect square. The second assertion is more non-trivial than the first. To prove it, we will show $c_k^+/c_k^- = c_k$ is a square in \mathbf{Z}_2^\times and that c_k^- is not square in \mathbf{Z}_2^\times .

We begin by showing $c_k \in (\mathbf{Z}_2^\times)^2$. Recall that the squares in \mathbf{Z}_2^\times are exactly the elements $x \in \mathbf{Z}_2$ such that $x \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Define $c_0 = \frac{a}{n}$. Then for all $k > 0$,

$$(3.4) \quad c_k = A^{n-1}c_{k-1}^a(c_{k-1} - 1)^{n-a} + 1.$$

By assumption A.4 of theorem 3.1, the valuation $v_2(A)$ satisfies $v_2(A) \geq \frac{3}{n-1} + \frac{n}{n-1}v_2(n) > 0$. Combining this with equation (3.4), we observe

$$v_2(c_1 - 1) = v_2 \left(A^{n-1} \left(\frac{a}{n} \right)^a \left(\frac{a}{n} - 1 \right)^{n-a} \right) \geq (n-1)v_2(A) - nv_2(n) \geq 3,$$

and

$$v_2(c_k - 1) = v_2 \left(A^{n-1} (c_{k-1})^a (c_{k-1} - 1)^{n-a} \right) \geq v_2(c_{k-1} - 1),$$

if $k > 1$. Therefore, c_k is 2-integral and congruent to 1 mod 8. We conclude c_k is a square in (\mathbf{Z}_2^\times) .

Next, we show c_k is prime to A^+ . Let p be an prime integer factor of A^+ . We claim that $c_k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. We have seen $c_k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. If $p \neq 2$, then, by assumption A.5 of Theorem 3.1, the prime p is not a factor of n . Using equation (3.4), one sees (inductively) that c_k is p -integral for all k and $c_k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Now, we examine c_k^- . We've seen that c_k^- is prime to 2. Let $n_2 := n/2^{v_2(n)}$. We will show by induction that

$$(3.5) \quad c_k^- = (A^-)^{n^k-1} n_2^{n^k} (-1)^{(n-a)n^{k-1}}.$$

This equation shows that c_k^+ is prime to $n_2 A^-$. More subtly, equation (3.5) shows $c_k^- \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. To see this, observe that

$$(A^-)^{n^k-1} n_2^{n^k} (-1)^{(n-a)n^{k-1}} \equiv \begin{cases} \pm A^- \pmod{8} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ (-1)^{n-a} \pmod{8} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ \pm n \pmod{8} & \text{if } n \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8} \\ n(-1)^{(n-a)} \pmod{8} & \text{if } n \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

$$\equiv \begin{cases} \pm 3 \pmod{8} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \text{ by assumption (A.8) of thm. 3.1,} \\ -1 \pmod{8} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \text{ by assumption (a.3) of thm. 3.1,} \\ \pm 3 \pmod{8} & \text{if } n \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8} \\ -1 \pmod{8} & \text{if } n \equiv 7 \pmod{8}, \text{ as } n-a = n-1 \text{ is even} \\ & \text{by assumption (a.2) of thm. 3.1.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, to conclude the proof, it suffices to confirm equation (3.5).

We will prove equation (3.5) by induction on k . We begin by showing the equation holds when $k = 1$. The element

$$c_1 = A^{n-1} \left(\frac{a}{n} \right)^a \left(\frac{a}{n} - 1 \right)^{n-a} + 1 = (-1)^{n-a} \frac{(A^+)^{n-1} a^a (n-a)^{n-a}}{(A^-)^{n-1} n^n} + 1.$$

So a prime p divides c_1^- only if $p|A^-$ or $p|n_2$. To deduce equation (3.5) in this case, we must show that for all $p|A^- n_2$ the valuation:

$$(3.6) \quad v_p(c_1^-) = v_p((A^-)^{n-1} n_2^n),$$

and the sign

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{c_1^-}{|c_1^-|} = (-1)^{n-a}.$$

These equalities hold if and only if

$$(3.8) \quad (A^- n_2, A^+ a(n-a)) = 1,$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{(A^+)^{n-1}a^a(n-a)^{n-a}}{(A^-)^{n-1}n^n} > 1,$$

respectively. We prove (3.8) and (3.9). By assumption (A.6) of theorem 3.1, if p divides n_2 , then p is prime to A^+ . Since a and n are relatively prime, a prime p dividing n_2 does not divide $a(n-a)$. Similarly, if p divides A^- , then by definition p is prime to A^+ , and by assumption A.6 of theorem 3.1, the prime p does not divide $a(n-a)$. We conclude equation (3.8) holds. To see (3.9), observe that

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{(A^+)^{n-1}a^a(n-a)^{n-a}}{(A^-)^{n-1}n^n} = \left(A \left(\frac{a}{n}\right)^{\frac{a}{n-1}} \left|\frac{a}{n} - 1\right|^{\frac{n-a}{n-1}}\right)^{n-1} > 2$$

by assumption (A.3) of theorem 3.1. We conclude equation (3.5) holds when $k = 1$.

Now assume that equation (3.5) holds $k \geq 1$, we show equation (3.5) holds for $k + 1$. Observe that

$$c_{k+1} = A^{n-1}c_k^a(c_k - 1)^{n-a} + 1 = \frac{(A^+)^{n-1}(c_k^+)^a((c_k - 1)^+)^{n-a}}{(A^-)^{n-1}(c_k^-)^n} + 1.$$

Hence, a prime p divides c_{k+1}^- only if $p|A^-c_k^-$. By induction, it follows that all prime divisors of c_{k+1}^- must divide A^-n_2 . Note that,

$$(A^-)^{n-1}(c_k^-)^n = (A^-)^{n-1}((A^-)^{n^k-1}n_2^{n^k-1})^n = (A^-)^{n^k-1}n_2^{n^k}.$$

Hence, to show equation (3.5), it is sufficient to show for all $p|A^-n_2$ the valuation

$$(3.11) \quad v_p(c_{k+1}^-) = v_p((A^-)^{n-1}(c_k^-)^n),$$

and that the sign

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{c_{k+1}^-}{|c_{k+1}^-|} = \left(\frac{c_k^-}{|c_k^-|}\right)^n.$$

These equations are implied by

$$(3.13) \quad (A^-n_2, A^+c_k^+(c_k - 1)^+) = 1,$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad \left|\frac{(A^+)^{n-1}(c_k^+)^a((c_k - 1)^+)^{n-a}}{(A^-)^{n-1}(c_k^-)^n}\right| = |A^{n-1}c_k^a(c_k - 1)^{n-a}| = |c_{k+1} - 1| > 2 > 1,$$

respectively.

We conclude the proof by demonstrating equations 3.13 and 3.14. Because $(n_2, A^+) = 1$ by assumption A.5 of theorem 3.1, and $A^-n_2|c_k^-$ and $A^-n_2|(c_k - 1)^-$ by induction, we conclude equality 3.13 holds. By equation (3.10), we see that $|c_k - 1| > 2$ when $k = 1$, it follows by induction that

$$|c_{k+1} - 1| = |A^{n-1}c_k^a(c_k - 1)^{n-a}| > |A|^{n-1}|c_k|^a|(c_k - 1)^{n-a}| > 2^{n-a}.$$

Hence, equation (3.14) holds. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let $a \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $A \in \mathbf{Q}$ satisfy the assumptions of theorem 3.1. Let p_k be a prime integer such that $p_k \nmid nA^-A^+$ and p_k -adic valuation of $f^{\circ k}(\frac{a}{n}A)$ positive and odd, then*

- (1) *the polynomial $f^{\circ k} \in \mathbf{Z}_{p_k}[X]$ and is separable over \mathbf{Q}_{p_k} ,*

- (2) there is a factorization of $f^{\circ k}(X) \equiv g(X)b(X) \pmod{p_k}$ as where $g(X)$ and $b(X)$ are coprime, $g(X)$ is a separable, and $b(X) = (X - \frac{aA}{n})^2$, and
- (3) the inertia group $I_{p_k} \subset G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p_k}}$ acts on the set of roots $f^{\circ k}$ in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p_k}$ as a transposition.

Proof of claim (1) of lemma 3.6: First, observe that since $p_k \nmid A^-$, the polynomial f has p_k -integral coefficients. The same therefore holds for all iterates. Since $f^{\circ k}$ is irreducible by lemma 3.2, the polynomial $f^{\circ k}$ is separable over \mathbf{Q}_{p_k} . \square

Proof of claim (2) of lemma 3.6: We show that $\frac{a}{n}A$ is the unique multiple root of $f^{\circ k}$ and its multiplicity is 2.

We begin by showing $\frac{a}{n}A$ is a multiple root of $f^{\circ k}$. A polynomial over a field F has a multiple root at $\alpha \in \overline{F}$ if and only if α is both a root and a critical point. By assumption, the value $\frac{a}{n}A$ is a root of $f^{\circ k} \pmod{p_k}$. To see $\frac{a}{n}A$ is a multiple root, observe that

$$(3.15) \quad (f^{\circ k})'(X) = f'(X) \prod_{0 < i < k} f'(f^{\circ i}(X))$$

and

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} f'(X) &= aX^{a-1}(X - A) + (n - a)X^a(X - A)^{n-a-1} \\ &= X^{a-1}X^{n-a-1}(nX - aA), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $\frac{a}{n}A$ is a critical point of $f^{\circ k}$.

Now assume c is a root of $f^{\circ k} \pmod{p_k}$ with multiplicity $m > 1$. Let $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{p_k}$ be the ring of integers of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p_k}$ and \mathfrak{m} be its maximal ideal. Because $f^{\circ k}$ is separable, there exists exactly m roots $r_1, \dots, r_m \in \overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{p_k}$ of $f^{\circ k}$ such that $r_i \equiv c \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$.

To show claim (2), it suffices to show $c = \frac{a}{n}A$ and $m = 2$. For each pair of pair of distinct roots r and r' lifting c , let $l(r, r')$ be the smallest positive integer such that $f^{\circ l(r, r')}(r) = f^{\circ l(r, r')}(r')$. Considering r and r' as vertices of the tree T_f , the value $l(r, r')$ is the distance to the most common recent ancestor between r and r' .

We claim that if the maximum value of $l(r, r')$ obtained by pairs of lifts r and r' of c is 1, then c equals $\frac{a}{n}A$ and m equals 2. To see why, observe that if $l(r, r')$ equals 1 for any pair of distinct roots lifting c , then r_1, \dots, r_m are all roots of the polynomial $f(X) - f(r_1)$. This implies that c is a root of $f(X) - f(c) \equiv f(X) - f(r_1) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ of multiplicity $m > 1$. From this it follows that c is a critical point $f(X)$ of multiplicity $m - 1$. Noting equation (3.16), the critical points of f are $A, 0$ and $\frac{a}{n}A$ with multiplicities $n - a - 1, a - 1$, and 1, respectively. Therefore, as $f^{\circ k}(c) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_k}$ and

$$f^{\circ k}(0) = f^{\circ k}(A) = A \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p_k},$$

we deduce that c equals $\frac{a}{n}A$ and m equals 2.

Hence to conclude the proof the claim, we must show $l(r, r')$ equals 1 for any pair of distinct roots which lift c . Assume this is not the case, and let r and r' be a pair of lifts such that $l := l(r, r') > 1$. Then $f^{\circ l-1}(r)$ and $f^{\circ l-1}(r')$ are distinct roots of the polynomial

$$g_{r, r'}(X) := f(X) - f(r) = f(X) - f(r')$$

which reduce to $f^{\circ l-1}(c)$ modulo \mathfrak{m} . It follows $f^{\circ l-1}(c)$ is a root of $g'_{r, r'}(X) = f'(X)$, and hence equals A or 0 or $\frac{a}{n}A$. Since $f^{\circ k}(c) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_k}$ and

$$f^{\circ k-l-1}(0) = f^{\circ k-l-1}(A) = A \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p_k},$$

it must be the case that $f^{ol-1}(c)$ equals $\frac{a}{n}A$. But this implies, as $0 \equiv f^{\circ k}(\frac{a}{n}A) \pmod{p_k}$ by assumption, that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\equiv f^{\circ k}\left(\frac{a}{n}A\right) \pmod{p_k} \\ &\equiv f^{\circ k}(f^{ol-1}(c)) \pmod{p_k} \\ &\equiv f^{l-1}(f^{\circ k}(c)) \pmod{p_k} \\ &\equiv f^{l-1}(0) \pmod{p_k} \\ &\equiv A \pmod{p_k}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. \square

Proof of claim (3) of lemma 3.6: The factorization $b(x)g(x) = f(x)$ given in part (2) lifts by Hensel's lemma to a factorization

$$B(X)G(X) = f(X)$$

in $\mathbf{Z}_{p_k}[X]$, where $B(X)$ and $G(X)$ are monic polynomials such that

$$B \equiv b \pmod{p_k} \text{ and } G \equiv g \pmod{p_k}.$$

As g is separable, G splits over an unramified extension of \mathbf{Q}_{p_k} . To show I_{p_k} acts a transposition, we show the splitting field of B is a ramified quadratic extension of \mathbf{Q}_{p_k} .

Consider the quadratic polynomial $B(X + \frac{a}{n}A) = X^2 + B'(\frac{a}{n}A)X + B(\frac{a}{n}A)$. As

$$B'\left(\frac{a}{n}A\right)G\left(\frac{a}{n}A\right) + B\left(\frac{a}{n}A\right)G'\left(\frac{a}{n}A\right) = f'\left(\frac{a}{n}A\right) = 0,$$

and

$$G\left(\frac{a}{n}A\right) \equiv g\left(\frac{a}{n}A\right) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p_k},$$

we observe $v_{p_k}(B'(\frac{a}{n}A)) \geq v_{p_k}(B(\frac{a}{n}A))$. It follows that the Newton polygon $B(X + \frac{a}{n}A)$ has a single segment of slope $\frac{v_{p_k}(B(\frac{a}{n}A))}{2}$ and width 2. As

$$v_{p_k}(B(\frac{a}{n}A)) = v_{p_k}(f(\frac{a}{n}A)) - v_{p_k}(G(\frac{a}{n}A)) = v_{p_k}(f(\frac{a}{n}A))$$

the slope is non-integral. We conclude $B(X + \frac{a}{n}A)$ is irreducible and splits over a ramified (quadratic) extension. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let $a \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $A \in \mathbf{Q}$ satisfy the assumptions of theorem 3.1. For each positive integer k , the image of the permutation representation of G_E on the roots of $f^{\circ k}$ contains a transposition.*

Proof. In lemma 3.5, it was shown that there exists a prime $p_k \nmid nA^+A^-$ such that $f^{\circ k}(\frac{a}{n}A)$ is p_k -integral and divisible by p_k to odd order. Since all primes which ramify in E divide A^+ , the inertia group $I_{p_k} \subset G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p_k}}$ occurs as a subgroup of G_E (see assumption A.1 of Theorem 3.1). We conclude by lemma 3.6 that G_E contains a transposition. \square

We conclude by lemma 2.1 that Theorem 3.1 holds.

4. BRIDGING THE GAP

Given Theorem 3.1, our main theorem, Theorem 1.2, holds in polynomial degrees n satisfying $n \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ and $n \geq 6$, or $n = 2$. In this section, we prove that Theorem 1.2 holds in all remaining cases.

Assume $n \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ or n is in the range $3 \leq n \leq 6$. By Theorem 3.1, we know that there are infinitely many values of $A \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that the image of G_E under the arboreal Galois representation associated to

$$f_A(X) = X(X - A)^{n-1} + A$$

contains $\Gamma(1)$. To prove Theorem 1.2, we will show for infinitely many A satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 the splitting field of

$$f_A(X) = X(X - A)^{n-1} + A$$

over E is an S_n -extension. Our first step is to prove the geometric Galois group of (a variant of) the family $f_A \in \mathbf{Q}(A)[X]$ is S_n .

Lemma 4.1. *Assume n is greater than 2. Let F be a field of characteristic 0, and consider the polynomial*

$$g(X, t) := (X - t)(X)^{n-1} - t = X^n - tX - t \in F[X, t].$$

For any $c_1, c_2 \in F$, the splitting field of the polynomial

$$g(X - c_1(1 + c_2t)^2, -c_1(1 + c_2t)^2) = X(X - c_1(1 + c_2t)^2)^{n-1} + c_1(1 + c_2t)^2$$

over $F(t)$ is an S_n -extension.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume F is the complex field \mathbf{C} . Let $\pi : C_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ be the étale morphism whose fiber above a point $t_0 \in \mathbf{C}$ is the set of isomorphisms

$$\phi_t : \{0, \dots, n-1\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{r \in \mathbf{C} : g(r, t_0) = 0\}.$$

Let C be a smooth, proper curve containing C_0 , and let $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ be the map extending $\pi : C_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$. We claim that C is connected.

We will analyze the monodromy around the branch points of $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$. The cover C is ramified above the roots of

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta g(X, t) &= \prod_{\substack{c \in \overline{\mathbf{C}(t)}: \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(c, t) = 0}} g(r, t)^{m_c} \\ &= g(t, t)^{n-2} g\left(\frac{n-1}{n}t, t\right) \\ &= (-t)^{n-2} \left(t^n \left(\frac{-1}{n}\right) \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{n-1} - t \right), \end{aligned}$$

where m_c is the multiplicity of the critical point c . Hence, $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ is branched at 0 and

$$\alpha_k := e^{\frac{(2k+1)\pi i}{(n-1)} \frac{n^{\frac{n}{n-1}}}{n-1}},$$

where $k \in \{0, \dots, n-2\}$. Each of the branch points α_k are simple. One may check (though it is not relevant to our proof) that $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ is unramified at ∞ . We let $D := \{0, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-2}\}$ denote the branch locus.

Since $g(X, t) = X^n - tX^{n-1} - t$ is t -Eisenstein, it splits over $\mathbf{C}[[t^{1/n}]]$. Observing that

$$t^{-1}g(Xt^{1/n}, t) \equiv X^n - 1 \pmod{t^{1/n}},$$

it follows that each of the roots r of g in $\mathbf{C}[[t^{1/n}]]$ satisfy

$$r = e^{2\pi ik/n} t^{1/n} \pmod{t^{2/n}}$$

for some unique value of $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$. Let $pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}$ be the set $(0, |\alpha_0|)\alpha_0 \in \mathbf{C}$, i.e. the image of the straight line path from 0 to α_0 . Let $s : pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0} \rightarrow C$ be the unique holomorphic section of $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{s(t)(k)}{|s(t)(k)|} = e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{n}} e^{\frac{\pi i}{(n-1)n}}.$$

We consider the monodromy representation $\varphi : \pi_1(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus D, pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}) \rightarrow S_n$ which maps a path $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1 \setminus D$ with endpoints in $pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}$ to $\hat{p}(1)^{-1} \circ \hat{p}(0)$ where \hat{p} is the unique lift satisfying $\hat{p}(0) = s(p(0))$. To show C is connected, it suffices to show φ is surjective.

Consider a counterclockwise circular path p_0 around 0 with endpoints in $pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}$. Since 0 is the only branch point contained in the circle bounded by p_0 , the image of p_0 under φ is the cycle $(0 \ 1 \ 2 \ \dots \ n-1)$. To show that the image of φ is S_n , we will show there is a path with image $(0 \ 1)$. Explicitly, let p_1 be a path with endpoint in $pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}$ which bounds a punctured disk in $\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus D$ around α_0 . Since the branch point α_0 is simple, the image of p_1 under φ is a transposition. We claim $\varphi(p_1) = (0 \ 1)$.

We will show that for all $t_0 \in pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}$ that:

- (\star) there exists a unique pair of roots $\{r_1(t_0), r_2(t_0)\}$ of $g(X, t_0)$ such that the argument of $r_j(t_0)$ satisfies

$$\frac{\pi}{n(n-1)} \leq \text{Arg}(r_j(t_0)) \leq \frac{2\pi}{n} + \frac{\pi}{n(n-1)}.$$

Assuming (\star), it follows by uniqueness that

$$\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \alpha_0} r_{1,t_0} = \alpha_0 = \lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \alpha_0} r_{2,t_0} \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} s(t)^{-1}(\{r_1, r_2\}) = \{0, 1\},$$

which implies $\varphi(p_1) = (0 \ 1)$.

Let S be the sector described in (\star). Since, α_0 is a simple branch point contained in S , when t_0 is sufficiently close to α_0 there are at *least* two roots in S . On the other hand, as t_0 approaches 0, there is a unique pair of roots whose tangent directions are contained in S . Hence for t_0 sufficiently close to 0, there are at *most* two roots contained in S . To prove (\star) for all $t_0 \in pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}$, we will show that there is no value $t_0 \in pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}$ such that $g(X, t_0)$ has a root r whose argument equals $\frac{\pi}{n(n-1)}$ or $\frac{2\pi}{n} + \frac{\pi}{n(n-1)}$, i.e. roots cannot leave or enter the sector S as one varies t_0 along $pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}$.

Assume for the sake of contradiction that there is a value $t_0 \in pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}$ and a root r of $g(X, t_0)$ such that $\text{Arg}(r) = \frac{\pi}{n(n-1)}$ or $\text{Arg}(r) = \frac{2\pi}{n} + \frac{\pi}{n(n-1)}$. Then since $g(r, t_0) = 0$, one observes that

$$r^n = t_0(r^{n-1} + 1).$$

And so,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\pi}{n-1} &\equiv \text{Arg}(r^n) \pmod{2\pi} \\
&\equiv \text{Arg}(t_0) + \text{Arg}(r^{n-1} + 1) \pmod{2\pi} \\
&\equiv \frac{\pi}{n-1} + \text{Arg}(r^{n-1} + 1) \pmod{2\pi}.
\end{aligned}$$

From which it follows $\text{Arg}(r^{n-1} + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$. Note however,

$$\text{Arg}(r^{n-1}) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{n} \pmod{2\pi} & \text{if } \text{Arg}(r) = \frac{\pi}{n(n-1)}, \text{ and} \\ 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{n} \pmod{2\pi}, & \text{if } \text{Arg}(r) = \frac{\pi}{n(n-1)}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, r^{n-1} is not a real number. It follows $r^{n-1} + 1$ is not real, and therefore has non-zero argument, a contradiction. We conclude that there is no value $t_0 \in pt_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}$ such that $g(X, t_0)$ has a root with argument $\frac{\pi}{n(n-1)}$ or $\frac{\pi}{n(n-1)} + \frac{2\pi}{n}$. We conclude $\varphi(p_1) = (0 \ 1)$ and C is connected.

Because $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ has a simple branch point distinct from $\frac{-1}{c_2}$, the base change C' of C/\mathbf{P}^1 along the degree 2, branched cover of \mathbf{P}^1 defined by the mapping $t \mapsto -c_1(1 + c_2t)^2$ remains connected. The resulting statement on function fields is that the splitting field of the polynomial

$$g(X, c_1(1 + c_2t)^2) = X(X - c_1(1 + c_2t)^2)^{n-1} + c_1(1 + c_2t)^2$$

equals the total field of fractions of C' . We conclude the splitting field of $g(X, -c_1(1 + c_2t)^2)$ is an S_n -extension of $F(t)$. The same is true of the polynomial $g(X - c_1(1 + c_2t)^2, -c_1(1 + c_2t)^2)$ as it is obtained from $g(X, -c_1(1 + c_2t)^2)$ by an $F(t)$ -linear change of variables. \square

We deduce our main theorem, Theorem 1.2, via a Hilbert irreducibility argument.

Proof of theorem 1.2. If $n \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ or in the range $3 \leq n \leq 6$, then the theorem is a consequence of Theorem 3.1.

Assume that $n \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ or $3 \leq n \leq 6$. Without loss of generality, we may assume E is a Galois extension of \mathbf{Q} . Let D be the unique positive, square-free integer which is divisible by exactly the primes which ramify in E . Let D' be the largest factor of D which is prime to $n-1$. Let $B = (2D')^{-1}$. Consider the polynomial family:

$$g(X, t) = X(X - B(1 + 2D't)^2)^{n-1} + B(1 + 2D't)^2 \in \mathbf{Q}[t, X].$$

By lemma 4.1, the polynomial $g(X, t)$ has Galois group S_n over $\mathbf{Q}(t)$. Therefore by Hilbert irreducibility, there exists infinitely many values of $t_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that the splitting field L_{t_0} of $g(X, t_0)$ is an S_n -extension of \mathbf{Q} . Fix such a value t_0 .

We claim that there is a finite set of integer primes $\{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$ which:

- (1) do not ramify in E ,
- (2) do not contain 2, and
- (3) the closed normal subgroup generated by (any) choice of inertia groups at l_1, \dots, l_k acts on the roots $g(X, t_0)$ as the full set of symmetries S_n .

Since there are no everywhere unramified extensions of \mathbf{Q} , the set of primes which ramify in L_{t_0} satisfy condition (3). We show this set satisfies conditions (1) and (2).

We want to show that L_{t_0} is unramified at all primes dividing $2D$. By lemma 3.3, the extension L_{t_0} is unramified at all primes dividing $2D'$ if n is even, and D' if n is odd. We

claim L_{t_0} is unramified at all primes dividing $n - 1$. To see this, observe that for every prime l dividing $n - 1$ the polynomial $g(X, t_0) \in \mathbf{Z}_l[X]$ and the discriminant

$$\Delta(g(X, t_0)) = n^n (B(1 + 2D't_0)^2)^{n-1} \left(\frac{(B(1 + 2D't_0)^2)^{n-1}}{n} \left(\frac{1-n}{n} \right)^{n-1} + 1 \right) \equiv 1 \pmod{l}.$$

Hence, L_{t_0} is unramified at l . We conclude that L_{t_0} is unramified at all primes dividing $2D$.

Let $S \subseteq G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ be the closed normal subgroup generated by I_{l_1}, \dots, I_{l_k} . The permutation representation of S on the roots of $g(X, t_0)$ surjects onto S_n . By Krasner's lemma, there is an open neighborhood U of $g(X, t_0)$ in the space of degree n polynomials with coefficients in $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{Q}_{l_i}$ such that if $f \in U$ then the permutation representation of S on the roots of f surjects onto S_n . Fix such a neighborhood U . Observe that since l_1, \dots, l_k do not divide $2D$ there are infinitely many rational numbers $A \in U$ satisfying assumptions (A.1) - (A.8) of Theorem 3.1. Choose A to be any such value. By Theorem 3.1, the image of the arboreal Galois representation attached to $f_{a,A}(X) := X^a(X - A)^{n-a} + A$ contains $\Gamma(1)$. Since E is Galois and unramified at l_1, \dots, l_k , the group $G_E \subseteq G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is normal and contains S . We conclude that the arboreal Galois representation attached to $f_{a,A}$ is surjective. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Nicole Looper for explaining her arguments in [Loo16], the University of Chicago for their hospitality, and Mathilde Gerbelli-Gauthier for motivating me to write down this result.

REFERENCES

- [Gal73] Patrick X Gallagher, *The large sieve and probabilistic galois theory*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math, vol. 24, 1973, pp. 91–101.
- [Jon08] Rafe Jones, *The density of prime divisors in the arithmetic dynamics of quadratic polynomials*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society **78** (2008), no. 2, 523–544.
- [Juu14] Jamie Juul, *Iterates of generic polynomials and generic rational functions*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1410.3814 (2014).
- [Kad18] Borys Kadets, *Large arboreal Galois representations*, ArXiv e-prints (2018).
- [Loo16] Nicole R Looper, *Dynamical galois groups of trinomials and odoni's conjecture*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.03398 (2016).
- [Odo85a] RWK Odoni, *The galois theory of iterates and composites of polynomials*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **3** (1985), no. 3, 385–414.
- [Odo85b] _____, *On the prime divisors of the sequence $w_n + 1 = 1 + w_1 \dots w_n$* , Journal of the London Mathematical Society **2** (1985), no. 1, 1–11.
- [Ser16] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Topics in galois theory*, CRC Press, 2016.

JOEL SPECTER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, 3400 N. CHARLES STREET,
BALTIMORE, MD 21218, UNITED STATES
E-mail address: jspecter@jhu.edu