

HEURISTICS FOR p -CLASS TOWERS OF REAL QUADRATIC FIELDS

NIGEL BOSTON, MICHAEL R. BUSH, AND FARSHID HAJIR

ABSTRACT. Let p be an odd prime. For a number field K , we let K_∞ be the maximal unramified pro- p extension of K ; we call the group $\text{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$ the p -class tower group of K . In a previous work, as a non-abelian generalization of the work of Cohen and Lenstra on ideal class groups, we studied how likely it is that a given finite p -group occurs as the p -class tower group of an imaginary quadratic field. Here we do the same for an arbitrary real quadratic field K as base. As before, the action of $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ on the p -class tower group of K plays a crucial role; however, the presence of units of infinite order in the ground field significantly complicates the possibilities for groups that can occur. We also sharpen our results in the imaginary quadratic field case by removing a certain hypothesis, using ideas of Boston and Wood. In an appendix, we show how the probabilities introduced for finite p -groups can be extended in a consistent way to the infinite pro- p groups which can arise in both the real and imaginary quadratic settings.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the 1980s, Cohen and Lenstra gave a theoretical framework for the variation of class groups of quadratic fields. The Cohen-Lenstra idea is twofold: the first part is to identify, in any relevant number-theoretical situation, the correct collection of groups which can arise as the groups of number-theoretical interest; the second part is to define a natural measure or probability distribution on this collection. The heuristic then is that the probability attached to the group in the identified collection is the same as the frequency of occurrence as a group of number-theoretical interest.

In [3], we initiated the study of a natural non-abelian extension of Cohen and Lenstra's work. Fix an odd prime p . For a quadratic field K , we consider the Galois group G_K of the maximal unramified p -extension of K . Note that the maximal abelian quotient G_K^{ab} is isomorphic to the p -class group of K by class field theory. We will call G_K the p -class tower group of K , since that is how it first arose in the 1930s in the work of Artin, Hasse, Furtwangler and others. In [3], we treated the case of imaginary quadratic fields. The content of [3] included a) an identification of the "right" collection of groups (Schur σ -groups), b) an investigation of an associated measure giving the frequency of groups within that collection, and c) a numerical study of p -class tower groups of imaginary quadratic fields to test the conjecture we developed using a) and b). In this work, we treat real quadratic fields in the same manner. As is to be expected, the presence of units of infinite order in the base field has a marked influence on the structure of G_K , and this makes some aspects of the current work slightly more complicated and more interesting than in [3].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11R29, 11R11.

Key words and phrases. Cohen-Lenstra heuristics, class field tower, real quadratic field, ideal class group, Schur σ -group, Schur+1 σ -group.

The research of NB is supported by Simons grant MSN179747. The work of the MRB was partially supported by summer Lenfest Grants from Washington and Lee University.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we define Schur+1 σ -groups and introduce certain measures for these groups and also special finite quotients which we call Schur+1 σ -ancestor groups. We note that defining measures for the latter happens first and is then used in defining measures for the former. In Section 3, we state the main heuristic, to the effect that a finite Schur+1 σ -group occurs as the p -class tower group of a real quadratic field with frequency according to its measure. In Section 4, we introduce IPADs and their associated measures. This provides a way for us to indirectly test our conjectures since computing the full Galois group G_K is usually difficult unless the group is small. We then compare our theoretical predictions with numerical data in Section 5. We close with an Appendix in which we address assigning measures to infinite groups, an issue we skirted around in [3] and also in the main body of this paper. This is primarily of theoretical interest since any kind of direct test of our conjectures in the context of infinite groups seems out of reach currently.

2. SCHUR+1 σ -GROUPS

2.1. Preliminaries. Fix an odd prime p and a positive integer g . Let F be the free pro- p group on g generators x_1, \dots, x_g . For a pro- p group G , recall that $d(G) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ and $r(G) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H^2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ are its minimal number of (topological) generators and relations, respectively.

In [12], Koch and Venkov defined the notion of a Schur σ -group of rank g . We recall its definition.

Definition 2.1. A GI-automorphism (Generator-Inverting automorphism) of G is an element $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)$ of order 2 such that σ acts as inversion on G^{ab} .

Definition 2.2. A finitely presented pro- p group G is called a *Schur σ -group of rank g* if it satisfies: 1) G^{ab} is finite; 2) $(d(G), r(G)) = (g, g)$; and 3) there exists a GI-automorphism σ of G .

Koch and Venkov were motivated to make the above definition through their study of the properties of the Galois group of the maximal unramified p -extension of an imaginary quadratic field. Similar considerations for real quadratic fields lead us to the following definition.

Definition 2.3. A finitely presented pro- p group G is called a *Schur+1 σ -group of rank g* if it satisfies: 1) G^{ab} is finite; 2) $(d(G), r(G)) = (g, g)$ or $(g, g + 1)$; and 3) there exists a GI-automorphism σ of G which acts as inversion on $H^2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$.

Remark 2.4. We note that an automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)$ of order 2 is a GI-automorphism if and only if it acts by inversion on $H^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ (see [2]). Thus an alternative, perhaps more natural, formulation of 3) above is: 3') there exists $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)$ of order 2 which acts as inversion on $H^i(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Lemma 2.5. *The Galois group $G_K = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ of the maximal unramified p -extension L of a real quadratic field K is a Schur+1 σ -group of rank g where g is the p -rank of the class group of K .*

Proof. To ease the notation slightly, let us put $G = G_K$. When G is a finite p -group, this result has been observed by Schoof [17] (see especially Lemma 4.1). By working with

appropriate cohomology groups, as in the work of Kisilevsky and Labute [10] for extensions of CM fields, we now show the statement still holds in the infinite case.

For condition 1), by class field theory, G^{ab} is isomorphic to the p -class group of K , hence it is finite. Furthermore, the generator rank of G is equal to the generator rank of this abelian p -group which gives part of condition 2). The relation rank part of condition 2) comes from the fundamental estimate of Shafarevich (see [11], [13], or [18]), for the partial Euler characteristic of G , namely

$$0 \leq r(G) - d(G) \leq d(U_K/U_K^p),$$

where $U_K = \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ is the unit group of K . We note that $d(U_K/U_K^p) = 1$ by Dirichlet's Unit Theorem. That a lift σ of the non-trivial element of $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ to the p -Hilbert class field of K acts on G_K^{ab} by inversion can be seen from the fact that, if it did not, then it would have to act on some quotient of G^{ab} trivially, leading to an unramified p -extension of \mathbb{Q} , which does not exist. Alternatively, by Artin reciprocity, the action of this lift of σ on G_K^{ab} can be read off from the action of σ on the ideal class group of K , which is via inversion because for any integral ideal \mathfrak{a} of K , the product $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^\sigma$ is principal, being an ideal of \mathbb{Z} .

Let Δ and Γ be the Galois groups of K/\mathbb{Q} and L/\mathbb{Q} respectively. We then have $\Delta \cong \Gamma/G$. The action of Δ on $H^2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ can be understood using the existence of a Δ -equivariant injection

$$H^2(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \hookrightarrow \text{hom}(V/(K^\times)^p, \mathbb{F}_p) \cong V/(K^\times)^p$$

where V consists of the elements $a \in K^\times$ satisfying $\langle a \rangle = \mathfrak{A}^p$ for some fractional ideal \mathfrak{A} in \mathcal{O}_k . This follows from work of Shafarevich. See [10, Section X.7] for a more detailed description.

We also have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow U_K/U_K^p \rightarrow V/(K^\times)^p \rightarrow \text{Cl}_K[p] \rightarrow 1$$

where $\text{Cl}_K[p]$ consists of the ideal classes in Cl_K of order p (see [11, Section 11.2]). It is straightforward to verify that all of the maps above are Δ -equivariant. Since p is odd, the sequence splits and we obtain an $\mathbb{F}_p[\Delta]$ -module injection

$$H^2(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \hookrightarrow U_K/U_K^p \oplus \text{Cl}_K[p].$$

We have already noted that σ acts as inversion on Cl_K and so as inversion on the subgroup $\text{Cl}_K[p]$. We also see that σ acts as inversion on U_K/U_K^p since for all $u \in U_K$, we have $uu^\sigma \in \{\pm 1\} \subseteq U_K^p$ thanks to p being odd. Hence, σ acts as inversion on $H^2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$. \square

Given a finitely presented pro- p group G with GI-automorphism σ , we define

$$X(G, \sigma) = \{s \in G \mid \sigma(s) = s^{-1}\}$$

and

$$Y(G, \sigma) = \{s \in G \mid \sigma(s) = s\}.$$

As noted in [3], different choices of the GI-automorphism are always conjugate, hence the sizes of these sets depends only on G . We let $y(G) = |Y(G, \sigma)|$. For any such G , one can always find a presentation in which the generators lie in $X(F, \sigma)$ and the relations lie in $X = X(\Phi(F), \sigma)$ where $\Phi(F)$ is the Frattini subgroup of F and σ is an automorphism on F that inverts the generating set. i.e. $\sigma(x_i) = x_i^{-1}$ for all i . In general, when we refer to the GI-automorphism of a free group F we shall always mean this particular GI-automorphism.

As in [3], we will be working with certain special quotients of Schur+1 σ -groups by terms in a central series whose definition we now recall. For a pro- p group G , the *lower p -central series of G* is defined by $P_0(G) = G$ and $P_{n+1}(G) = [G, P_n(G)]P_n(G)^p$ for $n \geq 0$. If $P_n(G) = 1$ for $n = c$ but not $n < c$ then we say that G has p -class c . We use the notation G_c to denote the quotient $G/P_c(G)$ which we call the *maximal p -class c quotient of G* . If G is a finitely generated pro- p group then G_c is a finite p -group. The p -class of G_c is at most c but is not necessarily equal to c . Equality holds if and only if G has p -class at least c .

The subgroups in the lower p -central series are characteristic so any GI-automorphism σ on G induces a GI-automorphism on the quotient G_c for all $c \geq 1$. In particular, the GI-automorphism σ on F induces a GI-automorphism on F_c which we will also denote σ . We let

$$X_c = X(\Phi(F_c), \sigma) = \{s \in \Phi(F_c) \mid \sigma(s) = s^{-1}\}.$$

If G and H are pro- p groups with H of p -class c and $G_c \cong H$ then we say that G is a *descendant of H* (or that H is an *ancestor of G*). If G has p -class $c+1$ then we say that G is an *immediate descendant*. If G is a Schur+1 σ -group then we refer to every finite quotient G_c as a *Schur+1 σ -ancestor group*. Note that a finite Schur+1 σ -group G will itself be referred to as a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group since $P_c(G) = 1$ and $G_c = G$ once c is sufficiently large.

In [14], an algorithm is described for enumerating all immediate descendants of a given finite p -group and we make use of this in Section 4. Various quantities related to the algorithm are defined in terms of abstract presentations in [14]. There are no problems however if one chooses to work with pro- p presentations as we do. For further discussion of this point see [3, Remark 2.4].

2.2. Measures on p -groups. Let G be a finite p -group of p -class c with $d(G) = g$ and $r(G) = g$ or $g+1$. One can see that G is a quotient of $F_{c'}$ for all $c' \geq c$. We will say that the tuple of elements $v = (t_1, \dots, t_{g+1}) \in \Phi(F_{c'})^{g+1}$ *presents G* if $F_{c'}/\langle v \rangle \cong G$ where $\langle v \rangle$ denotes the closed normal subgroup of $F_{c'}$ generated by t_1, \dots, t_{g+1} . We let $S_{c'} = S_{c'}(G)$ denote the set of all such tuples in $\Phi(F_{c'})^{g+1}$.

When G is a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group we wish to consider tuples of relations satisfying an additional restriction. To explain this further, we need two lemmas. For the proofs of these lemmas and several other results in this section we will simply refer to [3] since the presence of an extra relation in the tuple has no effect on the arguments.

Lemma 2.6. *For all $d \geq 1$, we have $X_d = X'_d$ where*

$$X'_d = \{t^{-1}\sigma(t) \mid t \in \Phi(F_d)\}.$$

Hence, for all $g \geq 1$, the map $\phi_d : \Phi(F_d)^{g+1} \rightarrow X_d^{g+1}$ defined by

$$(t_1, \dots, t_{g+1}) \mapsto (t_1^{-1}\sigma(t_1), \dots, t_{g+1}^{-1}\sigma(t_{g+1}))$$

is surjective. Indeed, for each $w \in X_d^{g+1}$, the fiber $\phi_d^{-1}(w)$ is a coset of Y_d^{g+1} in $\Phi(F_d)^{g+1}$ where $Y_d = Y(F_d, \sigma)$.

Proof. See [3, Lemma 2.5]. □

Remark 2.7. As explained in [3, Remark 2.6], one consequence of this lemma is that $X = X'$ where

$$X' = \{t^{-1}\sigma(t) \mid t \in \Phi(F)\}.$$

It follows that the map $\phi : \Phi(F)^{g+1} \rightarrow X^{g+1}$ defined by $t \mapsto t^{-1}\sigma(t)$ in each component is surjective.

Lemma 2.8. *If H is a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group of p -class c then it can be presented with a tuple of relations in $X_c^{g+1} \subseteq \Phi(F_c)^{g+1}$. Conversely, the group H presented by any tuple of relations in X_c^{g+1} is a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group of p -class at most c .*

Proof. See [3, Lemma 2.7]. □

Definition 2.9. Let G be a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group of p -class c and generator rank g . For $c' \geq c$, let $T_{c'} = T_{c'}(G)$ denote the set of all tuples in $X_{c'}^{g+1}$ which present G . We then define the p -class c' -measure of G by

$$\text{Meas}_{c'}(G) = \frac{|T_{c'}|}{|X_{c'}^{g+1}|}.$$

Remark 2.10. We have chosen to adopt the same notation as in [3]. This will not cause confusion unless one wants to discuss the values of both types of measure side by side. In this situation, one might use the notation $\text{Meas}_{c'}^{+1}(G)$ to indicate that one is working with $(g+1)$ -tuples of relations rather than g -tuples.

Example 2.11. Let $p = 3$ and $g = c = 2$. In this case, $F_2 = F/P_2(F)$ has order 3^5 and the set X_2 happens to be a central elementary abelian subgroup of order 9. In [3, Example 2.9] we saw that there were exactly three Schur σ -ancestor groups of 3-class 2 and we computed their measures, as defined there, by explicitly counting tuples in X_2 . These groups are also Schur+1 σ -ancestor groups and it is not hard to see that no others exist of 3-class 2. Indeed, by enumerating 3-tuples we can see that the list is complete and also compute their measures as Schur+1 σ -ancestor groups.

One observes that 624 of the $9^3 = 729$ 3-tuples generate X_2 and give rise (after taking the quotient) to a group of order 27 which we labeled G_1 in [3]. Of the tuples that remain, 104 generate one of the four subgroups of order 3 inside X_2 and give rise to a group of order 81 that we labeled G_2 . That leaves 1 tuple with all components trivial that gives rise to the group $G_3 \cong F_2$. It follows that the p -class 2-measures of these 3-groups as Schur+1 σ -ancestor groups are $\text{Meas}_2(G_1) = 624/729$, $\text{Meas}_2(G_2) = 104/729$ and $\text{Meas}_2(G_3) = 1/729$.

As in [3], Lemma 2.8 implies that $\text{Meas}_c(G)$ defines a discrete probability measure on the set of isomorphism classes of maximal p -class c quotients of all Schur+1 σ -groups of generator rank g . This set is finite and consists of the Schur+1 σ -ancestor groups of p -class exactly c , together with all Schur+1 σ -groups of p -class less than c . The next theorem shows how these different probability measures are related.

Theorem 2.12. *Let G be a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group of p -class c .*

(i) *We have*

$$\text{Meas}_c(G) = \text{Meas}_{c+1}(G) + \sum_Q \text{Meas}_{c+1}(Q)$$

where the summation is over all immediate descendants Q of G which are Schur+1 σ -ancestor groups.

(ii) $\text{Meas}_{c'}(G) = \text{Meas}_{c+1}(G)$ for all $c' \geq c+1$.

Proof. See [3, Theorem 2.11]. □

Definition 2.13. Let G be a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group of p -class c . We define the *measure of G* (denoted $\text{Meas}(G)$) to be the constant value of $\text{Meas}_{c'}(G)$ for $c' \geq c + 1$.

Remark 2.14. As for Schur σ -groups, a finite p -group G is a Schur+1 σ -group if and only if $\text{Meas}(G) > 0$. As opposed to the situation for Schur σ -groups, it is possible for a group G to be both a Schur+1 σ -group as well as a proper quotient of a larger Schur+1 σ -group. This means that if G has p -class c then it is possible for both $\text{Meas}(G) = \text{Meas}_{c+1}(G)$ and the summation appearing on the right in Theorem 2.12(i) to be nonzero.

2.3. Measures of abelian p -groups. We now define measures on certain collections of finite abelian p -groups. This will allow us to demonstrate that the heuristics introduced in Section 3 are consistent with the Cohen-Lenstra heuristics for p -class groups of real quadratic fields.

Every abelian pro- p group G comes equipped with a unique GI-automorphism, namely the inversion mapping $x \mapsto x^{-1}$. Consider the abelianizations F^{ab} and F_c^{ab} . We define sets X^{ab} and X_c^{ab} in an analogous way to X and X_c but things are now simpler and it is easy to verify that $X^{\text{ab}} = \Phi(F^{\text{ab}})$ and $X_c^{\text{ab}} = \Phi(F_c^{\text{ab}})$.

Let G be a finite abelian p -group of p -class c with generator rank g and let $c' \geq c$. We will say that the tuple of elements $v = (t_1, \dots, t_{g+1}) \in \Phi(F_{c'}^{\text{ab}})^{g+1}$ *presents* G if $F_{c'}^{\text{ab}}/\langle v \rangle \cong G$ where $\langle v \rangle$ denotes the (normal) subgroup of $F_{c'}^{\text{ab}}$ generated by t_1, \dots, t_{g+1} . Such tuples must exist since G is finite. We let $S_{c'}^{\text{ab}} = S_{c'}^{\text{ab}}(G)$ denote the set of all such tuples in $\Phi(F_{c'}^{\text{ab}})^{g+1}$. In the non-abelian setting, we introduced a second set of tuples $T_{c'} \subseteq S_{c'}$. We can do the same in the abelian setting, but the situation now is simpler and we have $T_{c'}^{\text{ab}} = S_{c'}^{\text{ab}}$ since $X_{c'}^{\text{ab}} = \Phi(F_{c'}^{\text{ab}})$.

Definition 2.15. Let G be an abelian p -group of p -class c and generator rank g . For $c' \geq c$, we define the *abelian+1 c' -measure of G* by

$$\text{Meas}_{c'}^{\text{ab}}(G) = \frac{|T_{c'}^{\text{ab}}|}{|X_{c'}^{\text{ab}}|^{g+1}} \left(= \frac{|S_{c'}^{\text{ab}}|}{|\Phi(F_{c'}^{\text{ab}})|^{g+1}} \right).$$

Remark 2.10 also applies to our choice of notation in the abelian setting.

The remaining results in this section allow us to define the quantity $\text{Meas}^{\text{ab}}(G)$ for a finite abelian p -group G , to relate the abelian measures to the non-abelian measures introduced in the previous section and to give explicit formulas for these measures.

Theorem 2.16. *Let G be an abelian p -group of p -class c .*

(i) *We have*

$$\text{Meas}_c^{\text{ab}}(G) = \text{Meas}_{c+1}^{\text{ab}}(G) + \sum_Q \text{Meas}_{c+1}^{\text{ab}}(Q)$$

where the summation is over all immediate abelian descendants Q of G .

(ii) $\text{Meas}_{c'}^{\text{ab}}(G) = \text{Meas}_{c+1}^{\text{ab}}(G)$ for all $c' \geq c + 1$.

Proof. Proved in a similar fashion to Theorem 2.12. □

Definition 2.17. Let G be an abelian p -group of p -class c . We define the *abelian+1 measure of G* (denoted $\text{Meas}^{\text{ab}}(G)$) to be the constant value of $\text{Meas}_{c'}^{\text{ab}}(G)$ for $c' \geq c + 1$.

Remark 2.18. It follows from part (i) of Theorem 2.16 that if G is an abelian p -group of p -class c then

$$\text{Meas}^{\text{ab}}(G) = \text{Meas}_c^{\text{ab}}(G) - \sum_Q \text{Meas}_{c+1}^{\text{ab}}(Q)$$

where the summation is over all abelian groups Q of p -class $c+1$ with $Q/Q^{p^c} \cong G$; here Q^{p^c} is the subgroup of Q generated by all p^c -th powers.

Theorem 2.19. *Let G be an abelian p -group of p -class c . For all $c' \geq c$ we have*

$$\text{Meas}_{c'}^{\text{ab}}(G) = \sum_Q \text{Meas}_{c'}(Q)$$

where the summation is over all Schur+1 σ -ancestor groups Q with p -class at most c' and $Q^{\text{ab}} \cong G$.

Proof. See [3, Theorem 2.18]. □

The next result is very similar to Theorem 2.20 in [3]. However, observe that an extra factor $|G|$ now appears in the denominators and there has been a slight change to the indexing on one of the products.

Theorem 2.20. *Let G be an abelian p -group of p -class c and generator rank g . We have*

$$\text{Meas}_c^{\text{ab}}(G) = \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(G)||G|} p^{g(g+1)} \prod_{k=1}^g (1 - p^{-k}) \prod_{k=g+2-u}^{g+1} (1 - p^{-k})$$

where u counts the number of cyclic groups of order strictly less than p^c in the direct product decomposition of G .

For $c' > c$, we have

$$\text{Meas}_{c'}^{\text{ab}}(G) = \text{Meas}^{\text{ab}}(G) = \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(G)||G|} p^{g(g+1)} \prod_{k=1}^g (1 - p^{-k}) \prod_{k=2}^{g+1} (1 - p^{-k}).$$

Proof. As in the proof of [3, Theorem 2.20], the number of normal subgroups \overline{R} such that $F_c^{\text{ab}}/\overline{R} \cong G$ is

$$\frac{|\text{Epi}(F, G)|}{|\text{Aut}(G)|} = \frac{|\Phi(G)|^g}{|\text{Aut}(G)|} \prod_{k=1}^g (p^g - p^{g-k}).$$

The change occurs in the next step. The number of $(g+1)$ -tuples that generate each subgroup \overline{R} is

$$|\Phi(\overline{R})|^{g+1} \prod_{k=1}^u (p^{g+1} - p^{u-k}).$$

Combining the statements above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Meas}_c^{\text{ab}}(G) &= \frac{|S_c^{\text{ab}}(G)|}{|\Phi(F_c^{\text{ab}})|^{g+1}} = \frac{1}{|\Phi(F_c^{\text{ab}})|^{g+1}} \frac{|\Phi(G)|^g}{|\text{Aut}(G)|} \prod_{k=1}^g (p^g - p^{g-k}) |\Phi(\bar{R})|^{g+1} \prod_{k=1}^u (p^{g+1} - p^{u-k}) \\
&= \frac{1}{|\Phi(F_c^{\text{ab}})|^{g+1}} \frac{(|\Phi(F_c^{\text{ab}})|/|\bar{R}|)^g}{|\text{Aut}(G)|} \prod_{k=1}^g (p^g - p^{g-k}) \frac{|\bar{R}|^{g+1}}{p^{(g+1)u}} \prod_{k=1}^u (p^{g+1} - p^{u-k}) \\
&= \frac{|\bar{R}|}{|\Phi(F_c^{\text{ab}})|} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(G)|} \prod_{k=1}^g (p^g - p^{g-k}) \frac{1}{p^{(g+1)u}} \prod_{k=1}^u (p^{g+1} - p^{u-k}) \\
&= \frac{p^g}{|G|} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(G)|} \prod_{k=1}^g (p^g - p^{g-k}) \frac{1}{p^{(g+1)u}} \prod_{k=1}^u (p^{g+1} - p^{u-k}) \\
&= \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(G)||G|} p^{g(g+1)} \prod_{k=1}^g (1 - p^{-k}) \prod_{k=g+2-u}^{g+1} (1 - p^{-k}).
\end{aligned}$$

The derivation of the formula for $\text{Meas}_{c'}^{\text{ab}}(G)$ for $c' > c$ involves replacing u with g as discussed at the end of the proof of [3, Theorem 2.20]. \square

Remark 2.21. If we define $\eta_j(p) = \prod_{k=1}^j (1 - p^{-k})$ as in [8], then the formulas in Theorem 2.20 can be written

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Meas}_c^{\text{ab}}(G) &= \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(G)||G|} p^{g(g+1)} \left(\frac{\eta_g(p)\eta_{g+1}(p)}{\eta_{g+1-u}(p)} \right) \\
\text{Meas}^{\text{ab}}(G) &= \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(G)||G|} p^{g(g+1)} \left(\frac{\eta_g(p)\eta_{g+1}(p)}{1 - p^{-1}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

2.4. Formula for $\text{Meas}_c(G)$. In this section we give explicit formulas for $\text{Meas}_c(G)$ and $\text{Meas}(G)$ where G is a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group. In the context of Schur σ -groups, we obtained such formulas [3, Theorem 2.25] under an additional technical hypothesis which we called KIP. Subsequently, it was shown by Boston and Wood in [7] how to obtain such formulas without this assumption. We begin by explaining how this is carried out.

In [3, Theorem 2.25], the group G is assumed to be a Schur σ -ancestor group of p -class c and rank g satisfying KIP. To derive a formula for $\text{Meas}_c(G)$, we began by enumerating the normal subgroups \bar{R} in F_c with $F_c/\bar{R} \cong G$. There are

$$\frac{|\text{Epi}(F, G)|}{|\text{Aut}(G)|} = \frac{|\Phi(G)|^g}{|\text{Aut}(G)|} \prod_{k=1}^g (p^g - p^{g-k}) = \frac{|G|^g}{|\text{Aut}(G)|} \prod_{k=1}^g (1 - p^{-k})$$

such subgroups where $\text{Epi}(F, G)$ denotes the set of surjective homomorphisms from F to G . We then showed that each \bar{R} is generated as a normal subgroup of F_c by the *same* number of tuples in $\Phi(F_c)^g$. This allowed us to compute $|S_c(G)|$ by summing this number over all such subgroups \bar{R} and so lead to a formula for the ratio $|S_c(G)|/|\Phi(F_c)|^g$. KIP then entered the picture through an application of [3, Lemma 2.23] which allowed us to convert this into a formula for the desired ratio

$$\text{Meas}_c(G) = \frac{|T_c(G)|}{|X_c|^g}.$$

The resulting formula for $\text{Meas}_c(G)$ involved the quantity $|\text{Aut}(G)|$. In [3, Corollary 2.30], we gave a formula in terms of $|\text{Aut}_\sigma(G)|$. The second conversion was carried out using [3, Theorem 2.29] whose proof involved another application of the KIP assumption. The formula for $\text{Meas}(G)$ was obtained in a similar fashion.

The key observation in [7, Section 4] is that one can directly compute the quantity $|T_c(G)|$ by enumerating over normal subgroups \overline{R} in F_c which are σ -invariant and then counting the number of tuples in X_c^g that generate each such subgroup. One then immediately obtains a formula for $\text{Meas}_c(G)$ in terms of $|\text{Aut}_\sigma(G)|$. This is the approach taken in the proof below noting that, in the context of Schur+1 σ -ancestor groups, our tuples of relations now have $g + 1$ components.

To avoid any confusion over notation¹, we briefly recall some useful results from [7, Section 4] involving the sizes of the sets $X(G) = X(G, \sigma)$ and $Y(G) = Y(G, \sigma)$ that will be used several times in what follows. In these results, the groups involved are understood to be finite p -groups, each with a specified GI-automorphism σ . Given such a group G , [7, Lemma 4.2] states that $|G| = |X(G)||Y(G)|$. The authors observe that this follows directly from [9, Theorem 3.5]. Given a short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$ of such groups in which the maps are σ -equivariant, [7, Lemma 4.3 and 4.4] show that the induced map $Y(G) \rightarrow Y(H)$ is surjective from which it follows that $|Y(G)| = |Y(K)||Y(H)|$. Since $|G| = |K||H|$, one can then see that we also have $|X(G)| = |X(K)||X(H)|$. The surjectivity of the map is established using the Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem. It also follows from a more elementary argument similar to that used in the proof of part (iv) of [3, Lemma 2.23].

Finally, recall that given a presentation F/R for G , we can form the \mathbb{F}_p -vector space R/R^* where R^* is the closure of $R^p[F, R]$ in F . This is the p -multiplier of G and its dimension is equal to the relation rank $r(G)$. If G has p -class c , then the subspace $R^*P_c(F)/R^*$ is called the *nucleus* of G . We define $h(G)$ to be the difference between the dimensions of the p -multiplier and the nucleus. Equivalently, it is the dimension of the \mathbb{F}_p -vector space $R/R^*P_c(F)$. For more discussion of these quantities, see [3, Section 2.1] and the remarks immediately following [3, Definition 2.24].

Theorem 2.22. *Let G be a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group of p -class c and rank g and let $h = h(G)$. Then we have*

$$\text{Meas}_c(G) = \frac{y(G)}{|\text{Aut}_\sigma(G)||G|} p^{g(g+1)} \left(\frac{\eta_g(p)\eta_{g+1}(p)}{\eta_{g+1-h}(p)} \right).$$

If G is also a Schur+1 σ -group with $r = r(G)$ then

$$\text{Meas}(G) = \frac{y(G)}{|\text{Aut}_\sigma(G)||G|} p^{g(g+1)} \left(\frac{\eta_g(p)\eta_{g+1}(p)}{\eta_{g+1-r}(p)} \right),$$

otherwise $\text{Meas}(G) = 0$.

Proof. Each tuple of elements in $T_c(G) \subseteq X_c^{g+1}$ generates a normal subgroup \overline{R} of F_c which is σ -invariant since $\sigma(X_c) = X_c$. It is straightforward to show that the number of such σ -invariant normal subgroups is $|\text{Epi}_\sigma(F, G)|/|\text{Aut}_\sigma(G)|$ where $\text{Epi}_\sigma(F, G)$ denotes the set of

¹The objects that we denote $X(G)$ and $Y(G)$ in this paper and also [3] are denoted $Y(G)$ and $Z(G)$ respectively in [7]

surjective σ -equivariant homomorphisms from F to G . By [7, Lemma 4.6], we have

$$\frac{|\text{Epi}_\sigma(F, G)|}{|\text{Aut}_\sigma(G)|} = \frac{|X(G)|^g}{|\text{Aut}_\sigma(G)|} \prod_{k=1}^g (1 - p^{-k}).$$

We now show that each \overline{R} is generated as a normal subgroup of F_c by the *same* number of tuples in X_c^{g+1} . Observe that a $(g+1)$ -tuple of elements generates \overline{R} as a normal subgroup of F_c if and only if its image generates the h -dimensional \mathbb{F}_p -vector space $\overline{R}/\overline{R}^*$ where $\overline{R}^* = \overline{R}^p[F_c, \overline{R}] = P_c(F)R^*/P_c(F)$ with R the preimage of \overline{R} in F . We also note that since $G = F_c/\overline{R}$ is a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group, the induced action of σ on $\overline{R}/\overline{R}^*$ is by inversion. Applying the same argument as in the proof of [7, Lemma 4.5], we see that the intersection of $Y(\overline{R}) = Y_c \cap \overline{R}$ with each fiber of the reduction map $\overline{R} \rightarrow \overline{R}/\overline{R}^*$ has constant size $|\overline{R}^*|/|Y(\overline{R})|$. Since $\overline{R}/\overline{R}^*$ is h -dimensional, it follows that the number of tuples in X_c^{g+1} which generate \overline{R} is

$$\left(\frac{|\overline{R}^*|}{|Y(\overline{R})|} \right)^{g+1} \prod_{k=1}^h (p^{g+1} - p^{h-k}) = \frac{|\overline{R}^*|^{g+1}}{|Y(\overline{R})|^{g+1}} p^{h(g+1)} \prod_{k=1}^h (1 - p^{h-g-1-k}).$$

Combining the above, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Meas}_c(G) &= \frac{|T_c(G)|}{|X_c|^{g+1}} = \frac{1}{|X_c|^{g+1}} \frac{|X(G)|^g}{|\text{Aut}_\sigma(G)|} \prod_{k=1}^g (1 - p^{-k}) \frac{|\overline{R}^*|^{g+1}}{|Y(\overline{R})|^{g+1}} p^{h(g+1)} \prod_{k=1}^h (1 - p^{h-g-1-k}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}_\sigma(G)|} \frac{|X(G)|^g |X(\overline{R})|^{g+1}}{|X_c|^{g+1}} \prod_{k=1}^g (1 - p^{-k}) \prod_{k=1}^h (1 - p^{h-g-1-k}) \end{aligned}$$

where the simplification in the second line follows from the fact that $|\overline{R}^*| = |\overline{R}|/p^h$ and $|\overline{R}| = |X(\overline{R})||Y(\overline{R})|$.

Now consider the sequences

$$1 \rightarrow \Phi(F_c) \rightarrow F_c \rightarrow F_c/\Phi(F_c) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \rightarrow \overline{R} \rightarrow F_c \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1.$$

From the first, we deduce that

$$|X_c| = |X(\Phi(F_c))| = |X(F_c)|/|X(F_c/\Phi(F_c))| = |X(F_c)|/p^g$$

since σ acts by inversion on all of $F_c/\Phi(F_c)$. From the second, we have $|X(F_c)| = |X(G)||X(\overline{R})|$ from which it follows that $|X_c| = |X(G)||X(\overline{R})|/p^g$. Substitution then yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Meas}_c(G) &= \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}_\sigma(G)||X(G)|} p^{g(g+1)} \prod_{k=1}^g (1 - p^{-k}) \prod_{k=1}^h (1 - p^{h-g-1-k}) \\ &= \frac{y(G)}{|\text{Aut}_\sigma(G)||G|} p^{g(g+1)} \prod_{k=1}^g (1 - p^{-k}) \prod_{k'=g+2-h}^{g+1} (1 - p^{-k'}) \\ &= \frac{y(G)}{|\text{Aut}_\sigma(G)||G|} p^{g(g+1)} \left(\frac{\eta_g(p)\eta_{g+1}(p)}{\eta_{g+1-h}(p)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $y(G) = |Y(G)|$ and $\eta_j(p) = \prod_{k=1}^j (1 - p^{-k})$.

Now suppose G is also a Schur+1 σ -group. By definition, $\text{Meas}(G) = \text{Meas}_{c+1}(G)$. The latter can be evaluated by following the same steps as above, but noting that if $G = F/R$

then $P_c(F) \subseteq R$ since G has p -class c and this implies $P_{c+1}(F) \subseteq R^*$. It follows that $\overline{R}/\overline{R}^* \cong R/R^*$, where $\overline{R} = R/P_{c+1}(F) \subseteq F_{c+1}$, and so has dimension $r = r(G)$. Thus one simply replaces h with r in the final formula above.

If G is a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group but not a Schur+1 σ -group, then either σ does not act by inversion on $R/R^* \cong \overline{R}/\overline{R}^*$ or the dimension r of this space is larger than $g + 1$. In either case, none of the tuples in X_{c+1}^{g+1} can generate any of the invariant normal subgroups \overline{R} since this would lead to a contradiction. Thus $\text{Meas}(G) = \text{Meas}_{c+1}(G) = 0$. \square

2.5. Some numerical examples. In this section, we illustrate the theory with some numerical examples in the simplest case where $p = 3$ and $g = 2$. Let G be a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group of 3-class c and rank 2. By Theorem 2.22,

$$\text{Meas}_c(G) = \frac{432y(G)}{|\text{Aut}_\sigma(G)||G|} \prod_{k=4-h}^3 (1 - 3^{-k})$$

where $h = h(G)$. If G is also a Schur+1 σ -group, then we have

$$\text{Meas}(G) = \frac{432y(G)}{|\text{Aut}_\sigma(G)||G|} \prod_{k=4-r}^3 (1 - 3^{-k})$$

where $r = r(G)$ is either 2 or 3.

- For $r = 3$, the smallest examples of Schur+1 σ -groups are, in the notation of MAGMA, $\text{SmallGroup}(81, i)$ for $i = 7, 8, 10$ with measures $1664/6561, 1664/6561, 3328/19683$ respectively, and $\text{SmallGroup}(243, i)$ for $i = 16, 18, 19, 20$ with measures $3328/177147, 3328/177147, 1664/59049, 1664/59049$ respectively.
- For $r = 2$, the smallest examples of Schur+1 σ -groups are the groups $\text{SmallGroup}(243, i)$ for $i = 5, 7$, with respective measures $1664/59049$ and $832/59049$. As a point of comparison, the latter groups are also Schur σ -groups and would be assigned measures $128/729$ and $64/729$ respectively, in the context of [3]. Thus our heuristics in the next section will predict different frequencies of occurrence for these groups as G_K when K is a real quadratic field. However, the 2 : 1 ratio between the probabilities is preserved and so we expect $\text{SmallGroup}(243, 5)$ to occur twice as frequently as $\text{SmallGroup}(243, 7)$ in both the real and imaginary quadratic settings. This is reflected in the available numerical data discussed in Section 5 below and also in [3, Section 5].

In the examples above, all of the groups G involved have 3-class 3 and nuclear rank 0. This implies $h(G) = r(G)$ and so $\text{Meas}_3(G) = \text{Meas}(G)$ in each case. In general, as noted in Remark 2.14, it is possible for $\text{Meas}_c(G)$ and $\text{Meas}(G)$ to both be nonzero and not equal. This occurs when G is both a Schur+1 σ -group and the ancestor of a strictly larger Schur+1 σ -group.

As an example of this new phenomenon, consider the group $G = \text{SmallGroup}(729, 8)$. It is a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group with 3-class 3, $r(G) = 3$ and $h(G) = 2$. By Theorem 2.22, $\text{Meas}_3(G) = 1664/531441$ and $\text{Meas}(G) = \text{Meas}_4(G) = 3328/1594323$. By Theorem 2.12(i), the difference must equal the sum of $\text{Meas}_4(Q)$ over all the Schur σ -ancestor groups which are children of G . A computation shows that there are 3 such children Q , all of which are Schur+1 σ -ancestor groups and each with $\text{Meas}_4(Q) = 1664/4782969$. We then have

$$3 \cdot (1664/4782969) = 1664/1594323 = \text{Meas}_3(G) - \text{Meas}(G)$$

as expected. Interestingly, since none of the measures of the children could be omitted from the sum without contradicting the required equality in Theorem 2.12(i), we see that we can actually use the theorem to deduce that all 3 children must be Schur+1 σ -ancestor groups without an explicit check. Of course, one must still find a GI-automorphism for each group as a first step in order to be able to evaluate the formula and this can be costly in itself as the groups get larger.

When testing whether a group G is a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group, it is helpful to observe that necessary conditions include possessing a GI-automorphism and having $h(G) \leq g + 1$ (for the latter condition see [5] and [6]). These conditions do not suffice though and there exist groups which satisfy both, but are not Schur+1 σ -ancestor groups analogous to the pseudo-Schur groups of [3]. We call such groups *pseudo-Schur+1 groups*.


Returning to Example 2.11, we see that the Schur+1 σ -ancestor groups G_1 , G_2 and G_3 correspond to SmallGroup(27, 3), SmallGroup(81, 3) and SmallGroup(243, 2) respectively in MAGMA's database. All three have 3-class 2 and one computes that $r(G_1) = r(G_2) = 4 > 3$ and $r(G_3) = 5 > 3$ which means these groups are not Schur+1 σ -groups and thus we have $\text{Meas}(G_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. After computing the nuclear ranks and subtracting, we obtain $h(G_1) = 2$, $h(G_2) = 1$ and $h(G_3) = 0$. Using Theorem 2.22, we see that $\text{Meas}_2(G_1) = 208/243$, $\text{Meas}_2(G_2) = 104/729$ and $\text{Meas}_2(G_3) = 1/729$ and this agrees with the earlier values obtained by explicitly counting tuples of relations.

There are four other groups of 3-class 2 that do not arise as Schur+1 σ -ancestor groups. These include the abelian groups $\mathbb{Z}/3 \times \mathbb{Z}/9$ and $\mathbb{Z}/9 \times \mathbb{Z}/9$ which are examples of the pseudo-Schur+1 phenomenon discussed above. If one were enumerating tuples of relations in X_2^3 and constructing the corresponding quotients of F_2 , then after encountering the groups G_1 , G_2 and G_3 and computing the corresponding values of Meas_2 , one would be able to terminate the enumeration and deduce that the list of Schur+1 σ -ancestor groups of 3-class 2 is complete since

$$208/243 + 104/729 + 1/729 = 1 = \text{Meas}_1(\mathbb{Z}/3 \times \mathbb{Z}/3).$$

Note that $\mathbb{Z}/3 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$ is the unique 2-generated 3-group of 3-class 1. All 2-generated 3-groups of larger 3-class descend from this group.

These computations can be continued. For instance, $G_1 = \text{SmallGroup}(27, 3)$ has 11 children, all of which have a GI-automorphism and all of which are Schur+1 σ -ancestor groups. Of these, 5 are terminal Schur+1 σ -groups and are the three examples of order 81 together with the two Schur σ -groups of order 243 arising at the start of this subsection. Computing $\text{Meas}_3(Q)$ for each of the 11 children and then summing we obtain $\text{Meas}_2(G_1) = 208/243$, as expected. Continuing this process yields a probability distribution on part of O'Brien's rooted tree. The figure below shows more of the tree below SmallGroup(27, 3). Each node represents a descendant group G labeled with $\text{Meas}_c(G)$ where c is the p -class G . The values of $\text{Meas}(G)$ are not listed explicitly, but can be obtained by applying Theorem 2.12(i). Simply take the value on any node and subtract off the sum (if any) of the measures of its children.



3. NON-ABELIAN CONJECTURES

In this section, we introduce our main heuristic assumption, that the frequency of occurrence of certain groups as G_K (or $G_K/P_c(G_K)$) as K varies among real quadratic fields, is given by the group theoretical measures introduced in Section 2.

To make this more precise, we introduce some notation. For $x > 0$, let \mathcal{F}_x denote the set of real quadratic fields with discriminant not exceeding x . If $K \in \mathcal{F}_x$ then we let A_K denote the p -class group of K and G_K denote the Galois group of the maximal unramified p -extension of K . For each natural number g , let $\mathcal{F}_{x,g}$ be the subset of \mathcal{F}_x consisting of those fields K having $d(G_K) = d(A_K) = g$. For pro- p groups G and H , define $\text{ch}_G(H)$ to be 1 if $H \cong G$ and 0 otherwise.

Definition 3.1. Let G be a finitely generated pro- p group with generator rank g . We define

$$\text{Freq}(G) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{F}_{x,g}} \text{ch}_G(G_K)}{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{F}_{x,g}} 1},$$

assuming the limit exists. If G is also finite then, for $c \geq 1$, we define

$$\text{Freq}_c(G) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{F}_{x,g}} \text{ch}_G(G_K/P_c(G_K))}{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{F}_{x,g}} 1},$$

assuming the limit exists.

We conjecture that the frequencies defined above exist and, more specifically, that

Conjecture 3.2. *For every finite p -group G , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Freq}(G) &= \text{Meas}(G) \\ \text{Freq}_c(G) &= \text{Meas}_c(G). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\text{Freq}(G) \neq 0$ if and only if G is a Schur+1 σ -group and $\text{Freq}_c(G) \neq 0$ if and only if G is a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group with p -class c or G is a Schur+1 σ -group with p -class at most c .

Remark 3.3. We point out that as a consequence of Conjecture 3.2, we expect every finite Schur+1 σ -group to occur as G_K for a positive proportion of real quadratic fields K . We also note that our conjectures in the non-abelian setting are compatible with those of Cohen and Lenstra. In particular, if A is an abelian p -group, then one can define the frequency $\text{Freq}^{\text{ab}}(A)$ in an analogous way, as the asymptotic proportion of fields for which the p -class group is isomorphic to A . If A has p -class c and we fix $c' > c$, then using the definitions of the measures, Theorem 2.19 and our conjecture above, we have

$$\text{Freq}^{\text{ab}}(A) = \sum_G \text{Freq}_{c'}(G) = \sum_G \text{Meas}_{c'}(G) = \text{Meas}_{c'}^{\text{ab}}(A) = \text{Meas}^{\text{ab}}(A).$$

where the middle summations are over all Schur+1 σ -ancestor groups G with p -class at most c' and $G^{\text{ab}} \cong A$. We are implicitly using the fact here that a field K will have $A_K \cong A$ if and only if $G_K/P_{c'}(G_K)$ has abelianization isomorphic to A once $c' > c$. By Theorem 2.20, we then have

$$\text{Freq}^{\text{ab}}(A) = \text{Meas}^{\text{ab}}(A) = \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(G)||G|} p^{g(g+1)} \prod_{k=1}^g (1 - p^{-k}) \prod_{k=2}^{g+1} (1 - p^{-k}).$$

This is consistent with the predictions made by Cohen and Lenstra in [8] although some manipulations are needed to extract this formula from their work. See the discussion in [3, Section 1] for more details.

4. INDEX p ABELIANIZATION DATA

To test our prediction of the last section, we would like to be able to compute the Galois group G_K of the p -class tower of a given real quadratic field K for many different choices of K . This is hard to do, so we instead focus on collecting more limited information about G_K , namely its abelianization and the abelianizations of its maximal subgroups. By class field theory, this can be done by computing the p -class groups of K and its unramified extensions of degree p . As in [3], we call this information the “Index p Abelianization Data” (or IPAD for short).

For example, the groups $\text{SmallGroup}(81, i)$ for $i = 8, 10$ each have IPAD $[[3, 3]; [3, 3]^3[3, 9]]$, which means that their abelianization is $[3, 3]$ and that three of their maximal subgroups have abelianization $[3, 3]$ and the other $[3, 9]$. It turns out that these are the only Schur+1 σ -groups with this IPAD. The measures of these groups add up to $8320/19683 = 0.4227$. We therefore expect that just over 42% of real quadratic fields K with 3-class group of rank 2 will have one of these two groups as their G_K . We call $8320/19683$ the measure of the IPAD.

In general, there may be infinitely many Schur+1 σ -groups with a given IPAD \mathcal{I} , however, if we sum $\text{Meas}_c(G)$ over the Schur+1 σ -ancestor groups G of p -class at most c with IPAD equal to \mathcal{I} , then this quantity stabilizes for sufficiently large c as explained in [3, Section 4] and so we take this to be the definition of $\text{Meas}(\mathcal{I})$. In practice, one can often avoid having to compute $\text{Meas}_c(G)$ for large values of c by recalling from [3] that there is a partial ordering on IPADs such that if H is a child of G , then the IPAD of G is less than or equal to that of H and such that if their IPADs agree, then all further descendants have the same IPAD (we call such a branch stable). Using Theorem 2.12, we see that computing $\text{Meas}_c(G)$ for the top node (of p -class c) in such a stable branch gives the part of the measure for this IPAD which arises from all of the Schur+1 σ -groups within this branch of the tree.

We now illustrate the ideas above by determining the ten IPADs with largest measure.

Theorem 4.1. (1) IPAD $[[3, 3]; [3, 3]^3[3, 9]]$ has measure $8320/19683 = 0.4227$.

(2) IPAD $[[3, 3]; [3, 3]^3[3, 3, 3]]$ has measure $1664/6561 = 0.2536$.

(3) IPAD $[[3, 3]; [3, 3]^3[9, 9]]$ has measure $3328/59049 = 0.0564$.

(4) IPAD $[[3, 9]; [3, 3, 3][3, 9]^2[3, 27]]$ has measure $3328/59049 = 0.0564$.

(5) IPAD $[[3, 3]; [3, 3, 3][3, 9]^3]$ has measure $1664/59049 = 0.0282$.

(6) IPAD $[[3, 3]; [3, 3]^3[9, 27]]$ has measure $13312/531441 = 0.0250$.

(7) IPAD $[[3, 9]; [3, 3, 9][3, 9]^3]$ has measure $11648/531441 = 0.0219$.

(8) IPAD $[[3, 9]; [3, 3, 3][3, 3, 9][3, 9]^2]$ has measure $3328/177147 = 0.0188$.

(9) IPAD $[[3, 3]; [3, 3, 3]^2[3, 9]^2]$ has measure $832/59049 = 0.0141$.

(10) IPAD $[[3, 3]; [3, 3, 3]^3[3, 9]]$ has measure $832/59049 = 0.0141$.

Proof. The groups whose IPAD begins $[3, 3]$ are the descendants of $\text{SmallGroup}(27, 3)$, and so the reader is referred to the earlier figure displaying these. It has 11 children, all of which are Schur+1 σ -ancestor groups, and as noted above, 5 are terminal. The 2nd and 4th of the 11 have IPAD(1), as does the nonterminal 3rd child. Its only child, which is a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group, has IPAD $[[3, 3]; [3, 3]^3[9, 9]]$. No other groups have small enough IPAD to produce IPAD(1) and so this establishes (1) above.

The child with IPAD $[[3, 3]; [3, 3]^3[9, 9]]$ has 7 children of its own, 6 terminal, of which 3 contribute to IPAD(3) and 3 to IPAD(6). The one nonterminal child has a single Schur+1 σ -ancestor group as a child. Its IPAD is $[3, 3]; [3, 3]^3[27, 27]$. In this way, we exhaust all possibilities and so establish (3) and (6) above. This branch appears to be following a simple pattern so that we conjecture $[[3, 3]; [3, 3]^3[3^k, 3^k]]$ will have measure $3328/3^{3k+4}$ and $[[3, 3]; [3, 3]^3[3^k, 3^{k+1}]]$ measure $13312/3^{3k+6}$ (for $k \geq 2$).

As for IPAD(2), SmallGroup(81, 7) is terminal and is the only one of the 11 children whose IPAD only involves 3s and so (2) is established. As for IPAD(5), SmallGroup(243, 5) accounts for this. The 8th child might also have contributed, since it has the same IPAD, but it is not a Schur+1 σ -group itself and only one of its children is a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group and has larger IPAD, so that takes care of (5). This in turn has 14 children, of which 8 are terminal. Of these 2 contribute measure $1664/177147$ to IPAD $[[3, 3]; [3, 3, 3][3, 9]^2[9, 9]]$ and the remaining 6, plus 4 of the nonterminal children whose subsequent branches are stable, measure $6656/1594323$ to IPAD $[[3, 3]; [3, 3, 3][3, 9]^2[9, 27]]$. In both cases, this is too small to make the top ten list above, as is the remaining measure once this is accounted for.

SmallGroup(243, 7) accounts for IPAD(9). The 5th child might also have contributed since it has the same IPAD, but it is not a Schur+1 σ -group itself and only one of its children is a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group and has larger IPAD. This in turn has 1116 children which are Schur+1 σ -ancestor groups. Their IPADs do not make the top ten list above. As for IPAD(10), the 6th child and its only child which is a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group have this, showing that this is a stable branch.

The 10th and 11th children of SmallGroup(27, 3) both have IPAD $[3, 3]; [3, 9]^4$. The 11th (but not the 10th) leads to a stable branch, but this only yields measure $208/59049$ for that IPAD which is too small to make our list. The 10th produces some terminal groups with IPADs $[[3, 3]; [3, 9]^3[9, 9]]$, $[[3, 3]; [3, 9]^3[9, 27]]$, and so on, but their measures do not make our list.

As for the IPADs starting $[3, 9]$, these must come from descendants of SmallGroup(81, 3), which has 31 children, all Schur+1 σ -ancestor groups. The 8th and 9th of these are terminal (and are SmallGroup(243, i) for $i = 19, 20$) and account for IPAD(4). No other child has small enough IPAD to contribute. The 5th child (SmallGroup(243, 16)) is terminal and contributes $3328/177147$ to IPAD(7). The 3rd and 4th children also have the same IPAD. The branch of the 3rd child is stable (and terminates soon after) so it contributes its measure, $1664/531441$, whereas the 4th group is not a Schur+1 σ -group and its only child which is a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group has larger IPAD and so contributes nothing.

The 7th child (SmallGroup(243, 18)) is terminal and accounts for IPAD(8). The 6th child might also have contributed, but it is not a Schur+1 σ -group itself and only one of its children is a Schur+1 σ -ancestor group and has larger IPAD. There are two other terminal children of SmallGroup(81, 3), namely SmallGroup(729, i) for $i = 14, 15$. From these, $[[3, 9]; [3, 3, 9]^2[3, 27]^2]$ acquires measure $3328/531441$, not enough to make the list. Another child (SmallGroup(729, 13)) which is not a Schur+1 σ -group has the same IPAD, but its children have larger IPADs.

None of the other children of SmallGroup(81, 3) or of the third group of 3-class 2 produce IPADs with measure large enough to make the list. \square

5. NUMERICAL DATA

As evidence for our conjectures we have collected numerical data in the case of the smallest odd prime $p = 3$ and generator rank $g = 2$. In particular, we have computed the four unramified cyclic extensions of degree 3 over K and their 3-class groups (assuming GRH) for all real quadratic fields K with 3-class group of rank 2 and discriminant d_K satisfying $d_K < 10^9$. By class field theory, this yields the IPAD for the Galois group G_K for each of these fields. The calculations were carried out indirectly by using existing methods to enumerate non-cyclic cubic extension of \mathbb{Q} . See [3, Section 5] for more details.

The computations were implemented using both the symbolic algebra package PARI/GP [15], version 2.5.4 and MAGMA [1], version 2.19-5 running on 2×2.66 GHz 6-Core Intel Xeon processors under OS X 10.8.5. The computations were run in parallel across multiple cores by dividing up the discriminants into subintervals and then searching through a space of potential defining polynomials. Roughly 3000 core hours were used in total.

We now give tables summarizing the data collected. In each table, we have broken down the interval of discriminants d_K with $1 < d_K < 10^9$ into five nested subintervals I_j where $I_j = \{d_K \mid 1 \leq d_K \leq j \cdot 10^7\}$ and we have selected values of j so that the length of each successive subinterval is scaled by a factor of $\sqrt{10} \approx 3.2$.

The first table is a census of the most common IPADs. The second lists their relative proportions obtained by dividing through by the total number of fields examined in each column. In addition, the last column of the second table lists the values predicted by our heuristics as computed in Theorem 4.1. As in [3], there are two IPADs which each determine the isomorphism type of a single group. These appear in lines 5 and 9 of Table 2 and correspond to the groups `SmallGroup(243,5)` and `SmallGroup(243,7)` respectively. Thus, on these two lines, the predicted and computed frequencies for an individual group can be compared, providing a direct test of our non-abelian heuristics.

TABLE 1. Census of the most common IPADs.

	I_1	$I_{3.2}$	I_{10}	I_{32}	I_{100}
$[3, 3]; [3, 3]^3 [3, 9]$	1382	5035	17618	61826	208236
$[3, 3]; [3, 3]^3 [3, 3, 3]$	698	2813	10244	36285	122955
$[3, 3]; [3, 3]^3 [9, 9]$	150	623	2180	7869	26678
$[3, 9]; [3, 3, 3] [3, 9]^2 [3, 27]$	135	541	2141	7831	26748
$[3, 3]; [3, 3, 3] [3, 9]^3$	93	323	1122	3993	13712
$[3, 3]; [3, 3]^3 [9, 27]$	72	242	955	3444	11780
$[3, 9]; [3, 3, 9] [3, 9]^3$	45	211	805	2970	10373
$[3, 9]; [3, 3, 3] [3, 3, 9] [3, 9]^2$	32	164	718	2535	8733
$[3, 3]; [3, 3, 3]^2 [3, 9]^2$	47	156	546	1987	6691
$[3, 3]; [3, 3, 3]^3 [3, 9]$	27	123	493	1901	6583
Other IPADs (175 types)	189	778	2969	11142	39267
Total	2870	11009	39791	141783	481756

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We acknowledge useful correspondence and conversations with Melanie Matchett Wood.

TABLE 2. Relative proportions of the most common IPADs.

	I_1	$I_{3.2}$	I_{10}	I_{32}	I_{100}	Predicted
$[3, 3]; [3, 3]^3 [3, 9]$	0.4815	0.4574	0.4428	0.4361	0.4322	0.4227
$[3, 3]; [3, 3]^3 [3, 3, 3]$	0.2432	0.2555	0.2574	0.2559	0.2552	0.2536
$[3, 3]; [3, 3]^3 [9, 9]$	0.0523	0.0566	0.0548	0.0555	0.0554	0.0564
$[3, 9]; [3, 3, 3] [3, 9]^2 [3, 27]$	0.0470	0.0491	0.0538	0.0552	0.0555	0.0564
$[3, 3]; [3, 3, 3] [3, 9]^3$	0.0324	0.0293	0.0282	0.0282	0.0285	0.0282
$[3, 3]; [3, 3]^3 [9, 27]$	0.0251	0.0220	0.0240	0.0243	0.0245	0.0250
$[3, 9]; [3, 3, 9] [3, 9]^3$	0.0157	0.0192	0.0202	0.0209	0.0215	0.0219
$[3, 9]; [3, 3, 3] [3, 3, 9] [3, 9]^2$	0.0111	0.0149	0.0180	0.0179	0.0181	0.0188
$[3, 3]; [3, 3, 3]^2 [3, 9]^2$	0.0164	0.0142	0.0137	0.0140	0.0139	0.0141
$[3, 3]; [3, 3, 3]^3 [3, 9]$	0.0094	0.0112	0.0124	0.0134	0.0137	0.0141
Other IPADs (175 types)	0.0659	0.0707	0.0746	0.0786	0.0815	0.0888

Appendix: Measures for infinite groups

In the current paper and also in [3], we initially avoided the issue of assigning measures to groups which are infinite. Given the limitations one immediately encounters when trying to test the conjectures as stated, this seems like a small omission. On the other hand, it would be nice to have a consistent theoretical framework for assigning probabilities to all groups that may arise, even if testing the conjectures for individual infinite groups seems out of reach currently. We will now show how this can be carried out for Schur+1 σ -groups. The same ideas can be easily modified to extend the measure introduced for Schur σ -groups in [3].

Fix an odd prime p and positive integer g . Let Ω denote the set of all Schur+1 σ -groups with generator rank g (up to isomorphism). For $c \geq 1$, let $\Omega_c = \{G_c \mid G \in \Omega\}$. Note that although the set Ω may be infinite and contain infinite pro- p groups as elements, the set Ω_c is always finite and contains only finite p -groups with p -class at most c . In Section 2, we have introduced a function $\text{Meas}(G)$ which is defined only for the *finite* groups G in Ω . We will now show that this function can be extended to cover all elements of Ω by using some standard results in measure theory to define a measure on an appropriate σ -algebra. (Here we encounter an unfortunate notational conflict since this use of σ has nothing to do with the σ -automorphisms of the groups involved.)

We start by considering the functions $\text{Meas}_c : \Omega_c \rightarrow [0, 1]$ for $c \geq 1$ that were introduced in Section 2. Each of these functions can be extended from individual elements to subsets by summation and thus each gives rise to a measure defined on the (finite) power set algebra $\mathcal{P}(\Omega_c)$. We have a natural map $X_c^{g+1} \rightarrow \Omega_c$ defined by $v \mapsto F_c/\langle v \rangle$. This map is surjective (by Lemma 2.5) and Meas_c is simply the probability measure which results from pushing forward the uniform counting measure for X_c^{g+1} along this map.

We now focus our attention on these uniform counting measures. For each c , we have a natural map $\psi_c : X^{g+1} \rightarrow X_c^{g+1}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_c \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X^{g+1})$ denote the algebra which results by taking the inverse image of the algebra $\mathcal{P}(X_c^{g+1})$ under ψ_c . Let $\mu_c : \mathcal{A}_c \rightarrow [0, 1]$ then denote the probability measure that results from pulling back the uniform counting measure along ψ_c . i.e. for $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$, we have

$$\mu_c(A) = \frac{|\psi_c(A)|}{|X_c^{g+1}|}.$$

Observe that the family of algebras $\{(\mathcal{A}_c, \mu_c)\}_{c=1}^\infty$ form a nested sequence inside $\mathcal{P}(X^{g+1})$. The associated probability measures μ_c are compatible in the sense that if $A \in \mathcal{A}_c \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{c+1}$ then $\mu_{c+1}(A) = \mu_c(A)$. This follows from the fact that the fibers of the natural projection $X_{c+1}^{g+1} \rightarrow X_c^{g+1}$ are uniform in size. This is explained in the proof of [3, Theorem 2.11]. It is due to the fact that this projection fits into a commuting square in which the other maps also have constant fibers. This observation will recur in our discussion of Figures 1 and 2 below.

Define $\mu : \cup_{c=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_c \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\mu(A) = \mu_c(A)$ if $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$. The map μ is well defined by our previous observation. Now let \mathcal{A} be the smallest σ -algebra containing $\cup_{c=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_c$. By the Carathéodory Extension Theorem, the map μ can be extended to \mathcal{A} provided that the following conditions hold:

- (i) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$.
- (ii) If $A \in \cup_{c=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_c$ and we have $A = \cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i$ where $\{A_i\}$ is a collection of pairwise disjoint elements also in $\cup_{c=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_c$, then

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i).$$

The fact that Condition (i) holds is clear. Condition (ii) can be reformulated as:

- (ii)' If $\{B_i\}$ is a sequence of elements in $\cup_{c=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_c$ satisfying $B_i \supseteq B_{i+1}$ for $i \geq 1$ and $\cap_{i=1}^\infty B_i = \emptyset$ then

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_i) = 0.$$

This reformulation can now be verified using topological considerations. The set X is closed inside the free pro- p group F and hence both it and the product space X^{g+1} are compact. The maps from X^{g+1} to the finite discrete spaces X_c^{g+1} are continuous so it follows that all the elements of the algebras \mathcal{A}_c and hence of $\cup_{c=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_c$ are compact inside X^{g+1} . If $\{B_i\}$ is a sequence of elements in $\cup_{c=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_c$ satisfying $B_i \supseteq B_{i+1}$ for $i \geq 1$ and $\cap_{i=1}^\infty B_i = \emptyset$, then using compactness we see that some finite intersection must be empty. i.e. there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\emptyset = \bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i = B_n.$$

But then, for all $i \geq n$, we have $B_i = B_n = \emptyset$ and $\mu(B_i) = 0$ which implies $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_i) = 0$ as desired.

Having established that μ can be extended to \mathcal{A} , we now wish to use μ to define a measure on an associated space of groups. Let $\hat{\Omega} = \{F/\langle v \rangle \mid v \in X^{g+1}\}$. Note that $\hat{\Omega}$ is strictly larger than Ω since a quotient $F/\langle v \rangle$ may have relation rank strictly less than both g and $g+1$. As an extreme example, if every component in v is the identity element then $F/\langle v \rangle \cong F$ showing that $\hat{\Omega}$ contains the free group F . We will return to this issue shortly.

Let $\eta : X^{g+1} \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}$ be the map $v \mapsto F/\langle v \rangle$. Defining $E \subseteq \hat{\Omega}$ to be measurable if $\eta^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{A}$ we obtain a σ -algebra $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\hat{\Omega}$. We can then push μ forward along η to obtain a measure $\text{Meas} : \hat{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by defining $\text{Meas}(E) = \mu(\eta^{-1}(E))$. Observe that if $G \in \hat{\Omega}$ then the singleton set $\{G\}$ belongs to $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$. This follows from the fact that $G \cong \varprojlim G_c$. In more detail, if we let $f_c : \hat{\Omega} \rightarrow \Omega_c$ denote the natural map which sends $G \mapsto G_c$ then one can check that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{c+1}^{g+1} & \longrightarrow & (X_{c+1}^{\text{ab}})^{g+1} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X_c^{g+1} & \longrightarrow & (X_c^{\text{ab}})^{g+1}
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 1.

this function is measurable with respect to the respective σ -algebras $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathcal{P}(\Omega_c)$. It then follows that $\{G\} = \bigcap_{c=1}^{\infty} f_c^{-1}(G_c) \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ and we see that

$$\text{Meas}(\{G\}) = \lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f_c^{-1}(G_c)) = \lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \text{Meas}_c(G_c).$$

In particular, if G is finite then we have $G \cong G_c$ once c is sufficiently large and we obtain the same value for $\text{Meas}(G) := \text{Meas}(\{G\})$ as specified in Definition 2.13. Thus this new definition of Meas extends the old one.

We finish by showing that $\Omega \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ and that $\text{Meas}(\Omega) = 1$, equivalently, the complement $\hat{\Omega} - \Omega \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\text{Meas}(\hat{\Omega} - \Omega) = 0$. In particular, if $G \in \hat{\Omega} - \Omega$ then $\text{Meas}(G) = 0$. This is desirable for our applications since the groups in $\hat{\Omega} - \Omega$ should never arise as Galois groups of the extensions we are considering. If we then define $\mathcal{B} = \{E \cap \Omega \mid E \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}\}$ and restrict Meas to \mathcal{B} , we obtain a probability measure on Ω . As noted above, this definition of Meas extends our earlier definition which was restricted to individual finite groups in Ω .

First, we note that all of the constructions above can be carried out in the abelian setting. Recall from Section 2.3 that $X^{\text{ab}} = \Phi(F^{\text{ab}})$. As before, we construct an algebra $\bigcup_{c=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_c^{\text{ab}}$ and then σ -algebra \mathcal{A}^{ab} on $(X^{\text{ab}})^{g+1}$ with accompanying measure μ^{ab} . One can then see that the natural reduction map $X^{g+1} \rightarrow (X^{\text{ab}})^{g+1}$ is measurable and compatible with the measures μ and μ^{ab} . This follows ultimately from the observation that the square in Figure 1 commutes and that all of the maps between the finite sets appearing there have fibers which are constant in size (for all $c \geq 1$). This in turn follows since this square is the front face of the cube in Figure 2. Note that the maps on the back face of the cube are all induced by natural epimorphisms either from F_c to F_c^{ab} or F_{c+1} to F_c . The maps ϕ_* connecting the front and back faces are not homomorphisms, but do have fibers of constant size as discussed in Lemma 2.6. The $g+1$ components of each map ϕ_* have the form $t \mapsto t^{-1}\sigma(t)$. For the abelian objects, this simplifies to $t \mapsto t^{-2}$.

Now define the corresponding space of groups in the abelian setting by

$$\hat{\Omega}^{\text{ab}} = \{F^{\text{ab}}/\langle v \rangle \mid v \in (X^{\text{ab}})^{g+1}\} = \{G^{\text{ab}} \mid G \in \hat{\Omega}\}$$

and let $\eta : (X^{\text{ab}})^{g+1} \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}^{\text{ab}}$ be the map $v \mapsto F^{\text{ab}}/\langle v \rangle$. Defining $E \subseteq \hat{\Omega}^{\text{ab}}$ to be measurable if $\eta^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{ab}}$ we obtain a σ -algebra $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{ab}}$ on $\hat{\Omega}^{\text{ab}}$. We can then push μ^{ab} forward along η to obtain a measure Meas^{ab} on $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ by defining $\text{Meas}^{\text{ab}}(E) = \mu^{\text{ab}}(\eta^{-1}(E))$. As with the measure Meas on $\hat{\Omega}$, this definition of Meas^{ab} extends the one given in Definition 2.17.

Let $\alpha : \hat{\Omega} \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}^{\text{ab}}$ be the map $G \mapsto G^{\text{ab}}$. Define $\Omega^{\text{ab}} = \alpha(\Omega)$ and observe that Ω^{ab} consists of all *finite* abelian p -groups. Further, $\alpha^{-1}(\Omega^{\text{ab}}) = \Omega$. This follows since if $G \in \hat{\Omega} - \Omega$, then $r(G) < g$ and G^{ab} must have at least one infinite cyclic component \mathbb{Z}_p . The map α is surjective and measurable and we have $\text{Meas}(\alpha^{-1}(E)) = \text{Meas}^{\text{ab}}(E)$ for all $E \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{ab}}$ since




FIGURE 2.




FIGURE 3.

α forms part of the commuting square in Figure 3. Thus to show Ω is measurable with $\text{Meas}(\Omega) = 1$, it suffices to show that Ω^{ab} is measurable with $\text{Meas}^{\text{ab}}(\Omega^{\text{ab}}) = 1$.

By definition, this reduces to verifying that $\eta^{-1}(\Omega^{\text{ab}}) \subseteq (X^{\text{ab}})^{g+1}$ is measurable with measure 1 under μ^{ab} . This can be seen by first noting that $(X^{\text{ab}})^{g+1} = \Phi(F^{\text{ab}})^{g+1}$ is a compact abelian group. The measure μ^{ab} is translation invariant and hence is a Haar measure, normalized so that $\mu^{\text{ab}}(\Phi(F^{\text{ab}})^{g+1}) = 1$. Since $F^{\text{ab}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^g$, we have $\Phi(F^{\text{ab}}) \cong (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^g \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^g$, and so the elements of $\Phi(F^{\text{ab}})^{g+1}$ can be viewed as $(g+1) \times g$ matrices with entries in \mathbb{Z}_p . In particular, the elements of $\eta^{-1}(\Omega^{\text{ab}})$ are the matrices of full rank and it is a standard fact that these have measure 1 with respect to this Haar measure.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bosma, J. J. Cannon, C. Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput., **24** (1997), 235–265.
- [2] N. Boston, *Explicit deformation of Galois representations*. Invent. Math. **103** (1991), no. 1, 181–196.
- [3] N. Boston, M.R. Bush, F. Hajir, *Heuristics for p -class towers of imaginary quadratic fields*, Math. Ann. **368** (2017), no. 1–2, 633–669.
- [4] N. Boston and J. Ellenberg, *Random pro- p groups, braid groups, and random tame Galois groups*, Groups Geom. Dyn. **5** (2011), no. 2, 265–280.
- [5] N. Boston and C.R. Leedham-Green, *Explicit computation of Galois p -groups unramified at p* , Journal of Algebra **256** (2002), no. 2, 402–413.
- [6] N. Boston and H. Nover, *Computing pro- p Galois groups*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science **4076**, ANTS VII, 1–10.
- [7] N. Boston and M.M. Wood, *Nonabelian Cohen-Lenstra heuristics over function fields*, Compositio Math. **153** (2017), no. 7, 1372–1390.

- [8] H. Cohen and H. W. Lenstra, Jr., *Heuristics on class groups of number fields*, pp. 33–62 in: Number theory, Noordwijkerhout 1983, LNM **1068**, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [9] D. Gorenstein, *Finite Groups*. AMS Chelsea Publishing Series. American Mathematical Society, 2007.
- [10] H. Kisilevsky, J. Labute, *On a sufficient condition for the p -class tower of a CM-field to be infinite*, Théorie des nombres (Quebec, PQ, 1987), 556–560, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1989.
- [11] H. Koch, “Galois theory of p -extensions.” With a foreword by I. R. Shafarevich. Translated from the 1970 German original by Franz Lemmermeyer. With a postscript by the author and Lemmermeyer. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [12] H. Koch and B.B. Venkov, *Über den p -Klassenkörperturm eines imaginär-quadratischen Zahlkörpers*, Soc. Math. France, Astérisque **24-25** (1975), 57–67.
- [13] J. Neukirch, K. Wingberg, A. Schmidt, *Cohomology of number fields*, Second edition. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 323. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. xvi+825 pp.
- [14] E.A. O’Brien, *The p -group generation algorithm*, J. Symbolic Comput. **9** (1990), 677–698.
- [15] The PARI Group, PARI/GP version 2.7.0, Bordeaux, 2014, <http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/>.
- [16] P. Roquette, *On class field towers*, Chapter IX in “Algebraic Number Theory” (J. Cassels and A. Fröhlich, Eds.), Academic Press, San Diego, 1967.
- [17] R. Schoof, *Infinite class field towers of quadratic fields*, J. Reine Ang. Math. **372** (1986), 209–220.
- [18] I.R. Shafarevich, *Extensions with prescribed ramification points*. (Russian) Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. **18** (1963), 71–95. English Translation in I.R. Shafarevich, Collected Mathematical Papers, Springer, Berlin, 1989.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MADISON, 480 LINCOLN DRIVE, MADISON, WI 53706, USA

E-mail address: `boston@math.wisc.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WASHINGTON AND LEE UNIVERSITY, 204 W. WASHINGTON STREET, LEXINGTON, VA 24450, USA

E-mail address: `bushm@wlu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS - AMHERST, 710 N. PLEASANT STREET, AMHERST, MA 01003, USA

E-mail address: `hajir@math.umass.edu`