

HYPER-STRESSES IN k -JET FIELD THEORIES

REUVEN SEGEV AND JĘDRZEJ ŚNIATYCKI

ABSTRACT. For high-order continuum mechanics and classical field theories configurations are modeled as sections of general fiber bundles and generalized velocities are modeled as variations thereof. Smooth stress fields are considered and it is shown that three distinct mathematical stress objects play the roles of the traditional stress tensor of continuum mechanics in Euclidean spaces. These objects are referred to as the variational hyper-stress, the traction hyper-stress and the non-holonomic stress. The properties of these three stress objects and the relations between them are studied.

1. INTRODUCTION

This manuscript is concerned with hyper-stresses for theories formulated on general fiber bundles over differentiable manifolds. Although the study of higher order continuum mechanics entered the focus of attention early in the second half of the 20th century (*e.g.*, [Tou62, Tou64, Min64, Min65]) it is still the subject of current research from both theoretical (*e.g.*, [NV90, dSC15, dSM12, PG15, Fos16]) and practical (*e.g.*, [Aif92, RA93, AA11, Ber17]) interests.

In parallel, analogous questions engaged the mathematical physics literature considering classical field theories (*e.g.*, [KT79, LR85, BŚF88, GIMM03, GMS09]), although the variational approach has been much more pronounced. While in continuum mechanics fields are usually defined on a three-dimensional body manifold or the three-dimensional physical space, classical field theories are mostly formulated as sections of fiber bundles over space-time manifold.

Following Walter Noll's [Nol59], it is by now accepted that the body object of continuum mechanics should be modeled as a manifold devoid of a metric or a connection. Furthermore, fields defined on the body, such as various order parameters or internal degrees of freedom, cannot be always expected to be valued in Euclidean spaces. Thus, formulations in the setting of general manifolds are relevant in continuum mechanics as much as they are for physical field theories.

While traditionally, k -th order hyper-stresses emerge in continuum mechanics as derivatives of a strain energy density with respect to derivatives of

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 74A10; 70S10; 53Z05; 58A32.

Key words and phrases. Continuum mechanics; Classical field theories; Fiber bundle; Hyper-stress; High order continuum mechanics.

March 16, 2022.

order k of the configuration, in [Seg86, SD91] their existence follow from a representation theorem for forces which are conjugate to the space of C^k -velocity fields. This setting has the advantage that it applies to the geometry of general manifolds and to hyper-stresses that may be as irregular as Borel measures. It is noted that for a theory of order k on a differentiable manifold, the derivatives of a particular order $0 < r \leq k$, do not give rise to an invariant geometric object. Rather, one has to use the k -jet of the generalized velocity field combining all derivatives of order less or equal to k into a single invariant object. (See [Sau89] as a general reference on jet bundles.)

Among the peculiarities of continuum mechanics on manifolds, even in the smooth case considered in this paper and $k = 1$, we emphasize the distinction between variational stresses and traction stresses [Seg13]. For classical continuum mechanics in a Euclidean space, the same mathematical object determines the traction on subbodies—the traction stress—and acts on velocity gradients to produce power—the variational stress, in our terminology. Yet, for the general geometric setting, two distinct mathematical objects play these roles. While the variational stress determines the traction stress, there is a class of traction stresses for each variational stress field.

As we show below, it turns out that for high order theories, a variational hyper-stress does not determine a unique traction hyper-stress. In fact, a traction hyper-stress field together with the body hyper-force encode more information than a variational hyper-stress field. Now, a third object is needed, to which we refer as the non-holonomic stress field. While the non-holonomic stress has been introduced in [Seg17] for the case $k = 2$ as a generalization of the variational stress enabling one to apply integral transformations, here we show that non-holonomic stresses are the objects that determine traction hyper-stresses as defined in [SS17].

In order to introduce the terminology and exhibit the various roles played by these three distinct mathematical objects in the simplest terms, consider the case where the body \mathcal{R} is identified with its current configuration in \mathbb{R}^3 . Let w^j be the components of a velocity field and let $w^j_{,i_1 \dots i_k}$ be its k -th partial derivatives. The components of the variational stress of highest order $S_j^{i_1 \dots i_k}$ act on the derivatives of the velocity in the form

$$I = \int_{\mathcal{R}} S_j^{i_1 \dots i_k} w^j_{,i_1 \dots i_k} dV. \tag{1.1}$$

Since the partial derivatives are symmetric relative to permutations of the indices $i_1 \dots i_k$, the components of the variational stress satisfy the same symmetry conditions. This symmetry condition for the components of the variational stress emerges naturally in the hyper-elastic case where the components of the variational stress are given in terms of a potential function φ and the symmetric

components of the k -th partial derivatives of the configuration $\kappa_{,i_1 \dots i_k}^j$ by

$$S_j^{i_1 \dots i_k} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \kappa_{,i_1 \dots i_k}^j}. \quad (1.2)$$

Returning to the expression for the action of the variational stress, one has

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{\mathcal{R}} \left[(S_j^{i_1 \dots i_k} w_{,i_1 \dots i_{k-1}}^j)_{,i_k} - S_{j,i_k}^{i_1 \dots i_k} w_{,i_1 \dots i_{k-1}}^j \right] dV, \\ &= \int_{\partial \mathcal{R}} S_j^{i_1 \dots i_k} n_{i_k} w_{,i_1 \dots i_{k-1}}^j dA - \int_{\mathcal{R}} S_{j,i_k}^{i_1 \dots i_k} w_{,i_1 \dots i_{k-1}}^j dV, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where n is the unit normal to the boundary. We will refer to a tensor such as $\mathbf{t}_j^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}} = S_j^{i_1 \dots i_k} n_{i_k}$ as a hyper-traction and to $\mathbf{b}_j^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}} = S_{j,i_k}^{i_1 \dots i_k}$ as a body hyper-force. Thus, in the expression

$$\mathbf{t}_j^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}} = S_j^{i_1 \dots i_k} n_{i_k}, \quad (1.4)$$

the variational hyper-stress S acts as a traction hyper-stress by determining the hyper-traction on the boundary. That is, a traction hyper-stress $\sigma_j^{i_1 \dots i_k}$ determines hyper-tractions by

$$\mathbf{t}_j^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}} = \sigma_j^{i_1 \dots i_k} n_{i_k}. \quad (1.5)$$

Nevertheless, if the role of the traction hyper-stress is exhibited in the last equation, there is no a-priori reason to assume that $\sigma_j^{i_1 \dots i_k}$ is symmetric relative to permutations of all indices $i_1 \dots i_k$ and not merely $i_1 \dots i_{k-1}$. In other words a traction hyper-stress need not be determined by a variational hyper-stress, but rather, by a more general objects to which we refer as the non-holonomic stress.

One could postulate that traction hyper-stresses are indeed symmetric relative to all the indices $i_1 \dots i_k$. However, it turns out that this condition cannot be formulated invariantly in the general geometric case. Indeed, on general fiber bundles, a traction hyper-stress field together with a body hyper-force determine a unique non-holonomic hyper-stress field. A non-holonomic hyper-stress field does not act on k -jets of velocity fields but rather, on the first jets of sections of the $(k - 1)$ -jet bundle. Such sections of the $(k - 1)$ -jet bundle need not be holonomic—compatible—hence the terminology. It follows that non-holonomic hyper-stress fields obey less restrictive symmetry conditions in comparison with variational stresses. Conversely, a non-holonomic hyper-stress field determines a unique traction hyper-stress field. Furthermore, a non-holonomic hyper-stress determines a unique variational stress that may be used to compute the force on each subbody. However, this variational hyper-stress does not encode enough information as to determine the traction hyper-stress on each subbody. We find it somewhat intriguing that for higher-order continuum mechanics—originally based on the variational approach—it turns out that the generalization of the Cauchy construction plays such a crucial role.

Section 2 introduces the notation and some of the terminology used in the sequel, mainly, that corresponding to symmetric tensors. Section 3 reviews the fundamentals of continuum mechanics and field theories on k -jet bundles of fiber bundles. In particular, variational hyper-stress densities are introduced. Section 4 outlines higher-order theories and introduces traction hyper-stresses using a framework which generalizes the classical Cauchy approach. Section 5 considers non-holonomic stresses and their relations with traction stresses. Next, the variational stress induced by a non-holonomic stress is presented in Section 6. Finally, in Section 7, we describe the geometric setting in which elastic constitutive relations are formulated.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Multi-index notation, as used in this manuscript, will help making the algebraic expressions below more compact. In this section we introduce the notation adopted here, with particular application to symmetric tensors. (For additional details, see [SS17].)

2.1. Multi-indices. A collection of indices $i_1 \cdots i_k$, $i_r = 1, \dots, n$ will be represented as a multi-index I and we will write $|I| = k$, the length of the multi-index. Multi-indices will be denoted by upper-case roman letters and the associated indices will be denoted by the corresponding lower case letters. In what follows, we will use the summation convention for repeated indices as well as repeated multi-indices. Whenever the syntax is violated, *e.g.*, when a multi-index appears more than twice in a term, it is understood that summation does not apply.

A multi-index I induces a sequence (I_1, \dots, I_n) in which I_r is the number of times the index r appears in the sequence $i_1 \cdots i_k$. Thus, $|I| = \sum_r I_r$. Multi-indices may be concatenated naturally such that $|IJ| = |I| + |J|$. Additional convenient notation is introduced by

$$I! := I_1! \cdots I_n!, \quad \delta_J^I := \delta_{j_1}^{i_1} \cdots \delta_{j_k}^{i_k}. \quad (2.1)$$

Greek letters will be used for strictly increasing multi-indices used in the representation of alternating tensors and forms, *e.g.*,

$$\omega = \omega_\lambda dx^\lambda := \omega_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{|\lambda|}} dx^{\lambda_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{\lambda_{|\lambda|}}. \quad (2.2)$$

To simplify the notation, the local volume element induced by a coordinate system will be denoted by dx , *i.e.*,

$$dx = dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n. \quad (2.3)$$

2.2. Permutations. We denote by \mathcal{P}_l the group of permutations of $(1, \dots, l)$. For a multi-index $I = i_1 \cdots i_l$ and a permutation $p \in \mathcal{P}_l$,

$$p(I) = I \circ p = i_{p(1)} \cdots i_{p(l)}. \quad (2.4)$$

An l -permutation p acts on an l -dimensional array by $p(T)_I = T_{p(I)}$.

We observe that for a multi-index I , of all $|I|!$ permutations $p(I)$, there are $l!$ permutations that leave I invariant. Thus, there are $|I|!/l!$ elements in the collection, \mathcal{P}_I , containing permutations that give distinct multi-indices $J = p(I)$.

We will also use the notation

$$|\varepsilon|_J^I = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } I \text{ may be obtained by a permutation of } J, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

2.3. Symmetric tensors. We will view an l -tensor as an l -multilinear mapping, an element of $L^l(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}) \simeq (\otimes^l \mathbf{V}^*) \otimes \mathbf{W}$ for two vector spaces \mathbf{V} and \mathbf{W} . In order to simplify the notation, for the rest of this section, we will use $\mathbf{W} = \mathbb{R}$. For other finite dimensional vector spaces, the extension is straightforward. A permutation p acts on an l -tensor T by

$$p(T)(v_1, \dots, v_l) = T(v_{p(1)}, \dots, v_{p(l)}) \quad (2.6)$$

which implies that the array of $p(T)$ is indeed $p(T)_I = T_{p(I)}$. A symmetric tensor T satisfies the condition

$$p(T) = T, \quad \text{or equivalently,} \quad T_{p(I)} = T_I, \quad (2.7)$$

for all permutations $p \in \mathcal{P}_I$. A symmetric array is uniquely determined by the elements of the form

$$T_{\langle I \rangle} = T_I, \quad (2.8)$$

where we use the convention that multi-indices denoted by bold faced characters are non-decreasing, that is $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_l$, and alternatively, when a multi-index appears inside angle brackets, it is implied that it is permuted so that it is non-decreasing. When the summation convention should be applied to such multi-indices, it is understood that the summation is carried out only for non-decreasing occurrences.

For an n -dimensional vector space \mathbf{V} , the subspace of symmetric tensors, $L_S^l(\mathbf{V}, \mathbb{R})$, has the dimension (see [Lew14]),

$$\dim L_S^l(\mathbf{V}, \mathbb{R}) = \frac{(n+l-1)!}{(n-1)!l!}. \quad (2.9)$$

2.4. Symmetrization. We will use the symmetrization operator

$$\mathcal{S} : L^l(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}) \longrightarrow L_S^l(\mathbf{V}, \mathbb{R}), \quad \text{whereby,} \quad \mathcal{S}(T) = \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_I} p(T), \quad (2.10)$$

which implies that the array of the symmetrized tensor is the symmetrized array, *i.e.*,

$$T_{\langle I \rangle} := \mathcal{S}(T)_I = \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_I} T_{p(I)}. \quad (2.11)$$

The symmetrized tensor product is $T \odot R = \mathcal{S}(T \otimes R)$. Using the notation

$$\mathbf{e}^J := \bigotimes^J \mathbf{e}^J = \mathbf{e}^{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}^{j_l}, \quad \mathbf{e}^{(J)} := \bigodot^J \mathbf{e}^J = \mathbf{e}^{j_1} \odot \dots \odot \mathbf{e}^{j_l}, \quad (2.12)$$

a basis for the space of symmetric tensors may be formed by the elements $\{\mathbf{e}^{(I)}\}$ (where we observe the I multi-indices are non-decreasing). Thus, one has the identification

$$L_S^I(\mathbf{V}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \odot^I \mathbf{V}^*. \quad (2.13)$$

Consider the inclusion

$$\iota_S : \odot^I \mathbf{V}^* \longrightarrow \otimes^I \mathbf{V}^*. \quad (2.14)$$

For a symmetric tensor T , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_S(T) &= T = T_J \mathbf{e}^J = T_J \mathbf{e}^{(J)}, \\ &= \sum_I \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_I} T_{p(I)} \mathbf{e}^{(p(I))}, \\ &= \sum_I \frac{|I|!}{I!} T_I \mathbf{e}^{(I)} \quad (\text{no sum}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

If we want the components of T relative to the basis in $\odot^I \mathbf{V}^*$ to be equal to the corresponding components of the array of $\iota_S(T) = T$, we should use the modified basis

$$\overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}^{(I)} := \frac{|I|!}{I!} \mathbf{e}^{(I)}, \quad \text{so that} \quad T = T_I \overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}^{(I)}. \quad (2.16)$$

Similarly, one can easily show that for the primal basis $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}$,

$$\overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}^{(I)}(\mathbf{e}_{(J)}) = \delta_J^I, \quad \mathbf{e}^{(I)}(\overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}_{(J)}) = \delta_J^I, \quad (2.17)$$

and so $\{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}^{(I)}\}$ may serve as the dual basis of $\{\mathbf{e}_{(J)}\}$ and vice versa.

For a basis of the tangent space to a manifold induced by the coordinates (x^i) , we will use the basis consisting of the elements

$$\partial_I := \partial_{i_1} \odot \cdots \odot \partial_{i_l}, \quad \partial_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \quad (2.18)$$

for the space of symmetric tensors, while the dual basis consists of the elements

$$\overleftarrow{dx}^I := \frac{|I|!}{I!} dx^{i_1} \odot \cdots \odot dx^{i_l}. \quad (2.19)$$

It is noted that in both cases, only non-decreasing indices are used.

It follows that $\odot^I \mathbf{V}^*$ may be identified with $(\odot^I \mathbf{V})^*$. In analogy with the above, for $R = R^I \mathbf{e}_{(I)} \in \odot^I \mathbf{V}$, $T = T_J \overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}}^J$, $T(R) = T_I R^I$, and

$$T_J R^J = \sum_I \frac{|I|!}{I!} T_I R^I \quad (\text{no sum}). \quad (2.20)$$

So setting,

$$\overleftarrow{R}^I = \frac{|I|!}{I!} R^I, \quad \overrightarrow{R}^J = \frac{J!}{|J|!} R^J, \quad (2.21)$$

one has

$$T_J R^J = T_I \overleftarrow{R}^I, \quad T_J \overrightarrow{R}^J = T_I R^I = T(R). \quad (2.22)$$

The last expression may be interpreted as a statement that the components \overrightarrow{R}^J represent the inclusion of the symmetric tensor R in the space of all tensors.

We will refer to elements of $(\odot^{l-1} \mathbf{V}^*) \otimes \mathbf{V}^*$ as *almost symmetric tensors*. It can be shown [Lew14] that for an almost symmetric tensor T ,

$$\mathcal{S}(T) = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{p \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_l} p(T), \quad (2.23)$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_l$ contains permutations p such that $p(l) = 1, \dots, l$, and $p(1) < \dots < p(l-1)$, that is, p switches l with another number and then orders the first $l-1$ numbers.

For a symmetric array w_I and an array T^J , $|I| = |J|$, we will encounter below expressions such as

$$T^I w_I = T^{(I)} w_I, \quad \text{and} \quad T^{Jj} w_{Jj} = T^{(Jj)} w_{Jj}. \quad (2.24)$$

We wish to find the relation between these two expressions. Note that each pair Jj , determines by ordering a unique I represented by $(J_1, \dots, J_j + 1, \dots, J_n)$.

Consider a particular I represented by (I_1, \dots, I_n) . Let

$$\{\mathbf{I}\} = \{r \mid I_r > 0\}, \quad c(\mathbf{I}) = \text{cardinality}\{\mathbf{I}\}, \quad (2.25)$$

i.e., $c(\mathbf{I})$ is the number of indices that appear in I one time or more. Since a pair Jj determines a unique I , we may also write $c(\mathbf{Jj})$. Let $j \in \{\mathbf{I}\}$, then,

$$Jj, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{J} = (I_1, \dots, I_j - 1, \dots, I_n) \quad (2.26)$$

will give I upon rearranging. Thus, for each I there are exactly $c(\mathbf{I})$ pairs Jj that may be rearranged to give I . It follows that

$$T^{Jj} w_{Jj} = \sum_{j \in \{\mathbf{I}\}} T^{Jj} w_{Jj} = c(\mathbf{I}) T^I w_I \quad (\text{no sum on } I). \quad (2.27)$$

Define the arrays \overrightarrow{T}^{Jj} and \overleftarrow{T}^I by

$$\overrightarrow{T}^{Jj} = \frac{1}{c(\mathbf{Jj})} T^{Jj}, \quad \text{and} \quad \overleftarrow{T}^I = c(\mathbf{I}) T^I. \quad (2.28)$$

It is concluded that

$$\overrightarrow{T}^{Jj} w_{Jj} = T^I w_I, \quad \text{and} \quad T^{Jj} w_{Jj} = \overleftarrow{T}^I w_I. \quad (2.29)$$

2.5. Jets. For a fiber bundle $\xi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, we will denote by $\xi^k : J^k(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ the corresponding k -jet bundle of sections of ξ . When no ambiguity may occur, we will often use the simpler notation $\xi^k : J^k \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. One has the additional natural projections $\xi_l^k : J^k(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow J^l(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$, $l < k$, and in particular $\xi_0^k : J^k(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, [Sau89].

Let $\eta : W \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be a vector bundle and let $\eta^k : J^k W \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be the corresponding k -jet bundle. For $l < k$, the l -vertical subbundle of the jet bundle is defined by

$$V^l J^k W = \text{Kernel } \eta_l^k = \{\dot{A} \in J^k W \mid \eta_l^k(\dot{A}) = 0\}. \quad (2.30)$$

Evidently, $V^l J^k W$ is a vector subbundle of the jet bundle and we denote the inclusion by

$$\iota_{V^l} : V^l J^k W \longrightarrow J^k W. \quad (2.31)$$

2.6. Pullback of forms. Here we use “ \sharp ” to indicate the pullback of a form by duality, rather than using “ $*$ ”. The latter is used to indicate the pullback of a form viewed as a section of the pullback bundle.

3. CONTINUUM MECHANICS AND FIELD THEORIES ON k -JET BUNDLES

The fundamental object we consider is a fiber bundle

$$\xi : \mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X} \quad (3.1)$$

where \mathfrak{X} is an n -dimensional orientable manifold and the typical fiber is an m -dimensional manifold. For the sake of simplicity, it is assumed that \mathfrak{X} is compact. This fiber bundle may have the following interpretations.

In Lagrangian continuum mechanics, it is usually assumed that the total space \mathcal{Y} is trivial, *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{Y} = \mathfrak{X} \times \mathcal{S} \quad (3.2)$$

where the manifold \mathfrak{X} is interpreted as the body manifold and the manifold \mathcal{S} is interpreted as the space manifold. In this case, a section $\kappa : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ may be identified with a mapping $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. Such a mapping is interpreted as a configuration of the body in the physical space.

In Eulerian continuum mechanics, \mathfrak{X} is interpreted as the physical space and the fibers of \mathcal{Y} are interpreted as the possible values that fields over the space may have. For classical field theories, \mathfrak{X} is interpreted as space-time. (See [KOS17] for further motivation, references and examples.)

3.1. The configuration space. A configuration of the system, or a field, is a section $\kappa : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. In accordance with the paradigm of analytical mechanics, a central role is played by the configuration space of the system, an infinite dimensional object in the case of a field theory. We are motivated by the case of continuum mechanics where one requires traditionally that configurations of a body in space be embeddings, and the fact that the subset of embeddings is open in the manifold of all C^k -mappings between two manifolds, for $k \geq 1$. (See [Mic80, Hir76].) Thus, for $k \geq 1$, we consider the collection of C^k -sections of ξ , equipped with the C^k -topology, and define the configuration space \mathbb{Q} to be an open subset of the manifold of sections, *i.e.*,

$$\mathbb{Q} \subset C^k(\xi) := C^k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{Y}), \quad \text{is open.} \quad (3.3)$$

Note that by the notation $C^k(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ we refer only to sections of the fiber bundle rather than all mappings $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$.

3.2. Generalized velocities. Generalized velocities (virtual velocities, virtual displacements) at the configuration κ , or variations of the configuration κ , are elements of the tangent space $T_\kappa \mathbb{Q}$. Since \mathbb{Q} is assumed to be open,

$$T_\kappa \mathbb{Q} = T_\kappa C^k(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}). \quad (3.4)$$

Furthermore, let

$$V\xi = V\mathcal{Y} := \text{Kernel } T\xi \quad (3.5)$$

be the vertical subbundle of $T\mathcal{Y}$. Then (see [Pal68, p. 51]),

$$T_\kappa \mathbb{Q} = T_\kappa C^k(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \simeq C^k(\mathcal{X}, \kappa^* V\mathcal{Y}), \quad (3.6)$$

where $\kappa^* V\mathcal{Y}$ denotes the pullback of the vertical bundle onto \mathcal{X} . Thus, every generalized velocity may be identified uniquely with a C^k -section

$$w : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \kappa^* V\mathcal{Y}, \quad (3.7)$$

in accordance with the traditional interpretation in continuum mechanics. (It is noted that in [Pal68], $V\mathcal{Y}$ is denoted by $TF(\mathcal{Y})$ and $\kappa^* V\mathcal{Y}$ is denoted by $T_\kappa \mathcal{Y}$.)

Thus,

$$TC^k(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \simeq C^k(\mathcal{X}, V\mathcal{Y}) \quad (3.8)$$

and a section w represents an element of $T_\kappa \mathbb{Q}$ if

$$\tau_{\mathcal{Y}}|_{V\mathcal{Y}} \circ w = \kappa. \quad (3.9)$$

In the sequel, in order to simplify the notation, we will denote the vector bundle

$$\xi \circ \tau_{\mathcal{Y}}|_{V\mathcal{Y}} : \kappa^* V\mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X} \quad \text{by} \quad \pi : W \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}, \quad (3.10)$$

Using local coordinates (x^i, y^α) in \mathcal{Y} , an element of $T\mathcal{Y}$ is represented locally in the form $\dot{x}^i \partial_i + \dot{y}^\alpha \partial_\alpha$. For an element of $V\mathcal{Y}$, $\dot{x}^i = 0$, and so, an element of $\kappa^* V\mathcal{Y} = W$ is represented locally in the form $\dot{y}^\alpha \kappa^* \partial_\alpha$, which we may write also simply as $\dot{y}^\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha$, $\mathbf{e}_\alpha := \kappa^* \partial_\alpha$. The dual bases will be denoted accordingly. However, in what follows we often identify $\kappa^* \partial_\alpha$ with ∂_α in the notation.

3.3. Generalized forces and their representations by variational hyper-stresses. A generalized force at a configuration κ is an element of $T_\kappa^* \mathbb{Q}$. As such, a force F at κ is a continuous linear functional in $C^k(\mathcal{X}, \kappa^* V\mathcal{Y})^*$. Henceforth, we will use the concise notation and will write

$$T_\kappa \mathbb{Q} = C^k(\kappa^* V\mathcal{Y}) = C^k(W), \quad F \in C^k(\kappa^* V\mathcal{Y})^* = C^k(W)^*. \quad (3.11)$$

We observe that the jet extension mapping

$$j^k : C^k(W) \longrightarrow C^0(J^k W), \quad w \longmapsto j^k w, \quad (3.12)$$

is an embedding. (It is not surjective as non-holonomic sections are not included in the image.) It follows that its dual mapping,

$$j^{k*} : C^0(J^k W)^* \longrightarrow C^k(W)^*, \quad (3.13)$$

is surjective. Using the Hahn-Banach theorem, one concludes that for a given force F , there is some element $\zeta \in C^0(\mathcal{X}, J^k W)^*$ such that

$$F = j^{k*} \zeta \quad \text{or} \quad F(w) = \zeta(j^k w), \quad (3.14)$$

for every $w \in C^k(W)$. Equations (3.14) represent the generalizations of the equation of equilibrium and the principle of virtual work of continuum mechanics. The fact that j^k is not surjective, implies that the representation is not unique. This non-uniqueness of the stress representation of a given force is a generalization of the well-known static indeterminacy of continuum mechanics.

Since ζ is a linear functional on the space of continuous sections of the vector bundle $\pi^k : J^k W \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, it may be represented by a measure μ valued in $(J^k W)^*$ in the form

$$\zeta(\dot{A}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \dot{A} \cdot d\mu \quad (3.15)$$

for any section \dot{A} of π^k .

For a chart in \mathcal{X} with coordinates (x^i) and a local basis $\{e_\alpha\}$ for W , a section of W is represented locally in the form

$$w = w^\alpha e_\alpha \quad (3.16)$$

and its jet is represented in the form

$$j^k w = w_{,I}^\alpha e_\alpha^I, \quad 0 \leq |I| \leq k, \quad (3.17)$$

where

$$e_\alpha^I := \overleftarrow{dx}^I \otimes e_\alpha. \quad (3.18)$$

Thus, a section \dot{A} of the jet bundle is represented in the form

$$\dot{A} = \dot{A}_I^\alpha e_\alpha^I \quad 0 \leq |I| \leq k. \quad (3.19)$$

Locally, μ is represented by a collection of real valued measures μ_α^I , $|I| \leq k$ so that

$$\dot{A} \cdot d\mu = \dot{A}_I^\alpha \cdot d\mu_\alpha^I. \quad (3.20)$$

The representing elements $\zeta \in C^0(J^k W)^*$ are the *hyper-stresses* as implied by the principle of virtual work above. Furthermore, their role as a means for restriction of forces results from their representation by measures. Thus, a hyper-stress ζ represented by the measure μ , induces for any n -dimensional submanifold \mathcal{R} , a force $F_{\mathcal{R}}$ by

$$F_{\mathcal{R}}(w) = \int_{\mathcal{R}} j^1 w \cdot d\mu. \quad (3.21)$$

Hence, while forces cannot be restricted to submanifolds, the importance of the representation by hyper-stresses follows from the fact that measures may be restricted to Borel sets.

3.4. Smooth variational hyper-stresses. In what follows, we consider a smooth configuration κ and set $W = \kappa^*V\mathcal{Y}$. Furthermore, the focus of this work is placed on smooth force distributions and hyper-stresses, in other words, forces and hyperstresses represented by smooth densities. Consider the vector bundle of linear mappings

$$L(J^k W, \wedge^n T^* \mathcal{X}) \simeq (J^k W)^* \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \wedge^n T^* \mathcal{X}. \quad (3.22)$$

A *smooth variational hyper-stress field* is a smooth section S of $L(J^k W, \wedge^n T^* \mathcal{X})$. Alternatively, a variational hyper-stress field may be viewed as a smooth vector bundle morphism

$$S : J^k W \longrightarrow \wedge^n T^* \mathcal{X}, \quad (3.23)$$

where both bundles have \mathcal{X} as the base manifold. A variational hyper-stress field S induces a stress ζ by

$$\zeta(\dot{A}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} S \cdot \dot{A}. \quad (3.24)$$

The integral on the right hand side makes sense as $S \cdot \dot{A}$ is an n -form on \mathcal{X} . In particular, the power that a force F expends for the generalized velocity w is given by

$$F(w) = \zeta(j^k w) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} S \cdot j^k w, \quad (3.25)$$

and the restriction of the force, induced by the stress, to the subbody \mathcal{R} is given by

$$F_{\mathcal{R}}(w) = \int_{\mathcal{R}} S \cdot j^k w. \quad (3.26)$$

To consider the local representation of the variational hyper-stress densities, we first note that as the dual basis to $\{\overleftarrow{dx}^I\}$ is $\{\partial_I\}$, the dual basis corresponding to $\{e^I_{\alpha}\}$ is

$$\{e^{\alpha}_I := \partial_I \otimes e^{\alpha}\}. \quad (3.27)$$

It follows that a stress density is represented locally in the form

$$S^I_{\alpha} e^{\alpha}_I \otimes dx, \quad 0 \leq |I| \leq k, \quad (3.28)$$

and the action of the variational stress density on a section \dot{A} of the jet bundle is given by

$$S \cdot \dot{A} = S^I_{\alpha} \dot{A}^{\alpha}_I dx, \quad \text{in particular,} \quad S \cdot j^k w = S^I_{\alpha} w^{\alpha}_I dx. \quad (3.29)$$

Consider next the transformation rule for the components S^I_{α} . Let $x^{i'}(x^i)$ be a transformation of coordinates in a subset of \mathcal{X} and $w^{\alpha'} = \dot{A}^{\alpha'}_{\alpha}(x)w^{\alpha}$ be the coordinate transformation in W . It is noted that by definition, the transformation rule for the components of elements of $J^k W$, may be written in the form

$$w^{\alpha'}_{I'} = G^{\alpha' I}_{I' \alpha} w^{\alpha}_I, \quad |I| \leq |I'|, \quad (3.30)$$

where $G^{\alpha' I}_{I' \alpha}$ contains derivatives of the aforementioned transformations.

Using the transformation rules (3.30) and denoting the Jacobian determinant of the transformation by \mathcal{J} , we may write

$$\begin{aligned} S_\alpha^I w_{,I}^\alpha dx &= S_{\alpha'}^{I'} w_{,I'}^{\alpha'} dx', \quad |I| \leq |I'|, \\ &= S_{\alpha'}^{I'} G_{I'\alpha}^{\alpha'} w_{,I}^\alpha dx \mathcal{J}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

The independence of the point values of the derivatives $w_{,I}^\alpha$ imply that the transformation rule for the components S_α^I is

$$S_\alpha^I = \mathcal{J} S_{\alpha'}^{I'} G_{I'\alpha}^{\alpha'}, \quad |I| \leq |I'| \leq k. \quad (3.32)$$

We conclude that the transformation of the components of the variational stress densities of order l involves all components of equal or higher order $l \leq r \leq k$. In particular, the statement that all the components of order $r > l$ vanish, is invariant. This, of course, gives meaning to a statement that a material is of order k and not any higher order.

4. HYPER-STRESSES, THE CAUCHY APPROACH

4.1. Body hyper-forces. A *body hyper-force density* is an element of $L(J^{k-1}W, \wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X})$. A *body force field* is a section of $L(J^{k-1}W, \wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X})$. Given a body force field \mathbf{b} and a subbody $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{X}$, the total power of the body hyper-force is

$$F_{\mathbf{b}}(\dot{A}) = \int_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{b} \cdot \dot{A}, \quad (4.1)$$

for any section \dot{A} of $J^{k-1}W$. In particular, for a section w of W ,

$$F_{\mathbf{b}}(j^{k-1}w) = \int_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{b} \cdot j^{k-1}w. \quad (4.2)$$

Using the notation introduced above, a body hyper-force field is represented locally in the form

$$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}_\alpha^J \mathbf{e}_J^\alpha \otimes dx, \quad 0 \leq |J| \leq k-1. \quad (4.3)$$

The action of a body force is

$$\mathbf{b} \cdot \dot{A} = \mathbf{b}_\alpha^J \dot{A}_J^\alpha dx, \quad \text{and in particular, } \mathbf{b} \cdot j^k w = \mathbf{b}_\alpha^J w_{,J}^\alpha dx, \quad 0 \leq |J| \leq k-1. \quad (4.4)$$

Consider next the transformation rule for the components \mathbf{b}_α^J . Using (3.30), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}_\alpha^J w_{,J}^\alpha dx &= \mathbf{b}_{\alpha'}^{J'} w_{,J'}^{\alpha'} dx', \quad |J| \leq |J'| \leq k-1, \\ &= \mathbf{b}_{\alpha'}^{J'} G_{J'\alpha}^{\alpha'} w_{,J}^\alpha dx \mathcal{J}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

The independence of the point values of the derivatives $w_{,I}^\alpha$ imply that the transformation rule for the components S_α^I is

$$\mathbf{b}_\alpha^J = \mathcal{J} \mathbf{b}_{\alpha'}^{J'} G_{J'\alpha}^{\alpha'}, \quad |J| \leq |J'| \leq k-1. \quad (4.6)$$

Similarly to the variational stress, we conclude that the transformation of the components of the body hyper-force densities of order l involves all components of equal or higher order $l \leq r \leq k$. In particular, the statement that all the components of order $r > l$ vanish, is invariant. .

4.2. Hyper-traction fields. Let $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{X}$ be a subbody. A *surface hyper-force density* or a *hyper-traction* on $\partial\mathcal{R}$ is an element of $L(J^{k-1}W, \wedge^{n-1}T^*\partial\mathcal{R})$. Here, and in what follows, we view $L(J^{k-1}W, \wedge^{n-1}T^*\partial\mathcal{R})$ as a vector bundle over $\partial\mathcal{R}$ and in our notation we omit the indication that $J^{k-1}W$ is restricted to $\partial\mathcal{R}$. A *hyper-traction field* on $\partial\mathcal{R}$ is a section of $L(J^{k-1}W, \wedge^{n-1}T^*\partial\mathcal{R})$. Given a subbody $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{X}$ and a hyper-traction field $\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}}$ and, the total power of the hyper-traction is the action of the functional

$$F_{\mathbf{t}}(\dot{A}) = \int_{\partial\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}} \cdot \dot{A}, \quad (4.7)$$

for any section \dot{A} of $(J^{k-1}W)|_{\partial\mathcal{R}}$. In particular, for a section w of W ,

$$F_{\mathbf{t}}(j^{k-1}w) = \int_{\partial\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}} \cdot j^{k-1}w. \quad (4.8)$$

It is noted that the jet of w is taken relative to \mathcal{X} and not $\partial\mathcal{R}$.

Let (z^p) , $p = 1, \dots, n-1$ be local coordinates in $\partial\mathcal{R}$, so that

$$dz = dz^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz^{n-1} \quad (4.9)$$

is a local volume form. Then, in an adapted coordinate system, a hyper-traction field is represented locally in the form

$$\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}} = \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}\alpha}^J \mathbf{e}_J^\alpha \otimes dz, \quad 0 \leq |J| \leq k-1. \quad (4.10)$$

The action of a hyper-traction is

$$\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}} \cdot \dot{A} = \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}\alpha}^J \dot{A}^\alpha dz, \quad \text{and in particular,} \quad \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}} \cdot j^k w = \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}\alpha}^J w^\alpha dz, \quad 0 \leq |J| \leq k-1. \quad (4.11)$$

4.3. Smooth force functionals and hyper-force systems. We will say that a force functional on a subbody \mathcal{R} is smooth if it is induced by a body hyper-force field \mathbf{b} and a hyper-traction field $\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}}$ in the form

$$F_{\mathcal{R}}(w) = \int_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{b} \cdot j^{k-1}w + \int_{\partial\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}} \cdot j^{k-1}w \quad (4.12)$$

for every virtual displacement $w : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow W$. Henceforth, we will be interested only in smooth force functionals.

Let $\{\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}}\}$ be a collection of sections $\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}}$ of $L(U|_{\partial\mathcal{R}}, \wedge^{n-1}T^*\partial\mathcal{R})$ for all subbodies $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{X}$. We will refer to $\{\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}}\}$ as a *system of hyper-tractions*. The collection $(\mathbf{b}, \{\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}}\})$ will be referred to as a *smooth system of hyper-forces*, where each force functional in $\{F_{\mathcal{R}}\}$ is represented as in the equation above.

4.4. **Traction hyper-stresses.** A *traction hyper-stress* is an element

$$\sigma_0 \in L(J^{k-1}W, \wedge^{n-1}T^*\mathcal{X}) \quad (4.13)$$

and a *traction hyper-stress field* is a smooth section σ of $L(J^{k-1}W, \wedge^{n-1}T^*\mathcal{X})$.

Let $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{X}$ be an n -dimensional submanifold with boundary $\partial\mathcal{R}$. The inclusion

$$\iota_{\partial\mathcal{R}} : \partial\mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}, \quad (4.14)$$

induces the following diagram where $(\iota_{\partial\mathcal{R}})^*T\mathcal{X} \simeq T\mathcal{X}|_{\partial\mathcal{R}}$ is the pullback of the tangent bundle and $\delta\iota_{\partial\mathcal{R}}$ is the induced vector bundle morphism over the boundary that makes the diagram commutative—an inclusion of tangent vectors.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & T\iota_{\partial\mathcal{R}} & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 T\partial\mathcal{R} & \xrightarrow{\delta\iota_{\partial\mathcal{R}}} & (\iota_{\partial\mathcal{R}})^*T\mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathcal{X}}^* \iota_{\partial\mathcal{R}}} & T\mathcal{X} \\
 \tau_{\partial\mathcal{R}} \searrow & & \swarrow \iota_{\partial\mathcal{R}}^* \tau_{\mathcal{X}} & & \downarrow \tau_{\mathcal{X}} \\
 \partial\mathcal{R} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\partial\mathcal{R}}} & \mathcal{X} & &
 \end{array}$$

The dual vector bundle morphism,

$$\rho_{\partial\mathcal{R}} = (\delta\iota_{\partial\mathcal{R}})^* : \left(\wedge^{n-1}T^*\mathcal{X} \right) |_{\partial\mathcal{R}} \simeq \wedge^{n-1}(\iota_{\partial\mathcal{R}}^*T\mathcal{X})^* \longrightarrow \wedge^{n-1}T^*\partial\mathcal{R}, \quad (4.15)$$

restricts alternating tensors to vectors tangent to the boundary and it induces the pullback of forms.

Let $z \in \partial\mathcal{R}$, and $\sigma_0 \in L(J^{k-1}W, \wedge^{n-1}T^*\mathcal{X})_z$. Then,

$$\mathbf{t}_0 = \rho_{\partial\mathcal{R}} \circ \sigma_0 \in L(J^{k-1}W, \wedge^{n-1}T^*\partial\mathcal{R})_z \quad (4.16)$$

is a hyper-traction induced at z by σ_0 . Similarly, a traction hyper-stress field σ induces a hyper-traction field

$$\mathbf{t} = \rho_{\partial\mathcal{R}} \circ \sigma = \iota_{\partial\mathcal{R}}^\# \sigma. \quad (4.17)$$

Thus, Equation (4.17) is a generalization of the traditional Cauchy formula.

Let $v \lrcorner \omega$ denote the contraction (inner product) of the form ω with the tangent vector v . As $\{\partial_i \lrcorner dx\}$ may serve as a basis for $\wedge^{n-1}T^*\mathcal{X}$, in analogy with (3.28), a traction hyper-stress field may be represented in the form

$$\sigma = \sigma_\alpha^{J^i} \mathbf{e}_J^\alpha \otimes (\partial_i \lrcorner dx), \quad |J| \leq k-1. \quad (4.18)$$

For a section \dot{B} of $J^{k-1}W$, one has therefore,

$$\sigma \cdot \dot{B} = \sigma_\alpha^{J^i} \dot{B}^\alpha (\partial_i \lrcorner dx), \quad (4.19)$$

and in particular,

$$\sigma \cdot j^{k-1}w = \sigma_{\alpha}^{J^i} w_{,J}^{\alpha} (\partial_{i \lrcorner} dx), \quad |J| \leq k-1. \quad (4.20)$$

Consider next the transformation rule for the components $\sigma_{\alpha}^{J^i}$. Using the transformations (3.30) and the invariance of the action, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\alpha}^{I^i} w_{,I}^{\alpha} \partial_{i \lrcorner} dx &= \sigma_{\alpha'}^{I'^i} w_{,I'}^{\alpha'} \partial_{i' \lrcorner} dx', \quad |I| \leq |I'|, \\ &= \sigma_{\alpha'}^{I'^i} G_{I'\alpha}^{\alpha'} w_{,I'}^{\alpha} x_{,i'}^i \partial_{i \lrcorner} dx \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Thus,

$$\sigma_{\alpha}^{I^i} = \mathcal{F} \sigma_{\alpha'}^{I'^i} G_{I'\alpha}^{\alpha'} x_{,i'}^i, \quad |I| \leq |I'|. \quad (4.22)$$

The last equation is a generalization of the classical relation between the Cauchy stress and the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress, which as presented here, is just a transformation of coordinates of the right geometric object. Once again, the transformation of the components of the traction hyper-stress densities of order l involves all components of equal or higher order $l \leq r \leq k-1$.

Finally, given a traction hyper-stress, σ , and a body hyper-force, \mathbf{b} , one may write the force functional on each subbody as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathcal{R}}(w) &= \int_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{b} \cdot j^{k-1}w + \int_{\partial\mathcal{R}} \sigma \cdot j^{k-1}w, \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{b} \cdot j^{k-1}w + \int_{\mathcal{R}} d(\sigma \cdot j^{k-1}w). \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

4.5. Cauchy's theorem and traction hyper-stresses. We review here Cauchy's theorem on manifolds as in [SR99, Seg13]. The Cauchy theorem asserts that under certain boundedness and locality conditions, a system of forces on all subbodies induce a unique traction stress field. The theorem is formulated for a general vector bundle U and the terminology used is for the case of standard continuum mechanics, $k=1$. We will use it later for the case $U = j^{k-1}W$.

It is assumed in the sequel that the collection of subbodies of \mathcal{X} includes n -dimensional chains and in particular simplices. Furthermore, it is assumed that a particular orientation has been chosen for the manifold \mathcal{X} .

Definition 1. We will say that a system $\{t_{\mathcal{R}}\}$ of tractions is *consistent* if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1) **Boundedness.** There is a section ξ of $L(J^1U, \wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X})$ such that for each \mathcal{R} ,

$$\left| \int_{\partial\mathcal{R}} t_{\mathcal{R}}(u|_{\partial\mathcal{R}}) \right| \leq \int_{\mathcal{R}} |\xi(j^1u)|, \quad (4.24)$$

for every smooth section u of U .

- (2) **Cauchy's postulate of locality.** Let $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \in T_x\mathcal{X}$ be a collection of tangent vector at $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Let \mathcal{R} be any subbody such that $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \in T_x\partial\mathcal{R}$, and the collection of vectors is positively oriented

relative to the orientation of $\partial\mathcal{R}$. Then, $\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{u})(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$ is independent of \mathcal{R} . Thus, there is an alternating multilinear mapping

$$\Sigma_x : (T_x\mathcal{X})^{n-1} \longrightarrow U_x^* \quad (4.25)$$

such that

$$\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{u})(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) = \Sigma_x(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})(\mathbf{u}), \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{u} \in U_x. \quad (4.26)$$

(3) **Regularity.** The mapping $x \mapsto \Sigma_x$ is smooth.

A smooth system of forces $(\mathbf{b}, \{\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}}\})$ is said to be consistent if $\{\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}}\}$ is a consistent system of tractions.

Theorem 2. *Let $\{\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}}\}$ be a consistent force system, then, there is a unique traction stress field σ such that for each subbody \mathcal{R} , the surface force is given by the Cauchy formula*

$$\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}} = \rho_{\partial\mathcal{R}} \circ \sigma. \quad (4.27)$$

Cauchy's theorem, in the generalized setting outlined above, applies readily to traction hyper-stresses by setting $U = J^{k-1}W$. Assuming that the system of hyper-tractions satisfies the conditions above, it follows from Cauchy's theorem that there is a unique traction hyper-stress that induces it using (4.27).

5. NON-HOLONOMIC VARIATIONAL STRESSES

Unlike the simple case, $k = 1$, variational hyper-stresses do not determine uniquely traction hyper-stresses for higher order theories. Another mathematical object is needed—the non-holonomic stress.

5.1. The exterior jet and non-holonomic variational stresses.

Proposition 3. *There is a natural linear, first order-differential operator, the exterior jet \mathfrak{d} , taking sections of $L(J^{k-1}W, \wedge^{n-1}T^*\mathcal{X})$ into sections of $L(J^1(J^{k-1}W), \wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X})$, defined by the condition*

$$\mathfrak{d}\sigma \cdot j^1\dot{A} = d(\sigma \cdot \dot{A}) \quad (5.1)$$

for every section \dot{A} of $J^{k-1}W$.

Proof. Let σ be a traction hyper-stress field represented as in Equation (4.18) and let a generic section \dot{A} of $J^{k-1}W$ be given locally by $\dot{A} = \dot{A}_J^\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha^J$. One has

$$d(\sigma \cdot \dot{A}) = (\sigma_{\alpha,i}^{J_i} \dot{A}_J^\alpha + \sigma_\alpha^{J_i} \dot{A}_{J,i}^\alpha) dx, \quad |J| \leq k-1. \quad (5.2)$$

It follows that $d(\sigma \cdot \dot{A})$ is an n -form that depends pointwise on the values of the representatives of \dot{A} and their first derivatives, *i.e.*, it depends on $j^1\dot{A}$. Hence, there is a unique section $\mathfrak{d}\sigma$ of $L(J^1(J^{k-1}W), \wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X})$, for which condition (5.1) holds. The value of the section $\mathfrak{d}\sigma$ at a point $x \in \mathcal{X}$ depends linearly on the first jet at x of the section $\sigma : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow L(J^{k-1}W, \wedge^{n-1}T^*\mathcal{X})$. Hence, \mathfrak{d} is a first order linear differential operator. \square

Definition 4. An element of $L(J^1(J^{k-1}W), \wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X})$ will be referred to as a *non-holonomic (variational hyper-) stress*. A section of $L(J^1(J^{k-1}W), \wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X})$ is a *non-holonomic stress field*.

Thus, $\mathfrak{d}\sigma$ is a non-holonomic stress field. As noted, the terminology follows from the fact that a section of $J^{k-1}W$ need not be the k -th jet of a section of W .

5.2. Local representation of non-holonomic stresses and exterior jets. An element $\dot{B} \in J^1(J^{k-1}W)$ is represented locally in the form

$$\dot{B} = \dot{B}_J^\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha^J + \dot{B}_{Jj}^\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha^J \otimes dx^j, \quad (5.3)$$

where it is noted that while \dot{B}_J^α and \dot{B}_{Jj}^α are symmetric under permutations of J , \dot{B}_{Jj}^α need not be symmetric under permutations of Jj . For a section \dot{A} of $J^{k-1}W$, represented locally in the form $\dot{A} = \dot{A}_J^\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha^J$, one has locally

$$j^1 \dot{A} = \dot{A}_J^\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha^J + \dot{A}_{J,j}^\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha^J \otimes dx^j, \quad (5.4)$$

and for a section w of W ,

$$j^1(j^{k-1}w) = w_J^\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha^J + w_{Jj}^\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha^J \otimes dx^j. \quad (5.5)$$

Evidently, $j^1(j^{k-1}w)$ represents a holonomic element of $J^1(J^{k-1}W)$ for which complete symmetry holds.

It follows that a non-holonomic stress should be locally of the form

$$P = (P_\alpha^J \mathbf{e}_J^\alpha + \underline{P}_\alpha^{Jj} \mathbf{e}_J^\alpha \otimes \partial_j) \otimes dx. \quad (5.6)$$

Its action is given by

$$P(\dot{B}) = (P_\alpha^J \dot{B}_J^\alpha + \underline{P}_\alpha^{Jj} \dot{B}_{Jj}^\alpha) dx, \quad P(j^1 \dot{A}) = (P_\alpha^J \dot{A}_J^\alpha + \underline{P}_\alpha^{Jj} \dot{A}_{J,j}^\alpha) dx, \quad (5.7)$$

and

$$P(j^1(j^{k-1}w)) = (P_\alpha^J w_J^\alpha + \underline{P}_\alpha^{Jj} w_{Jj}^\alpha) dx. \quad (5.8)$$

Given a traction hyper-stress field σ , the exterior jet field should be of the form

$$\mathfrak{d}\sigma = ((\mathfrak{d}\sigma)_\alpha^J \mathbf{e}_J^\alpha + (\underline{\mathfrak{d}\sigma})_\alpha^{Jj} \mathbf{e}_J^\alpha \otimes \partial_j) \otimes dx. \quad (5.9)$$

The definition of the exterior jet implies that for any section \dot{A} of $J^{k-1}W$, $\mathfrak{d}\sigma \cdot j^1 \dot{A} = d(\sigma \cdot \dot{A})$, and so

$$(\mathfrak{d}\sigma)_\alpha^J \dot{A}_J^\alpha + (\underline{\mathfrak{d}\sigma})_\alpha^{Jj} \dot{A}_{J,j}^\alpha = \sigma_{\alpha,j}^{Jj} \dot{A}_{J,j}^\alpha + \sigma_\alpha^{Jj} \dot{A}_{J,j}^\alpha. \quad (5.10)$$

At any point x , the values of the components \dot{A}_J^α and $\dot{A}_{J,j}^\alpha$ are independent. Thus,

$$(\mathfrak{d}\sigma)_\alpha^J = \sigma_{\alpha,j}^{Jj}, \quad (\underline{\mathfrak{d}\sigma})_\alpha^{Jj} = \sigma_\alpha^{Jj}, \quad (5.11)$$

$$\mathfrak{d}\sigma = (\sigma_{\alpha,j}^{Jj} \mathbf{e}_J^\alpha + \sigma_\alpha^{Jj} \mathbf{e}_J^\alpha \otimes \partial_j) \otimes dx. \quad (5.12)$$

5.3. The non-holonomic stress induced by a consistent hyper-force system. We now consider systems consisting of body hyper-forces and hyper-tractions as in (4.12). We will say that the hyper-force system is consistent if it satisfies the conditions of consistency of the generalized Cauchy theorem for the case $U = J^{k-1}W$.

Proposition 5. *A smooth consistent hyper-force system $(\mathbf{b}, \{\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}}\})$ induces a unique non-holonomic stress field P which represents it by*

$$F_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{w}) = \int_{\mathcal{R}} P \cdot j^1(j^{k-1}\mathbf{w}). \quad (5.13)$$

Proof. A consistent hyper-force system induces, by the generalized Cauchy theorem for the case $U = J^{k-1}W$, a unique traction hyper-stress σ . Thus, Equation (4.23) applies, and using the definition of the exterior jet, we may rewrite it as

$$F_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{w}) = \int_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{b} \cdot j^{k-1}\mathbf{w} + \mathfrak{d}\sigma \cdot j^1(j^{k-1}\mathbf{w}). \quad (5.14)$$

Observing Equation (5.14), the value of the integrand at any $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is an n -alternating tensor that is evidently a linear function of $j^1(j^{k-1}\mathbf{w})(x)$. For a section \dot{A} of $J^{k-1}W$, set

$$P \cdot j^1\dot{A} = \mathbf{b} \cdot \dot{A} + \mathfrak{d}\sigma \cdot j^1\dot{A}. \quad (5.15)$$

Thus, P is a section of $L(J^1(J^{k-1}W), \wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X})$ such that

$$F_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{w}) = \int_{\mathcal{R}} (\mathbf{b} \cdot j^{k-1}\mathbf{w} + \mathfrak{d}\sigma \cdot j^1(j^{k-1}\mathbf{w})) = \int_{\mathcal{R}} P \cdot j^1(j^{k-1}\mathbf{w}). \quad (5.16)$$

In fact, P induces a linear functional $\widehat{P}_{\mathcal{R}}$, which extends $F_{\mathcal{R}}$ to act on all sections of $J^{k-1}W$ by

$$\widehat{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(\dot{A}) = \int_{\mathcal{R}} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \dot{A} + \mathfrak{d}\sigma \cdot j^1\dot{A}) = \int_{\mathcal{R}} P \cdot j^1\dot{A}. \quad (5.17)$$

The local representation of P may be readily obtained from (5.12) as

$$P = ((\sigma_{\alpha,j}^{Jj} + \mathbf{b}_{\alpha}^J)\mathbf{e}_j^{\alpha} + \sigma_{\alpha}^{Jj}\mathbf{e}_j^{\alpha} \otimes \partial_j) \otimes dx \quad 0 \leq |J| \leq k-1, \quad (5.18)$$

$$P_{\alpha}^J = \sigma_{\alpha,j}^{Jj} + \mathbf{b}_{\alpha}^J, \quad \underline{P}^{Jj} = \sigma_{\alpha}^{Jj}. \quad (5.19)$$

□

Proposition 6. *There is a natural mapping*

$$p_{\sigma} : L(J^1(J^{k-1}W), \wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow L(J^{k-1}W, \wedge^{n-1}\mathcal{X}), \quad (5.20)$$

whereby a non-holonomic stress field P induces a unique traction hyper-stress σ .

Proof. The local expression for the action of P is

$$P \cdot j^1\dot{A} = (P_{\alpha}^J \dot{A}_J^{\alpha} + \underline{P}_{\alpha}^{Jj} \dot{A}_{j,j}^{\alpha}) \otimes dx, \quad 0 \leq |J| \leq k-1. \quad (5.21)$$

Restricting $P(x)$ to sections for which $\dot{A}_J^\alpha(x) = 0$ for all J , $|J| \leq k - 1$, i.e., to elements of

$$V^0 J^1(J^{k-1}W) = \text{Kernel } \pi_0^1, \quad (5.22)$$

the action of P determines σ uniquely. In other words, the inclusion ι_1^0 of the vertical bundle induces

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_1^{0*} : L(J^1(J^{k-1}W), \wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X}) &\longrightarrow L(VJ^1(J^{k-1}W), \wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X}), \\ &\cong L(L(T\mathcal{X}, J^{k-1}W), \wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X}), \\ &\cong T\mathcal{X} \otimes (J^{k-1}W)^* \otimes \wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X}, \\ &\cong (J^{k-1}W)^* \otimes \wedge^{n-1} T^*\mathcal{X}, \\ &\cong L(J^{k-1}W, \wedge^{n-1}\mathcal{X}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

where we used the natural isomorphism $VJ^1U \cong L(T\mathcal{X}, U)$ for any vector bundle U over \mathcal{X} , and the isomorphism

$$T\mathcal{X} \otimes \wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \wedge^{n-1} T^*\mathcal{X}, \quad (v, \theta) \longmapsto v \lrcorner \theta. \quad (5.24)$$

Thus, locally,

$$\sigma_\alpha^{Jj} = \underline{p}_\alpha^{Jj}. \quad (5.25)$$

□

Proposition 7. *There is a natural linear differential operator div mapping jets of non-holonomic stress fields into body hyper-force fields. The differential operator div is defined by*

$$\text{div } P = \mathfrak{d} \circ p_\sigma(P) - P. \quad (5.26)$$

The non-holonomic stress P represents the force system $(\mathbf{b}, \{t_{\mathcal{R}}\})$ if and only if

$$p_\sigma \circ P = \sigma, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{div } P + \mathbf{b} = 0. \quad (5.27)$$

Proof. To prove that $\text{div } P$ is a section of $L(J^{k-1}W, \wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X})$, we use (5.25) and (5.12). Thus, locally, for $0 \leq |J| \leq k - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div } P &= (\underline{p}_{\alpha,j}^{Jj} \mathbf{e}_J^\alpha + \underline{p}_\alpha^{Jj} \mathbf{e}_J^\alpha \otimes \partial_j - p_\alpha^J \mathbf{e}_J^\alpha - \underline{p}_\alpha^{Jj} \mathbf{e}_J^\alpha \otimes \partial_j) \otimes dx, \\ &= (\underline{p}_{\alpha,j}^{Jj} - p_\alpha^J) \mathbf{e}_J^\alpha \otimes dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

In view of Propositions 5 and 6, it remains to show that $\mathbf{b} = -\text{div } P$. This follows, however, from (5.15) and (5.26). □

6. INDUCED VARIATIONAL STRESSES

One could naively assume that just as in the case $k = 1$, a variational hyper-stress, a section of $L(J^k W, \wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X})$ will induce a unique traction hyper-stress. This, however, is not the case. It is sufficient to observe that in the local expression for the variational hyper-stress $S_\alpha^I \mathbf{e}_I^\alpha \otimes dx$, $|I| \leq k$, the components S_α^I are symmetric under permutations of I . On the other hand, in the local

expression for the traction hyper-stress $\sigma_\alpha^{J^i} \mathbf{e}_J^\alpha \otimes (\partial_i \lrcorner dx)$, $|J| \leq k-1$, the arrays σ^{J^i} , which are of the same order as S_α^J , need not be symmetric under all permutations of Jj . In other words, unlike the non-holonomic stress that is in one-to-one correspondence with a force system, the variational hyper-stress encodes less information and cannot be used to determine the hyper-traction on the boundaries of subbodies. The present section studies these issues with some detail.

6.1. The variational hyper-stress induced by a non-holonomic stress. Let

$$l_{1,k-1}^k : J^k W \longrightarrow J^1(J^{k-1}W), \quad j^k w(x) \longmapsto j^1(j^{k-1}w)(x), \quad (6.1)$$

be the natural inclusion of holonomic elements. Then, the dual mapping

$$l_{1,k-1}^{k*} : L(J^1(J^{k-1}W), \wedge^n T^* \mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow L(J^k W, \wedge^n T^* \mathcal{X}), \quad P \longmapsto P \circ l_{1,k-1}^k, \quad (6.2)$$

assigns variational hyper-stresses to non-holonomic stresses. Let $S = l_{1,k-1}^{k*}(P)$. The local representations of the actions of S and P imply that for any section w of W ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_\alpha^I w_\alpha^I &= P_\alpha^J w_\alpha^J + \underline{P}_\alpha^{Jj} w_{,Jj}, & 0 \leq |I| \leq k, \quad 0 \leq |J| \leq k-1, \\ &= P_\alpha w^\alpha + \overrightarrow{P}_\alpha^{Lj} w_{,Lj}^\alpha + \underline{P}_\alpha^{Lj} w_{,Lj}^\alpha + \overleftarrow{P}_\alpha^K w_{,K}^\alpha, & 0 \leq |L| \leq k-2, \quad |K| = k, \\ &= P_\alpha w^\alpha + (\overrightarrow{P}_\alpha^{Lj} + \underline{P}_\alpha^{Lj}) w_{,Lj}^\alpha + \overleftarrow{P}_\alpha^K w_{,K}^\alpha, \\ &= P_\alpha w^\alpha + (P_\alpha^J + \underline{P}_\alpha^J) w_\alpha^J + \overleftarrow{P}_\alpha^K w_{,K}^\alpha, & 1 \leq |J| \leq k-1, \quad |K| = k. \end{aligned}$$

As the relations above should hold for any point $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and since compatibility imposes no restrictions on the point values of the various derivatives, we conclude that $S = l_{1,k-1}^{k*}(P)$ is represented by

$$\begin{aligned} S_\alpha^K &= \overleftarrow{P}_\alpha^{(K)}, & |K| = k, \\ S_\alpha^J &= P_\alpha^J + \overleftarrow{P}_\alpha^{(J)}, & 1 \leq |J| < k, \\ S_\alpha &= P_\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

6.2. The reduced exterior jet. The *reduced exterior jet* of a traction hyper-stress σ is defined as

$$\mathfrak{d}_k \sigma := l_{1,k-1}^{k*}(\mathfrak{d}\sigma), \quad (6.4)$$

that is,

$$\mathfrak{d}_k \sigma \cdot j^k w = \mathfrak{d}\sigma \cdot j^1(j^{k-1}w). \quad (6.5)$$

Using (6.3) and (5.12) one obtains immediately,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{d}_k \sigma)_\alpha^K &= \overleftarrow{\sigma}_\alpha^{(K)}, & |K| = k, \\ (\mathfrak{d}_k \sigma)_\alpha^J &= \sigma_{\alpha,j}^{Jj} + \overleftarrow{\sigma}_\alpha^{(J)}, & 1 \leq |J| < k, \\ (\mathfrak{d}_k \sigma)_\alpha &= \sigma_{\alpha,j}^j. \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

Evidently, one can obtain these relations directly from (6.5).

6.3. The variational hyper-stress induced by a consistent hyper-force system. Let $(\mathbf{b}, \{\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}}\})$ be a consistent hyper-force system corresponding to the traction hyper-stress σ . Then, the corresponding unique non-holonomic stress, as in (5.18), determines through (6.3) a unique variational hyper-stress S given locally by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\alpha}^K &= \overleftarrow{\sigma}_{\alpha}^{(K)}, & |K| &= k, \\ S_{\alpha}^J &= \sigma_{\alpha,j}^{Jj} + \mathbf{b}_{\alpha}^J + \overleftarrow{\sigma}_{\alpha}^{(J)}, & 1 \leq |J| < k, \\ S_{\alpha} &= \sigma_{\alpha,j}^j + \mathbf{b}_{\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

As mentioned above, only the symmetrized components of σ determine S . Conversely, specifying S and \mathbf{b} is not sufficient in order to determine a unique traction hyper-stress.

One may be tempted to postulate a-priori that the components of σ be symmetric. However, as shown below, symmetry of the components of elements of $L(J^{k-1}W, \wedge^{n-1}T^*\mathcal{X})$ is not an invariant property.

Example 8. Consider the case where $W = \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $k-1 = 3$. Let $x^{i'} = x^{i'}(x^i)$ be a local coordinate transformation. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} w_{,i'} &= w_{,i} x_{,i'}^i, \\ w_{,i'j'} &= w_{,ij} x_{,i'}^i x_{,j'}^j + w_{,ix} x_{,i'j'}^i, \\ w_{,i'j'k'} &= w_{,ijk} x_{,i'}^i x_{,j'}^j x_{,k'}^k + w_{,ijk} x_{,i'j'}^i x_{,k'}^k + w_{,ijk} x_{,i'k'}^i x_{,j'}^j + w_{,ijk} x_{,i'j'k'}^i \\ &\quad + w_{,ik} x_{,i'j'}^i x_{,k'}^k + w_{,ix} x_{,i'j'k'}^i. \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

Invariance of the action imposes the condition that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{I'l} w_{,I} \partial_{l'} \lrcorner dx &= \sigma^{I'l'} w_{,I'} \partial_{l'} \lrcorner dx', & |I| &\leq 3, \\ &= \sigma^{I'l'} w_{,I'} x_{,l'}^l \partial_{l'} \lrcorner dx \mathcal{J}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

where \mathcal{J} is the Jacobian determinant. After substitution of the transformed derivatives, and in view of the arbitrariness of the values of $w_{,I}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{ijkl} / \mathcal{J} &= \sigma^{i'j'k'l'} x_{,i'}^i x_{,j'}^j x_{,k'}^k x_{,l'}^l, \\ \sigma^{ijl} / \mathcal{J} &= \sigma^{i'j'l'} x_{,i'}^i x_{,j'}^j x_{,l'}^l + \sigma^{i'j'k'l'} (x_{,i'k'}^i x_{,j'}^j x_{,l'}^l \\ &\quad + x_{,i'}^i x_{,j'k'}^j x_{,l'}^l + x_{,i'j'}^i x_{,k'}^k x_{,l'}^l), \\ \sigma^{il} / \mathcal{J} &= \sigma^{i'l'} x_{,i'}^i x_{,l'}^l + \sigma^{i'j'l'} x_{,i'j'}^i x_{,l'}^l + \sigma^{i'j'k'l'} x_{,i'j'k'}^i x_{,l'}^l, \\ \sigma^l / \mathcal{J} &= \sigma^{l'} x_{,l'}^l. \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

We note that in case $\sigma^{i'j'k'l'}$ is symmetric, so is σ^{ijkl} . However, even if all the components $\sigma^{I'}$ are symmetric, this need not hold for σ^{ijl} and σ^{il} .

We conclude that symmetry of the components of a traction hyper-stress is not an invariant property.

Example 9. It is observed that for the case $k = 2$, so that $\sigma^{ijl} = \sigma^{ijkl} = 0$ in the last equation, σ^{il} is symmetric if and only if $\sigma^{i'l'}$ is symmetric. Hence, for $k = 2$, one may impose the condition that σ^{ij} is symmetric. This, together with the condition $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^i = 0$, imply that the variational stress determines a unique traction hyper-stress and a unique body hyper-force.

In light of the foregoing discussion we make the following

Definition 10. A variational hyper-stress S is said to be *consistent* with a non-holonomic stress P if $S = \iota_{1,k-1}^{k*}(P)$.

Proposition 11. *If a variational hyper-stress S is consistent with a non-holonomic stress P representing a hyper-force system $\{F_{\mathcal{R}}\} = (\mathbf{b}, \{\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}}\})$, then, although \mathbf{b} and $\{\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{R}}\}$ cannot be determined by S , the force functionals $\{F_{\mathcal{R}}\}$ can be determined by S in the form*

$$F_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{w}) = \int_{\mathcal{R}} S \cdot j^k \mathbf{w}. \quad (6.11)$$

In other words, while S represents the force system $\{F_{\mathcal{R}}\}$ as in Sections 3.3, 3.4, it does not determine the corresponding body hyper-force and hyper-tractions.

Proof. The assertion follows immediately from the fact that consistency implies that

$$F_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{w}) = \int_{\mathcal{R}} P \cdot j^1(j^{k-1} \mathbf{w}) = \int_{\mathcal{R}} S \cdot j^k \mathbf{w}. \quad (6.12)$$

□

7. SPACES OF HYPER-STRESSES AND CONSTITUTIVE RELATIONS

Hyper-stresses have been defined above in the context of some particular configuration κ . To formulate constitutive relations, one has to identify the appropriate spaces to which hyperstresses belong for all possible configurations. The present section is concerned with these issues.

7.1. The space of variational hyper-stress density values. We first recall the following two natural isomorphisms. As fiber bundles over \mathcal{X} ,

$$VJ^k \mathcal{Y} \simeq J^k V \mathcal{Y}, \quad (7.1)$$

and for any section $\kappa : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$,

$$(j^k \kappa)^* VJ^k \mathcal{Y} \simeq J^k(\kappa^* V \mathcal{Y}) \quad (7.2)$$

(see [Sau89, Theorem 4.4.1] and [Pal68, Theorem. 17.1, p. 82]).

For a configuration κ and a point $x \in \mathcal{X}$, consider the value $S(x)$ of some variational hyper-stress field at the configuration κ . Using the isomorphism

above and recalling (3.10), one has,

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(x) &\in L((J^k W)_x, (\wedge^n T^* \mathcal{X})_x), \\
 &= L((J^k(\kappa^* V \mathcal{Y}))_x, (\wedge^n T^* \mathcal{X})_x), \\
 &= L(((j^k \kappa)^* V J^k \mathcal{Y})_x, (\wedge^n T^* \mathcal{X})_x), \\
 &= L((V J^k \mathcal{Y})_{j^k \kappa(x)}, \pi^{k*} (\wedge^n T^* \mathcal{X})_{j^k \kappa(x)}), \\
 &= L(V J^k \mathcal{Y}, \pi^{k*} \wedge^n T^* \mathcal{X})_{j^k \kappa(x)}, \\
 &= \left[(j^k \kappa)^* L(V J^k \mathcal{Y}, \pi^{k*} \wedge^n T^* \mathcal{X}) \right]_x.
 \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

One concludes that the values of variational hyper-stress fields may always be identified with elements of the vector bundle

$$\pi_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{S} := L(V J^k \mathcal{Y}, \pi^{k*} \wedge^n T^* \mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow J^k \mathcal{Y}, \tag{7.4}$$

independently of the configuration under consideration. For any configuration κ , variational hyper-stress densities are valued in

$$(j^k \kappa)^* \mathcal{S} \simeq L(J^k(\kappa^* V \mathcal{Y}), \wedge^n T^* \mathcal{X}). \tag{7.5}$$

Intuitively, sections of $J^k \mathcal{Y}$ may be thought of as “local configurations” and sections of $V J^k \mathcal{Y}$ may be conceived as variations thereof or as “local velocity fields”. Thus, following this line of thought, variational hyper-stresses may be thought of as “local forces”.

7.2. The space of traction hyper-stress values. In analogy with Section 7.1, we identify here the space where traction hyper-stress fields assume their values, independently of a particular configuration. Thus, for a configuration κ and a point $x \in \mathcal{X}$, we consider the value $\sigma(x)$ of some traction hyper-stress field at the configuration κ . One has,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma(x) &\in L((J^{k-1} W)_x, (\wedge^{n-1} T^* \mathcal{X})_x), \\
 &= L((J^{k-1}(\kappa^* V \mathcal{Y}))_x, (\wedge^{n-1} T^* \mathcal{X})_x), \\
 &= L(((j^{k-1} \kappa)^* V J^{k-1} \mathcal{Y})_x, (\wedge^{n-1} T^* \mathcal{X})_x), \\
 &= L((V J^{k-1} \mathcal{Y})_{j^{k-1} \kappa(x)}, \pi^{k-1*} (\wedge^{n-1} T^* \mathcal{X})_{j^{k-1} \kappa(x)}), \\
 &= L(V J^{k-1} \mathcal{Y}, \pi^{k-1*} \wedge^{n-1} T^* \mathcal{X})_{j^{k-1} \kappa(x)}, \\
 &= \left[(j^{k-1} \kappa)^* L(V J^{k-1} \mathcal{Y}, \pi^{k-1*} \wedge^{n-1} T^* \mathcal{X}) \right]_x.
 \end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

It is concluded that the values of traction hyper-stress fields may be identified with elements of the vector bundle

$$\pi_{\mathcal{T}} : \mathcal{T} := L(V J^{k-1} \mathcal{Y}, \pi^{k-1*} \wedge^{n-1} T^* \mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow J^{k-1} \mathcal{Y}. \tag{7.7}$$

For a given configuration κ , traction hyper-stresses are valued in

$$(j^{k-1}\kappa)^*\mathfrak{T} \simeq L((j^{k-1}\kappa)^*VJ^{k-1}\mathcal{Y}, \wedge^{n-1}T^*\mathcal{X}). \quad (7.8)$$

7.3. The space of values of non-holonomic stresses. Let

$$A : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow J^{k-1}\mathcal{Y} \quad (7.9)$$

be a smooth section and let $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Then, the value of a non-holonomic stress field P at x satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &\in L((J^1(J^{k-1}W))_x, (\wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X})_x), \\ &= L((J^1(A^*VJ^{k-1}\mathcal{Y}))_x, (\wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X})_x), \\ &= L(((j^1A)^*(VJ^1(J^{k-1}\mathcal{Y})))_x, (\wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X})_x), \\ &= L((VJ^1(J^{k-1}\mathcal{Y}))_{j^1A(x)}, \pi^{1*}(\wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X})_{j^1A(x)}), \\ &= L((VJ^1(J^{k-1}\mathcal{Y})), \pi^{1*}(\wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X}))_{j^1A(x)}, \\ &= \left[(j^1A)^*L((VJ^1(J^{k-1}\mathcal{Y})), \pi^{1*}(\wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X})) \right]_x. \end{aligned} \quad (7.10)$$

Here, we view $\pi^{k-1} : J^{k-1}\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ as a fiber bundle over \mathcal{X} , and so $\pi^1 : J^1(J^{k-1}\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is the natural projection. Hence, values of non-holonomic hyper-stress fields may be viewed as elements of the vector bundle

$$\pi_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P} := L((VJ^1(J^{k-1}\mathcal{Y})), \pi^{1*}(\wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X})) \longrightarrow J^1(J^{k-1}\mathcal{Y}). \quad (7.11)$$

For a given section $A : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow J^{k-1}\mathcal{Y}$, non-holonomic stresses at A are valued in

$$(j^1A)^*\mathcal{P} = L(J^1(A^*VJ^{k-1}\mathcal{Y}), \wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X}). \quad (7.12)$$

7.4. Elastic constitutive relations. Once the spaces of hyper-stresses have been identified, one may introduce constitutive relations for the variational hyper-stresses and for the non-holonomic stresses.

An elastic constitutive relation for the variational hyper-stress is a section

$$\Psi : J^k\mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{E} = L(VJ^k\mathcal{Y}, \pi^{k*}\wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X}) \quad (7.13)$$

of $\pi_{\mathfrak{E}}$. Thus, a constitutive relation assigns a value of a variational hyper-stress at a point x to a k -jet of a section at x . In particular, for a section κ ,

$$\Psi \circ j^k\kappa : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{E} \quad (7.14)$$

is identified with a variational hyper-stress field according to (7.3).

Similarly, an elastic constitutive relation for the non-holonomic stress is a section

$$\Phi : J^1(J^{k-1}\mathcal{Y}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P} = L((VJ^1(J^{k-1}\mathcal{Y})), \pi^{1*}(\wedge^n T^*\mathcal{X})) \quad (7.15)$$

of $\pi_{\mathcal{P}}$. For a section $A : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow J^{k-1}\mathcal{Y}$,

$$\Phi \circ j^1A : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P} \quad (7.16)$$

is identified with a non-holonomic stress field according to (7.10). In particular, for a section $\kappa : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, one has the induced non-holonomic stress field $\Phi \circ j^1(j^{k-1}\kappa)$.

REFERENCES

- [AA11] H. Askes and E. C. Aifantis. Gradient elasticity in statics and dynamics: An overview of formulations, length scale identification procedures, finite element implementations and new results. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 48:1962–1990, 2011.
- [Aif92] E. C. Aifantis. On the role of gradients in the localization of deformation and fracture. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 30:1279–1299, 1992.
- [Ber17] A. Bertram. *Compendium on Gradient Materials*. 2017.
- [BŚF88] E. Binz, J. Śniatycki, and H. Fischer. *Geometry of Classical Fields*. North-Holland, 1988.
- [dSC15] F. dell’Isola, P. Seppecher, and A. della Corte. The postulations á la D’Alembert and á la Cauchy for higher gradient continuum theories are equivalent: a review of existing results. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A*, 471:20150415, 2015.
- [dSM12] F. dell’Isola, P. Seppecher, and A. Madeo. How contact interactions may depend on the shape of Cauchy cuts in N th gradient continua: approach “á la D’Alembert”. *Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematic und Physik*, 63:1119–1141, 2012.
- [Fos16] R. Fosdick. A generalized continuum theory with internal corner and surface contact interactions. *Continuum Mechanics and Thermodynamics*, 28:275–292, 2016.
- [GIMM03] M.J. Gotay, J. Isenberg, J. E. Marsden, and R. Montgomery. Momentum maps and classical fields. Part I: Covariant field theory, 2003. arXiv:physics/9801019 [math-ph].
- [GMS09] G. Giachetta, L. Mangiarotti, and G. Sardanashvily. *Advanced Classical Field Theory*. World Scientific, 2009.
- [Hir76] M. Hirsch. *Differential Topology*. Springer, 1976.
- [KOS17] R. Kupferman, E. Olami, and R. Segev. Stress theory for classical fields. *Mathematics and Mechanics of Solids*, 2017. DOI:10.1177/1081286517723697.
- [KT79] J. Kijowski and W. M. Tuczajew. *A Symplectic Framework for Field Theories*. Number 107 in Lecture Notes in Physics. Springer, 1979.
- [Lew14] A. Lewis. *Notes on Global Analysis*. Appendix F: Multilinear Algebra, 2014. <http://www.mast.queensu.ca/~andrew/teaching/math942/pdf/1appendixf.pdf>.
- [LR85] M. de León and P. R. Rodrigues. *Generalized Classical Mechanics and Field Theory: A Geometrical Approach of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian Formalisms Involving Higher Order Derivatives*. North-Holland, 1985.
- [Mic80] P. W. Michor. *Manifolds of Differentiable Mappings*. Shiva, 1980.
- [Min64] R. D. Mindlin. Micro-structure in linear elasticity. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 16:51–78, 1964.
- [Min65] R. D. Mindlin. Second gradient of strain and surface tension in linear elasticity. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 1:417–438, 1965.
- [Nol59] W. Noll. The foundations of classical mechanics in the light of recent advances in continuum mechanics. In Leon Henkin, Patrick Suppes, and Alfred Tarski, editors, *The Axiomatic Method, with Special Reference to Geometry and Physics*, pages 266–281. North-Holland, 1959.
- [NV90] W. Noll and E. G. Virga. On edge interactions and surface tension. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 111:1–31, 1990.
- [Pal68] R. S. Palais. *Foundations of Global Non-Linear Analysis*. Benjamin, 1968.
- [PG15] P. Podio-Guidugli. Cauchy’s construction for flat complex bodies. *Journal of Elasticity*, 118:101–107, 2015.

- [RA93] C. Q. Ru and E. C. Aifantis. A simple approach to solve boundary-value problems in gradient elasticity. *Acta Mechanica*, 101:59–68, 1993.
- [Sau89] D. J. Saunders. *The Geometry of Jet Bundles*, volume 142 of *London Mathematical Society: Lecture Notes Series*. Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [SD91] R. Segev and G. DeBotton. On the consistency conditions for force systems. *International Journal of Nonlinear Mechanics*, 26:47–59, 1991.
- [Seg86] R. Segev. Forces and the existence of stresses in invariant continuum mechanics. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 27:163–170, 1986.
- [Seg13] R. Segev. Notes on metric independent analysis of classical fields. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 36:497–566, 2013.
- [Seg17] R. Segev. Geometric analysis of hyper-stresses. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 120:100–118, 2017.
- [SR99] R. Segev and G. Rodnay. Cauchy’s theorem on manifolds. *Journal of Elasticity*, 56(2):129–144, 1999.
- [Ś17] R. Segev and J. Śniatycki. On jets, almost symmetric tensors, and traction hyper-stresses. October 2017. arXiv: 1710.10496v1.
- [Tou62] R. A. Toupin. Elastic materials with couple-stresses. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 11:385–414, 1962.
- [Tou64] R. A. Toupin. Theories of elasticity with couple-stress. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 17:85–112, 1964.

REUVEN SEGEV, DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, BEER-SHEVA, ISRAEL, RSEGEV@BGU.AC.IL

JĘDRZEJ ŚNIATYCKI, DEPARTMENTS OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY, CALGARY, ALBERTA, AND UNIVERSITY OF VICTORIA, B.C., CANADA, SNIATYCKI@GMAIL.COM,