

On the linear complexity for multidimensional sequences

Domingo Gómez-Pérez

Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science
 University of Cantabria
 39005 Santander, Spain
 domingo.gomez@unican.es

Min Sha

Department of Computing
 Macquarie University
 Sydney, NSW 2109, Australia
 shamin2010@gmail.com

Andrew Tirkel

Scientific Technology Pty Ltd.
 8 Cecil St, East Brighton
 VIC 3187, Australia
 atirkel@bigpond.net.au

Abstract

In this paper, we define the linear complexity for multidimensional sequences over finite fields, generalizing the one-dimensional case. We give some lower and upper bounds, valid with large probability, for the linear complexity and k -error linear complexity of multidimensional periodic sequences.

Keywords: Multidimensional sequence, linear complexity, k -error linear complexity

1 Introduction

One-dimensional periodic sequences with low auto- and cross-correlations have extensive applications in modern communications. Meanwhile, digital watermarking, which has been used to provide copyright protection, certificates of authenticity, access control, audit trail and many other security features, require multidimensional arrays (identified with multidimensional periodic sequences) with similar properties. There are several constructions of these objects proposed by Oscar Moreno, Andrew Tirkel et al. [1, 12, 13, 17].

Recently, in [6] the concept of linear complexity of one-dimensional periodic sequences has been extended to higher dimensions, and an efficient algorithm has been given. Moreover, the numerical results in [6] suggest that the Moreno–Tirkel arrays [13] have high linear complexity. This concept in fact is equivalent to ours for periodic sequences, which is explained later on.

A cryptographically strong sequence should have a high linear complexity, and it should also not be possible to decrease significantly the linear complexity by changing a

few terms of the sequence. This leads to the concept of k -error linear complexity defined by Stamp and Martin [16], which is based on the sphere complexity due to Ding, Xiao, and Shan [3]. Note that, in practice, changes in the bitstream can occur due to noise, multipath, or other distortion in the wireless channel.

In this paper, continuing previous work [6], we define the linear complexity for multi-dimensional sequences, including that of multidimensional arrays as a particular example and introduce the k -error linear complexity for such sequences. We obtain some lower and upper bounds, valid with large probability, for the linear complexity and k -error linear complexity of periodic sequences.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 recalls some basic definitions. The proofs of the main results are based on some combinatorial analysis, which is included in Section 3. The main results are presented and proved in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Multidimensional sequences

Let \mathbb{N}_0 be the set of non-negative integers and \mathbb{F}_q the finite field of q elements. For any integer $n \geq 1$, an n -dimensional sequence over \mathbb{F}_q is a mapping $s : \mathbb{N}_0^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$. We write $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ for the elements of \mathbb{N}_0^n , and the corresponding term in the sequence s is denoted by $s(\mathbf{m})$. Further, let $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be the polynomial ring in variables X_1, \dots, X_n over \mathbb{F}_q . A *monomial* in this ring has the form

$$\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{j}} = X_1^{j_1} \dots X_n^{j_n},$$

where $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$.

Let $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ act on the sequence s as follows. For any

$$P(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_n],$$

let Ps be the n -dimensional sequence defined by

$$Ps(\mathbf{m}) = \sum_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}} s(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{j}).$$

We denote by $I(s)$ the set of polynomials $P \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ for which $Ps = 0$. Clearly, each polynomial in $I(s)$ actually represents a linear recurrence of s . In fact, $I(s)$ is an ideal of the ring $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, so the quotient $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I(s)$ is well defined (and is an \mathbb{F}_q -linear space). If the quotient space $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I(s)$ has finite dimension (say d) over \mathbb{F}_q , we say that the sequence s is an n -dimensional recurrence sequence of order d . We refer to the survey by Schmidt [15] for a general introduction to this topic. When $n = 1$, this definition recovers the so-called *linear recurrence sequence*; see the book by Everest et al. [4] for an extensive introduction. Moreover, for any ideal I , the quotient space $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$ has finite dimension over \mathbb{F}_q if and only if there is a non-zero polynomial in $I \cap \mathbb{F}_q[X_i]$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Particularly, the sequence s is said to be *periodic* if there is an n -tuple (T_1, \dots, T_n) of positive integers such that all the binomials $X_1^{T_1} - 1, \dots, X_n^{T_n} - 1$ belong to $I(s)$, that is, the sequence is periodic in every dimension. Then, we call (T_1, \dots, T_n) a *period* of s . Periodic sequences of dimension two are called *doubly-periodic sequences*, a largely studied object with applications in algebraic coding theory [5, 14].

An n -dimensional array A of size $T_1 \times \dots \times T_n$ can be naturally extended to an n -dimensional sequence:

$$s_A(m_1, \dots, m_n) = A(m_1 \bmod T_1, \dots, m_n \bmod T_n).$$

(Note that (T_1, \dots, T_n) is a period of s_A .) Conversely, we can view every periodic sequence as the extension of an array. Hence, we can identify multidimensional arrays with multidimensional periodic sequences.

The concept of multidimensional sequences we deal with must not be confused with that of *multisequences*, which consists of finitely many parallel streams of one-dimensional sequences [11].

2.2 Linear complexity

Recall that, in dimension one, the *linear complexity* of a periodic sequence coincides with its order. Similarly, we define the *linear complexity* of a multidimensional sequence s to be its order (as defined above), denoted by $L(s)$. So, the only sequence with linear complexity equal to zero is the zero sequence. The *linear complexity* of an n -dimensional array A is defined as the linear complexity of its periodic extension s_A .

A definition of linear complexity for multidimensional arrays (identified with periodic sequences) has been employed in [6] to test the security of some multidimensional arrays proposed by Moreno and Tirkel [13], which is in fact equivalent to our definition. We remark that the definition we give above is more formal and more general than that in [6], because it is a purely algebraic definition and it does not need to assume that the sequence is periodic. However, it is easier to design an algorithm building on the definition in [6]: the cardinality of a Delta set, as explained below.

Fix a monomial order $<_\tau$ on $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. The maximum term of f with respect to $<_\tau$ of a polynomial f is called the *leading term*, and the corresponding monomial, denoted by $\text{Lm}(f)$, is the *leading monomial* of f .

A *Gröbner basis* of a non-zero ideal I of $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ (with respect to $<_\tau$) is a polynomial set $G(I) = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ which generate the ideal I and such that, if $f \in I$, there is a polynomial in $G(I)$ whose leading monomial divides $\text{Lm}(f)$. The basis $G(I)$ is said to be *reduced* if, for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, the polynomial g_i is monic and its leading monomial does not divide any non-zero term of the other polynomials in $G(I)$. It is well-known that there is exactly one reduced Gröbner basis of I with respect to $<_\tau$.

Write $\text{Lm}(I) = \{\text{Lm}(f) : f \in I\}$, and define the *Delta set* of I as

$$\Delta(I) = \{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n : \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{j}} \notin \text{Lm}(I)\}.$$

Note that $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{j}} \notin \text{Lm}(I)$ if and only if $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{j}}$ is not divisible by the leading monomial of any polynomial in $G(I)$. The Delta set depends on the chosen monomial order $<_\tau$, but the

cardinality of the Delta set does not depend on \prec_τ and thus is an ideal invariant. We refer to the book by Cox, Little, and O' Shea [2] for more details.

Let s be a periodic sequence with period (T_1, \dots, T_n) . Then, the polynomials $X_1^{T_1} - 1, \dots, X_n^{T_n} - 1$ are elements of the ideal $I(s)$, and so its Delta set, denoted by $\Delta(s)$, is finite. Moreover, the *linear complexity* of the sequence satisfies

$$L(s) = |\Delta(s)| \leq T_1 T_2 \cdots T_n. \quad (1)$$

Furthermore, $L(s)$ is the minimum number of initial terms which generate the whole sequence through the linear recurrences represented by the polynomials in $I(s)$ (or equivalently, the polynomials in $G(s)$). Such initial terms are exactly:

$$s(\mathbf{j}), \quad \mathbf{j} \in \Delta(s).$$

2.3 Remarks on linear complexity

In the literature, there is another definition of the linear complexity for two dimensional binary finite sequences, which is proposed by Gyarmati, Mauduit, and Sárközy [7, Definition 5] and is equal to the minimal number of initial terms generating the whole sequence by a specific linear recurrence. We opt instead to define the linear complexity of a finite multidimensional sequence as that of its periodic extension. Note that a linear recurrence of a finite multidimensional sequence may be not a linear recurrence of its periodic extension.

Besides, if T_1, \dots, T_n are pairwise coprime, any n -dimensional sequence s of period (T_1, \dots, T_n) can be converted into a one-dimensional sequence t of period $T_1 T_2 \cdots T_n$ by

$$t(m) = s(m \bmod T_1, \dots, m \bmod T_n), \quad m \geq 0.$$

Since T_1, \dots, T_n are pairwise coprime, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the terms of s and t . So, the shortest linear recurrence generating t can be converted into a linear recurrence which generates s . Hence,

$$L(s) \leq L(t).$$

2.4 The k -error linear complexity

Let s be an n -dimensional periodic sequence with period (T_1, \dots, T_n) . Given an integer $k \leq T_1 \cdots T_n$, the k -error linear complexity $L_k(s)$ of s is the smallest linear complexity among those sequences which differ from s in k or fewer terms from a period:

$$\{(m_1, \dots, m_n) : 0 \leq m_i \leq T_i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

It follows from the definition that

$$0 = L_{T_1 \cdots T_n}(s) \leq \cdots \leq L_2(s) \leq L_1(s) \leq L_0(s) = L(s) \leq T_1 \cdots T_n.$$

3 Some counting results

In this section, we establish a couple of results regarding the amount of monomial ideals in a polynomial ring and the size of a reduced Gröbner basis, which are used later on. Here, \mathbb{F} stands for an arbitrary field.

Recall that an ideal of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is a *monomial ideal* if it is generated by monomials. A monomial lies in an ideal generated by some monomials if and only if it is divisible by one of them. Besides, a polynomial lies in a monomial ideal if and only if all its monomials do; see [2, Chapter 2, §4].

Lemma 1. *For any positive integer $K \geq 1$, let $M_n(K)$ be the set of monomial ideals I in $\mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ such that the dimension of the quotient space $\mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$ over \mathbb{F} equals K . Then, $|M_n(1)| = 1$, and for any $K \geq 2$,*

$$|M_n(K)| \leq K^n (K + n - 2)^{(n-1)(K-1)} (2K - 3)^{K-2}.$$

In particular, for any real number $R > 1$, there exists a constant c depending on n and R such that for any $K \geq 1$,

$$|M_n(K)| \leq cR^{K^{3/2}}.$$

Proof. Since the set $M_n(1)$ only contains the ideal generated by X_1, \dots, X_n , we indeed have $|M_n(1)| = 1$. In the following, we assume that $K \geq 2$.

Given a monomial ideal $I \in M_n(K)$, we must have that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, there exists an integer $m_i \geq 1$ such that $X_i^{m_i} \in I$ and $X_i^{m_i-1} \notin I$, and these integers satisfy

$$m_1 + \dots + m_n + 1 - n \leq K \leq m_1 m_2 \dots m_n, \quad (2)$$

where the lower bound is exactly the number of polynomials of the form X_i^j , $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 0, \dots, m_i - 1$. Clearly, $m_i \leq K$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Denote by S_K the set of n -tuples $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ of positive integers satisfying the condition (2). Obviously, we have

$$|S_K| \leq K^n. \quad (3)$$

For each tuple $\mathbf{m} \in S_K$, let $M_{n,\mathbf{m}}(K)$ be the set of monomial ideals $I \in M_n(K)$ such that $X_i^{m_i} \in I$ and $X_i^{m_i-1} \notin I$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then, we have

$$M_n(K) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{m} \in S_K} M_{n,\mathbf{m}}(K). \quad (4)$$

So, it suffices to estimate the size of each set $M_{n,\mathbf{m}}(K)$, $\mathbf{m} \in S_K$.

Now, fixing an n -tuple $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in S_K$, we want to estimate $|M_{n,\mathbf{m}}(K)|$. For each monomial ideal $I \in M_{n,\mathbf{m}}(K)$, let $B(I)$ be the *monomial basis* of the quotient space $\mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$ over \mathbb{F} . That is, $B(I)$ is the set of monomials not contained in I , and $|B(I)| = K$. Note that every element in $B(I)$ is of the form $X_1^{j_1} X_2^{j_2} \dots X_n^{j_n}$ with $0 \leq j_i \leq m_i - 1$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Since each monomial ideal $I \in M_{n,\mathbf{m}}(K)$ is uniquely determined by $B(I)$, it is equivalent to estimate the possibilities of $B(I)$. Denote

$$D = m_1 + \cdots + m_n - n.$$

Since $K \geq 2$, we must have $D \geq 1$. By assumption, the monomials in $B(I)$ are of degree between 0 and D , and $|B(I)| = K$. Noticing $1 \in B(I)$, to obtain a possible choice for $B(I)$ we need to choose k_i monomials of degree i ($k_i \geq 0$) for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, D$ such that

$$k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_D = |B(I)| - 1 = K - 1.$$

So, we obtain

$$|M_{n,\mathbf{m}}(K)| \leq \sum_{k_1 + \cdots + k_D = K-1} \prod_{d=1}^D \binom{N_d}{k_d}, \quad (5)$$

where N_d is the number of monomials in $\mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ of degree d .

It is well-known that

$$N_d = \binom{n+d-1}{n-1}.$$

Then, (5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} |M_{n,\mathbf{m}}(K)| &\leq \sum_{k_1 + \cdots + k_D = K-1} \prod_{d=1}^D N_d^{k_d} \\ &\leq \sum_{k_1 + \cdots + k_D = K-1} \prod_{d=1}^D (n+d-1)^{k_d(n-1)} \\ &\leq (n+D-1)^{(n-1)(K-1)} \sum_{k_1 + \cdots + k_D = K-1} 1 \\ &= (n+D-1)^{(n-1)(K-1)} \binom{D+K-2}{D-1} \\ &\leq (n+D-1)^{(n-1)(K-1)} (D+K-2)^{D-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of D and (2), we have $D \leq K - 1$, implying

$$|M_{n,\mathbf{m}}(K)| \leq (K+n-2)^{(n-1)(K-1)} (2K-3)^{K-2},$$

which, together with (3) and (4), gives the first part of the desired result.

Finally, we want to get a simple upper bound for $|M_n(K)|$. We first have

$$|M_n(K)| \leq K^n (K+n-2)^{(n-1)(K-1)} (2K-3)^{K-2} \leq c_n^K K^{nK+n},$$

where c_n is some constant depending only on n . Note that given a real number $R > 1$ (n is fixed), for any sufficiently large integer K we have

$$c_n^K K^{nK+n} \leq R^{K^{3/2}}.$$

Hence, there exists a constant c depending only on n, R such that for any $K \geq 1$,

$$|M_n(K)| \leq c_n^K K^{nK+n} \leq cR^{K^{3/2}}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

The estimate in the previous lemma might be not tight, but is sufficient for our purpose.

Lemma 2. *Let I be a non-trivial ideal of $\mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and $G(I)$ be its reduced Gröbner basis with respect to the graded lexicographic order. If the dimension of the quotient space $\mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$ over \mathbb{F} equals K , then*

$$|G(I)| \leq (n-1)K + 1,$$

and the equality holds if and only if $K = 1$ or $n = 1$.

Proof. First, note that the dimension of the quotient space $\mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$ over \mathbb{F} equals that of the quotient by the ideal generated by the leading monomials of the polynomials in $G(I)$. So, without loss of generality we can assume that I is a monomial ideal. Then, $G(I)$ is a set of monomials.

Clearly, the equality holds when $n = 1$. For $K = 1$, we have $G(I) = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$, and so the inequality is indeed an equality. For fixed $n \geq 2$, the assertion

$$|G(I)| < (n-1)K + 1$$

is proven by induction on $K \geq 2$.

For $K = 2$, the monomial basis for $\mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$ over \mathbb{F} is $\{1, X_i\}$ and $G(I) = \{X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_i^2, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n\}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. So,

$$n = |G(I)| < 2(n-1) + 1 = 2n - 1.$$

Now, for general $K \geq 3$, take the maximal element (with respect to the graded lexicographical order) of the monomial basis of the quotient space $\mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$ over \mathbb{F} :

$$\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{j}} = X_1^{j_1} \dots X_n^{j_n}.$$

Consider the monomial ideal J generated by $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{j}}$ and the monomials in $G(I)$. Note that J is also a monomial ideal. First, for the reduced Gröbner basis $G(J)$ of J , noticing the choice of $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{j}}$ we have

$$G(J) = \{\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{j}}\} \cup (G(I) \setminus \{X_1\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{j}}, \dots, X_n\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{j}}\}),$$

which implies that

$$|G(J)| \geq |G(I)| - n + 1. \tag{6}$$

Besides, the dimension of the quotient space $\mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/J$ over \mathbb{F} is $K - 1$, because its monomial basis is obtained from that of $\mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$ by removing $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{j}}$.

Then, by induction hypothesis, we have

$$|G(J)| < (n-1)(K-1) + 1,$$

which, together with (6), gives

$$|G(I)| \leq |G(J)| + n - 1 < (n-1)(K-1) + n = (n-1)K + 1.$$

This finishes the proof. \square

4 Lower and upper bounds for the linear complexity

In this section, some bounds for the linear complexity are presented, which are analogues of the results in [8, Theorems 1, 2 and 3].

4.1 Upper bounds

The following result [9, Theorem 2] gives a dispersion measure for the arithmetic mean of equidistributed random variables.

Lemma 3 (Hoeffding's inequality). *Let X_1, \dots, X_d be d independent random variables with the same probability distribution, each ranging over the real interval $[a, b]$, and let μ be the expected value of each of these random variables. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, the probability*

$$\mathcal{P} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d X_i \geq d(\mu + \epsilon) \right) \leq \exp \left(\frac{-2d\epsilon^2}{(b-a)^2} \right).$$

Using this lemma, we derive an upper bound, valid with large probability, on the k -error linear complexity of periodic sequences.

Theorem 4. *Let k be a non-negative integer. Let μ be the expected value of the linear complexity of a T_1 -periodic sequence under the uniform probability distribution. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, choosing each periodic sequence of period (T_1, \dots, T_n) $s : \mathbb{N}_0^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ with equal probability $1/q^{T_1 \cdots T_n}$, we have the probability*

$$\mathcal{P} \left(L_k(s) < (\mu + \epsilon)T_2 \cdots T_n \right) > 1 - \exp \left(-2\epsilon^2 T_2 \cdots T_n / T_1^2 \right),$$

Proof. This result is a direct consequence of Lemma 3, taking as random variables the linear complexities of the one-dimensional sequences obtained from (T_1, \dots, T_n) -periodic sequences by fixing all coordinates but the first one.

More precisely, we denote by $L^{m_2, \dots, m_n}(s)$ the linear complexity of the one-dimensional sequence defined by $\tilde{s}(m) = s(m, m_2, \dots, m_n)$, where m_2, \dots, m_n are fixed. By definition, the following inequality holds:

$$L_k(s) \leq L_0(s) = L(s) \leq \sum_{m_2=0}^{T_2-1} \cdots \sum_{m_n=0}^{T_n-1} L^{m_2, \dots, m_n}(s).$$

Then, it suffices to show that

$$\mathcal{P} \left(\sum_{m_2=0}^{T_2-1} \cdots \sum_{m_n=0}^{T_n-1} L^{m_2, \dots, m_n}(s) \geq (\mu + \epsilon)T_2 \cdots T_n \right) \leq \exp \left(-2\epsilon^2 T_2 \cdots T_n / T_1^2 \right).$$

However, the inequality above follows directly from Lemma 3 by noticing that each $L^{m_2, \dots, m_n}(s)$ takes values in the interval $[0, T_1]$ and has expected value μ . \square

We remark that there is an available formula in [10, Theorem 1] for the expected value of the linear complexity of one-dimensional periodic sequences.

4.2 Lower bounds

This subsection is devoted to prove some lower bounds for the linear complexity of multi-dimensional periodic sequences valid with large probability. Although our results are not as strong as those by Gyarmati et al. [8, Theorems 1 and 3], they still suggest that the expected value of linear complexity shall be large.

Theorem 5. *For any $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$, there is a constant $C(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, n, q)$ such that, if an n -tuple (T_1, \dots, T_n) of positive integers satisfies $T_1 \cdots T_n > C$, then choosing each periodic sequence of period (T_1, \dots, T_n) $s : \mathbb{N}_0^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ with equal probability $1/q^{T_1 \cdots T_n}$, we have the probability*

$$\mathcal{P} \left(L(s) > \sqrt{(1 - \epsilon_1) T_1 \cdots T_n / (n - 1)} \right) > 1 - \epsilon_2. \quad (7)$$

Proof. For any integer $K \geq 0$, let $S_{T_1, \dots, T_n}(K)$ be the set of periodic sequences with period (T_1, \dots, T_n) and with linear complexity K . For our purpose, we need to estimate the size of $S_{T_1, \dots, T_n}(K)$.

For any sequence $s \in S_{T_1, \dots, T_n}(K)$, let $G(s)$ be the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal $I(s)$ with respect to the graded lexicographic order of $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. By definition, we know that s can be generated by K initial terms and using the linear recurrences represented by the polynomials in $G(s)$. So, we have

$$|S_{T_1, \dots, T_n}(K)| \leq q^K \cdot (\text{the total number of possible choices of } G(s)). \quad (8)$$

We first estimate the possibilities of the leading monomials of $G(s)$. Let $J(s)$ be the monomial ideal generated by the leading monomials of $G(s)$. Note that the dimension of the quotient space $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_n]/J(s)$ over \mathbb{F}_q is exactly $L(s)$, that is, K . By Lemma 1, the number of possibilities of the monomial ideal $J(s)$ is at most

$$cq^{K^{3/2}}, \quad (9)$$

which is also an upper bound for the number of possibilities of the leading monomials of $G(s)$.

Now, fixing the leading monomials of $G(s)$, we count the possibilities of $G(s)$. Note that the Delta set $\Delta(s)$ is also fixed, and $|\Delta(s)| = L(s) = K$ as indicated in (1). Moreover, for each polynomial in $G(s)$, its non-leading monomials are of the form $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{j}}$, $\mathbf{j} \in \Delta(s)$. So, noticing all the polynomials in $G(s)$ are monic, we have that the number of possibilities of each polynomial in $G(s)$ is at most $q^{|\Delta(s)|} = q^K$. Then, using Lemma 2, the number of possibilities of $G(s)$, with fixed leading monomials, is at most

$$q^{K|G(s)|} \leq q^{(n-1)K^2 + K}. \quad (10)$$

Hence, combining (9) with (10), the total number of the possibilities of $G(s)$ is at most

$$cq^{K^{3/2}} q^{(n-1)K^2 + K} = cq^{(n-1)K^2 + K^{3/2} + K},$$

which, together with (8), implies that

$$|S_{T_1, \dots, T_n}(K)| \leq cq^{(n-1)K^2 + K^{3/2} + 2K}. \quad (11)$$

Now, we are ready to prove the claimed probability. Write

$$H = \lfloor \sqrt{(1 - \epsilon_1)T_1 \cdots T_n / (n - 1)} \rfloor.$$

If the event considered in (7) does not hold for some sequence s , then there is an integer $K \leq H$ such that $L(s) = K$. Thus, using (11) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(L(s) \leq H) &= \frac{1}{q^{T_1 \cdots T_n}} \sum_{K=0}^H |S_{T_1, \dots, T_n}(K)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q^{T_1 \cdots T_n}} \sum_{K=0}^H cq^{(n-1)K^2 + K^{3/2} + 2K} \leq cq^{(n-1)H^2 + H^{3/2} + 3H - T_1 \cdots T_n}. \end{aligned}$$

So, for large enough $T_1 \cdots T_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(L(s) \leq H) &\leq cq^{((1-\epsilon_1)T_1 \cdots T_n / (n-1))^{3/4} + 3\sqrt{(1-\epsilon_1)T_1 \cdots T_n / (n-1)} - \epsilon_1 T_1 \cdots T_n} \\ &\leq \epsilon_2. \end{aligned}$$

This in fact completes the proof. \square

Similarly, we can get a lower bound for the k -error linear complexity of multidimensional periodic sequences with large probability.

Theorem 6. *For any $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$, there are numbers $\epsilon_3(\epsilon_1, q)$ and $C(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, n, q)$ such that if an n -tuple (T_1, \dots, T_n) of positive integers satisfies $T_1 \cdots T_n > C$ and a non-negative integer k satisfies $k < \epsilon_3 T_1 \cdots T_n$, then choosing each periodic sequence of period (T_1, \dots, T_n) $s : \mathbb{N}_0^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ with equal probability $1/q^{T_1 \cdots T_n}$, we have the probability*

$$\mathcal{P}\left(L_k(s) > \sqrt{(1 - \epsilon_1)T_1 \cdots T_n / (n - 1)}\right) > 1 - \epsilon_2.$$

Proof. Let

$$H = \lfloor \sqrt{(1 - \epsilon_1)T_1 \cdots T_n / (n - 1)} \rfloor.$$

Denote by $W_{T_1, \dots, T_n}(k, H)$ the set of (T_1, \dots, T_n) -periodic sequences with k -error linear complexity at most H . First we need to estimate the size of the set $W_{T_1, \dots, T_n}(k, H)$.

For two periodic sequences s and σ of period (T_1, \dots, T_n) , define

$$d(s, \sigma) = |\{\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n) : s(\mathbf{m}) \neq \sigma(\mathbf{m}), 0 \leq m_i \leq T_i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq n\}|.$$

By definition, for a periodic sequence $s \in W_{T_1, \dots, T_n}(H)$, there is another (T_1, \dots, T_n) -periodic sequence σ having the linear complexity

$$L(\sigma) = L_k(s) \leq H$$

such that

$$d(s, \sigma) \leq k. \tag{12}$$

Conversely, if a (T_1, \dots, T_n) -periodic sequence σ is fixed, then a periodic sequence s satisfying (12) can be obtained from σ by changing $\sigma(m_1, \dots, m_n)$ for at most k of the $T_1 \cdots T_n$ tuples (m_1, \dots, m_n) , $0 \leq m_i \leq T_i - 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. This yields at most $q^k \binom{T_1 \cdots T_n}{k}$ sequences from each σ . So, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |W_{T_1, \dots, T_n}(k, H)| \\ & \leq |\{ \text{sequence } \sigma \text{ of period } (T_1, \dots, T_n) : L(\sigma) \leq H \}| \cdot q^k \binom{T_1 \cdots T_n}{k}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Moreover, if ϵ_3 is small enough in terms of ϵ_1 and q , and $T_1 \cdots T_n$ is large enough in terms of ϵ_1, ϵ_3 and q , then it follows from $k < \epsilon_3 T_1 \cdots T_n$ that

$$q^k \binom{T_1 \cdots T_n}{k} < 2^{\epsilon_1 T_1 \cdots T_n / 2}. \quad (14)$$

Then, combining (13) with (11) and (14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |W_{T_1, \dots, T_n}(k, H)| & \leq \sum_{K=0}^H 2^{\epsilon_1 T_1 \cdots T_n / 2} |S_{T_1, \dots, T_n}(K)| \\ & \leq 2^{\epsilon_1 T_1 \cdots T_n / 2} \sum_{K=0}^H c q^{(n-1)K^2 + K^{3/2} + 2K} \\ & \leq c q^{(n-1)H^2 + H^{3/2} + 3H + \epsilon_1 T_1 \cdots T_n / 2}, \end{aligned}$$

where c is some absolute constant depending on n, q . Therefore, for $T_1 \cdots T_n$ large enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(L_k(s) \leq H) & = \frac{|W_{T_1, \dots, T_n}(k, H)|}{q^{T_1 \cdots T_n}} \\ & \leq c q^{((1-\epsilon_1)T_1 \cdots T_n / (n-1))^{3/4} + 3\sqrt{(1-\epsilon_1)T_1 \cdots T_n} - \epsilon_1 T_1 \cdots T_n / 2} \\ & \leq \epsilon_2, \end{aligned}$$

which in fact completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements

The first and second authors are grateful to the Centre International de Rencontres Mathématiques in Luminy for hosting them in a Research in Pairs program, where this paper was initiated.

D. Gómez-Pérez was partially supported by the project MTM2014-55421-P from the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad. The research of M. Sha was supported by the Australian Research Council Grant DP130100237, and he was also supported by the Macquarie University Research Fellowship.

References

- [1] S. Blake, O. Moreno, and A. Z. Tirkel, “Families of 3d arrays for video watermarking”, in *Sequences and Their Applications-SETA 2014*, Springer, 2014, 134–145.
- [2] D. A. Cox, J. Little, and D. O’Shea, *Ideals, varieties, and algorithms: an introduction to computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra*, Springer Science & Business Media, New York, 2007.
- [3] C. Ding, G. Xiao, and W. Shan, *The stability theory of stream ciphers*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science **561**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, New York, 1991.
- [4] G. Everest, A. van der Poorten, I. Shparlinski, and T. Ward, *Recurrence sequences*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2003.
- [5] J. Goldman, and S. Homer, “Doubly-periodic sequences and a class of two-dimensional cyclic codes”, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **13** (1992), 48–61.
- [6] D. Gómez-Pérez, T. Høholdt, O. Moreno, and I. Rubio, “Linear complexity for multi-dimensional arrays – a numerical invariant”, in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT 2015)*, 2697–2701.
- [7] K. Gyarmati, C. Mauduit, and A. Sárközy, “On the linear complexity of binary lattices”, *Ramanujan J.* **32** (2013), 185–201.
- [8] K. Gyarmati, C. Mauduit, and A. Sárközy, “On the linear complexity of binary lattices II”, *Ramanujan J.* **34** (2014), 237–263.
- [9] W. Hoeffding, “Probability inequalities for sums of bounded random variables”, *JASA* **58** (301) (1963), 13–30.
- [10] W. Meidl, and H. Niederreiter, “On the expected value of the linear complexity and the k-error linear complexity of periodic sequences”, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **48** (2002), 2817–2825.
- [11] W. Meidl, H. Niederreiter and A. Venkateswarlu, “Error linear complexity measures for multisequences”, *J. Complexity* **23** (2007), 169–192.
- [12] O. Moreno and A. Z. Tirkel, “Digital watermarking”, Australian Patent PCT/AU210/000 990, 2010.
- [13] O. Moreno and A. Z. Tirkel, “New optimal low correlation sequences for wireless communications”, in *Sequences and Their Applications-SETA 2012*, Springer, 2012, 212–223.
- [14] S. Sakata, “General theory of doubly periodic arrays over an arbitrary finite field and its applications”, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **24** (1978), 719–730.

- [15] W. M. Schmidt, “Linear recurrence sequences”, in *Diophantine approximation (Cetraro, 2000)*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1819**, Springer, Berlin, 2003, 171–247.
- [16] M. Stamp and C. F. Martin, “An algorithm for the k-error linear complexity of binary sequences with period 2^n ”, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **39** (1993), 1398–1401.
- [17] A. Z. Tirkel and T. E. Hall, “Matrix construction using cyclic shifts of a column”, in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT 2005)*, 2050–2054.