

VARIATIONS OF HODGE STRUCTURES OF RANK THREE k -HIGGS BUNDLES AND MODULI SPACES OF HOLOMORPHIC TRIPLES

August 28, 2020

*Ronald A. Zúñiga-Rojas*¹

Centro de Investigaciones Matemáticas y Metamatemáticas CIMM
Escuela de Matemática, Universidad de Costa Rica,
San José 11501, Costa Rica
e-mail: ronald.zunigarojas@ucr.ac.cr
ORCID: [0000-0003-3402-2526](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3402-2526)

Abstract

There is an isomorphism between the moduli spaces of σ -stable holomorphic triples and some of the critical submanifolds of the moduli space of k -Higgs bundles of rank three, whose elements (E, φ^k) correspond to variations of Hodge structure, VHS. There are special embeddings on the moduli spaces of k -Higgs bundles of rank three. The main objective here is to study the cohomology of the critical submanifolds of such moduli spaces, extending those embeddings to moduli spaces of holomorphic triples.

Keywords: Higgs bundles, holomorphic triples, moduli spaces, variations of Hodge structure.
MSC classes: Primary 14F45; Secondaries 14D07, 14H60.

Introduction

Consider a compact connected Riemann surface X of genus $g \geq 2$. Algebraically, X is a complete irreducible non-singular curve over \mathbb{C} . Let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(r, d)$ be the moduli space of polystable vector bundles of rank r and degree d over X . In this paper, we consider the co-prime condition $\text{GCD}(r, d) = 1$, which ensures that polystable implies stable. This space has been widely worked by Atiyah & Bott [2], Desale & Ramanan [8], Earl & Kirwan [9], among other authors. Here, we consider it as the corresponding minimal critical submanifold of $\mathcal{M}(r, d)$, the moduli space of polystable Higgs bundles. A Higgs bundle over X is a pair (E, φ) where $E \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic vector bundle and $\varphi: E \rightarrow E \otimes K$ is an endomorphism twisted by the cotangent bundle $K = T^*X$. Fixing rank r and degree d of the underlying vector bundle E , the isomorphism classes of polystable Higgs bundles are parametrized by a quasiprojective variety: the moduli space of polystable Higgs bundles $\mathcal{M}^{ps}(r, d)$. Again, since $\text{GCD}(r, d) = 1$, polystability implies stability and then, the space $\mathcal{M}^{ps}(r, d) = \mathcal{M}^{ss}(r, d) = \mathcal{M}^s(r, d)$ becomes a smooth projective variety. These spaces were first worked by Hitchin [20] and Simpson [27]. Since then, they have been around for more than thirty years, and have been studied extensively by a number of authors: *e.g.* [7, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 28].

¹ Supported by Universidad de Costa Rica through Escuela de Matemática, specifically through CIMM (Centro de Investigaciones Matemáticas y Metamatemáticas), Project 820-B8-224. This work is partly based on the Ph.D. Project [29] called “Homotopy Groups of the Moduli Space of Higgs Bundles”, supported by FEDER through Programa Operacional Factores de Competitividade-COMPETE, and also supported by FCT (Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia) through the projects PTDC/MAT-GEO/0675/2012 and PEst-C/MAT/UI0144/2013 with grant reference SFRH/BD/51174/2010.

Higgs bundles are an interesting topic of research because they have links with many other areas of mathematics such as integrable systems, mirror symmetry, Langlands programme, Hodge theory, among others. We are interested on their link to Hodge theory. The work of Simpson [26, 27], Hausel [14], and Hausel & Thaddeus [18, 19] shall be particularly useful for our purposes.

There is a Morse function $f: \mathcal{M}^k(3, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f(E, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\varphi\|_{L_2}^2 = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_X \text{tr}(\varphi\varphi^*)$$

applied to the moduli spaces of stable k -Higgs bundles $\mathcal{M}^k(r, d)$. We study the stabilization of the cohomology groups of the critical submanifolds from this Morse function f , for the case of rank $r = 3$. The co-prime condition $(3, d) = 1$ implies that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^k(3, d)$ is smooth. A k -Higgs bundle or Higgs bundle with poles of order k , (E, φ^k) , is a Higgs bundle where the morphism φ^k is twisted by L_p k -times, where $p \in X$ is an arbitrary fixed point and $L_p = \mathcal{O}_X(p)$ is its associated line bundle (local structure sheaf):

$$\varphi^k: E \rightarrow E \otimes K \otimes L_p^{\otimes k} = E \otimes K(k \cdot p).$$

According to Simpson [26] the critical points of f , are *variations of the Hodge structure* (VHS), a decomposition of the form:

$$E = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n E_j \quad \text{such that} \quad \varphi: E_j \rightarrow E_{j+1} \otimes K \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n-1. \quad (0.1)$$

for general rank r . In our case, for $\mathcal{M}^k(3, d)$, there are three kind of variations of Hodge structure:

i. (1, 2)-VHS:

$$\left(E_1 \oplus E_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in F_{d_1}^k \subseteq \mathcal{M}^k(3, d).$$

ii. (2, 1)-VHS:

$$\left(E_2 \oplus E_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in F_{d_2}^k \subseteq \mathcal{M}^k(3, d).$$

iii. (1, 1, 1)-VHS:

$$\left(L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \phi_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_{32} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in F_{m_1 m_2}^k \subseteq \mathcal{M}^k(3, d),$$

Here, $F_{d_1}^k$, $F_{d_2}^k$ and $F_{m_1 m_2}^k$ denote the respective critical submanifolds of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^k(3, d)$. The first two, $F_{d_1}^k$ and $F_{d_2}^k$, are close related to the space $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$, the moduli space of σ -stable holomorphic triples of type $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{d}) = (r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$.

A holomorphic triple $T = (E_1, E_2, \phi)$ on X consists of a pair of holomorphic vector bundles $E_1 \rightarrow X$ and $E_2 \rightarrow X$, of ranks r_1, r_2 and degrees d_1, d_2 respectively, and a holomorphic map $\phi: E_2 \rightarrow E_1$. The stability for triples depends on a parameter $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, which gives a collection of moduli spaces $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$ widely worked by several authors: *e.g.* [4, 5, 6, 11, 22, 23]. The range of σ is an interval $[\sigma_m, \sigma_M] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ split by a finite number

of critical values σ_c . The reader may see Bradlow, García-Prada, Gothen [5], Muñoz, Oliveira, Sánchez [22], or Muñoz, Ortega, Vásquez-Gallo [23] for the interval details.

This paper works with a very particular framework. We study holomorphic triples on X of the form $T = (\tilde{E}_1, \tilde{E}_2, \phi)$ with type $(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$, where ranks $r_1 = 2$, $r_2 = 1$ and degrees \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2 are in terms of $(1, 2)$ -VHS described before:

$$\left(E_1 \oplus E_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in F_{d_1}^k \subseteq \mathcal{M}^k(3, d),$$

where $\tilde{E}_1 = E_2 \otimes K(kp)$, $\tilde{E}_2 = E_1$, $\phi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \otimes K(kp)$, and so the degrees become $\tilde{d}_1 = \deg(\tilde{E}_1) = d_2 + 2(2g - 2 + k)$, $\tilde{d}_2 = d_1$.

We study as well the holomorphic triples $T = (\tilde{E}_1, \tilde{E}_2, \phi)$ with type $(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$, related to $(2, 1)$ -VHS of the form

$$\left(E_2 \oplus E_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in F_{d_2}^k \subseteq \mathcal{M}^k(3, d),$$

where, in this case $\tilde{E}_1 = E_1 \otimes K(kp)$, $\tilde{E}_2 = E_2$, $\phi: E_2 \rightarrow E_1 \otimes K(kp)$, and the degrees become $\tilde{d}_1 = \deg(\tilde{E}_1) = d_1 + 2g - 2 + k$, $\tilde{d}_2 = d_2$.

Finally, we also study $(1, 1, 1)$ -VHS

$$\left(L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \phi_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_{32} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in F_{m_1 m_2}^k \subseteq \mathcal{M}^k(3, d),$$

and those are related to symmetric products of the form

$$\mathrm{Sym}^{m_1}(X) \times \mathrm{Sym}^{m_2}(X) \times \mathcal{J}^{d_3}(X)$$

where $\mathcal{J}^{d_3}(X)$ is the Jacobian of X , the moduli space of stable line bundles of degree d_3 , and m_1, m_2 will be described below as the corresponding degrees of auxiliar bundles.

Our estimates are based on embeddings $\mathcal{M}^k(3, d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{k+1}(3, d)$ defined by

$$i_k: [(E, \varphi^k)] \longmapsto [(E, \varphi^k \otimes s_p)]$$

where $0 \neq s_p \in H^0(X, L_p)$ is a nonzero fixed section of $L_p = \mathcal{O}_X(p)$.

The paper is organized as follows: in section 1 we recall some basic facts about holomorphic triples, Higgs bundles and k -Higgs bundles; in section 2, we present the effect of the embeddings on σ -stable triples; in subsection 2.1, we show that the embeddings preserve σ -stability, in subsection 2.2, we discuss the effect of the embeddings considering the flip loci, and present an original result, the so-called “Roof Theorem”:

Theorem 0.1 (Theorem 2.6). *There exists an embedding*

$$\tilde{i}_k: \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k+1)}$$

such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k+1)} & & \\
 & \swarrow & \uparrow & \searrow & \\
 \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-(k+1)} & & \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)} & & \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k+1)} \\
 \uparrow i_k & & \exists \tilde{i}_k & & \uparrow i_k \\
 \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-(k)} & & \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)} & & \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k)}
 \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)}$ is the blow-up of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-(k)} = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ along the flip locus $S_{\sigma_c^-(k)}$ and, at the same time, represents the blow-up of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k)} = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ along the flip locus $S_{\sigma_c^+(k)}$.

Here, $\sigma_c(k) \in]\sigma_m, \sigma_M[$ is a σ -critical value depending on the parameter k , that lies in the interval mentioned above, where $\sigma_m = \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \tilde{d}_1/2 - \tilde{d}_2$ and $\sigma_M = 4\sigma_m$.

In section 3 we present the cohomology main results for triples: in subsection 3.1 appear some useful results about the cohomology of the symmetric product $\text{Sym}^k(X)$. In subsection 3.2 we present the stabilization of the cohomology (Theorem 3.9) for certain indices:

Theorem 0.2 (Theorem 3.9). *There is an isomorphism*

$$\tilde{i}_k^*: H^j(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k+1)}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)}, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq n(k)$$

at the blow-up level, where $n(k) = \min \{ \tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 - 1, \quad 2(\tilde{d}_1 - 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2)) + 1 \}$.

And hence, the cohomology stabilization of the moduli spaces of triples:

Corollary 0.3 (Corollary 3.11). *There is an isomorphism*

$$i_k^*: H^j(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c(k+1)}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c(k)}, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq n(k)$$

where $n(k)$ as above.

In subsection 3.3 we show the stabilization of the (1, 2)-VHS cohomology using the isomorphisms between them and the moduli spaces of triples:

Corollary 0.4 (Corollary 3.16). *There is an isomorphism*

$$H^j(F_{d_1}^{k+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(F_{d_1}^k, \mathbb{Z})$$

for all $j \leq \sigma_H(k) - 2(\mu_1 - \mu) - 1$.

Here, $\sigma_H(k) \in]\sigma_m, \sigma_M[$ is a particular σ -critical value depending on the parameter k :

$$\sigma_H(k) = \deg \left(K(k \cdot p) \right) = 2g - 2 + k.$$

In subsection 3.4 we show the analogous dual result for (2, 1)-VHS:

Corollary 0.5 (Corollary 3.19). *For k large enough, there is an isomorphism*

$$H^j(F_{d_2}^{k+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(F_{d_2}^k, \mathbb{Z})$$

for all $j \leq \sigma_H(k) - 4(\mu_2 - \mu) - 1$.

Finally, in subsection 3.5 we described the cohomology for (1, 1, 1)-VHS and its relationship with the spaces $\text{Sym}^{m_1}(X) \times \text{Sym}^{m_2}(X) \times \mathcal{J}^{d_3}(X)$:

Corollary 0.6 (Corollary 3.21). *There is an isomorphism*

$$H^j(F_{m_1 m_2}^\infty, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(F_{m_1 m_2}^k, \mathbb{Z})$$

for all $j \leq \min(\bar{m}_1 + k, \bar{m}_2 + k) - 1$.

1 Preliminary definitions

Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$ and let $K = T^*X$ be the canonical line bundle of X . Note that, algebraically, X is also a nonsingular complex projective algebraic curve.

The k -th symmetric product $\text{Sym}^k(X)$ is a smooth projective variety of dimension $k \in \mathbb{N}$, that could be interpreted as the moduli space of degree k effective divisors. In other words, $\text{Sym}^k(X) = X^k/S_k$, the symmetric product with quotient topology, is the quotient of X^k the k -times cartesian product by the action of S_k the k -symmetric group. Obviously $\text{Sym}^1(X) = X$.

Definition 1.1. For a (smooth or holomorphic) vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$, we denote the *rank* of E by $\text{rk}(E) = r$ and the *degree* of E by $\text{deg}(E) = d$. Its *slope* is defined to be

$$\mu(E) = \frac{\text{deg}(E)}{\text{rk}(E)} = \frac{d}{r}. \quad (1.1)$$

A vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ is called *semistable* if $\mu(F) \leq \mu(E)$ for any nonzero $F \subseteq E$. Similarly, a vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ is called *stable* if $\mu(F) < \mu(E)$ for any nonzero $F \subsetneq E$. Finally, E is called *polystable* if it is the direct sum of stable subbundles, all of the same slope.

1.1 Holomorphic Triples

Definition 1.2. A *holomorphic triple* on X is a triple $T = (E_1, E_2, \phi)$ consisting of two holomorphic vector bundles $E_1 \rightarrow X$ and $E_2 \rightarrow X$ and a homomorphism $\phi: E_2 \rightarrow E_1$, i.e. an element $\phi \in H^0(\text{Hom}(E_2, E_1))$.

Definition 1.3. A *homomorphism* from a triple $T' = (E'_1, E'_2, \phi')$ to another triple $T = (E_1, E_2, \phi)$ is a commutative diagram of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E'_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & E'_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & E_1 \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows represent holomorphic maps.

Definition 1.4. A triple $T' = (E'_1, E'_2, \phi')$ is a *subtriple* of $T = (E_1, E_2, \phi)$ if

- i. $E'_j \subseteq E_j$ is a coherent subsheaf for $j = 1, 2$
- ii. $\phi' = \phi|_{E'_2}$, i.e. ϕ' is the restriction of ϕ .

In other words, we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E'_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & E'_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & E_1 \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are injective inclusions this time. In such a case, we denote $T' \subseteq T$.

If $E'_1 = E'_2 = 0$ we call the subtriple $T' \subseteq T$ as *the trivial subtriple*.

T' is a *non-trivial proper subtriple* if $0 \neq T' \subsetneq T$.

Remark 1.5. For stability criteria, it will be enough to consider saturated subsheaves. In our case, since X is a Riemann surface, saturated subsheaves are precisely subbundles.

Definition 1.6. A triple $T = (E_1, E_2, \phi)$ is *reducible* if there are direct sum decompositions $E_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n E_{1i}$, $E_2 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n E_{2i}$, and $\phi = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \phi_i$ such that $\phi_i \in \text{Hom}(E_{2i}, E_{1i})$. In such a case, T has a *direct sum decomposition*

$$T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n T_i \text{ of subtriples } T_i = (E_{1i}, E_{2i}, \phi_i).$$

If $T = (E_1, E_2, \phi)$ is not reducible, we say that T is *irreducible*.

Remark 1.7. We adopt Bradlow and García-Prada [4] convention that if $E_{2i} = 0$ or $E_{1i} = 0$ for some i , then ϕ_i is the zero map.

Definition 1.8. σ -Stability, σ -Semistability and σ -Polystability:

- i. For any $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, the σ -degree and the σ -slope of $T = (E_1, E_2, \phi)$ are defined as:

$$\deg_\sigma(T) = \deg(E_1) + \deg(E_2) + \sigma \cdot \text{rk}(E_2),$$

and

$$\mu_\sigma(T) = \frac{\deg_\sigma(T)}{\text{rk}(E_1) + \text{rk}(E_2)} = \mu(E_1 \oplus E_2) + \sigma \frac{\text{rk}(E_2)}{\text{rk}(E_1) + \text{rk}(E_2)}$$

respectively.

- ii. T is then called σ -stable [respectively, σ -semistable] if $\mu_\sigma(T') < \mu_\sigma(T)$ [respectively, $\mu_\sigma(T') \leq \mu_\sigma(T)$] for any proper subtriple $0 \neq T' \subsetneq T$.
- iii. A triple is called σ -polystable if it is the direct sum of σ -stable triples of the same σ -slope.

Now we may use the following notation for moduli spaces of triples:

- i. Denote $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2)$ and $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2)$, and then regard

$$\mathcal{N}_\sigma = \mathcal{N}_\sigma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{d}) = \mathcal{N}_\sigma(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$$

as the moduli space of σ -polystable triples $T = (E_1, E_2, \phi)$ such that $\text{rk}(E_j) = r_j$ and $\text{deg}(E_j) = d_j$.

- ii. Denote by $\mathcal{N}_\sigma^s = \mathcal{N}_\sigma^s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{d})$ the open subspace of σ -stable triples.
- iii. Call $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{d}) = (r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$ the type of the triple $T = (E_1, E_2, \phi)$.

The moduli space of σ -stable triples $\mathcal{N}_\sigma^s = \mathcal{N}_\sigma^s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{d}) = \mathcal{N}_\sigma^s(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$ is formally constructed by Bradlow and García-Prada [4] using dimensional reduction. There is also a direct construction by Schmitt [25] using Geometric Invariance Theory (GIT). The reader also may consult the work of Bradlow, García-Prada and Gothen [5]; Muñoz, Oliveira and Sánchez [22]; or Muñoz, Ortega and Vázquez-Gallo [23] for the following details.

There are certain necessary conditions on σ for σ -stable triples to exist. For triples of type $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{d}) = (r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$, consider the slopes $\mu_j = \frac{d_j}{r_j}$ for $j = 1, 2$ and define

$$\sigma_m = \mu_1 - \mu_2, \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\sigma_M = \left(1 + \frac{r_1 + r_2}{|r_1 - r_2|}\right) (\mu_1 - \mu_2), \quad \text{for } r_1 \neq r_2, \tag{1.3}$$

Theorem 1.9 ([4, Th. 6.1.]). *The moduli space of σ -stable triples $\mathcal{N}_\sigma^s(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$ is a complex analytic variety, which is projective when $\sigma \in \mathbb{Q}$. A necessary condition for $\mathcal{N}_\sigma^s(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$ to be non-empty is*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \sigma_m \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_M, & \quad \text{if } r_1 \neq r_2, \\ 0 \leq \sigma_m \leq \sigma, & \quad \text{if } r_1 = r_2. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.10. If $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ and $r_1 \neq r_2$ then $\sigma_m = \sigma_M = 0$ and so, $\mathcal{N}_\sigma^s(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$ is empty unless $\sigma = 0$.

Proposition 1.11 ([5, Prop. 2.4.]). *The σ -stability of $T = (E_1, E_2, \phi)$ is equivalent to the σ -stability of the dual triple $T^* = (E_2^*, E_1^*, \phi^*)$, where ϕ^* represents the conjugate transpose of ϕ . The map $T \mapsto T^*$ defines an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{N}_\sigma^s(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2) \cong \mathcal{N}_\sigma^s(r_2, r_1, -d_2, -d_1).$$

The last result is frequently used to restrict the study of triples to $r_1 \geq r_2$ and appeal to duality when $r_1 < r_2$. We shall use this duality result later to study and compare the cohomology of (1, 2)-VHS and (2, 1)-VHS.

Definition 1.12. For triples of type (r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2) , the number $\sigma \in [\sigma_m, \infty[$ is a *critical value* if there exist integers r'_1, r'_2, d'_1 and d'_2 such that

$$\sigma = \frac{(r_1 + r_2)(d'_1 + d'_2) - (r'_1 + r'_2)(d_1 + d_2)}{r'_1 r_2 - r_1 r'_2}$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{d_1 + d_2}{r_1 + r_2} + \frac{\sigma \cdot r_2}{r_1 + r_2} = \frac{d'_1 + d'_2}{r'_1 + r'_2} + \frac{\sigma \cdot r'_2}{r'_1 + r'_2}$$

with $0 \leq r'_j \leq r_j$, $(r'_1, r'_2, d'_1, d'_2) \neq (r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$, $(r_1, r_2) \neq (0, 0)$ and $r'_1 r_2 \neq r_1 r'_2$.

We denote $\sigma = \sigma_c$ if it is critical.

The number $\sigma \in [\sigma_m, \infty[$ is called *generic* if it is not critical.

Proposition 1.13 ([5, Prop. 2.6.]). *Fix the type (r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2) .*

- i. The critical values σ_c form a discrete subset of the interval $[\sigma_m, \infty[$.*
- ii. If $r_1 \neq r_2$ the critical values σ_c are finite and lie in the interval $[\sigma_m, \sigma_M]$.*
- iii. The stability criteria for two values of σ lying between two consecutive critical values are equivalent; thus, the moduli spaces are isomorphic.*
- iv. If σ is generic and $\text{GCD}(r_2, r_1 + r_2, d_1 + d_2) = 1$, then σ -semistability is equivalent to σ -stability.*

1.2 Higgs Bundles

Definition 1.14. A *Higgs bundle* over X is a pair (E, φ) where $E \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic vector bundle and $\varphi: E \rightarrow E \otimes K$ is an endomorphism of E twisted by K , which is called a *Higgs field*. Note that $\varphi \in H^0(X; \text{End}(E) \otimes K)$.

Definition 1.15. A subbundle $F \subseteq E$ is said to be φ -*invariant* if $\varphi(F) \subseteq F \otimes K$. A Higgs bundle is said to be *semistable* [respectively, *stable*] if $\mu(F) \leq \mu(E)$ [respectively, $\mu(F) < \mu(E)$] for any nonzero φ -invariant subbundle $F \subseteq E$ [respectively, $F \subsetneq E$]. Finally, (E, φ) is called *polystable* if it is the direct sum of stable φ -invariant subbundles, all of the same slope.

Fixing the rank $\text{rk}(E) = r$ and the degree $\text{deg}(E) = d$ of a Higgs bundle (E, φ) , the isomorphism classes of polystable bundles are parametrized by a quasi-projective variety: the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(r, d)$. Constructions of this space can be found in the work of Hitchin [20], using gauge theory, or in the work of Nitsure [24], using algebraic geometry methods.

Hitchin [20] works with the *Yang-Mills self-duality equations* (SDE)

$$\begin{cases} F_A + [\varphi, \varphi^*] = 0 \\ \bar{\partial}_A \varphi = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where $\varphi \in \Omega^{1,0}(X, \text{End}(\mathcal{E}))$ is a complex auxiliary field and F_A is the curvature of a connection d_A which is compatible with $\bar{\partial}_A$, the holomorphic structure of the bundle $E = (\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_A)$, where \mathcal{E} is a smooth complex bundle of rank $\text{rk}(\mathcal{E}) = 2$ and degree $\text{deg}(\mathcal{E}) = 1$.

Hitchin calls φ *Higgs field*, because it shares a lot of the physical and gauge properties of those of the Higgs boson. Here, φ^* denotes the adjoint of φ with respect to the hermitian metric on E ,² and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes the extension of the Lie bracket to Lie algebra-valued forms.

The set of solutions

$$\beta(\mathcal{E}) = \{(\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi) \mid \text{solution of (1.4)}\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{0,1}(\mathcal{E}) \times \Omega^{1,0}(X, \text{End}(\mathcal{E}))$$

where $\mathcal{A}^{0,1}(\mathcal{E})$ denotes the space of holomorphic structures on \mathcal{E} , $\Omega^{1,0}(X, \text{End}(\mathcal{E}))$ denotes $(1, 0)$ -forms of X with values on $\text{End}(\mathcal{E})$, and the collection

$$\beta_{ps}(2, 1) = \{\beta(\mathcal{E}) \mid \mathcal{E} \text{ polystable, } \text{rk}(\mathcal{E}) = 2, \text{deg}(\mathcal{E}) = 1\},$$

allow Hitchin to construct the Moduli space of solutions to SDE (1.4)

$$\mathcal{M}^{YM}(2, 1) = \beta_{ps}(2, 1) / \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}},$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_s^{YM}(2, 1) = \beta_s(2, 1) / \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^{YM}(2, 1),$$

the moduli space of stable solutions to SDE (1.4), where $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$ represents the complex gauge group, which acts by conjugation on $\beta_{ps}(2, 1)$ and $\beta_s(2, 1)$.

Remark 1.16. Since $\text{GCD}(2, 1) = 1$, then $\beta_{ps}(2, 1) = \beta_s(2, 1)$ and so

$$\mathcal{M}^{YM}(r, d) = \mathcal{M}_s^{YM}(r, d).$$

Using definition 1.14, and the notion of stability 1.15, Hitchin [20] presents an alternative algebro-geometric construction of the moduli space of polystable Higgs bundles:

$$\mathcal{M}^H(2, 1) = \{(E, \varphi) \mid E \text{ polystable}\} / \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$$

and the subspace

$$\mathcal{M}_s^H(2, 1) = \{(E, \varphi) \mid E \text{ stable}\} / \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^H(2, 1),$$

of stable Higgs bundles.

Remark 1.17. Again, $\text{GCD}(2, 1) = 1$ implies $\mathcal{M}^H(2, 1) = \mathcal{M}_s^H(2, 1)$.

Finally, Hitchin [20] concludes:

Theorem 1.18. [20] *There is a homeomorphism of topological spaces*

$$\mathcal{M}^H(2, 1) \cong \mathcal{M}^{YM}(2, 1). \quad \square$$

Because of the last homeomorphism, from now on it will be enough to denote $\mathcal{M}(2, 1) = \mathcal{M}^H(2, 1) \cong \mathcal{M}^{YM}(2, 1)$ for brief. Hitchin [20] computes the real dimension of the moduli space of stable rank two pairs (E, φ) :

Theorem 1.19 ([20, Th. 5.8.]). *Let $X = \Sigma_g$ be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g > 1$. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}(2, 1)$ of all stable pairs (E, φ) , where $E \rightarrow X$ is a rank two holomorphic vector bundle of degree one, and φ is a trace free holomorphic section of $\text{End}(E) \otimes K$, is a smooth real manifold of dimension*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{M}(2, 1)) = 12(g - 1).$$

²By Hitchin [20], there is a hermitian metric on E .

Corollary 1.20. *The space $\mathcal{M}(2, 1)$ is a quasi-projective variety of complex dimension*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{M}(2, 1)) = 3(2g - 2).$$

Nitsure [24] constructs the moduli space of Higgs bundles of general rank r and degree d using Geometric Invariant Theory (GIT), and computes its dimension:

Theorem 1.21 ([24]). *The space $\mathcal{M}(r, d)$ is a quasi-projective variety of complex dimension*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{M}(r, d)) = (r^2 - 1)(2g - 2).$$

Remark 1.22. Note that the result of Nitsure [24] coincides with the result of Hitchin [20] for rank two Higgs bundles.

Simpson [27] calls the pair (E, φ) as *Higgs bundle*. His work contributes generalizing Higgs bundles to higher dimensions and proving an analogous proposition to Theorem 1.19 for general rank, with the same notion of stability in mind, considering the moduli space of Higgs bundles as the quotient

$$\mathcal{M}^H(r, d) = \{(E, \varphi) \mid E \text{ polystable}\} / \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$$

and the subspace

$$\mathcal{M}_s^H(r, d) = \{(E, \varphi) \mid E \text{ stable}\} / \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^H(r, d),$$

of stable Higgs bundles.

Remark 1.23. Once again, $\text{GCD}(r, d) = 1$ implies $\mathcal{M}^H(r, d) = \mathcal{M}_s^H(r, d)$. See Simpson [27] for details.

Theorem 1.24 ([27, Prop. 1.5]). *There is a homeomorphism of topological spaces*

$$\mathcal{M}^H(r, d) \cong \mathcal{M}^{YM}(r, d). \quad \square$$

Also for general rank, we denote $\mathcal{M}(r, d) = \mathcal{M}^H(r, d) \cong \mathcal{M}^{YM}(r, d)$ for brief, or even $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(r, d)$ when the rank r and the degree d are clear. Recall that we are considering the co-prime case $\text{GCD}(r, d) = 1$, in order for $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(r, d)$ to be a smooth variety. An important feature of $\mathcal{M}(r, d)$ is that it carries an action of \mathbb{C}^* : $z \cdot (E, \varphi) = (E, z \cdot \varphi)$. According to Hitchin [20], (\mathcal{M}, I, Ω) is a Kähler manifold, where I is its complex structure and Ω its corresponding Kähler form. Furthermore, \mathbb{C}^* acts on \mathcal{M} biholomorphically with respect to the complex structure I by the aforementioned action, where the Kähler form Ω is invariant under the induced action $e^{i\theta} \cdot (E, \varphi) = (E, e^{i\theta} \cdot \varphi)$ of the circle $\mathbb{S}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^*$. Besides, this circle action is Hamiltonian, with proper moment map $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by:

$$f(E, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_X \text{tr}(\varphi\varphi^*) \quad (1.5)$$

where φ^* is the adjoint of φ with respect to the hermitian metric on E , and f has finitely many critical values.

There is another important fact mentioned by Hitchin [20] (see the original version in the work of Frankel [10], and its application to Higgs bundles in [20]): the critical points of f are exactly the fixed points of the circle action on \mathcal{M} .

If $(E, \varphi) = (E, e^{i\theta}\varphi)$ and $\varphi = 0$, then the critical value is $c_0 = 0$. The corresponding critical submanifold is $F_0 = f^{-1}(c_0) = f^{-1}(0) = \mathcal{N}$, the moduli space of stable bundles

(see Hitchin [20], Simpson [27], or Bradlow, García-Prada, Gothen [6] for details). On the other hand, when $\varphi \neq 0$, there is a type of algebraic structure for Higgs bundles introduced by Simpson [26, 27]: a *variation of Hodge structure*, or simply a *VHS*, for a Higgs bundle (E, φ) is a decomposition:

$$E = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n E_j \quad \text{such that} \quad \varphi: E_j \rightarrow E_{j+1} \otimes K \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n-1. \quad (1.6)$$

It has been proved by Simpson [26] that the fixed points of the circle action on $\mathcal{M}(r, d)$, and so, the critical points of f , are these variations of the Hodge structure VHS, where the critical values $c_\lambda = f(E, \varphi)$ will depend on the degrees d_j of the components $E_j \subseteq E$, and λ denotes the index of the critical point for the Morse-Bott function f . By Morse theory, we can stratify \mathcal{M} in such a way that there is a non-minimal critical submanifold $F_\lambda = f^{-1}(c_\lambda)$ for each nonzero critical value $0 \neq c_\lambda = f(E, \varphi)$ where (E, φ) represents a fixed point of the circle action, or equivalently, a VHS. We then say that (E, φ) is a $(\text{rk}(E_1), \dots, \text{rk}(E_n))$ -VHS.

The Morse indexes of the critical submanifolds of the moduli space of stable Higgs bundles $\mathcal{M}(r, d)$ for general rank r were calculated by Bradlow et al. [6]:

Proposition 1.25 ([6, Prop. 3.10.]). *Let (E, φ) be a stable Higgs bundle which corresponds to a critical point of f . Then the Morse index of the corresponding critical submanifold $(E, \varphi) \in F_\lambda$ is*

$$\text{index}(F_\lambda) = 2 \sum_{k>0} \dim \left(\mathbb{H}^1 \left(C_k^\bullet(E, \varphi) \right) \right)$$

where

$$\dim \left(\mathbb{H}^1 \left(C_k^\bullet(E, \varphi) \right) \right) = -\chi \left(C_k^\bullet(E, \varphi) \right)$$

and $C_k^\bullet(E, \varphi)$ is the deformation complex of the pair (E, φ) . □

Proposition 1.26 ([6, Prop. 3.12.(2)]). *For $\mathcal{M}(r, d)$*

$$\text{index}(F_\lambda) \geq (r-1)(2g-2)$$

for every non-minimal critical submanifold $F_\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{M}(r, d)$. □

Proposition 1.27 ([6, Prop. 3.14.]). *Let $F_0 \subseteq \mathcal{M}(r, d)$ be the set of local minima. Then*

$$F_0 = \{(E, \varphi) \in \mathcal{M}(r, d) \mid \varphi = 0\}.$$

Hence, F_0 coincides with $\mathcal{N}(r, d)$, the moduli space of semistable bundles of rank r and degree d , which equals the subvariety $\mathcal{N}^s(r, d) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(r, d)$ corresponding to stable bundles if $\text{GCD}(r, d) = 1$. □

1.3 k-Higgs Bundles

Definition 1.28. Fix a point $p \in X$, and let $L_p = \mathcal{O}_X(p)$ be the associated line bundle to the divisor $p \in \text{Sym}^1(X) = X$. A *k-Higgs bundle* (or *Higgs bundle with poles of order k*) is a pair (E, φ^k) where:

$$E \xrightarrow{\varphi^k} E \otimes K \otimes L_p^{\otimes k} = E \otimes K(k \cdot p)$$

and where the morphism $\varphi^k \in H^0(X, \text{End}(E) \otimes K(k \cdot p))$ is what we call a *Higgs field with poles of order k* . The moduli space of k -Higgs bundles of rank r and degree d is denoted by $\mathcal{M}^k(r, d)$. For simplicity, we will suppose that $\text{GCD}(r, d) = 1$, and so, $\mathcal{M}^k(r, d)$ will be smooth.

Remark 1.29. So far, everything we have said for Higgs bundles and the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(r, d)$ also hold for k -Higgs bundles and the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}^k(r, d)$.

There is a new tool for k -Higgs bundles: an embedding of the form

$$i_k: \mathcal{M}^k(r, d) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{k+1}(r, d): [(E, \varphi^k)] \mapsto [(E, \varphi^k \otimes s_p)] \quad (1.7)$$

where $0 \neq s_p \in H^0(X, L_p)$ is a nonzero fixed section of L_p .

When the rank is $r = 2$ or $r = 3$, the map i_k induces embeddings of the form

$$F_\lambda^k \xrightarrow{i_k} F_\lambda^{k+1} \quad \forall \lambda,$$

for non-minimal³ critical submanifolds F_λ^k , where λ is the Morse index of the submanifold. For $\mathcal{M}^k(2, 1)$, when $r = 2$, the Morse index is $\lambda = 2(g + 2d_1 - 2) + k$, which depends just on the parameter $d_1 \in [\frac{1}{2}, g - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{k}{2}] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ since $d = \deg(E) = 1$ (co-prime case $\text{GCD}(r, d) = 1$), $g \geq 2$ is fixed, and k is the order of the pole.

Hence, we may index the $(1, 1)$ -critical submanifolds as $F_{d_1}^k$, and the embeddings are well defined:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{d_1}^k &\cong \text{Sym}^{\bar{d}_1+k}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Sym}^{\bar{d}_1+k+1}(X) \cong F_{d_1}^{k+1} \\ i_k: D &\longmapsto D + p \end{aligned}$$

where $D \in \text{Sym}^{\bar{d}_1+k}(X)$ is a divisor and $\bar{d}_1 = 2g - 2d_1 - 1$ for simplicity. The reader may see Bento [3], Hausel [14], Hausel and Thaddeus [18, 19] or Hitchin [20] for details.

For $\mathcal{M}^k(3, d)$, when $r = 3$, we have three types of critical submanifolds. For $(1, 2)$ -critical submanifolds F_λ^k , the Morse index is given by $\lambda = 2(3d_1 - d + 2g - 2 + k)$ where once again $d_1 = \deg(E_1)$ is the degree of the maximal destabilizing line bundle $E_1 \subseteq E$, and so, we are in a very similar situation than before. Without loss of generality, we may pick the index d_1 for the $(1, 2)$ -critical submanifolds, and the embeddings become

$$\begin{aligned} i_k: F_{d_1}^k &\longrightarrow F_{d_1}^{k+1} \\ (E, \varphi^k) &= \left(E_1 \oplus E_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \longmapsto (E, \varphi^k \otimes s_p) = \left(E_1 \oplus E_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k \otimes s_p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi^k: E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \otimes K(kp)$ and $\frac{d}{3} < d_1 < \frac{d}{3} + g - 1 + \frac{k}{2}$ as we shall see below (see Bento [3], Gothen [12] or Z-R [29] for interval details). Moreover

$$(\phi^k \otimes s_p)(E_1) \subseteq \phi^k(E_1) \otimes L_p \subseteq E_2 \otimes K \otimes L_p^{\otimes k} \otimes L_p = E_2 \otimes K \otimes L_p^{\otimes k+1}$$

and therefore

$$i_k(F_{d_1}^k) \subseteq F_{d_1}^{k+1}.$$

³For stable pairs in $F_0^k = \mathcal{N}_k$, the embeddings are trivial. Cf. Hausel [14, Ch. 3. Sec. 3.4].

Lemma 1.30 ([3, Lema 2.3.1.]). *Let $(E, \varphi^k) \in F_{d_1}^k$ be a k -Higgs bundle of the form*

$$(E, \varphi^k) = \left(E_1 \oplus E_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Hence, (E, φ^k) is stable if and only if the holomorphic triple $T = (E_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p), E_1, \phi^k)$ is σ_H -stable where $\sigma_H = \sigma_H(k) = \deg(K(k \cdot p)) = 2g - 2 + k$.

Proof. The pair (E, φ^k) is stable if and only if the holomorphic chain

$$\mathcal{C}: \mathcal{E}_1 = E_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 = E_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p)$$

is $\alpha = (\sigma_H(k), 0)$ stable, which means that any proper subchain $\mathcal{C}': \mathcal{E}'_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'_2$ has α -slope $\mu_\alpha(\mathcal{C}') < \mu_\alpha(\mathcal{C})$; considering a subbundle $\mathcal{E}'_1 \subseteq \mathcal{E}_1 = E_1$ with degree $\deg(\mathcal{E}'_1) = d'_1$ and a subbundle $\mathcal{E}'_2 \subseteq \mathcal{E}_2$ with degree $\deg(\mathcal{E}'_2) = d'_2$, then $\mathcal{E}'_2 \otimes (K(k \cdot p))^* \subseteq E_2$ is a subbundle with degree $\deg(\mathcal{E}'_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p)^*) = d'_2 - r'_2(2g - 2 + k)$, and we have

$$(E, \varphi^k) \text{ stable} \iff \frac{d'_1 + d'_2 - r'_2(2g - 2 + k)}{r'_1 + r'_2} < \frac{d_1 + d_2}{3}$$

where $r'_j = \text{rk}(\mathcal{E}'_j)$.

On the other hand, suppose $(E, \varphi^k) = \left(E_1 \oplus E_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$ is stable. The holomorphic triple $T = (E_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p), E_1, \phi^k)$ is σ -stable if and only if any proper subtriple $T' = (E'_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p), E'_1, (\phi^k)')$ satisfies $\mu_\sigma(T') < \mu_\sigma(T) \Leftrightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\deg(E'_1) + \deg(E'_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p)) + \text{rk}(E'_1) \cdot \sigma}{\text{rk}(E'_1) + \text{rk}(E'_2)} < \\ & \frac{\deg(E_1) + \deg(E_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p)) + \text{rk}(E_1) \cdot \sigma}{\text{rk}(E_1) + \text{rk}(E_2)} \Leftrightarrow \\ & \frac{d'_1 + d'_2 + r'_2(2g - 2 + k) + r'_1\sigma}{r'_1 + r'_2} < \frac{d_1 + d_2 + 2(2g - 2 + k) + \sigma}{1 + 2} \\ & \Leftrightarrow \frac{d'_1 + d'_2 + r'_2\sigma_H(k) + r'_1\sigma}{r'_1 + r'_2} < \frac{d_1 + d_2 + 2\sigma_H(k) + \sigma}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Since (E, φ^k) is stable, it is enough to take

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r'_2 \cdot \sigma_H(k) + r'_1 \cdot \sigma}{r'_1 + r'_2} = \frac{2 \cdot \sigma_H(k) + \sigma}{3} & \Leftrightarrow r'_2\sigma_H(k) - 2r'_1\sigma_H(k) = r'_2\sigma - 2r'_1\sigma \\ & \Leftrightarrow (r'_2 - 2r'_1)\sigma_H(k) = (r'_2 - 2r'_1)\sigma \Leftrightarrow \sigma_H(k) = \sigma \end{aligned}$$

and so, the triple $T = (E_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p), E_1, \phi^k)$ is $\sigma_H(k)$ -stable. \square

Remark 1.31. Note that, at the last part of the proof above, the equality $r'_2 = 2r'_1$ does not hold, because of the stability of (E, φ^k) .

Proposition 1.32 ([3, Proposição 2.3.2.]). *For each $d_1 \in \left] \frac{d}{3}, \frac{d}{3} + \frac{\sigma_H(k)}{2} \right[\cap \mathbb{Z}$ there is a $(1, 2)$ critical submanifold of $\mathcal{M}^k(3, d)$ of the form*

$$F_{d_1}^k = \left\{ (E, \varphi^k) = \left(E_1 \oplus E_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) : d_1 = \deg(E_1), \operatorname{rk}(E_1) = 1, \operatorname{rk}(E_2) = 2 \right\}.$$

Furthermore, there is an isomorphism

$$F_{d_1}^k \cong \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k)}(2, 1, d - d_1 + 2\sigma_H(k), d_1)$$

with the moduli space of $\sigma_H(k)$ -stable triples of this type.

Proof. The isomorphism is given by:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{d_1}^k & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k)}(2, 1, d - d_1 + 2\sigma_H(k), d_1) \\ (E, \varphi^k) = (E_1 \oplus E_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k & 0 \end{pmatrix}) & \longmapsto & (E_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p), E_1, \phi^k) \end{array}$$

where $\sigma_H(k) = 2g - 2 + k$ as above.

In general, for the critical values σ_c , we know that the interval is $\sigma_m \leq \sigma_c \leq \sigma_M$ where

$$\sigma_m = \mu_2 - \mu_1 = \frac{\deg(E_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p))}{r_2} - \frac{\deg(E_1)}{r_1} = \frac{d - d_1 + 2\sigma_H(k)}{2} - d_1$$

and

$$\sigma_M = \left(1 + \frac{r_2 + r_1}{|r_2 - r_1|} \right) (\mu_2 - \mu_1) = 4\sigma_m = 2(d - 3d_1 + 2\sigma_H(k))$$

(see [5]). So, in particular we have

$$\sigma_H(k) = 2g - 2 + k > \sigma_m = \frac{d - d_1 + 2\sigma_H(k)}{2} - d_1 \iff d_1 > \frac{d}{3}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\sigma_H(k) < \sigma_M = 2(d - 3d_1 + 2\sigma_H(k)) \iff d_1 < \frac{d}{3} + \frac{\sigma_H(k)}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$d_1 \in \left] \frac{d}{3}, \frac{d}{3} + \frac{\sigma_H(k)}{2} \right[\cap \mathbb{Z}.$$

□

Remark 1.33. In general, for the critical values σ_c , the interval $[\sigma_m, \sigma_M]$ is closed. Nevertheless, for our particular case of interest $\sigma_m < \sigma_H(k) < \sigma_M$, so the interval will be open.

For $(2, 1)$ -critical submanifolds $F_\lambda^k = F_{d_2}^k$, the Morse index is given by $\lambda = 2(3d_2 - 2d + 2g - 2 + k)$; here $d_2 = \deg(E_2)$ is the degree of the maximal destabilizing bundle $E_2 \subseteq E$ of rank two this time, and so, we are in a very similar situation than before:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} i_k: F_{d_2}^k & \longrightarrow & F_{d_2}^{k+1} \\ (E, \varphi^k) = \left(E_2 \oplus E_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) & \longmapsto & (E, \varphi^k \otimes s_p) = \left(E_2 \oplus E_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k \otimes s_p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \end{array}$$

with $\phi^k: E_2 \rightarrow E_1 \otimes K(kp)$ and $\frac{2d}{3} < d_2 < \frac{2d}{3} + g - 1 + \frac{k}{2}$ instead (see Bento [3], Gothen [12] or Z-R [29]). Furthermore,

$$(\phi^k \otimes s_p)(E_2) \subseteq \phi^k(E_2) \otimes L_p \subseteq E_1 \otimes K \otimes L_p^{\otimes k} \otimes L_p = E_1 \otimes K \otimes L_p^{\otimes k+1}$$

and hence

$$i_k(F_{d_2}^k) \subseteq F_{d_2}^{k+1}.$$

Lemma 1.34 ([3, Lema 2.3.5.]). *Let $(E, \varphi^k) \in F_{d_2}^k$ be a k -Higgs bundle of the form*

$$(E, \varphi^k) = \left(E_2 \oplus E_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Hence, (E, φ^k) is stable if and only if the holomorphic triple $T = (E_1 \otimes K(k \cdot p), E_2, \phi^k)$ is σ_H -stable where $\sigma_H = \sigma_H(k) = \deg(K(k \cdot p)) = 2g - 2 + k$.

Proof. The proof is very similar to that presented for the $(1, 2)$ -case in Lemma 1.30. \square

Proposition 1.35 ([3, Proposição 2.3.6.]). *For each $d_2 \in \left] \frac{2d}{3}, \frac{2d}{3} + \frac{\sigma_H(k)}{2} \right[\cap \mathbb{Z}$ there is a $(2, 1)$ critical submanifold of $\mathcal{M}^k(3, d)$ of the form*

$$F_{d_2}^k = \left\{ (E, \varphi^k) = \left(E_2 \oplus E_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) : d_2 = \deg(E_2), \text{rk}(E_2) = 2, \text{rk}(E_1) = 1 \right\}.$$

Furthermore, there is an isomorphism

$$F_{d_2}^k \cong \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k)}(1, 2, d - d_2 + \sigma_H(k), d_2)$$

with the moduli space of $\sigma_H(k)$ -stable triples.

Proof. In this case, the isomorphism is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{d_2}^k &\longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k)}(1, 2, d - d_2 + \sigma_H(k), d_2) \\ (E, \varphi^k) = (E_2 \oplus E_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k & 0 \end{pmatrix}) &\longmapsto (E_1 \otimes K(k \cdot p), E_2, \phi^k) \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof is very similar to the $(1, 2)$ -case presented in Proposition 1.32. \square

Finally, we consider the $(1, 1, 1)$ -critical submanifolds of the form

$$F_\lambda^k = F_{d_1 d_2 d_3}^k = \left\{ \left(L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \phi_{21}^k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_{32}^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^k(3, d),$$

where $L_j \subseteq E$ is a line bundle for $j = \{1, 2, 3\}$, we denote $d_j = \deg(L_j)$ and so, the degree of $E \rightarrow X$ could be write as $\deg(E) = d = d_1 + d_2 + d_3$. Using the fact that $d_3 = d - d_1 - d_2$ and considering auxiliar bundles $M_j = L_j^* \otimes L_{j+1} \otimes K(k \cdot p) \rightarrow X$ of degree $m_j = \deg(M_j) = d_{j+1} - d_j + \sigma_H(k)$, we may write, for simplicity $\varphi_j \in H^0(M_j)$ where $\varphi_1^k = \phi_{21}^k$ and $\varphi_2^k = \phi_{32}^k$, and hence $M_j = \mathcal{O}(D_j)$ where $D_j = \text{div}(\varphi_j)$.

Note that $\varphi_j \neq 0 \Rightarrow m_j \geq 0$. Furthermore

$$d_3 = d - d_1 - d_2 \iff d_3 = \frac{d + 2m_2 + m_1 - 3\sigma_H(k)}{3}$$

and hence $d + m_1 + 2m_2 = 0 \pmod{3}$.

Using all the above notation, we can re-write the $(1, 1, 1)$ -critical submanifolds as

$$F_\lambda^k = F_{m_1 m_2}^k = \left\{ \left(L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_1^k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_2^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^k(3, d),$$

and conclude that

Proposition 1.36 ([3, Proposição 2.3.9.]). *For each pair $(m_1, m_2) \in \Omega$, there is a $(1, 1, 1)$ -critical submanifold $F_{m_1 m_2}^k \subseteq \mathcal{M}^k(3, d)$, where*

$$\Omega = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{N}^* : \begin{array}{l} d + x + 2y = 0 \pmod{3} \\ 2x + y < 3\sigma_H(k) \\ x + 2y < 3\sigma_H(k) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proof. The stability conditions in this case are

$$\mu(L_2 \oplus L_3) < \mu(E) \iff \frac{d_2 + d_3}{2} < \frac{d}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(L_3) < \mu(E) \iff d_3 < \frac{d}{3}.$$

In terms of $m_j \geq 0$ we get

$$d_3 < \frac{d}{3} \iff 2m_2 + m_1 < 3\sigma_H(k) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d_2 + d_3}{2} < \frac{d}{3} \iff 2m_1 + m_2 < 3\sigma_H(k)$$

□

Remark 1.37. For the particular case of $(1, 1, 1)$ -critical submanifolds, note that

$$(\varphi_j^k \otimes s_p)(L_j) \subseteq (\varphi_j^k)(L_j) \otimes L_p = L_{j+1} \otimes K \otimes L_p^{\otimes k} \otimes L_p = L_{j+1} \otimes K \otimes L_p^{\otimes k+1}$$

and hence

$$i_k(F_{m_1 m_2}^k) \subseteq F_{m_1 m_2}^{k+1}.$$

Theorem 1.38. *There is an isomorphism*

$$F_{m_1 m_2}^k \cong \text{Sym}^{\bar{m}_1+k}(X) \times \text{Sym}^{\bar{m}_2+k}(X) \times \mathcal{J}^{d_3}(X)$$

for each pair $(m_1, m_2) \in \Omega$, where $\mathcal{J}^{d_3}(X)$ is the Jacobian of X , the moduli space of stable line bundles of degree d_3 , and $\bar{m}_j = m_j - k = d_{j+1} - d_j + 2g - 2$.

Proof. It is enough to take

$$F_{m_1 m_2}^k \longrightarrow \text{Sym}^{\bar{m}_1+k}(X) \times \text{Sym}^{\bar{m}_2+k}(X) \times \mathcal{J}^{d_3}(X)$$

$$(E, \varphi^k) = (L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_1^k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_2^k & 0 \end{pmatrix}) \longmapsto (\text{div}(\varphi_1^k), \text{div}(\varphi_2^k), L_3)$$

□

In this case, the Morse index for $(1, 1, 1)$ -critical submanifolds $F_\lambda^k = F_{m_1 m_2}^k$ is given by $\lambda = 2(4(2g - 2) - m_1 - m_2 + 3k)$. The reader may consult Gothen [12] or Bento [3] for details.

Remark 1.39. Lemma 1.30, Proposition 1.32, Lemma 1.34, Proposition 1.35, and Proposition 1.36 are presented by Bento [3] for the general case of rank three Hitchin pairs. Here, we presented them for the particular case of rank three k -Higgs bundles.

From the embeddings

$$F_\lambda^k \xrightarrow{i_k} F_\lambda^{k+1} \quad \forall \lambda,$$

above mentioned, we get induced isomorphisms in cohomology:

$$H^j(F_\lambda^{k+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(F_\lambda^k, \mathbb{Z})$$

for all λ , for certain values of j in terms of k . Our goal is to find the range of j for which these isomorphisms hold.

The embeddings restricted to $(1, 1)$ -critical submanifolds in the rank two case, were studied by Hausel [14] and presented by Hausel and Thaddeus [19]. Here, we focus on rank three.

If we restrict the embeddings to critical manifolds of type $(1, 2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{d_1}^k &\xrightarrow{i_k} F_{d_1}^{k+1} \\ \left(E_1 \oplus E_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_{21}^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) &\mapsto \left(E_1 \oplus E_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_{21}^k \otimes s_p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

then, the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} F_{d_1}^k &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \\ \left(E_1 \oplus E_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_{21}^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) &\mapsto (V_1, V_2, \varphi) \end{aligned}$$

between $(1, 2)$ critical submanifolds and moduli spaces of triples, where we denote by $V_1 = E_2 \otimes K(kp)$, by $V_2 = E_1$, by $\varphi = \varphi_{21}^k$ and $\sigma_H(k) = \deg(K(kp)) = 2g - 2 + k$, induce another embeddings:

$$\begin{aligned} i_k : \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) &\rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k+1)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1 + 2, \tilde{d}_2) \\ (V_1, V_2, \varphi) &\mapsto (V_1 \otimes L_p, V_2, \varphi \otimes s_p) \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{d}_1 = \deg(V_1) = d_2 + 2\sigma_H(k)$ and $\tilde{d}_2 = \deg(V_2) = d_1$, and so, induce embeddings on the flips:

$$i_k : \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H^-(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H^-(k+1)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1 + 2, \tilde{d}_2)$$

and

$$i_k : \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H^+(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H^+(k+1)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1 + 2, \tilde{d}_2).$$

The situation with critical manifolds of type $(2, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{d_2}^k &\xrightarrow{i_k} F_{d_2}^{k+1} \\ \left(E_2 \oplus E_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_{21}^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) &\mapsto \left(E_2 \oplus E_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_{21}^k \otimes s_p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

is very similar to the $(1, 2)$ situation, using now isomorphisms

$$F_{d_2}^k \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k)}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$$

$$\left(E_2 \oplus E_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_{21}^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \mapsto (V_1, V_2, \varphi)$$

where by $V_1 = E_1 \otimes K(k \cdot p)$, by $V_2 = E_2$, by $\varphi = \varphi_{21}^k$ and $\sigma_H(k) = \deg(K(k \cdot p)) = 2g - 2 + k$, and the induced embeddings become:

$$i_k : \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k)}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k+1)}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1 + 1, \tilde{d}_2)$$

$$(V_1, V_2, \varphi) \mapsto (V_1 \otimes L_p, V_2, \varphi \otimes s_p)$$

where $\tilde{d}_1 = \deg(V_1) = d_1 + \sigma_H(k)$ and $\tilde{d}_2 = \deg(V_2) = d_2$. Hence, for the flips on $\sigma_H(k)$, the induced embeddings become:

$$i_k : \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H^-(k)}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H^-(k+1)}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1 + 1, \tilde{d}_2)$$

and

$$i_k : \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H^+(k)}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H^+(k+1)}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1 + 1, \tilde{d}_2).$$

Critical submanifolds of type $(1, 1, 1)$ are different from the other two. The embeddings

$$F_{m_1 m_2}^k \xrightarrow{i_k} F_{m_1 m_2}^{k+1}$$

$$(L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) \mapsto (L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_1 \otimes s_p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_2 \otimes s_p & 0 \end{pmatrix}) \quad (1.10)$$

together with the isomorphisms

$$F_{m_1 m_2}^k \cong \text{Sym}^{\tilde{m}_1+k}(X) \times \text{Sym}^{\tilde{m}_2+k}(X) \times \mathcal{J}^{d_3}(X)$$

induce embeddings of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sym}^{\tilde{m}_1+k}(X) \times \text{Sym}^{\tilde{m}_2+k}(X) \times \mathcal{J}^{d_3}(X) &\rightarrow \text{Sym}^{\tilde{m}_1+k+1}(X) \times \text{Sym}^{\tilde{m}_2+k+1}(X) \times \mathcal{J}^{d_3}(X) \\ (\text{div}(\varphi_1^k), \text{div}(\varphi_2^k), L_3) &\mapsto (\text{div}(\varphi_1^k + p), \text{div}(\varphi_2^k + p), L_3). \end{aligned}$$

2 Stable Holomorphic Triples and Roof Theorem

2.1 σ -Stability

For $(2, 1, \tilde{d}_2, \tilde{d}_1)$ -triples and $(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ -triples, the embeddings i_k preserve σ -stability:

Lemma 2.1. *A triple T of type $(2, 1, \tilde{d}_2, \tilde{d}_1)$ or type $(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ is σ -stable $\Leftrightarrow i_k(T)$ is $(\sigma + 1)$ -stable.*

form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (E_1 \oplus E_2, \varphi^k) & \hookrightarrow & (E_1 \oplus E_2, \varphi^k \otimes s_p) \\
 \\
 F_{d_1}^k & \xrightarrow{i_k} & F_{d_1}^{k+1} \\
 \cong \uparrow & & \uparrow \cong \\
 \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k)} & \xrightarrow{i_k} & \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k+1)} \\
 \\
 (\tilde{E}_1, \tilde{E}_2, \varphi_{21}^k) & \hookrightarrow & (\tilde{E}_1, \tilde{E}_2, \varphi_{21}^k \otimes s_p),
 \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{E}_1 = E_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p)$, $\tilde{E}_2 = E_1$, and $\varphi_{21}^k : E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p)$. \square

Corollary 2.3. *The embedding*

$$i_k : \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k)}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_2, \tilde{d}_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k+1)}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_2 + 1, \tilde{d}_1)$$

is well defined for any $\sigma(k)$ such that $\sigma_m < \sigma(k) < \sigma_M$. In particular, the embedding i_k restricted to $F_{d_2}^k$ (see (1.9)) is well defined and we have a commutative diagram of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (E_2 \oplus E_1, \varphi^k) & \hookrightarrow & (E_2 \oplus E_1, \varphi^k \otimes s_p) \\
 \\
 F_{d_2}^k & \xrightarrow{i_k} & F_{d_2}^{k+1} \\
 \cong \uparrow & & \uparrow \cong \\
 \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k)} & \xrightarrow{i_k} & \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k+1)} \\
 \\
 (\tilde{E}_1, \tilde{E}_2, \varphi_{21}^k) & \hookrightarrow & (\tilde{E}_1, \tilde{E}_2, \varphi_{21}^k \otimes s_p),
 \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{E}_1 = E_1 \otimes K(k \cdot p)$, $\tilde{E}_2 = E_2$, and $\varphi_{21}^k : E_2 \rightarrow E_1 \otimes K(k \cdot p)$. \square

These results allow us to conclude that there is an interesting and important correspondence between the σ -stability values of moduli spaces of holomorphic triples:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \sigma_m(k) & & \sigma_H(k) & & \sigma_M(k) & & \\
 | & \xrightarrow{\quad} & * & \xrightarrow{\quad} & | & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \\
 \downarrow i_k & & \downarrow i_k & & \downarrow i_k & & \downarrow i_k \\
 \cdots & | & \cdots & * & \cdots & | & \cdots \\
 \sigma_m(k+1) & & \sigma_H(k+1) & & \sigma' & & \sigma_M(k+1)
 \end{array}$$

where $\sigma_m(k) = \tilde{\mu}_1 - \tilde{\mu}_2$, $\sigma_M(k) = 4(\tilde{\mu}_1 - \tilde{\mu}_2)$, $\sigma_H(k) = \deg(K(kp)) = 2g - 2 + k$, and the correspondence gives us $\sigma_m(k+1) = \sigma_m(k) + 1$, $\sigma' = \sigma_M(k) + 1$, $\sigma_M(k+1) = \sigma_M(k) + 3$, and $\sigma_H(k+1) = \sigma_H(k) + 1$. First and second floor are representations of the real line, where the second floor of the diagram corresponds to the interval $[\sigma_m, \sigma_M]$ for poles of order k , and the first floor for poles of order $(k+1)$ after the embedding i_k .

Remark 2.4. An interesting fact from the correspondence represented by last diagram is that

$$i_k: \sigma_M(k) \mapsto \sigma' < \sigma_M(k+1).$$

2.2 Blow-up and The Roof Theorem

At this point, a brief description of the flip loci of the moduli spaces of holomorphic triples will be useful to understand the coming results and notation. The reader may see Muñoz et al. [23] for details.

Fixing the type (r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2) for the moduli spaces of holomorphic triples, we shall describe the differences between $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_1}(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_2}(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$ where σ_1 and σ_2 are separated by a critical value $\sigma_c \in [\sigma_m, \sigma_M]$. Here, we suppose $r_1 \neq r_2$, since for our purposes, the case $r_1 = 2$ and $r_2 = 1$ will be particularly useful.

Let

$$\sigma_c^+ = \sigma_c + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_c^- = \sigma_c - \varepsilon$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough so that $\sigma_c \in]\sigma_c^-, \sigma_c^+[$ is the only critical value in that subinterval.

Definition 2.5. Define the *flip loci* as the sets

$$S_{\sigma_c^+} = \left\{ T \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+} \mid T \text{ is } \sigma_c^- \text{-unstable} \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+}(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$$

and

$$S_{\sigma_c^-} = \left\{ T \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-} \mid T \text{ is } \sigma_c^+ \text{-unstable} \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-}(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2),$$

and denote $S_{\sigma_c^\pm}^s = S_{\sigma_c^\pm} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^\pm}^s(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$ as the *stable part of the flip loci*, where σ_c^\pm means any of both σ_c^+ or σ_c^- .

Denote $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c^-(k)}$ as the blow-up of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-(k)} = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ along the flip locus $S_{\sigma_c^-(k)}$, which is isomorphic to $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c^+(k)}$, the blow-up of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k)} = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ along the flip locus $S_{\sigma_c^+(k)}$. From now on, we will denote just $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)}$ whenever no confusion is likely to arise.

Theorem 2.6. *For each k , there exists an embedding at the blow-up level*

$$\tilde{i}_k: \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k+1)}$$

such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k+1)} & & \\
 & \swarrow & \uparrow & \searrow & \\
 \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-(k+1)} & & \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)} & & \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k+1)} \\
 \uparrow i_k & & \exists \tilde{i}_k & & \uparrow i_k \\
 \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-(k)} & & \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)} & & \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k)}
 \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)}$ is the blow-up of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-(k)} = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ along the flip locus $S_{\sigma_c^-(k)}$ and, at the same time, represents the blow-up of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k)} = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ along the flip locus $S_{\sigma_c^+(k)}$.

Proof. Recall that T is σ -stable if and only if $i_k(T)$ is $(\sigma+1)$ -stable. Furthermore, by [23], note that any triple

$$T = (V_1, V_2, \varphi) \in S_{\sigma_c^+(k)} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$$

is a non-trivial extension of a subtriple $T' \subseteq T$ of the form $T' = (V'_1, V'_2, \varphi') = (M, 0, \varphi')$ by a quotient triple of the form $T'' = (V''_1, V''_2, \varphi'') = (L, V_2, \varphi'')$, where M is a line bundle of degree $\deg(M) = d_M$ and L is a line bundle of degree $\deg(L) = d_L = \tilde{d}_1 - d_M$. Besides, also by [23], the non-trivial critical values $\sigma_c \neq \sigma_m$ for $\sigma_m < \sigma_c < \sigma_M$ are of the form $\sigma_c = 3d_M - \tilde{d}_1 - \tilde{d}_2$. Then, we can visualize the embedding $i_k : T \hookrightarrow i_k(T)$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & T' & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & T'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \searrow \varphi' & & \downarrow \varphi & & \searrow \varphi'' & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & V_2 & \xrightarrow{=} & V_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow i_k & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & V_1 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow \varphi \otimes s_p & & \downarrow \varphi'' \otimes s_p & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & V_2 & \xrightarrow{=} & V_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \searrow \varphi' \otimes s_p & & \downarrow \varphi \otimes s_p & & \searrow \varphi'' \otimes s_p & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M \otimes L_p & \longrightarrow & V_1 \otimes L_p & \longrightarrow & L \otimes L_p & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

where $\deg(V_1 \otimes L_p) = \tilde{d}_1 + 2$ and $\deg(M \otimes L_p) = d_M + 1$, and so $L \otimes L_p$ verifies that $\deg(L \otimes L_p) = \deg(V_1 \otimes L_p) - \deg(M \otimes L_p)$:

$$\deg(L \otimes L_p) = d_L + 1 = \tilde{d}_1 - d_M + 1 =$$

$$(\tilde{d}_1 + 2) - (d_M + 1) = \deg(V_1 \otimes L_p) - \deg(M \otimes L_p).$$

Hence, $\sigma_c(k+1)$ verifies that $\sigma_c(k+1) = \sigma_c(k) + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_c(k+1) &= 3 \deg(M \otimes L_p) - \deg(V_1 \otimes L_p) - \deg(V_2) = \\ &= 3d_M + 3 - \tilde{d}_1 - 2 - \tilde{d}_2 = (3d_M - \tilde{d}_1 - \tilde{d}_2) + 1 = \sigma_c(k) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

and where $i_k(T') = (M \otimes L_p, 0, \varphi' \otimes s_p)$ is the maximal $\sigma_c^+(k+1)$ -destabilizing subtriple of $i_k(T)$, verifying exactness at the image level of the embedding.

Similarly, also by [23], any triple $T \in S_{\sigma_c^-(k)} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ is a non-trivial extension of a subtriple $T' \subseteq T$ of the form $T' = (V'_1, V'_2, \varphi') = (L, V_2, \varphi')$ by a quotient triple of the form $T'' = (V''_1, V''_2, \varphi'') = (M, 0, \varphi'')$, where M is a line bundle of degree $\deg(M) = d_M$ and L is a line bundle of degree $\deg(L) = d_L = \tilde{d}_1 - d_M$. Then, the embedding

$$i_k : T \hookrightarrow i_k(T)$$

looks like:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T' & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & T'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & V_2 & \xrightarrow{=} & V_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \searrow \varphi' & & \downarrow \varphi & & \searrow \varphi'' & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & V_1 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow i_k & & & & \\ & & & & V_2 & \xrightarrow{=} & V_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \searrow \varphi' \otimes s_p & & \downarrow \varphi \otimes s_p & & \searrow \varphi'' \otimes s_p & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L \otimes L_p & \longrightarrow & V_1 \otimes L_p & \longrightarrow & M \otimes L_p & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where $i_k(T') = (L, V_2, \varphi')$ is the maximal $\sigma_c^+(k+1)$ -destabilizing subtriple of $i_k(T)$. Hence, i_k restricts to the flip loci $S_{\sigma_c^+(k)}$ and $S_{\sigma_c^-(k)}$. Recall that, by definition, the blow-up of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k)}$ along the flip locus $S_{\sigma_c^+(k)}$, is the space $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)}$ together with the projection

$$\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k)}$$

where π restricted to $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k)} - S_{\sigma_c^+(k)}$ is an isomorphism and the *exceptional divisor* $\mathcal{E}^+ = \pi^{-1}(S_{\sigma_c^+(k)}) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)}$ is a fiber bundle over $S_{\sigma_c^+(k)}$ with fiber \mathbb{P}^{n-k-1} , where $n = \dim(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k)})$ and $k = \dim(S_{\sigma_c^+(k)})$. So, the embedding can be extended to \mathcal{E}^+ in a natural way. Same argument remains valid when we consider $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)}$ as the blow-up of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-(k)}$ along the flip locus $S_{\sigma_c^-(k)}$ with exceptional divisor $\mathcal{E}^- = \pi^{-1}(S_{\sigma_c^-(k)}) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)}$. Therefore, the embedding can be extended to the whole $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)}$. \square

Recall that there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{N}_\sigma(1, 2, d_1, d_2) \cong \mathcal{N}_\sigma(2, 1, -d_2, -d_1)$$

for all σ by Proposition 1.11. Hence, the following corollary represents the analogous dual Roof-Theorem for the (1, 2)-case, and also holds:

Corollary 2.7. *For each k , there exists an embedding at the blow-up level*

$$\tilde{i}_k : \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k+1)}$$

such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k+1)} & & \\
 & \swarrow & \uparrow & \searrow & \\
 \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-(k+1)} & & \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)} & & \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k+1)} \\
 \uparrow i_k & & \uparrow \exists \tilde{i}_k & & \uparrow i_k \\
 \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-(k)} & & \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c(k)} & & \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k)} \\
 & \swarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow \\
 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)}$ is the blow-up of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-(k)} = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-(k)}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_2, \tilde{d}_1)$ along the flip locus $S_{\sigma_c^-(k)}$ and, at the same time, represents the blow-up of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k)} = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k)}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_2, \tilde{d}_1)$ along the flip locus $S_{\sigma_c^+(k)}$.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 2.6 and Proposition 1.11. \square

Remark 2.8. The construction of the blow-up may be found in the book of Griffiths and Harris [13].

3 Cohomology

We want to show that the embeddings $i_k : F_\lambda^k \hookrightarrow F_\lambda^{k+1}$ induce covariant isomorphisms in cohomology:

$$H^j(F_\lambda^{k+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(F_\lambda^k, \mathbb{Z})$$

for all λ and certain j . To do that, we need to study $F_{d_1}^k$, $F_{d_2}^k$ and $F_{m_1 m_2}^k$ separately. Because of Proposition 1.11, the cohomology of $F_{d_1}^k$ and $F_{d_2}^k$ are similar, so it will be enough to analyze $F_{d_1}^k$. The cohomology of $F_{m_1 m_2}^k$ will be completely different.

We shall start by describing the cohomology of $\text{Sym}^k(X) = X^k/S_k$, the k -th symmetric product in subsection 3.1, which is related to the cohomology of the rank three VHS.

For (1, 2)-VHS, we will prove that the embeddings $i_k : F_{d_1}^k \hookrightarrow F_{d_1}^{k+1}$ induce isomorphisms in cohomology:

$$H^j(F_{d_1}^{k+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(F_{d_1}^k, \mathbb{Z})$$

for certain j , or equivalently:

$$H^j(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H}^{k+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H}^k, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where we denote $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H}^k = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$. We do that in two steps. First, in subsection 3.2, we get that

$$H^j(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c}^{k+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c}^k, \mathbb{Z})$$

for all critical $\sigma_c = \sigma_c(k)$ such that $\sigma_m(k) < \sigma_c(k) < \sigma_M(k)$, and for all $j \leq n(k)$, where the bound $n(k)$ is known. We first analyze the embedding restricted to the flip loci, $i_k : S_{\sigma_c^-(k)} \hookrightarrow S_{\sigma_c^-(k+1)}$ and $i_k : S_{\sigma_c^+(k)} \hookrightarrow S_{\sigma_c^+(k+1)}$. For simplicity, we will denote from now on $S_-^k = S_{\sigma_c^-(k)}$ and $S_+^k = S_{\sigma_c^+(k)}$ whenever no confusion is likely to arise about the critical value.

In subsection 3.3, we stabilize the cohomology of the (1, 2)-VHS, using useful results from the work of Bradlow, García-Prada, Gothen [5]. In subsection 3.4, we present the dual results for (2, 1)-VHS.

Finally, in subsection 3.5, we study the case of the (1, 1, 1)-VHS.

3.1 Cohomology of Symmetric Products

We begin by recalling some cohomology features of $\text{Sym}^k(X) = X^k/S_k$, the symmetric product with quotient topology, where X^k is the k -times cartesian product and S_k is the order k symmetric group. Obviously $\text{Sym}^1(X) = X$.

As mentioned before, the k -th symmetric product $\text{Sym}^k(X)$ is a smooth projective variety of dimension $k \in \mathbb{N}$, that could be interpreted as the moduli space of degree k effective divisors.

It is well known that

$$H^0(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^{2g}, \quad H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

There is a generator $\beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ induced by the orientation of X . Moreover, there are $2g$ generators $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2g} \in H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$\alpha_i \cup \alpha_j = -\alpha_j \cup \alpha_i = 0 \quad \text{if } i - j \neq \pm g \quad \text{for } i, j \in \{1, \dots, 2g\}$$

and

$$\alpha_i \cup \alpha_{i+g} = -\alpha_{i+g} \cup \alpha_i = \beta \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, g\}$$

with the usual cup product \cup . Hence

$$\alpha_i \cup \beta = \beta \cup \alpha_i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^2 = \beta \cup \beta = 0.$$

For the usual cartesian product X^k , we get that the ring $H^*(X^k, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})^{\otimes k}$ is generated by $\{\alpha_{ir}\}_{i=1}^{2g}$ and β_r with $1 \leq r \leq k$, which are elements of the form

$$\alpha_{ir} = 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \otimes \alpha_i \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \in H^1(X^k, \mathbb{Z})$$

and

$$\beta_r = 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \otimes \beta \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \in H^2(X^k, \mathbb{Z})$$

where α_i and β fill the r -th entry of α_{ir} and β_r respectively, and they are subject to the relations

$$\alpha_{ir} \cup \alpha_{jr} = -\alpha_{jr} \cup \alpha_{ir} = 0 \quad \text{if } i - j \neq \pm g \quad \text{for } i, j \in \{1, \dots, 2g\}$$

and

$$\alpha_{ir} \cup \alpha_{i+g \ r} = -\alpha_{i+g \ r} \cup \alpha_{ir} = \beta_r \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, g\}.$$

Hence

$$\alpha_{ir} \cup \beta_r = \beta_r \cup \alpha_{ir} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_r^2 = \beta_r \cup \beta_r = 0.$$

Besides, each β_r commutes with every element of $H^*(X^k, \mathbb{Z})$.

Finally, the symmetric product $\text{Sym}^k(X)$ has a cohomology ring $H^*(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z})$ generated by elements of the form

$$\zeta_i = \alpha_{i1} + \cdots + \alpha_{ik} = \sum_{r=1}^k \alpha_{ir} \in H^1(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2g$$

and

$$\eta = \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_k = \sum_{r=1}^k \beta_r \in H^2(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z})$$

where

$$\zeta_i \cup \zeta_j = -\zeta_j \cup \zeta_i \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_i \cup \eta = \eta \cup \zeta_i$$

for any i and j . The reader may consult Macdonald [21] or Arbarello-Cornalba-Griffiths-Harris [1] for details.

According to Arbarello et al. [1], there is $\Delta_k \in \text{Sym}^{k+1}(X)$ a universal divisor such that

$$\Delta_k \Big|_{\{D\} \times X} = D \quad \text{for every divisor} \quad D \in \text{Sym}^k(X).$$

Therefore, the first Chern class $c_1(\Delta_k) \in H^2(\text{Sym}^{k+1}(X), \mathbb{Z})$ of this universal divisor is given by

$$c_1(\Delta_k) = \gamma \otimes k + \sum_{i=1}^g (\zeta_i \otimes \alpha_{i+g} - \zeta_{i+g} \otimes \alpha_i) + \eta \otimes 1 \in H^2(\text{Sym}^{k+1}(X), \mathbb{Z}) \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$H^2(\text{Sym}^{k+1}(X), \mathbb{Z}) = \sum_{j=0}^2 H^j(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^{2-j}(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

and

$$\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^g \zeta_i \cup \zeta_{i+g} \in H^2(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z}).$$

Macdonald [21] compute the Poincaré polynomial of $H^*(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z})$:

$$P_t(\text{Sym}^k(X)) = \text{Coeff} \left(\frac{(1+xt)^{2g}}{x^k (1-x)(1-xt^2)} \right). \quad (3.2)$$

For $k > 2g - 2$ there is the Abel–Jacobi map $\text{Sym}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^k$, which is a locally trivial fibration with fibre \mathbb{P}^{k-g} , and gives the Poincaré polynomial:

$$P_t(\text{Sym}^k(X)) = \left(\frac{(1+t)^{2g}(1+t^{2(k-g+1)})}{(1-t^2)} \right). \quad (3.3)$$

The reader may see Macdonald [21], Arbarello et al. [1], or Hausel [14] for details. Our embedding $i_k: F_\lambda^k \rightarrow F_\lambda^{k+1}$ is in fact related to the embedding

$$\text{Sym}^k(X) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{k+1}(X)$$

$$D \mapsto D + p$$

for a fixed point $p \in X$. We will abuse notation and call this last embedding also i_k . We get a sequence

$$X = \text{Sym}^1(X) \subseteq \text{Sym}^2(X) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \text{Sym}^k(X) \subseteq \dots$$

and so, we may consider its direct limit

$$\text{Sym}^\infty(X) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{Sym}^k(X),$$

which is a \mathbb{P}^∞ -bundle over the Jacobian \mathcal{J} , and hence its Poincaré polynomial is:

$$P_t(\text{Sym}^\infty(X)) = \left(\frac{(1+t)^{2g}}{(1-t^2)} \right). \quad (3.4)$$

The reader may consult Hausel [14] for all the details.

Theorem 3.1. *The pull-back*

$$i_k^*: H^*(\text{Sym}^{k+1}(X), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z})$$

induced by the embedding $i_k: \text{Sym}^k(X) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{k+1}(X)$, is surjective.

Proof. It is enough to see that the cohomology ring $H^*(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the universal classes $\{\zeta_i\}_{i=1}^g$ and η mentioned above, and that the universal divisor Δ_k has first Chern class of the form 3.1. See Hausel [14] for details. \square

Corollary 3.2. *The cohomology ring of the direct limit $\text{Sym}^\infty(X)$ is the covariant limit*

$$H^*(\text{Sym}^\infty(X), \mathbb{Z}) = \lim_{\infty \leftarrow k} H^*(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z})$$

which is a graded commutative free algebra generated by the classes $\{\zeta_i\}_{i=1}^g$ and η .

Proof. This is a consequence of Theorem 3.1 and the Poincaré polynomial (3.4) found by Hausel [14]. \square

Theorem 3.3 ([21, (12.2)]). *There is a cohomology isomorphism*

$$H^j(\text{Sym}^{k+1}(X), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^j(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z})$$

for all $j \leq k - 1$. \square

Corollary 3.4. *There is an isomorphism*

$$H^j(\text{Sym}^\infty(X), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^j(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z})$$

for all $j \leq k - 1$.

Proof. It follows directly from Theorem 3.1, Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 3.3. \square

3.2 Cohomology of Triples

A few words about notation. Recall that we are using $\tilde{d}_j = \deg(V_j)$ because of the correspondence

$$V_1 = E_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p) \quad \text{and} \quad V_2 = E_1$$

through the isomorphism $F_{d_1}^k \cong \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ where

$$\tilde{d}_1 = \deg(V_1) = \deg(E_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p)) = d_2 + 2\sigma_H(k) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{d}_2 = \deg(V_2) = \deg(E_1) = d_1.$$

Similarly, the notation becomes

$$V_1 = E_1 \otimes K(k \cdot p) \quad \text{and} \quad V_2 = E_2$$

through the isomorphism $F_{d_2}^k \cong \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k)}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ for the dual cases, and so

$$\tilde{d}_1 = \deg(V_1) = \deg(E_1 \otimes K(k \cdot p)) = d_1 + \sigma_H(k) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{d}_2 = \deg(V_2) = \deg(E_2) = d_2.$$

Theorem 3.5. *There is an isomorphism*

$$i_k^* : H^j(S_-^{k+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(S_-^k, \mathbb{Z})$$

for all $j \leq \tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 - 1 = d_2 - d_1 + 2\sigma_H(k) - d_M$, where $d_j = \deg(E_j)$, $\tilde{d}_j = \deg(V_j)$, $M \rightarrow X$ is a line bundle of degree $d_M = \deg(M)$, and $\sigma_H(k) = \deg(K(kp)) = 2g - 2 + k$.

Proof. Recall that, according to [23, Theorem 4.8.], $S_-^k = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$ is the projectivization of a bundle $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'_{\sigma_c} \times \mathcal{N}''_{\sigma_c}$ of rank $\text{rk}(\mathcal{V}) = -\chi(T'', T')$, where

$$\mathcal{N}'_{\sigma_c} = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c}(1, 1, \tilde{d}_1 - d_M, \tilde{d}_2) \cong \mathcal{J}^{\tilde{d}_2} \times \text{Sym}^{\tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2}(X)$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}''_{\sigma_c} = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c}(1, 0, d_M, 0) \cong \mathcal{J}^{d_M}(X)$$

where any triple $T = (V_1, V_2, \varphi) \in S_-^k \subseteq \mathcal{N}'_{\sigma_c(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ is a non-trivial extension of a subtriple $T' \subseteq T$ of the form $T' = (V'_1, V'_2, \varphi') = (L, V_2, \varphi')$ by a quotient triple of the form $T'' = (V''_1, V''_2, \varphi'') = (M, 0, \varphi'')$, where M is a line bundle of degree $\deg(M) = d_M$ and L is a line bundle of degree $\deg(L) = d_L = \tilde{d}_1 - d_M$.

Then, the embedding $i_k : T \rightarrow i_k(T)$ restricts to:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (V'_1, V'_2, \varphi') & \longmapsto & (V'_1 \otimes L_p, V'_2, \varphi' \otimes s_p) \\ \mathcal{N}'_{\sigma_c} & \xrightarrow{i_k} & \mathcal{N}'_{\sigma_c+1} \\ \cong \updownarrow & & \cong \updownarrow \\ \mathcal{J}^{\tilde{d}_2} \times \text{Sym}^{\tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2}(X) & \xrightarrow{i_k} & \mathcal{J}^{\tilde{d}_2} \times \text{Sym}^{\tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 + 1}(X) \\ ([V'_2], \text{div}(\varphi')) & \longmapsto & ([V'_2], \text{div}(\varphi' \otimes s_p)) \end{array}$$

because $\sigma_c(k+1) = \sigma_c(k) + 1$, and $d_M(k+1) = d_M(k) + 1$, and because, by the proof of the Roof Theorem 2.6, i_k restricts to the flip locus S_-^k .

Recall that in our case $\sigma_c = \sigma_c(k) > \sigma_m$. Then, for subtriples of the form $T' = (V'_1, V'_2, \varphi')$ we get that $\varphi' \neq 0$ and so, they are entirely parametrized by $([V'_2], \text{div}(\varphi'))$. That is why the map from \mathcal{N}'_{σ_c} to $\mathcal{J}^{\tilde{d}_2} \times \text{Sym}^{\tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2}(X)$ is an isomorphism at the Jacobian. Similarly, i_k restricts to:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (V''_1, 0, 0) & \hookrightarrow & (V''_1 \otimes L_p, 0, 0) \\
 \\
 \mathcal{N}''_{\sigma_c} & \xrightarrow{i_k} & \mathcal{N}''_{\sigma_c+1} \\
 \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \cong \\
 \mathcal{J}^{d_M} & \xrightarrow{i_k} & \mathcal{J}^{d_M} \\
 \\
 [V''_1] & \hookrightarrow & [V''_1 \otimes L_p]
 \end{array}$$

Here, the quotient triples of the form $T'' = (V''_1, 0, 0)$ are trivially parametrized by $[V''_1]$ and so, the map from \mathcal{N}''_{σ_c} to \mathcal{J}^{d_M} is also an isomorphism at the Jacobian. Hence, by Corollary 3.3,

$$i_k^* : H^j(\mathcal{N}'_{\sigma_c+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(\mathcal{N}'_{\sigma_c}, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq \tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 - 1,$$

and hence

$$i_k^* : H^j(S_-^{k+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(S_-^k, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq \tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 - 1. \quad \square$$

Similarly, for the flip locus $S_+^k = S_{\sigma_c^+(k)}$ we have:

Theorem 3.6. *There is an isomorphism*

$$i_k^* : H^j(S_+^{k+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(S_+^k, \mathbb{Z})$$

for all $j \leq \tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 - 1 = d_2 - d_1 + 2\sigma_H(k) - d_M$, where $d_j = \deg(E_j)$, $\tilde{d}_j = \deg(\tilde{E}_j)$, $M \rightarrow X$ is a line bundle of degree $d_M = \deg(M)$, and $\sigma_H(k) = \deg(K(kp)) = 2g - 2 + k$.

Proof. Quite similar argument to the one presented above, except for the detail that this time is the other way around: according also to [23, Theorem 4.8.], $S_+^k = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$ is the projectivization of a bundle $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'_c \times \mathcal{N}''_c$ of rank $\text{rk}(\mathcal{V}) = -\chi(T'', T')$, but this time $\mathcal{N}'_c = \mathcal{N}'_c(1, 0, d_M, 0) \cong \mathcal{J}^{d_M}(X)$, and $\mathcal{N}''_c = \mathcal{N}'_c(1, 1, \tilde{d}_1 - d_M, \tilde{d}_2) \cong \mathcal{J}^{\tilde{d}_2} \times \text{Sym}^{\tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2}(X)$ where any triple $T = (V_1, V_2, \varphi) \in S_+^k \subseteq \mathcal{N}'_{\sigma_c^+(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ is a non-trivial extension of a subtriple $T' \subseteq T$ of the form $T' = (V'_1, V'_2, \varphi') = (M, 0, \varphi')$ by a quotient triple of the form $T'' = (V''_1, V''_2, \varphi'') = (L, V_2, \varphi'')$, where M is a line bundle of degree $\deg(M) = d_M$ and L is a line bundle of degree $\deg(L) = d_L = \tilde{d}_1 - d_M$. \square

Theorem 3.7. *There is an isomorphism*

$$i_k^* : H^j(\mathcal{N}'_{\sigma_c^-(k+1)}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(\mathcal{N}'_{\sigma_c^-(k)}, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq 2(\tilde{d}_1 - 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2)) + 1.$$

Since the behavior of $\mathcal{N}'_{\sigma_c^-}$, where $\sigma_c^- = \sigma_c - \varepsilon$, is the same that the one of $\mathcal{N}'_{\sigma_m^+}$, where $\sigma_m^+ = \sigma_m + \varepsilon$, is enough to prove the following lemma:

Lemma 3.8. *The relative cohomology groups*

$$H^j(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_m^+(k+1)}, \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_m^+(k)}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$$

are trivial for all $j \leq 2(\tilde{d}_1 - 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2))$.

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_m^-(k)} = \emptyset$, hence $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_m^+(k)} = S_+^k$, and according to [23, Theorem 4.10.], any triple $T = (V_1, V_2, \varphi) \in S_+^k = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_m^+(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ is a non-trivial extension of a subtriple $T' \subseteq T$ of the form $T' = (V_1', V_2', \varphi') = (V_1, 0, 0)$ by a quotient triple of the form $T'' = (V_1'', V_2'', \varphi'') = (0, V_2, 0)$. Hence, there is a map

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_m^+} &\rightarrow \mathcal{N}(2, \tilde{d}_1) \times \mathcal{J}^{\tilde{d}_2}(X) \\ (V_1, V_2, \varphi) &\mapsto ([V_1], [V_2]) \end{aligned}$$

where the inverse image $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}(2, \tilde{d}_1) \times \mathcal{J}^{\tilde{d}_2}(X)) = \mathbb{P}^N$ has rank $N = -\chi(T'', T') = \tilde{d}_1 - 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2)$, and the proof follows. \square

Theorem 3.9. *There is an isomorphism*

$$i_k^* : H^j(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k+1)}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)}, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq n(k)$$

at the blow-up level, where $n(k) = \min(\tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 - 1, 2(\tilde{d}_1 - 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2)) + 1)$.

Proof. By the Roof Theorem 2.6, i_k lifts to the blow-up level. We will denote $\mathcal{N}_-^k = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^k = \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)}$ its blow-up along the flip locus $S_-^k = S_{\sigma_c^-(k)}$. Recall that, from the construction of the blow-up, there is a map $\pi_- : \tilde{\mathcal{N}}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_-^k$ such that

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_-^*(H^j(\mathcal{N}_-^k)) \rightarrow H^j(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^k) \rightarrow H^j(\mathcal{E}^k)/\pi_-^*(H^j(S_-^k)) \rightarrow 0$$

splits where $\mathcal{E}^k = \pi_-^{-1}(S_-^k)$ is the so-called exceptional divisor. Hence, the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_-^*(H^j(\mathcal{N}_-^k)) & \longrightarrow & H^j(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^k) & \longrightarrow & H^j(\mathcal{E}^k)/\pi_-^*(H^j(S_-^k)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \cong \uparrow & & \uparrow i_k^* & & \uparrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_-^*(H^j(\mathcal{N}_-^{k+1})) & \longrightarrow & H^j(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{k+1}) & \longrightarrow & H^j(\mathcal{E}^{k+1})/\pi_-^*(H^j(S_-^{k+1})) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (3.5)$$

commutes for all $j \leq n(k)$, and the theorem follows. \square

Corollary 3.10. *There is an isomorphism*

$$i_k^* : H^j(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k+1)}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k)}, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq n(k)$$

where $n(k) = \min(\tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 - 1, 2(\tilde{d}_1 - 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2)) + 1)$ as before.

Proof. Recall that $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^k = \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\sigma_c(k)}$ is also the blow-up of $\mathcal{N}_+^k = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ along the flip locus $S_+^k = S_{\sigma_c^+(k)}$, so there is a map $\pi_+ : \tilde{\mathcal{N}}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_+^k$ such that

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_+^*(H^j(\mathcal{N}_+^k)) \rightarrow H^j(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^k) \rightarrow H^j(\mathcal{E}^k)/\pi_+^*(H^j(S_+^k)) \rightarrow 0$$

splits:

$$H^j(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^k) = \pi_+^*(H^j(\mathcal{N}_+^k)) \oplus H^j(\mathcal{E}^k)/\pi_+^*(H^j(S_+^k)),$$

and by Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.9, the result follows. \square

Corollary 3.11. *There is an isomorphism*

$$i_k^* : H^j(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c(k+1)}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c(k)}, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq n(k). \quad \square$$

3.3 Cohomology of the (1, 2)-VHS

So far, we stabilize the cohomology of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c(k)}$ for any critical $\sigma_c(k)$. Here and after, σ_L represents the largest critical value in the open interval $] \sigma_m, \sigma_M[$, and $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_L^+}$ (respectively $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_L^s}$) denotes the moduli space of σ_L -polystable (respectively σ_L -stable) triples for values $\sigma_L < \sigma < \sigma_M$. The space $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_L^+}$ is so-called the ‘large σ ’ moduli space (see [5]). The following results will allow us to generalize the stabilization for all $\sigma \in] \sigma_m(k), \sigma_M(k)[$:

Theorem 3.12 ([5, Th. 7.7.]). *Assume that $r_1 > r_2$ and $\frac{d_1}{r_1} > \frac{d_2}{r_2}$. Then the moduli space $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_L^s} = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_L^s}(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$ is smooth of dimension*

$$(g-1)(r_1^2 + r_2^2 - r_1 r_2) - r_1 d_2 + r_2 d_1 + 1,$$

and is birationally equivalent to a $\mathbb{P}^{\tilde{n}}$ -fibration over $\mathcal{N}^s(r_1 - r_2, d_1 - d_2) \times \mathcal{N}^s(r_2, d_2)$, where $\mathcal{N}^s(r, d)$ is the moduli space of stable bundles of degree r and degree d , and

$$\tilde{n} = r_2 d_1 - r_1 d_2 + r_1(r_1 - r_2)(g-1) - 1.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_L^s}(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$ is non-empty and irreducible.

If $\text{GCD}(r_1 - r_2, d_1 - d_2) = 1$ and $\text{GCD}(r_2, d_2) = 1$, the birational equivalence is an isomorphism.

Moreover, in all cases, $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_L^+} = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_L^+}(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$ is irreducible and hence, birationally equivalent to $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_L^s}$. \square

Theorem 3.13 ([5, Th. 7.9.]). *Let σ be any value in the range $\sigma_m < 2g - 2 \leq \sigma < \sigma_M$, then \mathcal{N}_σ^s is birationally equivalent to $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_L^s}$. In particular it is non-empty and irreducible. \square*

Corollary 3.14 ([5, Cor. 7.10.]). *Let $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{d}) = (r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$ be such that*

$$\text{GCD}(r_2, r_1 + r_2, d_1 + d_2) = 1.$$

If σ is a generic value satisfying $\sigma_m < 2g - 2 \leq \sigma < \sigma_M$, then \mathcal{N}_σ is birationally equivalent to $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_L^+}$, and in particular it is irreducible.

Proof. $\mathcal{N}_\sigma = \mathcal{N}_\sigma^s$ if $\text{GCD}(r_2, r_1 + r_2, d_1 + d_2) = 1$ and σ is generic. In particular, we have $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_L^+} = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_L^s}$, and the result follows from the last theorem. The reader may see the full details in [5]. \square

Theorem 3.15. *There is an isomorphism*

$$i_k^*: H^j(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H}^{k+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H}^k, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq \sigma_H(k) - 2(\mu_1 - \mu) - 1$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H}^k = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$, $\sigma_H = \sigma_H(k) = 2g - 2 + k$, and $\mu_1 = \mu(E_1) > \mu(E) = \mu$.

Proof. In this case $\text{GCD}(1, 3, \tilde{d}_1 + \tilde{d}_2) = 1$ trivially, and $\sigma_H = \sigma_H(k)$ is a σ -critical value that satisfies

$$\sigma_m < 2g - 2 \leq \sigma_H(k) < \sigma_M.$$

Therefore, by the description of Muñoz et al. [23] of the critical values ([23] Lemma 5.2. and Lemma 5.3.), the line bundle $M \rightarrow X$ satisfies in this case, the following:

$$\sigma_m < \sigma_H(k) = 3d_M - \tilde{d}_1 - \tilde{d}_2$$

equivalently

$$d_M = \sigma_H(k) + \mu$$

and hence

$$\tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 - 1 = \sigma_H(k) - 2(\mu_1 - \mu) - 1.$$

In such a case

$$\tilde{d}_1 - 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2) = \sigma_H(k) - 2(\mu_1 - \mu) + k \geq \sigma_H(k) - 2(\mu_1 - \mu) - 1$$

and then

$$2(\tilde{d}_1 - 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2)) \geq \tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 - 1.$$

Therefore, in this case

$$n(k) = \tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 - 1 = \sigma_H(k) - 2(\mu_1 - \mu) - 1.$$

Finally, by Theorem 3.13 and by Corollary 3.14, the space $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H}^k = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ is birationally equivalent to $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_L^+(k)} = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_L^+(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$, which is equal to the moduli space $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_L^+(k)}^s = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_L^+(k)}^s(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ of holomorphic stable triples also by Theorem 3.13, where $\sigma_L^+(k)$ is the maximal critical value, depending on k in this case. The isomorphism then follows by Corollary 3.11. \square

Corollary 3.16. *There is an isomorphism*

$$H^j(F_{d_1}^{k+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(F_{d_1}^k, \mathbb{Z})$$

for all $j \leq \sigma_H(k) - 2(\mu_1 - \mu) - 1$ induced by the embedding 1.8. \square

3.4 Cohomology of the $(2, 1)$ -VHS

Because of the duality

$$\mathcal{N}_\sigma(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \cong \mathcal{N}_\sigma(2, 1, -\tilde{d}_2, -\tilde{d}_1)$$

from Proposition 1.11, we get

Theorem 3.17. *There is an isomorphism*

$$i_k^*: H^j(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c(k+1)}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c(k)}, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq m(k)$$

where $m(k) = \min(-\tilde{d}_1 - d_M + \tilde{d}_2 - 1, 2(-\tilde{d}_1 + 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2)) + 1)$.

Proof. The result follows as the analogous to Corollary 3.11 varying \tilde{d}_1 and \tilde{d}_2 according to the duality from Proposition 1.11. \square

Theorem 3.18. *For k large enough, there is an isomorphism*

$$i_k^*: H^j(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H}^{k+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H}^k, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq \sigma_H(k) - 4(\mu_2 - \mu) - 1$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H}^k = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k)}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$, $\sigma_H = \sigma_H(k) = 2g - 2 + k$, and $\mu_2 = \mu(E_2) > \mu(E) = \mu$.

Proof. In this case, by the duality from Proposition 1.11, and by the description of the σ_c critical values (Muñoz et al. [23] Lemma 5.2. and Lemma 5.3.), the line bundle $M \rightarrow X$ satisfies in this case, the following:

$$\sigma_m < \sigma_H(k) = 3d_M + \tilde{d}_1 + \tilde{d}_2$$

equivalently

$$d_M = -\mu > -\mu_2$$

and hence

$$-\tilde{d}_1 - d_M + \tilde{d}_2 - 1 = \sigma_H(k) - 4(\mu_2 - \mu) - 1,$$

where, once again, $\sigma_H = \sigma_H(k)$ is a σ -critical value satisfying

$$\sigma_m < 2g - 2 \leq \sigma_H(k) < \sigma_M.$$

In such a case

$$\begin{aligned} -\tilde{d}_1 + 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2) &= \sigma_H(k) - 6(\mu_2 - \mu) + k \geq \\ \sigma_H(k) - 4(\mu_2 - \mu) &\geq \sigma_H(k) - 4(\mu_2 - \mu) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

if $k > 2(\mu_2 - \mu) > 0$ is large enough. Then

$$2(-\tilde{d}_1 + 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2)) \geq -\tilde{d}_1 - d_M + \tilde{d}_2 - 1.$$

Therefore, in this case

$$m(k) = -\tilde{d}_1 - d_M + \tilde{d}_2 - 1 = \sigma_H(k) - 4(\mu_2 - \mu) - 1.$$

Hence, the result follows as the dual analogous to Theorem 3.15. \square

Corollary 3.19. *For k large enough, there is an isomorphism*

$$H^j(F_{d_2}^{k+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(F_{d_2}^k, \mathbb{Z})$$

for all $j \leq \sigma_H(k) - 4(\mu_2 - \mu) - 1$ induced by the embedding 1.9. \square

3.5 Cohomology of the $(1, 1, 1)$ -VHS

Theorem 3.20. *The pull-back*

$$i_k^*: H^*(F_{m_1 m_2}^{k+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(F_{m_1 m_2}^k, \mathbb{Z})$$

induced by the embedding $i_k: F_{m_1 m_2}^k \rightarrow F_{m_1 m_2}^{k+1}$, is surjective.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.38, Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.3. \square

Corollary 3.21. *There is an isomorphism*

$$H^j(F_{m_1 m_2}^\infty, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(F_{m_1 m_2}^k, \mathbb{Z})$$

for all $j \leq \min(\bar{m}_1 + k, \bar{m}_2 + k) - 1$.

Proof. It follows directly from Theorem 1.38, Corollary 3.3 and Corollary 3.4. \square

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Peter B. Gothen for introducing me to the beautiful subject of Higgs bundles. I thank Vicente Muñoz and André Gamma Oliveira for enlightening discussions about the moduli space of triples; I thank Steven Bradlow too, for the time and discussions about stable pairs and triples. I am grateful to Joseph C. Várilly for helpful discussions.

Financial support from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT), and from Vicerrectoría de Investigación de la Universidad de Costa Rica, is acknowledged.

References

- [1] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. Griffiths, J.D. Harris, *Geometry of Algebraic Curves*, Vol. I, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [2] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, “Yang–Mills equations over Riemann surfaces”, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London A* **308** (1982), 523–615.
- [3] S. Bento, “*Topologia do Espaço Moduli de Fibrados de Higgs Torcidos*”, Tese de Doutoramento, Universidade do Porto, Porto, Portugal, 2010.
- [4] S. B. Bradlow and O. García-Prada, “Stable triples, equivariant bundles and dimensional reduction”, *Math. Ann.* **304** (1996), 225–252.
- [5] S. B. Bradlow, O. García-Prada and P. B. Gothen, “Moduli spaces of holomorphic triples over compact Riemann surfaces”, *Math. Ann.* **328** (2004), 299–351.
- [6] S. B. Bradlow, O. García-Prada and P. B. Gothen, “Homotopy groups of moduli spaces of representations”, *Topology* **47** (2008), 203–224.
- [7] M. A. de Cataldo, T. Hausel, and L. Migliorini, “Topology of Hitchin systems and Hodge theory of character varieties: the case A_1 ”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **175** (2012), no. 3, 1329–1407.
- [8] U.V. Desale, S. Ramanan, “Poincaré polynomials of the variety of stable bundles”, *Math. Ann.* **216** (1975), no. 3, 233–244.
- [9] R. Earl, F. Kirwan, “The Hodge numbers of the moduli spaces of vector bundles over a Riemann surface”, *Q.J. Math.* **51** (2000), no. 4, 465–483.
- [10] T. Frankel, “Fixed points and torsion on Kähler manifolds”, *Ann. Math.* **70** (1959), 1–8.
- [11] O. García-Prada, P.B. Gothen, V. Muñoz, “Parabolic Higgs bundles” *AMS Memoirs*
- [12] P. B. Gothen, “The Betti numbers of the moduli space of stable rank 3 Higgs bundles on a Riemann surface”, *Int. J. Math.* **5** (1994), 861–875.
- [13] P. Griffiths, and J. Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, Wiley, New York, 1978.

- [14] T. Hausel, “*Geometry of Higgs bundles*”, Ph.D. Thesis, Cambridge, 1998.
- [15] T. Hausel, *Global topology of the Hitchin system*, Handbook of moduli. Vol. II, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), vol. 25, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013, pp. 29–69.
- [16] T. Hausel, E. Letellier, and F. Rodríguez-Villegas, “Arithmetic harmonic analysis on character and quiver varieties”, *Duke Math. J.* **160** (2011), no. 2, 323–400.
- [17] T. Hausel and F. Rodríguez-Villegas, “Mixed Hodge polynomials of character varieties”, *Invent. Math.* **174** (2008), no. 3, 555–624, with an appendix by Nicholas M. Katz.
- [18] T. Hausel and M. Thaddeus, “Generators for the cohomology ring of the moduli space of rank 2 Higgs bundles”, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **88** (2004), 632–658.
- [19] T. Hausel and M. Thaddeus, “Relations in the cohomology ring of the moduli space of rank 2 Higgs bundles”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (2003), 303–329.
- [20] N. J. Hitchin, “The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface”, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **55** (1987), 59–126.
- [21] I. G. Macdonald, “Symmetric products of an algebraic curve”, *Topology* **1** (1962), 319–343.
- [22] V. Muñoz, A. Oliveira and J. Sánchez, “Motives and the Hodge Conjecture for the Moduli Spaces of Pairs”, *Asian Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. **19** (2015), 281–306.
- [23] V. Muñoz, D. Ortega and M. J. Vázquez-Gallo, “Hodge polynomials of the moduli spaces of pairs”, *Int. J. Math.* **18** (2007), 695–721.
- [24] N. Nitsure, “Moduli space of semistable pairs on a curve”, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **62** (1991), 275–300.
- [25] A. Schmitt, “A universal construction for the moduli spaces of decorated vector bundles”, *Transform. Groups* **9** (2004), 162–209.
- [26] C. T. Simpson, “Constructing variations of Hodge structures using Yang–Mills theory and applications to uniformization”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1** (1988), 867–918.
- [27] C. T. Simpson, “Higgs bundles and local systems”, *Publ. Math. IHÉS* **75** (1992), 5–95.
- [28] R.A. Zúñiga-Rojas, “Stabilization of the Homotopy Groups of The Moduli Space of k-Higgs Bundles”, *Revista Colombiana de Matemáticas* **52** (2018) 1, 9–31.
- [29] R. A. Zúñiga-Rojas, “*Homotopy groups of the moduli space of Higgs bundles*”, Ph.D. Thesis, Porto, 2015.