

Propagation of chaos for topological interactions

P. Degond ¹ and M. Pulvirenti ²

1. DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS IMPERIAL COLLEGE LONDON LONDON SW7 2AZ, UK
PDEGOND@IMPERIAL.AC.UK

2. INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH CENTER ON THE MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS OF
COMPLEX SYSTEMS MEMOCS, UNIVERSITY OF L'AQUILA, ITALY
PULVIREN@MAT.UNIROMA1.IT

Abstract

We consider a N -particle model describing an alignment mechanism due to a topological interaction among the agents. We show that the kinetic equation, expected to hold in the mean-field limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, as following from the previous analysis in Ref. [2] can be rigorously derived. This means that the statistical independence (propagation of chaos) is indeed recovered in the limit, provided it is assumed at time zero.

Key words Rank-based interactions, Boltzmann equation

AMS subject classification: 70K45, 92D50, 91C20

Acknowledgments PD acknowledges support by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) under grants no. EP/M006883/1 and EP/P013651/1 by the Royal Society and the Wolfson Foundation through a Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award no. WM130048 and by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under grant no. RNMS11-07444 (KI-Net). PD is on leave from CNRS, Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, France.

Data statement : no new data were collected in the course of this research.

1 Introduction

Propagation of chaos is a fundamental property in Kinetic Theory: it allows to pass from a N -particle description, which is usually intractable due to the huge number of particles to handle, to a single partial differential equation. Originally it refers to deterministic particle systems and it has been introduced by Boltzmann in the formal derivation of his famous equation. From the mathematical side we address to the well known paper by Lanford [28] (see also [5], [12], [16], [20], [21], [34], [35], [40], [42] for subsequent progresses) where the validity of the Boltzmann equation has been proved for a short time interval. On the other hand other stochastic processes have been introduced to derive the Boltzmann equation and the most famous model is Kac's model [24], [25]. See also [31] and [33] for recent developments. Similar models of interest for the numerics have also been studied for instance in [27] [36] [37]. Nowadays the methodology and techniques of Kinetic Theory have been applied also to mean-field limits of particle models in which interactions are averages of binary interactions and which, at the kinetic level, give rise to non linear Vlasov (in the deterministic case) or Fokker-Planck (in the stochastic case) equations, see e.g. [32] [6], [13], [18], [22], [29], [41]. For recent approaches to propagation of chaos see [44].

In most mean-field models, binary interactions are weighted by a function of the relative distance between the two particles. However, recent observations [1, 10] have shown that interactions between animals in nature are weighted by a function of their rank, irrespective of the relative distance, meaning that the interaction probability of an individual with its k -th nearest neighbor is the same whether this individual is close or far. This new type of interaction has been called “topological”, by contrast to the usual “metric” interaction which is a function of the subjects' relative distance. Numerical simulations

of particle systems undergoing topological interactions seem to support the observations [4, 8, 14]. In the recent past, the literature on the applications of topological interactions to flocking has grown exponentially [19], [23], [26], [38]. On the mathematical side, flocking under topological interactions has been studied in [17, 30, 39, 43]. In [17] mean-field kinetic and fluid models for topological mean-field interactions are formally derived. Recently, [2] and [3] have formally derived kinetic models for jump processes ruled by topological interactions. In the former, the number of particles interacting with a given particle is unbounded in the large particle number limit, while in the latter, particles only interact with a fixed finite number of closest neighbors. In the large particle number limit, the former gives rise to an interaction operator in integral form, while the latter provides a diffusion-like interaction operator.

The goal of this paper is to give a rigorous proof of convergence for the jump process of [2] in the limit of the number of particles tending to infinity, i.e. to prove that propagation of chaos holds for this system in this limit, providing a rigorous derivation of the kinetic equation.

Here new difficulties arise. Indeed in usual metric models particles interact through two-body interactions which are averaged through weights that depend on the distance between the two interacting particles. This structure reflects in the system satisfied by the hierarchy of joint probability distributions (also known as the BBGKY hierarchy): the evolution of the s -th marginal only depends on the $s+1$ -th marginal. This structure is lost with topological interactions, as the rank of a particle neighbor depends on all the other particles. Now the study of the hierarchy usually describing the time evolution of the marginals is not possible anymore: the time evolution of the s -particle marginal depends on the full N -particle probability measure. Therefore, to prove propagation of chaos, we are facing new, previously unmet, problems.

Obviously the hierarchical approach is not the only possible one. For instance

we quote [15] where Kac's model has been treated by a coupling technique, yielding, by the way, optimal estimates. Such a technique is not easy to apply to the present context. Our strategy is different. We assume the function that weights the interaction strength with the various partners to be real analytic. For such a kind of interactions we can establish a new hierarchy for which the time evolution of the j -particle marginal f_j is expressed in terms of an infinite sequence of marginals f_m with $m > j$, with decreasing weight.

2 The model

Here, we recall the setting of [2]. We consider a N -particle system in \mathbb{R}^d , $d = 1, 2, 3 \dots$ (or in \mathbb{T}^d the d -dimensional torus). Each particle, say particle i , has a position x_i and velocity v_i . The configuration of the system is denoted by

$$Z_N = \{z_i\}_{i=1}^N = \{x_i, v_i\}_{i=1}^N = (X_N, V_N).$$

Given the particle i , we order the remaining particles j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{N-1} according their distance from i , namely by the following relation

$$|x_i - x_{j_s}| \leq |x_i - x_{j_{s+1}}|, \quad s = 1, 2 \dots N - 1.$$

The rank (with respect to i) of particle $k = j_s$ is s . The rank is denoted by $R(i, k)$.

The normalized rank is defined as

$$r(i, k) = \frac{R(i, k)}{N - 1} \in \left\{ \frac{1}{N - 1}, \frac{2}{N - 1}, \dots \right\}.$$

Next we introduce a (smooth) function

$$K : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \int_0^1 K(r) dr = 1,$$

and the following quantities

$$\pi_{i,j} = \frac{K(r(i,j))}{\sum_s K(\frac{s}{N-1})}. \quad (2.1)$$

Clearly

$$\sum_j \pi_{i,j} = 1.$$

We are now in the right position to introduce a stochastic process describing alignment via a topological interaction. The particles go freely, namely following the trajectory $x_i + v_i t$. At some random time dictated by a Poisson process of intensity N , a particle (say i) is chosen with probability $\frac{1}{N}$ and a partner particle, say j , with probability $\pi_{i,j}$. Then the transition

$$(v_i, v_j) \rightarrow (v_j, v_j).$$

is performed. After that the system goes freely with the new velocities and so on.

The process is fully described by the continuous-time Markov generator given, for any $\Phi \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^{2dN})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} L_N \Phi(x_1, v_1, \dots, x_N, v_N) &= \sum_{i=1}^N v_i \cdot \nabla_{x_i} \Phi(x_1, v_1, \dots, x_N, v_N) + \\ &\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq N \\ i \neq j}} \pi_{i,j} [\Phi(x_1, v_1, \dots, x_i v_j \dots x_j, v_j \dots x_N, v_N) - \Phi(x_1, v_1, \dots, x_N, v_N)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Note that $\pi_{i,j} = \pi_{i,j}^N$ depends not only on N but also on the whole configuration Z_N .

The law of the process $W^N(Z_N; t)$ is driven by the following evolution equa-

tion

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \int W^N(t) \Phi &= \int W^N(t) \sum_{i=1}^N v_i \cdot \nabla_{x_i} \Phi + \int W^N(t) \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq N \\ i \neq j}} \pi_{i,j} \\ &[\Phi(x_1, v_1, \dots, x_i v_j \dots x_j, v_j \dots x_N, v_N) - \Phi(x_1, v_1, \dots, x_N, v_N)], \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

for any test function Φ .

We assume that the initial measure $W_0^N = W^N(0)$ factorizes, namely $W_0^N = f_0^{\otimes N}$ where f_0 is the initial datum for the limiting kinetic equation we are going to establish. Note also that $W^N(Z_N; t)$, for $t \geq 0$, is symmetric in the exchange of particles.

The strong form of Eq. (2.3) is

$$\left(\partial_t + \sum_{i=1}^N v_i \cdot \nabla_{x_i} \right) W^N(t) = -N W^N(t) + \mathcal{L}_N W^N(t), \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_N W^N(X_N, V_N, t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq N \\ i \neq j}} \int du \pi_{i,j} W^N(X_N, V_N^{(i)}(u)) \delta(v_i - v_j). \quad (2.5)$$

Here $V_N^{(i)}(u) = (v_1 \dots v_{i-1}, u, v_{i+1} \dots v_N)$ if $V_N = (v_1 \dots v_{i-1}, v_i, v_{i+1} \dots v_N)$.

3 Kinetic description

Here we present a heuristic derivation of the kinetic equation we expect to be valid in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. This derivation is slightly simpler than in [2].

We first compute explicitly the transition probability $\pi_{i,j}$. In general:

$$r(i, j) = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq N \\ k \neq i}} \chi_{B(x_i, |x_i - x_j|)}(x_k),$$

where $\chi_{B(x_i, |x_i - x_j|)}$ is the characteristic function of the ball $\{y \mid |x_i - y| \leq |x_i - x_j|\}$. Moreover, recalling that $\int K = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_s K\left(\frac{s}{N-1}\right) &= (N-1)\left(1 - \int_0^1 K(x)dx\right) + \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_s K\left(\frac{s}{N-1}\right) \\ &= (N-1)(1 - e_K(N)), \end{aligned}$$

where the last identity defines $e_K(N)$. Note that e_K measures the difference between the integral and the Riemann sum of K .

Clearly

$$|e_K(N)| \leq \|K'\|_{L^\infty} \frac{1}{N-1}. \quad (3.1)$$

Therefore by (2.1)

$$\pi_{i,j} = \alpha_N K\left(\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{k \neq i} \chi_{B(x_i, |x_i - x_j|)}(x_k)\right), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\alpha_N = \frac{1}{(N-1)(1 - e_K(N))}. \quad (3.3)$$

Setting $\Phi(Z_N) = \varphi(z_1)$ in (2.3), we obtain

$$\partial_t \int f_1^N \varphi = \int f_1^N v \cdot \nabla_x \varphi - \int f_1^N \varphi + \int W^N \sum_{j \neq 1} \pi_{i,j} \varphi(x_1, v_j). \quad (3.4)$$

Here f_1^N denotes the one-particle marginal of the measure W^N . We recall that the s -particle marginals are defined by

$$f_s^N(Z_s) = \int W^N(Z_s, z_{s+1} \cdots z_N) dz_{s+1} \cdots dz_N, \quad s = 1, 2 \cdots N,$$

and are the distribution of the first s particles (or of any group of s tagged particles).

In order to describe the system in terms of a single kinetic equation, we expect that chaos propagates. Actually since W^N is initially factorizing, although the dynamics creates correlations, we hope that, due to the weakness of the interaction, factorization still holds approximately also at any positive time t , namely

$$f_s^N \approx f_1^{\otimes s}$$

for any fixed integer s . In this case the strong law of large numbers does hold, that is for almost all i.i.d. variables $\{z_i(0)\}$ distributed according to $f_1(0) = f_0$, the random measure

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_j \delta(z - z_j(t))$$

approximates weakly $f_1^N(z, t)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{i,j} &\approx \frac{1}{N-1} K\left(\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{k \neq i} \chi_{B(x_i, |x_i - x_j|)}(x_k)\right) \\ &\approx \frac{1}{N-1} K(M_\rho(x_i, |x_i - x_j|)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$M_\rho(x, R) = \int_{B(x, R)} \rho(y) dy,$$

and where $\rho(x) = \int dv f_1^N(x, v)$ is the spatial density and $B(x, R)$ is the ball of center x and radius R .

In conclusion we expect that, by (3.4), using the symmetry of W^N , $f_1^N \rightarrow f$ and $f_2^N \rightarrow f^{\otimes 2}$ in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, where f solves

$$\partial_t \int f \varphi = \int f v \cdot \nabla_x \varphi - \int f \varphi + \int f(z_1) f(z_2) \varphi(x_1, v_2) K(M_\rho(x_1, |x_1 - x_2|)), \quad (3.6)$$

or, in strong form,

$$(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x) f = -f + \rho(x) \int dy K(M_\rho(x, |x - y|)) f(y, v), \quad (3.7)$$

which is the equation we want to derive rigorously.

As regards existence and uniqueness of the solutions to Eq. (3.7) we can apply the Banach fixed point theorem in $L^1(x, v)$ to find a unique solution for (3.7) in mild form, for a short time interval, provided that K has bounded derivative in $[0, 1]$. The global solution is recovered by the conservation of the $L^1(x, v)$ norm. The method is classical and we leave the details to the reader.

4 Hierarchies

We assume the function K to be expressible in terms of a power series,

$$K(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m x^m, \quad x \in [0, 1]. \quad (4.1)$$

for some sequence of coefficients a_m . The normalization condition gives the constraint $a_0 + \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{m+1} a_m = 1$. Note that the coefficients a_m are not necessarily positive.

We further assume that

$$A := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |a_m| 8^m < +\infty \quad (4.2)$$

Remark

An example of a function K satisfying the above hypotheses is, for $x \in (0, 1)$:

$$K(x) = \frac{e^{1-x} - 1}{e - 2} = \frac{1}{e - 2} (e - 1 + e \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^r x^r}{r!}).$$

To outline the behavior of the s -particle marginal f_s^N we integrate (2.4) with respect to the last $N - s$ variables and compute preliminarily

$$\sum_{i=s+1}^N \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq N \\ i \neq j}} \int du \pi_{i,j} W^N(X_N, V_N^{(i)}(u)) \delta(v_i - v_j) dz_{s+1} \cdots dz_N = (N-s) f_s^N(X_s, V_s),$$

since the variable z_i is integrated. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial_t + \sum_{i=1}^s v_i \cdot \nabla_{x_i}) f_s^N(t) &= -s f_s^N(t) + E_s^1(t) + \\
(N-s) \sum_{i=1}^s \int dz_{s+1} \cdots dz_N \pi_{i,s+1} W^N(X_N, V_N^{(i,s+1)}; t), &
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$V_N^{(i,s+1)} = \{v_1 \cdots v_{i-1}, v_{s+1}, v_{i+1} \cdots v_s, v_i, v_{s+2} \cdots v_N\},$$

namely the velocities of particles i and $s+1$ exchange their positions in the sequence $V_N = \{v_1 \cdots v_N\}$, and

$$E_s^1(t) = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq s \\ i \neq j}} \int du dz_{s+1} \cdots dz_N \pi_{i,j} W^N(X_N, V_N^{(i)}(u); t) \delta(v_i - v_j). \tag{4.4}$$

We expect E_s^1 to be $O(\frac{s^2}{N})$ since $\pi_{i,j} = O(\frac{1}{N})$ (see (3.2) and (3.3)). This is the first error term entering in the present analysis. A precise estimate of this term is forthcoming. Note also that we used the symmetry to deduce the last term in the right hand side of (4.3).

Next, setting $\chi_{i,j} = \chi_{B(x_i, |x_i - x_j|)}$, we have from (3.2) and (4.1)

$$\pi_{i,j} = \alpha_N \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r \frac{1}{(N-1)^r} \sum_{(k_1, k_2 \cdots k_r) \in (\{1, N\} \setminus \{i\})^r} \chi_{i,j}(x_{k_1}) \cdots \chi_{i,j}(x_{k_r}). \tag{4.5}$$

Inserting this quantity into the last term of (4.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial_t + \sum_{i=1}^s v_i \cdot \nabla_{x_i}) f_s^N(t) &= -s f_s^N(t) + E_s^1(t) + E_s^2 \\
&+ (N-s) \alpha_N \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r C_{s,s+r+1}^N f_{s+r+1}^N, &
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where $C_{s,s+r+1}^N : L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d(s+r+1)}) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2ds})$ is a linear operator defined by

$$C_{s,s+r+1}^N g_{s+r+1}(X_s, V_s) = \frac{(N-s-1) \dots (N-s-r)}{(N-1)^r} \sum_{i=1}^s \int dz_{s+1} \dots dz_{s+r+1} \chi_{i,s+1}(x_{s+2}) \dots \chi_{i,s+1}(x_{s+r+1}) g_{s+r+1}(X_{s+r+1}, V_{s+r+1}^{(i,s+1)}). \quad (4.7)$$

The form (4.7) of the operator $C_{s,s+r+1}^N$ comes from considering in the sum $\sum_{k_1, k_2 \dots k_r}$ in (4.5), only the contributions given by

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1 \neq k_2 \dots \neq k_r \\ k_m > s+1; m=1 \dots r}},$$

namely all the k_m are different and larger than $s+1$. Clearly we also used the symmetry. The term E_s^2 is what remains, namely

$$E_s^2(Z_s) = (N-s)\alpha_N \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r \left(\frac{1}{N-1}\right)^r \sum_{k_1, k_2 \dots k_r}^* \int dz_{s+1} \dots dz_N \chi_{i,s+1}(x_{k_1}) \dots \chi_{i,s+1}(x_{k_r}) W^N(Z_s, z_{s+1} \dots z_N; t), \quad (4.8)$$

with

$$\sum_{k_1, k_2 \dots k_r}^* = \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \dots k_r \\ k_m \neq i, m=1 \dots r}} - \sum_{\substack{k_1 \neq k_2 \dots \neq k_r \\ k_m > s+1, m=1 \dots r}}.$$

Again we expect that E_s^2 is negligible in the limit as we shall see in a moment.

Note that for $s = N$ (4.6) becomes identical to Eq. (2.3) as the last two terms are equal to zero. We will also use the convention that $f_s^N(t) = 0$ if $s > N$.

We have to compare Eq. (4.6) with a similar hierarchy satisfied by the sequence of marginals $f_j(t) = f^{\otimes j}(t)$, where f solves the kinetic equation. Such a hierarchy is easily recovered. Indeed coming back to the kinetic equation (3.7)

we observe that, by virtue of (4.1)

$$K(M_\rho(x_i, |x_i - x_{s+1}|)) = \sum_r a_r \quad (4.9)$$

$$\int dz_{s+2} \cdots dz_{s+r+1} \chi_{i,s+1}(x_{s+2}) \cdots \chi_{i,s+1}(x_{k_{s+r+1}}) f^{\otimes r}(z_{s+2} \cdots z_{s+r+1}),$$

and (3.7) becomes (recalling that $z_1 = (x_1, v_1)$):

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + v_1 \cdot \nabla_{x_1}) f(z_1, t) + f(z_1, t) &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r \int dz_2 \cdots \int dz_{2+r} \chi_{1,2}(x_3) \cdots \chi_{1,2}(x_{2+r}) \\ &\cdot f(x_1, v_2; t) f(x_2, v_1; t) f^{\otimes r}(z_3 \cdots z_{2+r}; t). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

As a consequence an easy computation shows that $f_s = f^{\otimes s}$ solves

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + \sum_{i=1}^s v_i \cdot \nabla_{x_i}) f_s(t) &= -s f_s(t) + \\ &+ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r C_{s,s+r+1} f_{s+r+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{s,s+r+1} f_{s+r+1}(X_s, V_s) &= \\ \sum_{i=1}^s \int dz_{s+1} \cdots dz_{s+r+1} \chi_{i,s+1}(x_{s+2}) \cdots \chi_{i,s+1}(x_{k_{s+r+1}}) &f_{s+r+1}(X_{s+r+1}, V_{s+r+1}^{(i,s+1)}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

In view of the comparison of f_s^N with f_s we rewrite (4.6) as

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + \sum_{i=1}^s v_i \cdot \nabla_{x_i}) f_s^N(t) &= -s f_s^N(t) + E_s(t) \\ &+ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r C_{s,s+r+1} f_{s+r+1}^N, \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$E_s = E_s^1(t) + E_s^2(t) + E_s^3(t) \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$E_s^3(t) = (N - s)\alpha_N \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r C_{s,s+r+1}^N f_{s+r+1}^N - \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r C_{s,s+r+1} f_{s+r+1}^N \quad (4.15)$$

The initial conditions for (4.13) and (4.11) are

$$f_s^N(0) = f_0^{\otimes s} \mathbf{1}_{\{s \leq N\}}$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{\{s \leq N\}}$ is the indicator of the set $\{s \leq N\}$ and

$$f_s(0) = f_0^{\otimes s}$$

respectively. Here $f_0 \in L^1$ is the initial datum of the kinetic equation.

5 Estimates of the error term

In this section we establish some estimates of the error term E_s appearing in eEq. (4.13).

We observe preliminarily that, by the particular form of the function K given by (4.1), we have, $\|K'\|_{L^\infty} \leq A$ and, using (3.1),

$$|e_K(N)| \leq \frac{A}{N-1}. \quad (5.1)$$

Therefore

$$\alpha_N = \frac{1}{(N-1)(1-e_K(N))} \leq \frac{4e^{|e_K(N)|}}{N-1} \leq \frac{4e^{\frac{A}{N-1}}}{N-1}, \quad (5.2)$$

for $N > 2A + 1$. This follows by the obvious inequality

$$\frac{1}{1-x} \leq 4e^x$$

valid for $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$

As a consequence, by (3.2) and from the fact that $\|K\|_{L^\infty} \leq A$,

$$\pi_{i,j} \leq \alpha_N A \leq \frac{4Ae^{\frac{A}{N-1}}}{N-1}. \quad (5.3)$$

The operators C^N and C are easily estimated:

$$\max(\|C_{s,s+r+1}^N g_{s+r+1}\|_{L^1}, \|C_{s,s+r+1} g_{s+r+1}\|_{L^1}) \leq s \|g_{s+r+1}\|_{L^1}, \quad (5.4)$$

due to the fact that $\chi \leq 1$ and that the prefactor in formula (4.7) is less than unity.

As regards the error terms (4.4) we have, by (5.3)

$$\|E_s^1(t)\|_{L^1} \leq s^2 \frac{4Ae^{\frac{A}{N-1}}}{N-1}. \quad (5.5)$$

Strictly speaking here we make a notational abuse. E^1 is a measure so that $\|E_s^1(t)\|_{L^1}$ has to be understood as the total variation norm. In other words $\|\mu\|_{L^1}$ is the L^1 norm of the densities whenever μ is absolutely continuous. Otherwise it is the total variation.

Moreover by (4.8) and (5.2)

$$\|E_s^2(t)\|_{L^1} \leq 4e^{\frac{A}{N-1}} \left(\frac{N-s}{N-1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r \left(\frac{1}{N-1}\right)^r \sum_{k_1, k_2 \dots k_r}^* 1. \quad (5.6)$$

But

$$\sum_{k_1, k_2 \dots k_r}^* 1 \leq \sum_{k_1, k_2 \dots k_r}^{**} 1 + \sum_{k_1, k_2 \dots k_r}^{***} 1,$$

where $\sum_{k_1, k_2 \dots k_r}^{**} 1$ means that $k_m \leq s+1$ for at least one $m = 1, 2 \dots r$, while $\sum_{k_1, k_2 \dots k_r}^{***} 1$ means that all the k_m are larger than $s+1$ but $k_\ell = k_m$ for at least one couple ℓ, m in $1, 2 \dots r$.

Moreover, denoting by ℓ the number of indices m for which $k_m \leq s + 1$, we have

$$\sum_{k_1, k_2 \dots k_r}^{**} 1 = \sum_{\ell=1}^r \binom{r}{\ell} s^\ell (N - s - 1)^{r-\ell} = (N - 1)^r - (N - s - 1)^r \leq rs (N - 1)^{r-1},$$

where in the last step we used the Taylor expansion of the function x^r with initial point $N - s - 1$.

Furthermore

$$\sum_{k_1, k_2 \dots k_r}^{***} 1 \leq \frac{r(r-1)}{2} (N - s - 1)^{r-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_s^2(t)\|_{L^1} &\leq 4e^{\frac{A}{N-1}} s \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} |a_r| \frac{1}{(N-1)^r} (rs(N-1)^{r-1} + \frac{r(r-1)}{2} (N-s-1)^{r-1}) \\ &\leq 8e^{\frac{A}{N-1}} \frac{s^2}{N-1} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} |a_r| r^2 \leq 8Ae^{\frac{A}{N-1}} \frac{s^2}{N-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

where we used that the sum in the second inequality is bounded by A due to (4.2) and the fact that $r^2 \leq 8^r$.

To estimate E_s^3 we have

$$E_s^3 = E_s^{3,1} + E_s^{3,2}$$

where

$$E_s^{3,1}(t) = -T_1 \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r C_{s, s+r+1}^N f_{s+r+1}^N \quad (5.8)$$

and

$$E_s^{3,2}(t) = T_2 \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r C_{s, s+r+1} f_{s+r+1}^N \quad (5.9)$$

where

$$T_1 := 1 - (N - s)\alpha_N$$

and

$$T_2 := \frac{(N-s-1)\dots(N-s-r)}{(N-1)^r} - 1.$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= 1 - \frac{N-s}{(N-1)(1+e_K(N))} \\ &= \frac{s-1}{(N-1)(1+e_K(N))} + \frac{e_K(N)}{(1+e_K(N))}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore since $A > 1$, using (5.1) and (5.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |T_1| &\leq \frac{s-1}{(N-1)} 4e^{|e_K(N)|} + 4 \frac{A}{N-1} e^{|e_K(N)|} \\ &\leq 4e^{\frac{A}{N-1}} \left(\frac{s-1}{N-1} + \frac{A}{N-1} \right) \\ &\leq 8Ae^{\frac{A}{N-1}} \frac{s}{N-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} |T_2| &\leq \left| \frac{(N-s-1)\dots(N-s-r)}{(N-1)^r} - 1 \right| \leq \left| \frac{(N-s-r)^r - (N-1)^r}{(N-1)^r} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{r(s+r)(N-1)^{r-1}}{(N-1)^r} \leq \frac{2r^2s}{N-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

As matter of facts by using (5.4) we conclude that

$$\|E_s^3(t)\|_{L^1} \leq 10A^2 e^{\frac{A}{N-1}} \frac{s^2}{N-1}. \tag{5.12}$$

Summarizing:

Proposition 1

We have

$$\|E_s(t)\|_{L^1} \leq 22A^2 e^{\frac{A}{N-1}} \frac{s^2}{N-1}. \tag{5.13}$$

6 Convergence

In this section we estimate the quantity

$$\Delta_s^N(t) = f_s^N(t) - f_s(t) \quad (6.1)$$

where $f_s^N(t)$ and $f_s(t)$ solve the initial value problems (4.13) and (4.11) respectively. Taking the difference between (4.13) and (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + \sum_{i=1}^s v_i \cdot \nabla_{x_i}) \Delta_s^N(t) &= -s \Delta_s^N(t) + E_s(t) \\ &+ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r C_{s,s+r+1} \Delta_{s+r+1}^N, \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

with initial datum

$$\Delta_s^N(0) = f_0^{\otimes s} \mathbf{1}_{\{s > N\}},$$

where C and E are given by (4.12) and (4.14).

We define the operator $S_j(t) : L^1(X_j, V_j) \rightarrow L^1(X_j, V_j)$ by

$$(S_j(t)f_j)(X_j, V_j) = e^{-jt} f_j(X_j - V_j t, V_j) \quad (6.3)$$

and notice that

$$\|S_j(t)\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} \leq 1, \quad (6.4)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1}$ denotes the operator norm.

We can express (6.2) in integral form

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_j^N(t) &= S_j(t - t_1) \Delta_j^N(t_1) + \\ &\int_{t_1}^t d\tau S_j(t - \tau) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r C_{j,j+r+1} \Delta_{j+r+1}^N(\tau) \\ &+ \int_{t_1}^t d\tau S_j(t - \tau) E_j(\tau). \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

for any $t_1 \in [0, t)$.

Therefore we can represent the solution $\Delta_j^N(t)$ as a series expansion in terms of the initial datum $\Delta_j^N(t_1)$ and $E_j(s)$. To this end we define the operator $\mathcal{T}_n(t, t_1)$ by recurrence. For any sequence $F = \{F_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, $F_j \in L^1(X_j, V_j)$, set:

$$(\mathcal{T}_0(t, t_1)F)_j = S_j(t - t_1)F_j$$

and

$$(\mathcal{T}_n(t, t_1)F)_j = \int_{t_1}^t d\tau S_j(t - \tau) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r C_{j, j+r+1} (\mathcal{T}_{n-1}(\tau, t_1)F)_{j+r+1}.$$

Therefore, denoting by Δ^N and E the sequences $\{\Delta_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ respectively, by a standard computation we have

$$\Delta^N(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{T}_n(t, t_1) \Delta^N(t_1) + \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{t_1}^t ds \mathcal{T}_n(t, s) E(s). \quad (6.6)$$

We are now in position to establish the main result of the present paper

Theorem 1 *For any $T > 0$ and $\alpha > \log 2$, there exists $N(T, \alpha)$ such that for any $t \in (0, T)$, any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $N > N(T, \alpha)$, we have*

$$\|\Delta_j^N(t)\|_{L^1} \leq 2^j \left(\frac{1}{N-1}\right)^{e^{-\alpha(8At+1)}}. \quad (6.7)$$

Remark

Note that according to (6.7) the quality of the order of convergence rate deteriorates with increasing time. Note also that the magnitude of the error increases exponentially with the order j of the marginals. In particular if j increases with N too fast, correlations are persistent in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof.

The proof follows two steps. First we estimate $\mathcal{T}_n(t, t_1)$, and hence $\Delta^N(t)$ for a short time interval $\delta = t - t_1$. Then we split the time interval $(0, t)$ into m intervals of length δ , with δ small enough, to obtain the result inductively.

6.1 Short time estimate

We first observe, using (6.4), that

$$\|(\mathcal{T}_n(t, t_1)F)_j\|_{L^1} \leq j \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} |a_r| \int_{t_1}^t d\tau \|(\mathcal{T}_{n-1}(\tau, t_1)F)_{j+r+1}\|_{L^1}. \quad (6.8)$$

Iterating this inequality and using, for $t > t_1$

$$\int_{t_1}^t d\tau_1 \int_{t_1}^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \cdots \int_{t_1}^{\tau_{n-1}} d\tau_n = \frac{(t - t_1)^n}{n!},$$

we obtain, for any $F = \{F_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, setting $\delta = \frac{1}{8A}$ and $R = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} r_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{T}_n(t, t - \delta)F)_j\|_{L^1} &\leq \frac{\delta^n}{n!} \sum_{r_1 \dots r_n} |a_{r_1}| \cdots |a_{r_n}| \\ &\quad j(j + r_1 + 1) \cdots (j + R + n - 1) \|F_{j+R+n}\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq \sum_{r_1 \dots r_n} |a_{r_1}| \cdots |a_{r_n}| 2^{j+R-1} (2\delta)^n \|F_{j+R+n}\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

In the last step, we used that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{j(j + r_1 + 1) \cdots (j + R + n - 1)}{n!} &\leq \frac{(j + R)(j + R + 1) \cdots (j + R + n - 1)}{n!} \\ &\leq \frac{(j + R + n - 1)!}{n!(j + R - 1)!} \leq 2^{j+R+n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (6.9) when $F = E$ with $t - \delta$ replaced by s , we get, by Proposition 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t-\delta}^t ds \quad \|(\mathcal{T}_n(t, s)E(s))_j\|_{L^1} &\leq \\ &\leq CA^2 e^{\frac{A}{N-1}} \delta \sum_{r_1 \dots r_n} |a_{r_1}| \cdots |a_{r_n}| 2^{j+R-1} (2\delta)^n \frac{(j + R + n)^2}{N - 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

where from now on C will denote a positive numerical constant. Moreover

$$(j + R + n)^2 < 3n^2 + 3j^2 + 3R^2$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{j-1} \sum_{r_1 \dots r_n} |a_{r_1}| \cdots |a_{r_n}| 2^R (R + j + n)^2 &\leq C 2^{j-1} A^n (1 + j^2 + n^2) \\ &\leq C A^2 e^{\frac{A}{N-1}} 2^j A^n j^2 n^2 \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

Here and in the sequel we use systematically

$$\sum_{r_1 \dots r_n} |a_{r_1}| \cdots |a_{r_n}| 8^{(r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_n)} \leq A^n.$$

Finally summing over n , using that, for $x \in (0, 1)$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 x^n = \frac{3x}{1-x},$$

we conclude that, recalling that $\delta = \frac{1}{8A}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{t-\delta}^t ds \quad \|(\mathcal{T}_n(t, s)E(s))_j\|_{L^1} &\leq \\ C A^2 e^{\frac{A}{N-1}} \delta 2^j j^2 \frac{6A\delta}{(1-2A\delta)} \frac{1}{N-1} &= C(A) 2^j j^2 \frac{1}{N-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

where $C(A)$ is a constant depending only on A .

6.2 Iteration

Given an arbitrary $t > 0$ we split the time interval $(0, t)$ in intervals $(k\delta, (k+1)\delta)$ $k = 1 \cdots m$ where m is an integer for which $t \in ((m-1)\delta, m\delta]$.

Denoting

$$D_j(k) = \sup_{s \in ((k-1)\delta, k\delta)} \|\Delta_j^N(s)\|_{L^1}, \quad k = 1 \cdots m, \quad (6.13)$$

with $D_j(0) = \Delta_j^N(0) = -f_0^{\otimes j} \mathbf{1}_{j > N}$, we assume inductively that, for α to be fixed later

$$D_j(k-1) \leq 2^j \varphi(k-1, N) \quad \text{with} \quad \varphi(k, N) = \frac{1}{(N-1)e^{-\alpha k}}. \quad (6.14)$$

We want to prove that the same holds for k , namely

$$D_j(k) \leq 2^j \varphi(k, N). \quad (6.15)$$

Note that the proof of the theorem is easily achieved once (6.15) is proven. (6.15) is trivially true for $k = 0$ since

$$D_j(0) \leq 2^j 2^{-N}.$$

Assuming (6.14) and applying (6.9) and (6.12) to (6.6), with $t \in ((k-1)\delta, k\delta)$, $t_1 = (k-1)\delta$ and $F = \Delta^N((k-1)\delta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_j(k) &\leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{r_1 \dots r_n} |a_{r_1}| \cdots |a_{r_n}| 2^{j+R-1} (2\delta)^n 2^{j+R+n} \varphi(k-1, N) \\ &\quad + j^2 2^j \frac{C(A)}{N-1} \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

Now observe that $D_j(k) \leq 2$ so that (6.15) holds true whenever j is so large to satisfy

$$2^j \varphi(k, N) > 2. \quad (6.17)$$

Otherwise

$$2^j \leq \frac{2}{\varphi(k, N)} \quad (6.18)$$

or, equivalently

$$j \leq 1 + \frac{e^{-\alpha k}}{\log 2} \log(N-1). \quad (6.19)$$

Using (6.19), we control the second term in the right hand side of (6.16) by

$$2^j \varphi(k, N) \left\{ C(A) \left(1 + \frac{e^{-\alpha k}}{\log 2} \log(N-1) \right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{N-1} \right)^{1-e^{-\alpha k}} \right\}.$$

Now it is clear that

$$\{\cdots\} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

provided that N is sufficiently large depending on α and k (and hence on t).

On the other hand the first term in the right hand side of (6.16) is bounded by (using (6.18))

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} A^n 2^j 2^{j-1} (4\delta)^n \varphi(k-1, N) &\leq 2^j \frac{1}{1-4A\delta} \varphi(k-1, N) (N-1)^{e^{-\alpha k}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} 2^j \varphi(k, N). \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

The last step follows from the fact that

$$(N-1)^{e^{-\alpha k}} \left(\frac{1}{N-1}\right)^{e^{-\alpha(k-1)}} = \left(\frac{1}{N-1}\right)^{e^{-\alpha k}} \left(\frac{1}{N-1}\right)^{e^{-\alpha k}(e^\alpha - 2)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{N-1}\right)^{e^{-\alpha k}}$$

for $\alpha > \log 2$ and N sufficiently large.

This concludes the proof. ■

References

- [1] Ballerini, M., Cabibbo, N., Candelier, R., Cavagna, A., Cisbani, E., Giardinà, I., Lecomte, V., Orlandi, A., Parisi, G., Procaccini, A., Viale, M., Zdravkovic, V.: Interaction ruling animal collective behavior depends on topological rather than metric distance: Evidence from a field study. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 105, 1232-1237 (2008).
- [2] A. Blanchet, P. Degond, *Topological interactions in a Boltzmann-type framework*, *J. Stat. Phys.*, 163 (2016), pp. 41-60.
- [3] A. Blanchet, P. Degond, *Kinetic models for topological nearest-neighbor interactions*. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 169 (2017), pp.929–950.
- [4] Bode, N. W., Franks, D. W., Wood, A. J.: Limited interactions in flocks: relating model simulations to empirical data. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface* rsif20100397 (2010)

- [5] Bodineau, T., Gallagher, I., Saint-Raymond, L., Simonella S.: One-sided convergence in the Boltzmann–Grad limit. arXiv:1612.03722 (2016).
- [6] F. Bolley, J. A. Canizo, J. A. Carrillo: Stochastic Mean-Field Limit: Non-Lipschitz Forces and Swarming Math. Mod. Meth. Appl. Sci., 21, 2179-2210, 2011.
- [7] W. Braun, K. Hepp: *The Vlasov Dynamics and Its Fluctuations in the $1/N$ Limit of Interacting Classical Particles*, Commun. Math. Phys. **56** (1977), 101–113.
- [8] Camperi, M., Cavagna, A., Giardina, I., Parisi, G., Silvestri, E.: Spatially balanced topological interaction grants optimal cohesion in flocking models. Interface focus 2, 715-725 (2012)
- [9] S. Caprino, M. Pulvirenti and W. Wagner: *A particle systems approximating stationary solutions to the Boltzmann equation* SIAM J. Math. Anal. **4** (1998), 913-934.
- [10] Cavagna, A., Cimarelli, A., Giardina, I., Parisi, G., Santagati, R., Stefanini, F., Tavarone, R.: From empirical data to inter-individual interactions: unveiling the rules of collective animal behavior. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 20 Suppl. 01, 1491-1510 (2010)
- [11] C. Cercignani: *The Grad limit for a system of soft spheres* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (1983), 479-494.
- [12] Cercignani, C., Illner, R., and Pulvirenti, M.: The mathematical theory of Dilute gases. Springer-Verlag, New York (1994).
- [13] R. Dobrushin: *Vlasov equations*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **13** (1979), 115–123.

- [14] Ginelli, F., Chaté, H.: Relevance of metric-free interactions in flocking phenomena. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 105, 168103 (2010)
- [15] C. Graham, S. Méléard: *Stochastic particle approximations for generalized Boltzmann models and convergence estimates*, *Annals of Probability* **25** (1997), 11517132.
- [16] Gallagher, I., Saint Raymond, L., and Texier, B.: From Newton to Boltzmann: hard spheres and short-range potentials. *Zürich Adv. Lect. in Math. Ser.* **18**, EMS (2014), and erratum to Chapter 5.
- [17] Haskovec, J.: Flocking dynamics and mean-field limit in the cuckersmale-type model with topological interactions. *Phys D* 261, 42-51 (2013)
- [18] M. Hauray, P.E. Jabin. Particles approximations of Vlasov equations with singular forces : Propagation of chaos. *Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super.*, 48, no. 4, 891-940, 2015.
- [19] Hemelrijk, C. K., Hildenbrandt, H.: Some causes of the variable shape of flocks of birds. *PloS one* 6, e22479 (2011)
- [20] R. Illner and M. Pulvirenti. Global Validity of the Boltzmann equation for a Two-Dimensional Rare Gas in the Vacuum. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **105**, 189–203, 1986.
- [21] R. Illner and M. Pulvirenti. Global Validity of the Boltzmann equation for a Two- and Three-Dimensional Rare Gas in Vacuum: Erratum and Improved Result. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **121**, 143–146, 1989.
- [22] P.E. Jabin et Z. Wang. Mean Field Limit and Propagation of Chaos for Vlasov Systems with Bounded Forces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 271 3588-3627, 2016.

- [23] Jian, M., Wei-Guo, S., Guang-Xuan, L.: Multi-grid simulation of pedestrian counter flow with topological interaction. *Chinese physics B* 19, 128901 (2010)
- [24] M. Kac. *Foundations of kinetic theory*. Proceedings of the Third Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1956.
- [25] M. Kac. *Probability and related topics in physical sciences*. Interscience, London-New York, 1959.
- [26] Niizato, T., Murakami, H., Gunji, Y. P.: Emergence of the scale-invariant proportion in a flock from the metric-topological interaction. *Biosystems* 119, 62-68 (2014)
- [27] M Lachowicz, M Pulvirenti *A stochastic system of particles modelling the Euler equation* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **109** (1990), 81-93.
- [28] Lanford, O.E., Time evolution of large classical systems. *Lect. Notes Phys.* **38**, 1–111 (1975).
- [29] P. L. Lions and A.S. Sznitman. Stochastic differential equation with reflecting boundary condition. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 37, 511-537, 1984.
- [30] Martin, S.: Multi-agent flocking under topological interactions. *Systems Control Lett.* 69, 53-61 (2014)
- [31] S.Mischler and C.Mouhot *Kac's program in kinetic theory* *Inventiones mathematicae* **193** (2013) 1-147.
- [32] H. Neunzert, J. Wick: Die Approximation der Lösung von Integro-Differentialgleichungen durch endliche Punktmengen; *Lecture Notes in Math.* vol. 395, 275–290, Springer, Berlin (1974).

- [33] T. Paul, M. Pulvirenti and S. Simonella *Size of chaos in the mean field dynamics* (2017) aeXiv:1708.07701
- [34] Pulvirenti, M., Saffirio, C., and Simonella, S.: On the validity of the Boltzmann equation for short-range potentials. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **26**, 1–64 (2014).
- [35] M. Pulvirenti, S. Simonella *The Boltzmann-Grad limit of a hard sphere system: analysis of the correlation error* *Inventiones mathematicae*, **207**(3), 1135-1237 (2017)
- [36] M. Pulvirenti, W. Wagner and M.B. Zavelani Rossi: *Convergence of particle schemes for the Boltzmann equation*, *Eur. J. Mech. B/Fluids*, **13** 3 (1994), 339–351.
- [37] S.Rjasanow and W. Wagner *Stochastic Numerics for the Boltzmann Equation* Springer Series in Computational Mathematics Vol. 37 (2005)
- [38] Shang, Y., Bouffanais, R.: Consensus reaching in swarms ruled by a hybrid metric-topological distance. *Eur. Phys. J. B* 87, 1-7 (2014)
- [39] Shang, Y., Bouffanais, R.: Influence of the number of topologically interacting neighbors on swarm dynamics. *Scientific reports* 4, 4184 (2014)
- [40] H. Spohn: *Kinetic equations from Hamiltonian dynamics*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **52** (1980), no.3, 600–640.
- [41] A.-S. Sznitman. Topics in propagation of chaos. In *Ecole d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XIX-1989*, volume 1464, chapter Lecture Notes in Math., pages 165–251. Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [42] S. Ukai. The Boltzmann–Grad limit and Cauchy–Kovalevskaya theorem. *Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math.* **18**, 383–392, 2001.

- [43] Wang, L., Chen, G.: Synchronization of multi-agent systems with metric-topological interactions. *Chaos* 26, 094809 (2016)
- [44] S. Mischler, C. Mouhot, B. Wennberg : A new approach to quantitative propagation of chaos for drift, diffusion and jump processes, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 161 (2015), pp 1?59.