

Finding a root of constrained quadratic polynomial system is NP-hard

Marcelo S. Reis¹

¹ Center of Toxins, Immune-response and Cell Signaling (CeTICS);
Laboratório Especial de Ciclo Celular, Instituto Butantan, São Paulo, Brazil

São Paulo, May 22, 2022

Abstract

Here, we prove that finding a root of a quadratic polynomial system with non-negative variables is a NP-hard problem. This result has impact on dynamic system identification on the context of molecular signaling networks whose kinetics is described as a set of chemical reactions, which often is the case in modeling Ras/MAPK and other signaling pathways [1].

Keywords: polynomial system, molecular signaling network,
chemical reaction kinetics, NP-hard problem

1 Preliminaries

Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a set of n non-negative, real variables. A constrained polynomial system of degree d is a set of m polynomial equations with degree at most d , each one containing x_1, \dots, x_n as variables. In this type of system, each polynomial equation j , $1 \leq j \leq m$, is described by the following expression:

$$\sum_{i=0}^d \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{j,k}^i x_k^i = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha_{j,k}^i$ is a real-valued coefficient.

If the degree of the system is 2, then we say that this special case is a constrained quadratic polynomial system.

A tuple of non-negative real values for x_1, \dots, x_n that satisfies all the equations in the system of Equation 1 is called root of the system or simply root. If a system has at least one root, then that system is solvable; otherwise, we say that such system has no solution.

2 The problem

Once a linear constrained polynomial system (i.e., a system with degree $d \leq 1$) is solvable in polynomial time (e.g., using an algorithm such as Gaussian elimination), we are interested in studying the complexity of non-linear constrained polynomial systems (i.e., a system with degree $d \geq 2$); the constrained quadratic polynomial system is the simplest non-linear constrained polynomial system, so from now on we will focus on this type of system. Therefore, the central problem studied in this work is the following one.

Problem 2.1. *Consider a constrained quadratic polynomial system with m equations and n variables. If this system is solvable, then return a root of it; otherwise return NULL (i.e. the system has no solution).*

This is an optimization problem, which is related to a decision problem.

Problem 2.2. *(CQPS) Given a constrained quadratic polynomial system with m equations and n variables, decide whether the system has a solution or not.*

As we will show in the following, it is unlikely that there is a polynomial-time algorithm for this problem.

Theorem 2.3. *The CQPS problem is NP-complete.*

To prove this theorem, we will make use of the satisfiability problem with 3 literals per clause (3-SAT), a well-known NP-complete problem [2]. In the 3-SAT problem, we have a Boolean expression in conjunctive normal form (CNF) with n Boolean variables and p clauses. Each clause has 3 literals, which in turn are composed of either a variable (positive literal) or its complement (negative literal). As an example, we show a 3-SAT instance with 5 variables and 3 clauses:

$$(b_1 \vee \neg b_2 \vee b_3) \wedge (b_2 \vee b_3 \vee \neg b_4) \wedge (\neg b_1 \vee b_4 \vee \neg b_5), \quad (2)$$

where \wedge is the conjunction operator, \vee is the disjunction operator, and \neg is the negation operator. In this problem, one must decide whether there is a tuple of Boolean values for b_1, \dots, b_n such that the computation of the whole expression is equal to 1 (i.e., a tuple that satisfies the expression). In the example of Equation 2, the assignment $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 1, b_3 = 1, b_4 = 0$, and $b_5 = 0$ satisfies the expression.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. We start this proof showing that CQPS belongs to NP. Given a CQPS instance with m equations and a set of values for x_1, \dots, x_n , it follows from definition of Equation 1 that a solution verification demands $O(m(dn)) = O(mn)$ (since $d = 2$) computational time, which is polynomial on the instance size.

Now, we will demonstrate that the CQPS problem is at least as hard as the 3-SAT problem. To this end, we will present a reduction of 3-SAT instances to CQPS instances; this reduction will be done in a way that a 3-SAT instance is satisfiable iff its equivalent CQPS instance is solvable. Let us consider a 3-SAT instance with n Boolean variables (b_1, \dots, b_n) and p clauses. We start to construct its corresponding CQPS instance by defining n equations as the one below:

$$x_i^2 - x_i = 0, \quad (3)$$

where x_i is a non-negative real variable that corresponds to b_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. In the sequence, we will define p equations, one equation per clause. For a clause j , $1 \leq j \leq p$, we design an equation whose left-hand side is defined based on the clause's literals:

$$\sum_{k=1}^3 l_k^j - s_j - 1 = 0. \quad (4)$$

In the equation above, $s_j \geq 0$ is a slack variable, and l_k^j corresponds to one of the three literals of clause j , and is defined as:

$$l_k^j = \begin{cases} x_i, & \text{if the literal } k \text{ in clause } j \text{ is } b_i, 1 \leq i \leq n; \\ 1 - x_i, & \text{otherwise } (\neg b_i). \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

To end the presentation of the proposed reduction, let us define how we attribute values for x_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, from the 3-SAT instance to the reduced CQPS instance:

$$x_i := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } b_i = 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Additionally, values for slack variable s_j , $1 \leq j \leq p$, will be assigned in the following way:

$$s_j := l_1^j l_2^j + l_1^j l_3^j + l_2^j l_3^j - l_1^j l_2^j l_3^j. \quad (7)$$

Observe that, according to Equation 7, s_j is assigned with values in $\{0, 1, 2\}$.

Thus, combining the n equations in Equation 3 with the p equations generated with Equation 4, we have $m := n + p$ equations, each one being a polynomial of degree 1 or 2 with non-negative real variables and real-valued constants. Therefore, each of these equations can be described through the expression in Equation 1, so we conclude that those m equations compose a CQPS instance. Moreover, this instance was created through a reduction that is linear on m , that is, whose complexity is $O(n + p)$, which means it is polynomial on the size of the 3-SAT instance.

Finally, we need to show that a 3-SAT instance is satisfiable iff is respective CQPS instance, obtained through the aforementioned reduction, is solvable. Let us assume a 3-SAT instance which is satisfiable. Equation 5 defines that either $x_i = 0$ or $x_i = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Once the roots of Equation 3 are precisely 0 and 1, all the n equations yielded through Equation 3 are solvable whatever are the values of b_1, \dots, b_n . Moreover, consider any j equation, $1 \leq j \leq p$ among the p equations defined through Equation 4: observe that the first two terms of the left-hand side of j compose a union operation over 3 unit (Boolean) sets:

$$l_1^j + l_2^j + l_3^j - l_1^j l_2^j - l_1^j l_3^j - l_2^j l_3^j + l_1^j l_2^j l_3^j. \quad (8)$$

Once the clause corresponding to Equation j is satisfiable (initial assumption about the 3-SAT instance), the union of the three literal terms (Equation 8) must be equal to 1. Therefore, we have:

$$\sum_{k=1}^3 l_k^j - s_j - 1 = 0 \quad (9a)$$

$$l_1^j + l_2^j + l_3^j - (l_1^j l_2^j + l_1^j l_3^j + l_2^j l_3^j - l_1^j l_2^j l_3^j) - 1 = 0 \quad (9b)$$

$$l_1^j + l_2^j + l_3^j - l_1^j l_2^j - l_1^j l_3^j - l_2^j l_3^j + l_1^j l_2^j l_3^j - 1 = 0 \quad (9c)$$

$$1 - 1 = 0 \quad (9d)$$

$$0 = 0. \quad (9e)$$

Conversely, let us assume a CQPS instance as defined by Equations 3 and 4 that is solvable. Once each of the n equations defined through Equation 3 has as a solution either 0 or 1, the root of this CQPS instance necessarily is a tuple $x_1, \dots, x_n, s_1, \dots, s_p$ such that $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $s_j \geq 0$, $1 \leq j \leq p$. Now we need to show that for each j of the p equations defined through Equation 4, if equation j is solvable then its corresponding 3-SAT clause is satisfiable. Without loss of generality, consider 4 possibilities of values for clauses l_1^j , l_2^j and l_3^j , and their respective values for s_j to solve j :

l_1^j	l_2^j	l_3^j	slack variable (s_j) value	equation j solvable?	corresponding clause j satisfied?
0	0	0	None (since $s_j \geq 0$)	No	No
1	0	0	0	Yes	Yes
1	1	0	1	Yes	Yes
1	1	1	2	Yes	Yes

As it was shown above, a given equation j being solvable implies that its corresponding clause j is also satisfied; once all equations are solvable, the whole 3-SAT instance is satisfiable, thus concluding this proof. \square

Theorem 2.3 has implications on the computational complexity of Problem 2.1.

Corollary 2.4. *It follows from Theorem 2.3 that Problem 2.1 is NP-hard.*

Proof. We can reduce instances of Problem 2.1 to ones of Problem 2.2: if the former output is “NULL”, then the latter one will be “no”, otherwise it will be “yes”. Conversely, we can reduce instances of Problem 2.2 to ones of Problem 2.1: if the former output is “no”, then the latter will be “NULL”, otherwise it will be the set of values for x_1, \dots, x_n that compose a certificate for the former. \square

3 Final remarks

Previous studies focused on solving a multivariate quadratic polynomial system. In this type of problem, each polynomial equation has the form:

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \alpha_{i,j} x_i x_j + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \beta_i x_i + \gamma = 0, \quad (10)$$

where x_i and x_j are real variables, and $\alpha_{i,j}$, β_i and γ are real constants. Earlier results showed that it is a NP-hard problem [3].

Here, we proved that to solve a system composed of a special case of Equation 10, in which each term has at most one variable (Equation 1 with $d = 2$) and the variables are non-negative real numbers, is also NP-hard. This means that to compute steady-state solutions of Ordinary Differential Equation (ODE) systems that describe the dynamics of a set of first and/or second-order reactions (i.e., the type of system described in [1]) is NP-hard, even when the two reactants of the second-order reactions are the same (e.g., when such reactions describe homo-dimerization).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grant #13/07467-1, São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP).

References

- [1] Marcelo S Reis, Vincent Noël, Matheus H Dias, Layra L Albuquerque, Amanda S Guimarães, Lulu Wu, Junior Barrera, and Hugo A Armelin. An interdisciplinary approach for designing kinetic models of the Ras/MAPK signaling pathway. In *Kinase Signaling Networks*, pages 455–474. Springer, 2017.
- [2] Richard M Karp. Reducibility among combinatorial problems. In *Complexity of computer computations*, pages 85–103. Springer, 1972.
- [3] Michael R Garey and David S Johnson. *Computers and intractability*, volume 29. wh freeman New York, 2002.