

RELATIVE LINEAR EXTENSIONS OF SEXTIC DEL PEZZO FIBRATIONS OVER CURVES

TAKERU FUKUOKA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study a sextic del Pezzo fibration over a curve comprehensively. We obtain certain formulae of several basic invariants of such a fibration. We also establish the embedding theorem of such a fibration which asserts that every such a fibration is a relative linear section of a Mori fiber space with the general fiber $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$ and that with the general fiber $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$. As an application of this embedding theorem, we classify singular fibers of such a fibrations and answer a question of T. Fujita about existence of non-normal fibers.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Motivations	2
1.2. Known results	2
1.3. Main Results	3
1.4. Organization of this paper	5
1.5. Notation and Conventions	5
1.6. Acknowledgement	6
2. Relative double projections	7
2.1. Double projection from a smooth point on a smooth sextic del Pezzo surface	7
2.2. Relativizations of double projections	7
3. Preliminaries	9
3.1. The double covers associated to quadric fibrations	9
3.2. Proof of Theorem A	12
3.3. Characterizations of some nef bundles on quadric surfaces	12
3.4. Certain vector bundles on families of surfaces with multi-sections	15
4. Extensions to moderate $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$ -fibrations	15
4.1. Double projection from a point on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$	15
4.2. Moderate $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$ -fibrations	15
4.3. Proof of Theorem B	18
5. Extensions to moderate $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$ -fibrations	19
5.1. Double projection from a point on $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$	19
5.2. Moderate $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$ -fibrations	20
5.3. Proof of Theorem C	22
6. Singular fibers of sextic del Pezzo fibrations	23
6.1. Singular sextic del Pezzo surfaces	23
6.2. The aim and main results of Section 6	24
6.3. Definition of $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$ and proof of Theorem 6.3	25
6.4. Definition of $\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}$	27
6.5. Reducing Theorems 6.4 and 6.5 to Propositions 6.14 and 6.15	28

Date: March 1, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14E25, 14E30.

6.6. Proofs of Propositions 6.14 and 6.15	29
7. Proof of Theorem F	37
8. Related result for Du Val families of sextic del Pezzo surfaces	38
Appendix A. Relative universal extension of sheaves	39
A.1. Relative Ext-sheaf	39
A.2. Relative universal extensions of sheaves	41
A.3. Proof of Theorem 3.11	42
References	44

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivations. A smooth del Pezzo surface S of degree d is defined to be a smooth projective surface whose anti-canonical divisor $-K_S$ is ample with $(-K_S)^2 = d$. It is a famous result that for any integer $d \in \{1, \dots, 9\}$, there exists a certain variety V_d such that every del Pezzo surface S of degree d is an (weighted) complete intersection of V_d . For example, when $d = 3$ (resp. $d = 4$), we take $V_3 = \mathbb{P}^3$ (resp. $V_4 = \mathbb{P}^4$) and every del Pezzo surface of degree 3 (resp. 4) is a cubic hypersurface on \mathbb{P}^3 (resp. a complete intersection of two quadrics on \mathbb{P}^4). When $d = 6$, we can take not only $V_6 = (\mathbb{P}^1)^3$ but also $V_6 = (\mathbb{P}^2)^2$. Then every del Pezzo surface of degree 6 is a hyperplane section of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$ and also a codimension 2 linear section of $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$ with respect to the Segre embeddings. These descriptions are classic and useful to study del Pezzo surfaces.

In this paper, we mainly discuss about how to relativize these description for del Pezzo fibrations. A relativization of those embeddings is important to study del Pezzo fibrations and actually have been used in several researches (e.g. [34]). As we will see in the next subsection, relativizations of these descriptions for del Pezzo fibrations of degree d was established when $d \neq 6$. One of the main results of this paper is to give a relativization when $d = 6$.

1.2. Known results. In this paper, we employ the following definition for del Pezzo fibrations as in the context of Mori theory. Let X be a smooth projective 3-fold whose canonical divisor K_X is not nef. By virtue of Mori theory, X has an extremal contraction $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$, which is a surjective morphism onto a normal projective variety C with connected fibers satisfying that $\rho(X/C) = 1$ and $-K_X$ is φ -ample. When $\dim C = 1$, we call φ a *del Pezzo fibration*, which is one of the final outputs of the minimal model program. In this case, a general φ -fiber F is a del Pezzo surface. Then the *degree* of a del Pezzo fibration $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ is defined to be $(-K_F)^2$.

Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ be a del Pezzo fibration of degree d . In the paper [24], Mori proved that $1 \leq d \leq 9$ and $d \neq 7$. Moreover, he proved that if $d = 9$ then φ is \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle, and if $d = 8$ then there exists an embedding of X into a \mathbb{P}^3 -bundle over C containing X as a quadric fibration [24, Theorem (3.5)]. When $d = 1$ or 2, Fujita proved that there exists a weighted projective space bundle containing X as a relative weighted hypersurface [10, (4.1),(4.2)].

Now we assume that $3 \leq d \leq 6$. Then $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ has a natural embedding into the \mathbb{P}^d -bundle $p \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C(\varphi_*\mathcal{O}(-K_X)) \rightarrow C$. D'Souza [8, (2.2.1) and (2.3.1)] and Fujita [10, (4.3) and (4.4)] proved that if $d = 3$ or 4, then X is a relative complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}_C(\varphi_*\mathcal{O}(-K_X))$. More precisely, when $d = 4$ for example, they proved that there is a rank 2 vector bundle \mathcal{E} on C such that X is the zero scheme of a global section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\varphi_*\mathcal{O}(-K_X))}(2) \otimes p^*\mathcal{E}$. When $d = 5$ or 6, $\mathbb{P}_C(\varphi_*\mathcal{O}(-K_X))$ does not contain X as a relative complete intersection and hence it seems to be difficult to treat such an X as a submanifold of $\mathbb{P}_C(\varphi_*\mathcal{O}(-K_X))$. When $d = 5$ and $C = \mathbb{P}^1$,

however, K. Takeuchi claimed that X is relatively defined in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\varphi_*\mathcal{O}(-K_X))$ by the Pfaffian of the 4×4 diagonal minors of a 5×5 skew-symmetric matrix [34, Theorem (3.3) (v)].

1.3. Main Results. In this paper, we mainly treat a *sextic* del Pezzo fibration $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$, i.e., that of degree 6.

1.3.1. Associated coverings. For every sextic del Pezzo fibration $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$, we will define smooth projective curves B, T with a double covering structure $\varphi_B: B \rightarrow C$ and a triple covering structure $\varphi_T: T \rightarrow C$ respectively associated to φ . These coverings φ_B and φ_T are deeply related to the relative Hilbert scheme of twisted cubics and conics respectively (see Lemma 3.4). In particular, when all φ -fiber are normal, the coverings B and T coincide with the coverings \mathcal{Z}_3 and \mathcal{Z}_2 over C that are defined by Kuznetsov [21] (see Proposition 8.5). We refer to Definition 3.5 for the precise definition.

1.3.2. Formulae for invariants $(-K_X)^3$ and $h^{1,2}(X)$. For a sextic del Pezzo fibration $X \rightarrow C$, the associated coverings are closely related to the invariants $(-K_X)^3$ and $h^{1,2}(X)$.

Theorem A. *Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ be a sextic del Pezzo fibration. Let $\varphi_B: B \rightarrow C$ be the double covering and $\varphi_T: T \rightarrow C$ the triple covering associated to φ (see Definition 3.5). Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) $\mathcal{J}(X) \times \text{Jac}(C)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Jac}(B) \times \text{Jac}(T)$ as complex tori, where $\mathcal{J}(X)$ is the intermediate Jacobian of X . Moreover, if $C = \mathbb{P}^1$, then $\mathcal{J}(X)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Jac}(B) \times \text{Jac}(T)$ as principally polarized abelian varieties.
- (2) It holds that $(-K_X)^3 = 22 - (6g(B) + 4g(T) + 12g(C))$.

This theorem shows that the invariants $(-K_X)^3$ and $h^{1,2}(X)$ can be interpreted by using the genera of two curves B and T .

1.3.3. Relative linear extensions. Let us recall that a smooth sextic del Pezzo surface is a hyperplane section of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$ and also a codimension 2 linear section of $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$ under the Segre embeddings. In the following two theorems, we relativize these embeddings for every sextic del Pezzo fibration.

Theorem B. *Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ be a sextic del Pezzo fibration and $\varphi_B: B \rightarrow C$ the double covering associated to φ . Set $\mathcal{L} := \text{Cok}(\mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \varphi_{B*}\mathcal{O}_B) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-K_C)$. Then there exists a smooth projective 4-fold Y , an extremal contraction $\varphi_Y: Y \rightarrow C$ and a divisor H_Y on Y satisfying the following conditions.*

- (1) Every smooth fiber of φ_Y is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$.
- (2) $\mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y + 2H_Y) = \varphi_Y^*\mathcal{L}$ holds.
- (3) Y contains X as a member of $|\mathcal{O}(H_Y) \otimes \varphi_Y^*\mathcal{L}^{-1}|$.

Theorem C. *Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ be a sextic del Pezzo fibration and $\varphi_T: T \rightarrow C$ the triple covering associated to φ . Set $\mathcal{G} := \text{Cok}(\mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \varphi_{T*}\mathcal{O}_T) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-K_C)$. Then there exists a smooth projective 5-fold Z , an extremal contraction $\varphi_Z: Z \rightarrow C$ and a divisor H_Z on Z satisfying the following conditions.*

- (1) Every smooth fiber of φ_Z is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$.
- (2) $\mathcal{O}_Z(K_Z + 3H_Z) = \varphi_Z^*\det \mathcal{G}$ holds.
- (3) There exists a section $s \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(H_Z) \otimes \varphi_Z^*\mathcal{G}^\vee)$ such that X is isomorphic to the zero scheme of s .

Remark 1.1. Note that the sheaf \mathcal{L} (resp. \mathcal{G}) in Theorem B (resp. Theorem C) is invertible (resp. locally free of rank 2).

One of the most different points from the case where the degree is not 6 is that φ_Y and φ_Z in Theorems B and C may have singular fibers, and must have when $C = \mathbb{P}^1$ by the invariant cycle theorem (cf. [35, II, Theorem 4.18]). We will classify singular fibers of φ_Y and φ_Z in Theorem D. Moreover, as an application of Theorems B and C, we will classify singular fibers of sextic del Pezzo fibrations in Subsections 1.4 and 1.5.

1.3.4. *Classification of singular fibers of sextic del Pezzo fibrations.* Let us recall Fujita's result about singular fibers of del Pezzo fibrations [10].

Theorem 1.2 ([10, (4,10)]). *Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ be a del Pezzo fibration. If φ is not of degree 6, then every fiber of φ is normal.*

However, singular fibers of sextic del Pezzo fibrations was yet to be classified. Indeed, Fujita proposed the following question.

Question 1.3 ([10, (3,7)]). *Does there exist del Pezzo fibrations of degree 6 containing non-normal fibers?*

Another main result of this paper is a classification of singular fibers of sextic del Pezzo fibrations $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$. For the proof, we will use the embeddings $X \hookrightarrow Y$ and $X \hookrightarrow Z$ as in Theorems B and C. In summary, we will show the following theorem.

Theorem D. *Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ be a sextic del Pezzo fibration. Let $\varphi_B: B \rightarrow C$ and $\varphi_T: T \rightarrow C$ be the coverings associated to φ . Let $X \hookrightarrow Y$ and $X \hookrightarrow Z$ be the embeddings as in Theorems B and C respectively. For $t \in C$, we set $B_t := \varphi_B^{-1}(t)$ and $T_t := \varphi_T^{-1}(t)$.*

Then for every $t \in C$, the numbers $(\#(B_t)_{\text{red}}, \#(T_t)_{\text{red}})$ determines the isomorphism classes of Y_t , Z_t and the possibilities of those of X_t as in Table 1.

$\#(B_t)_{\text{red}}$	$\#(T_t)_{\text{red}}$	X_t	Y_t	Z_t
2	3	$(2,3)$	$(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$	$(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$
2	2	$(2,2)$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{Q}_0^2$	$(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$
2	1	$(2,1)$	$\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}$	$(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$
1	3	$(1,3)$	$(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$	$\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$
1	2	$(1,2)$ or $(n2)$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{Q}_0^2$	$\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$
1	1	$(1,1)$ or $(n4)$	$\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}$	$\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$

TABLE 1. The singular fibers of φ , φ_Y and φ_Z

For the definitions of (i,j) , $(n2)$ and $(n4)$, we refer to Theorem 6.1. \mathbb{Q}_0^2 denotes the quadric cone. For the definition of $\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$), we refer to Definition 6.12 (resp. Definition 6.6).

In particular, if X_t is normal, then the isomorphism class of X_t is determined by the pair $(\#(B_t)_{\text{red}}, \#(T_t)_{\text{red}})$ and the number of lines in X_t is equals to $\#(B_t)_{\text{red}} \times \#(T_t)_{\text{red}}$.

As applications of Theorems A and D, we have the following properties of a sextic del Pezzo fibration $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$.

Corollary E. *Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ be a sextic del Pezzo fibration.*

- (1) *It holds that $(-K_X)^3 \leq 22$ and $(-K_X)^3 \neq 20$.*
- (2) *If $(-K_X)^3 > 0$, then $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. In particular, X is rational since φ admits a section (cf. [23, Theorem 4.2] and [32]).*
- (3) *It holds that $(-K_{X/C})^3 \leq 0$ and the equality holds if and only if φ is a smooth morphism.*

1.3.5. *Existence of non-normal fibers.* We will give an answer to Question 1.3 by presenting sextic del Pezzo fibrations with non-normal fibers. More precisely, as a consequence of Examples 7.4, 7.5 and 7.6, we will show the following theorem.

Theorem F. *Let X_0 be a sextic Gorenstein del Pezzo surface, which is possibly non-normal. Suppose that X_0 is not a cone over an irreducible curve of arithmetic genus 1. Then there exists a sextic del Pezzo fibration $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ containing X_0 .*

In particular, Theorem F gives an affirmative answer for Question 1.3.

1.3.6. *Relative double projection.* Our proof of the main results are based on the *relative double projection* from a section of φ . This is a relativization of the *double projection* from a general point x of a sextic del Pezzo surface S , which is given as follows. Under the embedding $S \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^6$ given by the anti-canonical system, we consider the projection $\mathbb{P}^6 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ from the tangent plane $T_x S = \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ of S at x . The proper image of S under this birational map is a smooth quadric surface \mathbb{Q}^2 and the map $S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^2$ is birational. This birational map is what is called the *double projection* from the point x on S . In Proposition 2.1, we will make a relativization of this birational map $S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^2$ for a sextic del Pezzo fibration.

1.4. **Organization of this paper.** We organize this paper as follows.

In Section 2, we will establish a relativization of the double projection from a point on a sextic del Pezzo surface (=Proposition 2.1).

In Section 3, we will collect some preliminary results for quadric fibrations to define the associated coverings and prove Theorem A. Furthermore, we will see the following two statements: a characterization of a certain nef vector bundle of rank 3 on a quadric surface (=Proposition 3.7), and a variant of the Hartshorne-Serre correspondence on a family of surfaces with a multi-section (=Theorem 3.11). These two statements will be necessary for our proving Theorem C. As Theorem 3.11 is formulated in a slightly general form, we will postpone its proof to Appendix A.

In Section 4 and 5, we will prove Theorems B and C respectively.

In Section 6, using Theorems B and C, we will classify the singular fibers of the sextic del Pezzo fibrations and prove Theorem D and Corollary E.

In Section 7, we will prove Theorem F by using results in Section 6 and giving explicit examples.

In Section 8, we will mention some recent related works. Since 2016, families of sextic del Pezzo surfaces have been studied more actively in different contexts from this paper (cf. [2, 21]). We will compare some results to our main results.

1.5. **Notation and Conventions.** Throughout of this paper, we work over the complex number field \mathbb{C} . We basically adopt the terminology of [12, 19].

(1) We use the following notation for a surjective morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ among varieties X and Y .

- If $t \in Y$ is a point, then X_t stands for the scheme-theoretic fiber $f^{-1}(t)$ of t under the morphism f .
- For Cartier divisors D and D' on X , $D \sim_Y D'$ or $D \sim_f D'$ means that there exists a Cartier divisor A on Y such that $D \sim D' + f^*A$.

(2) For a product $X_1 \times X_2$, pr_i denotes the i -th projection.

(3) For a closed subscheme $Y \subset X$, the ideal sheaf of Y is denoted by $\mathcal{I}_{Y/X}$ or \mathcal{I}_Y . The normal sheaf is denoted by $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$.

(4) For a locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} on X , we set $\mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E}) = \text{Proj}_X \text{Sym } \mathcal{E}$. We say that \mathcal{E} is nef if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ is nef.

(5) For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the Hirzebruch surface is defined to be $\mathbb{F}_n := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(n))$. h denotes a tautological divisor, f a fiber of $\mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $C_0 \in |h - nf|$ the negative section of \mathbb{F}_n .

(6) For a smooth projective curve C , $g(C)$ denotes the genus of C and $\text{Jac}(C)$ the Jacobian of C .

(7) For a smooth projective 3-fold X , $\mathcal{J}(X)$ denotes the intermediate Jacobian of X . When $h^{1,0}(X) = 0$, we regard $\mathcal{J}(X)$ as a principally polarized abelian variety as in [6].

(8) \mathbb{Q}^n denotes the non-singular quadric hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} .

(9) \mathbb{Q}_0^2 denotes a singular quadric in \mathbb{P}^3 with an ordinary double point.

(10) On $\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n$, $\mathcal{O}(a, b)$ is defined to be $\text{pr}_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(a) \otimes \text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(b)$.

(11) For an irreducible and reduced quadric surface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$, $\mathcal{O}_Q(1)$ denotes the very ample line bundle with respect to the embedding. Moreover, under a fixed linear embedding $Q \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^3$, we define

$$\mathcal{S}_Q := \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Q}^3}|_Q$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Q}^3}$ is the globally generated spinor bundle on \mathbb{Q}^3 (see [15]). In this paper, we follow Kapranov's definition for the spinor bundle, which is the dual of what was defined by Ottaviani in [29].

(12) We say that $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ is a *del Pezzo fibration* if φ is an extremal contraction from a non-singular projective 3-fold X onto a smooth projective curve C , i.e., $-K_X$ is φ -ample and $\rho(X/C) = 1$, as in Subsection 1.1.

(13) We say that $q: Q \rightarrow C$ is a *quadric fibration* if q is a del Pezzo fibration of degree 8. In particular, we assume that Q is a smooth projective 3-fold and $\rho(Q/C) = 1$. The general fiber of q is actually isomorphic to \mathbb{Q}^2 by the following theorem, which we will use throughout this paper:

Theorem 1.4 ([23, 24]). *For a del Pezzo fibration $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$, the following assertions hold.*

- (1) φ has a section.
- (2) If φ is of degree 9, then φ is a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle.
- (3) If φ is of degree 8, then every φ -fiber is an irreducible and reduced quadric surface in \mathbb{P}^3 . Moreover, there exists an embedding into a \mathbb{P}^3 -bundle $f: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow C$ with a tautological divisor H such that $Q \in |2H + f^*L|$ for some $L \in \text{Pic}(C)$.
- (4) There exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(C) \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} \text{Pic}(X) \xrightarrow{(-, l)} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$, where l is a line in general φ -fiber.

Proof. (1) is proved by [23, Theorem 4.2] and [32]. (2) and (3) follow from [24, Theorem (3.5)]. (4) follows from [24, Theorems (3.2) and (3.5)]. \square

1.6. Acknowledgement. The author wishes to express his deepest gratitude to Professor Hiromichi Takagi, his supervisor, for his valuable comments, suggestions and encouragement. The author is grateful to Professor Asher Auel for variable comments and introducing the papers, especially [4] and [22]. The author is also grateful to Doctor Akihiro Kanemitsu for discussions on nef vector bundles and variable suggestions. The author is also grateful to Doctor Naoki Koseki for introducing the paper [5]. The author is also grateful to Professor Shigeru Mukai for variable comments on Lemma 3.4. The author is also grateful to Doctor Masaru Nagaoka for variable discussions on non-normal del Pezzo surfaces, especially Example 7.6. The author is also grateful to Professor Yuji Odaka for variable comments on Corollary E (3). During this work, the author also benefited from discussions with many people. Here the author shows my gratitude to Doctors Sho Ejiri, Makoto Enokizono, Wahei Hara and Yosuke Matsuzawa for discussions. This work was supported by the Program for Leading Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan. This work was also partially supported by JSPS's Research Fellowship for Young Scientists (JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18J12949).

2. RELATIVE DOUBLE PROJECTIONS

For the proof of Theorems B and C, the relative double projection from a section of a sextic del Pezzo fibration plays an important role. We devote this section to prove Proposition 2.1 that establishes this technique.

2.1. Double projection from a smooth point on a smooth sextic del Pezzo surface. First of all, we review the double projection from a general point on a smooth sextic del Pezzo surface S precisely. Recall that S is a linear section of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$ and hence S has three different conic bundle structures onto \mathbb{P}^1 . If we take a general point $x \in S$, then the three fibers G_1, G_2 and G_3 passing through x are smooth rational curves with self-intersection 0. On the blow-up $\text{Bl}_x S$ of S at x , the proper transform G'_i of G_i is a (-1) -curve for each i . Blowing G'_1, G'_2 and G'_3 down to points y_1, y_2 and y_3 respectively, we obtain a smooth quadric surface \mathbb{Q}^2 . The extracted curve E by the blow-up $\mu: \text{Bl}_x S \rightarrow S$ is transformed into a conic on \mathbb{Q}^2 passing through the three points y_1, y_2, y_3 . In particular, y_1, y_2, y_3 are not colinear. This construction is summarized as the following diagram:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Bl}_x S \xlongequal{\quad} \text{Bl}_{y_1, y_2, y_3} \mathbb{Q}^2 & \\ \mu \swarrow & & \searrow \sigma \\ S & & \mathbb{Q}^2. \end{array}$$

Noting that $E = \sigma^* \sigma_* E - (G'_1 + G'_2 + G'_3)$ and $G'_1 + G'_2 + G'_3 \sim \mu^*(-K_S) - 3E$, we obtain $\sigma^* \sigma_* E = E + G'_1 + G'_2 + G'_3 \sim \mu^*(-K_S) - 2E$. Therefore, the birational map $S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is the double projection from x .

2.2. Relativizations of double projections. The following proposition is a method of relativizing the double projection of a sextic del Pezzo surface. The proof will be done by Takeuchi's 2-ray game argument.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ be a sextic del Pezzo fibration and C_0 a φ -section. Let $\mu: \tilde{X} = \text{Bl}_{C_0} X \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of X along C_0 and $E = \text{Exc}(\mu)$ and $\tilde{\varphi} := \varphi \circ \mu$. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) $\mathcal{O}(-K_{\tilde{X}})$ is φ -globally generated. Moreover, if $\psi_X: \tilde{X} \rightarrow W$ denotes the first part of the Stein factorization of the induced morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C(\varphi_* \mathcal{O}(-K_{\tilde{X}}))$ over C , then ψ_X is an isomorphism or a flopping contraction.
- (2) When ψ_X is an isomorphism, let $\chi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Q}$ denote the identity map. Then there exists the unique contraction $\sigma: \tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q$ of the another $K_{\tilde{Q}}$ -negative ray over C . When ψ_X is a flopping contraction, let $\chi: \tilde{X} \dashrightarrow \tilde{Q}$ denote the flop of ψ_X . Then there exists the contraction $\sigma: \tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q$ of the $K_{\tilde{Q}}$ -negative ray over C .

In both cases, we set the morphisms as in the following commutative diagram:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & E & \subset & \tilde{X} & \overset{\chi}{\dashrightarrow} & \tilde{Q} & \supset & G \\ & \swarrow & & \downarrow \mu & \searrow \psi_X & \downarrow \psi_Q & \searrow \sigma & \searrow \\ C & \subset & X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & W & \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}} & Q & \supset & T \\ & \searrow \varphi & & \downarrow \tilde{\varphi} & \swarrow & \downarrow \tilde{q} & \swarrow q & & \\ & & & C & \xlongequal{\quad} & C, & & & \end{array}$$

- (3) The following assertions hold.

(a) σ is the blow-up along a non-singular curve $T \subset Q$.

(b) $\deg(q|_T) = 3$ and q is a quadric fibration.

Moreover, if we set $G = \text{Exc}(\sigma)$, then there exists a divisor α on C such that

$$G \sim -K_{\tilde{Q}} - 2E_{\tilde{Q}} + \tilde{q}^* \alpha.$$

(4) The following equalities hold.

$$\begin{aligned} (-K_Q)^3 &= \frac{1}{3}(4(-K_X)^3 + 16g(T) - 48g(C) + 32), \\ -K_Q \cdot T &= \frac{1}{6}((-K_X)^3 + 22g(T) - 54g(C) + 6(-K_X \cdot C_0) + 32) \text{ and} \\ \deg \alpha &= \frac{1}{6}(-(-K_X)^3 + 2g(T) - 18g(C) + 6(-K_X \cdot C_0) + 16). \end{aligned}$$

(5) The proper transform $E_Q \subset Q$ of $E = \text{Exc}(\mu)$ contains T . Moreover, it holds that $-K_Q = 2E_Q - q^* \alpha$.

Proof. (1) Fix a point $t \in C$. Then $\tilde{X}_t = \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(t)$ is the blow-up of $X_t = \varphi^{-1}(t)$ at a smooth point. For each $t \in C$, $-K_{X_t}$ is very ample and hence $-K_{\tilde{X}_t}$ is globally generated and big. The proof of (1) is completed by showing that ψ_X does not contract a prime divisor.

Claim 2.2. *If X_t is smooth, then the number of (-2) -curves on \tilde{X}_t is at most 2. Moreover, if (-2) -curves exist, then all of (-2) -curves are disjoint.*

Proof. Let $\mu_t: \tilde{X}_t \rightarrow X_t$ denote the blow-up and $E_t = \text{Exc}(\mu_t)$. Let $\varepsilon: X_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blow-up at three points, h the pull-back of a line by ε and e_1, e_2, e_3 the exceptional divisors of ε . Let C be a (-2) -curve on \tilde{X}_t . Then there exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $b_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\mu_{t*} C \sim ah - \sum_{j=1}^3 b_j e_j$ and $\mu_t^* \mu_{t*} C = C + mE_t$. Since $0 = E_t \cdot (\mu_t^* \mu_{t*} C) = E_t \cdot C - m$, it holds that $(\mu_{t*} C)^2 = \mu_t^* \mu_{t*} C \cdot C = C^2 + mE_t \cdot C = m^2 - 2$ and $-K_{X_t} \cdot (\mu_{t*} C) = (-K_{\tilde{X}_t} + E_t) \cdot C = E_t \cdot C = m$. Hence we obtain equalities $m = 3a - \sum_{j=1}^3 b_j$ and $m^2 - 2 = a^2 - \sum_{j=1}^3 b_j^2$. The Cauchy-Schwartz inequality $3 \sum_{j=1}^3 b_j^2 \geq \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 b_j\right)^2$ implies that $3(a^2 - m^2 + 2) \geq (3a - m)^2$, which implies $m = 1$. Therefore, every (-2) -curve on X_t is the proper transform of a (-1) -curve in X_t passing through $C_0 \cap X_t$, which implies the assertion. \square

Assume that ψ_X contracts a divisor G . Since $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X}) = \mathbb{Z}[-K_{\tilde{X}}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[E] \oplus \tilde{\varphi}^* \text{Pic}(C)$, there exists $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $G \equiv_C x(-K_{\tilde{X}}) + yE$. Note that $\psi|_{\tilde{X}_t}: \tilde{X}_t \rightarrow \tau(\tilde{X}_t)$ is the contraction of the (-2) -curves for general t . Let n be the number of the (-2) -curves on \tilde{X}_t . Then Claim 2.2 implies that $0 = -K_{\tilde{X}_t} G|_{\tilde{X}_t} = 5x + y$, $-2n = (G|_{\tilde{X}_t})^2 = 5x^2 + 2xy - y^2$ and $n \in \{1, 2\}$. These equalities gives $x = \pm \sqrt{\frac{n}{15}}$ and $y = \mp \sqrt{\frac{5n}{3}}$, which is a contradiction. We complete the proof of (1).

(2) Note that $\rho(\tilde{Q}) = 3$. Since $R^1 \tilde{q}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Q}} = 0$ and \tilde{Q} and C are smooth, we have $N_1(\tilde{Q}/C) = 2$ by [18, Corollary (12.1.5)]. Hence $\overline{NE}(\tilde{Q}/C) \subset N_1(\tilde{Q}/C) = \mathbb{R}^2$ is spanned by two rays. If χ is identity, then $-K_{\tilde{Q}}$ is ample over C and the assertion holds by the relative contraction theorem. If χ is a flop, then ψ_Q is the contraction of an extremal ray, say R_1 . If R_2 denotes the another ray, then we have $K_{\tilde{Q}} \cdot R_2 < 0$ since a general \tilde{q} -fiber is a del Pezzo surface. We complete the proof of (2).

(3) Since $\rho(\tilde{Q}) = 3$, it holds that $\rho(Q) = 2$ and $\dim Q \geq 2$. If $\dim Q = 2$, then Q is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over C . Let $s \subset Q$ be a section of $Q \rightarrow C$ and set $G := q^* s$. Then there exists $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $G \equiv_C x(-K_{\tilde{Q}}) + yE_{\tilde{Q}}$. Then for a general \tilde{q} -fiber

$F_{\tilde{Q}}$, we have $G^2 F_{\tilde{Q}} = 0$ and $-K_{\tilde{Q}} G F_{\tilde{Q}} = 2$, which implies $5x^2 + 2xy - y^2 = 0$ and $5x + y = 2$. Solving the above equalities, we get $x = \pm \frac{6 \pm \sqrt{6}}{15}$ and $y = \mp \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$, which contradicts $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Therefore, we obtain $\dim Q = 3$ and hence σ is divisorial. Set $G = \text{Exc}(\sigma)$. Since every \tilde{q} -fiber is integral and χ is isomorphic in codimension 1, every \tilde{q} -fiber is integral. Hence $T := \sigma(G)$ is not contained in any q -fiber. By [24, Theorem (3.3)], T is a non-singular curve, Q is smooth and σ is the blow-up of Q along T . Set $m := \deg(q|_T)$. Then there exists $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha \in \text{Pic}(C)$ such that $G \sim x(-K_{\tilde{Q}}) + yE_{\tilde{Q}} + \tilde{q}^* \alpha$. For a general \tilde{q} -fiber $F_{\tilde{Q}}$, $\sigma|_{F_{\tilde{Q}}}$ is a contraction of disjoint finitely many (-1) -curves. Hence we have $G^2 \cdot F_{\tilde{Q}} = -m$ and $-K_{\tilde{Q}} \cdot F_{\tilde{Q}} \cdot G = m$, which implies $5x^2 + 2xy - y^2 = -m$ and $5x + y = m$. Hence we obtain $x = \frac{\pm \sqrt{6m^2 + 30m + 6m}}{30}$ and $y = \mp \sqrt{\frac{m(m+5)}{6}}$, which implies $m \in \{1, 3\}$.

When $m = 1$, we have $(x, y) = (0, 1)$ since $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $G|_{F_{\tilde{Q}}} \equiv E|_{F_{\tilde{Q}}}$ holds for general $F_{\tilde{Q}}$ and hence we obtain $G = E_{\tilde{Q}}$. If χ is an identity, then it is a contradiction since μ is the contraction of another ray. If χ is a flop, then E is ample over W and hence $-E$ is ample over W . However, G is ample over W , which is a contradiction.

Therefore, we obtain $m = 3$ and hence q is a quadric fibration. In this case, we have $(x, y) = (1, -2)$ since $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(4) The assertion directly follows from solving the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (-K_{\tilde{Q}})^3 &= (-K_Q)^3 - 2(-K_Q \cdot T) + 2g(T) - 2 \\ &= (-K_X)^3 - 2(-K_X \cdot C_0) + 2g(C) - 2, \\ (-K_{\tilde{Q}})^2 \cdot G &= -K_Q \cdot T + 2 - 2g(T) \\ &= ((-K_X)^3 - 2(-K_X \cdot C_0) + 2g(C) - 2) \\ &\quad - 2(-K_X \cdot C_0 + 2 - 2g(C)) + 5 \deg \alpha, \text{ and} \\ (-K_{\tilde{Q}})G^2 &= 2g(T) - 2 \\ &= ((-K_X)^3 - 2(-K_X \cdot C_0) + 2g(C) - 2) \\ &\quad + 4(2g(C) - 2) - 4(-K_X \cdot C_0 + 2 - 2g(C)) + 6 \deg \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

(5) By (3), we obtain $-K_Q \sim 2E_Q - q^* \alpha$. For a general point $t \in C$, $E_Q \cap Q_t$ is a hyperplane section of Q_t . Since $E_{\tilde{Q}} \cap \tilde{Q}_t$ is a (-1) -curve in the \tilde{q} -fiber \tilde{Q}_t , $E_Q \cap Q_t$ passes through the three points $T \cap Q_t$. Thus E_Q contains T . \square

Definition 2.3. For a sextic del Pezzo fibration $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ with a section C_0 , the pair $(q: Q \rightarrow C, T)$ as in Proposition 2.1 is called the *relative double projection* of the pair $(\varphi: X \rightarrow C, C_0)$.

3. PRELIMINARIES

3.1. The double covers associated to quadric fibrations. In this section, we confirm some basic properties of a quadric fibration $q: Q \rightarrow C$. We refer to Subsection 1.5 (13) for the definition of a quadric fibration in this paper. The following lemma is well-known for experts and the author will give a proof of it for readers' convenience.

Lemma 3.1 (cf. [4, Proposition 1.16] and [22, Section 3.1]). *Let $q: Q \rightarrow C$ be a quadric fibration and s a q -section. Let $f: \tilde{Q} = \text{Bl}_s Q \rightarrow Q$ be the blow-up of Q along s . Then there exists a divisorial contraction $g: \tilde{Q} \rightarrow P$ over C such that*

$p: P \rightarrow C$ is a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle and g is the blow-up along a smooth curve $B \subset P$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{Bl}_s Q = \tilde{Q} = \text{Bl}_B P & \\
 f \swarrow & & \searrow g \\
 Q & & P \\
 q \searrow & & \swarrow p \\
 & C &
 \end{array}$$

Moreover, the morphism $q_B := p|_B: B \rightarrow C$ is a finite morphism of degree 2 with the following conditions:

- (1) The branched locus of q_B coincides with the closed set

$$\Sigma := \{t \in C \mid Q_t = q^{-1}(t) \text{ is singular}\}$$

with the reduced induced closed subscheme structure.

- (2) $\mathcal{J}(Q)$ and $\text{Jac}(B)$ are isomorphic as complex tori. If $C = \mathbb{P}^1$, then these are isomorphic as principally polarized abelian varieties.
(3) It holds that $(-K_Q)^3 = 40 - (8g(B) + 32g(C))$.

Proof. Let H_Q be a q -ample divisor H_Q with $K_Q + 2H_Q \sim_C 0$. For every point $t \in C$, $s_t \in Q_t$ is a smooth point of Q_t since s is a q -section. Then $|(f^*H_Q - \text{Exc}(f))|_{\tilde{Q}_t}|$ is base point free and $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Q}_t}(f^*H_Q - \text{Exc}(f))) = 3$ for every point t . Hence $-K_{\tilde{Q}}$ is ample over C and $|f^*H_Q - \text{Exc}(f)|$ gives a morphism $g: \tilde{Q} \rightarrow P$ over C , where P is a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle over C . Since $-K_{\tilde{Q}}$ is ample over C , g is the contraction of an extremal ray. For each point $t \in C$, we can see the following.

- (a) When Q_t is smooth, $g|_{\tilde{Q}_t}$ is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at reduced two points.
(b) When Q_t is the quadric cone, $g|_{\tilde{Q}_t}$ is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at a non-reduced 0-dimensional closed subscheme of length 2.

Then [24, Theorem (3.3)] implies that g is the blow-up of P along a smooth curve B . By (a) and (b), $p|_B: B \rightarrow C$ is a double covering.

- (1) This assertion follows from (a) and (b).

(2) Let E denote the f -exceptional divisor and $i: E \hookrightarrow \tilde{Q}$ be the closed immersion. Then the homomorphism

$$H^3(Q, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^1(s, \mathbb{Z}) \ni (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto f^*\alpha + i_!(f|_E)^*\beta \in H^3(\tilde{Q}, \mathbb{Z})$$

attains the isomorphism of the Hodge structures (cf. [35, I, Theorem 7.31]). Let $E_P = g(E)$ and $k: E_P \hookrightarrow P$ the inclusion. Then $E \rightarrow E_P$ is isomorphism and $E_P \subset P$ is a sub \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle. The Leray-Hirsch theorem implies that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H^1(C, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(E_P, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^3(P, \mathbb{Z}) \\
 \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\
 \alpha & \longmapsto & (p|_{E_P})^*\alpha & \longmapsto & k_!(p|_{E_P})^*\alpha = p^*\alpha.[E_P]
 \end{array}$$

attains isomorphisms of the Hodge structures. Since a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H^1(s, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{(f|_E)^*} & H^1(E, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{i_!} & H^3(\tilde{Q}, \mathbb{Z}) \\
 \parallel & & & & \uparrow g^* \\
 H^1(C, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{(p|_{E_P})^*} & H^1(E_P, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{k_!} & H^3(P, \mathbb{Z})
 \end{array}$$

is commutative, the cokernel of $i_!(f|_E)^*: H^1(s, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(\tilde{Q}, \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to that of $g^*k_!(p|_{E_P})^*: H^1(C, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(\tilde{Q}, \mathbb{Z})$. Thus we obtain the isomorphism of

Hodge structures $H^1(B, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^3(Q, \mathbb{Z})$. If $C = \mathbb{P}^1$, then the last assertion follows immediately from [6, Lemma 3.11].

(3) Set $\mathcal{F} := q_*\mathcal{O}(H_Q)$ and take a divisor α on C such that $-K_Q = 2H_Q - q^*\alpha$. Now Q is a member of $|2\xi + \pi^*L|$ in $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F})$, where $\pi: \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C$ is the projection, ξ is a tautological divisor of π and L is a some divisor on C . By the adjunction formula, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{O}_C(L) = \mathcal{O}_C(\alpha - K_C) \otimes \det \mathcal{F}^{-1}.$$

Take the section $u \in H^0(C, \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(L))$ that corresponds to the member $Q \in |2\xi + \pi^*L|$. Let $\bigcup_\lambda U_\lambda$ be a open covering of C and take a trivialization $\mathcal{F}|_{U_\lambda} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{O}_{U_\lambda} \cdot e_\lambda^i$ for all λ . Then there exists a symmetric matrix (u_λ^{ij}) such that $u_\lambda = \sum s_\lambda^{ij} e_\lambda^i e_\lambda^j$. By gluing the sections $\det(u_\lambda^{ij})_{i,j}$ on U_λ , we obtain the global section \tilde{u} of $(\det \mathcal{F})^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}(4L)$. Note that $\Sigma = \{t \in C \mid q^{-1}(t) \text{ is singular}\}$ is the set-theoretic zero set of \tilde{u} . Since Q is non-singular, the zero scheme of \tilde{u} is reduced. Thus we obtain $\mathcal{O}_C(\Sigma) = (\det \mathcal{F})^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}(4L)$. Since the double covering $B \rightarrow C$ is branched along Σ , we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \omega_B = q_B^*(\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(\det \mathcal{F} + 2L)) = q_B^*(\mathcal{O}(2\alpha) \otimes \omega_C^{-1} \otimes \det \mathcal{F}^{-1})$$

by the Hurwitz formula and (3.1). On the other hand, it holds that $-K_Q \sim 2\xi|_Q - q^*(K_C + \det \mathcal{F} - \alpha)$ by the adjunction formula. Hence we have $(-K_Q)^3 = (2\xi - \pi^*(K_C + \det \mathcal{F} - \alpha))^3(2\xi + L) = 24(2 - 2g(C)) - (8 \deg \mathcal{F} - 16 \deg \alpha) = 40 - (32g(C) + 8g(B))$, which is the assertion. \square

Definition 3.2. Let $q: Q \rightarrow C$ be a quadric fibration. Take a q -section C_0 . Then we obtain the double covering $q_B: B \rightarrow C$ as in Lemma 3.1. Since Lemma 3.1 (1), this double covering is independent of the choice of C_0 . We call this $q_B: B \rightarrow C$ *the double covering associated to q* .

Remark 3.3. (i) Our construction of the \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle P with the bisection B is essentially the same as in [8, Theorem (2.7.3)] and [4, Proposition 1.16].

(ii) Let $\text{Hilb}_{t+1}(Q/C) \rightarrow C$ denote the relative Hilbert scheme of lines and $\text{Hilb}_{t+1}(Q/C) \rightarrow B' \rightarrow C$ the Stein factorization. Then $\text{Hilb}_{t+1}(Q/C)$ and B' are smooth by [20, Corollary 2.3]. On the other hand, in the diagram (3.1), the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle $\text{Exc}(g) \rightarrow B$ is a family of lines of $Q \rightarrow C$. By the universal property, there is a natural morphism $B \rightarrow B'$, which is bijective by Lemma 3.1 (1) and hence isomorphic by Zariski main theorem. Hence $\text{Exc}(g) \rightarrow B$ coincides with the relative Hilbert scheme of lines of $Q \rightarrow C$. From this viewpoint, Lemma 3.1 (2) was also proved by [22, Section 3.1].

(iii) Assuming $\rho(Q) = 2$ is essential for Lemma 3.1 (3). For instance, if we consider $Q = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ as a family of quadric surface over \mathbb{P}^1 , then $(-K_Q)^3 = 48$, which violates $(-K_Q)^3 \leq 40$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ be a sextic del Pezzo fibration and C_0 a φ -section. Let $(q: Q \rightarrow C, T)$ be the relative double projection as in Definition 2.3. Let $\varphi_B: B \rightarrow C$ be the double covering associated to q and $\varphi_T := q|_T$.

Then φ_B (resp. φ_T) is isomorphic over the base C to the normalization of the finite part of the Stein factorization of the relative Hilbert scheme of twisted cubics (resp. conics) $\text{Hilb}_{3t+1}(X/C) \rightarrow C$ (resp. $\text{Hilb}_{2t+1}(X/C) \rightarrow C$). In particular, φ_B and φ_T are independent of the choice of φ -sections.

Proof. We fix a section C_0 and take the diagram (2.2) as in Proposition 2.1. Let $U \subset C$ be an open set such that X_t is smooth and $-K_{\tilde{X}_t}$ is ample for every $t \in U$. By Proposition 2.1 (1), U is not empty and the birational map $\chi: \tilde{X} \dashrightarrow \tilde{Q}$ is isomorphic over U . Set $X_U := \varphi^{-1}(U)$, $Q_U := q^{-1}(U)$, $\tilde{X}_U := \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(U) \simeq \tilde{q}^{-1}(U)$,

$G_U := \tilde{q}^{-1}(U) \cap G$ and $T_U = \varphi_T^{-1}(U)$. We set the morphisms as in the following diagram:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \tilde{X}_U & \supset & G_U \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \searrow \\ X_U & & \tilde{\varphi}_U & & Q_U \supset T_U \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & U & & & \end{array}$$

Note that $\tilde{\varphi}_U, \varphi_U$ and q_U are isotrivial.

First, we show the assertion for T . Composing the morphisms in the diagram (3.3), we have a morphism $e_U: G_U \rightarrow X_U$ over U . Then we can regard the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle $G_U \rightarrow T_U$ as a family of conics in the fibers of $X_U \rightarrow U$. By the universal property of Hilbert schemes, there is a natural morphism $T_U \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_{2t+1}(X_U/U)$ over U . Since φ_U is isotrivial, the morphism $\text{Hilb}_{2t+1}(X_U/U) \rightarrow U$ factors a triple cover T'_U over U as the Stein factorization. Hence $T_U \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_{2t+1}(X_U/U)$ is a section of $\text{Hilb}_{2t+1}(X_U/U) \rightarrow T'_U$, which implies that $T_U \simeq T'_U$. Hence, when $T' \rightarrow C$ denotes the finite part of Stein factorization of $\text{Hilb}_{2t+1}(X/C) \rightarrow C$, the normalization of T' is isomorphic to T over C .

Next, we show the assertion for B . As we have seen in Remark 3.3 (ii), if we set $B_U = \varphi_B^{-1}(U)$, then $\text{Hilb}_{t+1}(Q_U/U) \rightarrow U$ factors through B_U such that $\text{Hilb}_{t+1}(Q_U/U) \rightarrow B_U$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle and $B_U \rightarrow U$ is an étale double covering. Let $R_U \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_{t+1}(Q_U/U)$ be the universal family of the relative Hilbert scheme of lines. Set $R'_U := \tilde{X}_U \times_{Q_U} R_U$. The flat family $R'_U \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_{t+1}(Q_U/U)$ parametrizes twisted cubics on X_U over U by the evaluation $R'_U \rightarrow X_U$. Hence the universal property gives a morphism $\text{Hilb}_{t+1}(Q_U/U) \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_{3t+1}(X_U/U)$ over U . Let $B'_U \rightarrow U$ be the finite part of the Stein factorization of $\text{Hilb}_{3t+1}(X_U/U) \rightarrow U$. Then we get a morphism $B_U \rightarrow B'_U$. Thanks to isotriviality, we can check that B'_U is an étale double covering over U and $B_U \rightarrow B'_U$ is bijective. Hence $B_U \rightarrow B'_U$ is an isomorphism. Thus B is the normalization of the finite part of the Stein factorization $\text{Hilb}_{3t+1}(X/C) \rightarrow C$. We complete the proof. \square

Definition 3.5. Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ be a sextic del Pezzo fibration. We define $\varphi_B: B \rightarrow C$ and $\varphi_T: T \rightarrow C$ as in the settings of Lemma 3.4. We call φ_B (resp. φ_T) the *associated double* (resp. *triple*) covering to φ .

3.2. Proof of Theorem A. We use the same notation as in Proposition 2.1.

(1) As in Proposition 2.1 (2), \tilde{X} is isomorphic to \tilde{Q} or its flop. By [17, Corollary 4.12], $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{X})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{Q})$ as complex tori. Moreover, when $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$, the isomorphism $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{X}) \simeq \mathcal{J}(\tilde{Q})$ preserves the polarizations by [17, Remark 4.13 (1)]. Therefore, the assertion follows from Lemma 3.1 (2) and [6, Lemma 3.11].

(2) The assertion from a direct calculation using the formulae in Lemma 3.1 (3) and Proposition 2.1 (4).

We complete the proof of Theorem A. \square

3.3. Characterizations of some nef bundles on quadric surfaces. In this subsection, we will obtain numerical characterizations of some nef vector bundles on an irreducible and reduced quadric surface. We will use these results for proving Theorem C.

Until the end of this subsection, we work over the following setting:

- Q denotes an irreducible and reduced quadric surface in \mathbb{P}^3 . We refer the definitions of $\mathcal{O}_Q(1)$ and \mathcal{S}_Q to Subsection 1.5 (11).

- When Q is smooth, we set $\pi: \mathbb{F} := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and let $\sigma: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow Q$ be the identity.
- When Q is singular, we set $\pi: \mathbb{F} := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and let $\sigma: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow Q$ be the contraction of the (-2) -curve.
- Let h (resp. f) be a tautological divisor (resp. a fiber) of the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle $\mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Note that $\sigma^* \mathcal{O}_Q(1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(h)$ for each case.

Remark 3.6. \mathcal{S}_Q is a globally generated vector bundle of rank 2 with $\det \mathcal{S}_Q = \mathcal{O}_Q(1)$ and $c_2(\mathcal{S}_Q) = 1$. When Q is smooth, it is known that $\mathcal{S}_Q \simeq \mathcal{O}_Q(h - f) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Q(f)$ (cf. [33, 27]).

The aim is to give the following characterization of the bundle $\mathcal{S}_Q \oplus \mathcal{O}_Q(1)$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let \mathcal{F}_Q be a nef vector bundle on Q of rank 3 such that $\det \mathcal{F}_Q = \mathcal{O}_Q(2)$, $c_2(\mathcal{F}) = 3$ and $h^0(\mathcal{F}_Q^\vee) = 0$. Then \mathcal{F}_Q is isomorphic to $\mathcal{S}_Q \oplus \mathcal{O}_Q(1)$.*

First, we confirm the following lemma.

Lemma 3.8. *Let \mathcal{E} be a rank 2 nef vector bundle on Q with $\det \mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_Q(1)$.*

- (1) *If $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 0$, then $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_Q(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Q$.*
- (2) *If $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 1$, then $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{S}_Q$.*

Proof. The assertion was already proved in [33, 27] if Q is non-singular. We attain a proof even if Q is singular.

(1) Suppose that $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 0$. The Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem and the Leray spectral sequence implies $\chi(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = \chi(\sigma^* \mathcal{E}(-h)) = 1$. Note that $h^2(Q, \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^0(\mathcal{E}^\vee(-1)) = h^0(\mathcal{E}(-2)) \leq h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1))$ by the Serre duality. Thus we have $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) > 0$ and hence an injection $\mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. By the same arguments as in [27, Proposition 5.2], we have $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}_Q(1)$.

(2) Suppose that $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 1$. Set $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} := \sigma^* \mathcal{E}$. Then it holds that $\det \tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{O}(h)$ and $c_2(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = 1$.

Claim 3.9. $h^0(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(-h)) = 0$.

Proof. If $h^0(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(-h)) > 0$, then we have $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \simeq \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(h)$ by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.8 (1). However, it contradicts $c_2(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = 1$. \square

We have $\chi(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(-h + f)) = 1$ by the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem and $h^2(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(-h + f)) = h^0(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\vee(-h - f)) = h^0(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(-2h - f))$ by the Serre duality. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is nef and $c_1(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = h$, we have $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}|_l = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ for every $l \in |f|$ and hence $h^0(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(-2h - f)) = 0$. Thus we have $h^0(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(-h + f)) > 0$. Hence there is an injection $\alpha: \mathcal{O}(h - f) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. If there exists a fiber $l \in |f|$ such that $\alpha|_l = 0$, then we obtain a non-zero map $\mathcal{O}(h) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$, which contradicts Claim 3.9. Therefore, for every $l \in |f|$, $\alpha|_l$ is non-zero map from $\mathcal{O}(h - f)|_l \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}|_l \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. Then $\text{Cok } \alpha|_l = \mathcal{O}_l$ and hence $\text{Cok } \alpha$ is the pull-back of a line bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 . Since $\det \tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{O}(h)$, we obtain an exact sequence

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(h - f) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(f) \rightarrow 0.$$

If Q is smooth, then this sequence splits and we obtain $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(h - f) \oplus \mathcal{O}(f) \simeq \mathcal{S}_Q$. If Q is singular, then this sequence does not split since $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is nef and $\mathcal{O}(h - f)$ is not nef. The vector bundles fitting into the exact sequence (3.4) which does not split are unique up to isomorphism since $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}(f), \mathcal{O}(h - f)) = H^1(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathcal{O}(h - 2f)) = \mathbb{C}$. By exactly the same argument, $\sigma^* \mathcal{S}_Q$ also fits into the exact sequence (3.4). Hence we obtain $\sigma^* \mathcal{S}_Q \simeq \sigma^* \mathcal{E}$ and hence $\mathcal{S}_Q \simeq \mathcal{E}$. \square

Lemma 3.10. *Let \mathcal{F} be a rank 3 nef vector bundle on Q with $\det \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(2h)$ and $c_2(\mathcal{F}) = 3$. Then the following assertions follow.*

- (1) $h^0(\mathcal{F}(-2h + af)) = 0$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (2) $\mathcal{F}|_l \simeq \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)^2$ for a general member $l \in |f|$.
- (3) $h^0(\mathcal{F}(-h - f)) = 0$.
- (4) $h^0(\mathcal{F}(-h)) > 0$.

Proof. (1) We may assume that $a \geq 0$. We will prove (1) by the induction on a . Assume that $a = 0$. If $h^0(\mathcal{F}(-2h)) \neq 0$, it follows that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}(2h) \oplus \mathcal{O}^2$ from [27, Proposition 5.2], which contradicts $c_2(\mathcal{F}) = 3$. Thus we have $h^0(\mathcal{F}(-2h)) = 0$. Assume that $a > 0$. If $h^0(\mathcal{F}(-2h + af)) \neq 0$, then there exists an injection $\iota: \mathcal{O}(2h - af) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. By the assumption of the induction $h^0(\mathcal{F}(-2h + (a-1)f)) = 0$, for every member $l \in |f|$, we have $\iota|_l \neq 0$. Therefore, we obtain an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(2h - af)|_l \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_l \rightarrow \text{Cok } \iota|_l \rightarrow 0$. Since $\mathcal{F}|_l$ is a nef vector bundle with $c_1 = 2$, it holds that $\mathcal{F}|_l = \mathcal{O}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}^2$ and $\text{Cok } \iota|_l = \mathcal{O}_l^2$. It implies that the natural morphism $\pi^* \pi_* \text{Cok } \iota \rightarrow \text{Cok } \iota$ is isomorphic and thus we obtain an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(2h - af) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(a_1 f) \oplus \mathcal{O}((a - a_1)f) \rightarrow 0$ for some $a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence we have $c_2(\mathcal{F}) = 2a$, which contradicts $c_2(\mathcal{F}) = 3$.

(2) Assume the contrary. Then we obtain $\mathcal{F}|_l \simeq \mathcal{O}^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ for any member $l \in |f|$ by the upper semicontinuity. Then $\pi_* \mathcal{F}(-2h)$ is a line bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 and we have a non-zero map $\pi^* \pi_* \mathcal{F}(-2h) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-2h)$. It implies that $h^0(\mathcal{F}(2h - af)) \neq 0$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, which contradicts (1).

(3) If $h^0(\mathcal{F}(-h - f)) \neq 0$, then we have an injection $\alpha: \mathcal{O}(h + f) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. When Q is smooth, we consider another ruling $h - f$. For every $l' \in |h - f|$, $\alpha|_{l'}: \mathcal{O}(h + f)|_{l'} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_{l'}$ is injective by (1). Since $\mathcal{O}(h + f)|_{l'} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$ and $c_1(\mathcal{F}|_{l'}) = 2$, we have $\text{Cok } \alpha|_{l'} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{l'}^2$ and hence $\text{Cok } \alpha$ is a nef bundle. Then $\text{Cok } \alpha \simeq \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(h - f)$ holds, which contradicts $c_2(\mathcal{F}) = 3$. When Q is singular, we take the restriction $\alpha|_{C_0}$ on the (-2) -curve C_0 . By (1), $\alpha|_{C_0}$ is a non-zero map from $\mathcal{O}(h + f)|_{C_0} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1)$ to $\mathcal{F}|_{C_0} \simeq \mathcal{O}^2$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, we have $h^0(\mathcal{F}(-h - f)) = 0$.

(4) We have $\chi(\mathcal{F}(-h)) = 1$ by the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem and $h^2(\mathcal{F}(-h)) = h^0(\mathcal{F}^\vee(-h))$ by the Serre duality. Since $\mathcal{F}^\vee|_l = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2)$ or $\mathcal{O}(-1)^2$ for any $l \in |f|$, $h^0(\mathcal{F}^\vee(-h)) = 0$ and hence $h^0(\mathcal{F}(-h)) > 0$. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.7. Set $\mathcal{F} := \sigma^* \mathcal{F}_Q$. Lemma 3.10 (4) attains an injection $\alpha: \mathcal{O}(h) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. Letting $\mathcal{E} = \text{Cok } \alpha$, we have the following exact sequence:

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(h) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 3.10 (3), $\alpha|_l$ is non-zero for every $l \in |f|$. By Lemma 3.10 (2), the cokernel of $\alpha|_l: \mathcal{O}(h)|_l \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_l$ is locally free for general members $l \in |f|$. Then \mathcal{E} is locally free in codimension 1 and hence torsion free by [27, Lemma 5.4]. Hence we have the following exact sequence:

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{E}^{\vee\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rightarrow 0.$$

Here \mathcal{T} denotes the cokernel of the natural injection $\iota: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\vee\vee}$. Since \mathcal{E} is locally free in codimension 1, $\text{Supp } \mathcal{T}$ is 0-dimensional or empty. Note that $\mathcal{E}^{\vee\vee}$ is locally free since \mathbb{F} is a smooth surface. By [28, Lemma 9.1], $\mathcal{E}^{\vee\vee}$ is nef. It is clear that $\det \mathcal{E}^{\vee\vee} = \det \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(h)$. The Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem implies that $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = 8$, $\chi(\mathcal{O}(h)) = 4$ and $\chi(\mathcal{E}^{\vee\vee}) = 5 - c_2(\mathcal{E}^{\vee\vee})$. By the exact sequences (3.5) and (3.6), we have $\chi(\mathcal{E}) = 4$ and $5 - c_2(\mathcal{E}^{\vee\vee}) = \chi(\mathcal{E}^{\vee\vee}) = \chi(\mathcal{E}) + h^0(\mathcal{T}) \geq 4$. In particular, $c_2(\mathcal{E}^{\vee\vee}) = 0$ or 1.

If $c_2(\mathcal{E}^{\vee\vee}) = 0$, then $\mathcal{E}^{\vee\vee} \simeq \mathcal{O}(h) \oplus \mathcal{O}$ by Lemma 3.8 (1). Hence we have a morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$, which is surjective at generic point. This contradicts our assumption $h^0(\mathcal{F}_Q^\vee) = 0$. Hence we have $c_2(\mathcal{E}^{\vee\vee}) = 1$ and $\mathcal{T} = 0$, which implies $\mathcal{E} \simeq$

$\mathcal{E}^{\vee\vee} \simeq \mathcal{S}_Q$ by Lemma 3.8 (2). Since $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{S}_Q, \mathcal{O}_Q(h)) = H^1(\mathcal{S}_Q^\vee(h)) = H^1(\mathcal{S}_Q) = 0$, the exact sequence (3.5) splits. We complete the proof of Proposition 3.7. \square

3.4. Certain vector bundles on families of surfaces with multi-sections. We will use the following theorem for proving Theorem C.

Theorem 3.11. *Let X, Y be smooth varieties with $\dim X = \dim Y + 2$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a flat projective morphism with $f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$. Let $Z \subset X$ be a locally complete intersection closed subscheme of codimension 2 and suppose that $f|_Z: Z \rightarrow Y$ is finite with $\deg(f|_Z) \geq 2$.*

If $R^1f_\mathcal{O}_X$ is locally free and $H^2(Y, f_*\omega_f \otimes R^1f_*\mathcal{I}_Z) = 0$, then there exists a locally free sheaf \mathcal{F} such that*

(1) \mathcal{F} fits into the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow f^*((R^1f_*\mathcal{I}_Z)(-K_Y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(-K_X) \rightarrow 0, \text{ and}$$

(2) there are no surjections $\mathcal{F}|_{X_y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_y}$ for every $y \in Y$.

This theorem resembles the Hartshorne-Serre correspondence for a family of surfaces $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with a multi-section Z . However, even if Y is point, the Hartshorne-Serre correspondence does not implies Theorem 3.11 since $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}(K_X)) = \mathbb{C} \neq 0$. To prove Theorem 3.11, we use relative Ext-sheaves. We will prove this theorem in Appendix A.

4. EXTENSIONS TO MODERATE $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$ -FIBRATIONS

We devote this section to the proof of Theorem B.

4.1. Double projection from a point on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$. In Subsection 2.1, we discussed the double projection from a point on a smooth sextic del Pezzo surface S (see the diagram (2.1)). Since S is always a hyperplane section of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$, it is natural to consider the double projection from a point on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$.

Let S be a sextic del Pezzo surface and x a general point on S . Then we have $\text{Bl}_x S = \text{Bl}_{y_1, y_2, y_3} \mathbb{Q}^2$ as in the diagram (2.1). We consider an embedding $\mathbb{Q}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ and the blow-up $\text{Bl}_{y_1, y_2, y_3} \mathbb{P}^3$. Then there is a flop $\text{Bl}_{y_1, y_2, y_3} \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \text{Bl}_x(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Bl}_x(\mathbb{P}^1)^3 \leftarrow - - \text{Bl}_{y_1, y_2, y_3} \mathbb{P}^3 & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ (\mathbb{P}^1)^3 & & \mathbb{P}^3. \end{array}$$

This birational map $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ is the double projection from x on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$. We will see the detail for this birational transformation (4.1) in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Under this birational map, the quadric \mathbb{Q}^2 is proper transformed into S on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$ as we will see in the proof of Theorem B.

4.2. Moderate $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$ -fibrations. In the next theorem, we make a Mori fiber space $\varphi_Y: Y \rightarrow C$ with smooth total space Y whose smooth fibers are $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$ by relativizing the inverse of the double projection $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ from a point on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$. We call this φ_Y a *moderate $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$ -fibration* in this paper.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow C$ be a \mathbb{P}^3 -bundle and $T \subset \mathbb{F}$ be a smooth curve such that $\deg(f|_T) = 3$ and $T_t := (f|_T)^{-1}(t)$ is non-colinear in $\mathbb{F}_t = \mathbb{P}^3$ for each $t \in C$.*

(1) *There exists a unique sub \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle $\mathbb{E} \subset \mathbb{F}$ containing T .*

(2) There exists the following diagram:

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \widetilde{\mathbb{F}}^+ & \xleftarrow{\quad \Phi \quad} & \widetilde{\mathbb{F}} = \text{Bl}_T \mathbb{F} \\ & \mu_{\mathbb{F}} \swarrow & & \psi_{\mathbb{F}}^+ \searrow & \psi_{\mathbb{F}} \swarrow \\ Y & & & & \mathbb{F} \\ & \varphi_Y \searrow & & \swarrow & \searrow \\ & & C & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & \mathbb{F} \\ & & & \downarrow \tilde{f} & \downarrow f \\ & & C & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & C \end{array}$$

where

- $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}: \widetilde{\mathbb{F}} := \text{Bl}_T \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is the blow-up of \mathbb{F} along T with exceptional divisor $G_{\mathbb{F}} := \text{Exc}(\sigma_{\mathbb{F}})$;
- $\Phi: \widetilde{\mathbb{F}} \dashrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{F}}^+$ is a family of Atiyah flops over C ;
- Y is smooth and $\varphi_Y: Y \rightarrow C$ is a Mori fiber space;
- $\mu_{\mathbb{F}}$ is the blow-up along a φ_Y -section C_0 and contracts the proper transform $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^+$ of \mathbb{E} .

(3) If we set $G_Y \subset Y$ be the proper transform of $G_{\mathbb{F}}$, then it holds that $-K_Y \sim 2G_Y - \varphi_Y^*(K_C + \det f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{E}))$.

(4) Every smooth φ_Y -fiber is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$.

In this paper, we call this Mori fiber space $\varphi_Y: Y \rightarrow C$ the moderate $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$ -fibration with respect to the pair $(f: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow C, T)$.

Remark 4.2. In the setting of Theorem 4.1, for every $t \in C$, the scheme $T_t = f^{-1}(t) \cap T$ is reduced, or a union of one reduced point and a non-reduced length 2 subscheme, or a curvilinear scheme of length 3, i.e., $T_t \simeq \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^3)$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We proceed in 4 steps.

Step 1. First, we show (1). Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1)$ be a tautological line bundle. Then $f_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_T)$ is a line bundle \mathcal{L} on C . Thus we have $\mathbb{C} = H^0(f_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_T) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_T \otimes f^* \mathcal{L}^{-1})$. Let $\mathbb{E} \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_T \otimes f^* \mathcal{L}^{-1}|$ be the unique member. By the definition of \mathcal{L} , $\mathbb{E} \rightarrow C$ is a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle and \mathbb{E}_t is the linear span of T_t for every $t \in C$, which proves (1).

Replacing $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1)$, we may assume that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{E})$. In this setting, we have $-K_{\mathbb{F}} = 4\mathbb{E} - f^*(K_C + \det f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{E}))$.

Step 2. Let $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}: \widetilde{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ and $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}: \widetilde{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ be the blow-ups along T and $G_{\mathbb{F}} \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{F}}$ and $G_{\mathbb{E}} \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}$ the exceptional divisors of $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}$ respectively. Set

$$(4.3) \quad L_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}} := \sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(2) - G_{\mathbb{F}} \text{ and } L_{\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}} := L_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}}|_{\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}}.$$

Note that

$$(4.4) \quad -K_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}} = 2L_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}} - (f \circ \sigma)^*(K_C + \det f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1)) \sim_C 2L_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}}.$$

The following claim is the 2-ray game of $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}$ over C .

Claim 4.3. (1) $\mathcal{O}(L_{\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}})$ is globally generated and big over C and $(f|_{\widetilde{\mathbb{E}} \circ \sigma_{\mathbb{E}}})_* \mathcal{O}(L_{\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}})$ is a vector bundle of rank 3.

(2) Set $\overline{\mathbb{E}} = \mathbb{P}_C((f|_{\widetilde{\mathbb{E}} \circ \sigma_{\mathbb{E}}})_* \mathcal{O}(L_{\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}}))$ and let $\psi_{\mathbb{E}}: \widetilde{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{E}}$ denote the morphisms over C defined by $|L_{\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}}|$. Then $\psi_{\mathbb{E}}$ is the blow-up of $\overline{\mathbb{E}}$ along a non-singular curve $\overline{T} \subset \overline{\mathbb{E}}$. Moreover, the composite morphism $\overline{T} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow C$ is a triple covering.

Proof. (1) For every $t \in C$, there is a smooth conic $C \subset \mathbb{E}_t = \mathbb{P}^2$ containing T_t . By the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{C/\mathbb{E}_t} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{T_t/\mathbb{E}_t} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{T_t/C} \rightarrow 0$, we see that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{E}_t}(2) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{T_t/\mathbb{E}_t}$ is globally generated and $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{E}_t}(2) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{T_t/\mathbb{E}_t}) = 3$ for every t , which proves (1).

(2) For a general point $t \in C$, $\overline{\mathbb{E}}_t \leftarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_t \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_t = \mathbb{P}^2$ is nothing but the Cremona involution. Thus $\psi_{\mathbb{E}}$ is a birational morphism onto the \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle $\overline{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow C$. Since $-K_{\overline{\mathbb{E}}} \sim_C L_{\mathbb{E}} + (\sigma|_{\mathbb{E}})^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{E}}(1)$ is ample over C and $\rho(\overline{\mathbb{E}}) = 3$, $\psi_{\mathbb{E}}$ is the contraction of an extremal ray. Then $\psi_{\mathbb{E}}$ is the blow-up along a non-singular curve \overline{T} by [24, Theorem (3.3)]. For a general $t \in C$, $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_t \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{E}}_t = \mathbb{P}^2$ is the blow-up at three points. Hence $\overline{T} \rightarrow C$ is a triple covering. \square

Step 3. Next we play the 2-ray game of $\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}$ over C by using Claim 4.3.

Claim 4.4. (1) $\mathcal{O}(L_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}})$ is globally generated and big over C .

(2) Let $\psi_{\mathbb{F}}: \widetilde{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}$ denote the Stein factorizations of the morphisms over C defined by $|L_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}}|$. Then $\psi_{\mathbb{F}}|_{\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}} = \psi_{\mathbb{E}}$ and $\text{Exc}(\psi_{\mathbb{F}}) = \text{Exc}(\psi_{\mathbb{E}})$.

(3) $\psi_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a family of Atiyah's flopping contraction over C .

Proof. (1) For any $t \in C$, we have $(L_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}}|_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}_t})^3 = 5$ by a direct calculation. Since $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}} \sim \sigma^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1) - G_{\mathbb{F}}$, we have $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}} + \sigma^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1) \sim L_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}}$. Then we obtain an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \sigma^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}}(L_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}}(L_{\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}}) \rightarrow 0$. Since $R^1(f \circ \sigma)_*(\sigma^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1)) = 0$, $\mathcal{O}(L_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}})$ is globally generated over C by Claim 4.3 (1).

(2) Let $\gamma \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{F}}$ be an irreducible curve with $L_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}}. \gamma = 0$. Then $L_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}} \sim_C \widetilde{\mathbb{E}} + \sigma^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1)$ and $\sigma^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1). \gamma > 0$ since $L_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}}$ is ample over C . Thus we obtain $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}. \gamma < 0$, which implies $\gamma \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}$. Since $L_{\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}}. \gamma = 0$, γ is a fiber of $\text{Exc}(\psi_{\mathbb{E}}) \rightarrow \overline{T}$. Conversely, every curve γ contracted by $\psi_{\mathbb{E}}$ is also contracted by $\psi_{\mathbb{F}}$. Then we have $\psi_{\mathbb{F}}|_{\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}} = \psi_{\mathbb{E}}$ by the rigidity lemma and hence $\text{Exc}(\psi_{\mathbb{F}}) = \text{Exc}(\psi_{\mathbb{E}})$.

(3) Let l be a fiber of $\text{Exc}(\psi_{\mathbb{F}}) \rightarrow \overline{T}$. Then we have $l \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{l/\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{l/\overline{\mathbb{F}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}/\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}}|_l \rightarrow 0$, which implies that $\mathcal{N}_{l/\overline{\mathbb{F}}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. We complete the proof. \square

Step 4. Let $\psi_{\mathbb{F}}^+: \widetilde{\mathbb{F}}^+ \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}$ be the flop of $\psi_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^+$ and $G_{\mathbb{F}}^+$ the proper transforms of $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}$ and $G_{\mathbb{F}}$ on $\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}^+$ respectively.

Claim 4.5. There exists a birational morphism

$$\mu_{\mathbb{F}}: \widetilde{\mathbb{F}}^+ \rightarrow Y$$

over C such that Y is non-singular and $\mu_{\mathbb{F}}$ blows $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^+$ down to a φ_Y -section $C_{0,Y}$, where $\varphi_Y: Y \rightarrow C$ is the induced morphism.

Proof. By the construction of the flop $\widetilde{\mathbb{F}} \dashrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{F}}^+$, the proper transform $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^+ \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{F}}^+$ is a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle over C . By the equality (4.4), we have $-K_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}_t}|_{\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_t} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$ for every point t . Hence it holds that $-K_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}_t^+}|_{\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_t^+} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$ and hence $\mathcal{N}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_t^+/\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}_t^+} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)$ for every $t \in C$. Thus we obtain the morphism $\mu_{\mathbb{F}}$. \square

Since $\mu_{\mathbb{F}}$ is the contraction of an extremal ray, we obtain $\rho(Y) = 2$. Therefore, φ_Y is the contraction of a K_Y -negative ray. We complete the proof of Theorem 4.1 (2).

Since $-K_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}^+} \sim 4\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^+ + 2G_{\mathbb{F}}^+ - (\varphi_Y \circ \mu_{\mathbb{F}})^*(K_C + \det f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1))$, if we set $G_Y = \mu_{\mathbb{F}*} G_{\mathbb{F}}^+$, then we have $-K_Y \sim 2G_Y - \varphi_Y^*(K_C + \det f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1))$, which proves Theorem 4.1 (3).

To confirm Theorem 4.1 (4), we take a point $t \in C$ such that $Y_t = \varphi_Y^{-1}(t)$ is smooth. Then Y_t is a smooth Fano 3-fold with index 2, which is so-called a del Pezzo 3-fold. Since $(-K_{Y_t})^3 = (-K_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}_t^+})^3 + 8 = (-K_{\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}_t})^3 + 8 = 48$ and $\rho(Y_t) = \rho(\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}_t^+) - 1 = \rho(\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}_t) - 1 = 3$, we have $Y_t \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1)^3$ by Fujita's classification of the del Pezzo manifolds [14, Theorem 3.3.1]. We complete the proof of Theorem 4.1. \square

4.3. Proof of Theorem B. Take a φ -section C_0 and let $(q: Q \rightarrow C, T)$ be the relative double projection of $(\varphi: X \rightarrow C, C_0)$. Let $E_Q \subset Q$ be the proper transform of $\text{Exc}(\mu: \text{Bl}_{C_0} X \rightarrow X)$. By Proposition 2.1 (5), there exists a divisor α on C such that $-K_Q \sim 2E_Q - q^*\alpha$. Then consider the following projective bundle over C :

$$f: \mathbb{F} := \mathbb{P}_C(q_*\mathcal{O}_Q(E_Q)) \rightarrow C.$$

Then f is a \mathbb{P}^3 -bundle and there is a natural closed embedding $Q \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ over C . When $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1)$ denotes the tautological bundle of f , we have $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1)|_Q = \mathcal{O}_Q(E_Q)$. Since $-K_Q \sim (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(2) - f^*\alpha)|_Q$, we have the linear equivalence

$$(4.5) \quad Q \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(2) + f^*(\alpha - (K_C + \det(q_*\mathcal{O}_Q(E_Q))))$$

by the adjunction formula.

Claim 4.6. *There exists the unique member $\mathbb{E} \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1)|$ such that*

- (1) $\mathbb{E} \cap Q = E_Q$ and $f|_{\mathbb{E}}: \mathbb{E} \rightarrow C$ is \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle, and
- (2) for every $t \in C$, $T_t = f^{-1}(t) \cap T$ is non-colinear 0-dimensional subscheme of length 3 in $\mathbb{P}^3 = \mathbb{F}_t$ and spans \mathbb{E}_t .

In particular, the \mathbb{P}^3 -bundle $f: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow C$ and T satisfy the condition of the setting in Theorem 4.1 and \mathbb{E} is the sub \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle as in Theorem 4.1 (1).

Proof. (1) Consider an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(-Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Q(E_Q) \rightarrow 0$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(Q) \sim_C \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(2)$, we obtain $R^i f_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(-Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1)) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$. Then the restriction morphism $H^0(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1)) \rightarrow H^0(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q(E_Q))$ is isomorphism and hence there exists the unique member $\mathbb{E} \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1)|$ such that $\mathbb{E} \cap Q = E_Q$. Since E_Q is a prime divisor of Q , we obtain $\dim \mathbb{E}_t = 2$ for all $t \in C$, which implies that $\mathbb{E} \rightarrow C$ is a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle.

(2) Assume that there exists a line $l \subset \mathbb{F}_t$ such that $T_t \subset l$ for some t . Since $T_t \subset Q_t$ and Q_t is a quadric surface, we obtain $l \subset Q_t$. Let $\sigma: \text{Bl}_T Q \rightarrow Q$ denote the blow-up as in Proposition 2.1. Then we have $-K_{\text{Bl}_T Q} \cdot \sigma_*^{-1}l < 0$, which is a contradiction since $-K_{\text{Bl}_T Q}$ is nef over C from Proposition 2.1 (2). Hence the linear span of T_t , say $\langle T_t \rangle$, is a 2-plane in \mathbb{F}_t and thus we deduce that $\mathbb{E}_t = \langle T_t \rangle$ for every $t \in C$. \square

By Theorem 4.1, $\mathbb{F} \rightarrow C$ can be birationally transformed into a Mori fiber space Y over C with general fiber $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$ as in the diagram (4.2). Note that $\tilde{\mathbb{F}} = \text{Bl}_T \mathbb{F}$ contains $\tilde{Q} = \text{Bl}_T Q$ in this setting.

We use the same notation as in Theorem 4.1 and its proof. The only remaining part is to show the following claim.

Claim 4.7. (1) $\psi_{\mathbb{F}}|_{\tilde{Q}}$ coincides with ψ_Q in Proposition 2.1 (2).

- (2) The proper transform $\tilde{Q}^+ \subset \tilde{\mathbb{F}}^+$ of Q is isomorphic to \tilde{X} .
- (3) It holds that $\mu_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}|_{\tilde{X}} = \mu_X$ and $C_{0,Y} = C_0$.
- (4) X is a member of $|G_Y + \varphi_Y^* \beta|$, where we set $\beta := \alpha - (K_C + \det(q_*\mathcal{O}_Q(E_Q)))$.
- (5) If we set $H_Y := G_Y - \varphi_Y^* \alpha$ and $\delta := \alpha + \beta$, then we have $-K_Y = 2H_Y + \varphi_Y^* \delta$, $X \in |H_Y + \varphi_Y^* \delta|$. Moreover, $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_C(-\delta)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Cok}(\mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \varphi_{B*}\mathcal{O}_B) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-K_C)$, where $\varphi_B: B \rightarrow C$ denotes the associated double covering to φ .

Proof. (1) We have $Q \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(2) + f^*\beta|$ by the equality (4.5) and hence $\tilde{Q} \in |\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}(2) - G_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}} + (f \circ \sigma)^*\beta| = |L_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}} + (f \circ \sigma)^*\beta|$ by the equality (4.3). Recall that $\psi_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}: \tilde{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ is the Stein factorization of the morphism given by $|L_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}|$. For every $k > 0$, it follows that $R^1 \tilde{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}(k-1)L_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}} = 0$ and hence $\tilde{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}(kL_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}) \rightarrow (f|_{\tilde{Q}})_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Q}}(kL_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}})$ is surjective, which implies $\psi_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}|_{\tilde{Q}} = \psi_Q$.

(2) We obtain $\tilde{Q} \sim_{\mathbb{F}} 0$ as divisors on $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}^+$ and hence $\overline{Q} := \psi_{\mathbb{F}}(\tilde{Q})$ is a Cartier divisor on $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$. Then we have $\tilde{Q}^+ = (\psi_{\mathbb{F}}^+)^{-1}(\overline{Q}) \subset \tilde{\mathbb{F}}^+$ and hence the dimension of the fibers of $\tilde{Q}^+ \rightarrow \overline{Q}$ is less than or equals to 1. Since $\psi_{\mathbb{F}}|_{\tilde{Q}}$ is the flopping contraction of \tilde{Q} over C , $\overline{Q} \cap \psi_{\mathbb{F}}(\text{Exc}(\psi_{\mathbb{F}}))$ is a finite set or the empty set. (2) clearly holds when it is empty. Now we assume $\overline{Q} \cap \psi_{\mathbb{F}}(\text{Exc}(\psi_{\mathbb{F}}))$ is not empty. Hence the morphism $\tilde{Q}^+ \rightarrow \overline{Q}$ is a small contraction. Thus \tilde{Q}^+ is regular in codimension 1 and hence normal since this is an effective divisor of a smooth variety $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}^+$. Moreover, the birational map $\Psi|_{\tilde{Q}}: \tilde{Q} \dashrightarrow \tilde{Q}^+$ is isomorphic in codimension 1, which implies that $E_{\tilde{Q}} = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}|_{\tilde{Q}^+}$ coincides with the proper transform of $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^+|_{\tilde{Q}}$. Since $-\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \sim_{\overline{Y}} \sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(1)$ is ample over \overline{Y} and $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^+$ is ample over \overline{Y} , we conclude that \tilde{Q}^+ is the flop of ψ_Q . Then we have $\tilde{Q}^+ = \tilde{X}$ by the uniqueness of the flop.

(3) It is enough to show that $\mu_{\mathbb{F}}^* G_Y|_{\tilde{X}} \sim_C \mu_{\tilde{X}}^*(-K_X)$. By (4.5), \tilde{Q} is a member of $|\tilde{\mathbb{E}} + G + (f \circ \sigma)^* \beta|$ and hence \tilde{X} is a member of $|\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^+ + G^+ + (\varphi_Y \circ \mu_{\mathbb{F}})^* \beta|$. Since $-K_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}^+} \sim_C 2(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^+ + G_{\mathbb{F}}^+)$, we have $-K_{\tilde{X}} \sim_C (\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^+ + G_{\mathbb{F}}^+)|_{\tilde{X}}$. Recalling that we set $G_Y = \mu_{\mathbb{F}*} G^+$, we have $\mu_{\mathbb{F}}^* G_Y|_{\tilde{X}} \sim_C \mu_{\tilde{X}}^*(-K_X)$, which completes the proof of (3).

(4) This assertion follows from the equality $\mu_{\mathbb{F}}^* X = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^+ + \tilde{X}$ as divisors.

(5) By Theorem 4.1 (3), we have $-K_Y = 2G_Y - \varphi_Y^*(K_C + \det q_* \mathcal{O}_Q(E_Q)) = 2G_Y + \varphi_Y^*(\beta - \alpha)$. Thus we obtain $-K_Y = 2H_Y + \varphi_Y^* \delta$ and $X \in |H_Y + \varphi_Y^* \delta|$. By the argument in the proof of Lemma 3.1 (3) and the equality (3.2), we have $\omega_B = \varphi_B^* \mathcal{O}_C(\delta)$. By the duality of the finite flat morphism $\varphi_B: B \rightarrow C$, we have $\mathcal{O}_C(\delta) \otimes \varphi_{B*} \mathcal{O}_B = \varphi_{B*} \omega_B = (\varphi_{B*} \mathcal{O}_B)^\vee \otimes \omega_C$. Thus $\mathcal{O}_C(K_C - \delta)$ is the cokernel of the splitting injection $\mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \varphi_{B*} \mathcal{O}_B$. \square

We complete the proof of Theorem B. \square

5. EXTENSIONS TO MODERATE $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$ -FIBRATIONS

We devote this section to prove Theorem C. A main idea is similar to that of Theorem B.

5.1. Double projection from a point on $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$. In Subsection 4.1, we saw that the double projection from a point on a sextic del Pezzo surface $S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^2$ is the restriction of the double projection $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ from the point as in the diagram (4.1). As S is also a codimension 2 linear section of $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$, it is also natural to consider the double projection of $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$.

Let S be a sextic del Pezzo surface and take a general point $x \in S$. As in the diagram (2.1), we have $\text{Bl}_x S \simeq \text{Bl}_{y_1, y_2, y_3} \mathbb{Q}^2$ where y_1, y_2, y_3 are non-colinear three points. Applying Theorem 3.11 for $X = \mathbb{Q}^2$, $Y = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$ and $Z = \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$, we obtain a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Q}^2}$ fitting into the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^2}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Q}^2} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(-K_{\mathbb{Q}^2}) \rightarrow 0$$

such that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Q}^2}$ has no trivial bundles as quotients. Since Z is a union of non-colinear three points, we can deduce that $\mathcal{I}_Z(-K_{\mathbb{Q}^2})$ is globally generated and so is $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Q}^2}$ since $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^2}) = 0$. Thus we have $h^0(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Q}^2}^\vee) = 0$ and hence $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Q}^2} \simeq \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Q}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^2}(1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^2}(1, 0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^2}(0, 1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^2}(1, 1)$ by Proposition 3.7. Let us consider the projectivization $\pi: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}^2}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Q}^2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^2$. Then we can see that there exists the following diagram:

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Bl}_x(\mathbb{P}^2)^2 \leftarrow \Psi - \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}^2}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Q}^2}) & \\ \mu \swarrow & & \searrow \pi \\ (\mathbb{P}^2)^2 & & \mathbb{Q}^2, \end{array}$$

where Ψ is a flop and μ is the blow-up of $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$ at a point x . The flopped locus is the union of two sections of π which correspond to $\mathcal{F}_{Q^2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Q^2}(0,1)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{Q^2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Q^2}(1,0)$. The rational map $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^2$ is nothing but the double projection from x on $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$ and its restriction on S coincides with the double projection $S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^2$. Our proof of Theorems 5.1 and C essentially includes the details of the above argument.

5.2. Moderate $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$ -fibrations. As in Subsection 5.1, $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$ can be constructed from a quadric surface with non-colinear three points. We relativize this construction for making a Mori fiber space $\varphi_Z: Z \rightarrow C$ with smooth total space Z whose smooth fibers are $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$. We call this φ_Z a *moderate $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$ -fibration* in this paper.

Theorem 5.1. *Let C be a smooth projective curve and $q: Q \rightarrow C$ a quadric fibration. Let $T \subset Q$ be a smooth irreducible closed subcurve such that $\deg(q|_T) = 3$ and $-K_{\text{Bl}_T Q}$ is nef over C .*

(1) *There exists a locally free sheaf \mathcal{F} and the following exact sequence*

$$(5.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow q^*(R^1q_*\mathcal{I}_T(-K_C)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_T(-K_Q) \rightarrow 0$$

such that $\mathcal{F}|_{Q_t} \simeq \mathcal{S}_{Q_t} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Q_t}(1)$ holds for every $t \in C$. We refer the definitions of $\mathcal{O}_{Q_t}(1)$ and \mathcal{S}_{Q_t} to Subsection 1.5 (11).

(2) *There exists the following diagram:*

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \tilde{Z} & \xleftarrow{\Psi} & \mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F}) \\ & \mu_Z \swarrow & \downarrow \psi_Z & \swarrow \psi_{\mathcal{F}} & \downarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} \\ Z & & \tilde{Z} & & Q \\ & \varphi_Z \searrow & \downarrow r & \searrow q & \\ & & C & \xlongequal{\quad} & C \end{array}$$

where

- $\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F}) \dashrightarrow \tilde{Z}$ is a family of Atiyah flops;
- Z is smooth and $\varphi_Z: Z \rightarrow C$ is a Mori fiber space;
- $\mu_Z: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ is the blow-up along a φ_Z -section C_0 .

(3) *Let $\xi_{\mathcal{F}}$ be a tautological divisor on $\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})$ and set $\xi_Z := \mu_{Z*}\Psi_*\xi_{\mathcal{F}}$. Then $-K_Z \sim 3\xi_Z - \varphi_Z^*\beta$ and $\mu_Z^*\xi_Z = \Psi_*\xi_{\mathcal{F}} + \text{Exc}(\mu_Z)$, where $\beta = \det(R^1q_*\mathcal{I}_T(-K_C))$.*

(4) *Every smooth φ_Z -fiber is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$.*

In this paper, we call the Mori fiber space $\varphi_Z: Z \rightarrow C$ be as in Theorem 5.1 the moderate $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$ -fibration with respect to the pair $(q: Q \rightarrow C, T)$.

Proof. We proceed in 4 steps.

Step 1. Let us prove (1). We apply Theorem 3.11 for $X = Q$, $Y = C$, $f = q$ and $Z = T$. Since $R^1q_*\mathcal{O}_X = 0$ and $\dim C = 1$, Theorem 3.11 gives the exact sequence (5.2) and the locally free sheaf \mathcal{F} . Moreover, there are no surjections $\mathcal{F}|_{Q_t} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Q_t}$ for every t . Note that $\det \mathcal{F}|_{Q_t} = \mathcal{O}(-K_{Q_t})$ and $c_2(\mathcal{F}|_{Q_t}) = 3$ follow from (5.2). If \mathcal{F} is q -nef, then every morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Q_t}$ is surjective and hence the zero map. Thus Proposition 3.7 shows (1). To show that \mathcal{F} is q -nef, we consider the projectivization $\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})$. By the surjection $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_T(-K_Q)$, $\text{Bl}_T Q$ is embedded in $\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})$ over Q as the zero scheme of the global section $s \in H^0(\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})}(1) \otimes q^*(R^1q_*\mathcal{I}_T(-K_C))^\vee)$ corresponding to the injection $q^*(R^1q_*\mathcal{I}_T(-K_C)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ (cf. Lemma 6.9). Since $-K_{\text{Bl}_T Q} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})}(1)|_{\tilde{Q}}$ is nef over C by assumption, so is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})}(1)$. We complete the proof of (1).

Step 2. Next we confirm the following claim.

Claim 5.2. *There exists a unique effective divisor $E_Q \subset Q$ containing T such that $2E_Q + K_Q \sim_C 0$ and $q_*\mathcal{I}_T(E_Q) = \mathcal{O}_C$.*

Proof. Take $f: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow C$ is a \mathbb{P}^3 -bundle over C such that \mathbb{F} contains Q . Since $-K_{\text{Bl}_T Q}$ is nef over C , the linear span of T_t is a 2-plane in $\mathbb{F}_t = \mathbb{P}^3$. By Theorem 4.1 (1), there exists a unique sub \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle \mathbb{E} contains T . Set $E_Q := \mathbb{E} \cap Q$. Then we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(-Q + \mathbb{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{E}) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{T/\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(E_Q) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{T/Q} \rightarrow 0$. Since $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(-Q + E) = 0$ for any i and $f_*(\mathcal{I}_{T/\mathbb{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{E})) = \mathcal{O}_C$ as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 (1), we have that $q_*\mathcal{I}_T(E_Q) = \mathcal{O}_C$, which proves that E_Q satisfies the conditions. For a general point $t \in C$, the fiber $(E_Q)_t$ is the unique smooth conic passing through the three points T_t . Hence the uniqueness of E_Q follows. \square

From now on, we fix a divisor α on C such that $-K_Q = 2E_Q - q^*\alpha$.

Claim 5.3. *There exists a exact sequence*

$$(5.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(E_Q - q^*\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$$

where \mathcal{E} is a locally free sheaf with $\mathcal{E}|_{Q_t} \simeq \mathcal{S}_{Q_t}$ for every $t \in C$.

Proof. Tensoring the exact sequence (5.2) with $\mathcal{O}(-E_Q + q^*\alpha)$, we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow q^*((R^1 q_* \mathcal{I}_T)(\alpha - K_C)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E_Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-E_Q + q^*\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_T(E_Q) \rightarrow 0.$$

Claim 5.2 implies that $q_*\mathcal{I}_T(E_Q) = \mathcal{O}_C$. Since $R^i q_* \mathcal{O}_Q(-E_Q) = 0$ for any i , we have $q_*\mathcal{F}(-E_Q + q^*\alpha) \simeq q_*\mathcal{I}_T(E_Q) \simeq \mathcal{O}_C$. Hence we obtain an injection $\iota: \mathcal{O}(E_Q - q^*\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ with the locally free cokernel $\mathcal{E} := \text{Cok } \iota$. Then we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Q_t}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_{Q_t} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{Q_t} \rightarrow 0$ for every $t \in C$. Since $\mathcal{F}|_{Q_t} \simeq \mathcal{S}_{Q_t} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Q_t}(1)$ and $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{Q_t}(1), \mathcal{S}_{Q_t}) = 0$, $\mathcal{F}|_{Q_t}$ contains $\mathcal{O}_{Q_t}(1)$ as a direct summand. Hence we have $\mathcal{E}|_{Q_t} \simeq \mathcal{S}_{Q_t}$ for every $t \in C$. \square

Step 3. Let $\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})$ be the natural inclusion from the exact sequence (5.4). We define morphisms as in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F}) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{E}) \\ \downarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} & \swarrow \pi_{\mathcal{E}} & \downarrow \pi_{\mathcal{E}} \\ Q & \hookrightarrow & Q \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow q \\ C & \hookrightarrow & C \end{array}$$

$r_{\mathcal{F}}$ (left curved arrow), $r_{\mathcal{E}}$ (right curved arrow)

Let $\xi_{\mathcal{F}}$ be a tautological divisor of $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow Q$ and $\xi_{\mathcal{E}} := \xi_{\mathcal{F}}|_{\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{E})}$. Then $\xi_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a tautological divisor of $\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{E})$ and $\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})$ is a member of $|\mathcal{O}(\xi_{\mathcal{F}} - \pi^*(E_Q)) \otimes r_{\mathcal{F}}^* \mathcal{O}(\alpha)|$. Note that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{E})}(\xi_{\mathcal{E}})$ is $r_{\mathcal{E}}$ -globally generated and $r_{\mathcal{E}*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{E})}(\xi_{\mathcal{E}}) = q_* \mathcal{E}$ is a vector bundle of rank 4. Thus $\xi_{\mathcal{E}}$ gives a morphism $\psi_{\mathcal{E}}: \mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C(q_* \mathcal{E})$. Set $G = \text{Exc}(\psi_{\mathcal{E}})$ and $S = \psi_{\mathcal{E}}(G)$.

Claim 5.4. (1) *S is smooth and $\psi_{\mathcal{E}}: \mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C(q_* \mathcal{E})$ is the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}_C(q_* \mathcal{E})$ along S .*

(2) *The morphism $S \rightarrow C$ factors a non-singular curve B such that $S \rightarrow B$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle and $B \rightarrow C$ is a double covering. Moreover, $B \rightarrow C$ is the double covering associated to q .*

Proof. (1) Let $t \in C$ be a point and consider a morphism $\psi_{\mathcal{E},t}: \mathbb{P}_{Q_t}(\mathcal{S}_{Q_t}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$. If Q_t is smooth (resp. singular), then it is known that $\psi_{\mathcal{E},t}$ is the blow-up along union of two disjoint lines in \mathbb{P}^3 [25, Table 3, No 25.] (resp. the blow-up along a double line which is contained in a smooth quadric surface). This fact implies that

every fiber l of $G \rightarrow S$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 and satisfies $-K_{\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{E})}.l = 1$. Then [3, Theorem 2.3] implies that S is non-singular and $\psi_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the blow-up along S .

(2) For any $t \in C$, $S_t \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a union of two disjoint lines if Q_t is smooth and $(S_t)_{\text{red}}$ is a line if Q_t is singular. Let $S \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ be the Stein factorization of $S \rightarrow C$. Since S is smooth, so is B . Then $S \rightarrow B$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle and $B \rightarrow C$ is a double covering. The branched locus of this double cover $B \rightarrow C$ is $\{t \in C \mid Q_t \text{ is singular}\}$. Therefore, $B \rightarrow C$ is the double covering associated to $q: Q \rightarrow C$. \square

Step 4. Let $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \bar{Z}$ be the Stein factorization of the morphism given by $|\xi_{\mathcal{F}}|$ over C . Since $-K_{\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})} \sim_C 3\xi_{\mathcal{F}}$, $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \bar{Z}$ is a crepant contraction.

Claim 5.5. (1) $\text{Exc}(\psi_{\mathcal{E}}) = \text{Exc}(\psi_{\mathcal{F}})$ holds and $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a 2-dimensional family of Atiyah's flopping contraction. In particular, if $\Psi: \mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F}) \dashrightarrow \tilde{Z}$ denotes the flop, then \tilde{Z} is non-singular.

(2) Let $E_{\tilde{Z}}$ be the proper transform of $\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})$ on \tilde{Z} . Then there exists a birational morphism $\mu_Z: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ over C such that Z is non-singular and μ_Z is the blow-up along a section $C_{0,Z}$ of the induced morphism $\varphi_Z: Z \rightarrow C$.

Proof. (1) Let γ be a curve contracted by $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}$. Then we have $\xi_{\mathcal{F}}.\gamma = 0$ and hence $\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{E}).\gamma = -\pi_{\mathcal{F}}^*E_Q.\gamma < 0$ since E_Q is ample over C . Thus $\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{E})$ contains γ and γ is contracted by $\psi_{\mathcal{E}}$. Conversely, it is clear that every curve γ contracted by $\psi_{\mathcal{E}}$ is also contracted by $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}$. Therefore, we have $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}|_{\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{E})} = \psi_{\mathcal{E}}$ by the rigidity lemma and $\text{Exc}(\psi_{\mathcal{F}}) = \text{Exc}(\psi_{\mathcal{E}})$. Let l be any fiber of $\text{Exc}(\psi_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow S$. Then we have $\mathcal{N}_{l/\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{E})} = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}^2$ and $1 = -K_{\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{E})}.l = (\xi_{\mathcal{F}} + \pi_{\mathcal{F}}^*E_Q).l = \pi_{\mathcal{F}*}.l.E_Q$. Hence $\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{E}).l = -1$ in $\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})$. Considering the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{l/\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{E})} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{l/\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{E})/\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})}|_l \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $\mathcal{N}_{l/\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})} \simeq \mathcal{O}(-1)^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}^2$. Thus $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a 2-dimensional family of Atiyah's flopping contraction.

(2) We have $E_{\tilde{Z}} \simeq \mathbb{P}_C(q_*\mathcal{E})$ by the construction of this flop. Moreover, for each $t \in C$, if we take a line $l \subset E_{\tilde{Z},t} \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$, then we have $-K_{\tilde{Z}}.l = -K_{\tilde{Z}_t}.l = 3$. It implies that $-K_{\tilde{Z}_t}|_{E_{\tilde{Z}_t}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(3)$ and hence $\mathcal{N}_{E_{\tilde{Z}_t}/\tilde{Z}_t} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)$ for every $t \in C$. Therefore, there exists a morphism $\mu_Z: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ over C such that μ_Z blows $E_{\tilde{Z}}$ down to a section $C_{0,Z}$ of $\varphi_Z: Z \rightarrow C$ and Z is smooth. \square

Note that μ_Z is an extremal contraction and hence $\rho(Z) = 2$.

We show (3). Set $\beta := \det(R^1q_*\mathcal{I}_T(-K_C))$ as in Theorem 5.1 (3). Since $-K_{\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})} = 3\xi_{\mathcal{F}} - r_{\mathcal{F}}^*\beta$ by the exact sequence (5.2), we have $-K_Z = 3\xi_Z - \varphi_Z^*\beta$. Moreover, since μ_Z is the blow-up along a φ_Z -section, we have $\mu_Z^*K_Z = K_{\tilde{Z}} + 3E_{\tilde{Z}}$. Thus we have $\mu_Z^*\xi_Z = \Psi_*\xi_{\mathcal{F}} + E_{\tilde{Z}}$ since $\xi_Z = \mu_{Z*}\Psi_*\xi_{\mathcal{F}}$ by the definition. We complete the proof of (3).

To prove (4), let $t \in C$ be a point such that $Z_t = \varphi_Z^{-t}(t)$ is smooth. Then Z_t is a so-called del Pezzo 4-fold. From the diagram (5.3), we have $\xi_Z^4 \cdot \varphi_Z^{-1}(t) = \xi_{\mathcal{F}}^4 \cdot r_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(t) + 1 = 6$ by a direct calculation. Then we have $Z_t \simeq (\mathbb{P}^2)^2$ by Fujita's classification of del Pezzo manifolds [14, Theorem 3.3.1].

We complete the proof of Theorem 5.1. \square

5.3. Proof of Theorem C. Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ be a sextic del Pezzo fibration. Take a φ -section C_0 and let $(q: Q \rightarrow C, T)$ be the relative double projection of $(\varphi: X \rightarrow C, C_0)$. Let $E_Q \subset Q$ be the proper transform of $\text{Exc}(\text{Bl}_{C_0} X \rightarrow X)$. Then E_Q is nothing but the divisor that we obtain in Claim 5.2. By Proposition 2.1 (2), we see that $\mathcal{O}(-K_{\text{Bl}_T Q})$ is nef over C . Then Theorem 5.1 gives the moderate $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$ -fibration $\varphi_Z: Z \rightarrow C$. In order to find an embedding from X into Z over C , it suffices to show that the proper transform of Q on Z coincides with X .

Now let us use the same notation as in Theorem 5.1 and its proof. Let \mathcal{G} be as in the statement of Theorem C. Considering the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{T/Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Q \rightarrow$

$\mathcal{O}_T \rightarrow 0$ and taking the cohomology of q_* , we obtain

$$(5.5) \quad \mathcal{G} = R^1 q_* \mathcal{I}_T \otimes \mathcal{O}(-K_C).$$

Note that $\tilde{Q} = \text{Bl}_T Q$ is the zero scheme of the global section of $H^0(\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{O}(\xi_{\mathcal{F}}) \otimes r_{\mathcal{F}}^* \mathcal{G}^\vee)$ corresponding to the injection $q^* \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ in the sequence (5.2) under the natural isomorphism $H^0(\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{O}(\xi_{\mathcal{F}}) \otimes r_{\mathcal{F}}^* \mathcal{G}^\vee) \simeq \text{Hom}_Q(q^* \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F})$.

Now Theorem C is a consequence of the following claim.

- Claim 5.6.** (1) $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}|_{\tilde{Q}}$ coincides with ψ_Q in Proposition 2.1 (2).
(2) If $\tilde{Q}^+ \subset \tilde{Z}$ denotes the proper transform of $\tilde{Q} \subset \mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})$, then the birational map $\tilde{Q} \dashrightarrow \tilde{Q}^+$ is the flop over C . In particular, $\tilde{Q}^+ \simeq \tilde{X}$ holds.
(3) It holds that $\mu_Z|_{\tilde{X}} = \mu_X$. In particular, there exists a closed embedding $i: X \hookrightarrow Z$ such that $i(C_0) = C_{0,Z}$.
(4) X is the zero scheme of a global section of $\mathcal{O}_Z(\xi_Z) \otimes \varphi_Z^* \mathcal{G}^\vee$.
(5) It holds that $\mathcal{O}(K_Z + 3\xi_Z) \simeq \varphi_Z^* \det \mathcal{G}$.

Proof. (1) It suffices to show the restriction morphism $r_{\mathcal{F}*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})}(k\xi_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow (r_{\mathcal{F}}|_{\tilde{Q}})_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Q}}(k\xi_{\mathcal{F}})$ is surjective for every $k > 0$. Since \tilde{Q} is the zero scheme of a global section of the rank 2 vector bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})}(1) \otimes r_{\mathcal{F}}^* \mathcal{G}^\vee$, we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})}((k-2)\xi_{\mathcal{F}}) \otimes r_{\mathcal{F}}^* \det \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})}((k-1)\xi_{\mathcal{F}}) \otimes r_{\mathcal{F}}^* \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{Q}/\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})}(k\xi_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow 0$. Thus we have $R^1 r_{\mathcal{F}*} \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{Q}/\mathbb{P}_Q(\mathcal{F})}(k\xi_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$ for every $k > 0$. Hence we are done.

(2) By (1), we have $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{Q}) = \tilde{X}$. Since $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is defined over C by $\xi_{\mathcal{F}}$, there exists a Cartier divisor $\xi_{\tilde{Z}}$ on \tilde{Z} such that $\xi_{\tilde{Z}}$ is ample over C and $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}^* \xi_{\tilde{Z}} = \xi_{\mathcal{F}}$. Since \tilde{Q} is the zero scheme of a global section of $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}^*(\mathcal{O}(\xi_{\tilde{Z}}) \otimes \tilde{r}^* \mathcal{G}^\vee)$, \tilde{X} is that of $\mathcal{O}(\xi_{\tilde{Z}}) \otimes \tilde{r}^* \mathcal{G}^\vee$ in \tilde{Z} and hence \tilde{Q}^+ is that of $\psi_{\tilde{Z}}^* \mathcal{O}(\xi_{\tilde{Z}}) \otimes r^{+*} \mathcal{G}^\vee$ in \tilde{Z} . Then (2) follows from similar arguments as in the proof of Claim 4.7 (2).

(3) By Theorem 5.1 (3), we have $\mu_Z^* \xi_Z \sim \xi_{\tilde{Z}} + E_{\tilde{Z}}$. Since $\Psi_* \xi_{\mathcal{F}}|_{\tilde{X}} \sim_C -K_{\tilde{X}}$, we obtain $\mu_Z^* \xi_Z|_{\tilde{X}} \sim_C \mu_X^*(-K_X)$, which proves the assertion.

(4) Since \tilde{X} is the zero scheme of a global section of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}}(\Psi_* \xi_{\mathcal{F}}) \otimes r^{+*} \mathcal{G}^\vee$ and $\Psi_* \xi_{\mathcal{F}} = \mu_Z^* \xi_Z - \text{Exc}(\mu_Z)$ holds, we obtain a section $s \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{I}_{C_0/Z}(\xi_Z) \otimes r^{+*} \mathcal{G}^\vee)$ such that the zero scheme of s is X .

(5) This assertion immediately follows from (5.5) and Theorem 5.1 (3). \square

We complete the proof of Theorem C. \square

6. SINGULAR FIBERS OF SEXTIC DEL PEZZO FIBRATIONS

In this section, we classify singular fibers of sextic del Pezzo fibrations.

6.1. Singular sextic del Pezzo surfaces. For a sextic del Pezzo fibration $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$, every fiber is irreducible and Gorenstein. Such surfaces were studied by many peoples (e.g. [13, 7, 9, 30, 1]). As the first step to classify singular fibers, we review the classification of irreducible Gorenstein sextic del Pezzo surfaces.

Theorem 6.1 ([13, 7, 9, 30, 1]). *Let S be an irreducible Gorenstein del Pezzo surface with $(-K_S)^2 = 6$.*

(1) *Suppose that S has only Du Val singularities. Let $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be the minimal resolution $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$. Then there is a birational morphism $\varepsilon: \tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ such that ε is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at three (possibly infinitely near) points. If Σ denotes the 0-dimensional subscheme in \mathbb{P}^2 of length 3 corresponding to the three (possibly infinitely near) points, then the isomorphism class of S is determined by Σ as in Table 2.*

We refer to [13, 7, 9] for more precise details.

Type	Σ	Is Σ colinear?	# of lines	Singularity
(2,3)	reduced	non-colinear	6	smooth
(2,2)	$\text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \sqcup \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$	non-colinear	4	A_1
(2,1)	$\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^3)$	non-colinear	2	A_2
(1,3)	reduced	colinear	3	A_1
(1,2)	$\text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \sqcup \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$	colinear	2	$A_1 + A_1$
(1,1)	$\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^3)$	colinear	1	$A_1 + A_2$

TABLE 2. Classification of Du Val sextic del Pezzo surfaces

(2) Suppose that S is not Du Val but rational. Let $\nu: \bar{S} \rightarrow S$ be the the normalization. Then \bar{S} is a Hirzebruch surface and the complete linear system $|\nu^*\omega_S^{-1}|$ gives an embedding $\bar{S} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^7$ and $S \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ is the image of the projection from a point away from \bar{S} . Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\bar{S}}$ be the conductor of ν and $E = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\bar{S}}/\mathcal{C}$. Then $(\bar{S}, \nu^*\omega_S^{-1}, E, E \rightarrow \nu(E))$ is one of the two cases in Table 3.

Type	\bar{S}	$\nu^*\omega_S^{-1}$	E	$E \rightarrow \nu(E)$
(n2)	\mathbb{F}_2	$h + 2f$	$E = C_0$	double cover
(n4)	\mathbb{F}_4	$h + f$	$E = E_1 + E_2$ where $E_1 = C_0$ and $E_2 \sim f$	$\nu(E) = \nu(E_1) = \nu(E_2)$ and $E_i \rightarrow \nu(E)$ is isomorphic

TABLE 3. Classification of non-normal rational sextic del Pezzo surfaces

For notation of \mathbb{F}_n , h , f and C_0 , we refer to Subsection 1.5 (5). We refer to [30, 1] for more precise details.

(3) When S is neither Du Val nor rational, S is the cone over a curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ of degree 6 and arithmetic genus 1.

Remark 6.2. Let S be the cone over a curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ of degree 6 and arithmetic genus 1. Then we have $\dim T_v S = 6$ where v is the vertex. Hence for any sextic del Pezzo fibration $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$, X does not contains such an S since we assume that the total space X is smooth. In other words, every φ -fiber is of type (i, j) , (n2) or (n4) as in Theorem 6.1.

6.2. The aim and main results of Section 6. The main aim of this section is to prove Theorem D and Corollary E. For this purpose, we will show the following three theorems, which are the main results of this section.

Theorem 6.3 ([10, (4.6)]). *Let $q: Q \rightarrow C$ and T be as in the setting of Theorem 5.1. Let $\varphi_Z: Z \rightarrow C$ be the moderate $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$ -fibration that is obtained by Theorem 5.1. Then the following assertions hold for any $t \in C$.*

- (1) Q_t is smooth if and only if $Z_t \simeq (\mathbb{P}^2)^2$.
- (2) Q_t is singular if and only if $Z_t \simeq \mathbb{P}^{2,2}$.

For the definition of $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$, we refer to Definition 6.6.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $f: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow C$ and $T \subset \mathbb{F}$ be as in the setting of Theorem 4.1. Let $\varphi_Y: Y \rightarrow C$ be the moderate $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$ -fibration which is obtained by Theorem 4.1. Then the following assertions hold for any $t \in C$.*

- (1) $Y_t \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1)^3$ if and only if $\#(T_t)_{\text{red}} = 3$.
- (2) $Y_t \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{Q}_0^2$ if and only if $\#(T_t)_{\text{red}} = 2$, where \mathbb{Q}_0^2 denotes the 2-dimensional singular quadric cone.
- (3) $Y_t \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}$ if and only if $\#(T_t)_{\text{red}} = 1$.

For the definition of $\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}$, we refer to Definition 6.12.

Theorem 6.5. *Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ be a sextic del Pezzo fibration and C_0 be an arbitrary φ -section. Let $(q: Q \rightarrow C, T)$ be the relative double projection of $(\varphi: X \rightarrow C, C_0)$. Then the following assertions hold for any $t \in C$.*

- (1) *For $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, X_t is of type $(2, j)$ if and only if Q_t is smooth and $\#(T_t)_{\text{red}} = j$.*
- (2) *For $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, X_t is of type $(1, j)$ if and only if Q_t is singular, $\#(T_t)_{\text{red}} = j$ and $\text{Sing } Q_t \cap T_t = \emptyset$.*
- (3) *X_t is of type $(n2)$ if and only if Q_t is singular, $\#(T_t)_{\text{red}} = 2$ and the double point of T_t is supported at the vertex of Q_t .*
- (4) *X_t is of type $(n4)$ if and only if Q_t is singular, $\#(T_t)_{\text{red}} = 1$ and $\text{Sing } Q_t = (T_t)_{\text{red}}$.*

Proof of Theorem D assuming Theorems 6.3, 6.4 and 6.5. Fix a φ -section C_0 and let $(q: Q \rightarrow C, T)$ be the relative double projection. Then Theorem D immediately follows from Theorems 6.3, 6.4 and 6.5 since for any $t \in C$, $\#(B_t)_{\text{red}} = 2$ (resp. 1) if and only if Q_t is smooth (resp. the quadric cone) by definition. \square

Corollary E follows directly from Theorems A and D.

Proof of Corollary E. The items (1) and (2) follow immediately from Theorem A (3). To show (3), we compute $(-K_{X/C})^3 = (-K_X + \varphi^*K_C)^3$. By Theorem A (3), we have $(-K_X + \varphi^*K_C)^3 = (-K_X)^3 + 3(-K_X)^2(\varphi^*K_C) = 24g(C) - (6g(B) + 4g(T) + 14)$. By the Hurwitz formula, when R_B and R_T denote the ramification divisor of φ_B and φ_T respectively, we have $\deg R_B = 2g(B) + 2 - 4g(C)$ and $\deg R_T = 2g(T) + 4 - 6g(C)$. Thus we have $(-K_{X/C})^3 = -(3 \deg R_B + 2 \deg R_T) \leq 0$. Hence $(-K_{X/C})^3 = 0$ if and only if $\deg R_B = \deg R_T = 0$, which is equivalent to that φ_B and φ_T are étale. By Theorem D, it is equivalent to that φ is smooth, which completes the proof. \square

6.3. Definition of $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$ and proof of Theorem 6.3. Now our aim is reduced to prove Theorems 6.3, 6.4 and 6.5. In this subsection, we define $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$ and prove Theorem 6.3.

Definition 6.6 ([26]). We define

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{2,2}} := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)).$$

Then the tautological divisor ξ is free and the linear system $|\xi|$ gives a morphism $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{2,2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^8$ since $h^0(\widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{2,2}}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{2,2}}}(1)) = 9$. We define $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$ as the image of the morphism and $\psi: \widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{2,2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2,2}$.

Remark 6.7. The notation $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$ was introduced by Mukai in his talk [26].

Lemma 6.8 ([9], [26]). $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$ is the del Pezzo variety V of type (vu) in [9]. Moreover, the following assertions hold.

- (1) $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{2,2}}$ is a weak Fano 4-fold and $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$ is its anti-canonical model. The morphism $\psi: \widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{2,2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2,2}$ is a crepant divisorial contraction and its exceptional divisor $\text{Exc}(\psi)$ is the unique member of $|\xi - \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)|$.
- (2) The embedding $\mathbb{P}^{2,2} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^8$ factors through the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1^3, 2^3) \subset \mathbb{P}^8$. In $\mathbb{P}(1^3, 2^3)$, $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$ is a cubic hypersurface. Moreover, $\text{Sing}(\mathbb{P}^{2,2}) = \text{Sing}(\mathbb{P}(1^3, 2^3))$ and the singularity is a family of Du Val A_1 -singularities.

Proof. We recall the definition of the del Pezzo variety V of type (vu) in [9]. The projective space bundle $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(\mathcal{O}^3 \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$ is the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}(1^3, 2^3)$ along the singular locus Π , which is a 2-plane on $\mathbb{P}(1^3, 2^3) \subset \mathbb{P}^8$. Let $p: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(\mathcal{O}^3 \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the

projection, Ξ a tautological divisor and $f: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(\mathcal{O}^3 \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(1^3, 2^3)$ the blow-up. Then $\text{Exc}(f)$ is the unique member of $|\mathcal{O}(\Xi) \otimes p^*\mathcal{O}(-2)|$ and isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. Consider a member $\tilde{V} \in |\mathcal{O}(\Xi) \otimes p^*\mathcal{O}(1)|$ such that $E \cap \tilde{V}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}^2})$. The del Pezzo variety of V of type (vu) is defined to be the image $f(\tilde{V})$ (see [9, p.155]). Then V is a cubic hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}(1^3, 2^3)$ containing Π and $f|_{\tilde{V}}: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ is a divisorial contraction whose exceptional divisor is $E \cap \tilde{V} = \mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}^2})$. Since $H^i(p^*\mathcal{O}(-1)) = 0$ for any i , $f|_{\tilde{V}}$ is given by $|\Xi|_{\tilde{V}}$.

Now it suffices to show that $\tilde{V} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{2,2}$ and $\Xi|_{\tilde{V}} = \xi$. Such a member \tilde{V} corresponds to an injection $v = (s_1, s_2, s_3, t): \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^3 \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ such that $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(1))$ forms a basis of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(1))$. Since $(s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = 0) = \emptyset$, $\text{Cok } v$ is a locally free sheaf of rank 3 and \tilde{V} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(\text{Cok } v)$. Now $\Xi|_{\tilde{V}}$ is a tautological divisor of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(\text{Cok } v)$. Letting $s = (s_1, s_2, s_3): \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^3$, we have $\text{Cok } s \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$ and the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2) \rightarrow \text{Cok } v \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2) \rightarrow 0$, which splits since $\text{Ext}^1(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)) = H^1(\mathbb{P}^2, T_{\mathbb{P}^2}) = 0$. Therefore, \tilde{V} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$. Since $-K_{\mathbb{P}^{2,2}} = 3\xi$, the morphism ψ is a crepant divisorial contraction. The remaining items follow from the above construction. \square

Then Theorem 6.3 is just a corollary of a result of Fujita [10, (4.6)].

Proof of Theorem 6.3. Set \mathcal{F} as in Theorem 5.1 (1). By Theorem 5.1 (2), the blow-up of Z_t at a smooth point is the flop of $\mathbb{P}_{Q_t}(\mathcal{F}_{Q_t})$. Moreover, we see that Q_t is smooth if and only if Z_t is smooth since the map Ψ in the diagram (5.3) is a family of Atiyah flop over C . Hence it suffices to show that $Z_t \simeq \mathbb{P}^{2,2}$ if Z_t is singular, which is known by [10, (4.6)]. \square

Let us consider codimension 2 linear sections of $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$ or $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$. The following lemma is useful to classify linear sections of such kind of varieties.

Lemma 6.9. *Let X be a smooth variety and let \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} be locally free sheaves on X with $\text{rk } \mathcal{F} = \text{rk } \mathcal{E} - 1$. Let $s: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be an injection. Let $\pi: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$ be the projectivization and $\tilde{s} \in H^0(\mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{F}^\vee)$ the section corresponding to s . Set $Z = \{p \in X \mid \text{rk } s(p) < \text{rk } \mathcal{F}\}$ and $Y = (\tilde{s} = 0)$.*

(1) *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\text{codim } Z \geq 2$.
- (b) $\text{Cok } s$ is torsion free.

Moreover, if one of the above conditions holds, then $\text{Cok } s \simeq \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z$ where $\mathcal{L} := (\det \mathcal{E}) \otimes (\det \mathcal{F})^\vee$.

(2) *If Y is irreducible, then $\text{Cok } s$ is torsion free and $Y = \text{Bl}_Z X$ holds.*

Proof. Set $U := X \setminus Z$ and $\overline{Y} := \overline{\pi^{-1}(U) \cap Y}$. For any $p \in X$, we have $\pi^{-1}(p) \cap Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^{\text{rk } \mathcal{F} - \text{rk } s(p)}$. Hence $\overline{Y} \rightarrow X$ and $Y \rightarrow X$ are isomorphic over U and \overline{Y} is an irreducible component of Y . Note that U coincides with the set $\{p \in X \mid (\text{Cok } s)_p \text{ is free } \mathcal{O}_{X,p}\text{-module}\}$.

(1) The implication (a) \Rightarrow (b) holds by [27, Lemma 5.4]. Conversely, if $\text{Cok } s$ is torsion free, then it is well-known that $\text{codim } Z \geq 2$ since X is smooth. Moreover, the natural map $\text{Cok } s \rightarrow (\text{Cok } s)^{\vee\vee}$ is injective and its cokernel \mathcal{T} is a torsion sheaf with $\text{Supp } \mathcal{T} = Z$. Set $\mathcal{L} = \det \mathcal{E} \otimes \det \mathcal{F}^\vee \simeq (\text{Cok } s)^{\vee\vee}$. Then we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Cok } s \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0$. Thus $\text{Cok } s \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ is an ideal sheaf of Z since the scheme theoretic support $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{L})$ is Z .

(2) Suppose that Y is irreducible, i.e., $Y = \overline{Y}$. If Z contains a prime divisor D on X , then we have $\dim(\pi|_Y)^{-1}(D) \geq \dim D + 1 = \dim X$ and hence Y is not irreducible, which is a contradiction. Thus $\text{codim}_X Z \geq 2$ holds and hence $\text{Cok } s$ is torsion free by (1). The surjection $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z$ gives an embedding $\text{Bl}_Z X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E})$. Since $\text{Bl}_Z X$ coincides with Y over U and Y and $\text{Bl}_Z X$ are irreducible, Y coincides

with $\text{Bl}_Z X$ as sets. Since Y is the zero scheme of \tilde{s} and $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E})} Y = \text{rk } \mathcal{F}$, Y is reduced. Thus we have $Y = \text{Bl}_Z X$ as schemes. \square

The following lemma will be used for Theorem 6.5.

Lemma 6.10. *Let S be a Du Val sextic del Pezzo surface. If S is a codimension 2 linear section of $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$) with respect to the embedding into \mathbb{P}^8 , then S is of type $(2,j)$ (resp. $(1,j)$).*

Proof. Suppose that S is a codimension 2 linear section of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$). Letting $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}(1)^3$ (resp. $\mathcal{F} = \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$), S (resp. $\psi^{-1}(S)$) is the complete intersection of two members of $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})}(1)|$. Let $s_1, s_2: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be the corresponding two morphisms and $s = {}^t(s_1, s_2): \mathcal{O}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. Then Lemma 6.9 gives the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^2 \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{S/\mathbb{P}^2}(3) \rightarrow 0$. Note that $S = \text{Bl}_\Sigma \mathbb{P}^2$ (resp. $\psi^{-1}(S) = \text{Bl}_\Sigma \mathbb{P}^2$) and Σ is a 0-dimensional subscheme of length $c_2(\mathcal{F}) = 3$. Tensoring the above exact sequence with $\mathcal{O}(-2)$, we get $h^0(\mathcal{I}_\Sigma(1)) = 0$ (resp. 1), which implies that S is of type $(2,j)$ (resp. $(1,j)$) by Theorem 6.1. \square

6.4. Definition of $\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}$. To define $\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}$, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.11. *Let $\varepsilon \in \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^1(\mathcal{O}(f), \mathcal{O}(2h-f)) = H^1(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(2h-2f)) = \mathbb{C}$ be a non-zero element. This element gives the following non-trivial extension*

$$(6.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(2h-f) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(f) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then \mathcal{E} is the cokernel of an injection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(-h+f) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(h+f)$. In particular, \mathcal{E} is globally generated.

Proof. Let $s_1, s_2 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(h-f))$ be sections such that $(s_i = 0) = C_0 + l_i$, where $l_i \in |f|$ and $l_1 \cap l_2 = \emptyset$. Let $t \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(2h))$ be a general section such that $(t=0) \cap C_0 = \emptyset$. Then the cokernel of the map $v := (s_1, s_2, t): \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(-h+f) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(h+f)$ is locally free. Let us confirm that $\text{Cok } v = \mathcal{E}$. Set $s = (s_1, s_2): \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(-h+f) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^2$. Then we have a surjection $\text{Cok } v \rightarrow \text{Cok } s$. Since $(s_1 = 0)$ and $(s_2 = 0)$ contain C_0 , the morphism $s: \mathcal{O}(-h+f) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^2$ factors through the map $\mathcal{O}(-f) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^2$ which is given by (l_1, l_2) . Hence we have a surjection $\text{Cok } s \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(f)$ and hence a surjection $\text{Cok } v \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(f)$ and an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(2h-f) \rightarrow \text{Cok } v \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(f) \rightarrow 0$, which does not split since $\mathcal{O}(2h-f)$ is not globally generated but $\text{Cok } v$ is. Since $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}(f), \mathcal{O}(2h-f)) = \mathbb{C}$, we have $\text{Cok } v \simeq \mathcal{E}$. \square

Definition 6.12 ([9]). Let \mathcal{E} be the bundle on \mathbb{F}_2 fitting into the exact sequence (6.1) that does not split. We define $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}} := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{E})$ and set $\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1} \subset \mathbb{P}^7$ be the image of the morphism defined by $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{E})}(1)|$.

Lemma 6.13 ([9]). *$\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}$ is the del Pezzo variety of type (si31i) in [9]. Moreover, the following assertions hold.*

- (1) $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}}$ is a weak Fano 3-fold and $\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}$ is its anti-canonical model. The morphism $\psi: \widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}$ is a crepant divisorial contraction. If $\pi: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ denotes the projection, then the exceptional divisors of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}$ is the union of the unique members $E_1 \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}(-2h+f)|$ and $E_2 := \pi^* C_0$. E_1 is a π -section and hence isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_2 and $E_2 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. $\psi(E_1)$ and $\psi(E_2)$ are the same line on $\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}$ and $\psi|_{E_i}: E_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle. The intersection $E_1 \cap E_2$ is a section of $\psi|_{E_i}$ for each i .
- (2) $\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}$ is a Gorenstein canonical del Pezzo 3-fold of Picard rank 1. The singular locus of $\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}$ is a line and $\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}$ has a family of Du Val A_2 -singularities along the line.

Proof. Let $\Phi: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{O}^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(h+f)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^7$ be the morphism given by the complete linear system of the tautological line bundle. By Lemma 6.11, $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}}$ is a sub \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{O}^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(h+f))$. In [9, p.170], the del Pezzo variety of type (si31i) is defined as the image of $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}}$ under the morphism Φ . Since $H^i(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathcal{O}(-h+f)) = 0$ holds for any i , $\Phi|_{\widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}}}$ is also given by $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)|$. Thus $\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}$ is the del Pezzo variety of type (si31i).

Let us show (1). Since $\mathcal{O}(-K_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{E})}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{E})}(2)$, $\psi: \widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}$ is a crepant birational morphism. $E_1 + E_2$ is a member of $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}(-h-f)| = |\text{Exc}(\Phi)|_{\widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}}}$ by the definitions. Since $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-h-f)) = 1$ by (6.1), we have $E_1 + E_2 = \text{Exc}(\Phi)|_{\widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}}}$. It is clear that E_1 is a π -section. As divisors on $\text{Exc}(\Phi) = \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $E_1 + E_2$ is a member of $|\text{pr}_1^* \mathcal{O}(h-f) \otimes \text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)|$. Since $E_2 \in |\text{pr}_1^* \mathcal{O}(h-2f)|$, we have $E_1 \in |\text{pr}_1^* \mathcal{O}(f) \otimes \text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)|$, which implies that $E_2 = C_0 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1)^2$ and $E_1 \cap E_2$ is a section of pr_2 . It also follows that $\text{pr}_2|_{E_i}: E_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ gives a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle structure for each i . In particular, we have $E_1 + E_2 = \text{Exc}(\psi)$. (2) immediately follows from (1). \square

6.5. Reducing Theorems 6.4 and 6.5 to Propositions 6.14 and 6.15. In order to prove Theorems 6.4 and 6.5, we reduce these theorems to explicit descriptions of birational transforms of the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a 0-dimensional subscheme of length 3. First, we reduce Theorem 6.4 to the following proposition.

Proposition 6.14. *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a 0-dimensional closed subscheme of length 3. Suppose that the minimal linear subspace $\langle \Sigma \rangle$ containing Σ as a subscheme is a hyperplane on \mathbb{P}^3 . Let $\sigma_V: V = \text{Bl}_{\Sigma} \mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ be the blow-up and G the Cartier divisor such that $\mathcal{O}_V(-G) = \sigma_V^{-1} \mathcal{I}_{\Sigma/\mathbb{P}^3} \cdot \mathcal{O}_V$.*

Then V is a canonical Gorenstein weak Fano 3-fold and the contraction morphism $\psi_V: V \rightarrow \overline{V} := \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(V, \mathcal{O}(-nK_V))$ is a flopping contraction. Let $\chi_V: V \dashrightarrow V^+$ be the flop and P_{V^+} be the proper transformation of the 2-plane $\langle \Sigma \rangle$ on V^+ . Then there exists the blow-down $\mu_V: V^+ \rightarrow \overline{W}$ which contracts P_{V^+} to a smooth point on \overline{W} . Moreover, \overline{W} is one of the following.

- (1) *If Σ is reduced, then $\overline{W} \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1)^3$.*
- (2) *If Σ is isomorphic to $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2) \sqcup \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$, then $\overline{W} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{Q}_0^2$, where \mathbb{Q}_0^2 is the cone over a conic.*
- (3) *If Σ is isomorphic to $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^3)$, then $\overline{W} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}$.*

Proof of Theorem 6.4 assuming Proposition 6.14. By Theorem 4.1, the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^3 = \mathbb{F}_t$ at the 0-dimensional scheme $\Sigma := T_t$ of length 3 has a flop $\Phi_t: \text{Bl}_{\Sigma} \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \tilde{Y}_t$. Moreover, the morphism $\tilde{Y}_t \rightarrow Y_t$ contracts the proper transform of the 2-plane $\langle \Sigma \rangle$ on \tilde{Y}_t to a smooth point on Y_t . Then Theorem 6.4 is a direct corollary of Proposition 6.14. \square

Proposition 6.15. *As in Proposition 6.14, consider the following diagram.*

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Bl}_x \overline{W} = V^+ \xleftarrow{\chi_V} V & \\ \mu_V \swarrow & & \searrow \sigma_V \\ \overline{W} & & \mathbb{P}^3. \end{array}$$

Let X be a prime hyperplane section of \overline{W} containing x as a smooth point. Then the proper transform of X on \mathbb{P}^3 is an irreducible and reduced quadric surface Q containing Σ . Moreover, the following assertions hold.

- (1) *If $\#\Sigma = 3$, then X is of type (2,3) or (1,3). Moreover, Q is smooth at every point of Σ .*

- (2) If $\#\Sigma_{\text{red}} = 2$, then X is of type $(2,2)$, $(1,2)$ or $(n2)$. In this case, if p_1 denote the reduced point of Σ and p_2 the double point of Σ , then Q is smooth at p_1 . Moreover, X is of type $(2,2)$ or $(1,2)$ (resp. $(n2)$) if and only if Q is smooth (resp. singular) at p_2 .
- (3) If $\#\Sigma_{\text{red}} = 1$, then X is of type $(2,1)$, $(1,1)$ or $(n4)$. In this case, X is of type $(2,1)$ or $(1,1)$ (resp. $(n4)$) if and only if Q is smooth (resp. singular) at Σ_{red} .

Proof of Theorem 6.5 assuming Proposition 6.15. Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ be a sextic del Pezzo fibration and C_0 a φ -section. Let $(q: Q \rightarrow C, T)$ be the relative double projection of $(\varphi: X \rightarrow C, C_0)$. Let $f: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow C$ be the \mathbb{P}^3 -bundle containing Q as in the proof of Theorem B (see Subsection 4.3). In Theorems B and C, we constructed a moderate $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$ -fibration $\varphi_Y: Y \rightarrow C$ and a moderate $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$ -fibration $\varphi_Z: Z \rightarrow C$ such that Y and Z contain X as a relatively linear section over C . Let us fix $t \in C$. Then X_t is a linear section of Y_t and Z_t and $x := C_{0,t}$ is a smooth point of X_t and hence that of Y_t and Z_t .

Claim 6.16. *If X_t is Du Val, then X_t is of type $(\#(B_t)_{\text{red}}, \#(T_t)_{\text{red}})$.*

Proof. Take $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that X_t is of type (i, j) . First, we show $i = \#(B_t)_{\text{red}}$. By Theorem 6.3, $\#(B_t)_{\text{red}} = 2$ or 1 if and only if $Z_t = (\mathbb{P}^2)^2$ or $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$ respectively. Since X_t is a codimension 2 linear section of Z_t , Lemma 6.10 implies $\#(B_t)_{\text{red}} = i$. Next, we show $\#(T_t)_{\text{red}} = j$. Letting $\Sigma := T_t \subset \mathbb{F}_t = \mathbb{P}^3$, Y_t is nothing but \overline{W} in Proposition 6.14. Then $\#(T_t)_{\text{red}} = j$ follows from Proposition 6.15. \square

Let us prove Theorem 6.5.

(1) Assume X_t is of type $(2, j)$. By the definition of B , $\#(B_t)_{\text{red}} = 2$ (resp. 1) if and only if Q_t is smooth (resp. singular). Claim 6.16 shows that Q_t is smooth and $\#(T_t)_{\text{red}} = j$. Conversely, let us assume that Q_t is smooth and $\#(T_t)_{\text{red}} = j$. Then X_t is normal by Proposition 6.15. By Claim 6.16, X_t is of type $(2, j)$.

(2) Assume X_t is of type $(1, j)$. Then Claim 6.16 shows that Q_t is singular and $\#(T_t)_{\text{red}} = j$. Moreover, it follows that $\text{Sing } Q_t \cap (T_t)_{\text{red}} = \emptyset$ from Proposition 6.15. Conversely, let us assume that Q_t is singular, $\#(T_t)_{\text{red}} = j$ and $\text{Sing } Q_t \cap (T_t)_{\text{red}} = \emptyset$. Then Proposition 6.15 and Claim 6.16 shows that X_t is of type $(1, j)$.

(3) and (4) follow directly from Proposition 6.15 (2) and (3). \square

6.6. Proofs of Propositions 6.14 and 6.15. To complete the aim, we devote the remaining part of this section for proving Propositions 6.14 and 6.15. We proceed in the following order: Proposition 6.14 (1); Proposition 6.15 (1); Proposition 6.14 (2); Proposition 6.15 (2); Proposition 6.14 (3); Proposition 6.15 (3).

6.6.1. Proof of Propositions 6.14 (1) and 6.15 (1). Suppose that Σ is reduced. Note that such Σ is unique up to projection equivalences on \mathbb{P}^3 . Thus, as we saw in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we conclude Proposition 6.14 (1) by taking the proper transform of general fibers in the diagram (4.2). Let us show Proposition 6.15 (1). Set $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^2}(1, 1)^2$. Then X is a member of $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)|$. Lemma 6.9 gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1, 1)^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(2, 2) \rightarrow 0$$

on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^2$ and $\text{Bl}_Z(\mathbb{P}^1)^2 = X$, where Z is a 0-dimensional subscheme with $\text{length}(\mathcal{O}_Z) = c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 2$. By seeing the above sequence, no lines in the quadric $(\mathbb{P}^1)^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ contain Z . By counting the number of the lines on X , we can conclude that X is of type $(2,3)$ or $(2,2)$. Let H be a hyperplane section of \mathbb{P}^3 . Under the birational map in the diagram (6.2), we have $(\chi_V)^{-1}(\mu_V)^{-1}X \sim (\chi_V)^{-1}(\mu_V^*X - \text{Exc}(\mu_V)) \sim (\sigma_V^*(3H) - 2\text{Exc}(\sigma_V)) - (\sigma_V^*(H) - \text{Exc}(\sigma_V)) \sim \sigma_V^*(2H) - \text{Exc}(\sigma)$. Thus Q is a quadric on \mathbb{P}^3 and smooth at all points of Σ . \square

6.6.2. *Proof of Proposition 6.14 (2).* We proceed in 5 steps.

Step 1. In this step, we set our basic preliminaries and notation.

Let $p_1 \in \Sigma$ be the unique reduced point of Σ and let $p_2 = (\Sigma \setminus p_1)_{\text{red}}$. Let $f: \text{Bl}_{p_1, p_2} \mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ be the blow-up and E'_1 and E'_2 the f -exceptional divisors which dominates p_1 and p_2 respectively. Let $p_3 \in E'_2$ be the point corresponding to the tangent vector of Σ at p_2 . Set $g: M := \text{Bl}_{p_3} \text{Bl}_{p_1, p_2} \mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow \text{Bl}_{p_1, p_2} \mathbb{P}^3$ and $\sigma := f \circ g$. Set $H := \sigma^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$, $E_3 := \text{Exc}(g)$, $E_i := g_*^{-1} E'_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let P be the proper transform of the linear hull of Σ . Since $K_M \sim \sigma^* K_{\mathbb{P}^3} + 2E_1 + 2E_2 + 4E_3$, we have

$$(6.3) \quad P \in |H - (E_1 + E_2 + 2E_3)| \text{ and } -K_M \sim 2(H + P).$$

Since $\sigma^{-1} \mathcal{I}_{\Sigma} \cdot \mathcal{O}_M = \mathcal{O}(-E_1 - E_2 - 2E_3)$, there is a natural morphism

$$\tau: M \rightarrow V := \text{Bl}_{\Sigma} \mathbb{P}^3$$

by the universal property of the blow-up. Note that $\tau(E_2) = C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and τ is a crepant divisorial contraction. Thus $\text{Bl}_{\Sigma} \mathbb{P}^3$ has a family of Du Val A_1 -singularities along its singular locus C .

Finally, let l_{12} (resp. l_{23}) be the proper transform of the linear hull of $\Sigma_{\text{red}} = \{p_1, p_2\}$ (resp. $\Sigma \setminus \{p_1\}$) and $e_i = E_i \cap P$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. As divisors on P , we have

$$(6.4) \quad l_{12} \sim H|_P - (e_1 + e_2 + e_3) \text{ and } l_{23} \sim H|_P - (e_2 + 2e_3).$$

Step 2. In this step, we show that V is weak Fano (and so is M) and the morphism ψ_V is small. We also construct a birational link from M explicitly.

First, we confirm that $-K_V$ is nef, big and divisible by 2, which is equivalent to the following claim.

Claim 6.17. *M is weak Fano and $-K_M$ is divisible by 2 in $\text{Pic}(M)$.*

Proof. Since $-K_M \sim 2(H + P)$ by (6.3) and $(-K_M)^3 = 40$, it suffices to see that $(H + P)|_P$ is nef. By (6.3) and (6.4), we have $(H + P)|_P \sim l_{12} + l_{23} + e_2 + e_3$, which is nef. \square

Next, we show that the morphism from V onto the anti-canonical model \bar{V} is flopping. From the proof of Claim 6.17, it follows that a curve $\gamma \subset P$ satisfying $-K_M \cdot \gamma = 0$ is l_{12} , l_{23} or e_2 . Set $H_V := \tau_* H$ and $P_V := \tau_* P$. Then we get $-K_V \sim 2(H_V + P_V)$, which is ample over \mathbb{P}^3 from our construction. Therefore, if a curve $\gamma \subset V$ satisfies $-K_V \cdot \gamma = 0$, then we get $\gamma \subset P_V$. Since the morphism $\tau|_P: P \rightarrow P_V$ is the contraction of the (-2) -curve e_2 on P , it holds that $\gamma = \tau(l_{12})$ or $\tau(l_{23})$. Hence $V \rightarrow \bar{V}$ is small.

Let us see the flop of l_{12} and l_{23} on M explicitly. Note that $P \subset M$ contains l_{12}, l_{23} as (-1) -curves and e_2 as a (-2) -curve. Hence we can see that $\mathcal{N}_{l_{12}/M}, \mathcal{N}_{l_{23}/M} \simeq \mathcal{O}(-1)^2$. Let $\chi_1: M \dashrightarrow M^+$ be the flop of l_{12} and l_{23} . Set $e_2^+ := \chi_{1*}(e_2)$ and $P^+ := \chi_{1*}(P)$. Then e_2^+ is a (-1) -curve of P^+ and hence $\mathcal{N}_{e_2^+/P^+} \simeq \mathcal{O}(-1)^2$. Let $\chi_2: M^+ \dashrightarrow M^{++}$ be the flop of e_2^+ and set $P^{++} := \chi_{2*}(P^+)$. Then P^{++} is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 . By the construction of these flops, we have

$$(6.5) \quad E_2^{++} \cap P^{++} = \emptyset.$$

If $e_3^{++} \subset M^{++}$ denotes the proper transform, then $e_3^{++} \subset P^{++}$ is a line of $P^{++} \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ and $-K_{M^{++}} \cdot e_3^{++} = -K_M \cdot e_3 = 2$. Thus $-K_M|_{P^{++}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$ and hence $\mathcal{N}_{P^{++}/M^{++}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(-1)$. Hence there exists $\mu: M^{++} \rightarrow W$ such that $\mu(P^{++}) = p$ is a smooth point and μ is the blow-up at p :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & M^{++} & \xleftarrow{\chi_2} & M^+ & \xleftarrow{\chi_1} & M & & \\ & \mu \swarrow & & & & & & \searrow \sigma & \\ W & & & & & & & & \mathbb{P}^3. \end{array}$$

Let $\chi = \chi_2 \circ \chi_1$ be the composition.

Step 3. We consider a general effective member $D \in |H - (E_2 + 2E_3)|$ in M and let $D^{++} \subset M^{++}$ be the proper transform.

Claim 6.18. *The following assertions follow.*

- (1) $\dim |D| = 1$ and $\text{Bs} |D| = l_{23}$.
- (2) $D^{++} \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$ and $D^{++} \cap E_2^{++}$ is the (-2) -curve on D^{++} .
- (3) $|D^{++}|$ is base point free and $D^{++} \cap P^{++} = \emptyset$.

Proof. (1) Let $l'_{23} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the linear span of the tangent vector of Σ at p_2 . Then D is the proper transform of a 2-plane in \mathbb{P}^3 containing l'_{23} . Since l_{23} is the proper transform of l'_{23} on M , the assertion (1) holds.

(2) Note that D is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at an infinitely near point of order 2 supported on p_2 . Therefore, $E_2 \cap D$ is the (-2) -curve on D . Since $\chi|_{E_2}$ and $\chi|_D$ are isomorphic along $E_2 \cap D$, $E_2^{++} \cap D^{++}$ is a (-2) -curve on D also. Since $\chi|_D$ is a morphism and blows down the (-1) -curve l_{23} on D , we have $D^{++} \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$, which proves (2).

(3) Recall that P is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at a union of reduced point p_1 and an infinitely near point of order 2 supported at p_2 . Note that D meets P transversally along l_{23} . Since $M \dashrightarrow M^+$ is the Atiyah flop of l_{12} and l_{23} , it holds that $D^+ \cap P^+ = \emptyset$ and $\text{Bs} |D^+| = \emptyset$. Since $D^+ \cap e_2 = \emptyset$, we obtain $D^{++} \cap P^{++} = \emptyset$ and $\text{Bs} |D^{++}| = \emptyset$. Hence we are done. \square

Step 4. In this step, we show that $W \simeq \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. By Claim 6.17, W is also weak Fano and

$$(6.6) \quad -K_W \sim 2\mu_*H.$$

Set $D_W := \tau_*D^{++}$. By Claim 6.18, the complete linear system $|D_W|$ gives a morphism $\alpha: W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ whose fibers are connected. By Claim 6.18 (2), a general fiber of α is isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_2 and hence there exists an extremal ray $R \subset \overline{\text{NE}}(W/\mathbb{P}^1)$ such that $-K_W.R < 0$. Let $\beta: W \rightarrow S$ be the contraction of R and $\pi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ the induced morphism. Since a general α -fiber D_W is isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_2 , $\beta|_{D_W}: D_W \rightarrow \beta(D_W)$ is the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle structure of \mathbb{F}_2 , which implies $\dim S = 2$. Then S is non-singular and a general fiber of $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a smooth rational curve. Since $\rho(S) = 2$, $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle. If Δ denotes the discriminant of the standard conic bundle $\beta: W \rightarrow S$, then $\pi|_{\Delta}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is not dominant. Hence Δ is supported on a disjoint union of smooth rational curves if Δ is not empty. As the composition of flops $M \dashrightarrow M^+ \dashrightarrow M^{++}$ preserves their Euler numbers with respect to analytic topologies, we have $\text{Eu}(W) = 8$, which implies $\Delta = \emptyset$, that is, $\beta: W \rightarrow S$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \alpha & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ W & \xrightarrow{\beta} & S \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^1. \end{array}$$

In particular, α is smooth as a morphism and has \mathbb{F}_2 as a general fiber. Thus every α -fiber is \mathbb{F}_2 since W is weak Fano.

Claim 6.19. *Set $E_{i,W} = \tau_*(E_i^{++})$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then $E_{2,W}$ is a section of β and isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^1)^2$. In particular, we have $S \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1)^2$. Moreover, it holds that $-K_W|_{E_{2,W}} = (\alpha|_{E_{2,W}})^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$.*

Proof. Recall that $E_2 \simeq \mathbb{F}_1$ as varieties and $\tau|_{E_2}: E_2 \rightarrow C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ gives the ruling. Then $E_2 \dashrightarrow E_2^{++}$ is the elementary transformation of the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle $\tau|_{E_2}: E_2 \rightarrow C$ with center $l_{12} \cap E_2$. Since the (-1) -curve on E_2 does not pass through $l_{12} \cap E_2$, we have $E_W \simeq E_2^{++} \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1)^2$ by (6.5). By Claim 6.18, for a α -fiber $D_W \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$,

the intersection $D_W \cap E_{2,W}$ is the (-2) -curve on D_W . Thus $\beta|_{E_{2,W}} : E_{2,W} \rightarrow S$ is birational and hence is isomorphic. Hence $E_{2,W}$ is a section of β .

Let γ be a fiber of $\alpha|_{E_{2,W}}$ and D_W the fiber of α containing γ . Then γ is the (-2) -curve on D_W and hence $-K_W \cdot \gamma = 0$. Hence $-K_W|_{E_{2,W}}$ is the pull-back of a line bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 under the morphism $\alpha|_{E_{2,W}}$. To see the last statement, set $e_{23} := E_2 \cap E_3$ and let e_{23}^{++} be the proper transform on M^{++} . Since E_2^{++} contains e_{23}^{++} , we can define the proper transform $e_{23,W}$ on W , which is the different ruling of $E_{2,W} \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1)^2$ from γ . Then we have $-K_W \cdot e_{23,W} = -K_{M^{++}} \cdot e_{23}^{++} = -K_M \cdot e_{23} = -K_M E_2 E_3 = 2$ by a direct calculation. Hence we are done. \square

Let \mathcal{E} be a rank 2 bundle on $S \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1)^2$ such that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \simeq W$. By (6.6) we may assume that $\det \mathcal{E} = -K_S$. Then $\mathcal{O}(-K_W) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(2)$. By Claim 6.19, $E_{2,W}$ is the section corresponding to a surjection $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{O}(1)$ on S . Therefore, we have $\mathcal{E} \simeq \pi^* \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-K_S) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}(-1)$, which implies $W \simeq \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Step 5. Set $\overline{W} = \mathbb{Q}_0^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\psi_W : W \rightarrow \overline{W}$ be the crepant contraction. Since $P^{++} \cap E_1^{++} = \emptyset$ by Claim 6.18, the center of the blow-up $M^{++} \rightarrow W$, say $w \in W$, is not contained in $E_{1,W}$. Set $\overline{w} := \psi_W(w)$ and let $V^+ := \text{Bl}_{\overline{w}} \overline{W}$. Note that \overline{w} is a smooth point of \overline{W} . Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & M^{++} & \xleftarrow{\chi_2} & M^+ & \xleftarrow{\chi_1} & M & & \\
 & & \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau & \searrow \sigma & \\
 W & & & & V^+ & \xleftarrow{\chi_V} & V = \text{Bl}_{\Sigma} \mathbb{P}^3 & & \\
 \downarrow \psi_W & & \swarrow \mu_V & & & & \searrow \sigma_V & & \\
 \overline{W} & & & & & & & & \mathbb{P}^3.
 \end{array}$$

The rational map χ_V is isomorphic in codimension 1 and not isomorphism. Since the anti-canonical models of M, M^+, M^{++} are the same, those of V, V^+ are also the same. Hence χ_V is a flop, which completes the proof. \square

6.6.3. *Proof of Proposition 6.15 (2).* We use the same notation as in Proposition 6.14 (2) and its proof. Set $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(h)^2$. Then $W = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{E})$ and ψ_W is the blow-up of $\overline{W} = \mathbb{Q}_0^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ along its singular locus. Let $p : W \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ be the projection, ξ the tautological divisor of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{E})$, $L = \pi^* h$ and $F = \pi^* f$. Then the unique member $E \in |L - 2F|$ is $\text{Exc}(\psi_W)$. From the construction, we have the following linear equivalences of divisors by a direct calculation.

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{cases} \chi_*^{-1} \mu^* F \sim D \sim (H - (E_2 + 2E_3)) \\ \chi_*^{-1} \mu^* (L - 2F) \sim E_2 \\ \chi_*^{-1} P^{++} \sim P \sim H - (E_1 + E_2 + 2E_3) \text{ and} \\ \chi_*^{-1} \mu^* \xi \sim \frac{1}{2} (-K_M + 2P) \sim 3H - (2E_1 + 2E_2 + 4E_3). \end{cases}$$

Let $\tilde{X} \subset W$ be the proper transformation of $X \subset \overline{W}$. Noting that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(\xi) = f^* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{W}}(1)$, we can take an integer $a \geq 0$ such that $\tilde{X} \in |\xi - aE| = |\xi - a(L - 2F)|$. It holds that $a \in \{0, 1\}$ since $|\xi - aE| \neq \emptyset$.

Case 1; $a = 1$.

In this case, $\tilde{X} \in |\xi - E| = |\xi - (L - 2F)|$. Since X contains $\text{Sing}(\overline{W})$, X is non-normal. Let $s : \mathcal{O}(h - 2f) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be the section which corresponds to \tilde{X} . Since \tilde{X} is irreducible, Lemma 6.9 gives the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(h - 2f) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(h)^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(h + 2f) \rightarrow 0$, where $Z \subset \mathbb{F}_2$ is 0-dimensional or empty. By computing the Chern classes, we obtain $\text{length}(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$, i.e., $Z = \emptyset$. Therefore, \tilde{X} is a section of $\pi : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$. The morphism $\tilde{X} \simeq \mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow X$ is nothing but the normalization of

X and hence X is of type (n2). Moreover, we have

$$\chi_*^{-1}\tilde{X} \sim \chi_*^{-1}\mu^*(\xi - (L - 2F)) - P \sim 2H - (E_1 + 2E_2 + 2E_3).$$

by (6.7). Hence Q is a quadric cone in \mathbb{P}^3 such that Q contains p_1 as a smooth point and p_2 as the vertex.

Case 2; $a = 0$.

In this case, \tilde{X} is a prime member of $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)|$. Lemma 6.9 gives the following exact sequence on \mathbb{F}_2 :

$$(6.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(h)^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(2h) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now $\text{Bl}_Z \mathbb{F}_2 = \tilde{X}$ and Z is a 0-dimensional subscheme of length $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 2$. Since $-K_{\tilde{X}}$ is nef and big, we have $Z \cap C_0 = \emptyset$. Tensoring the sequence (6.8) with $\mathcal{O}(-2h + f)$, we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_Z(f)) = 0$, which means that every fiber of $\mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ does not contain Z .

If Z is a union of two points $\{q_1, q_2\}$, then by taking an elementary transformation of \mathbb{F}_2 with center q_1 , we can see that \tilde{X} is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at a non-colinear union of a reduced point and an infinitely near point of order 2. Hence X is of type (2,2).

On the other hand, if Z is non-reduced, then by taking an elementary transformation of \mathbb{F}_2 with center $q_1 := Z_{\text{red}}$, we can see that the minimal resolution of \tilde{X} is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at a colinear union of a reduced point and an infinitely near point of order 2. Hence X is of type (1,2).

For each cases, we obtain

$$\chi_*^{-1}\tilde{X} \sim \chi_*^{-1}\mu^*\xi - P \sim 2H - (E_1 + E_2 + 2E_3).$$

by (6.7). Hence Q is an irreducible and reduced quadric surface in \mathbb{P}^3 such that Q contains p_1, p_2 as smooth points. We complete the proof. \square

6.6.4. *Proof of Proposition 6.14 (3).* We proceed in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 6.14 (2).

Step 1. We set our basic preliminaries and fix notation as follows.

Let $\Sigma_{\text{red}} = \{p_1\}$ and $f_1: \text{Bl}_{p_1} \mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ the blow-up at p_1 . Let Σ' be the 0-dimensional length 2 subscheme Σ' corresponding to Σ . We set $\Sigma'_{\text{red}} = \{p_2\}$. Then p_2 is a point of $\text{Exc}(f_1)$. Let $f_2: \text{Bl}_{p_2} \text{Bl}_{p_1} \mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow \text{Bl}_{p_1} \mathbb{P}^3$ be the blow-up at p_2 . Then we have a point $p_3 \in \text{Exc}(f_2)$ corresponding to the tangent vector of Σ' at p_2 . Since Σ is not colinear, Σ' is not contained in $\text{Exc}(f_1)$ as schemes and hence it holds that $p_3 \notin (f_2)_*^{-1} \text{Exc}(f_1)$. Let $f_3: M := \text{Bl}_{p_3} \text{Bl}_{p_2} \text{Bl}_{p_1} \mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow \text{Bl}_{p_2} \text{Bl}_{p_1} \mathbb{P}^3$ denote the blow-up at p_3 and $\sigma = f_1 \circ f_2 \circ f_3$. Let $H = \sigma^* \mathcal{O}(1)$ and $E_i \subset M$ the proper transformation of $\text{Exc}(f_i)$. Note that E_1 and E_2 are isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_1 . Let $P \subset M$ be the proper transform of the linear hull of Σ . Since $K_M = \sigma^* K_{\mathbb{P}^3} + 2E_1 + 4E_2 + 6E_3$, we have

$$(6.9) \quad P \in |H - (E_1 + 2E_2 + 3E_3)| \text{ and } -K_M \sim 2H + 2P.$$

Since $\sigma^{-1} \mathcal{I}_{\Sigma} \cdot \mathcal{O}_M = \mathcal{O}(-E_1 - 2E_2 - 3E_3)$, we have a natural morphism

$$\tau_M: M \rightarrow V := \text{Bl}_{\Sigma} \mathbb{P}^3$$

by the universal property. Then τ_M contracts E_1 and E_2 to one smooth rational curve C . For any $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $\tau_M|_{E_i}: E_i \rightarrow C$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle and $E_1 \cap E_2$ is a section of $\tau_M|_{E_i}$. Moreover, if f_i denotes a fiber of $\tau_M|_{E_i}$, then we have $\mathcal{N}_{f_i/M} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$. Hence V has a family of Du Val A_2 -singularities along C . Finally, let l be the proper transform of the linear hull of the tangent vector of Σ and $e_i = E_i \cap P$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then we have

$$(6.10) \quad l \sim H|_P - (e_1 + 2e_2 + e_3) \text{ on } P.$$

Step 2. Let us show that V is weak Fano and $-K_V$ is divisible by 2, which is equivalent to the following claim.

Claim 6.20. M is weak Fano and $-K_M$ is divisible by 2 in $\text{Pic}(M)$.

Proof. Since $-K_M = 2(H + P)$ by (6.9) and $(-K_M)^3 = 40$, it suffices to show that $(H + P)|_P$ is nef. By (6.9) and (6.10), we have $(H + P)|_P \sim 2l + e_1 + 2e_2 + e_3$. It is easy to see that the above divisor is nef. \square

Now let us confirm that ψ_V is small. Let $\gamma \subset V$ be a curve such that $-K_V \cdot \gamma = 0$. Letting $H_V = \tau_* H$ and $P_V := \tau_* P$, we have $-K_V = 2(H_V + P_V)$. Since $-K_V$ is ample over \mathbb{P}^3 , we have $\gamma \subset P_V$. Let $\tilde{\gamma} \subset P$ be the proper transform. Then $-K_M \cdot \tilde{\gamma} = 0$ and it follows that $\tilde{\gamma} = l, e_1$ or e_2 from the proof of Claim 6.20. Since the morphism $\tau|_P: P \rightarrow P_V$ is the contraction of e_1 and e_2 , we have $\gamma = \tau(l)$. Hence ψ_V is small.

Let us construct a sequence of flops and a divisorial contraction from M as follows. Now P is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at an infinitely near point of order 3 corresponding to Σ . Since l is a (-1) -curve in P , we have $\mathcal{N}_{l/M} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^2$ and there exists the Atiyah flop $\chi_1: M \dashrightarrow M^+$ of l . Let l^+ be the flopped curve and e_i^+, P^+ be the proper transforms of e_i, P on M^+ respectively. Since e_2^+ is a (-1) -curve in P^+ , we have $\mathcal{N}_{e_2^+/M^+} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^2$ and there exists the Atiyah flop $\chi_2: M^+ \dashrightarrow M^{++}$ of e_2^+ . Let e_2^{++} be the flopped curve and $l^{++}, e_1^{++}, e_3^{++}, P^{++}$ be the proper transforms of l^+, e_1^+, e_3^+, P^+ on M^{++} respectively. Since e_1^{++} is a (-1) -curve in P^{++} , we have the Atiyah flop $\chi_3: M^{++} \dashrightarrow M^{+++}$ of e_1^{++} . If $P^{+++} \subset M^{+++}$ denotes the proper transform of P , then we have $P^{+++} \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$. Let e_3^{+++} be the proper transform of e_3 . Then we have $-K_{M^{+++}} \cdot e_3^{+++} = -K_M \cdot e_3 = 2$. Therefore, $\mathcal{N}_{P^{+++}/M^{+++}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)$ and hence there exists $\mu: M^{+++} \rightarrow W$ such that $\mu(P^{+++}) = w$ is a smooth point of W and μ is the blow-up of W at w .

$$\begin{array}{c}
 M^{+++} \xleftarrow{\chi_3} M^{++} \xleftarrow{\chi_2} M^+ \xleftarrow{\chi_1} M \\
 \swarrow \mu \qquad \searrow \sigma \\
 W \qquad \qquad \qquad \mathbb{P}^3.
 \end{array}$$

Set $\chi = \chi_3 \circ \chi_2 \circ \chi_1$.

Step 3. Take a general member $D \in |H - (E_1 + 2E_2 + 2E_3)|$ on M . Let $\bar{l} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the linear span of the tangent vector of Σ at p_1 . In this setting, it holds that $\sigma_*^{-1}\bar{l} = l$. Then D is the proper transform of a 2-plane in \mathbb{P}^3 containing \bar{l} . Let D^+, D^{++}, D^{+++} be the proper transforms of D on M^+, M^{++}, M^{+++} respectively.

Claim 6.21. (1) $\text{Bs}|D| = l$ and $\dim|D| = 1$.

(2) $|D^{+++}|$ is base point free and $D^{+++} \cap P^{+++} = \emptyset$.

(3) $D^{+++} \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$ and $E_1^{+++} \cap D^{+++}$ is the (-2) -curve of D^{+++} .

(4) $E_1^{+++} \cap P^{+++} = \emptyset$ and $E_2^{+++} \cap P^{+++} = \emptyset$.

Proof. (1) This assertion follows directly from that $|D|$ is the proper transform of the pencil of hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^3 containing \bar{l} .

(2) Since P is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at the infinitely near 3 points with respect to Σ , P meets D transversally along l . By the construction of the flop $\chi_1: M \dashrightarrow M^+$, we have that $D^+ \cap P^+ = \emptyset$ and $\text{Bs}|D^+| = \emptyset$ by (1). Since we take the member D generically, D^+ does not meet e_2^+ and e_3^+ . Thus we obtain $D^{+++} \cap P^{+++} = \emptyset$ and $\text{Bs}|D^{+++}| = \emptyset$, which proves (2).

(3) Note that D is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at an infinitely near point of order 2. Then $D \dashrightarrow D^+$ is the contraction of l , which is a (-1) -curve in D . Thus we have $D^+ \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$. Moreover, we can see that $D^+ \dashrightarrow D^{++} \dashrightarrow D^{+++}$ are isomorphic by

the construction of the flops and hence $D^{+++} \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$. Note that $E_1 \cap D$ is (-2) -curve on D and χ is isomorphic along $E_1 \cap D$, which show the second assertion.

(4) It follows from that E_i meets P along e_i transversally for $i = 1, 2$. \square

Step 4. In this step, we will show that $W \simeq \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{1,1,1}$. By Claim 6.20, W is a weak Fano 3-fold of Picard rank 3 and $-K_W$ is divisible by 2. Let $D_W = \mu_* D^{+++}$. By Claim 6.21 (1) and (2), the complete linear system $|D_W|$ gives the morphism $\alpha: W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Using Claims 6.20 and 6.21, we can see that α decomposes into two \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles $\beta: W \rightarrow S$ and $\pi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ by the same argument in Step 4 of the proof of Proposition 6.14 (2):

Claim 6.22. *Let $E_{1,W}$ be the proper transform of E_1 on W . Then it holds that $E_{1,W} \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$ and $-K_W|_{E_{1,W}} \sim (\alpha|_{E_{1,W}})^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$. Moreover, $E_{1,W}$ is a β -section and hence $S \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$.*

Proof. We recall that $E_1 \simeq \mathbb{F}_1$ and $-K_M|_{E_1} = 2f$, where f is a fiber of the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle $\mathbb{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Claim 6.21 (3) and (4) gives that $\mathbb{F}_2 \simeq E_1^{+++} \simeq E_{1,W}$ and $-K_W|_{E_{1,W}} = 2f$. Moreover, for an α -fiber D_W , the intersection $D_W \cap E_{1,W}$ is the (-2) -curve of the fiber $D_W \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$. Hence $\beta|_{E_{1,W}}: E_{1,W} \rightarrow S$ is birational and hence isomorphic since S is a Hirzebruch surface. \square

Let \mathcal{E} be a rank 2 bundle \mathcal{E} on $S \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$ such that $\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E}) = W$. Since $-K_W$ is divisible by 2, we may assume that $\det \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(-K_{\mathbb{F}_2})$. In this setting, \mathcal{E} is nef since W is weak Fano. As we have seen in Claim 6.22, $\beta: W = \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow S \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$ has a section $E_{1,W}$ satisfying $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}|_{E_{1,W}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(f)$. Hence the nef bundle \mathcal{E} fits into the exact sequence (6.1). Then we have $W = \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{1,1,1}$ by the definition of $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{1,1,1}$.

Step 5. Let $\psi_W: W \rightarrow \overline{W}$ be the morphism to the anti-canonical model $\overline{W} = \mathbb{P}^{1,1,1}$ of $W = \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{1,1,1}$. For $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, if $E_{i,W}$ denotes the proper transform of E_i , then $\text{Exc}(\psi_W) = E_{1,W} \cup E_{2,W}$. Moreover, $\psi(E_{1,W}) = \psi(E_{2,W})$ and $\psi(\text{Exc}(\psi_W))$ is one smooth rational curve $\overline{C} \subset \overline{W}$. Set $w = \mu(\text{Exc}(\mu))$. Then Claim 6.21 (3) implies that $\overline{w} := \psi_W(w)$ is also a smooth point of \overline{W} . Setting $V^+ := \text{Bl}_{\overline{w}} \overline{W}$, we have a birational map $\chi_V: V \dashrightarrow V^+$ by composing birational maps in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 M^{+++} & \xleftarrow{\chi_3} & M^{++} & \xleftarrow{\chi_2} & M^+ & \xleftarrow{\chi_1} & M \\
 \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_M & & \downarrow \sigma \\
 W & & V^+ & \xleftarrow{\chi_V} & V = \text{Bl}_{\Sigma} \mathbb{P}^3 & & \mathbb{P}^3 \\
 \downarrow \psi_W & & \downarrow \mu_V & & \downarrow \sigma_V & & \\
 \overline{W} & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Then χ_V is an isomorphism in codimension 1 and not isomorphic since they have different extremal contractions. Since $M^{+++} \rightarrow V^+$ and $M \rightarrow V$ are crepant contractions, the anti-canonical model of V coincides with that of V^+ . Hence χ_V is the flop. We complete a proof of Proposition 6.14 (3). \square

6.6.5. *Proof of Proposition 6.15 (3).* Let $\beta: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{E}) = \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{1,1,1} = W \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 = S$ be the projection, L, F the pull-back of h, f respectively and ξ the tautological divisor of β . Then $E_1 \in |\xi - (2L - F)|$, $E_2 \in |L - 2F|$ and $\text{Exc}(\psi_W) = E_{1,W} \cup E_{2,W}$ hold. From the construction, we have the following linear equivalence by a direct

calculation:

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{cases} \chi_*^{-1}\tau^*F = H - (E_1 + 2E_2 + 2E_3), \\ \chi_*^{-1}\tau^*(\xi - (2L - F)) = E_1, \quad \chi_*^{-1}\tau^*(L - 2F) = E_2, \\ \chi_*^{-1}\text{Exc}(\tau) = P = H - (E_1 + 2E_2 + 3E_3) \text{ and} \\ \chi_*^{-1}\tau^*\xi = H + 2P = 3H - (2E_1 + 4E_2 + 6E_3). \end{cases}$$

If we set $\tilde{X} := \psi_{W*}^{-1}X$, then there exists $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$\tilde{X} \sim \xi - (aE_1 + bE_2) = (1-a)\xi + (2a-b)L + (2b-a)F.$$

Since \tilde{X} is a prime divisor, we have $a \leq 1$. If $a = 1$, then X is non-normal. Moreover, $\tilde{X} \sim (2-b)L + (2b-1)F$ implies $b = 1$ since \tilde{X} is a prime divisor. If $a = 0$, then $\tilde{X} \in \xi - b(L - 2F)$ and hence $b = 0$ or 1 . Thus we have $(a, b) \in \{(1, 1), (0, 1), (0, 0)\}$.

Claim 6.23. *It does not occur that $(a, b) = (0, 1)$.*

Proof. In this case, $\tilde{X} \sim \xi - (L - 2F)$. Let $s: \mathcal{O}(h - 2f) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be the section corresponding \tilde{X} . Then Lemma 6.9 gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(h - 2f) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(h + 2f) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 2$, Z must be empty. Then we have $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}(h)^2$ since \mathcal{E} is nef, which is a contradiction to (6.1). \square

Case 1; $a = b = 1$.

Since $\tilde{X} \in |L + F|$, $C := \beta(\tilde{X})$ is an irreducible member of $|h + f|$ and hence is smooth. The sequence (6.1) gives $\mathcal{E}|_C = \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(5)$. Therefore, we have $\tilde{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}|_C) = \mathbb{F}_4$. $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is nothing but the normalization of X . Hence X is of type (n4). By (6.11), we obtain

$$\chi_*^{-1}\tilde{X} \sim \chi_*^{-1}(\tau^*(L + F) - P^{+++}) \sim 2H - (2E_1 + 3E_2 + 3E_3).$$

Hence Q is singular and contains p_1 as its vertex.

Case 2; $a = b = 0$.

Let $s: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be the corresponding section to $\tilde{X} \in |\xi|$. Applying Lemma 6.9, we obtain an exact sequence

$$(6.12) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(2h) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 2$, we obtain $\text{length}(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 2$. Noting that $-K_{\tilde{X}}$ is nef and big, we have $Z \cap C_0 = \emptyset$. By tensoring the sequence (6.12) with $\mathcal{O}(-2h + f)$, we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_Z(f)) = 1$ from the exact sequence (6.1). Therefore, Z is contained in a fiber l of $p: \mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

When Z consists of two points q_1, q_2 , taking the elementary transformation of \mathbb{F}_2 with center q_1 , we can see that \tilde{X} is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at non-colinear curvilinear infinitely near 3 points. Hence X is type of (2,1).

If Z is not reduced, then by taking an elementary transformation of \mathbb{F}_2 with center $q_1 := Z_{\text{red}}$, the minimal resolution of \tilde{X} is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at colinear curvilinear infinitely near 3 points. Hence X is type of (1,1).

For each case, we obtain

$$\chi_*^{-1}\tilde{X} \sim \chi_*^{-1}(\tau^*\xi - P^{+++}) \sim 2H - (E_1 + 2E_2 + 3E_3).$$

by (6.11). Hence Q contains p_1 as a smooth point.

We complete the proof of Propositions 6.14 and 6.15. \square

7. PROOF OF THEOREM F

Let us show Theorem F by presenting explicit examples of sextic del Pezzo fibrations which contains singular fibers of type $(2, j)$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$ (see Example 7.4), $(1, j)$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$ (see Example 7.5), (n2) and (n4) (see Example 7.6).

Step 1. We fix the following submanifolds in \mathbb{P}^4 :

$$\overline{T} \subset \mathbb{Q}^2 \subset \mathbb{Q}^3 \subset \mathbb{P}^4,$$

where \mathbb{Q}^3 is a smooth quadric 3-fold, $\mathbb{Q}^2 \subset \mathbb{Q}^3$ is a smooth hyperplane section and $\overline{T} \subset \mathbb{Q}^2$ is a twisted cubic curve.

For a smooth conic $C \subset \mathbb{Q}^3$ with $\overline{T} \cap C = \emptyset$, let $\tau: Q := \text{Bl}_C \mathbb{Q}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^3$ be the blow-up along C and $T := \tau_*^{-1} \overline{T}$. Then there exists a quadric fibration $q: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ given by the linear system of hyperplane sections of \mathbb{Q}^3 containing C . Note that $q|_T: T \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a triple covering.

Step 2.

Claim 7.1. *There exists a sextic del Pezzo fibration $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with a section s such that $(q: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, T)$ is the relative double projection of $(\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, s)$. Moreover, X is a weak Fano 3-fold with $(-K_X)^3 = 22$ and $-K_X \cdot s = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{Q} := \text{Bl}_T Q \rightarrow Q$ be the blow-up. Set $H = \sigma^* \tau^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^3}(1)$, $E = \text{Exc}(\sigma)$ and $G = \sigma_*^{-1} \text{Exc}(\tau)$. Note that $-K_{\tilde{Q}} = 3H - E - G$ is free since $H - G$ and $2H - E$ are free. Using [11, Proposition 3.5], which is an inverse transformation of Proposition 2.1, we obtain a sextic del Pezzo fibration $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and a φ -section s satisfying $(-K_X)^3 = 22$ and $-K_X \cdot s = 0$. Since \tilde{Q} is weak Fano, X is also weak Fano by [ibid, Proposition 3.5]. \square

Remark 7.2. Let (B, T) be the coverings associated to this sextic del Pezzo fibration. Since $(-K_X)^3 = 22$, Theorem A implies that $B \simeq T \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is ramified over exactly two points and hence $Q \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ has exactly two singular fibers by Lemma 3.1 (1).

We prove Theorem F by showing that for any condition in Theorem 6.5, there exists a suitable smooth conic C and a point $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$ such that the pair (Q_t, T_t) satisfies the condition.

Step 3. For a point $p \in \mathbb{Q}^3$, let $\mathbb{T}_p \mathbb{Q}^3$ denote the projective tangent space of \mathbb{Q}^3 at p . For two points $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^3$, we set

$$C(v_1, v_2) := \mathbb{Q}^3 \cap \mathbb{T}_{v_1} \mathbb{Q}^3 \cap \mathbb{T}_{v_2} \mathbb{Q}^3.$$

Claim 7.3. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{Q}^3$ be a smooth conic and $\langle C \rangle \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be the linear span of C . Then there exists exactly two points $v_1(C), v_2(C)$ of \mathbb{Q}^3 such that $C(v_1(C), v_2(C)) = C$.*

Moreover, there exists the following one-to-one correspondence:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{ \{v_1, v_2\} \subset \mathbb{Q}^3 \mid C(v_1, v_2) \text{ is smooth} \} & \longleftrightarrow & \{ \text{smooth conics in } \mathbb{Q}^3 \} \\ \downarrow \cup & & \downarrow \cup \\ \{v_1, v_2\} & \longmapsto & C(v_1, v_2) \\ \{v_1(C), v_2(C)\} & \longleftarrow & C. \end{array}$$

Proof. $q: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ has exactly two singular fibers $Q_{1,C}$ and $Q_{2,C}$ as we saw in Remark 7.2. Then $Q_i := \tau(Q_{i,C}) \subset \mathbb{Q}^3$ are singular quadric cones. Set $v_i(C) = \sigma(\text{Sing } Q_i)$. Then a hyperplane H in \mathbb{P}^4 containing $\langle C \rangle$ tangents \mathbb{Q}^3 if and only if $H \cap \mathbb{Q}^3 = Q_i$ and $H = \mathbb{T}_{v_i(C)} \mathbb{Q}^3$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Therefore, we have $\mathbb{T}_{v_1(C)} \mathbb{Q}^3 \cap \mathbb{T}_{v_2(C)} \mathbb{Q}^3 = \langle C \rangle$.

Let us confirm the one-to-one correspondence. Take two points $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^3$ such that $C(v_1, v_2)$ is smooth conic. Then $\{v_1(C(v_1, v_2)), v_2(C(v_1, v_2))\}$ is the set

of vertices of the cones $\{\mathbb{T}_{v_1}\mathbb{Q}^3 \cap \mathbb{Q}^3, \mathbb{T}_{v_2}\mathbb{Q}^3 \cap \mathbb{Q}^3\}$, which is nothing but $\{v_1, v_2\}$. Hence we are done. \square

Step 4. We finish the proof by presenting suitable examples as follows.

Example 7.4 (Singular fiber of type $(2, j)$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$). Fix $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We can take a smooth conic $C \subset \mathbb{Q}^2$ such that $\#(C \cap \overline{T})_{\text{red}} = j$. Let Q_1 be a smooth hyperplane section of \mathbb{Q}^3 such that $C = Q_1 \cap \mathbb{Q}^2$. Then we have $Q_1 \cap \overline{T} = C \cap \overline{T}$. Let $Q_2 \subset \mathbb{Q}^3$ be a general hyperplane section such that $Q_2 \cap Q_1 \cap \overline{T} = \emptyset$. The pencil $\Lambda = \{\lambda Q_1 + \mu Q_2 \mid [\lambda : \mu]\}$ induces a quadric fibration $q: \text{Bl}_{Q_1 \cap Q_2} \mathbb{Q}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $f: \text{Bl}_{Q_1 \cap Q_2} \mathbb{Q}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^3$ be the blow-up. If we set $\widetilde{Q}_i := f_*^{-1}Q_i$, then \widetilde{Q}_i is a q -fiber. Then we obtain $T \cap \widetilde{Q}_1 \simeq \overline{T} \cap C$ since $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \overline{T} = \emptyset$. Set $t = q(\widetilde{Q}_1)$. Seeing the fiber $(Q_t, \overline{T}_t) = (\widetilde{Q}_1, T \cap \widetilde{Q}_1)$ and using Theorem 6.5, we obtain an example of a sextic del Pezzo fibration having fibers of type $(2, j)$.

Example 7.5 (Singular fiber of type $(1, j)$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$). Fix $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We can take a smooth conic $C \subset \mathbb{Q}^2$ such that $\#(C \cap \overline{T})_{\text{red}} = j$. By Claim 7.3, we can take a point $v_1, v' \in \mathbb{Q}^3$ such that $C = C(v_1, v')$. Then we have $\mathbb{T}_{v_1}\mathbb{Q}^3 \cap \mathbb{Q}^2 = C$.

Let $v_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^3$ be a point of \mathbb{Q}^3 such that $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \not\subset \mathbb{Q}^3$ and $\mathbb{T}_{v_2}\mathbb{Q}^3 \cap C \cap \overline{T} = \emptyset$. Then $C(v_1, v_2)$ is smooth and $C(v_1, v_2) \cap \overline{T} = \emptyset$. Set $Q_i = \mathbb{T}_{v_i}\mathbb{Q}^3 \cap \mathbb{Q}^3$ and $\widetilde{Q}_i \subset \text{Bl}_{C(v_1, v_2)} \mathbb{Q}^3$ be the proper transform of Q_i for $i = 1, 2$. Then by Claim 7.3, $\widetilde{Q}_1, \widetilde{Q}_2$ are the singular fibers of the quadric fibration $\text{Bl}_{C(v_1, v_2)} \mathbb{Q}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Recall that $Q_1 \cap \mathbb{Q}^2 = C$ is a smooth conic. Hence $Q_1 \cap \mathbb{Q}^2$ does not contain the vertex of Q_1 and hence we have $C \cap \overline{T} \simeq \widetilde{Q}_1 \cap T$. Therefore, T does not pass through the vertex of Q_1 . Set $t = q(\widetilde{Q}_1)$. Seeing the fiber $(Q_t, \overline{T}_t) = (\widetilde{Q}_1, T \cap \widetilde{Q}_1)$ and using Theorem 6.5, we obtain an example of a sextic del Pezzo fibration having fibers of type $(1, j)$.

Example 7.6 (Singular fibers of type (n2) and (n4)). We fix an isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}^2 \simeq \mathbb{P}_a^1 \times \mathbb{P}_b^1$ and let l_a and l_b be the two rulings. We may assume that $\overline{T} \in |l_a + 2l_b|$. Let $g_a: \overline{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_a^1$ be the restriction of the first projection to \overline{T} . Take a point $p_1 \in \overline{T}$. Then we have $\mathbb{T}_{p_1}\mathbb{Q}^2 = l_a + l_b$ and hence $\mathbb{T}_{p_1}\mathbb{Q}^3 \cap \overline{T} = \mathbb{T}_{p_1}\mathbb{Q}^3 \cap \mathbb{Q}^2 \cap \overline{T} = (l_a + l_b) \cap \overline{T} = p_1 + g_a^{-1}(g_a(p_1))$ as effective Cartier divisors on \overline{T} .

Let $p_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^3$ be a general point such that $C(p_1, p_2)$ is smooth conic. Let $Q_i := \mathbb{T}_{p_i}\mathbb{Q}^3 \cap \mathbb{Q}^3$, $q: Q = \text{Bl}_C \mathbb{Q}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ the quadric fibration and \widetilde{Q}_i the proper transform of Q_i . Then \widetilde{Q}_1 is a singular q -fiber with the vertex p_1 and $\widetilde{Q}_1 \cap T \simeq \overline{T} \cap (l_a + l_b) = p_1 + g_a^{-1}(g_a(p_1))$.

If p_1 is a unramified (resp. ramified) point of $\overline{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_a^1$, then we obtain an example of a sextic del Pezzo fibration having fibers of type (n2) (resp. (n4)) by seeing the fiber $(\widetilde{Q}_1, T \cap \widetilde{Q}_1)$ and using Theorem 6.5.

We complete the proof of Theorem F. \square

8. RELATED RESULT FOR DU VAL FAMILIES OF SEXTIC DEL PEZZO SURFACES

Since a paper [2] came out, families of sextic del Pezzo have been studied more actively from various interests, e.g., cubic 4-folds and their rationality, Hodge theory and derived categories. We devote this section to mention some related results about Du Val families of sextic del Pezzo surfaces, which are defined as follows.

Definition 8.1 ([21, Definition 5.1]). A morphism $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ between schemes over \mathbb{C} is said to be a *Du Val family of sextic del Pezzo surfaces* if f is a flat projective morphism and every geometric fiber of f is a sextic del Pezzo surface with only Du Val singularities.

Remark 8.2. Note that we do not impose the condition $\rho(\mathcal{X}/S) = 1$ on a Du Val family of sextic del Pezzo surfaces. A sextic del Pezzo fibration in our sense is not necessarily a Du Val family of sextic del pezzo surfaces since it may have non-normal fiber by Theorem F.

8.3. Addington, Hassett, Tschinkel and Várilly-Alvarado [2] treated Du Val family of sextic del Pezzo surfaces $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ such that \mathcal{X}, S are smooth and which is good in the sense of [2, Definition 11]. In this case, they constructed a double cover $Y \rightarrow S$, a triple cover $Z \rightarrow S$, a Brauer class on Y , and that on Z corresponding to f . In the paper [2], they mainly studied about the case when \mathcal{X} is the blow-up of a cubic 4-fold along a certain elliptic scroll of degree 6. In this case, the corresponding double covering $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is branched along a sextic curve and Y is a smooth K3 surface. They expect that this degree 2 smooth K3 surface with the Brauer class is obtained by using Hodge theory [2, Proposition 10 and Remark 18].

8.4. After that, for any Du Val family of sextic del Pezzo surfaces $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$, Kuznetsov [21] constructed two finite flat morphisms $\mathcal{L}_2 \rightarrow S$ and $\mathcal{L}_3 \rightarrow S$ of degrees 3 and 2 respectively and a semiorthogonal decomposition $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X}) = \langle \mathbf{D}(S), \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{L}_2, \beta_{\mathcal{L}_2}), \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{L}_3, \beta_{\mathcal{L}_3}) \rangle$, where $\beta_{\mathcal{L}_2}$ (resp. $\beta_{\mathcal{L}_3}$) is a Brauer class on \mathcal{L}_2 (resp. a Brauer class on \mathcal{L}_3) [21, Theorem 5.2]. These coverings with Brauer classes are generalization of that of [2].

From the viewpoint of the following lemma, our associated coverings (φ_B, φ_T) associated to a sextic del Pezzo fibration φ are generalization of coverings $(\mathcal{L}_3 \rightarrow C, \mathcal{L}_2 \rightarrow C)$.

Proposition 8.5. *Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ be a sextic del Pezzo fibration such that every φ -fiber is normal. Then the two finite flat morphisms $\mathcal{L}_2 \rightarrow C$ and $\mathcal{L}_3 \rightarrow C$ due to [21] are $\varphi_T: T \rightarrow C$ and $\varphi_B: B \rightarrow C$ in Definition 3.5 respectively.*

Proof. We use the same notation as in Lemma 3.4. By [21, Propositions 5.12 and 5.14], $\mathrm{Hilb}_{dt+1}(X/C) \rightarrow C$ factors through \mathcal{L}_d as the Stein factorization and \mathcal{L}_d is non-singular. Thus Lemma 3.4 implies this assertion. \square

8.6. Let $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ be a Du Val family of sextic del Pezzo surfaces and suppose that \mathcal{X} and S are smooth. Recently, assuming some conditions on the discriminant of f , Addington, Auel, Bernardara and Faenzi constructed a $(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$ -fibration $f_{\mathcal{Y}}: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow S$ with smooth \mathcal{Y} such that \mathcal{Y} contains \mathcal{X} as a relative linear section. More precisely, they supposed that f is good in the sense of [2, Definition 11] and $\{t \in S \mid \mathcal{X}_t \text{ is of type } (1, j) \text{ for some } j\}$ is a smooth divisor on S .

This statement is close to Theorem C. However, the result does not implies Theorem C and not conversely.

It should be emphasized that our method are totally different from that of them. Our results have mutually complementary relationships with the above results.

APPENDIX A. RELATIVE UNIVERSAL EXTENSION OF SHEAVES

This appendix is devoted to prove Theorem 3.11.

A.1. Relative Ext-sheaf. First, we recall the notion of relative Ext sheaves and organize some basic properties. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism between noetherian schemes X and Y . Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} be coherent sheaves on X and \mathcal{E} a coherent sheaf on Y .

Definition A.1 (cf. [16, 5]). We denote the i -th cohomology of right derived functor of $f_* \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, -)$ as $\mathcal{E}xt_f^i(\mathcal{F}, -)$. We call this sheaf $\mathcal{E}xt_f^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ the *relative Ext sheaf*.

Remark A.2. (1) $\mathcal{E}xt_f^i(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{G}) = R^i f_* \mathcal{G}$ by the definition.

(2) Composing natural canonical morphisms

$$f_* \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow f_* (\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}),$$

we obtain a natural morphism $\mathcal{E}xt_f^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_f^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \otimes \mathcal{E}$. By the projection formula, this is an isomorphism if \mathcal{E} is locally free.

Remark A.3. Consider the following three spectral sequences:

$$(A.1) \quad R^i f_* \mathcal{E}xt^j(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_f^{i+j}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}),$$

$$(A.2) \quad H^i(Y, \mathcal{E}xt_f^j(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E})) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}^{i+j}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}) \text{ and}$$

$$(A.3) \quad H^i(X, \mathcal{E}xt^j(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E})) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}^{i+j}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}).$$

These spectral sequence give three exact sequences as follows:

$$(A.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow R^1 f_* \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} f_* \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}) \\ \rightarrow R^2 f_* \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_f^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}),$$

$$(A.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(Y, f_* \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E})) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\beta'} H^0(Y, \mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E})) \\ \rightarrow H^2(Y, f_* \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E})) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}) \text{ and}$$

$$(A.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E})) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\gamma'} H^0(X, \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E})) \\ \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E})) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}).$$

Then we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \xrightarrow{\gamma'} & & \\ \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\beta'} & H^0(Y, \mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E})) & \xrightarrow{H^0(\alpha)} & H^0(Y, f_* \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E})) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & H^0(Y, \mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \otimes \mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(Y, f_* \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \otimes \mathcal{E}) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}^\vee, \mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})) & \xrightarrow{\alpha \circ -} & \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}^\vee, f_* \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})) \\ & & & & \text{adjoint} \downarrow \simeq \\ & & & & \text{Hom}(f^* \mathcal{E}^\vee, \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})) \end{array}$$

Note that all vertical arrows are isomorphic when \mathcal{E} is locally free.

Let $\tilde{\beta}, \beta, \gamma$ denote the composition of morphisms in the above diagram as follows:

$$(A.7) \quad \tilde{\beta}: \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \otimes \mathcal{E})$$

$$(A.8) \quad \beta: \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}^\vee, \mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}))$$

$$(A.9) \quad \gamma: \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(f^* \mathcal{E}^\vee, \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}))$$

For an element $t \in \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E})$, it is easy to verify that the composite morphism

$$(A.10) \quad f^* \mathcal{E}^\vee \xrightarrow{f^* \beta(t)} f^* \mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{f^* \alpha} f^* f_* \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$$

is nothing but $\gamma(t)$, where $\varepsilon: f^* f_* \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is the natural morphism.

Lemma A.4. *Assume that X is regular, \mathcal{E} is locally free, \mathcal{G} is locally free and \mathcal{F} satisfies $\text{hd}(\mathcal{F}_x) \leq 1$ for every $x \in X$. Let $t \in \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E})$ be an arbitrary element and \mathcal{H}_t the extension corresponding to t :*

$$(A.11) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

If $\gamma(t)$ is surjective, then \mathcal{H}_t is locally free.

Proof. \mathcal{H}_t is locally free if and only if $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{H}_t, \mathcal{G}) = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$ since \mathcal{G} is locally free and X is regular. By taking $\mathcal{H}om(-, \mathcal{G})$ of the sequence (A.11), we obtain an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{H}_t, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G} \otimes f^*\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{G}) \\ \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{H}_t, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{hd}(\mathcal{F}_x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in X$, we have $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{H}_t, \mathcal{G}) = 0$ for any $i \geq 2$. Therefore, \mathcal{H}_t is locally free if and only if δ is surjective. Let $\tau: f^*\mathcal{E}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G} \otimes f^*\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{G})$ be the natural map which comes from the morphism $\mathcal{O} \ni 1 \mapsto \text{id} \in \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})$. By the definition of $\gamma(t)$, we obtain $\delta \circ \tau = \gamma(t)$. If $\gamma(t)$ is surjective, then so is δ , which completes the proof. \square

A.2. Relative universal extensions of sheaves. Next we introduce a notion of f -universal extension. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism between noetherian schemes X, Y and \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} coherent sheaves on X .

Definition A.5. Put $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})^\vee$. Consider the composition

$$(A.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau: \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^*\mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})^\vee) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\beta}} H^0(Y, \mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \otimes \mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})^\vee) \\ \xrightarrow{\theta} H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\beta}$ is the morphism defined in (A.7) and θ is the natural morphism. We say that an element $t \in \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^*\mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})^\vee)$ is *universal* if $\tau(t) = 1$. If t is universal, then we say that the corresponding extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \otimes f^*\mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

is an f -*universal extension* of \mathcal{F} by \mathcal{G} . If $Y = \text{Spec } k$ for some field k , we just say that \mathcal{H}_t is a *universal extension* of \mathcal{F} by \mathcal{G} .

The following lemma is a criterion for the existence of a locally free f -universal extension of \mathcal{F} by \mathcal{G} .

Lemma A.6. *Suppose the following conditions:*

- (1) X is regular, \mathcal{G} is locally free and $\text{hd}(\mathcal{F}_x) \leq 1$ for any $x \in X$.
- (2) $\varepsilon \circ f^*\alpha: f^*\mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is surjective (See (A.10)).
- (3) $\mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is locally free.
- (4) $H^2(Y, f_*\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \otimes \mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})^\vee) = 0$.

Then there exists a locally free f -universal extension \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{F} by \mathcal{G} .

Proof. By (3), the morphism θ in (A.12) is surjective. By (4) and the exact sequence (A.5), the morphism $\tilde{\beta}$ in (A.7) is surjective. Therefore, the map τ in (A.12) is surjective and hence there exists an f -universal extension \mathcal{H} . By (1), (2) and Lemma A.4, this \mathcal{H} is locally free. \square

Lemma A.7. *Let X be a proper variety over a field and \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X . Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on X . Suppose that there exists a universal extension of \mathcal{F} by \mathcal{L} :*

$$(A.13) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

If there are no surjections $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, then there are no surjections $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$.

Proof. To obtain a contradiction, assume that there are no surjections $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ and there is a surjection $a: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$. We consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})^\vee & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow a & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{L} & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where b is the composition of the morphisms $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and a . If $b = 0$, then there exists a surjection $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ by the snake lemma, which is contradiction and hence $b \neq 0$. Since X is a proper variety and \mathcal{L} is invertible, b is surjection and splits. Let V be the linear subspace of $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})^\vee$ such that $\mathrm{Ker} b = \mathcal{L} \otimes V$. Then we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes V \rightarrow \mathrm{Ker} a \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

and the exact sequence (A.13) is the push-forward of this exact sequence, which implies that the identity morphism $\mathrm{id}: \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$ factors through V , which is a contradiction. Hence we are done. \square

A.3. Proof of Theorem 3.11. In order to prove Theorem 3.11, we show the following lemma, which was essentially proved in [31, (6.2.2)]. The author gives the proof for reader's convenience.

Proposition A.8 ([31, (6.2.2)]). *Let Y and Z be noetherian schemes and $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ be a non-isomorphic surjective finite flat morphism. Set $\mathcal{E} = \mathrm{Cok}(\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow g_*\mathcal{O}_Z)$ and let $a: g_*\mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be the natural surjective homomorphism. Let $(g_*\mathcal{O}_Z)^\vee \simeq g_*\omega_g$ be the isomorphism by the duality of the finite flat morphism g . Let $e: g^*g_*\omega_g \rightarrow \omega_g$ be the natural morphism. Then the composition $c := e \circ g^*a^\vee: g^*\mathcal{E}^\vee \rightarrow \omega_g$ is surjective.*

Proof. Note that \mathcal{E} is locally free. Let $\mathrm{Spec} A \simeq U \subset Y$ be an affine open subset and $V := g^{-1}(U) = \mathrm{Spec} B$. Consider the corresponding injective homomorphism $A \rightarrow B$. If we set $E = B/A$, then $E \simeq \Gamma(U, \mathcal{E})$ and we may assume that E is a free A -module by shrinking U . If we set $\deg f|_Z = n+1$, then there exists $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$ such that $E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A \cdot b_i$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(b_i) = 0$ for any i , where $\mathrm{Tr}: B \rightarrow A$ denotes the trace map.

Set $\omega_{B/A} := \Gamma((f|_Z)^{-1}(U), \omega_g)$. Then $\omega_{B/A} \simeq_B (\mathrm{Hom}_A(B, A))$ as B -modules by the duality of a finite flat morphism $\mathrm{Spec} B \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} A$. Note that $\mathrm{Hom}_A(B, A)$ has the natural B -module structure that is defined by

$$(b.f)(x) := f(bx) \text{ for } f \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(B, A), b \in B \text{ and } x \in B.$$

Then the map $B \ni b \mapsto (x \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}(b.x)) \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(B, A)$ is a B -module isomorphism. Based on this setting, we identify the morphism $c|_V$ with

$$c_B: \mathrm{Hom}_A(E, A) \otimes_A B \ni (f \otimes b) \mapsto b.f \in_B (\mathrm{Hom}_A(B, A)).$$

It suffices to show the surjectivity of c_B . Let $1^\vee, b_1^\vee, \dots, b_n^\vee \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(B, A)$ be the dual elements of $1, b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$ respectively. If $n = 1$, then we have $c_B(b_1^\vee \otimes (b_1 - b_1^\vee(b_1^2))) = 1^\vee$ and hence c_B is surjective since ${}_B(\mathrm{Hom}_A(B, A))$ is generated by 1^\vee as a B -module. If $n \geq 2$, then it holds that

$$c_B \left(b_1^\vee \otimes (b_1 - b_1^\vee(b_1^2)) + \sum_{i=2}^n b_i^\vee \otimes (-b_1^\vee(b_1 b_i)) \right) = 1^\vee.$$

Therefore, c_B is surjective for any $n \geq 1$. We complete the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.11. Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_Z \xrightarrow{a} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$. Then there exists an injection $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow R^1 f_*\mathcal{I}_Z$ by seeing the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow$

$\mathcal{I}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow 0$. Since Z is Cohen-Macaulay, $f|_Z$ is flat. We fix the isomorphism $(f_*\mathcal{O}_Z)^\vee \simeq f_*\omega_{f|_Z}$ which comes from the duality of the finite flat morphism $f|_Z$. Then Lemma A.8 gives that the composition $\tilde{c}: f^*\mathcal{E}^\vee \xrightarrow{f^*a^\vee} f^*(f_*\mathcal{O}_Z)^\vee \simeq f^*(f_*\omega_{f|_Z}) \xrightarrow{e} \omega_{f|_Z}$ is surjective, where e is the natural morphism. Since every fiber of f and $f|_Z$ is Cohen-Macaulay, [16, Theorem (21)] gives the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & & 0 & & \\
& & & & \searrow & & \\
& & & & \mathcal{E}^\vee & & \\
& & & \uparrow & \searrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X)^\vee & \longrightarrow & (R^1 f_* \mathcal{I}_Z)^\vee & \longrightarrow & (f_* \mathcal{O}_Z)^\vee \longrightarrow (f_* \mathcal{O}_X)^\vee \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \simeq \uparrow & & \simeq \uparrow & & \simeq \uparrow & & \simeq \uparrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_f) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{I}_Z, \omega_f) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}xt_f^2(\mathcal{O}_Z, \omega_f) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}xt_f^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_f).
\end{array}$$

Noting that $\mathcal{E}xt_f^i(\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_f) = R^i f_* \omega_f$, we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 f_* \omega_f \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{I}_Z, \omega_f) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{E}^\vee \rightarrow 0.$$

Claim A.9. (1) – (4) in Lemma A.6 hold for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{I}_Z$ and $\mathcal{G} = \omega_f$.

Proof. (1) and (4) hold by the assumption. Since $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X$ is locally free and hence so are $R^1 f_* \mathcal{I}_Z$ and $\mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{I}_Z, \omega_f)$, (3) also holds. The item (2) holds since $\tilde{c} \circ f^* \varphi: f^* \mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{I}_Z, \omega_f) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{I}_Z, \omega_f) \simeq \omega_{f|_Z}$ coincides with $\varepsilon \circ f^* \alpha$ (See (A.10)) and \tilde{c} and $f^* \varphi$ are surjective. \square

Therefore, we obtain an f -universal extension \mathcal{H} which is locally free:

$$(A.14) \quad 0 \rightarrow \omega_f \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{I}_Z, \omega_f)^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z \rightarrow 0.$$

Claim A.10. For any $y \in Y$, $\mathcal{H}|_{X_y}$ is a universal extension of $\mathcal{I}_{Z \cap X_y}$ by ω_{X_y} .

Proof. Since f and $f|_Z$ is flat, the restriction of the exact sequence (A.14) to X_y is also exact for every $y \in Y$:

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{X_y} \otimes (\mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{I}_Z, \omega_f)^\vee \otimes k(y)) \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}|_{X_y} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z \cap X_y} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{I}_{Z \cap X_y}, \omega_{X_y}) = H^0(X_y, \mathcal{I}_{Z \cap X_y}) = 0$ holds, the natural morphism $\mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{I}_Z, \omega_f) \otimes k(y) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z \cap X_y}, \omega_{X_y})$ is isomorphism by [5, Satz 3]. Hence the extension on X_y is also universal, which is the assertion. \square

Tensoring the sequence (A.14) with ω_X^{-1} and identifying $\mathcal{E}xt_f^1(\mathcal{I}_Z, \omega_f)$ with $(R^1 f_* \mathcal{I}_Z)^\vee$, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f^*((R^1 f_* \mathcal{I}_Z)(-K_Y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(-K_X) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then \mathcal{F} is an f -universal extension of $\mathcal{I}_Z(-K_X)$ by \mathcal{O}_X . Claim A.10 gives that $\mathcal{F}|_{X_y}$ is a universal extension of $\mathcal{I}_{Z \cap X_y}(-K_{X_y})$ by \mathcal{O}_{X_y} for every y . Since there are no surjections from $\mathcal{I}_{Z \cap X_y}(-K_{X_y})$ to \mathcal{O}_{X_y} , the property (2) follows from Lemma A.7, which completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Makoto Abe and Mikio Furushima, *On non-normal del Pezzo surfaces*, Math. Nachr. **260** (2003), 3–13.
- [2] Nicolas Addington, Brendan Hassett, Yuri Tschinkel, and Anthony Várilly-Alvarado, *Cubic fourfolds fibered in sextic del pezzo surfaces*, arXiv:1606.05321v3 (2016).
- [3] Tetsuya Ando, *On extremal rays of the higher-dimensional varieties*, Invent. Math. **81** (1985), no. 2, 347–357.
- [4] Asher Auel, Marcello Bernardara, and Michele Bolognesi, *Fibrations in complete intersections of quadrics, Clifford algebras, derived categories, and rationality problems*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **102** (2014), no. 1, 249–291.
- [5] C. Bănică, M. Putinar, and G. Schumacher, *Variation der globalen Ext in Deformationen kompakter komplexer Räume*, Math. Ann. **250** (1980), no. 2, 135–155.
- [6] C. Herbert Clemens and Phillip A. Griffiths, *The intermediate Jacobian of the cubic threefold*, Ann. of Math. (2) **95** (1972), 281–356.
- [7] D. F. Coray and M. A. Tsfasman, *Arithmetic on singular Del Pezzo surfaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **57** (1988), no. 1, 25–87.
- [8] Harry D’Souza, *Threefolds whose hyperplane sections are elliptic surfaces*, Pacific J. Math. **134** (1988), no. 1, 57–78.
- [9] Takao Fujita, *Projective varieties of Δ -genus one*, Algebraic and topological theories (Kinoshita, 1984), Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1986, pp. 149–175.
- [10] ———, *On del Pezzo fibrations over curves*, Osaka J. Math. **27** (1990), no. 2, 229–245.
- [11] Takeru Fukuoka, *On the existence of almost Fano threefolds with del Pezzo fibrations*, Math. Nachr. **290** (2017), no. 8-9, 1281–1302.
- [12] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [13] Fumio Hidaka and Keiichi Watanabe, *Normal Gorenstein surfaces with ample anti-canonical divisor*, Tokyo J. Math. **4** (1981), no. 2, 319–330.
- [14] V. A. Iskovskih and Yu. G. Prokhorov, *Fano varieties*, Algebraic geometry, V, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 47, Springer, Berlin, 1999, pp. 1–247.
- [15] M. M. Kapranov, *On the derived categories of coherent sheaves on some homogeneous spaces*, Invent. Math. **92** (1988), no. 3, 479–508.
- [16] Steven L. Kleiman, *Relative duality for quasicoherent sheaves*, Compositio Math. **41** (1980), no. 1, 39–60.
- [17] János Kollár, *Flops*, Nagoya Math. J. **113** (1989), 15–36.
- [18] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori, *Classification of three-dimensional flips*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1992), no. 3, 533–703.
- [19] ———, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998, With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original.
- [20] Alexander Kuznetsov, *Scheme of lines on a family of 2-dimensional quadrics: geometry and derived category*, Math. Z. **276** (2014), no. 3-4, 655–672.
- [21] ———, *Derived categories of families of sextic del pezzo surfaces*, arXiv:1708.00522 (2017).
- [22] Zhiyuan Li and Zhiyu Tian, *Integral Hodge classes on fourfolds fibered by quadric bundles*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (2016), no. 8, 3333–3345.
- [23] Ju. I. Manin, *Rational surfaces over perfect fields*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1966), no. 30, 55–113.
- [24] Shigefumi Mori, *Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective*, Ann. of Math. (2) **116** (1982), no. 1, 133–176.
- [25] Shigefumi Mori and Shigeru Mukai, *Classification of Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$* , Manuscripta Math. **36** (1981/82), no. 2, 147–162.
- [26] Shigeru Mukai, *Degeneration of $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n$* , A talk at Kyoto in 1996. Personal notes taken by Hiromichi Takagi.
- [27] Masahiro Ohno, *Nef vector bundles on a projective space or a hyperquadric with the first Chern class small*, arXiv:1409.4191v3 (2014).
- [28] ———, *Nef vector bundles on a projective space with first chern class three*, arXiv:1604.05847v7 (2016).
- [29] Giorgio Ottaviani, *Spinor bundles on quadrics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **307** (1988), no. 1, 301–316.
- [30] Miles Reid, *Nonnormal del Pezzo surfaces*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **30** (1994), no. 5, 695–727.
- [31] Zvezdelina E. Stankova-Frenkel, *Moduli of trigonal curves*, J. Algebraic Geom. **9** (2000), no. 4, 607–662.

- [32] H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer, *Rational points on del Pezzo surfaces of degree 5*, Algebraic geometry, Oslo 1970 (Proc. Fifth Nordic Summer School in Math.) (1972), 287–290.
- [33] Michał Szurek and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski, *Fano bundles of rank 2 on surfaces*, Compositio Math. **76** (1990), no. 1-2, 295–305, Algebraic geometry (Berlin, 1988).
- [34] Kiyohiko Takeuchi, *Weak Fano threefolds with del Pezzo fibration*, arXiv:0910.2188 (2009).
- [35] Claire Voisin, *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry. I,II*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 76,77, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002, 2003, Translated from the French original by Leila Schneps.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 3-8-1 KOMABA,
MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN
E-mail address: tfukuoka@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp