

EMBEDDING THE PICARD GROUP INSIDE THE CLASS GROUP: THE CASE OF \mathbb{Q} -FACTORIAL COMPLETE TORIC VARIETIES

MICHELE ROSSI AND LEA TERRACINI

ABSTRACT. Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial complete toric variety over an algebraic closed field of characteristic 0. There is a canonical injection of the Picard group $\text{Pic}(X)$ in the group $\text{Cl}(X)$ of classes of Weil divisors. These two groups are finitely generated abelian groups; whilst the first one is a free group, the second one may have torsion. We investigate algebraic and geometrical conditions under which the image of $\text{Pic}(X)$ in $\text{Cl}(X)$ is contained in a free part of the latter group.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Algebraic considerations	4
2. Application to toric varieties	5
2.1. Notation on toric varieties	6
2.2. A sufficient condition	8
2.3. Examples	10
2.4. The case $ \mathcal{SF}(V) = 1$	12
3. A characterization of $\text{Pic}(X)$ for some pure toric variety	16
References	18

INTRODUCTION

Let X be an irreducible and normal algebraic variety over an algebraic closed field k of characteristic 0. Then the group $H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^*/\mathcal{O}^*)$ of Cartier divisors of X can be represented as the subgroup of locally principal divisors of the group $\text{Div}(X)$ of Weil divisors [5, Rem. II.6.11.2]. Quotienting both this groups by their subgroup of principal divisors one realizes the group $\text{CaCl}(X)$ of classes of Cartier divisors as a subgroup of the group $\text{Cl}(X)$ of classes of Weil divisors. In addition it turns out a canonical isomorphism between $\text{CaCl}(X)$ and the Picard group $\text{Pic}(X)$ of classes of isomorphic line bundles on X [5, Propositions II.6.13,15], so giving a canonical injection

$$(1) \quad \text{Pic}(X) \hookrightarrow \text{Cl}(X) .$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14M25, 20K15, 20K10.

Key words and phrases. \mathbb{Q} -factorial complete toric varieties, Cartier and Weil divisors, pure modules, free and torsion subgroups, localization, completion of fans.

The first author is partially supported by the I.N.D.A.M. as a member of the G.N.S.A.G.A..

For this reason, in this paper we will not distinguish between linear equivalence classes of Cartier divisors and isomorphism classes of line bundles, so identifying $\text{CaCl}(X) = \text{Pic}(X)$.

Assume now that $\text{Cl}(X)$ is finitely generated. It is well known that a finitely generated abelian group decomposes (non canonically) as a direct sum of a free part and its torsion subgroup. Both $\text{Pic}(X)$ and $\text{Cl}(X)$ may have non trivial torsion and clearly (1) induces an injection $\text{Tors}(\text{Pic}(X)) \hookrightarrow \text{Tors}(\text{Cl}(X))$. Then the following natural question arises:

(*) *let F_C and F_W be free parts of $\text{Pic}(X)$ and $\text{Cl}(X)$, respectively, that is free subgroups such that*

$$\text{Pic}(X) = F_C \oplus \text{Tors}(\text{Pic}(X)), \quad \text{Cl}(X) = F_W \oplus \text{Tors}(\text{Cl}(X));$$

under which conditions on X , $F_C \subseteq \text{Pic}(X)$ and $F_W \subseteq \text{Cl}(X)$ the injection (1) may induce an injection

$$(2) \quad F_C \hookrightarrow F_W ?$$

One should expect some geometric condition on X guaranteeing the existence of the injection (2), but we could not find anything, in the current literature, helping us to answer problem (*). Motivated by algebraic considerations (see Proposition 1.5) we call *pure* a normal, irreducible algebraic variety X such that $\text{Cl}(X)$ is finitely generated and there exist free parts F_C and F_W positively answering problem (*) (see Definition 2.1). On the contrary if for each choice of free parts F_C and F_W the injection (1) does not induce any injection (2) then X is called *impure*. Obvious examples of pure varieties are given by those varieties X whose class group $\text{Cl}(X)$ is finitely generated and free, and by smooth varieties admitting a finitely generated class group. Examples of impure varieties are in general more involved: some of them are given in § 2.3.

In the present paper we will consider the easier case of a \mathbb{Q} -factorial complete toric variety X , essentially for three reasons:

- (a) $\text{Cl}(X)$ is a finitely generated abelian group (see e.g. [2, Thm. 4.1.3])
- (b) $\text{Pic}(X)$ is free, i.e. $\text{Tors}(\text{Pic}(X)) = 0$ (see e.g. [2, Prop. 4.2.5])
- (c) locally principal divisors can be easily described by means of principal divisors on affine open subsets of $X(\Sigma)$ associated with maximal cones of the fan Σ .

Conditions (a) and (b) translate problem (*) in the following

(**) *under which conditions on X there exists a free part F of $\text{Cl}(X)$ such that (1) induces an injection $\text{Pic}(X) \hookrightarrow F$?*

The main result of the present paper is a sufficient condition for a \mathbb{Q} -factorial complete toric variety to be a pure variety. This is given by Theorem 2.4 and can be geometrically summarized as follows:

Theorem 0.1 (see Theorem 2.4 and Remark 2.6). *Let $X(\Sigma)$ be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial complete toric variety of dimension n . Then it admits a canonical covering $Y(\widehat{\Sigma}) \rightarrow X(\Sigma)$, unramified in codimension 1, such that the class group $\text{Cl}(Y)$ is free (this follows by [9, Thm. 2.2]). Both X and Y are orbifolds [2, Thm. 3.1.19 (b)]; let*

$$\{U_{\widehat{\sigma}}\}_{\widehat{\sigma} \in \widehat{\Sigma}(n)}$$

be the collection of affine charts given by the maximal cones and covering Y . Calling $\text{mult}(\hat{\sigma})$ the maximum order of a quotient singularity in the affine chart $U_{\hat{\sigma}}$ (i.e. the multiplicity of the cone $\hat{\sigma} \in \widehat{\Sigma}(n)$, see Definition 2.3), X is a pure variety if $m_{\Sigma} := \gcd\{\text{mult}(\hat{\sigma}) \mid \hat{\sigma} \in \widehat{\Sigma}(n)\}$ is coprime with the order of the Galois group of the covering $Y \rightarrow X$.

This is not a necessary condition: Example 2.9 gives a counterexample.

Section § 2.3 is entirely devoted to give non trivial examples of pure and impure varieties. A big class of non trivial examples of pure varieties is exhibited in Section § 2.4: namely it is given by

- all \mathbb{Q} -factorial complete toric varieties whose small \mathbb{Q} -factorial modifications ($s\mathbb{Q}m$) are actually isomorphisms.

Here $s\mathbb{Q}m$ of X means a birational map $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ such that f is an isomorphism in codimension 1 and Y is still a complete \mathbb{Q} -factorial toric variety. By the combinatorial point of view the previous geometric property translates in requiring that there is a unique simplicial and complete fan Σ admitting 1-skeleton given by $\Sigma(1)$. Our proof that those varieties are pure (see Proposition 2.14) passes through showing that every maximal simplicial cone generated by rays in $\Sigma(1)$ and not containing any further element of $\Sigma(1)$ other than its generators (we call *minimal* such a maximal simplicial cone) is actually a cone of a complete and simplicial fan. This fact produces a completion procedure of fans looking to be of some interest by itself (see Lemma 2.11 and § 2.4.1), when compared with standard completion procedures [3, Thm. III.2.8], [4], [6].

Further results of the present paper are given by:

- algebraic considerations given in § 1; apart from the definition of a pure submodule given in Definition 1.4 and some consequences appearing in Proposition 1.5, the rest of this section consists of original considerations, as far as we know;
- a characterization of $\text{Pic}(X)$ as a subgroup of $\text{Cl}(X)$, when X is a pure, \mathbb{Q} -factorial, complete, toric variety: in [8, Thm. 2.9.2] we gave a similar characterization in the case of a poly weighted space (PWS: see Notation 2.1), that is when $\text{Cl}(X)$ is free; a first generalization was given in [7, Thm. 3.2(3)] which is here improved in § 3 and in particular by Theorem 3.2;
- an example of a 4-dimensional simplicial fan whose completions necessarily require the addition of some new rays (see Example 2.17): Ewald, in his book [3], already announced the existence of examples of this kind (see the Appendix to Chapter III in [3]), but we were not able to recover it. We then believe that Example 2.17 may fill up a lack in the literature on these topics.

This paper is structured as follows. The first section gives all needed algebraic ingredients. Section 2 is devoted to state and prove the main result, given by Theorem 2.4, and produce examples of pure and impure varieties in the toric setup (see § 2.3 and § 2.4). Section 3 gives the above mentioned characterization of $\text{Pic}(X)$ as a subgroup of $\text{Cl}(X)$ when X is a pure, \mathbb{Q} -factorial, complete, toric variety.

1. ALGEBRAIC CONSIDERATIONS

Let A be a PID, \mathcal{M} be a finitely generated A -module of rank r , and $T = \text{Tors}_A(\mathcal{M})$.

Definition 1.1. A *free part* of \mathcal{M} is a free submodule $L \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{M} = L \oplus T$.

It is well known that a free part of \mathcal{M} always exists.

Let $H \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be a free submodule of rank h . In general it is not true that H is contained in a free part of \mathcal{M} . For example let $A = \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and let $H = \langle (2, 1) \rangle$.

Proposition 1.2. *There exist elements $a_1, \dots, a_h \in A$ such that $a_1|a_2|\dots|a_h$ satisfying the following property: every free part L of \mathcal{M} has a basis $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_r$ such that $a_1\mathbf{f}_1 + t_1, \dots, a_h\mathbf{f}_h + t_h$ is a basis of H for suitable $t_1, \dots, t_h \in T$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}/T$ the quotient map. The group \mathcal{M}/T is free of rank r . The restriction of π to H is injective, since H is free and $\ker(\pi) = T$. Therefore $\pi(H)$ is a subgroup of rank h of the free group \mathcal{M}/T . By the elementary divisor theorem, there exist a basis $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_r$ and element $a_1, \dots, a_h \in A$ such that $a_1|a_2|\dots|a_h$ and $a_1\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_1, \dots, a_h\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_h$ is a basis of $\pi(H)$. Let $\mathbf{h}_1, \dots, \mathbf{h}_h$ be the basis of H such that $\pi(\mathbf{h}_i) = a_i\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i$.

Now let L be a free part of \mathcal{M} . The decomposition $\mathcal{M} = L \oplus T$ gives rise to a section $s : \mathcal{M}/T \rightarrow L$, i.e. $\pi \circ s = id$. By putting $\mathbf{f}_i = s(\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i)$ we get a basis $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_r$ of L such that $a_1\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, a_h\mathbf{f}_h$ is a basis of $s(\pi(H))$. For $i = 1, \dots, h$ put $t_i = \mathbf{h}_i - a_i\mathbf{f}_i$. Then $t_i \in \ker(\pi) = T$, so that the claim is proved. \square

Remark 1.3. When $A = \mathbb{Z}$, the objects whose existence is established by Proposition 1.2 are effectively computable. In fact, assume that $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{Z}^r \oplus T$, where T is a finite group, and that $\mathbf{g}_1 + s_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_h + s_h$ is a basis of H , with $\mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_h \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ and $s_1, \dots, s_h \in T$. Let G be the $h \times r$ matrix having rows $\mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_h$. It is possible to compute the Smith normal form S of G and matrices $U \in \text{GL}_h(\mathbb{Z})$, $V \in \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $UGV = S$. Then the rows of V^{-1} give the basis $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_r$, the diagonal entries of S give $a_1, \dots, a_h \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover we recover the elements t_1, \dots, t_h by putting (with the obvious notation)

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ \vdots \\ t_h \end{pmatrix} = U \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ \vdots \\ s_h \end{pmatrix}.$$

The following definition is standard (see for example [10, Ex. B-3.6])

Definition 1.4. Let \mathcal{M} be an A -module. A submodule $\mathcal{M}' \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is said *pure* if the following property is satisfied:

if $am \in \mathcal{M}'$ for some $a \in A, m \in \mathcal{M}$, then there is $m' \in \mathcal{M}'$ such that $am' = am$.

Proposition 1.5. *The following are equivalent:*

- a) H is contained in a free part of \mathcal{M} .
- b) The image of T in \mathcal{M}/H is a free summand.
- c) The image of T in \mathcal{M}/H is a pure submodule.

d) Let L be a free part of \mathcal{M} and $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_r$ be a basis of L as in Proposition 1.2; then for $i = 1, \dots, h$ the element t_i is divisible by a_i in T , that is there exists $u_i \in T$ such that $t_i = a_i u_i$;

Proof. a) \Rightarrow b): let L be a free part of \mathcal{M} such that $H \subseteq L$. Then $\mathcal{M}/H = (L \oplus T)/H \cong (L/H) \oplus T$.

The equivalence of (b) and (c) is a the well-known fact that, for modules finitely generated over a PID, pure submodules and direct summands coincide (see for example [10, Ex. B-3.7 (ii)]).

c) \Rightarrow d): since $a_i \mathbf{f}_i + t_i \in H$, the image of $a_i \mathbf{f}_i$ in \mathcal{M}/H belongs to the image of T , for $i = 1, \dots, r$. By purity, there exists $u_i \in T$ such that the images of $a_i \mathbf{f}_i$ and $-a_i u_i$ coincide in \mathcal{M}/H , that is $a_i \mathbf{f}_i + a_i u_i \in H$. But then $t_i - a_i u_i \in H \cap T = \{0\}$, because H is free.

c) \Rightarrow d): let L' be the submodule of \mathcal{M} generated by $\mathbf{f}_1 + u_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_h + u_h, \mathbf{f}_{h+1}, \dots, \mathbf{f}_r$. Then L' is a free part of \mathcal{M} containing H . □

Notice that since H is free, $H \cap T = \{0\}$, so that the image of T in \mathcal{M}/H is isomorphic to T .

For every prime element p of A , we denote by $A_{(p)}$ the localization of A at the prime ideal (p) . If \mathcal{M} is an A -module, $\mathcal{M}_{(p)}$ is the localized $A_{(p)}$ -module.

The localization of T at (p) coincide with the p -torsion of T , and $T = \bigoplus_p T_{(p)}$.

If L is a free part of \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{M} = L \oplus T$ is the corresponding decomposition then $L_{(p)}$ is a free part of $\mathcal{M}_{(p)}$, that is there is a decomposition $\mathcal{M}_{(p)} = L_{(p)} \oplus T_{(p)}$. The natural map $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{(p)}$ is the sum of the injection $L \rightarrow L_{(p)}$ and the surjection $T \rightarrow T_{(p)}$.

Proposition 1.6. *H is contained in a free part of \mathcal{M} if and only if $H_{(p)}$ is contained in a free part of $\mathcal{M}_{(p)}$ for every prime element $p \in A$.*

Proof. If H is contained in a free part L of \mathcal{M} then $L_{(p)}$ is a free part of $\mathcal{M}_{(p)}$ containing $H_{(p)}$, every prime p of A . Conversely suppose that $H_{(p)}$ is contained in a free part of $\mathcal{M}_{(p)}$ for every prime element $p \in A$. Let L be a free part of \mathcal{M} , and $a_1, \dots, a_h \in A$, $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_r \in L$, $t_1, \dots, t_h \in T$ as in Proposition 1.2. Then $L_{(p)}$ is a free part of $\mathcal{M}_{(p)}$ having basis $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_r$ and $a_1 \mathbf{f}_1 + \tilde{t}_1, \dots, a_h \mathbf{f}_h + \tilde{t}_h$ is a basis of $H_{(p)}$ where $\tilde{t}_i \in T_{(p)}$ is the image of t_i by the map $T \rightarrow T_{(p)}$. Therefore \tilde{t}_i is the component of t_i in the p -torsion of T . By Proposition 1.5 b), \tilde{t}_i is divisible by a_i in $T_{(p)}$. Since this holds for every p , we obtain that t_i is divisible by a_i in T . Applying again Proposition 1.5 we see that H is contained in a free part of \mathcal{M} . □

2. APPLICATION TO TORIC VARIETIES

As already mentioned in the Introduction, we put the following

Definition 2.1. Let X be an irreducible and normal algebraic variety such that $\text{Cl}(X)$ is finitely generated. Then X is called *pure* if there exist free parts F_C and F_W of $\text{Pic}(X)$ and $\text{Cl}(X)$, respectively, such that the canonical injection $\text{Pic}(X) \hookrightarrow$

$\text{Cl}(X)$ descends to give the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Pic}(X) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Cl}(X) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ F_C & \hookrightarrow & F_W \end{array}$$

In particular, if $X = X(\Sigma)$ is a n -dimensional toric variety whose n -skeleton $\Sigma(n)$ is not empty (see the following § 2.1 for notation) then $\text{Pic}(X)$ is free (see e.g. [2, Prop. 4.2.5]), meaning that X is pure if and only if $\text{Pic}(X)$ is contained in a free part of $\text{Cl}(X)$.

If X is not pure, it is called *impure*.

Of course, if $\text{Cl}(X)$ is free then X is pure; moreover if X is smooth and $\text{Cl}(X)$ is finitely generated, then it is pure, because the injection $\text{Pic}(X) \hookrightarrow \text{Cl}(X)$ is an isomorphism.

Conversely, producing examples of impure varieties is definitely more complicated (see Example 2.8).

2.1. Notation on toric varieties. Let $X = X(\Sigma)$ be a n -dimensional toric variety associated with a fan Σ . Calling $\mathbb{T} \cong (k^*)^n$ the torus acting on X , we use the standard notation M , for the characters group of \mathbb{T} , and $N := \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Then Σ is a collection of cones in $N_{\mathbb{R}} := N \otimes \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$. $\Sigma(i)$ denotes the i -skeleton of Σ , that is the collection of i -dimensional cones in the fan Σ . We shall use the notation $\tau \preceq \sigma$ to indicate that the cone τ is a face of σ .

Given a toric variety $X(\Sigma)$ we will denote by $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(X) \subseteq \text{Div}(X)$ the subgroup of *torus invariant* Weil divisors and by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(X)$ the subgroup of Cartier torus invariant divisors. It is well known that

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(X) = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} \mathbb{Z} \cdot D_{\rho} \quad \text{where} \quad D_{\rho} := \overline{\mathbb{T} \cdot x_{\rho}}$$

the latter being the closure of the torus orbit of the *distinguished point* x_{ρ} of the ray ρ [2, § 3.2, § 4.1]. In particular the homomorphism $D \mapsto [D]$, sending a Weil divisor to its linear equivalence class, when restricted to torus invariant divisors still gives an epimorphism $d_X : \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Cl}(X)$ [2, Thm. 4.1.3].

In [8, Def. 2.7] we introduced the notion of a *poly weighted space* (PWS), which is a \mathbb{Q} -factorial complete toric variety Y whose class group $\text{Cl}(Y)$ is free. This is equivalent to say that Y is connected in codimension 1 (1-connected), that is the regular locus Y_{reg} of Y is simply connected, as Y is a normal variety: recall that $\pi_1(Y_{\text{reg}}) \cong \text{Tors}(\text{Cl}(Y)) = 0$ [9, Cor. 1.8, Thm. 2.1]. As proved in [9, Thm. 2.2], every \mathbb{Q} -factorial complete toric variety $X(\Sigma)$ is a finite quotient of a unique PWS $Y(\widehat{\Sigma})$, which is its universal *covering unramified in codimension 1* (1-covering). The Galois group of the torus equivariant covering $Y \twoheadrightarrow X$ is precisely the dual group $\mu(X) = \text{Hom}(\text{Tors}(\text{Cl}(X)), k^*)$. At lattice level, the equivariant surjection $Y \twoheadrightarrow X$ induces an injective automorphism $\beta : N \hookrightarrow N$ whose \mathbb{R} -linear extension $\beta_{\mathbb{R}} : N_{\mathbb{R}} \hookrightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ identifies the associated fans, that is $\beta_{\mathbb{R}}(\widehat{\Sigma}) = \Sigma$. Recall that one

has the following commutative diagram (see diagram (5) in [7])
(3)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & & & & 0 \\
& & & & & & \downarrow \\
& & & & & & \ker(\bar{\alpha}) = T \\
& & & & & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow[\mathbf{V}^T]{div_X} & \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(X) = \mathbb{Z}^{|\Sigma(1)|} & \xrightarrow[\mathbf{Q} \oplus \Gamma]{d_X} & \text{Cl}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \oplus T \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow \beta^T & & \downarrow \mathbf{I}_{n+r} \alpha & & \downarrow \bar{\alpha} \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow[\widehat{\mathbf{V}}^T]{div_Y} & \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(Y) = \mathbb{Z}^{|\widehat{\Sigma}(1)|} & \xrightarrow[\mathbf{Q}]{d_Y} & \text{Cl}(Y) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & \text{coker}(\beta^T) \cong T & & 0 & & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & & & \\
& & 0 & & & &
\end{array}$$

where

- $T = \text{Tors}(\text{Cl}(X))$;
- div_X, div_Y are the morphisms sending a character in M to the associated principal divisor in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(X), \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(Y)$, respectively;
- d_X, d_Y are the morphisms sending a torus invariant divisor in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(X), \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(Y)$, respectively, to its class in $\text{Cl}(X), \text{Cl}(Y)$, respectively;
- α is the identification $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(X) \cong \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(Y)$ induced by inverse images of rays by $\beta_{\mathbb{R}}$, that is

$$\alpha \left(\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} a_{\rho} D_{\rho} \right) = \sum_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\rho) \in \widehat{\Sigma}(1)} a_{\rho} D_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\rho)};$$

- $\bar{\alpha}$ is what induced by α on classes groups;
- V, \widehat{V} are matrices whose transposed represent div_X, div_Y , respectively, w.r.t. a chosen a basis of M and standard bases of torus orbits of rays of $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(X)$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(Y)$, respectively; since $|\Sigma(1)| = |\widehat{\Sigma}(1)| = n + r$, where

$$r = \text{rk}(\text{Cl}(Y)) = \text{rk}(\text{Cl}(X))$$

both V and \widehat{V} are $n \times (n+r)$ integer matrices called *fan matrices* of X and Y , respectively; they turns out to be *F-matrices*, in the sense of [8, Def. 3.10], and \widehat{V} is also a *CF-matrix*; notice that, still calling β the representative matrix of the homonymous morphism $\beta : N \hookrightarrow N$ w.r.t. the basis dual to that chosen in M , there is the relation

$$V = \beta \cdot \widehat{V}$$

(see [8, Prop. 3.1 (3)] and [9, Rem. 2.4]); concretely, both V and \widehat{V} can be obtained as matrices whose columns represent primitive generators of rays

in $\Sigma(1)$ and $\widehat{\Sigma}(1)$, respectively, w.r.t. the dual basis i.e.

$$V = (\mathbf{v}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{v}_{n+r}) , \quad \widehat{V} = (\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_1 \ \cdots \ \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{n+r})$$

where $\Sigma(1) = \{ \langle \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \mathbf{v}_{n+r} \rangle \}$, $\widehat{\Sigma}(1) = \{ \langle \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{n+r} \rangle \}$, being $\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle$ the ray generated by \mathbf{v} in $\mathbb{R}^n \cong N_{\mathbb{R}}$;

- Q is a matrix representing d_Y w.r.t. a chosen basis of $\text{Cl}(Y)$; it is a $r \times (n+r)$ integer matrix which turns out to be a *Gale dual matrix* of both V and \widehat{V} , in the sense of [8, § 3.1] and a *W-matrix*, in the sense of [8, Def. 3.9]; it is called a *weight matrix* of both X and Y ;
- the choice of a basis of $\text{Cl}(Y)$ as above, determines a basis of a free part of $\text{Cl}(X)$; complete such a basis with a set of generators of the torsion subgroup $T \subseteq \text{Cl}(X)$; then d_X decomposes as $d_X = f_X \oplus \tau_X$ where

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathbb{Z}^r \\ & \nearrow f_X & \uparrow \pi_1 \\ \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(X) & \xrightarrow{d_X} & \text{Cl}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \oplus T \\ & \searrow \tau_X & \downarrow \pi_2 \\ & & T \end{array} \quad ;$$

with respect to these choices, the weight matrix Q turns out to be a representative matrix of f_X , too, while morphism τ_X is represented by a *torsion matrix* Γ [7, Thm. 3.2 (6)].

2.1.1. *Some further notation.* Let $A \in \mathbf{M}(d, m; \mathbb{Z})$ be a $d \times m$ integer matrix, then

- $\mathcal{L}_r(A) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^m$ denotes the sublattice spanned by the rows of A ;
- $\mathcal{L}_c(A) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ denotes the sublattice spanned by the columns of A ;
- $A_I, A^I \quad \forall I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ the former is the submatrix of A given by the columns indexed by I and the latter is the submatrix of A whose columns are indexed by the complementary subset $\{1, \dots, m\} \setminus I$;

Given a fan matrix $V = (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{n+r}) \in \mathbf{M}(n, n+r; \mathbb{Z})$ then

- $\langle V \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{n+r} \rangle \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the cone generated by the columns of V ;
- $\mathcal{SF}(V) = \mathcal{SF}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{n+r})$ is the set of all rational simplicial and complete fans Σ such that $\Sigma(1) = \{ \langle \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \mathbf{v}_{n+r} \rangle \} \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ (see [8, Def. 1.3]).

Given a fan $\Sigma \in \mathcal{SF}(V)$ we put

$$\mathcal{I}^{\Sigma} = \{ I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n+r\} : \langle V^I \rangle \in \Sigma(n) \}.$$

2.2. **A sufficient condition.** This section is aimed to give a sufficient condition for a \mathbb{Q} -factorial complete toric variety to be a pure variety. Let us, first of all, outline some equivalent facts.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $X(\Sigma)$ be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial complete toric variety, $Y(\widehat{\Sigma}) \rightarrow X(\Sigma)$ be its universal 1-covering, V and \widehat{V} be fan matrices of X and Y , respectively. Assuming notation as in diagram (3), the following are equivalent:*

- a) X is a pure variety;
 b) there is a decomposition $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(X) = \mathcal{L}_r(\widehat{V}) \oplus F$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_r(V) \oplus F$;
 c) for every prime p there exists a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -module F_p and a decomposition

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(X)_{(p)} = \mathcal{L}_r(\widehat{V})_{(p)} \oplus F_p$$

such that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}(X)_{(p)} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_r(V)_{(p)} \oplus F_p$.

Proof. a) \Rightarrow b): if X is a pure variety, let $\text{Cl}(X) = L \oplus T$ be a decomposition such that L is a free part and $\text{Pic}(X) \subseteq L$. We can identify L with \mathbb{Z}^r in the first row of diagram (3). Let $s : L \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(X)$ be any section (i.e. $Q \circ s = id_L$) and put $F = s(L)$. If $x \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(X)$, write $d_X(x) = a + b$, with $a \in L$ and $b \in T$. Then $Q(x - s(a)) = 0$ so that $x - s(a) \in \mathcal{L}_r(\widehat{V})$; this proves that $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(X) = \mathcal{L}_r(\widehat{V}) \oplus F$. If $x \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}(X)$ then write $x = a + b$ with $a \in \mathcal{L}_r(\widehat{V})$ and $b \in F$; since $d_X(x) \in L$, we have $\Gamma \cdot x = \Gamma \cdot a = 0$, so that $a \in \mathcal{L}_r(V)$.

b) \Rightarrow c) is obvious.

c) \Rightarrow a): let p be a prime and put $F'_p = F_p \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}(X)_{(p)}$. We have

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}(X)_{(p)} = \mathcal{L}_r(V)_{(p)} \oplus F'_p$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cl}(X)_{(p)} / \text{Pic}(X)_{(p)} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(X)_{(p)} / \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}(X)_{(p)} &\cong \left(\mathcal{L}_r(\widehat{V})_{(p)} / \mathcal{L}_r(V)_{(p)} \right) \oplus (F_p / F'_p) \\ &\cong T_{(p)} \oplus (F_p / F'_p) \end{aligned}$$

Then we see that the image of $T_{(p)}$ is a direct summand in $\text{Cl}(X)_{(p)} / \text{Pic}(X)_{(p)}$, so that $\text{Pic}(X)_{(p)}$ is contained in a free part of $\text{Cl}(X)_{(p)}$ by Proposition 1.5 b). Since this holds for every p we can apply Proposition 1.6 and deduce that $\text{Pic}(X)$ is contained in a free part of $\text{Cl}(X)$, so that X is pure. \square

Definition 2.3. Let Σ be a fan in \mathbb{R}^n . For every simplicial cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$, let $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the set of minimal generators of σ . Let \mathcal{V} be the subspace of \mathbb{R}^n generated by σ , and $L = \mathcal{V} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. The *multiplicity* of σ is the index

$$\text{mult}(\sigma) = [L : \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{w}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{w}_k].$$

If Σ is a simplicial fan we put

$$m_{\Sigma} = \text{gcd}\{\text{mult}(\sigma) \mid \sigma \text{ is a maximal cone in } \Sigma\}.$$

Set, once for all, the following notation:

$$(4) \quad \forall I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n+r\} \quad E_I := \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+r}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+r} \mid x_i = 0, \forall i \notin I\}.$$

We are now in a position to state and prove the main result of the present paper.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $X = X(\Sigma)$ be a complete \mathbb{Q} -factorial toric variety and $Y = Y(\widehat{\Sigma})$ be its universal 1-covering; let \widehat{V} be a fan matrix associated to Y , and $V = \beta \cdot \widehat{V}$ be a fan matrix associated to X . Suppose that $(\det(\beta), m_{\Sigma}) = 1$. Then X is a pure variety.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.2, it suffices to show that for every prime p there exists a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -module F_p and a decomposition $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{T}}(X)_{(p)} = \mathcal{L}_r(\widehat{V})_{(p)} \oplus F_p$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}(X)_{(p)} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_r(V)_{(p)} \oplus F_p$. If $p \nmid \det(\beta)$ then $\mathcal{L}_r(V)_{(p)} = \mathcal{L}_r(\widehat{V})_{(p)}$ and we are done. Assume that $p \mid \det(\beta)$; by hypothesis there exists a maximal cone $\widehat{\sigma} = \widehat{\sigma}^I \in \widehat{\Sigma}$ such that $p \nmid \text{mult}(\widehat{\sigma}) = \det(Q_I)$. Put $F_p = E_{I,(p)}$, where E_I is defined in (4). By

definition $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_r(V) \oplus E_I$, so that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}(X)_{(p)} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_r(V)_{(p)} \oplus F_p$. We claim that $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^{n+r} = \mathcal{L}_r(\widehat{V})_{(p)} \oplus F_p$. The inclusion \supseteq being obvious, assume that $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^{n+r}$. Since $\det(Q_I)$ is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, there exists $\mathbf{y} \in E_I$ such that $Q\mathbf{x} = Q\mathbf{y}$, that is $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \in \ker(Q) = \mathcal{L}_r(\widehat{V})$. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let $Y = Y(\widehat{\Sigma})$ be a poly weighted projective space such that $m_{\widehat{\Sigma}} = 1$. Then every \mathbb{Q} -factorial complete toric variety having Y as universal 1-covering is pure.*

Remark 2.6. Geometrically the previous Theorem 2.4 translates precisely in Theorem 0.1 stated in the introduction. In fact a \mathbb{Q} -factorial complete toric variety is an orbifold (see [2, Thm. 3.1.19 (b)]) whose n -skeleton parameterizes a covering by affine charts. In particular Y has only finite quotient singularities whose order is necessarily a divisor of some multiplicity $\text{mult}(\widehat{\sigma})$, for $\widehat{\sigma} \in \widehat{\Sigma}(n)$. Moreover, the affine chart $U_{\widehat{\sigma}}$ has always a quotient singularity of maximum order $\text{mult}(\widehat{\sigma})$. Hence Theorem 0.1 follows.

In particular the previous Corollary 2.5 gives the following

Corollary 2.7. *Let Y be a n -dimensional, \mathbb{Q} -factorial, complete toric variety admitting a torus invariant, Zarisky open subset $U \subseteq Y$, biregular to \mathbb{C}^n . Then Y is a PWS and every \mathbb{Q} -factorial complete toric variety having Y as universal 1-covering is pure.*

2.3. Examples. The present section is devoted to give some examples of pure and impure \mathbb{Q} -factorial complete toric varieties.

Example 2.8. Consider the fan matrix

$$\widehat{V} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 & -3 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -5 \end{pmatrix}$$

The corresponding weight matrix is

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 10 & 6 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can check that $|\mathcal{SF}(\widehat{V})| = 2$. These two fans are given by taking all the faces of the following lists of maximal cones:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Sigma}_1 &= \{\langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle, \langle 1, 2, 4 \rangle, \langle 2, 4, 5 \rangle, \langle 1, 4, 5 \rangle, \langle 2, 3, 5 \rangle, \langle 1, 3, 5 \rangle\} \\ \widehat{\Sigma}_2 &= \{\langle 1, 3, 4 \rangle, \langle 2, 3, 4 \rangle, \langle 2, 4, 5 \rangle, \langle 1, 4, 5 \rangle, \langle 2, 3, 5 \rangle, \langle 1, 3, 5 \rangle\} \end{aligned}$$

(We denote by $\langle i, j, k \rangle$ the cone generated by the columns $\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{v}_k$ of the matrix \widehat{V}). The list of multiplicities of maximal cones for the two fans are, respectively,

$$6, 10, 30, 20, 18, 12 \text{ and } 7, 9, 30, 20, 18, 12,$$

so that

$$m_{\widehat{\Sigma}_1} = 2, \quad m_{\widehat{\Sigma}_2} = 1.$$

Define

$$\beta := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$V := \beta \cdot \widehat{V} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 & -3 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & -10 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A torsion matrix Γ with entries in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ such that $Q \oplus \Gamma$ represents the morphism assigning to each divisor its class, as in the previous diagram (3), is given by

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let Σ_1 be the fan in $\mathcal{SF}(V)$ corresponding to $\widehat{\Sigma}_1$. We show that $X(\Sigma_1)$ is an impure variety. Using methods explained in [7, Thm. 3.2(2)], we obtain that a basis of $\mathcal{C}_T(X)$ is given by the rows of the following matrix

$$C_X = \begin{pmatrix} 40 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 60 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ -24 & -24 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -9 & -47 & -2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$Q \cdot C_X^T = \begin{pmatrix} 120 & 60 & 30 & -90 & -90 \\ 120 & 120 & 0 & -120 & -120 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma \cdot C_X^T = (0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1)$$

Then we see that $\text{Pic}(X)$ is generated in $\text{Cl}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by elements

$$(120, 120), (60, 120), (30, 0) + [1]_2, (90, 120) + [1]_2.$$

the first and the last of them are obviously generated by the remaining two elements, so that $\text{Pic}(X)$ is generated by $(60, 120)$ and $(30, 0) + [1]_2$. Every free part of $\text{Cl}(X)$ contains an element z of the form $(15, 0) + [a]_2$ for some $a \in \{0, 1\}$; therefore it must contain $2z = (30, 0)$; then $(30, 0) + [1]_2$ cannot belong to any free part, meaning that $X(\Sigma_1)$ is impure.

Notice that purity is a property depending on the fan choice. In fact Σ_2 satisfies hypothesis of Theorem 2.4, as $m_{\Sigma_2} = 1$. Then $X(\Sigma_2)$ is pure.

The following is a counterexample showing that a converse of Theorem 2.4 cannot hold.

Example 2.9. Let \widehat{V} be the fan matrix of Example 2.8. Consider the matrix

$$\beta' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and put

$$V' := \beta' \cdot \widehat{V} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 & -3 & -1 \\ 2 & -2 & -2 & 4 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A torsion matrix Γ' with entries in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ such that $Q \oplus \Gamma'$ represents the morphism assigning to each divisor its class is given by

$$(5) \quad \Gamma' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let Σ'_1 be the fan in $\mathcal{SF}(V)$ corresponding to $\widehat{\Sigma}_1$ and $X' = X(\Sigma'_1)$. In this case X' is a pure variety. In fact, a basis of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}(X')$ is given by the rows of the following matrix

$$C_{X'} = \begin{pmatrix} 40 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 60 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -20 & -30 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ -8 & -48 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 15 & 37 & -2 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$Q \cdot C_{X'}^T = \begin{pmatrix} 120 & 60 & -60 & -60 & 60 \\ 120 & 120 & -120 & -120 & 120 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma' \cdot C_{X'}^T = (0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0)$$

Then we see that $\text{Pic}(X')$ is generated in $\text{Cl}(X') \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by the elements

$$(120, 120), (60, 120)$$

so that X' is pure. On the other hand $m_{\widehat{\Sigma}_1} = 2 = \det(\beta')$, so proving that a converse of Theorem 2.4 cannot hold.

2.4. The case $|\mathcal{SF}(V)| = 1$. The aim of this section is to exhibit a large class of pure toric varieties, by establishing the purity of every \mathbb{Q} -factorial complete toric variety $X = X(\Sigma)$ whose fan matrix V admits a unique simplicial and complete fan given by Σ itself. Geometrically, this property means that a small \mathbb{Q} -factorial modification of X is necessarily an isomorphism, as explained in the Introduction.

We need a few preliminary lemmas. If V is an F -matrix we put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{V,tot} &= \{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n+r\} \mid |I| = r \text{ and } \det(V^I) \neq 0\} \\ \mathcal{I}_{V,min} &= \{I \in \mathcal{I}_{V,tot} \mid \langle V^I \rangle \text{ does not contain any column of } V \\ &\quad \text{apart from its generators}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.10. *Put*

$$\begin{aligned} m_{V,tot} &= \gcd\{\det(V^I) \mid I \in \mathcal{I}_{V,tot}\} \\ m_{V,min} &= \gcd\{\det(V^I) \mid I \in \mathcal{I}_{V,min}\}; \end{aligned}$$

then $m_{V,min} = m_{V,tot}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{I}_{V,min} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{V,tot}$ we have $m_{V,tot} \mid m_{V,min}$. We firstly show that the assertion is true when $m_{V,tot} = 1$. Otherwise there would exist a prime number p dividing $\det(V^I)$ for every $I \in \mathcal{I}_{V,min}$; and there would exist $I_0 \in \mathcal{I}_{tot}$ such that $p \nmid \det(I_0)$. We choose such an I_0 with the property that the number n_0 of columns of V belonging to $\langle V^{I_0} \rangle$ is minimum. Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ be the columns of V^{I_0} and let $\mathbf{v}^* \in \langle V^{I_0} \rangle$ be a column of V different from \mathbf{v}_i for every i ; then we can write $\mathbf{v}^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{b} \mathbf{v}_i$ with $a_i, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(a_1, \dots, a_n, b) = 1$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$ let $\sigma_i = \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i-1}, \mathbf{v}^*, \mathbf{v}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n \rangle$. Then $|\det(\sigma_i)| = \left(\frac{a_i}{b}\right)^n |\det(V^{I_0})|$ and p divides $\det(\sigma_i)$ by the minimality hypothesis on I_0 . It follows that p divides a_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$; therefore $\mathbf{v}^* \in p\mathbb{Z}^n$ and this is a contradiction because V is a fan matrix, hence reduced (see [8, Def. 3.13]).

Suppose now that $m_{V,tot} \neq 1$. Then by [8, Prop. 3.1 (3)] there exist a CF -matrix \widehat{V} such that $V = \beta \widehat{V}$ for some $\beta \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$; and $m_{\widehat{V},tot} = 1$ by [8, Prop. 2.6], so that we can apply the first part of the proof to \widehat{V} and deduce that $m_{\widehat{V},min} = 1$. Notice that $\mathcal{I}_{V,tot} = \mathcal{I}_{\widehat{V},tot}$, $\mathcal{I}_{V,min} = \mathcal{I}_{\widehat{V},min}$ and $\det(V^I) = \det(\beta) \det(\widehat{V}^I)$ for

every $I \in \mathcal{I}_{V,tot}$, so that $m_{V,min} = \det(\beta)m_{\widehat{V},min}$ and $m_{V,tot} = \det(\beta)m_{\widehat{V},tot}$. It follows that $m_{V,min} = m_{V,tot} = \det(\beta)$. \square

Lemma 2.11. *Let Σ_0 be a simplicial fan in \mathbb{R}^n such that $\sigma = |\Sigma_0|$ is a full dimensional convex cone. Let $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that $\mathbf{w}_i \notin \sigma$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. There exists a simplicial fan Σ in \mathbb{R}^n such that*

- a) $|\Sigma| = \sigma + \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k \rangle$;
- b) $\Sigma(1) = \Sigma_0(1) \cup \{ \langle \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \mathbf{w}_k \rangle \}$;
- c) $\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma$.

Proof. By induction on k . For the case $k = 0$, we take $\Sigma = \Sigma_0$. Assume that the result holds true for $k-1$. Let $\mathcal{W}' = \sigma + \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{k-1} \rangle$, $\mathcal{W} = \sigma + \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k \rangle$. By inductive hypothesis there exists a simplicial fan Σ' such that $|\Sigma'| = \mathcal{W}'$, $\Sigma'(1) = \Sigma_0(1) \cup \{ \langle \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \mathbf{w}_{k-1} \rangle \}$ and $\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma'$. We distinguish two cases:

- **Case 1:** $\mathbf{w}_k \in \mathcal{W}'$, so that $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}'$; let τ be the minimal cone in Σ' containing \mathbf{w}_k . We take $\Sigma = s(\mathbf{w}_k, \tau)\Sigma'$, the stellar subdivision of Σ' in direction \mathbf{w}_k (see [3, Def. III.2.1]). Concretely, every m -dimensional cone $\mu = \langle \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m \rangle \in \Sigma$ containing \mathbf{w}_k is replaced by the set of the m -dimensional cones of the form $\langle \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{i-1}, \mathbf{w}_k, \mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m \rangle$. Conditions a) and b) are immediately verified. For condition c) notice that, since $\mathbf{w}_k \notin \sigma$, τ is not a face of any cone in Σ_0 ; therefore $\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma$.
- **Case 2:** $\mathbf{w}_k \notin \mathcal{W}'$, so that $\mathcal{W}' \subsetneq \mathcal{W}$. Let \mathcal{F} be the set of facets f in $\Sigma'(n-1)$ which are cutted out by an hyperplane strictly separating \mathcal{W}' and \mathbf{w}_k ; that is $f \subseteq \partial\mathcal{W}'$, $f \not\subseteq \partial\mathcal{W}$ and the cone $\tau_f = \langle f, \mathbf{w}_k \rangle$ is n -dimensional. Notice that $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$: in fact \mathcal{W}' is a convex polyhedral cone and $\mathbf{w}_k \notin \mathcal{W}'$; then there is an hyperplane H cutting a facet φ of \mathcal{W}' and strictly separating \mathcal{W}' and \mathbf{w}_k ; let f be a facet of Σ' contained in φ ; then $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Consider the set of simplicial cones

$$\Sigma = \Sigma' \cup \{ \tau \mid \tau \preceq \tau_f \text{ for some } f \in \mathcal{F} \}.$$

We claim that Σ is a fan. By construction it is closed by faces, so that it suffices to show that $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2$ is a face of both τ_1 and τ_2 , whenever $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \Sigma$. Let $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \Sigma$. If they are both in Σ' then $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2$ is a face of τ_1, τ_2 because Σ' is a fan. Assume that $\tau_1 \in \Sigma'$ and $\tau_2 \notin \Sigma'$; then $\tau_2 = \langle \tau, \mathbf{w}_k \rangle$, where τ is a face of some $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Let H be the hyperplane cutting f ; then \mathbf{w}_k lies on the other side of H with respect to \mathcal{W}' , so that $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2 \subseteq f$. Therefore $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2 = \tau_1 \cap \tau \in \Sigma'$, so that it is a face of both τ_1 and τ by induction hypothesis; but $\tau \preceq \tau_1$ so that $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2 \preceq \tau_2$. Finally, assume that both τ_1 and τ_2 are not in Σ' . This means that there are facets f_1, f_2 in \mathcal{F} and faces $\mu_1 \preceq f_1, \mu_2 \preceq f_2$ such that $\tau_1 = \langle \mu_1, \mathbf{w}_k \rangle$ and $\tau_2 = \langle \mu_2, \mathbf{w}_k \rangle$. We show that $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2 = \langle \mu_1 \cap \mu_2, \mathbf{w}_k \rangle \in \Sigma$. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \tau_1 \cap \tau_2$: then we can write $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}_1 + \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}_k = \mathbf{y}_2 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{w}_k$, where $\mathbf{y}_1 \in \mu_1, \mathbf{y}_2 \in \mu_2$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$. Whitout loss of generality we can assume $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$; put $\lambda = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$; then $\mathbf{y}_1 + \lambda \mathbf{w}_k = \mathbf{y}_2$. Let H be the hyperplane cutting f_1 ; since $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}$, $\mathbf{w}_k \notin H$, so that there exists a vector \mathbf{n} be a normal to H such that $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{x} \leq 0$ for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{W}'$ and $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{w}_k > 0$. Then $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{y}_2 \leq 0$ and $\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{y}_1 + \lambda \mathbf{w}_k) = \mathbf{n} \cdot \lambda \mathbf{w}_k \geq 0$, so that $\lambda = 0$; this implies $\mathbf{y}_1 = \mathbf{y}_2 \in \mu_1 \cap \mu_2$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \langle \mu_1 \cap \mu_2, \mathbf{w}_k \rangle$.

Now we show that condition a) holds for Σ . By construction $|\Sigma| = |\Sigma'| \cup \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \tau_f \subseteq \mathcal{W}' + \langle \mathbf{w}_k \rangle = \mathcal{W}$; conversely, let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{W}$; if $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{W}'$ then $\mathbf{x} \in |\Sigma'| \subseteq |\Sigma|$; if $\mathbf{x} \notin \mathcal{W}'$ then $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} + \lambda \mathbf{w}_k$ for some $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{W}'$ and $\lambda > 0$; up to replacing \mathbf{y} by $\mathbf{y} + \mu \mathbf{w}_k$ for

some $0 \leq \mu < \lambda$ we can assume that $\mathbf{y} + \epsilon \mathbf{w}_k \notin \mathcal{W}'$ if $\epsilon > 0$. Then for every ϵ there exists an hyperplane H_ϵ cutting a facet φ_ϵ of \mathcal{W}' which separates \mathcal{W}' and $\mathbf{y} + \epsilon \mathbf{w}_k$; since the facets of \mathcal{W}' are finitely many, by the pigeonhole principle $H_\epsilon, \varphi_\epsilon$ do not depend on ϵ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$; call them H, φ respectively. Let \mathbf{n} be a normal vector to H such that $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{y} \leq 0$ and $\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{y} + \epsilon \mathbf{w}_k) > 0$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$; the existence of such \mathbf{n} implies $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{y} = 0$ and $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{w}_k > 0$, so that $\mathbf{y} \in \varphi$ and $\mathbf{w}_k \notin H$. Then there is a facet $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathbf{y} \in f$; therefore $\mathbf{x} \in \tau_f$, and *a)* is proved. We showed that $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma' \neq \emptyset$; and every cone in $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma'$ has \mathbf{w}_k as a vertex and all other vertices in $\Sigma'(1)$. Then condition *b)* is verified. Condition *c)* is obvious since $\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$. \square

Corollary 2.12. *Let V be a fan matrix. Then for every $I \in \mathcal{I}_{V, \min}$ the cone $\langle V^I \rangle$ belongs to a fan in $\mathcal{SF}(V)$.*

Proof. It suffices to apply Lemma 2.11 in the case $\Sigma_0 = \{\tau \mid \tau \preceq \langle V^I \rangle\}$ and $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k$ are the columns of V_I . \square

Corollary 2.12 has the following immediate consequence:

Corollary 2.13. *Let V be a fan matrix such that $\mathcal{SF}(V)$ contains a unique fan Σ . Then for every $I \in \mathcal{I}_{V, \min}$ the cone $\langle V^I \rangle$ belongs to Σ .*

We are now in position to prove our purity condition:

Proposition 2.14. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial complete toric variety and let V be a fan matrix of X . Assume that $\mathcal{SF}(V)$ contains a unique fan. Then X is pure.*

Proof. Let $Y = Y(\widehat{\Sigma})$ be the universal 1-covering of X and let \widehat{V} be a fan matrix associated to Y . Then \widehat{V} is a *CF*-matrix, so that $m_{\widehat{V}, \text{tot}} = 1$ by [8, Prop. 2.6 and Def. 2.7]. By Corollary 2.13, $\mathcal{I}^\Sigma = \mathcal{I}^{\widehat{\Sigma}} = \mathcal{I}_{\widehat{V}, \min}$ so that $m_{\widehat{\Sigma}} = m_{\widehat{V}, \min}$ and, by Lemma 2.10, the latter is equal to $m_{\widehat{V}, \text{tot}} = 1$. Then X is pure by Corollary 2.5. \square

Remark 2.15. Proposition 2.14 implies that the following toric varieties are pure:

- 2-dimensional \mathbb{Q} -factorial complete toric varieties
- toric varieties whose universal 1-covering is a product of weighted projective spaces.

Remark 2.16. In the case $|\mathcal{SF}(V)| = 1$ the unique complete and \mathbb{Q} -factorial toric variety X whose fan matrix is V is necessarily projective. This is a consequence of the fact that $\text{Nef}(X) = \overline{\text{Mov}}(X)$, recalling that the latter is a full dimensional cone, by [1, Thm. 2.2.2.6].

2.4.1. *An application to completions of fans.* Lemma 2.11 can be applied to give a complete refinement Σ of a given fan Σ_0 satisfying the further additional hypothesis:

(*) *assume that $|\Sigma| = \Sigma_0 + \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k \rangle = \mathbb{R}^n$.*

In particular, if we consider the fan $\Sigma' = \Sigma_0 \cup \{\langle \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \mathbf{w}_k \rangle\}$ then Lemma 2.11 gives a completion Σ of Σ' without adding any new ray.

The latter seems to us an original result. In fact, it is actually well known that every fan Σ' can be refined to a complete fan Σ (see [3, Thm. III.2.8], [4] and the more recent [6]). Anyway, in general the known completion procedures need the addition of some new ray, so giving $\Sigma'(1) \subsetneq \Sigma(1)$. As observed in the Remark following the proof of [3, Thm. III.2.8], just for $n = 3$ “completion without additional 1-cones can be found” but this fact does no more hold for $n \geq 4$: at this

purpose, Ewald refers the reader to the Appendix to section III, where he is further referred to a number of references. Unfortunately we were not able to recover, from those references and, more generally, from the current literature, as far as we know, an explicit example of a 4-dimensional fan which cannot be completed without adding some new ray. For this reason, we believe that the following example may fill up a lack in the literature on these topics.

Example 2.17. Consider the fan matrix

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and consider the fan Σ given by taking all the faces of the following three maximal cones generated by columns of V

$$\Sigma(4) = \{\langle 2, 3, 4, 6 \rangle, \langle 2, 4, 5, 7 \rangle, \langle 1, 4, 5, 6 \rangle\}$$

The fact that Σ is a fan follows immediately by easily checking that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 2, 3, 4, 6 \rangle \cap \langle 2, 4, 5, 7 \rangle &= \langle 2, 4 \rangle \\ \langle 2, 3, 4, 6 \rangle \cap \langle 1, 4, 5, 6 \rangle &= \langle 4, 6 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 4, 5, 6 \rangle \cap \langle 2, 4, 5, 7 \rangle &= \langle 4, 5 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that Σ is not a complete fan since e.g. the 3-dimensional cone

$$\langle 2, 3, 6 \rangle = \left\langle \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{array} \right\rangle$$

is a facet of the unique cone

$$\langle 2, 3, 4, 6 \rangle = \left\langle \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{array} \right\rangle \in \Sigma(4).$$

Moreover it cannot be completed since every further maximal cone admitting $\langle 2, 3, 6 \rangle$ as a facet does not intersect correctly the remaining cones in $\Sigma(4)$. In fact

- $\langle 1, 2, 3, 6 \rangle \cap \langle 2, 4, 5, 7 \rangle \supsetneq \langle 2 \rangle$: consider e.g.

$$\mathbf{v} = (1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0)^T \in \langle 1, 2 \rangle \cap \langle 5, 7 \rangle$$

- $\langle 2, 3, 5, 6 \rangle \cap \langle 1, 4, 5, 6 \rangle \supsetneq \langle 5, 6 \rangle$: consider e.g.

$$\mathbf{w} = (0 \ -2 \ -1 \ -2)^T \in \langle 3, 5 \rangle \cap \langle 1, 5, 6 \rangle \quad \text{but} \quad \mathbf{w} \notin \langle 5, 6 \rangle$$

- $\langle 2, 3, 6, 7 \rangle$ is not a maximal cone.

3. A CHARACTERIZATION OF $\text{Pic}(X)$ FOR SOME PURE TORIC VARIETY

Let $X = X(\Sigma)$ be a complete \mathbb{Q} -factorial toric variety having V as a fan matrix; let Y be its universal 1-covering, \widehat{V} be a fan matrix associated to Y and $V = \beta\widehat{V}$. Recall that a Weil divisor $L = \sum_{j=1}^{n+r} a_j D_j$ is a Cartier divisor if it is locally principal, that is

$$\forall I \in \mathcal{I}^\Sigma \exists \mathbf{m}_I \in M \text{ such that } \mathbf{m}_I \cdot \mathbf{v}_j = a_j, \forall j \notin I.$$

Let $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{T}(X)$ be the group of torus invariant Cartier divisors of X . Then

$$\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{T}(X) = \bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{I}^\Sigma} \mathcal{L}_r(V^I) = \bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{I}^\Sigma} (\mathcal{L}_r(V) \oplus E_I)$$

recalling notation (4). The Picard group $\text{Pic}(X)$ of X is the image of $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{T}(X)$ in $\text{Cl}(X)$, via morphism d_X (recall here and in the following, notation introduced in diagram (3)).

In [8, Thm. 2.9.2] we showed that if Y is a PWS then we can identify

$$(6) \quad \text{Pic}(Y) = \bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{I}^\Sigma} \mathcal{L}_c(Q_I) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^r.$$

Let $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Pic}(Y)$. For $I \in \mathcal{I}^\Sigma$ we can write $\mathbf{x} = Q \cdot \mathbf{a}_I$ where $\mathbf{a}_I \in E_I$. If $I, J \in \mathcal{I}^\Sigma$ put

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{u}_{IJ} = \mathbf{a}_I - \mathbf{a}_J \in \ker(Q) = \mathcal{L}_r(\widehat{V}).$$

Let $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{T}(Y)$ such that $Q \cdot \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}$. By definition, for every $I \in \mathcal{I}^\Sigma$ there is a unique decomposition $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{t}(I) + \mathbf{a}_I$ with $\mathbf{t}(I) \in \mathcal{L}_r(\widehat{V})$. Moreover,

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{T}(X) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{t}(I) \in \mathcal{L}_r(V), \forall I \in \mathcal{I}^\Sigma.$$

Proposition 3.1. $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{\text{Pic}}(X)$ if and only if $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Pic}(Y)$ and $\mathbf{u}_{IJ} \in \mathcal{L}_r(V)$, for every $I, J \in \mathcal{I}^\Sigma$, where \mathbf{u}_{IJ} is defined by (7).

Proof. Suppose that $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{\text{Pic}}(X)$. Then there exists $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{T}(X)$ such that $Q \cdot \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}$. For every $I \in \mathcal{I}^\Sigma$ consider the decomposition $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{t}(I) + \mathbf{a}_I$ with $\mathbf{t}(I) \in \mathcal{L}_r(V)$. Then $\mathbf{u}_{IJ} = \mathbf{a}_I - \mathbf{a}_J = \mathbf{t}(J) - \mathbf{t}(I) \in \mathcal{L}_r(V)$ for every $I, J \in \mathcal{I}^\Sigma$. Conversely, suppose that $\mathbf{u}_{IJ} \in \mathcal{L}_r(V)$ for every $I, J \in \mathcal{I}^\Sigma$. Let $\mathbf{z}' \in \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{T}(Y)$ be such that $Q \cdot \mathbf{z}' = \mathbf{x}$. For every $I \in \mathcal{I}^\Sigma$ there is a decomposition $\mathbf{z}' = \mathbf{t}'(I) + \mathbf{a}_I$ with $\mathbf{t}'(I) \in \mathcal{L}_r(\widehat{V})$. Fix $I_0 \in \mathcal{I}^\Sigma$ and put $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}' - \mathbf{t}'(I_0)$. We claim that $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{T}(X)$. Indeed, let $I \in \mathcal{I}^\Sigma$ and decompose $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{t}(I) + \mathbf{a}_I$ with $\mathbf{t}(I) \in \mathcal{L}_r(\widehat{V})$ and $\mathbf{t}(I_0) = \mathbf{0}$. It follows that for every $I \in \mathcal{I}^\Sigma$

$$\mathbf{t}(I) = \mathbf{t}(I) - \mathbf{t}(I_0) = \mathbf{a}_{I_0} - \mathbf{a}_I = \mathbf{u}_{I_0 I} \in \mathcal{L}_r(V).$$

□

Theorem 3.2. Let X be a pure \mathbb{Q} -factorial complete toric variety and choose an isomorphism $\text{Cl}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \oplus T$ such that $\text{Pic}(X)$ is mapped in \mathbb{Z}^r . Then the following characterization of $\text{Pic}(X)$ holds:

$$\mathbf{x} \in \text{Pic}(X) \Leftrightarrow \forall I, J \in \mathcal{I}^\Sigma \quad \mathbf{x} \in \bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{I}^\Sigma} \mathcal{L}_c(Q_I) \text{ and } \mathbf{u}_{IJ} \in \mathcal{L}_r(V)$$

where \mathbf{u}_{IJ} is defined by (7).

Proof. Define $s : \mathbb{Z}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^r \oplus T$ by $s(a) = (a, 0)$. Then $\bar{\alpha} \circ s = id_{\mathbb{Z}^r}$ and $s \circ \bar{\alpha}|_{\text{Pic}(X)} = id_{\text{Pic}(X)}$. Then we have for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$

$$\mathbf{x} \in \bar{\alpha}(\text{Pic}(X)) \Leftrightarrow s(\mathbf{x}) \in \text{Pic}(X).$$

The result follows from Proposition 3.1 by identifying \mathbf{x} and $s(\mathbf{x})$. \square

Example 3.3. Let Σ'_1 be the fan defined in Example 2.9. Then

$$\mathcal{I}^{\Sigma'_1} = \mathcal{I}^{\widehat{\Sigma}_1} = \{\{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, \{3, 5\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 4\}, \{4, 5\}\}.$$

so that

$$\bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{I}^{\Sigma'_1}} \mathcal{L}_c(Q_I) = \mathbb{Z}(30, 0) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(0, 60).$$

Let $\mathbf{x} = (30x, 60y) \in \bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{I}^{\Sigma'_1}} \mathcal{L}_c(Q_I)$, with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $I \in \mathcal{I}^{\Sigma'_1}$ we can write $\mathbf{x} = Q \cdot \mathbf{a}_I$ where $\mathbf{a}_I \in E_I$. The \mathbf{a}_I 's are easily calculated:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_{\{1,3\}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 20y & 0 & 3x-6y & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{a}_{\{2,3\}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 30y & 3x-3y & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{a}_{\{3,5\}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3x-24y & 0 & 60y \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{a}_{\{1,4\}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 20y & 0 & 0 & 5x-10y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{a}_{\{2,4\}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 30y & 0 & 5x-5y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{a}_{\{4,5\}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 5x-40y & 60y \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, with the notation of (7), $\mathbf{u}_{IJ} = \mathbf{u}_{IK} - \mathbf{u}_{KJ}$; then in order to calculate \mathbf{u}_{IJ} for every $I, J \in \mathcal{I}^{\Sigma'_1}$ it suffices to compute $\mathbf{u}_{I_j I_{j+1}}$ for a sequence I_1, \dots, I_s such that $\langle Q_{I_j} \rangle$ and $\langle Q_{I_{j+1}} \rangle$ have a common facet and $\mathcal{I}^{\Sigma'_1} = \{I_1, \dots, I_s\}$; in this way we obtain vectors having at most $r+1 = 3$ nonzero components:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_{\{1,3\}\{2,3\}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 20y & -30y & -3y & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{u}_{\{2,3\}\{3,5\}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 30y & 21y & 0 & -60y \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{u}_{\{3,5\}\{4,5\}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3x-24y & -5x+40y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{u}_{\{4,5\}\{1,4\}} &= \begin{pmatrix} -20y & 0 & 0 & -30y & 60y \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{u}_{\{1,4\}\{2,4\}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 20y & -30y & 0 & -5y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by the matrix Γ' found in (5) we obtain

$$\Gamma' \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\{1,3\}\{2,3\}}^T = 0; \quad \Gamma' \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\{2,3\}\{3,5\}}^T = -60y; \quad \Gamma' \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\{3,5\}\{4,5\}}^T = -5x + 40y;$$

$$\Gamma' \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\{4,5\}\{1,4\}}^T = 30y; \quad \Gamma' \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\{1,4\}\{2,4\}}^T = -5y.$$

Recall that Γ' takes values in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and that for every $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{L}_r(\widehat{V})$

$$\Gamma' \cdot \mathbf{u}^T = 0 \text{ if and only if } \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{L}_r(V');$$

then we see that $\mathbf{u}_{IJ} \in \ker(\Gamma')$ for every $I, J \in \mathcal{I}^{\Sigma'_1}$ if and only if $x, y \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, that is if and only if $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}(60, 0) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(0, 120)$. By Theorem 3.2, $\text{Pic}(X')$ can be identified with the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}(60, 0) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(0, 120)$ in $\text{Cl}(X') \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, according to what we established in Example 2.9.

REFERENCES

- [1] ARZHANTSEV, I., DERENTHAL, U., HAUSEN, J., AND LAFACE, A. *Cox rings*, vol. 144 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015.
- [2] COX, D. A., LITTLE, J. B., AND SCHENCK, H. K. *Toric varieties*, vol. 124 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
- [3] EWALD, G. *Combinatorial convexity and algebraic geometry*, vol. 168 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [4] EWALD, G., AND ISHIDA, M.-N. Completion of real fans and Zariski-Riemann spaces. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* 58, 2 (2006), 189–218.
- [5] HARTSHORNE, R. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [6] ROHRER, F. Completions of fans. *J. Geom.* 100, 1-2 (2011), 147–169.
- [7] ROSSI, M., AND TERRACINI, L. Erratum to: A \mathbb{Q} -factorial complete toric variety is a quotient of a poly weighted space. to appear in *Ann. Mat. Pur. Appl.*, [arXiv:1502.00879v3](#).
- [8] ROSSI, M., AND TERRACINI, L. \mathbb{Z} -linear gale duality and poly weighted spaces (PWS). *Linear Algebra Appl.* 495 (2016), 256–288; [arXiv:1501.05244](#).
- [9] ROSSI, M., AND TERRACINI, L. A \mathbb{Q} -factorial complete toric variety is a quotient of a poly weighted space. *Ann. Mat. Pur. Appl.* 196 (2017), 325–347; [arXiv:1502.00879](#).
- [10] ROTMAN, J. J. *Advanced modern algebra*, third ed., vol. 165 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI TORINO, VIA CARLO ALBERTO 10, 10123 TORINO

E-mail address: michele.rossi@unito.it, lea.terracini@unito.it