

Entanglement entropy and Berezin-Toeplitz operators

Laurent Charles and Benoit Estienne *

April 24, 2022

Abstract

We consider Berezin-Toeplitz operators on compact Kähler manifolds whose symbols are characteristic functions. When the support of the characteristic function has a smooth boundary, we prove a two-term Weyl law, the second term being proportional to the Riemannian volume of the boundary. As a consequence, we deduce the area law for the entanglement entropy of integer quantum Hall states.

The area laws for entanglement entropy have been widely discussed in recent years in condensed matter and quantum field theories. Typically, one considers a many-particle state and a geometric partition of the space in two sub-regions. The von Neumann entropy of the reduced state of a sub-region measures the degree of entanglement between the two regions. The area law states that this entanglement entropy is proportional to the volume of the boundary of the sub-region. These area laws have been verified in many different systems, such as harmonic and spin chains, strongly correlated fermionic systems and quantum field theories, see for instance the surveys [PE09], [ECP10], [AFOV08].

So far, in the mathematical literature, only the case of the free Fermi gas has been considered [Gio06], [HLS11], [LSS16], [LSS17]. The goal of the present paper is to address the case of Integer Quantum Hall (IQH) states. Unlike the Fermi gas, these systems have a spectral gap above the ground state energy and the corresponding area law has no logarithmic correction.

As it was observed in [GK06], the area law for a Fermi gas is related to a conjecture by Widom on the correction terms in the Weyl law for pseudo-differential operators with singular symbols. Similarly, for IQH states, the

*B.E. was supported by Grants No. ANR-17-CE30-0013-01 and No. ANR-16-CE30-0025.

area law amounts to estimating a weighted spectral average of a Berezin-Toeplitz operator T whose symbol is the characteristic function of the subregion. The theory of Berezin-Toeplitz operators is well-developed but here we have to face several difficulties: first, the symbol of the operator T is singular not even continuous; second, the expectation we have to estimate is the trace of $f(T)$ with a function f having a logarithmic singularity at 0 and 1 precisely where the concentration of eigenvalues is highest; third, the relevant term in this estimate is a correction to the leading order term.

The results we obtain are quite general, for any domain with a smooth boundary of a compact Riemann surface, or in higher dimension of a compact Kähler manifold. This generality was a surprise to us, because even if the physics papers provide a lot of numerical evidence and heuristic arguments, they treat only very particular integrable geometries with a lot of symmetries, which allows one to compute explicitly an eigenbasis for the reduced state [RS09, RS10].

Our proof is based on Bergman kernel asymptotics. The technical part consists of estimating singular oscillatory integrals. To do this, we develop a generalisation of the Laplace method which is of independent interest.

Before we state our results precisely, let us mention that partial closed results in the Bargmann space were already obtained by Oldfield [Old15], using Weyl quantization. In the context of Berezin-Toeplitz operators in Kähler manifolds, two recent papers on different but related subjects are [ZZ17] on partial Bergman kernels and [PU18] on the quantization of submanifolds. Finally, the appendix of [Pol17] is devoted to multiplicative properties of the Toeplitz operators with a characteristic function symbol.

1 Statements of the results

1.1 Toeplitz operators with characteristic function symbol

Let $L \rightarrow M$ be a positive holomorphic Hermitian line bundle on a compact manifold M . For any positive integer k , let \mathcal{H}_k be the space of holomorphic sections of L^k . For any function f on M , the *Toeplitz operator* with multiplier f is the endomorphism $T_{f,k}$ of \mathcal{H}_k such that

$$\langle T_{f,k}s, t \rangle = \langle fs, t \rangle, \quad \forall s, t \in \mathcal{H}_k.$$

Here the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of sections of L^k is defined by integrating the pointwise scalar product against the Riemannian measure μ , the Riemannian metric g of M being determined by the curvature $\Theta(L)$ of the Chern

connection of L , that is $g(X, Y) = -i\Theta(L)(X, jY)$ with j the complex structure.

The definition of the Toeplitz operator $T_{f,k}$ makes sense for any integrable function f . So in particular we can consider the characteristic function of a measurable subset A of M . We denote by $T_{A,k}$ the corresponding Toeplitz operator.

All the results we will prove concern the *semi-classical limit* $k \rightarrow \infty$. The dimension of \mathcal{H}_k is equal to first order

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_k = \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^n (\text{vol}(M) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})) \quad (1)$$

where n is the complex dimension of M and $\text{vol}(M)$ is the Riemannian volume of M .

For the reader not familiar with this geometric setting, it can be interesting to consider the example of the projective space \mathbb{P}^N with $L_{\mathbb{P}^N}$ the dual of the tautological line bundle. More generally, let M be a closed complex submanifold of \mathbb{P}^N and L be the restriction of $L_{\mathbb{P}^N}$ to M . In this case, the spaces \mathcal{H}_k have a simple concrete description. Let π be the projection from the unit sphere of \mathbb{C}^{N+1} onto \mathbb{P}^N and let $\tilde{M} := \pi^{-1}(M)$. Then when k is sufficiently large the holomorphic sections of L^k lifts to polynomial functions of \mathbb{C}^{N+1} and we have a natural identification

$$\mathcal{H}_k \simeq \mathbb{C}_k[\tilde{M}],$$

where $\mathbb{C}_k[\tilde{M}]$ consists of the restriction to \tilde{M} of homogeneous polynomials of \mathbb{C}^{N+1} with degree k . Furthermore, the scalar product is given in $\mathbb{C}_k[\tilde{M}]$ by $\langle f, g \rangle = C_{n,N} \int_{\tilde{M}} f(x)\bar{g}(x) d\tilde{\mu}(x)$ where $\tilde{\mu}$ is the Riemannian measure of \tilde{M} for the Riemannian metric of \tilde{M} induced by the Euclidean scalar product of \mathbb{C}^{N+1} and $C_{n,N}$ is a positive constant independent of k and M .

Let us return to the general situation and consider a subset A of M with an empty boundary. Then M is the disjoint union of two open sets: A and its complementary set A^c . So $\mathcal{H}_k = \mathcal{H}_k(A) \oplus \mathcal{H}_k(A^c)$ where $\mathcal{H}_k(A)$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_k(A^c)$) consists of the sections vanishing on A^c (resp. on A). Furthermore $T_{A,k}$ is the projector onto $\mathcal{H}_k(A)$ with kernel $\mathcal{H}_k(A^c)$. This property does not hold in general. Actually, if M is connected and A and A^c have non empty interiors, then 0 and 1 are not even in the spectrum of $T_{A,k}$. Nevertheless, $T_{A,k}$ looks like a projector in the sense that its eigenvalues concentrate at 0 and 1. Let us explain this more precisely.

First of all, for any measurable set A of M , $T_{A,k}$ is a Hermitian endomorphism, whose spectrum $\text{sp}(T_{A,k})$ is contained in $[0, 1]$. Then it is proved

in [Ber03], [Lin01] that if the boundary of A has a null measure, then for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{sp}(T_{A,k}) \cap [0, \epsilon]| &= \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^n (\mu(A^c) + o(1)) \\ |\text{sp}(T_{A,k}) \cap [1 - \epsilon, 1]| &= \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^n (\mu(A) + o(1)) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

in the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$, where the eigenvalues are counted with multiplicity. Here and in the sequel we denote by $\mu(B) = \int_B \mu$ the measure of a subset B of M .

The next question is about the possible eigenvalues in $[\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]$. As a consequence of (1) and (2), we have $|\text{sp}(T_{A,k}) \cap [\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]| = o(k^n)$. Also it is not difficult to see that the corresponding eigenstates are concentrated on the boundary of A . More precisely, let $\mathcal{H}_k(\epsilon)$ be the sum of the eigenspaces of $T_{A,k}$ with eigenvalue in $[\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]$. Then for any compact subset K of M not intersecting ∂A , for any $N > 0$, there exists $C_{N,K}$ such that for any $s \in \mathcal{H}_k(\epsilon)$ and $x \in K$, we have

$$|s(x)| \leq C_{K,N} k^{-N} \|s\|.$$

So we can consider that the states in $\mathcal{H}_k(\epsilon)$ live in the interface of A and its complementary set.

Our first result shows that the number of eigenvalues in $[\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]$ has a remarkable simple universal behavior when the boundary of A is smooth. For any closed submanifold B of M , denote by $\text{vol}(B)$ the Riemannian volume of B .

Theorem 1.1. *Let A be a subset of M with a smooth boundary. Then for any a, b such that $0 < a < b < 1$, we have*

$$\left| \text{sp}(T_{A,k}) \cap [a, b] \right| = k^{n-1/2} \frac{\text{vol}(\partial A)}{(2\pi)^n} (\text{er}^{-1}(b) - \text{er}^{-1}(a)) + o(k^{n-1/2}) \quad (3)$$

where $\text{er} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the function defined by $\text{er}(x) = \pi^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-t^2} dt$.

The function er is increasing from 0 to 1, it is given in terms of the standard error function erfc by $\text{er}(x) = 1 - \text{erfc}(x)/2$. The transition between Theorem 1.1 and estimates (2) is rather subtle because k^n is replaced by $k^{n-1/2}$ and the volumes of A and A^c are replaced by the volume of the boundary. So not surprisingly, the quantity $|\text{er}^{-1}(b) - \text{er}^{-1}(a)|$ diverges as $a \rightarrow 0$ or $b \rightarrow 1$, which prevents us to reach (2) from Theorem 1.1. Nevertheless, we can improve Theorem 1.1 and say something on the limits $a \rightarrow 0$,

$b \rightarrow 1$ by considering weighted sums of eigenvalues as follows. Introduce the measure m of $(0, 1)$ such that $m([a, b]) = |\operatorname{er}^{-1}(b) - \operatorname{er}^{-1}(a)|$ and the corresponding integral

$$I(f) := \int_0^1 f(x) dm(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\operatorname{er}(x)) dx$$

for functions $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We have $dm(t) = \delta(t) dt$ with a smooth density $\delta : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\delta(t) = \delta(1 - t)$ and

$$\delta(t) \sim \frac{1}{2} t^{-1} (\ln(1/t))^{-1/2}$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, the integral $I(f)$ converges for any continuous function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is Hölder continuous at 0 and 1 with $f(0) = f(1) = 0$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let A be a subset of M with a smooth boundary. For any continuous $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $|f(t)| = \mathcal{O}(t^p)$ and $|f(1-t)| = \mathcal{O}(t^p)$ for some positive p , we have*

$$\operatorname{tr}(f(T_{A,k})) = k^{n-1/2} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(\partial A)}{(2\pi)^n} I(f) (1 + o(1)). \quad (4)$$

If f is polynomial with $f(0) = f(1) = 0$, then we have a complete asymptotic expansion

$$\operatorname{tr}(f(T_{A,k})) = k^{n-1/2} \sum_{\ell=0}^N c_\ell(f) k^{-\ell/2} + \mathcal{O}(k^{n-N-1}), \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N} \quad (5)$$

where $c_0(f) = (2\pi)^{-n} \operatorname{vol}(\partial A) I(f)$.

It is likewise that the expansion (5) holds also for smooth functions but our proof works only for polynomial functions. We will also show that for $g(x) = f(1-x)$, we have $c_\ell(g) = (-1)^\ell c_\ell(f)$. Hence, if $f(x) = f(1-x)$ (resp. $f(x) = -f(1-x)$), then $c_\ell(f) = 0$ for odd (resp. even) ℓ .

It is important that we prove (4) under the Hölder assumption, because for our application to entanglement entropy, we will consider the function $f(x) = -x \ln x - (1-x) \ln(1-x)$.

Estimate (4) may be viewed as a subprincipal term in the Weyl law for $T_{A,k}$, as stated in the following result.

Corollary 1.3. *For any continuous function $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is Hölder-continuous at 0 and 1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}(g(T_{A,k})) &= \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^n (g(0)\mu(A^c) + g(1)\mu(A) + k^{-1/2} \operatorname{vol}(\partial A)I(f) \\ &\quad + o(k^{-1/2})) \end{aligned}$$

where $f(x) = g(x) - g(0)(1-x) - g(1)x$.

Remark 1.4. The previous results hold as well in the Bargmann space \mathcal{B}_{\hbar} . Recall that for any $\hbar > 0$, \mathcal{B}_{\hbar} is the subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, e^{-\hbar^{-1}|z|^2}\mu)$ consisting of holomorphic functions. Here μ is the Lebesgue measure of \mathbb{C}^n . For any measurable subset A of \mathbb{C}^n , the Toeplitz operator $T_A(\hbar)$ is the bounded operator of \mathcal{B}_{\hbar} defined by the same formula $\langle T_A(\hbar)s, t \rangle = \langle 1_A s, t \rangle$ as previously. Assume that A is bounded, so that $T_A(\hbar)$ is a trace class operator. Then setting $\hbar = k^{-1}$, the second estimate of (2), Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 hold. The proof is essentially the same.

In this particular case, the results are not completely new: in [Old15], Oldfield proved Theorem 1.1 and Equation (4) of Theorem 1.2 for smooth f . Previously, De Mari, Feichtinger and Nowak had obtained lower and upper bounds for $\operatorname{tr}(f(T_A(\hbar)))$ in [DMFN02]. Actually, the volume of ∂A does not appear explicitly in the work of Oldfield, but Equations (3) and (4) with smooth f can be deduced from it. However, (4) with a Hölder continuous f does not follow from [Old15], neither the expansion (5). The proof of [Old15] is based on Weyl quantization, an approach which is not available in our geometric setting. Instead we will use Bergman kernel techniques. \square

Let us sketch the main step of our proof. We first show the asymptotic expansion (5) for polynomial f . To do this we use that for any positive integer p

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}(T_{A,k}^p - T_{A,k}^{p+1}) &= \int_{A^p \times A^c} \Pi_k(x_1, x_2) \Pi_k(x_2, x_3) \dots \Pi_k(x_p, x_{p+1}) \\ &\quad \Pi_k(x_{p+1}, x_1) \mu(x_1) \dots \mu(x_{p+1}) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where Π_k is the Bergman kernel of L^k . The asymptotic behavior of the Bergman kernel is well-known [Zel98], [MM07], [Cha03]. From this we estimate the integral (6) by adapting the Laplace method. Typically, we have to handle integrals of the form

$$I(k) = \int_D e^{-k(t^2/2 + t^3 f(t,s))} g(t, s) dt ds \quad (7)$$

where f and g are smooth functions, g being compactly supported. When $D = \mathbb{R}^2$, this is easily done by viewing s as a parameter and applying the standard Laplace method. We get in this case $I(k) = k^{-1/2} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} a_\ell k^{-\ell}$. We actually have a different kind of domain D which is conic and contained in $\{|s| \leq C|t|\}$ for some $C > 0$. In this case,

$$I(k) = k^{-1} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} b_\ell k^{-\ell/2},$$

so there are two important differences: even if the phase is degenerate only in the t direction, the variable s has to be considered as non-degenerate in the sense that $I(k)$ is of order k^{-1} . Furthermore, the expansion of $I(k)$ is in power of $k^{-1/2}$. We will develop a Laplace method for generalisations of the integral (7) in any dimension and deduce the asymptotic expansion of (6).

Once this is done, we can show the estimate (4) for smooth f and Theorem 1.1. To prove (4) for Hölder-continuous f , we need the additional estimate:

$$\text{tr}(T_{A,k}^p (1 - T_{A,k})^p) \leq C_p k^{n-1/2}$$

for any $p > 0$, that we deduce from a Berezin-Lieb inequality.

The proof in the Bargmann space requires a little extra work because \mathbb{C}^n is not bounded, but it is also simpler because the Bergman kernel is given by an explicit formula.

1.2 Application to IQH states

Free fermions

Let \mathcal{E}_k be the space of square integrable sections of L^k . It is a Hilbert space with the scalar product defined as previously by integrating the pointwise scalar product of sections against the Riemannian volume element. Let d_k be the dimension of \mathcal{H}_k and $(s_i, i = 1, \dots, d_k)$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H}_k . Define

$$\Psi := s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_{d_k} \in \bigwedge^{d_k} \mathcal{E}_k. \quad (8)$$

As we will explain at the end of this Section, \mathcal{H}_k is the first Landau level of a magnetic Laplacian. So Ψ represents a Fermionic state in which the first Landau level is fully occupied. Ψ has norm 1 for the natural scalar product of $\bigwedge^{d_k} \mathcal{E}_k$. This space is not complete but it does not matter for what we will do.

Since we work with square integrable sections instead of holomorphic sections, for any measurable set A of M , we have the decomposition $\mathcal{E}_k = \mathcal{E}_k(A) \oplus \mathcal{E}_k(A^c)$, where $\mathcal{E}_k(A)$, $\mathcal{E}_k(A^c)$ are the image and kernel of the multiplication by the characteristic function 1_A . Consequently

$$\bigwedge^{d_k} \mathcal{E}_k = \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{d_k} (\bigwedge^{\ell} \mathcal{E}_k(A)) \otimes (\bigwedge^{d_k-\ell} \mathcal{E}_k(A^c)) \quad (9)$$

Let N_A be the endomorphism of $\bigwedge^{d_k} \mathcal{E}_k$ acting by multiplication by ℓ in the ℓ -th summand of (9). In statistical quantum mechanics, N_A is the observable for the the number of particles in A . From the state Ψ and the observable N_A , we obtain a probability distribution

$$p(N_A = \ell | \Psi) = \|\Psi_{\ell}\|^2, \quad \ell = 0, \dots, d_k$$

where $\Psi = \sum \Psi_{\ell}$ is the decomposition of Ψ in the sum (9). This probability distribution N_A^{Ψ} can be completely described in terms of spectral invariants of the Toeplitz operator $T_A = T_{A,k}$. By [Kli06], the expectation and variance of N_A^{Ψ} are given by

$$\mathbb{E}(N_A^{\Psi}) = \text{tr}(T_A), \quad \mathbb{E}((N_A^{\Psi})^2) - (\mathbb{E}(N_A^{\Psi}))^2 = \text{tr}(T_A - T_A^2).$$

More generally, the cumulants of N_A^{Ψ} are

$$\kappa_{\ell}(N_A^{\Psi}) = \text{tr} P_{\ell}(T_A), \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}^* \quad (10)$$

where the P_{ℓ} are the polynomial functions defined by the generating function $\ln(1 + (e^t - 1)X) = \sum P_{\ell}(X)t^{\ell}/\ell!$. Formula (10) will be established in section 7. A similar result appeared in [AI08]. Since $P_{\ell}(0) = P_{\ell}(1) = 0$ for $\ell \geq 2$, we deduce from Theorem 1.2.

Corollary 1.5. *Assume that A has a smooth boundary. Then we have the complete asymptotic expansions:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(N_A^{\Psi}) &= k^n \left(\frac{\mu(A)}{(2\pi)^n} + \sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m(A)k^{-m} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-N-1}) \right), \\ \kappa_{2\ell}(N_A^{\Psi}) &= k^{n-1/2} \left(\frac{\text{vol}(\partial A)}{(2\pi)^n} I(P_{2\ell}) + \sum_{m=1}^N \beta_{\ell,m}(A)k^{-m} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-N-1}) \right) \\ \kappa_{2\ell+1}(N_A^{\Psi}) &= k^{n-1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^N \eta_{\ell,m}(A)k^{-m} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-N-1}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

for any N and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

The fact that the leading order coefficient $I(P_\ell)$ vanishes when ℓ is odd and that the expansions are in powers of k^{-1} is a consequence of the parity relation $P_\ell(1 - X) = (-1)^\ell P_\ell(X)$.

Entanglement entropy

Before we present our last application let us introduce the notion of entanglement, cf [BLPY16], [HR06] for detailed presentations. Let H be a complex Hilbert space that we assume finite dimensional to simplify the exposition. Denote by $\mathcal{L}(H)$ the space of self-adjoint endomorphisms of H and by $\mathcal{S}(H)$ the subset of all positive trace 1 endomorphisms. The elements of $\mathcal{L}(H)$ are the *observables*, the elements of $\mathcal{S}(H)$ are the *mixed states*. To any observable A and mixed state ρ of H is associated a probability distribution whose moments are $\text{tr}(\rho^n A)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. $\mathcal{S}(H)$ is a convex set whose extreme points are the rank one projectors, which are called the *pure state*. A nonzero vector ϕ of H defines a pure state $P(\phi)$ which is the orthogonal projection onto $\mathbb{C}\phi$. Furthermore any mixed state ρ may be considered as a classical statistical mixture of pure states. The von Neumann *entropy* of a mixed state $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ is defined by

$$S(\rho) = -\text{tr}(\rho \ln \rho) \in [0, \infty).$$

It measures the amount of “mixedness” of a state. Typically, $S(\rho) = 0$ if and only if ρ is pure, whereas $S(\rho)$ is maximal for $\rho = (\dim H)^{-1} \text{id}_H$.

Consider now two finite dimensional Hilbert spaces H_1, H_2 and let $H = H_1 \otimes H_2$. Given a mixed state $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$, the partial trace $\rho_1 := \text{tr}_{H_2}(\rho)$ is a mixed state of H_1 , called the *reduced state*. It is characterized amongst the mixed states of H_1 by

$$\text{tr}(\rho_1 A_1) = \text{tr}(\rho(A_1 \otimes \text{id}_{H_2})), \quad \forall A_1 \in \mathcal{L}(H_1).$$

So ρ_1 represents the state ρ in the subsystem H_1 since it allows to compute the expectation values of all the observables of H_1 . In contrast to classical systems, it is possible that ρ is pure and ρ_1 is not, so ρ is not a product of pure states. For such *entangled* pure state, we define the *entanglement entropy* as the von Neumann entropy of ρ_1 . This quantity is a measure of the degree of entanglement of ρ .

Back to our application, the Fermionic state Ψ defined in (8) may be viewed as a state of a bipartite system $\bigwedge \mathcal{E}_k = (\bigwedge \mathcal{E}_k(A)) \otimes (\bigwedge \mathcal{E}_k(A^c))$. The reduced state ρ_A of Ψ is a finite rank endomorphism and its von Neumann entropy is given in terms of the Toeplitz operator T_A by

$$S(\rho_A) = \text{tr}(f(T_A)) \quad \text{with} \quad f(x) = -x \ln(x) - (1 - x) \ln(1 - x) \quad (11)$$

This relation is generally deduced from Wick's Theorem [Pes03]. For the convenience of the reader, we will present an elementary alternative proof in Section 7. Now we can deduce from Theorem 1.2 the asymptotic behavior of $S(\rho_A)$.

Corollary 1.6. *If A has a smooth boundary, we have*

$$S(\rho_A) = k^{n-1/2} \frac{\text{vol}(\partial A)}{(2\pi)^n} I(f)(1 + o(1))$$

in the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$, where $f(x) = -x \ln x - (1-x) \ln(1-x)$.

Landau level

For an electron in a plane with an external perpendicular magnetic field B , the Hamiltonian is $H = \frac{1}{2}(P_x^2 + P_y^2)$ with $P_x = \frac{1}{i}\partial_x + \frac{1}{2}By$ and $P_y = \frac{1}{i}\partial_y - \frac{1}{2}Bx$, cf. for instance [Eza08, Chapter 10]. Here we have put all the physical constants equal to 1 except the perpendicular component B of the magnetic field. Then it is customary to introduce the operators $a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2B}}(P_x + iP_y)$, $a^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2B}}(P_x - iP_y)$ so that

$$H = B\left(a^*a + \frac{1}{2}\right). \quad (12)$$

So the lowest eigenvalue of H is $B/2$ and the corresponding eigenspace, the lowest Landau level, consists of the ψ satisfying $a\psi = 0$. Introduce the complex coordinate $z = (x + iy)/\sqrt{2}$, then $(P_x + iP_y)/\sqrt{2} = \frac{1}{i}(\partial_{\bar{z}} + Bz)$. We conclude that $a\psi = 0$ if and only if $\psi = fe^{-B|z|^2}$ with f holomorphic. So the lowest Landau level is identified with the Bargmann space \mathcal{B}_\hbar with $\hbar = B^{-1}$.

In the geometric setting, starting from a Riemannian manifold (M, g) and a Hermitian line bundle $L \rightarrow M$ equipped with a connection ∇ , we define the magnetic Laplacian

$$H = \nabla^* \nabla$$

acting on $\Gamma(M, L)$. The magnetic field B is equal to i multiplied by the curvature of ∇ . If $M = \mathbb{R}^2$ and L is the trivial line bundle with connection $\nabla = d + \frac{i}{2}B(ydx - xdy)$, we recover the previous Hamiltonian.

Suppose now that L is a positive holomorphic Hermitian line bundle $L \rightarrow M$, with the connection ∇ being the Chern connection and the Riemannian metric g being the metric determined by the curvature of ∇ as explained

in the beginning of Section 1.1. Then for any positive integer k , we have a magnetic Laplacian H_k acting on sections of L^k .

We have another natural Laplacian acting on sections of L^k which is the holomorphic Laplacian $\Delta_k = \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial}$. The Bochner-Kodaira formula relates these two Laplacians

$$H_k = 2\Delta_k + nk.$$

It is a generalization of (12). A proof may be found in [Dem96, 7.3] or [BGV04, Proposition 3.71]. By definition Δ_k is non-negative and its kernel is the space \mathcal{H}_k of holomorphic sections of L^k . So the lowest eigenvalue of H_k is nk , and the lowest Landau level is \mathcal{H}_k . The magnetic field is $i\Theta(L^k) = ik\Theta(L)$. So the semiclassical limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ corresponds to a large magnetic field.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their warm gratitude to Benoît Douçot for bringing about this collaboration and for pointing out that the IQH entanglement entropy can be computed in terms of the spectrum of a convenient Toeplitz operator. B.E. also thanks Nicolas Regnault and Semyon Klevtsov for valuable discussions.

2 Kähler quantization

Let $L \rightarrow M$ be a holomorphic Hermitian line bundle on a complex compact manifold M . Recall that L has a natural connection ∇ , called the Chern connection and determined by the condition that it is compatible with the holomorphic and Hermitian structures. If σ is a local holomorphic frame of L , then $\nabla\sigma = -(\partial\varphi) \otimes \sigma$ where $\varphi = -2\ln|\sigma|$. The curvature of ∇ is given by $\Theta(L) = \partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$. So $\omega := i\Theta(L)$ is a real two form given in local complex coordinates by

$$\omega = i \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j.$$

We assume that L is positive, meaning that $(\partial^2 \varphi / \partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j)$ is a positive definite matrix at any point. So ω is a Kähler form. We also have a Riemannian metric defined by $g(X, Y) = \omega(X, jY)$. The Riemannian volume density will be denoted by μ .

Let $A \rightarrow M$ be another holomorphic Hermitian line bundle not necessarily positive. Since M is compact, the space \mathcal{H}_k of holomorphic sections

of $L^k \otimes A$ is finite dimensional. Its dimension is given at first order in the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ by

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_k = \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^n \left(\mu(M) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})\right), \quad (13)$$

where $\mu(M) = \int_M \mu$. \mathcal{H}_k has a natural scalar product

$$\langle s, t \rangle = \int_M (s, t)(x) d\mu(x), \quad s, t \in \mathcal{H}_k \quad (14)$$

where $(s, t)(x)$ denotes the pointwise scalar product at x . To any function f of M and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is associated a Toeplitz operator $T_f : \mathcal{H}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_k$ defined by

$$\langle T_f s, t \rangle = \langle f s, t \rangle, \quad \forall s, t \in \mathcal{H}_k, \quad (15)$$

where the scalar product on the right-hand side is still defined by (14). Basic properties of Toeplitz operators are

$$T_f T_g = T_{fg} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1}) \quad (16)$$

$$\text{tr } T_f = \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_M f d\mu + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1}) \quad (17)$$

for any smooth functions f, g , where the \mathcal{O} depends on f and g . Observe that the Toeplitz operator T_f is well-defined by (15) for any integrable multiplier f . The trace estimate (17) still holds in this case, whereas (16) is not true for integrable functions as we will see.

The Bergman kernel of \mathcal{H}_k is the holomorphic section Π_k of $(L^k \otimes A) \boxtimes (\overline{L}^k \otimes \overline{A})$ defined by

$$\Pi_k(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_k} s_{i,k}(x) \otimes \overline{s}_{i,k}(y)$$

where $(s_{i,k})_{i=1, \dots, d_k}$ is any orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H}_k . The restriction of the Bergman kernel to the diagonal can be considered as a function on M because we have natural identification $L_x \otimes \overline{L}_x \simeq \mathbb{C}$ and $A_x \otimes \overline{A}_x \simeq \mathbb{C}$ given by the metrics of L and A . We have

$$\Pi_k(x, x) = \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^n (1 + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})) \quad (18)$$

where the \mathcal{O} is uniform in $x \in M$. Furthermore for any compact set K of M^2 not intersecting the diagonal, for any N , there exists C_N such that

$$|\Pi_k(x, y)| \leq C_N k^{-N}, \quad \forall (x, y) \in K. \quad (19)$$

The transition between (18) and (19) may be described as follows. Define a distance on M by embedding M into \mathbb{R}^N and restricting the Euclidean distance. Denote by $|x - y|$ the distance between x and y . Then there exists constants $C > 0$, $(C_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for any $x, y \in M$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$|\Pi_k(x, y)| \leq Ck^{-n}e^{-k|x-y|^2/C} + C_Nk^{-N}. \quad (20)$$

We can actually characterize the Bergman kernel up to a $\mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty})$ in terms of geometric datas as follows. For any tangent vector X of M , we denote by $X^{1,0}$ and $X^{0,1}$ its holomorphic and antiholomorphic parts, so $X^{1,0} = \frac{1}{2}(X - ijX)$ and $X^{0,1} = \frac{1}{2}(X + ijX)$, where j is the complex structure.

Theorem 2.1. *We have for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\Pi_k(x, y) = \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^n E^k(x, y)a(x, y, k) + R_k(x, y)$$

where

1. E is a section of $L \boxtimes \bar{L}$ independent of k . $|E| < 1$ outside the diagonal, $E = 1$ on the diagonal. Furthermore $\nabla E = \frac{1}{i}\alpha_E \otimes E$ on a neighborhood of the diagonal, where α_E is a one form of M^2 vanishing along the diagonal and such that for any vector fields X_1, X_2, Y_1 and Y_2 of M , we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{(X_1, X_2)}\alpha_E(Y_1, Y_2) = \omega(X_1^{0,1} - X_2^{0,1}, Y_1) + \omega(X_1^{1,0} - X_2^{1,0}, Y_2). \quad (21)$$

2. $a(\cdot, k)$ is a sequence of sections of $A \boxtimes \bar{A}$ having an asymptotic expansion

$$a(\cdot, k) = a_0 + k^{-1}a_1 + \dots + k^{-N}a_N + \mathcal{O}(k^{-(N+1)}), \quad \forall N$$

where the \mathcal{O} is uniform on M^2 , the coefficients a_ℓ are sections of $A \boxtimes \bar{A}$, the restriction of a_0 to the diagonal being constant equal to 1.

3. R_k is a section of $(L^k \otimes A) \boxtimes (\bar{L}^k \otimes \bar{A})$ whose pointwise norm is uniformly in $\mathcal{O}(k^{-N})$ for any N .

Estimates (18), (19) and (20) are all consequences of Theorem 2.1. In particular, to deduce (20), observe that the conditions satisfied by E imply that $\varphi_E = -2 \ln |E|$ vanish along the diagonal and is positive outside the diagonal. A short computation from (21) gives the Hessian of φ_E along the diagonal: for any vector fields X_1, X_2 of M ,

$$(\mathcal{L}_{(X_1, 0)}\mathcal{L}_{(X_2, 0)}\varphi_E)(x, x) = g(X_1, X_2)(x).$$

where we denote by \mathcal{L} the Lie derivative and by g the Riemannian metric as above.

Theorem 2.1 has been deduced in [Cha03] from the Szegő kernel description of [BdMS76]. For other results on the Bergman kernel asymptotic behaviour, we refer the reader to [Zel98] and [MM07].

3 First spectral estimates

The Toeplitz quantization is real and positive in the sense that for any integrable function f of M , the corresponding Toeplitz operators T_f is Hermitian when f is real and non negative when f is non negative. Since for any measurable set A of M , the characteristic function of A satisfies $0 \leq 1_A \leq 1$, we deduce that $T_A := T_{1_A}$ is Hermitian with its spectrum in $[0, 1]$.

As mentioned in the introduction, if $A \subset M$ has a non empty interior and M is connected, then 0 is not an eigenvalue of T_A . Indeed, if $T_A s = 0$ then $\langle 1_A s, s \rangle = 0$, which implies that $s = 0$ on A , so $s = 0$ by analytic continuation. Similarly, if the exterior of A is non empty and M connected, 1 is not an eigenvalue of T_A .

In the sequel we will use the probability measure ν of M obtained by renormalising μ , so $\nu(B) = \mu(B)/\mu(M)$ for any subset B . We denote by d_k the dimension of \mathcal{H}_k .

Theorem 3.1. *If A and B are two subsets of M with null boundary measure, then $d_k^{-1} \text{tr}(T_A T_B) = \nu(A \cap B) + o(1)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.*

When A and B are more regular, we can improve this result [Pol17, Appendix].

Proof. By (16) and (17), for any two smooth functions f, g , we have

$$d_k^{-1} \text{tr}(T_f T_g) = \int_M f g d\nu + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1}) \quad (22)$$

Introduce the sequence ν_k of probability measures of M^2 such that

$$\int_{M^2} f(x)g(y) d\nu_k(x, y) = d_k^{-1} \text{tr}(T_f T_g).$$

We can explicitly compute that $\nu_k = d_k^{-1} |\Pi|^2 \mu \otimes \mu$. Since the functions of the form $h(x, y) = f(x)g(y)$ with f and g smooth, span a dense subset of $\mathcal{C}(M^2)$, (22) implies that ν_k converges weakly to the current of integration given by

the measure ν on the diagonal Δ , that is for any continuous function h of M^2

$$\int_{M^2} h(x, y) d\nu_k(x, y) \rightarrow \int_M h(x, x) d\nu(x) \quad (23)$$

By Portmanteau theorem [Sim15, Theorem 4.14.4], this weak convergence implies that for any measurable subset C of M^2 such that $\nu((\partial C) \cap \Delta) = 0$, we have $\nu_k(C) \rightarrow \nu(C \cap \Delta)$. Applying this to $C = A \times B$ with $\nu(\partial A) = \nu(\partial B) = 0$, we get that $\nu_k(A \times B) \rightarrow \nu(A \cap B)$. \square

Corollary 3.2. *If the boundary of A is of measure zero, then for any a, b such that $0 < a < b < 1$, we have in the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\begin{aligned} d_k^{-1} |\text{sp}(T_A) \cap [0, a]| &= \nu(A^c) + o(1), & d_k^{-1} |\text{sp}(T_A) \cap [a, b]| &= o(1), \\ d_k^{-1} |\text{sp}(T_A) \cap [b, 1]| &= \nu(A) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

This result was already proved in [Ber03] by the same method.

Proof. Let $f(x) = 1 - x - a^{-1}x(1 - x)$. Then $f(x) \leq 1_{[0, a]}(x)$ on $[0, 1]$. So

$$\text{tr}(f(T_A)) \leq \text{tr}(1_{[0, a]}(T_A)) = |\text{sp}(T_A) \cap [0, a]|.$$

By (17), $d_k^{-1} \text{tr}(T_A) = \nu(A) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$. By Theorem 3.1, $d_k^{-1} \text{tr}(T_A^2) = \nu(A) + o(1)$. Hence, we have $d_k^{-1} \text{tr}(T_A - T_A^2) = o(1)$. It follows that $d_k^{-1} \text{tr}(f(T_A)) = 1 - \nu(A) + o(1)$. So

$$d_k^{-1} |\text{sp}(T_A) \cap [0, a]| \geq 1 - \nu(A) + o(1).$$

Applying exactly the same argument to $T_{A^c} = \text{id} - T_A$ instead of T_A and $1 - b$ instead of a , we get

$$d_k^{-1} |\text{sp}(T_A) \cap [1 - b, 1]| \geq \nu(A) + o(1).$$

and the result follows. \square

Introduce now the coherent state e_x at $x \in M$ given by $e_x = \Pi_k(\cdot, x) \in \mathcal{H}_k \otimes \overline{L}_x^k \otimes \overline{A}_x$. We are going to estimate the norm of e_x on A ,

$$\|e_x\|_A^2 := \int_A |e_x(y)|^2 d\mu(y) = \int_A |\Pi_k(x, y)|^2 d\mu(y).$$

When the boundary of A is a smooth closed submanifold of M , we can introduce a *defining function* ρ of A . It is a smooth real valued function on M such that $\{\rho < 0\} = \text{int } A$, $\{\rho = 0\} = \partial A$ and 0 is a regular value.

Proposition 3.3.

1. For any compact subset K of M not intersecting the closure of A , for any N , there exists C such that $\|e_x\|_A \leq Ck^{-N}$ for any $x \in K$.
2. If ∂A is smooth and ρ is a defining function of A , we have for any N

$$\|e_x\|_A \leq Ck^{n/2}e^{-k\rho^2(x)/C} + C_Nk^{-N}$$

for any $x \notin \bar{A}$ with C, C_N independent of x .

Proof. The first part follows directly from (19). For the second part, we use (20) and the fact that for any $x \notin A$ and $y \in A$, $\rho(x) \leq |\rho(x) - \rho(y)| \leq C|x - y|$ because ρ is Lipschitz. So $-|x - y|^2 \leq -\rho(x)^2/C^2$ and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A e^{-k|x-y|^2/C'} \mu(y) &\leq e^{-k\rho(x)^2/2C^2C'} \int_A e^{-k|x-y|^2/2C'} \mu(y) \\ &\leq C''k^{-n}e^{-k\rho(x)^2/2C^2C'} \end{aligned}$$

by computing the integral in coordinates with a change of variable $x' = \sqrt{k}x$, which concludes the proof. \square

Let us deduce the estimate for section s in the sum $\mathcal{H}_k(\epsilon)$ of the eigenspaces of T_A with eigenvalue in $[\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]$.

Proposition 3.4.

1. For any $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and compact subset K of M not intersecting ∂A , there exists C such that for any $s \in \mathcal{H}_k(\epsilon)$, we have

$$|s(x)| \leq Ck^{-N}\|s\|, \quad \forall x \in K, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

2. Assume A has a smooth boundary. Then for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists C such that for any s in $\mathcal{H}_k(\epsilon)$ with norm 1, we have

$$|s(x)| \leq Ck^{n-1/4}e^{-k\rho^2(x)/C} + Ck^{-N}$$

where ρ is a defining function of A .

Proof. We first prove that for any $s \in \mathcal{H}_k(\epsilon)$, for any $x \in M$,

$$|s(x)| \leq \epsilon^{-1}\|s\|(\dim \mathcal{H}_k(\epsilon))^{1/2}\|e_x\|_A \tag{24}$$

where e_x is the coherent state at x . Let us write $s = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}$ where each s_{λ} is a normalized eigensection of T_A with eigenvalue λ . Then $\|s\|^2 = \sum |c_{\lambda}|^2$, so

$$|s(x)|^2 \leq \|s\|^2 \sum_{\lambda} |s_{\lambda}(x)|^2 \leq \|s\|^2 (\dim \mathcal{H}_k(\epsilon)) \sup_{\lambda} |s_{\lambda}(x)|^2$$

and $|s_{\lambda}(x)| = |\langle s_{\lambda}, e_x \rangle| = \lambda^{-1} |\langle T_A s_{\lambda}, e_x \rangle| \leq \epsilon^{-1} |\langle s_{\lambda}, 1_A e_x \rangle| \leq \epsilon^{-1} \|e_x\|_A$ which shows (24). It is now easy to conclude by applying Proposition 3.3 to T_A for x in the exterior of A , and to T_{A^c} for x in the interior of A . Furthermore the dimension of $\mathcal{H}_k(\epsilon)$ is a $\mathcal{O}(k^{n-1/2})$ as will be proved later, cf Remark 3.7. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Assume that A has a smooth boundary. Then for any $p \in (0, 1]$, there exists C such that $d_k^{-1} \operatorname{tr}(T_A^p(1 - T_A)^p) \leq Ck^{-1/2}$.*

Proof. Introduce the normalized coherent state $f_x = e_x / \|e_x\|$. Since $\|e_x\|^2 = \Pi(x, x)$, we have for any endomorphism S of \mathcal{H}_k

$$d_k^{-1} \operatorname{tr} S = d_k^{-1} \int_M \langle S e_x, e_x \rangle \mu(x) \leq C \int_M \langle S f_x, f_x \rangle \mu(x)$$

by using (18). Let us apply this to $S = h(T_A)$ with $h(x) = x^p(1-x)^p$. Since $h(x) \leq x^p$ on $[0, 1]$, we have $\langle h(T_A) f_x, f_x \rangle \leq \langle T_A^p f_x, f_x \rangle$. Writing f_x in an orthonormal eigenbasis of T_A and using that the function x^p is concave for $0 < p < 1$, we get that $\langle T_A^p f_x, f_x \rangle \leq (\langle T_A f_x, f_x \rangle)^p = \|f_x\|_A^{2p}$. Now if $x \notin A$, we have by Proposition 3.3 and (18) that

$$\|f_x\|_A^{2p} \leq C e^{-k\rho(x)^2/C} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty})$$

Integrating this inequality over A^c in local coordinates and doing a rescaling by a factor \sqrt{k} , we get that

$$\int_{A^c} \langle h(T_A) f_x, f_x \rangle \leq Ck^{-1/2}$$

Arguing similarly, we have $h(x) \leq (1-x)^p$ on $[0, 1]$ and $1 - T_A = T_{A^c}$ so that $\langle h(T_A) f_x, f_x \rangle \leq \|f_x\|_{A^c}^{2p}$ and by applying Proposition 3.3 to A^c

$$\int_A \langle h(T_A) f_x, f_x \rangle \leq Ck^{-1/2}$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Assume that A has a smooth boundary. Then for any $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d_k^{-1} |\text{sp}(T_A) \cap [0, k^{-N}]| &= \nu(A^c) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1/2+\epsilon}), \\ d_k^{-1} |\text{sp}(T_A) \cap [k^{-N}, 1 - k^{-N}]| &= \mathcal{O}(k^{-1/2+\epsilon}), \\ d_k^{-1} |\text{sp}(T_A) \cap [1 - k^{-N}, 1]| &= \nu(A) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1/2+\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $p \in (0, 1)$. Define $\alpha := \lambda^{-p}(1 - \lambda)^{1-p}$ and $h_p(x) := x^p(1 - x)^p$. Then one proves that

$$f(x) := 1 - x - \alpha h_p(x)$$

satisfies $f(x) \leq 1_{[0, \lambda]}$ on $[0, 1]$. So $\text{tr } f(T_A) \leq |\text{sp}(T_A) \cap [0, \lambda]|$. By Theorem 3.5,

$$d_k^{-1} \text{tr } f(T_A) = \nu(A^c) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1}) + \alpha \mathcal{O}(k^{-1/2}).$$

Now choose $\lambda = k^{-N}$ so that $\alpha k^{-1/2} \sim k^{-1/2+pN} = \mathcal{O}(k^{-1/2+\epsilon})$ if $pN < \epsilon$. So working with the convenient p , we get that

$$d_k^{-1} |\text{sp}(T_A) \cap [0, k^{-N}]| \geq \nu(A^c) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1/2+\epsilon})$$

By the same argument applied to A^c we have

$$d_k^{-1} |\text{sp}(T_A) \cap [1 - k^{-N}, 1]| \geq \nu(A) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1/2+\epsilon})$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Remark 3.7. We also have that for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$,

$$|\text{sp}(T_A) \cap [\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]| = \mathcal{O}(k^{n-1/2})$$

by essentially the same proof with $p = 1$. Of course, we will do much better later by giving an equivalent.

4 Degenerate stationary phase

Consider the following integral

$$I_k = \int_D e^{-k\varphi(t,s)} a(t,s) dt ds,$$

where $(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^p$, $a : \mathbb{R}^{n+p} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a smooth compactly supported function and

- D is measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+p} which is conic ($\forall \lambda > 0, \lambda D = D$) and satisfies $|s| \leq C|t|$ for any $(s, t) \in D$, with C independent of (s, t) .
- $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{n+p} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a smooth function such that φ and $d\varphi$ vanish along $L = \{(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+p} / t = 0\}$. The real part φ^r of φ satisfies $\varphi^r(t, s) > 0$ if $t \neq 0$. Furthermore $(\partial_{t_i} \partial_{t_j} \varphi^r(0, 0))_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ is a positive definite matrix.

Finally k is any positive number. We will describe the asymptotic behaviour of I_k in the large k limit.

Theorem 4.1. I_k has the following asymptotic expansion in the limit where $k \rightarrow \infty$: for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$I_k = k^{-(n+p)/2} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^N b_\ell k^{-\ell/2} + \mathcal{O}\left(k^{-(N+1)/2}\right) \right) \quad (25)$$

where the b_ℓ are complex numbers, the leading coefficient being given by

$$b_0 = a(0, 0) \int_D e^{-q(t)} dt ds \quad (26)$$

where q is the quadratic form $q(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \partial_{t_i} \partial_{t_j} \varphi(0, 0) t_i t_j$.

For $p = 0$ and $D = \mathbb{R}^n$, the result is well-known and is sometimes called the Laplace method. In our applications, we will always have $p = 1$ and D will be defined by inequalities $l_1(t, s) \geq 0, \dots, l_m(t, s) \geq 0$ where l_1, \dots, l_m are linear form of \mathbb{R}^{n+p} .

The integral defining the leading order term in (26) may be rewritten as follows

$$\int_D e^{-q(t)} dt ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-q(t)} v(t) dt$$

where $v(t) = \int_{D_t} ds$ is the volume of $D_t = \{s / (s, t) \in D\}$. Since $|s| \leq C|t|$ on D , D_t is bounded so $v(t)$ is finite. Since D is conic, $v(\lambda t) = \lambda^p v(t)$ for all positive λ . The real part of q is positive definite by assumption, so the integral of $v e^{-q}$ converges.

Before we prove the theorem, let us explain how we can compute the other coefficients b_ℓ . First, for any multi-indices $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^p$, we have

$$\int_D e^{-kq(t)} t^\alpha s^\beta dt ds = k^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+n+p)/2} I(\alpha, \beta) \quad (27)$$

where

$$I(\alpha, \beta) = \int_D e^{-q(t)} t^\alpha s^\beta dt ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-q(t)} t^\beta v_\alpha(t) dt$$

with $v_\alpha(t) = \int_{D_t} s^\alpha ds$. Observe that $v_\alpha(\lambda t) = \lambda^{p+|\alpha|} v_\alpha(t)$, so that these integrals converge.

Second, let $r(s, t) = \varphi(s, t) - q(t)$. We claim that r vanishes to third order at the origin. Indeed, since φ and $d\varphi$ vanish along $\{t = 0\}$, we have $\partial_{s_i} \partial_{s_j} \varphi(0, 0) = 0$ and $\partial_{s_i} \partial_{t_j} \varphi(0, 0) = 0$. So q is the quadratic part of φ at the origin.

Now write $e^{-k\varphi} a = e^{-kq} e^{-kr} a$. Then replacing in this expression r and a by their Taylor expansions at the origin and e^{-kr} by the series $\sum_\ell (-kr)^\ell / \ell!$, we get a series of the form

$$e^{-k\varphi(t,s)} a(t, s) \equiv e^{-kq(t)} \sum_{m, \alpha, \beta} a_{m, \alpha, \beta} k^{-m} t^\alpha s^\beta$$

where the coefficients $a_{m, \alpha, \beta}$ are complex numbers. Now we can compute formally the integral I_k by using (27)

$$\begin{aligned} I_k &\equiv k^{-(n+p)/2} \sum_{m, \alpha, \beta} a_{m, \alpha, \beta} I(\alpha, \beta) k^{-m - (|\alpha| + |\beta|)/2} \\ &\equiv k^{-(n+p)/2} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} k^{-\ell/2} \sum_{2m + |\alpha| + |\beta| = \ell} a_{m, \alpha, \beta} I(\alpha, \beta). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Because r vanishes to third order at the origin, all the $a_{m, \alpha, \beta}$ are zero as soon as $2m + |\alpha| + |\beta| < 0$. So we can restrict the first sum in (28) to $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. For the same reason, the second sum in (28) is actually finite for any ℓ . This formal computation gives the correct asymptotic expansion by the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *The coefficient b_ℓ in the expansion (25) is given by*

$$b_\ell = \sum_{2m + |\alpha| + |\beta| = \ell} a_{m, \alpha, \beta} I(\alpha, \beta). \quad (29)$$

Remark 4.3. If we replace D with $-D$, then $I(\alpha, \beta)$ is multiplied by $(-1)^{|\alpha| + |\beta|}$ so b_ℓ is multiplied by $(-1)^\ell$. So if D is symmetric with respect to the origine ($D = -D$), then for any odd ℓ , $b_\ell = 0$. \square

We now proceed to the proof of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2.

Lemma 4.4. *If a is zero on a neighborhood of the origin, then $I_k = \mathcal{O}(e^{-k/C})$.*

Proof. Since $|s| \leq C|t|$ on D , we have $D \cap L = \{0\}$. So if $a = 0$ on a neighborhood of 0, then the support of a is disjoint from L . Since the real part of φ is > 0 outside L , we obtain $|e^{-k\varphi}| = \mathcal{O}(e^{-k/C})$ on the support of a . \square

Lemma 4.5. *For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$I_k = \int_D e^{-kq(t)} a_N(t, s) dt ds + k^{-(n+p)/2} \mathcal{O}(k^{-(N+1)/2})$$

with $a_N(t, s) = a(t, s) \sum_{\ell=0}^N (-kr(t, s))^\ell / \ell!$.

Proof. For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we have that

$$\left| e^z - 1 - z - \dots - z^N / N! \right| \leq \frac{e^{|z|} |z|^{N+1}}{(N+1)!}.$$

Applying this to $z = -kr(t, s)$, we obtain that

$$e^{-k\varphi(t, s)} a(t, s) = e^{-kq(t)} a_N(t, s) + R_N(t, s)$$

where the remainder satisfies

$$|R_N(t, s)| \leq C e^{-kq(t) + k|r(t, s)|} |kr(t, s)|^{N+1}. \quad (30)$$

Since $|s| \leq C|t|$ on D , we have $(|s| + |t|)^2 \leq Cq(t)$ on D . Since $r = \mathcal{O}((|s| + |t|)^3)$ at the origin, it comes that $|r(t, s)| \leq q(t)/2$ on $V \cap D$ where V is a neighborhood of the origin. So we get

$$e^{-kq(t) + k|r(t, s)|} \leq e^{-kq(t)/2} \text{ on } V \cap D. \quad (31)$$

By lemma 4.4, we may assume that a is supported in V , so the same holds for R_N . Using that $|r(t, s)| = \mathcal{O}((|t| + |s|)^3) = \mathcal{O}(|t|^3)$ on D , we deduce from (30) and (31) that

$$|R_N(t, s)| \leq C k^{N+1} e^{-kq(t)/2} |t|^{3(N+1)} \text{ on } V \cap D.$$

Integrating with respect to s on D_t and using that the volume of D_t is $\mathcal{O}(|t|^p)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_D R_N(t, s) dt ds \right| &\leq C k^{N+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-kq(t)/2} |t|^{p+3(N+1)} dt \\ &= C k^{N+1} k^{-(n+p+3(N+1))/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-q(t)} |t|^{p+3(N+1)} dt \\ &= \mathcal{O}(k^{-(n+p+N+1)/2}) \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof \square

Lemma 4.6. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+p} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a smooth function.*

1. *if f is compactly supported and $|f(t, s)| = \mathcal{O}((|t| + |s|)^N)$ at the origin, then*

$$\int_D e^{-kq(t)} f(t, s) dt ds = k^{-(n+p)/2} \mathcal{O}(k^{-N/2})$$

2. *if $f = 0$ on a neighborhood of the origin and $|f(t, s)| = \mathcal{O}((|t| + |s|)^N)$ on \mathbb{R}^{n+p} , then*

$$\int_D e^{-kq(t)} f(t, s) dt ds = \mathcal{O}(e^{-k/C})$$

3. *if f is compactly supported and $f(t, s) = g(t, s) + \mathcal{O}((|t| + |s|)^N)$ at the origin with g polynomial, then*

$$\int_D e^{-kq(t)} f(t, s) dt ds = \int_D e^{-kq(t)} g(t, s) dt ds + k^{-(n+p)/2} \mathcal{O}(k^{-N/2}).$$

Proof. For the first assertion, we use that $f(s, t) = \mathcal{O}(|t|^N)$ on D and that the volume of D_t is $\mathcal{O}(|t|^p)$, so

$$\left| \int_D e^{-kq(t)} f(t, s) dt ds \right| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-kq(t)} |t|^{N+p} dt = \mathcal{O}(k^{-(n+p+N)/2}).$$

For the second assertion, by assumption, $f(t, s) \neq 0$ implies that $|t| + |s| \geq \epsilon > 0$. If furthermore $(t, s) \in D$, then $|s| \leq C|t|$ so $|t| + |s| \leq (1 + C)|t|$ so $|t| \geq \epsilon/(1 + C) = \epsilon'$. Using that $|f(t, s)| = \mathcal{O}((|t| + |s|)^N)$ on \mathbb{R}^{n+p} , we get

$$\left| \int_D e^{-kq(t)} f(t, s) dt ds \right| \leq C \int_{\{|t| \geq \epsilon'\}} e^{-kq(t)} |t|^{N+p} dt = \mathcal{O}(e^{-k/C}).$$

which proves the second assertion. Let $\rho : \mathbb{R}^{n+p} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be smooth compactly supported and equal to 1 on a neighborhood of the origin. Applying the first assertion to $\rho(f - g)$ and the second assertion to $(1 - \rho)(f - g)$ we deduce the third assertion. \square

To deduce Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, it suffices now to start with Lemma 4.5 and to replace a_N by its Taylor expansion, the integral of the remainder being controlled by the third assertion of Lemma 4.6.

5 The trace of $T_A^p - T_A^{p+1}$

Let us denote by $\Pi(x, y)$ the reproducing kernel of L . For any $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, introduce for any $x_1, \dots, x_p \in M$ the quantity

$$\Pi_p(x_1, \dots, x_p) := \Pi(x_1, x_2)\Pi(x_2, x_3) \dots \Pi(x_{p-1}, x_p)\Pi(x_p, x_1).$$

It belongs to $L_{x_1} \otimes \bar{L}_{x_2} \otimes L_{x_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \bar{L}_{x_p} \otimes L_{x_p} \otimes \bar{L}_{x_1}$. Using the metric of L , we can identify naturally each factor $L_{x_i} \otimes \bar{L}_{x_i}$ with \mathbb{C} . So we will view $\Pi_p(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ as a complex number and Π_p itself as a complex valued function of M^p .

$\Pi_1(x) = \Pi(x, x)$ is the restriction to the diagonal of the reproducing kernel. $\Pi_2(x, y) = \Pi(x, y)\Pi(y, x) = |\Pi(x, y)|^2$ is the square norm of the reproducing kernel. The subsequent terms do not have such an easy interpretation, for instance $\Pi_3(x, y, z) = \Pi(x, y)\Pi(y, z)\Pi(z, x)$. Observe the symmetry $\Pi_p(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \Pi_p(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_p, x_1)$. Furthermore, by the reproducing property

$$\int_M \Pi_{p+1}(x, x_1, \dots, x_p) \mu(x) = \Pi_p(x_1, \dots, x_p). \quad (32)$$

We will use these kernels to compute the trace of T_A^p .

Lemma 5.1. *For any $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have*

$$\text{tr}(T_A^p) = \int_{A^p} \Pi_p(x_1, \dots, x_p) \mu(x_1) \dots \mu(x_p) \quad (33)$$

$$\text{tr}(T_A^p - T_A^{p+1}) = \int_{A^p \times A^c} \Pi_{p+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}) \mu(x_1) \dots \mu(x_{p+1}). \quad (34)$$

Proof. Recall that the Schwartz kernel of an endomorphism P of \mathcal{H} is the holomorphic section K of $L \boxtimes \bar{L}$ such that $(Ps)(x) = \int_M K(x, y).s(y)d\mu(y)$. Here the dot stands for the contraction $\bar{L}_y \otimes L_y = \mathbb{C}$ induced by the metric. Since $(T_A s)(x) = \int_A K(x, y).s(y)\mu(y)$, the Schwartz kernel of T_A^p is

$$(x, y) \rightarrow \int_{A^p} \Pi(x, x_1).\Pi(x_1, x_2) \dots \Pi(x_{p-1}, x_p).\Pi(x_p, y) \mu(x_1) \dots \mu(x_p)$$

The trace of an endomorphism of \mathcal{H} being equal to the integral of its Schwartz kernel on the diagonal, we obtain

$$\text{tr}(T_A^p) = \int_{M \times A^p} \Pi_{p+1}(x, x_1, \dots, x_p) \mu(x)\mu(x_1) \dots \mu(x_p) \quad (35)$$

$$= \int_{A^p} \Pi_p(x_1, \dots, x_p) \mu(x_1) \dots \mu(x_p) \quad (36)$$

by (32). This proves (33). Computing the trace of T_A^p with (35), the trace of T_A^{p+1} with (36) and using the symmetry of Π_{p+1} we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(T_A^p - T_A^{p+1}) &= \int_{A^p \times M} \Pi_{p+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}) \mu(x_1) \dots \mu(x_{p+1}) \\ &\quad - \int_{A^{p+1}} \Pi_{p+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}) \mu(x_1) \dots \mu(x_{p+1}) \end{aligned}$$

which implies (34) because $(A^p \times M) \setminus A^{p+1} = A^p \times A^c$. \square

The previous considerations may be applied to \mathcal{H}_k . So for each p , we have a family of kernels $K_{p,k}$. We are going to describe their asymptotic behaviour as k tends to ∞ . Let us introduce some notations. Let Δ_p be the diagonal map $M \rightarrow M^p$ sending x into (x, \dots, x) . To describe the Hessian H of a function $f : M^p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ at a critical point $\Delta_p(x)$, we will use the following block decomposition. For $i = 0, \dots, p$, let u_i be the linear map from $T_x M$ to $T_{\Delta_p(x)} M^p \simeq (T_x M)^p$ given by

$$u_0(X) = (X, \dots, X), \quad u_i(X) = (0, \dots, 0, X, 0, \dots, 0) \text{ if } i \neq 0$$

with the X in the i -th position. Then we define the bilinear forms H_{ij} of $T_x M$ by

$$H_{ij}(X, Y) = H(u_i(X), u_j(Y)), \quad i, j = 0, \dots, p$$

From the description of the Bergman kernel given in Theorem 2.1, we can deduce the following result.

Theorem 5.2. $\Pi_{k,p}$ has the following form

$$\Pi_{p,k} = \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^{pn} e^{-k\varphi} f(\cdot, k) + r_k$$

where φ , $f(\cdot, k)$ and r_k are functions in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M^p, \mathbb{C})$ such that

1. φ and $d\varphi$ vanish along $\Delta_p(M)$. The real part of φ is > 0 outside $\Delta_p(M)$, its Hessian being non degenerate in the direction transversal to $\Delta_p(M)$. For any $x \in M$, the Hessian H of φ at $\Delta_p(x)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ii} &= g_x & \forall i = 1, \dots, p \\ H_{i,i+1} &= \frac{i}{2}\omega_x - \frac{1}{2}g_x & \forall i = 1, \dots, p-1 \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

and $H_{ij} = 0$ if $i = 0$ or $j = 0$ or $|i - j| \geq 2$. Here g_x and ω_x are the Riemannian metric and the symplectic form on $T_x M$.

2. the sequence $f(\cdot, k)$ has an asymptotic expansion

$$f(\cdot, k) = f_0 + k^{-1}f_1 + \dots + k^{-N}f_N + \mathcal{O}(k^{-N+1}), \quad \forall N$$

where the \mathcal{O} is uniform on M^p and the coefficients f_ℓ are in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$. Furthermore, the restriction of f_0 to $\Delta_p(M)$ is constant equal to 1.

3. the remainder r_k is $\mathcal{O}(k^{-N})$ uniformly on M^p for any N .

Proof. Using the notation introduced in Theorem 2.1, the section E is equal to 1 on the diagonal so there exists a function φ vanishing along $\Delta_p(M)$ such that

$$e^{-\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_p)} = E(x_1, x_2)E(x_2, x_3) \dots E(x_p, x_1)$$

on a neighborhood of the diagonal. Similarly, we set

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_p, k) = a(x_1, x_2, k)a(x_2, x_3, k) \dots a(x_p, x_1, k).$$

Then the properties of φ and $f(\cdot, k)$ follows from the ones of E and $a(\cdot, k)$. In particular, the real part of φ is

$$\operatorname{Re} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_E(x_1, x_2) + \varphi_E(x_2, x_3) + \dots + \varphi_E(x_p, x_1))$$

where $\varphi_E = -2 \ln |E|$. Recall that the Hessian of φ_E is non negative, its radical being the tangent space of the diagonal. Using that a sum of non negative bilinear forms is non negative, and that the radical of the sum is the intersection of the radicals of the summands, we deduce that the Hessian of $\operatorname{Re} \varphi$ is non negative, its radical being the tangent space to $\Delta_p(M)$.

Let us compute the Hessian H of φ at $\Delta_p(x)$. First for any vector fields Y_1, \dots, Y_p of M , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -(\mathcal{L}_{(Y_1, \dots, Y_p)} \varphi)(x_1, \dots, x_p) &= \frac{1}{i} \left(\alpha_E(Y_1, Y_2)(x_1, x_2) + \alpha_E(Y_2, Y_3)(x_2, x_3) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dots + \alpha_E(Y_p, Y_1)(x_p, x_1) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where α_E has been defined in Theorem 2.1. Since α_E vanishes along the diagonal, $d\varphi$ vanishes along $\Delta_p(M)$. Since φ and $d\varphi$ are zero along $\Delta_p(M)$, we have that $H_{ij} = 0$ if $i = 0$ or $j = 0$. It is also clear from (38) that $H_{ij} = 0$ if $|i - j| \geq 2$. Let us compute H_{11}

$$\begin{aligned} H_{11}(X, Y) &= (\mathcal{L}_{(X, 0, \dots, 0)} \mathcal{L}_{(Y, 0, \dots, 0)} \varphi)(\Delta_p(x)) && \text{by definition} \\ &= i(\mathcal{L}_{(X, 0)} \alpha_E(Y, 0) + \mathcal{L}_{(0, X)} \alpha_E(0, Y))(x, x) && \text{by (38)} \\ &= i(\omega(X^{0,1}, Y) - \omega(X^{1,0}, Y))(x) && \text{by (21)} \\ &= -\omega(jX, Y)(x) \quad \text{because } X^{0,1} - X^{1,0} = ijX \\ &= g(X, Y)(x) \end{aligned}$$

Let us compute H_{12}

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{12}(X, Y) &= (\mathcal{L}_{(X,0,\dots,0)}\mathcal{L}_{(0,Y,0,\dots,0)}\varphi)(\Delta_p(x)) && \text{by definition} \\
&= i(\mathcal{L}_{(X,0)}\alpha_E(0, Y))(x, x) && \text{by (38)} \\
&= i\omega(X^{1,0}, Y)(x) && \text{by (21)} \\
&= \frac{i}{2}\omega(X, Y)(x) - \frac{1}{2}\omega(jX, Y)(x) && \text{because } X^{1,0} = \frac{1}{2}(X - ijX) \\
&= \frac{i}{2}\omega(X, Y)(x) - \frac{1}{2}g(X, Y)(x)
\end{aligned}$$

The computation of H_{ij} with $j = i$ or $i + 1$ is similar. \square

Theorem 5.3. *For any $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and any domain A with a smooth boundary, we have the asymptotic expansion*

$$\text{tr}(T_A^p - T_A^{p+1}) = k^{n-1/2} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^N b_\ell(A) k^{-\ell/2} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-(N+1)/2}) \right), \quad \forall N > 0$$

where the coefficients $b_\ell(A)$ are real numbers. Furthermore, for any ℓ

$$b_\ell(B) = (-1)^\ell b_\ell(A). \quad (39)$$

if $B = M \setminus \text{int}(A)$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.1, $\text{tr}(T_A^p - T_A^{p+1})$ is the integral of K_{p+1} on $A^p \times B$. By Theorem 5.2, it suffices to consider the integral

$$I_k(a) = \int_{A^p \times B} e^{-k\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{p+1})} a(x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}) \mu(x_1) \dots \mu(x_{p+1}). \quad (40)$$

Here we denote by φ the function corresponding to K_{p+1} and not K_p . Since the real part of φ is > 0 outside $\Delta_{p+1}(M)$, $I_k(a) = \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty})$ when the support of a does not meet $\Delta_{p+1}(M)$. Observe that $\Delta_{p+1}(M) \cap (A^p \times B) = \Delta_{p+1}(\partial A)$. So it suffices to do the computation with a supported in an arbitrary small neighborhood of a point $\Delta_{p+1}(z)$ where $z \in \partial A$. Choosing coordinates (x^i) , we may identify a neighborhood of z with an open ball U of \mathbb{R}^{2n} centered at the origin such that $U \cap A = \{x \in U, x^1 \geq 0\}$. So we use $x' = (x^2, \dots, x^{2n})$ as coordinates on $\partial A \cap U$. We assume that a is compactly supported in U^{p+1} , and in this way we can consider that $U = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Instead of $(x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}) \in U^{p+1}$, we will work with $(t_1, \dots, t_p, \tau) \in U^{p+1}$ defined by

$$t_1 = x_1 - x_{p+1}, t_2 = x_2 - x_{p+1}, \dots, t_p = x_p - x_{p+1}, \tau = x_{p+1} \quad (41)$$

so that

$$x_1 = \tau + t_1, x_2 = \tau + t_2, \dots, x_p = \tau + t_p, x_{p+1} = \tau.$$

With these new coordinates, we have

$$\Delta_{p+1}(U) = \{t_1 = \dots = t_p = 0\} \quad (42)$$

$$\Delta_{p+1}(\partial A) = \{t_1 = \dots = t_p = 0, \tau^1 = 0\} \quad (43)$$

so we can use $\tau' = (\tau^2, \dots, \tau^{2n})$ as coordinates on $\Delta_{p+1}(\partial A)$. Furthermore

$$A^p \times B = \{t_1^1, t_2^1, \dots, t_p^1 \geq 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq -\tau^1 \leq \min(t_i^1)\}$$

Now we first consider that τ' is fixed and integrate $e^{-k\varphi}a$ with respect to $t = (t_1, \dots, t_p)$ and $s = -\tau^1$. This integral has an asymptotic expansion given by Theorem 4.1. The assumptions are easily checked. Indeed the real part of φ has a non degenerate Hessian in the direction corresponding to t_1, \dots, t_p because $\Delta_{p+1}(U)$ is given by (42). The coordinates (t, s) introduced here correspond to the ones of Theorem 4.1, the domain D being given by

$$D = \{(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{2np} \times \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq s \leq \min(t_1^1, \dots, t_p^1)\}. \quad (44)$$

Then we integrate with respect to τ' . This proves that $\text{tr}(T_A^p - T_A^{p+1})$ has an asymptotic expansion of the form $k^d \sum b_\ell(A)k^{-\ell/2}$. The power of k is

$$d = n(p+1) - \dim D = n - 1/2.$$

It remains to explain the relation (39). Actually, the computation with B is exactly the same except that we integrate on $B^p \times A$ instead of $A^p \times B$, which amounts to replace D with $-D$. So the relation (39) follows from Remark 4.3. \square

Theorem 5.4. *The leading order coefficient in the asymptotic expansion of Theorem 5.3 is given by $b_0(A) = C_{p,n} \text{vol}(\partial A)$ where $C_{p,n}$ is a universal positive constant depending only on p and the complex dimension n of M , and $\text{vol}(\partial A)$ is the Riemannian volume of ∂A .*

In the proof we will obtain the following formula for $C_{p,n}$

$$C_{p,n} = (2\pi)^{-n(p+1)} \int_D e^{-q(t)} dt ds \quad (45)$$

where D is the domain of $\mathbb{R}^{2np} \times \mathbb{R} \ni (t, s)$ defined by (44) and q is the quadratic form

$$q(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1,\dots,p} \langle M_{ij} t_i, t_j \rangle, \quad t = (t_1, \dots, t_p) \in (\mathbb{R}^{2n})^p. \quad (46)$$

Here the M_{ij} are square matrices of size $2n$ defined by $M_{ii} = \text{id}_{2n}$,

$$M_{i,i+1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & i \\ -i & -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \text{id}_n, \quad M_{i+1,i} = (M_{i,i+1})^t \quad (47)$$

if $i = 1, \dots, p-1$ and $M_{ij} = 0$ when $|i-j| \geq 2$.

Even if the constants $C_{p,n}$ are perfectly defined with (45), we won't use this formula to compute them. Actually since by Theorem 5.4, the constants $C_{p,n}$ are universal, we can compute them on any example.

Proof. Consider again the integral $I_k(a)$ introduced in (40). At the end of the proof of Theorem 5.3, we applied Theorem 4.1 and conclude that $I_k(a)$ has an asymptotic expansion $k^{-(np+1/2)}(c_0(a) + k^{-1/2}c_1(a) + \dots)$. By the same theorem, we can compute the leading order term $c_0(a)$. Using the coordinates (t_1, \dots, t_p, τ) introduced in (41), we have

$$c_0(a) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}} a(0, \tau') m(0, \tau') I(\tau') d\tau'$$

where m is the function defined by

$$\mu(x_1) \dots \mu(x_{p+1}) = m(t_1, \dots, t_p, \tau) dt_1 \dots dt_p d\tau \quad (48)$$

and $I(\tau')$ is the integral

$$I(\tau') = \int_D e^{-q(t, \tau')} dt ds$$

with $t \rightarrow q(t, \tau')$ the Hessian at the origin of $t \rightarrow \varphi(t, 0, \tau')$. Our goal is to prove that

$$c_0(a) = C_{n,p} \int_{\partial A} \tilde{a} \nu$$

where $C_{n,p}$ is the integral (45), \tilde{a} is the restriction of a to $\Delta_{p+1}(\partial A) \simeq \partial A$ and ν is the Riemannian volume element of ∂A .

Let us temporarily assume that we can choose our coordinates x^i of M so that (∂_{x^i}) is an orthosymplectic frame of TM along ∂A , that is for any $z \in \partial A$, $(\partial_{x^i}|_z)$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_z M$ and $j(\partial_{x^i}) = \partial_{x^{i+1}}$ at z for

$i = 1, \dots, n$. Then the matrices of the bilinear forms g_z and $\frac{i}{2}\omega_z - \frac{1}{2}g_z$ are id_{2n} and $M_{i,i+1}$ given in (47). So computing the Hessian of $t \rightarrow \varphi(t, 0, \tau')$ with the relations (37) of Theorem 5.2, we get that $q(t, \tau') = q(t)$ for any τ' with q the quadratic form introduced in (46). Furthermore, the Liouville volume form is $\mu(x) = dx$ and the Riemannian volume element is $\nu = d\tau'$ in this case. And the proof is complete.

Of course, our assumption was clearly unrealistic. Actually, we can assume that $|dx^1| = 1$ on ∂A . To achieve this, it suffices to multiply x^1 by the convenient function $f(x^2, \dots, x^n)$. Also, we can choose a local orthosymplectic frame of TM . Using this we will prove that

$$m(0, \tau')I(\tau')d\tau' = C_{p,n}\nu.$$

Here on the left hand side we view τ' as coordinates on ∂A and on right hand side ν is the Riemannian volume element of ∂A .

Let us describe $\lambda = m(0, \tau')I(\tau')d\tau'$ in terms of linear data. Let $z \in \partial A$, $E = T_zM$, $\mu_{p+1} \in \wedge^{\text{top}}(E^{p+1})^*$ be the value of the volume form (48) at $\Delta_{p+1}(z)$ and H be the Hessian of φ at $\Delta_{p+1}(z)$. Introduce the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : E &\rightarrow E^{p+1}, & v &\rightarrow (v, \dots, v), \\ i : E^p \times \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow E^{p+1}, & (x, r) &\rightarrow (x, 0) - r\Delta(u) \end{aligned}$$

where $u \in T_zM$ is the outgoing normal vector. Then for any $X \in \wedge^{\text{top}}E$, we have

$$\lambda_z(X) = \int_{D_E} e^{-q_E} i^*(\iota_{\Delta(X)}\mu_{p+1}) \quad (49)$$

where $q_E : E^p \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by $q_E(y) = \frac{1}{2}H(i(y), i(y))$ and $D_E = i^{-1}(F^p \times (-F))$ with $F = \{x \in E, g(x, u) \geq 0\}$. So D_E consists of the $(x_1, \dots, x_p, r) \in E^p \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 \leq r \leq \min_i g(x_i, u)$

To prove (49), it suffices to compute the integral in terms of linear coordinates of E dual to the basis (∂_{x^i}) and we recover exactly $m(0, \tau')I(\tau')d\tau'$. Now if we compute λ with (49) in an orthosymplectic basis of E , we obtain that $\lambda = C_{p,n}\nu$. \square

We are now going to compute the constants $C_{p,n}$ without using the formula (45).

Lemma 5.5. *We have $C_{p,n+1} = C_{p,1}(2\pi)^{-n}$.*

Proof. Consider two positive line bundles $L_1 \rightarrow M^1$ and $L \rightarrow M$ where M^1 and M have complex dimension 1 and n respectively. Then $L' = L_1 \boxtimes L$ is a positive line bundle on $M^1 \times M$ and with the obvious notation $\mathcal{H}'_k = \mathcal{H}_k^1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_k$. Choose a domain $A \subset M^1$ with a smooth boundary. Then $T_{A \times M} = T_A \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_k}$. So for any function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$\text{tr}(f(T_{A \times M})) = (\dim \mathcal{H}_k) \text{tr} f(T_A)$$

By Theorem 5.4 and the dimension estimate (13), we get

$$k^{n+1/2} C_{p,n+1} \text{vol}((\partial A) \times M) = \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^n \text{vol}(M) k^{1/2} C_{p,1} \text{vol}(\partial A)$$

which gives the result. \square

Denote by er the function

$$\text{er}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-t^2} dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

So er increases from 0 to 1 with asymptotic behaviour

$$\text{er}(-x) = 1 - \text{er}(x) = \frac{e^{-x^2}}{2\sqrt{\pi}x} (1 + \mathcal{O}(x^{-2})) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (50)$$

Lemma 5.6. $C_{p,1} = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_p(\text{er}(x)) dx$ with $g_p(x) = x^p - x^{p+1}$.

Proof. Consider the trivial holomorphic line bundle $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ over \mathbb{C} with canonical frame σ . Write $z = 2^{-1/2}(x + iy)$ and introduce the metric on $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $|\sigma(z)|^2 = \exp(-x^2)$. The curvature of the Chern connection is $dz \wedge d\bar{z}$, so $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ is positive. The action of \mathbb{Z} on $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ given by $n \cdot \sigma(z) = \sigma(z + in)$ preserves the holomorphic and Hermitian structures. So taking the quotient, we obtain a positive line bundle L on $M = \mathbb{C}/i\mathbb{Z}$. For any k , let \mathcal{H}_k be the space of holomorphic sections s of L^k such that $\int_M |s|^2 dx dy$ is finite. \mathcal{H}_k is a Hilbert space with scalar product defined by (14). An orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H}_k is given by

$$s_{\ell}(z) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \pi^{-1/4} k^{1/4} e^{-\ell^2/2k - \ell z} \sigma(z)^k, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Here we identify sections of L^k with their lifts to \mathbb{C} . Consider the domain $A = \{[z] / \text{re } z \leq 0\}$ of M . We compute that

$$\langle 1_A s_{\ell}, s_m \rangle = \delta_{\ell m} \text{er}(\ell/\sqrt{k}).$$

So (s_ℓ) is an eigenbasis of the operator T_A , which has a discrete spectrum with simple eigenvalues $\text{er}(\ell/\sqrt{k})$, $\ell \in Z$. Since the error function satisfies (50), $g_p(T_A)$ is a trace class operator and

$$\text{tr}(g_p(T_A)) = \sum_{\ell \in Z} g_p(\text{er}(\ell/k)) = \sqrt{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_p(\text{er}(x)) dx + \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty}) \quad (51)$$

by Lemma 5.7. Now, even if M is not compact, we can still compute $\text{tr} g_p(T_A)$ by the method of Theorem 5.3 because the Bergman kernel has the expected asymptotic behavior and is exponentially decreasing at infinity. So we have

$$\text{tr}(g_p(T_A)) = \sqrt{k} C_{p,1}(2\pi)(1 + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1/2})) \quad (52)$$

because the length of ∂A is 2π . Comparing (51) and (52), we get the result. \square

Lemma 5.7. *Let $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ be such that for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, its ℓ -th derivative satisfies $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} f^{(\ell)}(x) = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(\ell)}(x) dx < \infty$. Then we have in the limit $\tau \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\tau^{-1} \sum_{\ell \in Z} f(\ell/\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) dx + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-\infty}).$$

Proof. By Euler-Maclaurin formula [HW08, Theorem II.10.2], we have for any smooth function g and $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$\sum_{i=-n+1}^n g(i) = \int_{-n}^n g(x) dx + \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} C_\ell (g^{(\ell)}(n) - g^{(\ell)}(-n)) + \int_{-n}^n a_N(x) g^{(N)}(x) dx$$

with some constant C_ℓ independent of g and 1-periodic functions a_N independent of g . Applying this to $g(x) = f(x/\tau)$ it comes that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{-1} \sum_{i=-n+1}^n f(i/\tau) &= \int_{-n/\tau}^{n/\tau} f(x) dx + \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} C_\ell \tau^{-\ell-1} (f^{(\ell)}(n) - f^{(\ell)}(-n)) \\ &\quad + \tau^{-N} \int_{-n/\tau}^{n/\tau} a_N(\tau x) f^{(N)}(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using that the a_N are bounded, we get the result. \square

6 Asymptotics of $\text{tr}(f(T_A))$

For any function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let us introduce the quantities

$$S_k(f) = k^{-n+1/2} \text{tr}(f(T_A)), \quad I(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\text{er}(x)) dx$$

Theorem 6.1. *For any polynomial function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(0) = f(1) = 0$, we have the asymptotic expansion*

$$S_k(f) = \sum_{\ell=0}^N c_\ell(f) k^{-\ell/2} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-(N+1)/2}), \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}$$

where

1. the leading order coefficient is $c_0(f) = (2\pi)^{-n} \text{vol}(\partial A) I(f)$.
2. For any ℓ , $c_\ell(g) = (-1)^\ell c_\ell(f)$ where $g(x) = f(1-x)$.

So $f(x) = f(1-x)$ implies that $c_\ell(f) = 0$ for odd ℓ , $f(x) = -f(1-x)$ implies that $c_\ell(f) = 0$ for even ℓ .

Proof. For $f(x) = h_p(x) = x^p - x^{p+1}$, the result has been proved in the previous section. This implies the result for a general f because the h_p form a basis of the polynomials vanishing at 0 and 1. For the last part, observe that $g(T_A) = f(T_{A^c})$. \square

Doing the change of variable $t = \text{er}(x)$, the integral $I(f)$ can be written as

$$I(f) = \int_0^1 f(t) \delta(t) dt, \quad \text{with } \delta(t) = \sqrt{\pi} \exp((\text{er}^{-1}(t))^2)$$

From the symmetry $\text{er}(x) + \text{er}(-x) = 1$, one deduces that $\delta(t) = \delta(1-t)$. Furthermore, (50) implies that

$$\delta(t) \sim \frac{1}{2t} \left(\ln(1/t) \right)^{-1/2} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0$$

Consequently, the integral $I(f)$ converges for any continuous function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is Hölder continuous at 0 and 1 with $f(0) = f(1) = 0$.

Theorem 6.2. *For any function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous and such that $|f(t)| = \mathcal{O}(t^p)$ and $|f(1-t)| = \mathcal{O}(t^p)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ with $p > 0$, we have*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S_k(f) = (2\pi)^{-n} \text{vol}(\partial A) I(f).$$

Proof. We will mainly use that S_k and I are positive functional in the sense that $f \leq g$ implies $S_k(f) \leq S_k(g)$ and $I(f) \leq I(g)$. Introduce the notation $I_A(f) = (2\pi)^{-n} \text{vol}(\partial A)I(f)$. We will now prove the result for particular classes of functions f .

1. If f is a polynomial, the result follows from Theorem 6.1.

2. Let $f = gh$ with $g \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ and $h(x) = x(1 - x)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. By Weierstrass theorem, there exists a polynomial function $Q : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $|g - Q| \leq \epsilon$. So $g \leq Q + \epsilon$ which implies $S_k(gh) \leq S_k(Qh) + \epsilon S_k(h)$. By part 1, $S_k(h) \leq C$ and $S_k(Qh) \rightarrow I_A(Qh)$. So $\limsup S_k(f) \leq I_A(Qh) + \epsilon C$. Furthermore, $Q \leq g + \epsilon$ implies $I_A(Qh) \leq I_A(gh) + \epsilon I_A(h)$. So

$$\limsup S_k(f) \leq I_A(f) + \epsilon C + \epsilon I_A(h)$$

Taking the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get $\limsup S_k(f) \leq I_A(f)$. By exactly the same argument, we also have $\liminf S_k(f) \geq I_A(f)$. So $S_k(f) \rightarrow I_A(f)$.

3. Let $f = gh_p$ with $g \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ such that $g(0) = g(1) = 0$ and $h_p(x) = x^p(1 - x)^p$. We adapt the above argument to show that $S_k(f) \rightarrow I_A(f)$. For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a polynomial function Q such that $Q(0) = Q(1) = 0$ and $|g - Q| \leq \epsilon$. We deduce that $S_k(gh_p) \leq S_k(Qh_p) + \epsilon S_k(h_p)$. By Theorem 3.5, $S_k(h_p)$ is bounded above by some constant C . We can write $Q = Rh$ with $h(x) = x(1 - x)$ and R polynomial. So $Qh_p = Rh_p h$ and part 2 implies that $S_k(Qh_p) \rightarrow I_A(Qh_p)$. So $\limsup S_k(gh_p) \leq I_A(Qh_p) + \epsilon C$. Then $I_A(Qh_p) \leq I_A(gh_p) + \epsilon I_A(h_p)$ so

$$\limsup S_k(f) \leq I_A(f) + \epsilon C + \epsilon I_A(h_p)$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, $\limsup S_k(f) \leq I_A(f)$. By the same argument $\liminf S_k(f) \geq I_A(f)$. We conclude that $S_k(f) \rightarrow I_A(f)$.

4. Let f be a function f satisfying the assumptions of the theorem. Then f has the form $f = gh_{p/2}$ where g is continuous with $g(0) = g(1) = 0$. By part 3, the proof is complete. \square

Let us explain how we can deduce the theorems stated in Section 1.1. Theorem 1.2 contains both Theorem 6.2 and Theorem 6.1. Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 6.2 by approximating the characteristic function of $[a, b]$ by continuous functions vanishing on a neighborhood of 0 and 1. Corollary 1.3 follows from Theorem 6.2 by writing $g(x) = g(0)(1 - x) + g(1)x + f(x)$ so that

$$\text{tr}(g(T_{A,k})) = g(0) \text{tr}(T_{A^c,k}) + g(1) \text{tr}(T_{A,k}) + \text{tr}(f(T_{A,k}))$$

and we estimate the two first traces in the right-hand side with Equation (4).

7 Quantum probabilities for fermions

In this section, we will prove the formulas (10) and (11). We view \mathcal{H}_k as a subspace of the space \mathcal{E}_k of square integrable sections of L^k . The multiplication by the characteristic function of A is an orthogonal projector P_A of \mathcal{E}_k and we have a corresponding decomposition $\mathcal{E}_k = \text{Im } P_A \oplus \text{Ker } P_A$. Here the space \mathcal{E}_k is infinite dimensional, but in all the considerations to come, we can replace $\text{Im } P_A$, $\text{Ker } P_A$ and \mathcal{E}_k by the spaces $P_A(\mathcal{H}_k)$, $(1 - P_A)(\mathcal{H}_k)$ and $P_A(\mathcal{H}_k) \oplus (1 - P_A)(\mathcal{H}_k)$.

So we assume that we are in the following situation: \mathcal{E} is a finite dimensional complex Hilbert space, P_A is an orthogonal projector of \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{H} is a subspace of \mathcal{E} . Let $\mathcal{E}_A = \text{Im } P_A$, $\mathcal{E}_B = \text{Ker } P_A$ so that $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_A \oplus \mathcal{E}_B$. Let T_A be the Hermitian endomorphism of \mathcal{H} defined by $\langle T_A s, t \rangle = \langle P_A s, t \rangle$ for all $s, t \in \mathcal{H}$. Let s_1, \dots, s_d be an orthogonal basis of eigenvectors of T_A and set

$$\Psi := s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_d \in \wedge \mathcal{H}.$$

We denote by λ_i the eigenvalue of s_i and write $s_i = s_i^A + s_i^B \in \mathcal{E}_A \oplus \mathcal{E}_B$.

Lemma 7.1.

1. We have $\langle s_i^A, s_j^A \rangle = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$ and $\langle s_i^B, s_j^B \rangle = (1 - \lambda_i) \delta_{ij}$.
2. The decomposition of Ψ in $\wedge \mathcal{E} = (\wedge \mathcal{E}_A) \otimes (\wedge \mathcal{E}_B)$ is given by

$$\Psi = \sum (-1)^{\epsilon_I} s_I^A \otimes s_{I^c}^B \quad (53)$$

where we sum over the subsets I of $\{1, \dots, d\}$ and $\epsilon_I = \pm 1$, $s_I^A = s_{i_1}^A \wedge \dots \wedge s_{i_m}^A$ and $s_I^B = s_{i_1}^B \wedge \dots \wedge s_{i_m}^B$ if I consists of $i_1 < \dots < i_m$.

Proof. We have $\langle s_i^A, s_j^A \rangle = \langle s_i^A, s_j \rangle = \langle T_A s_i, s_j \rangle = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$. The proof of the second relation is similar. For the decomposition of Ψ , we write

$$s_i = s_i^A + s_i^B = s_i^A \otimes 1_{\wedge \mathcal{E}_B} + 1_{\wedge \mathcal{E}_A} \otimes s_i^B \in (\wedge \mathcal{E}_A) \otimes (\wedge \mathcal{E}_B)$$

and expand the product defining Ψ . □

Equation (53) is the Schmidt decomposition of Ψ . Indeed, by the first part of Lemma 7.1, the vectors $s_I^A \otimes s_{I^c}^B$ are mutually orthogonal. Be aware that these vectors are not normalised, actually

$$\|s_I^A \otimes s_{I^c}^B\|^2 = \lambda_I (1 - \lambda)_{I^c} \quad (54)$$

where $\lambda_I = \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_m}$ if $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$.

Consider the decomposition

$$\bigwedge \mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{\ell} \left(\bigwedge^{\ell} \mathcal{E}_A \right) \otimes \left(\bigwedge \mathcal{E}_B \right) \quad (55)$$

Let N_A be the endomorphism of $\bigwedge \mathcal{E}$ equal to ℓ in the ℓ -th summand. Let N_A^{Ψ} be the probability distribution of N_A in the state Ψ . By (53) and (54),

$$p(N_A^{\Psi} = \ell) = \sum_{|I|=\ell} \lambda_I (1 - \lambda)_{I^c} \quad (56)$$

Recall that the ℓ -th cumulant $\kappa_{\ell}(X)$ of a probability distribution X is defined by the generating function

$$\ln(\mathbb{E}(e^{tX})) = \sum \kappa_{\ell}(X) t^{\ell} / \ell!.$$

In particular, $\kappa_1(X)$ is the mean of X and $\kappa_2(X)$ its variance.

Proposition 7.2. *The expectation and variance of N_A^{Ψ} are given by*

$$\mathbb{E}(N_A^{\Psi}) = \text{tr}(T_A), \quad \mathbb{E}((N_A^{\Psi})^2) - (\mathbb{E}(N_A^{\Psi}))^2 = \text{tr}(T_A - T_A^2).$$

More generally, the cumulants of N_A^{Ψ} are

$$\kappa_{\ell}(N_A^{\Psi}) = \text{tr} P_{\ell}(T_A), \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}^* \quad (57)$$

where the P_{ℓ} are the polynomial functions defined by the generating function $\ln(1 + (e^t - 1)X) = \sum P_{\ell}(X) t^{\ell} / \ell!$.

Proof. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have by (56) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(x^{N_A^{\Psi}}) &= \sum_I x^{|I|} \lambda_I (1 - \lambda)_{I^c} = \prod_{i=1}^d (x \lambda_i + (1 - \lambda_i)) \\ &= \det(1 + (x - 1)T_A) \end{aligned}$$

Setting $x = e^t$, we get $\ln \mathbb{E}(e^{tN_A^{\Psi}}) = \text{tr}(\ln(1 + (e^t - 1)T_A))$. \square

Remark 7.3. The polynomials P_{ℓ} satisfies the recurrence formula $P_{\ell+1}(X) = X(1 - X)P'_{\ell}(X)$. So for $\ell \geq 2$, $P_{\ell}(X) = (-1)^{\ell} P_{\ell}(1 - X)$. \square

Remark 7.4. The function $\ln(1 + (e^t - 1)X)$ is actually the generating function of the cumulants $\kappa_\ell(p)$ of the Bernoulli distribution with parameter p , that is $\kappa_\ell(p) = P_\ell(p)$. Recall that a finite probability law is completely determined by its cumulants. Furthermore, if X and Y are independent random variables, then $\kappa_\ell(X + Y) = \kappa_\ell(X) + \kappa_\ell(Y)$. So we deduce from (57) that N_A^Ψ is a sum of d independent Bernoulli laws N^i with parameter λ_i . Since $\|s_i\|_A^2 = \langle P_A s_i, s_i \rangle = \langle T_A s_i, s_i \rangle = \lambda_i$, N^i is the probability that s_i belongs to A (the probability distribution of the observable N_A in the state s_i). We conclude that for what concerns the number of particles in A , the Fermionic state Ψ behaves as d independent 1-particle states s_1, \dots, s_d . \square

Let $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(\bigwedge \mathcal{E})$ be the orthogonal projector of $\bigwedge \mathcal{E}$ onto the line generated by Ψ . Viewing $\bigwedge \mathcal{E}$ as a bipartite system $\bigwedge \mathcal{E} = \bigwedge \mathcal{E}_A \otimes \bigwedge \mathcal{E}_B$, we introduce the reduced state $\rho_A = \text{tr}_{\bigwedge \mathcal{E}_B}(\rho) \in \mathcal{S}(\bigwedge \mathcal{E}_A)$. The *entanglement spectrum* of Ψ is by definition the spectrum of ρ_A . The *entanglement entropy* of Ψ is $S(\rho_A) = -\text{tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A)$.

Proposition 7.5.

1. The entanglement spectrum of ψ consists of the $\lambda_I(1 - \lambda)_{I^c}$ where I runs over the subsets of $\{1, \dots, d\}$.
2. $S(\rho_A) = \text{tr}(f(T_A))$ with $f(x) = -x \ln(x) - (1 - x) \ln(1 - x)$.

Proof. The computation of the spectrum follows from the Schmidt decomposition (53) having in mind (54). We deduce the formula for the entropy by a short computation. \square

References

[AFOV08] Luigi Amico, Rosario Fazio, Andreas Osterloh, and Vlatko Vedral. Entanglement in many-body systems. *Rev. Modern Phys.*, 80(2):517–576, 2008.

[AI08] A. G. Abanov and D. A. Ivanov. Allowed charge transfers between coherent conductors driven by a time-dependent scatterer. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 100:086602, Feb 2008.

[BdMS76] L. Boutet de Monvel and J. Sjöstrand. Sur la singularité des noyaux de Bergman et de Szegő. In *Journées: Équations aux Dérivées Partielles de Rennes (1975)*, pages 123–164. Astérisque, No. 34–35. Paris, 1976.

- [Ber03] Bo Berndtsson. Bergman kernels related to Hermitian line bundles over compact complex manifolds. In *Explorations in complex and Riemannian geometry*, volume 332 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 1–17. 2003.
- [BGV04] Nicole Berline, Ezra Getzler, and Michèle Vergne. *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*. Grundlehren Text Editions. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Corrected reprint of the 1992 original.
- [BLPY16] Paul Busch, Pekka Lahti, Juha-Pekka Pellonpää, and Kari Ylisen. *Quantum measurement*. Theoretical and Mathematical Physics. Springer, 2016.
- [Cha03] L. Charles. Berezin-Toeplitz operators, a semi-classical approach. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 239(1-2):1–28, 2003.
- [Dem96] Jean-Pierre Demailly. *L^2 -estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator on complex manifolds*. Note de cours, Ecole d’été de mathématiques à l’institut Fourier (Grenoble), 1996.
- [DMFN02] F. De Mari, H. G. Feichtinger, and K. Nowak. Uniform eigenvalue estimates for time-frequency localization operators. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 65(3):720–732, 2002.
- [ECP10] J. Eisert, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio. Colloquium: Area laws for the entanglement entropy. *Rev. Modern Phys.*, 82(1):277–306, 2010.
- [Eza08] Zyun F. Ezawa. *Quantum Hall effects*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, second edition, 2008. Field theoretical approach and related topics.
- [Gio06] Dimitri Gioev. Szegő limit theorem for operators with discontinuous symbols and applications to entanglement entropy. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2006.
- [GK06] Dimitri Gioev and Israel Klich. Entanglement entropy of fermions in any dimension and the Widom conjecture. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 96(10):100503, 4, 2006.
- [HLS11] Robert Helling, Hajo Leschke, and Wolfgang Spitzer. A special case of a conjecture by Widom with implications to fermionic entanglement entropy. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (7):1451–1482, 2011.

- [HR06] Serge Haroche and Jean-Michel Raimond. *Exploring the quantum*. Oxford Graduate Texts. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006. Atoms, cavities and photons.
- [HW08] E. Hairer and G. Wanner. *Analysis by its history*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2008.
- [Kli06] Israel Klich. Lower entropy bounds and particle number fluctuations in a Fermi sea. *J. Phys. A*, 39(4):L85–L91, 2006.
- [Lin01] Niklas Lindholm. Sampling in weighted L^p spaces of entire functions in \mathbb{C}^n and estimates of the Bergman kernel. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 182(2):390–426, 2001.
- [LSS16] Hajo Leschke, Alexander V. Sobolev, and Wolfgang Spitzer. Large-scale behaviour of local and entanglement entropy of the free Fermi gas at any temperature. *J. Phys. A*, 49(30):30LT04, 9, 2016.
- [LSS17] Hajo Leschke, Alexander V. Sobolev, and Wolfgang Spitzer. Trace formulas for Wiener-Hopf operators with applications to entropies of free fermionic equilibrium states. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 273(3):1049–1094, 2017.
- [MM07] Xiaonan Ma and George Marinescu. *Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels*, volume 254 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.
- [Old15] James P. Oldfield. Two-term Szegő theorem for generalised anti-Wick operators. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 5(4):751–781, 2015.
- [PE09] Ingo Peschel and Viktor Eisler. Reduced density matrices and entanglement entropy in free lattice models. *J. Phys. A*, 42(50):504003, 30, 2009.
- [Pes03] Ingo Peschel. Calculation of reduced density matrices from correlation functions. *J. Phys. A*, 36(14):L205–L208, 2003.
- [Pol17] L. Polterovich. Inferring topology of quantum phase space. *ArXiv*, 1710.02983, 2017. With an appendix by L. Charles.
- [PU18] S. Pérez-Esteva and A. Uribe. Szegő Limit Theorems for Singular Berezin-Toeplitz Operators. *ArXiv*, 1801.00366, 2018.

- [RS09] Iván D. Rodríguez and Germán Sierra. Entanglement entropy of integer quantum hall states. *Phys. Rev. B*, 80:153303, Oct 2009.
- [RS10] Iván D. Rodríguez and Germán Sierra. Entanglement entropy of integer quantum hall states in polygonal domains. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2010(12):P12033, 2010.
- [Sim15] Barry Simon. *Real analysis*. A Comprehensive Course in Analysis, Part 1. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015. With a 68 page companion booklet.
- [Zel98] Steve Zelditch. Szegő kernels and a theorem of Tian. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (6):317–331, 1998.
- [ZZ17] S. Zelditch and P. Zhou. Central Limit theorem for spectral Partial Bergman kernels. *ArXiv*, 1708.09267, 2017.

Laurent Charles
 Sorbonne Université, CNRS,
 Institut de Mathématiques
 de Jussieu-Paris Rive Gauche,
 IMJ-PRG, F-75005 Paris, France.

Benoit Estienne
 Sorbonne Université, CNRS,
 Laboratoire de Physique Théorique
 et Hautes Énergies,
 LPTHE, F-75005 Paris, France.