

On k -error linear complexity of pseudorandom binary sequences derived from Euler quotients

Zhixiong Chen¹, Vladimir Edemskiy², Pinhui Ke³, Chenhuang Wu¹

1. Provincial Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics,
Putian University, Putian, Fujian 351100, P.R. China

2. Department of Applied Mathematics and Informatics,
Novgorod State University, Veliky Novgorod, 173003, Russia

3. Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Network Security and Cryptology,
College of Mathematics and Informatics,
Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou, Fujian, 350117, P. R. China

January 22, 2022

Abstract

We investigate the k -error linear complexity of pseudorandom binary sequences of period p^τ derived from the Euler quotients modulo $p^{\tau-1}$, a power of an odd prime p for $\tau \geq 2$. When $\tau = 2$, this is just the case of polynomial quotients (including Fermat quotients) modulo p , which has been studied in an earlier work of Chen, Niu and Wu. In this work, we establish a recursive relation on the k -error linear complexity of the sequences for the case of $\tau \geq 3$. We also state the exact values of the k -error linear complexity for the case of $\tau = 3$. From the results, we can find that the k -error linear complexity of the sequences (of period p^τ) does not decrease dramatically for $k < p^{\tau-2}(p-1)^2/2$.

Keywords: Cryptography, pseudorandom binary sequences, k -error linear complexity, Euler quotients.

2010 MSC: 94A55, 94A60, 65C10

1 Introduction

For an odd prime p , integers $r \geq 1$ and u with $\gcd(u, p) = 1$, the *Euler quotient* modulo p^r , denoted by $Q_r(u)$, is defined as the unique integer belonging to $\{0, 1, \dots, p^r - 1\}$ by

$$Q_r(u) \equiv \frac{u^{\varphi(p^r)} - 1}{p^r} \pmod{p^r},$$

where $\varphi(-)$ is the Euler totient function with $\varphi(p^r) = p^{r-1}(p-1)$, see, e.g., [1, 19] for details. In addition, we define $Q_r(u) = 0$ if $p|u$. In particular, $Q_1(u)$ is called the *Fermat quotient*. Many number theoretic problems have been studied for Fermat and Euler quotients in [1, 3, 4, 9, 10, 18–24] and references therein. In the past decade, Fermat and Euler quotients have also been studied from the viewpoint of cryptography, families of pseudorandom sequences with nice cryptographic features are derived from Fermat and Euler quotients, see [5–8, 11, 14, 16–18].

In this correspondence, we still concentrate on a family of binary sequences defined by Euler quotients [7, 14]. For a fixed $\tau \geq 2$, $(s_n^{(\tau)})$ is defined as

$$s_n^{(\tau)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq Q_{\tau-1}(n)/p^{\tau-1} < \frac{1}{2}, \\ 1, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq Q_{\tau-1}(n)/p^{\tau-1} < 1, \end{cases} \quad n \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

We always use τ as a fixed parameter for the sequences and r as a general variable.

Due to the fact [1] that

$$Q_r(u + kp^r) \equiv Q_r(u) - kp^{r-1}u^{-1} \pmod{p^r}, \quad \gcd(u, p) = 1, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (2)$$

for $r \geq 1$, we see that $(s_n^{(\tau)})$ is p^τ -periodic.

The linear complexity and the k -error linear complexity of $(s_n^{(2)})$, i.e. $\tau = 2$, have been investigated in [6] and in [8], respectively. In fact, in [8] the k -error linear complexity has been considered for a general quotient called polynomial quotient. The trace representation of $(s_n^{(2)})$ has been presented in [5]. For $\tau > 2$, the linear complexity of $(s_n^{(\tau)})$ has been investigated in [14] and the trace representation of $(s_n^{(\tau)})$ has been given in [7]. While the k -error linear complexity of $(s_n^{(\tau)})$ for $\tau > 2$ is still open. This leads to the work in the correspondence.

We organize this correspondence as follows. In Section 2, we firstly introduce some necessary lemmas and an important technique for the proof. Then we prove the main theorem for $\tau \geq 3$. In Section 3, we draw a conclusion and give some further problems.

We conclude this section by recalling the notions of the linear complexity and the k -error linear complexity. Let \mathbb{F} be a field. For a T -periodic sequence (h_n) over \mathbb{F} , we recall that the *linear complexity* over \mathbb{F} , denoted by $LC^{\mathbb{F}}((h_n))$, is the least order L such that (h_n) satisfies

$$h_{n+L} = c_{L-1}h_{n+L-1} + \dots + c_1h_{n+1} + c_0h_n \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

where $c_0 \neq 0, c_1, \dots, c_{L-1} \in \mathbb{F}$. Let

$$H(X) = h_0 + h_1X + h_2X^2 + \dots + h_{T-1}X^{T-1} \in \mathbb{F}[X],$$

which is called the *generating polynomial* of (h_n) . Then the linear complexity over \mathbb{F} of (h_n) is computed by

$$LC^{\mathbb{F}}((h_n)) = T - \deg(\gcd(X^T - 1, H(X))),$$

see, e.g. [12] for details. For integers $k \geq 0$, the k -error linear complexity over \mathbb{F} of (h_n) , denoted by $LC_k^{\mathbb{F}}(h_n)$, is the smallest linear complexity (over \mathbb{F}) that can be obtained by changing at most k terms of the sequence per period, see [25], and see [13] for the related even earlier defined *sphere complexity*. Clearly $LC_0^{\mathbb{F}}((h_n)) = LC^{\mathbb{F}}((h_n))$ and

$$T \geq LC_0^{\mathbb{F}}((h_n)) \geq LC_1^{\mathbb{F}}((h_n)) \geq \dots \geq LC_l^{\mathbb{F}}((h_n)) = 0,$$

where l equals the number of nonzero terms of (h_n) per period, i.e., the weight of (h_n) .

The linear complexity and the k -error linear complexity are important cryptographic characteristics of sequences and provide information on the predictability and thus unsuitability for cryptography. For a sequence to be cryptographically strong, its linear complexity should be large, and at the same time not significantly reduced by changing a few terms.

2 k -Error linear complexity

We denote by $\mathbb{Z}_m = \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ the residue class ring modulo m and by \mathbb{Z}_m^* the unit group of \mathbb{Z}_m . For $r \geq 1$, by (2) and

$$Q_r(uv) = Q_r(u) + Q_r(v) \pmod{p^r}, \quad \gcd(uv, p) = 1,$$

the quotient $Q_r(-)$ defines a group epimorphism from $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r+1}}^*$ to \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} . Let

$$D_l^{(p^{r+1})} = \{u : 0 \leq u < p^{r+1}, \gcd(u, p) = 1, Q_r(u) = l\}$$

for $l = 0, 1, \dots, p^r - 1$. Clearly, $D_0^{(p^{r+1})}, D_1^{(p^{r+1})}, \dots, D_{p^r-1}^{(p^{r+1})}$ form a partition of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r+1}}^*$.

Since $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r+1}}^*$ is cyclic, we choose the element $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r+1}}^*$ as a generator (g is also called a primitive element of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r+1}}^*$). We remark that one can always choose a primitive element g such that $Q_r(g) = 1$, see a short proof in [7]. Then $D_0^{(p^{r+1})}, D_1^{(p^{r+1})}, \dots, D_{p^r-1}^{(p^{r+1})}$ defined above can be obtained in a following way,

$$D_l^{(p^{r+1})} = \{g^{l+kp^r} \pmod{p^{r+1}} : 0 \leq k < p-1\}$$

for $0 \leq l < p^r$. Then each $|D_l^{(p^{r+1})}| = p-1$, here and hereafter $|Z|$ means the cardinality of a set Z .

Let $\mathfrak{r} \geq 2$ and

$$\mathcal{C}_0 = \bigcup_{l=0}^{(p^{\mathfrak{r}-1}-1)/2} D_l^{(p^{\mathfrak{r}})} \cup p\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\mathfrak{r}-1}}, \quad \mathcal{C}_1 = \bigcup_{l=(p^{\mathfrak{r}-1}+1)/2}^{p^{\mathfrak{r}-1}-1} D_l^{(p^{\mathfrak{r}})}$$

then one can define $(s_n^{(\mathfrak{r})})$ equivalently by

$$s_n^{(\mathfrak{r})} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \pmod{p^{\mathfrak{r}}} \in \mathcal{C}_0, \\ 1, & \text{if } n \pmod{p^{\mathfrak{r}}} \in \mathcal{C}_1. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

The linear complexity of $(s_n^{(\tau)})$ has been investigated in [6] for $\tau = 2$ and in [14] for $\tau > 2$, respectively, that is

Theorem 1. (*[6, 14]*) *Let $(s_n^{(\tau)})$ be the binary sequence over \mathbb{F}_2 defined in Eq.(1) or Eq.(3). If $2^{p-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$, then the linear complexity of $(s_n^{(\tau)})$ for $\tau \geq 2$ satisfies*

$$LC^{\mathbb{F}_2}((s_n^{(\tau)})) = \begin{cases} p^\tau - p, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ p^\tau - p, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \text{ and odd } \tau, \\ p^\tau - 1, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \text{ and even } \tau. \end{cases}$$

The k -error linear complexity of $(s_n^{(\tau)})$ has been investigated in [8] for $\tau = 2$, that is

Theorem 2. (*[8, Corollary 1]*) *Let $(s_n^{(\tau)})$ be the binary sequence over \mathbb{F}_2 defined in Eq.(1) or Eq.(3). If 2 is a primitive root modulo p^2 , then the k -error linear complexity of $(s_n^{(\tau)})$ for $\tau = 2$ satisfies*

$$LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}((s_n^{(2)})) = \begin{cases} p^2 - p, & \text{if } 0 \leq k < (p-1)^2/2, \\ 0, & \text{if } k \geq (p-1)^2/2, \end{cases}$$

if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and otherwise

$$LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}((s_n^{(2)})) = \begin{cases} p^2 - 1, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ p^2 - p + 1, & \text{if } 1 \leq k < p - 1, \\ p^2 - p, & \text{if } p - 1 \leq k < (p-1)^2/2, \\ 0, & \text{if } k \geq (p-1)^2/2. \end{cases}$$

Our main goal is to extend Theorem 2 to the case $\tau > 2$, which is described in the following theorem. For our purpose, we define another binary sequence $(\bar{s}_n^{(\tau)})$ by

$$\bar{s}_n^{(\tau)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \pmod{p^\tau} \in \bigcup_{l=0}^{(p^{\tau-1}-1)/2} D_l^{(p^\tau)}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Theorem 3. (*Main theorem*). *Let $(s_n^{(\tau)})$ be the binary sequences over \mathbb{F}_2 defined in Eq.(1) or Eq.(3). If 2 is a primitive root modulo p^2 , then the k -error linear complexity of $(s_n^{(\tau)})$ for $\tau \geq 3$ satisfies*

$$LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}((s_n^{(\tau)})) = \begin{cases} p^\tau - p^{\tau-1} + LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}((s_n^{(\tau-1)})), & \text{if } 0 \leq k < (p^{\tau-2} - 1)(p-1)/2, \\ p^\tau - p^{\tau-1}, & \text{if } (p^{\tau-2} - 1)(p-1)/2 \leq k < p^{\tau-2}(p-1)^2/2, \\ p^{\tau-1} - p, & \text{if } k = p^{\tau-2}(p-1)^2/2, \end{cases}$$

if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and otherwise

$$LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}((s_n^{(\tau)})) = \begin{cases} p^\tau - p^{\tau-1} + LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}((\bar{s}_n^{(\tau-1)})), & \text{if } 0 \leq k < (p^{\tau-2} + 1)(p-1)/2, \\ p^\tau - p^{\tau-1}, & \text{if } (p^{\tau-2} + 1)(p-1)/2 \leq k < p^{\tau-2}(p-1)^2/2, \\ p^{\tau-1} - p, & \text{if } k = p^{\tau-2}(p-1)^2/2 \text{ and } \tau \text{ is even,} \\ p^{\tau-1} - 1, & \text{if } k = p^{\tau-2}(p-1)^2/2 \text{ and } \tau \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where $(\bar{s}_n^{(\tau)})$ is defined in Eq.(4).

Below we make some preparations for the proof of the main result.

2.1 Auxiliary Lemmas

Throughout this work, we use

$$d_l^{(p^r)}(X) = \sum_{n \in D_l^{(p^r)}} X^n \in \mathbb{F}_2[X], \quad 0 \leq l < p^{r-1}$$

for $r \geq 2$. Write

$$S^{(p^r)}(X) = \sum_{l=(p^{r-1}+1)/2}^{p^{r-1}-1} d_l^{(p^r)}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{S}^{(p^r)}(X) = \sum_{l=0}^{(p^{r-1}-1)/2} d_l^{(p^r)}(X). \quad (5)$$

We see that $S^{(p^r)}(X)$ is the generating polynomial of $(s_n^{(v)})$, and $\overline{S}^{(p^r)}(X)$ is of $(\overline{s}_n^{(v)})$.

It is straightforward to verify that

$$S^{(p^r)}(X) = \sum_{i=(p+1)/2}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{(p^{r-2}-1)/2} d_{ip^{r-2}+j}^{(p^r)}(X) + \sum_{i=(p-1)/2}^{p-1} \sum_{j=(p^{r-2}+1)/2}^{p^{r-2}-1} d_{ip^{r-2}+j}^{(p^r)}(X). \quad (6)$$

We first prove some lemmas.

Lemma 1. *For $r \geq 2$ and $0 \leq l < p^r$, we have*

$$\left\{ u \pmod{p^r} : u \in D_l^{(p^{r+1})} \right\} = D_l^{(p^r)} \pmod{p^{r-1}}$$

and

$$\left\{ u \pmod{p} : u \in D_l^{(p^r)} \right\} = \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}.$$

Proof. See [14, Lemma 2]. □

Lemma 2. *For $r \geq 2$, let $v \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^*$ and $\mathcal{V}_v = \{v, v + p^r, v + 2p^r, \dots, v + (p-1)p^r\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r+1}}^*$. If the Euler quotient $Q_r(v) = \ell$, then we have*

$$\left| \mathcal{V}_v \cap D_{\ell+ip^{r-1}}^{(p^{r+1})} \pmod{p^r} \right| = 1$$

for all $i : 0 \leq i < p$.

Proof. By Eq.(2). □

Corollary 1. *For $r \geq 3$, the sum $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} d_{\ell+ip^{r-1}}^{(p^r)}(X)$ is divided by $1 + X^{p^r} + \dots + X^{(p-1)p^r}$.*

Lemma 3. Let \mathcal{C}_0 and \mathcal{C}_1 be defined with $\tau \geq 3$ as above. Let $v \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\tau-1}}^*$ and $\mathcal{V}_v = \{v, v + p^{\tau-1}, v + 2p^{\tau-1}, \dots, v + (p-1)p^{\tau-1}\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p^\tau}^*$.

(1). If the Euler quotient $Q_{\tau-1}(v) = j + ip^{\tau-2}$ for some $0 \leq i < p$ and $0 \leq j \leq \frac{p^{\tau-2}-1}{2}$, we have

$$|\mathcal{V}_v \cap \mathcal{C}_0| = (p+1)/2, \quad |\mathcal{V}_v \cap \mathcal{C}_1| = (p-1)/2.$$

(2). If the Euler quotient $Q_{\tau-1}(v) = j + ip^{\tau-2}$ for some $0 \leq i < p$ and $\frac{p^{\tau-2}+1}{2} \leq j \leq p^{\tau-2} - 1$, we have

$$|\mathcal{V}_v \cap \mathcal{C}_0| = (p-1)/2, \quad |\mathcal{V}_v \cap \mathcal{C}_1| = (p+1)/2.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2 and the definitions of \mathcal{C}_0 and \mathcal{C}_1 . □

Lemma 4. For $r \geq 3$, let $S^{(p^r)}(X)$ and $\overline{S}^{(p^r)}(X)$ be defined in Eq.(5). Then we have

$$S^{(p^r)}(X) \equiv \begin{cases} S^{(p^{r-1})}(X) & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \overline{S}^{(p^{r-1})}(X) & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \pmod{X^{p^{r-1}} - 1}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 1 and Eq.(6) we see that

$$S^{(p^r)}(X) \equiv \frac{p+1}{2} S^{(p^{r-1})}(X) + \frac{p-1}{2} \overline{S}^{(p^{r-1})}(X) \pmod{X^{p^{r-1}} - 1}.$$

□

Lemma 5. For $r \geq 3$, let $S^{(p^r)}(X)$ and $\overline{S}^{(p^r)}(X)$ be defined in Eq.(5). For $0 \leq j \leq r$, let $\theta_j \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$ be any primitive p^j -th root of unity. If ϱ is a primitive root modulo p^2 , then we have

$$S^{(p^r)}(\theta_j) \begin{cases} = 0, & \text{if } j = 0, \\ = (p^{r-1} - 1)/2, & \text{if } j = 1, \\ \neq 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\overline{S}^{(p^r)}(\theta_j) \begin{cases} = 0, & \text{if } j = 0, \\ = (p^{r-1} + 1)/2, & \text{if } j = 1, \\ \neq 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Theorem 1 and related arguments. □

2.2 Technique for the proof

In this subsection, we always suppose $r \geq 3$. Let $S^{(p^r)}(X)$ be defined in Eq.(5) with $wt(S^{(p^r)}(X)) = (p-1)(p^{r-1}-1)/2$, here and hereafter $wt(-)$ means the number of non-zero coefficients of a polynomial. It is well-known that the k -error linear complexity of $(s_n^{(\tau)})$ is computed by the following formula

$$LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}((s_n^{(\tau)})) = \min_{0 \leq wt(e(X)) \leq k} \{p^\tau - \deg(\gcd(X^{p^\tau} - 1, S^{(p^\tau)}(X) + e(X)))\},$$

where $e(X) \in \mathbb{F}_2[X]$ is the generating polynomial of an *error-sequence*¹ of the same period of $(s_n^{(t)})$.

Let

$$\Phi^{(p^j)}(X) = \frac{X^{p^j} - 1}{X^{p^{j-1}} - 1} = 1 + X^{p^{j-1}} + X^{2p^{j-1}} + \dots + X^{(p-1)p^{j-1}} \in \mathbb{F}_2[X], \quad j \geq 1.$$

We see that, if 2 is a primitive root modulo p^2 , each $\Phi^{(p^j)}(X)$ is irreducible and exactly has $(p-1)p^{j-1}$ many primitive p^j -th roots of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{F}_2}$.

Let $e(X) = e_0 + e_1X + \dots + e_{p^r-1}X^{p^r-1}$ be a polynomial over \mathbb{F}_2 . We want to find an $e(X)$ with the smallest $wt(e(X))$ such that

$$\Phi^{(p^r)}(X) | (S^{(p^r)}(X) + e(X)). \quad (7)$$

We suppose

$$S^{(p^r)}(X) + e(X) = \Phi^{(p^r)}(X) \cdot \pi^{(p^r)}(X), \quad (8)$$

where $\pi^{(p^r)}(X) \in \mathbb{F}_2[X]$. Since $\deg(S^{(p^r)}(X) + e(X)) < p^r$, we have $\deg(\pi^{(p^r)}(X)) < p^{r-1}$ and $\pi^{(p^r)}(X)$ should be one of the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{(p^r)}(X) &= 1; \\ \pi^{(p^r)}(X) &= X^{v_1} + X^{v_2} + \dots + X^{v_t}; \\ \pi^{(p^r)}(X) &= 1 + X^{v_1} + X^{v_2} + \dots + X^{v_t}; \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq t < p^{r-1}$ and $1 \leq v_1 < v_2 < \dots < v_t < p^{r-1}$.

(i). If we use $\pi^{(p^r)}(X) = 1$, then $e(X)$ should be of the form by (7)-(8)

$$e(X) = S^{(p^r)}(X) + \sum_{0 \leq j < p} X^{jp^{r-1}},$$

which implies that $wt(e(X)) = wt(S^{(p^r)}(X)) + p = p^{r-1}(p-1)/2 + (p+1)/2$.

(ii). If we use $\pi^{(p^r)}(X) = X^{v_1} + X^{v_2} + \dots + X^{v_t}$, we let $\mathcal{I} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t\}$ and $\mathcal{V}_v = \{v, v+p^{r-1}, v+2p^{r-1}, \dots, v+(p-1)p^{r-1}\}$. We also let \mathcal{M} be the set of $v \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r-1}}^*$ such that $Q_{r-1}(v) = ip^{r-2} + j$ for $0 \leq i < p$ and $0 \leq j \leq \frac{p^{r-2}-1}{2}$, and $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r-1}}^* \setminus \mathcal{M}$. Then by Eq.(8) we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} e(X) &= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{M}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{V}_v \cap \mathcal{C}_0} X^n + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{I}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{V}_v \cap \mathcal{C}_1} X^n \\ &+ \sum_{v \in \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{N}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{V}_v \cap \mathcal{C}_0} X^n + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{I}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{V}_v \cap \mathcal{C}_1} X^n + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r-1}}^*} \sum_{0 \leq j < p} X^{v+jp^{r-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies by Lemma 3 that $wt(e(X)) \geq p^{r-2}(p-1)^2/2$ and the equality holds only if

$$e(X) = \sum_{i=(p+1)/2}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{(p^{r-2}-1)/2} d_{ip^{r-2}+j}^{(p^r)}(X) + \sum_{i=0}^{(p-3)/2} \sum_{j=(p^{r-2}+1)/2}^{p^{r-2}-1} d_{ip^{r-2}+j}^{(p^r)}(X). \quad (9)$$

¹It means that, $e_n = 1$ if $s_n^{(t)}$ is changed when computing the k -error linear complexity of $(s_n^{(t)})$, and otherwise $e_n = 0$.

In this case (of equality holding), we have $\Phi^{(p^r)}(X) \mid (S^{(p^r)}(X) + e(X))$ by Corollary 1.

(iii). For $\pi^{(p^r)}(X) = 1 + X^{v_1} + X^{v_2} + \dots + X^{v_t}$, we can get similarly as (ii)

$$e(X) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{M}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{V}_v \cap \mathcal{C}_0} X^n + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{I}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{V}_v \cap \mathcal{C}_1} X^n \\ + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{N}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{V}_v \cap \mathcal{C}_0} X^n + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{I}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{V}_v \cap \mathcal{C}_1} X^n + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{I} \cup \{0\} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r-1}}^*} \sum_{0 \leq j < p} X^{v+jp^{r-1}},$$

which implies that $wt(e(X)) \geq p^{r-2}(p-1)^2/2 + p$ and the equality holds only if

$$e(X) = \sum_{i=(p+1)/2}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{(p^{r-2}-1)/2} d_{ip^{r-2}+j}^{(p^r)}(X) \\ + \sum_{i=0}^{(p-3)/2} \sum_{j=(p^{r-2}+1)/2}^{p^{r-2}-1} d_{ip^{r-2}+j}^{(p^r)}(X) + \sum_{0 \leq j < p} X^{jp^{r-1}}.$$

Therefore, from (i)-(iii) above, we conclude that the $e(X)$ in (9) with the smallest weight $p^{r-2}(p-1)^2/2$ can guarantee $\Phi^{(p^r)}(X) \mid (S^{(p^r)}(X) + e(X))$. In other words, for any $e(X)$ with $wt(e(X)) < p^{r-2}(p-1)^2/2$, we always have $(S^{(p^r)}(X) + e(X))|_{X=\theta_r} \neq 0$ for any primitive p^r -th root of unity $\theta_r \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$ for $r \geq 3$.

2.3 Proof of the main theorem

Proof of Theorem 3. First, from Subsection 2.2 we find that $e(X)$ in (9) with $r = \mathfrak{r} \geq 3$ can guarantee $\Phi^{(p^{\mathfrak{r}})}(X) \mid (S^{(p^{\mathfrak{r}})}(X) + e(X))$. And hence by Eqs.(6) and (9) we have

$$S^{(p^{\mathfrak{r}})}(X) + e(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=(p^{\mathfrak{r}-2}+1)/2}^{p^{\mathfrak{r}-2}-1} d_{ip^{\mathfrak{r}-2}+j}^{(p^{\mathfrak{r}})}(X) \quad (10)$$

and $wt(e(X)) = p^{\mathfrak{r}-2}(p-1)^2/2$ which is the smallest for the assumption. From Eq.(10) we derive farther

$$S^{(p^{\mathfrak{r}})}(X) + e(X) \equiv \sum_{j=(p^{\mathfrak{r}-2}+1)/2}^{p^{\mathfrak{r}-2}-1} d_j^{(p^{\mathfrak{r}-1})}(X) = S^{(p^{\mathfrak{r}-1})}(X) \pmod{X^{p^{\mathfrak{r}-1}} - 1}.$$

While by Lemma 5, we see that $\Phi^{(p^j)}(X) \nmid S^{(p^{\mathfrak{r}-1})}(X)$ for $j = 2, 3, \dots, \mathfrak{r} - 1$ and $(X^p - 1) \mid S^{(p^{\mathfrak{r}-1})}(X)$ if and only if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and \mathfrak{r} is even.

This means that

$$\Phi^{(p^j)}(X) \nmid (S^{(p^{\mathfrak{r}})}(X) + e(X))$$

for $2 \leq j \leq \mathfrak{r} - 1$, but

$$\Phi^{(p^{\mathfrak{r}})}(X)(X^p - 1) \mid (S^{(p^{\mathfrak{r}})}(X) + e(X))$$

for $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and even $\tau > 3$, or

$$\Phi^{(p^\tau)}(X)(X-1) \mid (S^{(p^\tau)}(X) + e(X))$$

for $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and odd $\tau \geq 3$.

So we get

$$LC_{p^{\tau-2}(p-1)^2/2}^{\mathbb{F}_2}((s_n^{(\tau)})) = p^{\tau-1} - p$$

if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $\tau \geq 3$ is even, and otherwise

$$LC_{p^{\tau-2}(p-1)^2/2}^{\mathbb{F}_2}((s_n^{(\tau)})) = p^{\tau-1} - 1.$$

Second, we consider $k < p^{\tau-2}(p-1)^2/2$. We note that in this case, $\Phi^{(p^\tau)}(X) \nmid (S^{(p^\tau)}(X) + e(X))$ for any $e(X)$ with $wt(e(X)) = k < p^{\tau-2}(p-1)^2/2$. By Lemma 4, it is reduced to consider $LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}((s_n^{(\tau-1)}))$ if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, or $LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}((\bar{s}_n^{(\tau-1)}))$ if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. That is,

$$LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}((s_n^{(\tau)})) = (p^\tau - p^{\tau-1}) + \begin{cases} LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}((s_n^{(\tau-1)})), & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}((\bar{s}_n^{(\tau-1)})), & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

To conclude the proof, it remains to note that $LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}((s_n^{(\tau-1)})) = 0$ for $k \geq (p^{\tau-2} - 1)(p-1)/2$ and $LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}((\bar{s}_n^{(\tau-1)})) = 0$ for $k \geq (p^{\tau-2} + 1)(p-1)/2$. \square

2.4 Further discussions

Theorem 3 gives us a recurrent formula for the calculation of the k -error linear complexity of $(s_n^{(\tau)})$. It relates to $((\bar{s}_n^{(\tau)}))$ when $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. So here we give a result of $LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}((\bar{s}_n^{(\tau)}))$ for $\tau = 2$.

Theorem 4. *Let $(\bar{s}_n^{(\tau)})$ be the binary sequence over \mathbb{F}_2 defined in Eq.(4). If 2 is a primitive root modulo p^2 , then the k -error linear complexity of $(\bar{s}_n^{(\tau)})$ for $\tau = 2$ satisfies*

$$LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}((\bar{s}_n^{(2)})) = \begin{cases} p^2 - 1, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ p^2 - p + 1, & \text{if } 0 < k < p - 1, \\ p^2 - p, & \text{if } p - 1 \leq k < (p-1)^2/2, \\ p - 1, & \text{if } (p-1)^2/2 \leq k < (p^2 - 1)/2, \\ 0, & \text{if } k \geq (p^2 - 1)/2, \end{cases}$$

if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and otherwise

$$LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}(\bar{s}_n^{(2)}) = \begin{cases} p^2 - p, & \text{if } 0 \leq k < (p-1)^2/2, \\ p - 1, & \text{if } (p-1)^2/2 \leq k < (p^2 - 1)/2, \\ 0, & \text{if } k \geq (p^2 - 1)/2. \end{cases}$$

The proof (of Theorem 4) can be done following the way in Subsection 2.2 or [8, pp.6-7], so we omit it.

Another thing we need to say is that, due to the fact that the recurrent formula in Theorem 3 does not cover all k , we cannot determine the exact values of $LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}(s_n^{(\tau)})$ for $p^{\tau-3}(p-1)^2/2 < k < (p^{\tau-2}-1)(p-1)/2$ when $\tau \geq 4$. But of course, we have a bound on it for $p^{\tau-3}(p-1)^2/2 < k < (p^{\tau-2}-1)(p-1)/2$

$$p^\tau - p^{\tau-1} \leq LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}(s_n^{(\tau)}) \leq p^\tau - 1.$$

However, we have exact formula when $\tau = 3$ and we state it as follows

Corollary 2. *Let $(s_n^{(\tau)})$ be the binary sequence over \mathbb{F}_2 defined in Eq.(1) or Eq.(3). If 2 is a primitive root modulo p^2 , then the k -error linear complexity of $(s_n^{(\tau)})$ for $\tau = 3$ satisfies*

$$LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}((s_n^{(3)})) = \begin{cases} p^3 - p, & \text{if } 0 \leq k < (p-1)^2/2, \\ p^3 - p^2, & \text{if } (p-1)^2/2 \leq k < p(p-1)^2/2, \\ p^2 - p, & \text{if } k = p(p-1)^2/2, \end{cases}$$

if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and otherwise

$$LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}((s_n^{(3)})) = \begin{cases} p^3 - p, & \text{if } 0 \leq k < (p-1)^2/2, \\ p^3 - p^2 + p - 1, & \text{if } (p-1)^2/2 \leq k < (p^2-1)/2, \\ p^3 - p^2, & \text{if } (p^2-1)/2 \leq k < p(p-1)^2/2, \\ p^2 - 1, & \text{if } k = p(p-1)^2/2. \end{cases}$$

We also run a program to confirm our results for some examples.

(1). Let $p = 3$ and $\tau = 3$. We choose $g = 11$ then we have

$$D_5^{(p^3)} = \{4, 23\}, D_6^{(p^3)} = \{10, 17\}, D_7^{(p^3)} = \{2, 25\}, D_8^{(p^3)} = \{5, 22\}.$$

And we get

$$LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}((s_n^{(3)})) = \begin{cases} 24, & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq 1, \\ 20, & \text{if } 2 \leq k \leq 3, \\ 18, & \text{if } 4 \leq k \leq 5, \\ 8, & \text{if } k = 6, \end{cases}$$

which coincides with Corollary 2.

(2). Let $p = 5$ and $\tau = 3$. We choose $g = 3$ then we have

$$D_{13}^{(p^3)} = \{73, 89, 52, 36\}, D_{14}^{(p^3)} = \{94, 17, 31, 108\}, D_{15}^{(p^3)} = \{32, 51, 93, 74\},$$

$$D_{16}^{(p^3)} = \{96, 28, 29, 97\}, D_{17}^{(p^3)} = \{38, 84, 87, 41\}, D_{18}^{(p^3)} = \{114, 2, 11, 123\},$$

$$D_{19}^{(p^3)} = \{92, 6, 33, 119\}, D_{20}^{(p^3)} = \{26, 18, 99, 107\}, D_{21}^{(p^3)} = \{78, 54, 47, 71\},$$

$$D_{22}^{(p^3)} = \{109, 37, 16, 88\}, D_{23}^{(p^3)} = \{77, 111, 48, 14\}, D_{24}^{(p^3)} = \{106, 83, 19, 42\}.$$

And we get

$$LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_2}((s_n^{(3)})) = \begin{cases} 120, & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq 7, \\ 100, & \text{if } 8 \leq k \leq 39, \\ 20, & \text{if } k = 40, \end{cases}$$

which coincides with Corollary 2.

3 Final remarks and conclusions

In this work, a progress is made to determine the k -error linear complexity of a family of binary sequences derived from Euler quotients modulo p^τ .

It is interesting to consider the k -error linear complexity of these binary sequences over \mathbb{F}_p . Such kind of work has been done before, see e.g. [2]. From the proof of Lemma 4, we also can get a recurrent formula on $LC_k^{\mathbb{F}_p}((s_n^{(\tau)}))$ as in Theorem 3. Some techniques in [8] can be helpful for this problem.

We finally remark that, very recently a new family of binary sequences, introduced by Xiao, Zeng, Li and Helleseth [27], are in fact related to the Fermat-Euler quotients. Let $p-1 = ef$ and g a primitive root modulo p^2 as in Sect.2. Since

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p^\tau} = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\tau}^* \cup p\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\tau-1}}^* \cup p^2\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\tau-2}}^* \cup \cdots \cup p^{\tau-1}\mathbb{Z}_p^* \cup \{0\},$$

we define generalized cyclotomic classes for each $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^*$, where $1 \leq r \leq \tau$, in the following way

$$D_0^{(p^r, f)} \triangleq \langle g^{fp^{r-1}} \rangle = \{g^{kfp^{r-1}} \pmod{p^r} : 0 \leq k < e\}$$

and

$$D_l^{(p^r, f)} \triangleq g^l D_0^{(p^r, f)} = \{g^l \cdot g^{kfp^{r-1}} \pmod{p^r} : 0 \leq k < e\}, \quad 1 \leq l < fp^{r-1}.$$

Indeed $D_0^{(p^r, f)}, D_1^{(p^r, f)}, \dots, D_{fp^{r-1}-1}^{(p^r, f)}$ give a partition of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^*$.

We find that when $\tau \geq 2$,

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{f-1} D_{l+ip^{\tau-1}}^{(p^\tau, f)} = D_l^{(p^\tau)}, \quad 0 \leq l < p^{\tau-1}$$

where $D_l^{(p^\tau)}$ is defined by Euler quotient in Sect.2.

Xiao, Zeng, Li and Helleseth [27] considered the following binary sequences

$$t_n^{(\tau)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \pmod{p^\tau} \in \mathcal{C}_0, \\ 1, & \text{if } n \pmod{p^\tau} \in \mathcal{C}_1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_0 = \bigcup_{r=1}^{\tau} \bigcup_{l=p^{r-1}f/2}^{p^{r-1}f-1} p^{\tau-r} D_{l+b}^{(p^r, f)} \pmod{p^{r-1}f},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \bigcup_{r=1}^{\tau} \bigcup_{l=0}^{p^{r-1}f/2-1} p^{\tau-r} D_{l+b}^{(p^r, f)} \pmod{p^{r-1}f} \cup \{0\}$$

for $b \in \mathbb{Z} : 0 \leq b < p^{r-1}f$ and even f .

When $\tau = 2$, Xiao et al [27] proved the linear complexity of $(t_n^{(\tau)})$ for $f = 2^r$ and we (joint with other coauthor) studied the k -error linear complexity of $(t_n^{(\tau)})$ for $f = 2$ in [26]. We note here that the result in [26] can be extended to the case of $f = 2^r$ for any $r \geq 2$.

When $\tau \geq 3$, the second author of this article (partly joint with other coauthors) proved the linear complexity of $(t_n^{(\tau)})$ for $f = 2^r$, which gave a positive answer to a conjecture stated in [27], see [15]. All known results indicate that $(t_n^{(\tau)})$ has nice cryptographic features. Following the way of [26] and this work, one can discuss the k -error linear complexity of $(t_n^{(\tau)})$ for $\tau \geq 3$.

Acknowledgements

The work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant No. 61772292.

References

- [1] T. Agoh, K. Dilcher and L. Skula. Fermat quotients for composite moduli. *J. Number Theory* 66 (1997) 29-50.
- [2] H. Aly, A. Winterhof. On the k -error linear complexity over \mathbb{F}_p of Legendre and Sidelnikov sequences. *Des. Codes Cryptography* 40(3) (2006) 369-374.
- [3] J. Bourgain, K. Ford, S. Konyagin and I. E. Shparlinski. On the divisibility of Fermat quotients. *Michigan Math. J.* 59 (2010) 313-328.
- [4] M. C. Chang. Short character sums with Fermat quotients. *Acta Arith.* 152 (2012) 23-38.
- [5] Z. Chen. Trace representation and linear complexity of binary sequences derived from Fermat quotients. *Sci. China Inf. Sci.* 57 (11) (2014) 11:2109.
- [6] Z. Chen, X. Du. On the linear complexity of binary threshold sequences derived from Fermat quotients. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* 67 (2013) 317-323.

- [7] Z. Chen, X. Du, R. Marzouk. Trace representation of pseudorandom binary sequences derived from Euler quotients. *Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput.* 26(6) (2015) 555-570.
- [8] Z. Chen, Z. Niu, C. Wu. On the k -error linear complexity of binary sequences derived from polynomial quotients. *Sci. China Inf. Sci.* 58 (09) (2015) 09:2107.
- [9] Z. Chen and A. Winterhof. Additive character sums of polynomial quotients. *Theory and Applications of Finite Fields-Fq10*, pp.67-73, *Contemp. Math.*, 579, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012.
- [10] Z. Chen and A. Winterhof. Interpolation of Fermat quotients. *SIAM J. Discr. Math.* 28 (2014) 1-7.
- [11] Z. Chen, A. Ostafe, A. Winterhof. Structure of pseudorandom numbers derived from Fermat quotients. In: *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Arithmetic of Finite Fields-WAIFI2010*, pp.73-85, *Lecture Notes in Comput Sci*, vol. 6087, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2010.
- [12] T. W. Cusick, C. Ding and A. Renvall. *Stream Ciphers and Number Theory*. Gulf Professional Publishing, 2004.
- [13] C. Ding, G. Xiao and W. Shan. *The Stability Theory of Stream Ciphers*. *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.* vol. 561. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [14] X. Du, Z. Chen, L. Hu. Linear complexity of binary sequences derived from Euler quotients with prime-power modulus. *Inform. Process. Lett.* 112 (2012) 604-609.
- [15] V. Edemskiy. The linear complexity of new binary cyclotomic sequences of period p^n . *CoRR abs/1712.03947* (2017)
- [16] D. Gómez-Pérez, A. Winterhof. Multiplicative character sums of Fermat quotients and pseudorandom sequences. *Period. Math. Hungar.* 64 (2012) 161-168.
- [17] Z. Niu, Z. Chen, X. Du: Linear complexity problems of level sequences of Euler quotients and their related binary sequences. *Sci. China Inf. Sci.* 59(3) (2016) 3:2106.
- [18] A. Ostafe and I. E. Shparlinski. Pseudorandomness and dynamics of Fermat quotients. *SIAM J. Discr. Math.* 25 (2011) 50-71.
- [19] M. Sha. The arithmetic of Carmichael quotients. *Period. Math. Hungar.* 71(1) (2015) 11-23.
- [20] I. E. Shparlinski. Character sums with Fermat quotients. *Quart. J. Math.* 62(4) (2011) 1031-1043.

- [21] I. E. Shparlinski. Bounds of multiplicative character sums with Fermat quotients of primes. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 83(3) (2011) 456-462.
- [22] I. E. Shparlinski. On the value set of Fermat quotients. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 140(4) (2012) 1199-1206.
- [23] I. E. Shparlinski. Fermat quotients: Exponential sums, value set and primitive roots. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 43(6) (2011) 1228-1238.
- [24] I. E. Shparlinski and A. Winterhof. Distribution of values of polynomial Fermat quotients. *Finite Fields Appl.* 19 (2013) 93-104.
- [25] M. Stamp and C. F. Martin. An algorithm for the k -error linear complexity of binary sequences with period 2^n . *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* 39 (1993) 1398-1401.
- [26] C. Wu, C. Xu, Z. Chen, P. Ke. On error linear complexity of new generalized cyclotomic binary sequences of period p^2 . *CoRR* abs/1711.06063 (2017)
- [27] Z. Xiao, X. Zeng, C. Li, T. Hellesteth. New generalized cyclotomic binary sequences of period p^2 . *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10623-017-0408-7>.(2017)