

INNER PRODUCT OF IRREDUCIBLE FINITE-DIMENSIONAL REPRESENTATIONS OF CLASSICAL GROUPS

CHUANZHONG LI¹, ZHISHENG LIU², AND BAO SHOU³

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the inner product of states corresponding to weights of irreducible finite-dimensional representations of classical groups. We propose a recursion algorithm for calculating the inner product effectively. As applications, we discuss the unitarity of the representations space. We construct the norms of a special kind of states. We also determine the inner product of states in the minuscule representations. These results can be used to study the construction of the solutions to Kapustin-Witten equations basing on the fundamental solutions of Toda systems.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminary on highest weight representations	4
3. Inner product of finite-dimensional representations	5
3.1. Inner product of different states	5
3.2. Inner product of the same state	6
4. Applications	12
Acknowledgments	15
Appendix A. Second fundamental representation of G_2	15
References	19

1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of this paper is to calculate the inner product involving factors in the Kapustin-Witten (**KW**) equations. To begin, we will review the equations. A more detailed introduction to the topic can be found in [1].

The localization equations of the twisted $N = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory can be applied to the description of the Khovanov homology of knots [3, 4, 5]. On a half space $V = \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+$, the supersymmetry conditions lead to the Kapustin-Witten (**KW**) equations. As described in [4], the **KW** equations are

$$F - \phi \wedge \phi + *d_A\phi = 0 = d_A * \phi, \quad (1.1)$$

where d_A is the covariant exterior derivative associated with a connection A , and ϕ is one-form valued in the adjoint of the gauge group G . There is a Lie product understood in

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16Z05.

Key words and phrases. Kapustin-Witten equations, weights, highest weight representation, inner product, algorithm.

the $\phi \wedge \phi$ terms and $*$ denotes the Hodge duality. Different reductions of the equations lead to other well known equations *e.g.*, Nahm's equations, Bogomolny equations or Hitchin equations.

The solutions of the model were studied in [4] [6] [7]. As we all know, all kinds of Toda systems were studied a lot recently in [8, 9, 10] and the Toda systems have very nice structures from the point of solutions. For any simple compact gauge group, after reducing to a Toda system, in [1], V. Mikhaylov conjectured a formula of the solutions of the model for the boundary 't Hooft operator. The 't Hooft operator corresponds to a cocharacter $\omega \in \Gamma_{ch}^\vee$. Let Δ be the set of simple roots α_i , and then $\alpha_i(\hat{\omega}) = m_i$ with $\hat{\omega} \equiv \omega + \delta^\vee$, $\delta^\vee \in \mathfrak{b}$ is the dual of the Weyl vector in the sense that $\alpha_i(\delta^\vee) = 1$. E_α are the raising generators corresponding to the simple roots, and then the explicit fields on the solution are

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_0 &= -\frac{i}{2\rho} \partial_\sigma \chi(\sigma), \\ \varphi &= \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \exp \left[\alpha(i\omega\theta + \frac{1}{2}\chi(\sigma)) \right] E_\alpha, \\ A &= -i \left(\hat{\omega} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{y}{\sqrt{y^2 + r^2}} \partial_\sigma \chi(\sigma) \right) d\theta,\end{aligned}$$

where $\chi(\sigma) = \sum \chi_i(\sigma) H_i$ with coroots H_i . The functions $\chi_i(\sigma)$ are conjectured as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}e^{-\chi_s(\sigma)} & \tag{1.2} \\ &= 2^{-B_s} \sum_{w \in \Delta_s} \left[\exp(2\sigma w(\hat{\omega})) \langle v_w(\hat{\omega}) | v_w(\hat{\omega}) \rangle (-1)^{n(w)} \prod_{\beta_a \in \Delta_+} (\beta_a(\hat{\omega}))^{-2\langle w, \beta_a \rangle / \langle \beta_a, \beta_a \rangle} \right],\end{aligned}$$

where $B_s = 2 \sum_j A_{s,j}^{-1}$ with Cartan matrix $A_{i,j}$. For a weight $w = \Lambda_s - \sum_{l=1}^{n(w)} \alpha_{j_l}$, $\alpha_{j_l} \in \Delta$ in the fundament representation ρ_s , the vector $|v_w(\hat{\omega})\rangle$ is defined as follows

$$|v_w(\hat{\omega})\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{s}} \prod_{a=1}^{n(w)} \frac{1}{w(\hat{\omega}) - w_a(\hat{\omega})} E_{j_{n(w)}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- |\Lambda_s\rangle,$$

where \mathbf{s} enumerate ways in which the weight w can be reached from the highest weight, i.e each \mathbf{s} corresponds to the following sequences

$$E_{j_{n(w)}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- |\Lambda_s\rangle.$$

In order to prove this conjecture, we need to check the following boundary condition

$$e^{-\chi_s(\sigma)} |_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

For other related work on these equations, see [11][12][13][14][15].

To check the boundary condition (1.3), we have to compute the following inner product

$$W_w = \langle v_w(\hat{\omega}) | v_w(\hat{\omega}) \rangle,$$

which involves the inner products

$$\langle v_{(w)}^i | v_{(w)}^j \rangle = \langle \Lambda_s | E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_n}^+ | E_{j_n}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- | \Lambda_s \rangle, \quad (1.4)$$

where $E_{j_n}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^-$ and $E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_n}^+$ are two sequences from the highest weight Λ_s to weight w . The calculation of the commutation of operators in W_w is a boring job and impractical for large number of operators. In addition, to define the vector $|v_w(\hat{w})\rangle$, it is necessary to consider all the paths from the highest weight state to w . Each branch node increase the number of paths as shown in Fig.(1). With the rank of \mathfrak{g} rising, they increase rapidly, as well as the number of weights.




FIGURE 1. Weights in the fundamnt representation ρ_2 of A_3

We would like to illustrate these problems above through an example as shown in Fig.(1).

Example: Fundamnt representation ρ_2 for A_3 .

To construct the norm of the state $|v_{(-1,1,-1)}(\hat{w})\rangle$, we have to compute the following two norms

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_{(-1,1,-1)}^1 | v_{(-1,1,-1)}^1 \rangle &= \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_3^+ | E_3^- E_1^- E_2^- | \Lambda_2 \rangle, \\ \langle v_{(-1,1,-1)}^2 | v_{(-1,1,-1)}^2 \rangle &= \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_3^+ E_1^+ | E_1^- E_3^- E_2^- | \Lambda_2 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which correspond to two sequences from the highest weight $(0, 1, 0)$ to $(-1, 1, -1)$. We also have to compute the following inner products,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_{(-1,1,-1)}^1 | v_{(-1,1,-1)}^2 \rangle &= \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_3^+ | E_1^- E_3^- E_2^- | \Lambda_2 \rangle, \\ \langle v_{(-1,1,-1)}^2 | v_{(-1,1,-1)}^1 \rangle &= \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_3^+ E_1^+ | E_3^- E_1^- E_2^- | \Lambda_2 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the states and their conjugate states correspond to different paths.

In [21], we give another form of the factors in the square bracket of the formula (1.2) for certain weights, which bypass the above computation difficulties. In this paper, we would focus on the calculation of the inner product (1.4). Our motivation is that a more thorough understanding of the construction of solutions to the **KW** equations might lead to progress. In Section 2, we propose an algorithm for calculating the inner product W_w efficiently based on the characteristics of Lie algebras. It is a recursion process and based on Theorem 3, which greatly reduce the computation works. This algorithm can be used to calculate inner product of any irreducible finite-dimensional

representations of classical groups besides of the fundamental representations appeared in the **KW** equations. In Section 3, we calculate the norms of a special kind of states as applications of the proposed algorithm. And we also determine the inner product of states in the minuscule representations. In the appendix, we give an example to illustrate the proposed algorithm in detail. By using the proposed algorithm, the inner product of a state can be recorded in less than one page, which would be taken twenty-five pages to record the calculation process by commuting the operators directly.

2. PRELIMINARY ON HIGHEST WEIGHT REPRESENTATIONS

In the Chevalley basis of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , for a simple root α_i , we denote the corresponding raising and lowering operators by E_i^\pm , and the corresponding coroot by H_i . Then the commutation relations of these operators are

$$[E_i^+, E_j^-] = \delta_{ji} H_j, \quad [H_i, E_j^\pm] = \pm A_{ji} E_j^\pm, \quad [H_i, H_j] = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Any finite-dimensional irreducible representation L_λ has a unique highest-weight state $|\Lambda\rangle$, satisfying

$$E^\alpha |\Lambda\rangle = 0,$$

for any positive root α which is the linear combination of simple roots α_i with positive coefficients.

All states in the representation space L_λ can be obtained by the action

$$E^{-\beta} E^{-\gamma} \cdots E^{-\eta} |\Lambda\rangle \quad \text{for } \beta, \gamma, \dots, \eta \in \Delta_+.$$

The set of eigenvalues of all states in L_λ is the weight system Ω_Λ . These states satisfy the following identity

$$H_i |\lambda\rangle = \lambda_i |\lambda\rangle. \quad (2.2)$$

The states in the weight system Ω_Λ can be obtained by the action of the lowering operators of \mathfrak{g} as

$$|\lambda\rangle = E_{j_n(w)}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- |\Lambda\rangle,$$

whose conjugate state is defined by

$$\langle \lambda | = \langle \Lambda | E_{j_1}^+ \cdots E_{j_n(w)}^+ |.$$

Any weight λ' in the set Ω_Λ is such that $\lambda - \lambda' \in \Omega_\Lambda$. As a result, λ is necessarily of the form $\Lambda - \sum n_i \alpha_i$, with $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We call $\sum n_i$ the level of the weight λ in the representation Λ . And there are necessarily two positive integers p_i and q_i , such that

$$(E_i^+)^{p_i+1} |\lambda\rangle \sim E_i^+ |\lambda + p_i \alpha_i\rangle = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$(E_i^-)^{q_i+1} |\lambda\rangle \sim E_i^- |\lambda - q_i \alpha_i\rangle = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

for any simple root α_i . The integers p_i and q_i satisfy the following identity

$$2 \frac{(\alpha_i, \lambda)}{|\alpha_i|^2} = (\alpha_i^\vee, \lambda) = -(p_i - q_i).$$

This is the key relation to find all the weights in the weight system Ω_Λ . Proceeding level by level, we know at each step the value of p_i . Clearly, $\lambda - \alpha_i$ is also a weight if q_i is

nonzero, that is, if $\lambda_i + p_i > 0$. What we emphasize is the following string of weights corresponding to a weight λ

$$\lambda + p_i \alpha_i, \dots, \lambda, \dots, \lambda - q_i \alpha_i. \quad (2.5)$$

Note that the weight $\lambda + p_i \alpha_i$ satisfy the formula (2.3) which will play a significant role in the algorithm proposed in Section 3.

The algorithm for constructing all the weights in the representation present itself. We start with the highest weight $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_r)$:

- Construct the sequence of weights $\Lambda - \alpha_i, \Lambda - 2\alpha_i, \dots, \Lambda - \Lambda_i \alpha_i$.
- Repeat this process with Λ replaced by each of the weights just obtained.
- Continue this process until no more weights with positive Dynkin labels are produced.

Simple examples will clarify the method. Consider the fundamental representation ρ_2 of A_3 , whose highest weight is $(0, 1, 0)$. The weight obtained at each step can be read from Fig.(1). And the weights in the fundamental representation ρ_2 of G_2 are shown in Fig.(3) in Section 3.

3. INNER PRODUCT OF FINITE-DIMENSIONAL REPRESENTATIONS

In the first subsection of this section, we will prove that it is zero of the inner product of states corresponding to two different weights. In the second subsection, we study the inner product of states defined by different paths reaching to the same weight in the weight diagram. We propose a recursion algorithm for calculating the inner product efficiently based on 3, which is the main result of this paper.

3.1. Inner product of different states. $|v_w^{m,n}\rangle$ denote a state of level m along the n th path from the highest weight vector to the weight w in the wight diagram. $|v_w^m\rangle$ denote a state of level m along a path ended with the weight w .

First, we have the following result.

Theorem 1. *The inner product is zero for two states with different level.*

Proof. The two states $|v^m\rangle$ and $|v^n\rangle$ with levels m and n are given by

$$|v^m\rangle = |E_{i_m}^- \cdots E_{i_1}^- |\Lambda\rangle, \quad |v^n\rangle = |E_{j_n}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- |\Lambda\rangle.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume the level $m > n$. The inner product of $|v^m\rangle$ and $|v^n\rangle$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Lambda | E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_m}^+ | E_{j_n}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- | \Lambda \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda | E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_{m-1}}^+ (H_{\delta_{i_m, j_n}} + E_{j_n}^- E_{i_m}^+) E_{j_{n-1}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- | \Lambda \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda | E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_{m-1}}^+ \left(\sum_1^n E_{j_n}^- \cdots E_{j_{k+1}}^- H_{i_m, j_k} E_{j_{k-1}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- \right) | \Lambda \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda | E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_{m-1}}^+ \left(\sum_{k=1}^n E_{j_n}^- \cdots E_{j_{k+1}}^- h_{i_m, j_k} E_{j_{k-1}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- \right) | \Lambda \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda | E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_{m-n}}^+ \left(\sum h_{i, j} \delta_{i, j} \right) | \Lambda \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Using Eq.(2.1), we sequentially communicate $E_{i_m}^+$ with the lowering operators E_*^- of the state $|v^n\rangle$ from right to left. Finally, the remaining operator $E_{i_m}^+$ annihilate the highest weight state $|\Lambda\rangle$. For the last equality, according to the formula (2.2), the operator H_{i_m, j_k} can be seen as an undermined constant h_i . One action of the operator $E_{i_m}^+$ decrease the number of the operators E_*^- of $|v_n\rangle$ by one. Then there is no E_*^- left after n times of the actions of operators E_*^+ . And the remaining operators $E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_{m-n}}^+$ annihilate $|\Lambda\rangle$. Thus we draw the conclusion. \square

We can further refine Theorem 1 in the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *The inner product is zero for different states with the same level.*

Proof. $|v_{w^1}^{n,1}\rangle$ and $|v_{w^2}^{n,2}\rangle$ are two different states with the same level n . If they contain the same contents of operators E_*^- , we would get the same weight

$$w^1 = w^2 = \Lambda - \alpha_1 - \cdots - \alpha_n.$$

So $|v_{w^1}^{n,1}\rangle$ and $|v_{w^2}^{n,2}\rangle$ contain the same number of operators E_*^- with different contents. The inner product is given as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Lambda | E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_n}^+ | E_{j_n}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- | \Lambda \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda | E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_{n-1}}^+ (H_{i_n, j_n} \delta_{i_n, j_n} + E_{j_n}^- E_{i_n}^+) E_{j_{n-1}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- | \Lambda \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda | E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_{n-1}}^+ \left(\sum_{k=1}^n E_{j_n}^- \cdots E_{j_{k+1}}^- H_{i_k, j_k} \delta_{i_k, j_k} E_{j_{k-1}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- \right) | \Lambda \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda | E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_{n-1}}^+ \left(\sum_{k=1}^n E_{j_n}^- \cdots E_{j_{k+1}}^- h_{i_k, j_k} \delta_{i_k, j_k} E_{j_{k-1}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- \right) | \Lambda \rangle \\ &= \sum h_{i, j} \delta_{i, j} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is zero since each term of the fourth equality vanish. \square

3.2. Inner product of the same state. Now, we study the inner product of the states defined by different paths reaching to the same weight in the weight diagram. First, we derive several basis identities. For the highest weight $\Lambda = \sum_a \lambda_a \omega_a$, according to the commutation relations (2.1), we have the following identity,

$$\begin{aligned} E_a^+ E_{j_n}^- E_{j_{n-1}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- | \Lambda \rangle &= (\delta_{a, j_n} H_a + E_{j_n}^- E_a^+) E_{j_{n-1}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- | \Lambda \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n E_{j_n}^- E_{j_{n-1}}^- \cdots \delta_{a, j_i} H_a \hat{E}_{j_i}^- E_{j_{i-1}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- | \Lambda \rangle \quad (3.1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{a, j_i} (\lambda_a - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{i-1} A_{j_i, a} \right)) E_{j_n}^- E_{j_{n-1}}^- \cdots \hat{E}_{j_i}^- E_{j_{i-1}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- | \Lambda \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the hat means omitting the corresponding term. In a special case,

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_i^+(E_i^-)^n|\Lambda\rangle &= (H_i + E_i^- E_i^+)(E_i^-)^{n-1}|\Lambda\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (E_i^-)^l H_i (E_i^-)^{n-1-l}|\Lambda\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (E_i^-)^l (\lambda_i - (n-1-l)A_{ii}) (E_i^-)^{n-1-l}|\Lambda\rangle \\
 &= n(\lambda_i - (n-1))(E_i^-)^{n-1}|\Lambda\rangle.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

We can generalize the identity (3.1) further.

Proposition 1. *For the highest weight $\Lambda = \sum_a \lambda_a \omega_a$, we have*

$$E_i^+(E_i^-)^n \prod_{b=1}^m E_{j_b}^- |\Lambda\rangle = n(\lambda_i - (n-1) - \sum_{b=1}^m A_{j_b, i}) (E_i^-)^{n-1} \prod_{b=1}^m E_{j_b}^- |\Lambda\rangle + (E_i^-)^n E_i^+ \prod_{b=1}^m E_{j_b}^- |\Lambda\rangle.$$

Proof. : According to Eq.(3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 L.H.S &= \sum_{a=0}^{n-1} (E_i^-)^a H_i (E_i^-)^{n-1-a} \prod_{b=1}^m E_{j_b}^- |\Lambda\rangle + (E_i^-)^n E_i^+ \prod_{b=1}^m E_{j_b}^- |\Lambda\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{a=0}^{n-1} (E_i^-)^a (\lambda_i - (n-1-a)A_{ii} - \sum_{b=1}^m A_{j_b, i}) (E_i^-)^{n-1-a} \prod_{b=1}^m E_{j_b}^- |\Lambda\rangle \\
 &\quad + (E_i^-)^n E_i^+ \prod_{b=1}^m E_{j_b}^- |\Lambda\rangle \\
 &= n(\lambda_i - (n-1) - \sum_{b=1}^m A_{j_b, i}) (E_i^-)^{n-1} \prod_{b=1}^m E_{j_b}^- |\Lambda\rangle + (E_i^-)^n E_i^+ \prod_{b=1}^m E_{j_b}^- |\Lambda\rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

For $n = 0$, this formula reduce to Eq.(3.1). For $m = 0$, we recover Eq.(3.2). We can use this formula to calculate arbitrary inner product directly. However, the efficiency of computations is not acceptable. With the notation $|\Lambda^1\rangle = \prod_{b=1}^m E_{j_b}^- |\Lambda\rangle$, the identity in Proposition 1 becomes

$$E_i^+(E_i^-)^n \prod_{b=1}^m E_{j_b}^- |\Lambda\rangle = n(\Lambda^1 - (n-1))(E_i^-)^{n-1}|\Lambda^1\rangle + (E_i^-)^n E_i^+ |_{|\Lambda^1\rangle} \prod_{b=1}^m E_{j_b}^- |\Lambda\rangle. \tag{3.3}$$

The subscript $|\Lambda^1\rangle$ means a state defined by the operators on the right it acting on $|\Lambda\rangle$.

The following formula is the basis of our algorithm which is a generalization of the identities (3.3).

Proposition 2. *For the highest weight $\Lambda = \sum_a \lambda_a \omega_a$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & E_i^+ \prod_{b=1}^{m_1} E_{j_b}^-(E_i^-)^{n_1} |_{\Lambda^1} \rangle \prod_{b=1}^{m_2} E_{j_b}^-(E_i^-)^{n_2} |_{\Lambda^2} \rangle \cdots \prod_{b=1}^{m_l} E_{j_b}^-(E_i^-)^{n_l} |_{\Lambda^l} \rangle \prod_{b=1}^{m_{l+1}} E_{j_b}^- |_{\Lambda} \rangle \quad (3.4) \\ = & \sum_{k=1}^l \prod_{b=1}^{m_1} E_{j_b}^-(E_i^-)^{n_1} \cdots n_k (\Lambda_i^k - (n_k - 1)) \prod_{b=1}^{m_k} E_{j_b}^-(E_i^-)^{n_k-1} \cdots \prod_{b=1}^{m_l} E_{j_b}^-(E_i^-)^{n_l} \prod_{b=1}^{m_{l+1}} E_{j_b}^- |_{\Lambda} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $i \neq j_b$.

Proof. According to Eq.(2.1), we have $[E_i^+, E_{j_b}^-] = 0$ for $i \neq j_b$. According to Eq.(3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L.H.S &= E_i^+ \prod_{b=1}^{m_1} E_{j_b}^-(E_i^-)^{n_1} |_{\Lambda^1} \rangle \\ &= n_1 (\Lambda_i^1 - (n_1 - 1)) \prod_{b=1}^{m_1} E_{j_b}^-(E_i^-)^{n_1-1} |_{\Lambda^1} \rangle + \prod_{b=1}^{m_1} E_{j_b}^-(E_i^-)^{n_1} E_i^+ |_{\Lambda^1} \rangle \\ &= n_1 (\Lambda_i^1 - (n_1 - 1)) \prod_{b=1}^{m_1} E_{j_b}^-(E_i^-)^{n_1-1} |_{\Lambda^1} \rangle + \cdots \\ &\quad + \prod_{b=1}^{m_1} E_{j_b}^-(E_i^-)^{n_1} \cdots n_k (\Lambda_i^k - (n_k - 1)) \prod_{b=1}^{m_k} E_{j_b}^-(E_i^-)^{n_k-1} |_{\Lambda^k} \rangle \\ &\quad + \prod_{b=1}^{m_1} E_{j_b}^-(E_i^-)^{n_1} \cdots \prod_{b=1}^{m_k} E_{j_b}^-(E_i^-)^{n_k} E_i^+ |_{\Lambda^k} \rangle \\ &= \cdots \\ &= R.H.S. \end{aligned}$$

□

Fortunately, there is not always so many terms on the right hand side of the identity (3.4). For $E_i^+ |_{\Lambda^t} \rangle = 0$, the sequences will be cut off at the t th term. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E_i^+ \prod_{b=1}^{m_1} E_{j_b}^-(E_i^-)^{n_1} |_{\Lambda^1} \rangle \prod_{b=1}^{m_2} E_{j_b}^-(E_i^-)^{n_2} |_{\Lambda^2} \rangle \cdots \prod_{b=1}^{m_l} E_{j_b}^-(E_i^-)^{n_l} |_{\Lambda^l} \rangle \prod_{b=1}^{m_{l+1}} E_{j_b}^- |_{\Lambda} \rangle \quad (3.5) \\ = & \sum_{k=1}^t \prod_{b=1}^{m_1} E_{j_b}^-(E_i^-)^{n_1} \cdots n_k (\Lambda_i^k - (n_k - 1)) \prod_{b=1}^{m_k} E_{j_b}^-(E_i^-)^{n_k-1} \cdots \prod_{b=1}^{m_t} E_{j_b}^-(E_i^-)^{n_t} |_{\Lambda^t} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Even more, we find that the terms on the right hand side of the identity (3.5) are states corresponding to paths in the weight diagram. Thus we could use the formula (3.5) again and again.

Theorem 3. *The action of the E_*^+ would reduce a state corresponding to a path in the weight diagram to states corresponding to paths in the weight diagram.*

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on the level of the weight. Assuming the proposition is true for the weights of level k , we prove the theorem for the weights of




FIGURE 2. Weights of different levels formed by two positive roots α_i and α_j .

level $k + 1$. For a weight with level $k + 1$, the case that only one arrow pointing it are shown in Fig.(2)(b),(c),(d) and the case that at least two arrows pointing it is shown in Fig.(2)(a).

For the first case, we have

$$|w^{k+1}\rangle = E_i^- |w^k\rangle,$$

where $|w^k\rangle$ is a state corresponding to a path from the highest weight state to the weight of level k . Then the inner product is

$$\langle w^{k+1} | w^{k+1} \rangle = \langle w^k | E_i^+ | w^{k+1} \rangle.$$

We need to prove $E_i^+ |w^{k+1}\rangle$ are states corresponding to paths from the highest weight state to the weight of level k .

- For $E_i^+ |w_3^k\rangle = 0$ as shown in Fig.(2)(b), according to the assumption made, we have

$$E_i^+ |w_2^{k+1}\rangle = E_i^+ E_i^- |w_3^k\rangle = H_i |w_3^k\rangle = h_i |w_3^k\rangle,$$

which further leads to the conclusion.

- For $E_i^+ |w_4^k\rangle \neq 0$ and $|w_4^k\rangle = E_i^- |w_3^{k-1}\rangle$ as shown in Fig.(2)(c), according to the assumption made, we have

$$E_i^+ |w_4^k\rangle = a_1 |w^{k-1, j_1}\rangle + a_2 |w^{k-1, j_2}\rangle + \dots + a_n |w^{k-1, j_n}\rangle. \quad (3.6)$$

The states on the right hand side are states corresponding to paths from the highest weight state to the weights of level $k - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_i^+ |w_3^{k+1}\rangle &= E_i^+ E_i^- |w_4^k\rangle \\ &= (H_i + E_i^- E_i^+) |w_4^k\rangle \\ &= h_i |w_4^k\rangle + a_1 E_i^- |w^{k-1, j_1}\rangle + a_2 E_i^- |w^{k-1, j_2}\rangle + \dots + a_n E_i^- |w^{k-1, j_n}\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the expansion of $E_i^+ |w_4^k\rangle$ (3.6). The states on the last equality are states corresponding to paths from the highest weight state to the weights of level k . Thus we draw the conclusion.

- For $E_i^+|w_4^k\rangle \neq 0$ and $|w_5^k\rangle = E_j^-|w_5^{k-1}\rangle$, ($i \neq j$), then $|w_5^k\rangle = E_j^-E_i^-|w_1^{k-2}\rangle$ as shown in Fig.(2)(d). According to the assumption made, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle w_5^{k,1}|w_5^{k,2}\rangle \\
&= \langle w_4^{k-1,1}|E_i^+E_j^-|w_5^{k-1,2}\rangle \\
&= \langle w_1^{k-2,1}|E_j^+E_i^+E_j^-E_i^-|w_1^{k-2,2}\rangle \\
&= \langle w_1^{k-2,1}|E_j^+(a_1|w^{k-1,j_1}\rangle + a_2|w^{k-1,j_2}\rangle + \dots + a_n|w^{k-1,j_n}\rangle)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $|w^{k-1,j_i}\rangle$ on the right hand side are states corresponding to paths from the highest weight state to the weights of level $k-1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
E_i^+|w_4^{k+1}\rangle &= E_i^+E_i^-E_j^-E_i^-|w_1^{k-2}\rangle = (H_i + E_i^-E_i^+)E_j^-E_i^-|w_1^{k-2}\rangle \\
&= h_iE_j^-E_i^-|w_1^{k-2}\rangle + a_1E_i^-|w^{k-1,j_1}\rangle + a_2E_i^-|w^{k-1,j_2}\rangle + \dots + a_nE_i^-|w^{k-1,j_n}\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the expansion of $E_i^+|w_5^k\rangle$ (3.7). The states on the last equality are states corresponding to paths from the highest weight state to the weights of level k thus we draw the conclusion.

Next we consider case that two arrows pointing to the state w^{k+1} as shown in Fig.(2)(a). $|w^{k,1}\rangle$ and $|w^{k,2}\rangle$ are states of level k satisfying

$$|w_1^k\rangle = E_j^-|w_1^{k-1}\rangle, \quad |w_2^k\rangle = E_i^-|w_1^{k-1}\rangle.$$

According to the assumption made, we have

$$E_j^+|w_1^k\rangle = E_j^+E_j^-|w_1^{k-1,1}\rangle = a_1|w^{k-1,j_1}\rangle + a_2|w^{k-1,j_2}\rangle + \dots + a_n|w^{k-1,j_n}\rangle, \tag{3.8}$$

$$E_i^+|w_2^k\rangle = E_i^+E_i^-|w_1^{k-1,2}\rangle = b_1|w^{k-1,i_1}\rangle + b_2|w^{k-1,i_2}\rangle + \dots + b_m|w^{k-1,i_m}\rangle. \tag{3.9}$$

For the inner product $\langle w^{k+1,2}|w^{k+1,1}\rangle = \langle w_1^{k,2}|E_i^+E_j^-|w_2^{k,1}\rangle$, we have to prove $E_i^+E_j^-|w_2^{k,1}\rangle$ are states corresponding to paths from the highest weight state to the weight of level k . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle w^{k+1,2}|w^{k+1,1}\rangle &= \langle w_1^{k,2}|E_i^+E_j^-|w_2^{k,1}\rangle \\
&= \langle w_1^{k-1,2}|E_j^+E_i^+E_j^-E_i^-|w_2^{k,1}\rangle \\
&= \langle w_1^{k-1,2}|E_j^+E_j^-E_i^+E_i^-|w_2^{k,1}\rangle \\
&= \langle w_1^{k-1,2}|E_j^+E_j^-(b_1|w^{k-1,i_1}\rangle + b_2|w^{k-1,i_2}\rangle + \dots + b_m|w^{k-1,i_m}\rangle) \\
&= \langle w_1^{k-1,2}|E_j^+(b_1E_j^-|w^{k-1,i_1}\rangle + b_2E_j^-|w^{k-1,i_2}\rangle + \dots + b_mE_j^-|w^{k-1,i_m}\rangle),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the expansion (3.9). The states of the last equality in brackets correspond to paths from the highest weight state to the weights of level k . Thus we draw the conclusion.

For the inner product $\langle w^{k+1,1}|w^{k+1,2}\rangle = \langle w_2^{k,1}|E_j^+E_i^-|w_1^{k,2}\rangle$, we have to prove $E_j^+E_i^-|w_1^{k,2}\rangle$ are states corresponding to paths from the highest weight state to the weight of level k .

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle w^{k+1,1} | w^{k+1,2} \rangle &= \langle w_1^{k,1} | E_i^+ E_j^- | w_1^{k,2} \rangle \\
 &= \langle w_1^{k-1,1} | E_i^+ E_j^+ E_i^- E_j^- | w_1^{k-1,2} \rangle \\
 &= \langle w_1^{k-1,1} | E_i^+ E_i^- E_j^+ E_j^- | w_1^{k-1,2} \rangle \\
 &= \langle w_1^{k-1,1} | E_i^+ E_i^- (a_1 | w^{k-1,j_1} \rangle + a_2 | w^{k-1,j_2} \rangle + \dots + a_n | w^{k-1,j_n} \rangle) \\
 &= \langle w_1^{k-1,1} | E_i^+ (a_1 E_i^- | w^{k-1,j_1} \rangle + a_2 E_i^- | w^{k-1,j_2} \rangle + \dots + a_n E_i^- | w^{k-1,j_n} \rangle),
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the expansion (3.8). The states of the last equality in brackets correspond to path from the highest weight state to the weights of level k . Thus we draw the conclusion.

For the inner product of $\langle w^{k+1,1} | w^{k+1,1} \rangle$ and $\langle w^{k+1,2} | w^{k+1,2} \rangle$, the proofs are reduced to the first case. \square

Now the algorithm for calculating the inner product present itself.

Algorithm: $|v_w^1\rangle$ and $|v_w^2\rangle$ are states defined by two paths from the highest weight vector Λ to a weight w . To calculate the inner product $\langle v_w^1 | v_w^2 \rangle$, the operators defining the state $\langle v_w^1 |$ act on the state $|v_w^2\rangle$ in sequence from right to left using the formula (3.4). The actions of the raising operators would reduce states on the right to states which are one to one correspond with to paths in the weight diagram. These processes continue until no operators are left.

The algorithm is a recursion process because of Theorem 3, thus the efficiency of calculations is improved greatly.

Finally, we would like to point out a fact.

Theorem 4. *The inner product of states depend on paths defining the states.*



FIGURE 3. Weights in the fundamental representation $(0, 1)$ of G_2 .

We give an example to illustrate this fact. There are two paths from the highest weight state to the weight $(0, 0)$ as shown in Fig.(3). These two paths correspond to the following states

$$\begin{aligned}
 |v_{(0,0)}^1\rangle &= E_2^- E_1^- E_1^- E_1^- E_2^- |\Lambda_2\rangle, \\
 |v_{(0,0)}^2\rangle &= E_1^- E_2^- E_1^- E_1^- E_2^- |\Lambda_2\rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

The inner products can be calculated by commuting the operators directly

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle v_{(0,0)}^1 | v_{(0,0)}^1 \rangle &= \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ | E_2^- E_1^- E_1^- E_1^- E_2^- | \Lambda_2 \rangle = 72, \\
 \langle v_{(0,0)}^2 | v_{(0,0)}^1 \rangle &= \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ E_1^+ | E_2^- E_1^- E_1^- E_1^- E_2^- | \Lambda_2 \rangle = 24, \\
 \langle v_{(0,0)}^2 | v_{(0,0)}^2 \rangle &= \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ E_1^+ | E_1^- E_2^- E_1^- E_1^- E_2^- | \Lambda_2 \rangle = 36.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the appendix, we will calculate the inner product by the algorithm we proposed.

4. APPLICATIONS

In previous sections, we proposed a recursion algorithm for calculating the inner product for finite-dimensional irreducible representation. This algorithm can be used to study the **KW** equations, where the inner products are related to the fundamental representations only. In this section, we study the unitary of the representation space using the proposed algorithm. And we determine the inner products of states in the minuscule representations completely.

Unitary: As an application of the algorithm for calculating the inner product, we discuss the norms of states. For the state $|\lambda\rangle = E_{j_{n(w)}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- |\Lambda_s\rangle$, the norm is

$$\langle \lambda | \lambda \rangle = \langle \Lambda_s | E_{j_1}^+ \cdots E_{j_{n(w)}}^+ | E_{j_{n(w)}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- | \Lambda_s \rangle.$$

For the coefficients on the right hand side of the identity (3.5), we have the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. $n_k(\Lambda_i^k - (n_k - 1)) \geq 0$.

Conjecture 1 guarantee that coefficients are positive for each step of the algorithm thus the norm is positive for any state in the representation, which implies the unitary of the space. This also holds for linear combinations of such states. Unfortunately, we are not able to prove it presently.

Special state: There is a special kind of paths in the weight diagram whose norms can be determined completely. The state and the norm are given by the following proposition.

Proposition 3. *For the highest weight $\Lambda = \sum_a \lambda_a \omega_a$, we have*

$$|\lambda\rangle = \prod_{b=1}^{m_1} E_{j_b}^-(E_{i_1}^-)^{n_1} |\Lambda^1\rangle \prod_{b=1}^{m_2} E_{j_b}^-(E_{i_2}^-)^{n_2} |\Lambda^2\rangle \cdots \prod_{b=1}^{m_l} E_{j_b}^-(E_{i_l}^-)^{n_l} |\Lambda^l\rangle \prod_{b=1}^{m_{l+1}} E_{j_b}^- |\Lambda\rangle, \quad (4.1)$$

$$|\lambda'\rangle = \prod_{b=m_0}^{m_k} E_{j_b}^-(E_{i_k}^-)^{n_k} |\Lambda^k\rangle \cdots \prod_{b=1}^{m_l} E_{j_b}^-(E_{i_l}^-)^{n_l} |\Lambda^l\rangle \prod_{b=1}^{m_{l+1}} E_{j_b}^- |\Lambda\rangle, \quad (4.2)$$

where the states satisfy the following constrains

$$E_{i_k}^+ |\Lambda^k\rangle = 0, \quad E_{j_{m_0-1}} |\lambda'\rangle = 0, \quad m_0 = (1, \dots, m_k), \quad k = (1, \dots, l).$$

Then the norm of $|\lambda\rangle$ is given by

$$\langle \lambda | \lambda \rangle = \prod_{k=1}^l (\Lambda_{i_k}^k!)^2.$$

And the norm of $|\lambda'\rangle$ is given by

$$\langle \lambda' | \lambda' \rangle = \prod_{b=k}^l (\Lambda_{i_b}^b!)^2.$$

Proof. According to the construction of the path, we have $n_k = \Lambda_{i_k}^k$, ($k = 1, \dots, l$) and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle \lambda | \lambda \rangle \\
 &= \langle \dots E_{j_1}^+ \prod_{b=1}^{m_1} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_1}^-)^{n_1} |_{\Lambda^1} \prod_{b=1}^{m_2} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_2}^-)^{n_2} |_{\Lambda^2} \dots \prod_{b=1}^{m_l} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_l}^-)^{n_l} |_{\Lambda^l} \prod_{b=1}^{m_{l+1}} E_{j_b}^- |_{\Lambda} \rangle. \\
 &= \langle \dots E_{j_2}^+ \prod_{b=2}^{m_1} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_1}^-)^{n_1} |_{\Lambda^1} \prod_{b=1}^{m_2} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_2}^-)^{n_2} |_{\Lambda^2} \dots \prod_{b=1}^{m_l} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_l}^-)^{n_l} |_{\Lambda^l} \prod_{b=1}^{m_{l+1}} E_{j_b}^- |_{\Lambda} \rangle. \\
 &= \langle \dots (E_{n_1}^+)^{n_1-1} E_{n_1}^+ (E_{i_1}^-)^{n_1} |_{\Lambda^1} \prod_{b=1}^{m_2} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_2}^-)^{n_2} |_{\Lambda^2} \dots \prod_{b=1}^{m_l} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_l}^-)^{n_l} |_{\Lambda^l} \prod_{b=1}^{m_{l+1}} E_{j_b}^- |_{\Lambda} \rangle. \\
 &= \langle \dots (E_{n_1}^+)^{n_1-1} n_1(1) (E_{i_1}^-)^{n_1} |_{\Lambda^1} \prod_{b=1}^{m_2} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_2}^-)^{n_2} |_{\Lambda^2} \dots \prod_{b=1}^{m_l} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_l}^-)^{n_l} |_{\Lambda^l} \prod_{b=1}^{m_{l+1}} E_{j_b}^- |_{\Lambda} \rangle. \\
 &= \langle \dots (E_{n_2}^+)^{n_2} \prod_{b=1}^{m_2} E_{j_b}^+ (\Lambda_{i_1}^1!)^2 \prod_{b=1}^{m_2} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_2}^-)^{n_2} |_{\Lambda^2} \dots \prod_{b=1}^{m_l} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_l}^-)^{n_l} |_{\Lambda^l} \prod_{b=1}^{m_{l+1}} E_{j_b}^- |_{\Lambda} \rangle. \\
 &= \dots \\
 &= \prod_{k=1}^l (\Lambda_{i_k}^k!)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can get the norm of $|\lambda'\rangle$. □

Next we discuss special cases of the formula 4.1. The state is

$$|\lambda\rangle = (E_{i_0}^-)^{n_0} |_{\Lambda^0} \prod_{b=1}^{m_1} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_1}^-)^{n_1} |_{\Lambda^1} \prod_{b=1}^{m_2} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_2}^-)^{n_2} |_{\Lambda^2} \dots \prod_{b=1}^{m_l} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_l}^-)^{n_l} |_{\Lambda^l} \prod_{b=1}^{m_{l+1}} E_{j_b}^- |_{\Lambda},$$

where $n_0 \neq \Lambda_{i_0}^0$. The norm of this state is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle \lambda'' | \lambda'' \rangle \\
 &= \langle \dots (E_{i_0}^+)^{n_0} (E_{i_0}^-)^{n_0} |_{\Lambda^0} \prod_{b=1}^{m_1} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_1}^-)^{n_1} |_{\Lambda^1} \prod_{b=1}^{m_2} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_2}^-)^{n_2} |_{\Lambda^2} \dots \prod_{b=1}^{m_l} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_l}^-)^{n_l} |_{\Lambda^l} \prod_{b=1}^{m_{l+1}} E_{j_b}^- |_{\Lambda} \rangle \\
 &= \langle \dots (E_{i_0}^+)^{n_0-1} (E_{i_0}^-)^{n_0-1} |_{\Lambda^0} \prod_{b=1}^{m_1} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_1}^-)^{n_1} |_{\Lambda^1} \prod_{b=1}^{m_2} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_2}^-)^{n_2} |_{\Lambda^2} \dots \prod_{b=1}^{m_l} E_{j_b}^- (E_{i_l}^-)^{n_l} |_{\Lambda^l} \prod_{b=1}^{m_{l+1}} E_{j_b}^- |_{\Lambda} \rangle \\
 & \quad \cdot (\Lambda_{i_0}^0 - (n_0 - 1)) n_0 \\
 &= \prod_{k=1}^{n_0} (\Lambda_{i_0}^0 - k + 1) k \langle \lambda | \lambda \rangle \\
 &= \prod_{k=1}^{n_0} (\Lambda_{i_0}^0 - k + 1) k \prod_{k=1}^l (\Lambda_{i_k}^k!)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Minuscule representations: For the minuscule representations, the fundamental weight is the highest weight. Table 1 is a complete list of minuscule fundamental

Type	$\{i: \omega_i \text{ is minuscule}\}$
A_l	$1, 2, \dots, l$
B_l	1
C_l	1
D_l	$1, l-1, l$
E_6	1,5
E_7	6
E_8	none
F_4	none
G_2	none

TABLE 1. Minuscule fundamental weights for simple Lie algebras

weights for simple Lie algebras [20] which are the highest weight vector. And all the strings are two terms long in the weight spaces,

$$(\lambda, \alpha^\vee) = 2 \frac{(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \leq 1.$$

In [21], we conjecture a formula of the factors in the braces of the formula (1.2) for minuscule representations. Calculation of the inner product of states in these representations would be helpful to prove this conjecture. The weights in the fundamental representation ρ_2 of A_4 are displayed as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
(0, 1, 0, 0) & & & & & & \\
\downarrow \alpha_2 & & & & & & \\
(1, -1, 1, 0) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & (-1, 0, 1, 0) & & & & \\
\downarrow \alpha_3 & & \downarrow \alpha_3 & & & & \\
(1, 0, -1, 1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & (-1, 1, -1, 1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & (0, -1, 0, 1) & & \\
\downarrow \alpha_4 & & \downarrow \alpha_4 & & \downarrow \alpha_4 & & \\
(1, 0, 0, -1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & (-1, 1, 0, -1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & (0, -1, 1, -1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} & (0, 0, -1, 0).
\end{array}$$

Since there are only two elements in the string of weights (2.5) for minuscule representations, we have $n_k = 1, (k = 1, \dots, l)$ in the formula (4.1). Thus the norms of the states $|\lambda'''\rangle$ is

$$\langle'''\lambda|\lambda'''\rangle = 1.$$

Further more, the inner product of weights in these representations can be determined completely. The following proof show the subtleties of our algorithm for calculating inner products.

Theorem 5. *For minuscule representations, the inner product of states is one defined by paths reaching to the same weight in the weight diagram.*

Proof. The states $|v_w^{n,i}\rangle$ and $|v_w^{n,j}\rangle$ with level n are given by

$$|v^{n,i}\rangle = |E_{i_n}^- \cdots E_{i_1}^- |\Lambda\rangle, \quad |v^{n,j}\rangle = |E_{j_n}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- |\Lambda\rangle.$$

To prove the theorem, we need to consider two cases as shown in Fig.(2)(a) and (b). For the first case, the inner product of $|v_w^{n,i}\rangle$ and $|v_w^{n,j}\rangle$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle \Lambda | E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_n}^+ | E_{j_n}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- | \Lambda \rangle \\
 &= \langle \Lambda | E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_{n-2}}^+ (E_j^+ E_i^+ E_j^- E_i^-) E_{j_{n-2}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- | \Lambda \rangle \\
 &= \langle \Lambda | E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_{n-2}}^+ E_j^+ E_j^- (H_i + E_i^- E_i^+) E_{j_{n-1}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- | \Lambda \rangle \\
 &= \langle \Lambda | E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_{n-2}}^+ (E_j^+ E_j^-) E_{j_{n-2}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- | \Lambda \rangle \\
 &= \langle \Lambda | E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_{n-2}}^+ E_{j_{n-2}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- | \Lambda \rangle.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

For the second case, the inner product is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle \Lambda | E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_n}^+ | E_{j_n}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- | \Lambda \rangle \\
 &= \langle \Lambda | E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_{n-1}}^+ (E_i^+ E_i^-) E_{j_{n-1}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- | \Lambda \rangle \\
 &= \langle \Lambda | E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_{n-1}}^+ (H_i + E_i^- E_i^+) E_{j_{n-1}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- | \Lambda \rangle \\
 &= \langle \Lambda | E_{i_1}^+ \cdots E_{i_{n-1}}^+ E_{j_{n-1}}^- \cdots E_{j_1}^- | \Lambda \rangle.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Repeating the above processes leading to the formulas (4.3) and (4.3) again and again, we draw the conclusion finally. \square

In [21], another proof of Theorem 5 is given by inducing on the level of the weights.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a grant from the Postdoctoral Foundation of Zhejiang Province. Chuanzhong Li is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11571192 and K. C. Wong Magna Fund in Ningbo University.

APPENDIX A. SECOND FUNDAMENTAL REPRESENTATION OF G_2




FIGURE 4. The paths a and b from the highest weight state to the lowest weight state in the fundamental representation $(0, 1)$ of G_2 .

In this Appendix, using the algorithm proposed, we calculate several inner products of states corresponding to the weights of the fundamental representation $(0, 1)$ of G_2 as shown in Fig.(3). There are four paths from the highest weight vector to the lowest




FIGURE 5. The paths c and d from the highest weight state to the lowest weight state in the fundamental representation $(0, 1)$ of G_2 .

weight vector as shown in Fig.(4) and Fig.(5), corresponding to the four states $|v_{(0,1)}^a\rangle$, $|v_{(0,1)}^b\rangle$, $|v_{(0,1)}^c\rangle$, and $|v_{(0,1)}^d\rangle$.

The normalization of the highest weight state is one.

$$\langle v_{(0,1)} | v_{(0,1)} \rangle = \langle \Lambda_2 | \Lambda_2 \rangle = 1.$$

The inner product of state $|v_{(3,-1)}\rangle$ is

$$\langle v_{(3,-1)} | v_{(3,-1)} \rangle = \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_2^- | \Lambda_2 \rangle = 1.$$

The inner product of state $|v_{(1,0)}\rangle$ is

$$\langle v_{(1,0)} | v_{(1,0)} \rangle = \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_1^- E_2^- | \Lambda_2 \rangle = \langle v_{(3,-1)} | E_1^+ E_1^- | v_{(3,-1)} \rangle = 3 \langle v_{(3,-1)} | v_{(3,-1)} \rangle = 3.$$

The inner product of state $|v_{(-1,1)}\rangle$ is

$$\langle v_{(-1,1)} | v_{(-1,1)} \rangle = \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_1^- E_1^- E_2^- | \Lambda_2 \rangle = \langle v_{(3,-1)} | E_1^+ 4E_1^- | v_{(3,-1)} \rangle = 4 \langle v_{(1,0)} | v_{(1,0)} \rangle = 12.$$

The inner product of state $|v_{(-3,2)}\rangle$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_{(-3,2)} | v_{(-3,2)} \rangle &= \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ | E_1^- E_1^- E_1^- E_2^- | \Lambda_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle v_{(3,-1)} | E_1^+ E_1^+ 3E_1^- E_1^- | v_{(3,-1)} \rangle \\ &= 3 \langle v_{(-1,1)} | v_{(-1,1)} \rangle \\ &= 36. \end{aligned}$$

The inner product of state $|v_{(2,-1)}\rangle$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_{(2,-1)} | v_{(2,-1)} \rangle &= \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ | E_2^- E_1^- E_1^- E_2^- | \Lambda_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle v_{(-1,1)} | 1 v_{(-1,1)} \rangle \\ &= 12. \end{aligned}$$

The inner product of state $|v_{(0,0)}^1\rangle$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v_{(0,0)}^1 | v_{(0,0)}^1 \rangle &= \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ E_1^+ | E_1^- E_2^- E_1^- E_1^- E_2^- | \Lambda_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle v_{(2,-1)} | v_{(2,-1)} \rangle \\ &= 24.\end{aligned}$$

The inner product of state $\langle v_{(0,0)}^2 | v_{(0,0)}^1 \rangle$ is

$$\begin{aligned}&= \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ | E_1^- E_2^- E_1^- E_1^- E_2^- | \Lambda_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ | E_1^- (H_2 + E_2^- E_2^+) | v_{(-1,1)} \rangle \\ &= \langle v_{(-3,2)} | v_{(-3,2)} \rangle \\ &= 36.\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

The inner product of state $|v_{(0,0)}^2\rangle$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v_{(0,0)}^2 | v_{(0,0)}^2 \rangle &= \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ | E_2^- E_1^- E_1^- E_1^- E_2^- | \Lambda_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle v_{(-3,2)} | v_{(-3,2)} \rangle \\ &= 72.\end{aligned}$$

The inner product of state $\langle v_{(0,0)}^1 | v_{(0,0)}^2 \rangle$ is

$$\begin{aligned}&= \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ E_1^+ | E_2^- E_1^- E_1^- E_1^- E_2^- | \Lambda_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ E_1^+ E_2^- E_1^+ E_1^- E_1^- | v_{(3,-1)} \rangle \\ &= 3 \langle v_{(2,-1)} | v_{(2,-1)} \rangle \\ &= 36.\end{aligned}$$

Next we compute the inner product of state $\langle v_{(0,-1)}^a | v_{(0,-1)}^a \rangle$ which is the most complicated one for all weights

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle v_{(0,-1)}^a | v_{(0,-1)}^a \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ E_1^+ (E_2^+ E_2^- |_{v_{(-3,1)}^a} E_1^- E_1^- E_2^- E_1^- E_2^- E_1^- E_1^- E_2^- | \Lambda_2) \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ E_1^+ (E_1^+ E_1^- E_1^- |_{v_{(1,-1)}^a} E_2^- E_1^- |_{v_{(0,0)}^a} E_2^- E_1^- E_1^- E_1^- |_{v_{(3,-1)}^a} E_2^- | \Lambda_2) \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ \langle v_{(1,-1)}^a | | E_1^+ \\ &\quad (0 |_{v_{(-1,0)}^a} E_1^- |_{v_{(1,-1)}^a} E_2^- E_1^- |_{v_{(0,0)}^a} E_2^- E_1^- E_1^- E_1^- |_{v_{(3,-1)}^a} E_2^- | \Lambda_2) \\ &\quad + 0 |_{v_{(-1,0)}^c} E_1^- E_1^- |_{v_{(3,-2)}^c} E_2^- E_2^- E_1^- E_1^- E_1^- |_{v_{(3,-1)}^a} E_2^- | \Lambda_2) \rangle \\ &\quad + 3 |_{v_{(-1,0)}^d} E_1^- E_1^- |_{v_{(3,-2)}^d} E_2^- E_1^- E_2^- E_1^- E_1^- E_2^- | \Lambda_2) \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

The first zero is the coefficient $(\Lambda_{i_0}^0 - (n_0 - 1))n_0 = (1 - (2 - 1))2 = 0$. And the second zero is the eigenvalue of the operators H_1 . So the first two terms vanish and the last one

is calculated as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle v_{(0,-1)}^a | v_{(0,-1)}^a \rangle \\
&= 3 \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ \langle v_{(1,-1)}^a | (E_1^+ |_{|v_{(-1,0)}^d} E_1^- E_1^- |_{|v_{(3,-2)}^d} E_2^- E_1^- E_2^- E_1^- E_1^- E_2^- | \Lambda_2) \rangle \rangle \\
&= 3 \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ \langle v_{(1,-1)}^a | (4 |_{|v_{(1,-1)}^d} E_1^- E_2^- |_{|v_{(0,0)}^d} E_1^- E_2^- |_{|v_{(-1,1)}^d} E_1^- E_1^- E_2^- | \Lambda_2) \rangle \rangle \\
&= 12 \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ E_1^+ \langle v_{(-2,1)}^a | (|_{|v_{(-2,1)}^d} E_1^- |_{|v_{(0,0)}^a} E_2^- E_1^- E_1^- E_1^- |_{|v_{(3,-1)}^a} E_2^- | \Lambda_2) \rangle \rangle \\
&= 36 \langle \Lambda_2 | E_2^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_1^+ E_2^+ \langle v_{(0,0)}^a | (|_{|v_{(0,0)}^b} E_1^- E_2^- E_1^- E_1^- E_2^- | \Lambda_2) \rangle \rangle \\
&= 12 * 36,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the formula (A.1).

Since the algorithm is a recursion process, we can use the results of the level k when we do the step of level $k + 1$. For each step, the coefficients are positive, which are consistent with Conjecture 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Mikhaylov, "On the Solutions of Generalized Bogomolny Equations," arXiv:1202.4848.
- [2] A. Kapustin and E. Witten, "Electric-magnetic duality and the geometric Langlands program," arXiv:hep-th/0604151.
- [3] E. Witten, "Analytic Continuation Of Chern-Simons Theory," arXiv:1001.2933.
- [4] E. Witten, "Fivebranes and knots," arXiv:1101.3216.
- [5] D. Gaiotto and E. Witten, "Knot Invariants from Four-Dimensional Gauge Theory," arXiv:1106.4789.
- [6] M.Henningson, "Boundary conditions for GL-twisted N=4 SYM", arXiv:1106.3845.
- [7] M.Henningson, "t Hooft Operators in the Boundary", arXiv:1109.2393.
- [8] C. Z. Li, J. S. He, "The extended Z_N -Toda hierarchy", Theor. Math. Phys. 185(2015), 1614-1635.
- [9] C. Z. Li, J. S. He, "On the Extended Multi-component Toda Hierarchy", Mathematical Physics Analysis and Geometry, 17(2014) 377-407.
- [10] S. He, Y. G. Jiang and J. Z. Liu, "Toda chain from the kink-antikink lattice," arXiv:1605.06867.
- [11] M. Gargliardo, K. Uhlenbeck, "Geometric aspects of the Kapustin-Witten equations", J Fixed Point Theory Appl.11(2012) 185-198.
- [12] R. Mazzeo, E. Witten, "The Nahm Pole Boundary Condition", arXiv:1311.3167.
- [13] S. Q. He, "Rotationally Invariant Singular Solutions to the Kapustin-Witten Equations", arXiv:1510.07706.
- [14] Y. Tanaka, "On the singular sets of solutions to the Kapustin-Witten equations on compact Kahler surfaces", arXiv:1510.07739.
- [15] T. Huang, "A lower bound on the solutions of Kapustin-Witten equations," arXiv:1601.07986.
- [16] R. N. Cahn, "Semisimple Lie algebras and their representations," Benjamin-Cummings, 1984.
- [17] D. Gaiotto and E. Witten, "Supersymmetric boundary conditions in N=4 super Yang-Mills theory," J. Stat. Phys. **135** (2009) 789-855. arXiv:0804.2902.
- [18] B. Kostant, "The solution to a generalized Toda lattice and representation theory," Adv. in Math. **34**(1979), 3 .
- [19] P. Mansfield, "Solution Of Toda systems," Nucl. Phys. B **208**(1982), 277 .
- [20] P.M.Green, "Combinatorics of Minuscule Representations", Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 2013
- [21] C.Z.Li, Z.S.Liu, B.Shou, "Solutions of Kapustin-Witten equations for ADE-type groups ", preprint, 26pp, arXiv:1604.07172
- [22] B.Shou, "Weights of Minuscule Representations", in preparation

¹ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NINGBO UNIVERSITY, NINGBO, 315211, ZHEJIANG, P.R.CHINA

²INSTITUTE OF THEORETICAL PHYSICS, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING, 100190, CHINA

³CENTER OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, 310027, CHINA
E-mail address: lichuanzhong@nbu.edu.cn, zsliu@itp.ac.cn, bsoul@zju.edu.cn