

Nonribbon 2-links

all of whose components are trivial knots and
some of whose band-sums are nonribbon knots

Eiji Ogasa *
ogasa@hep-th.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp
High Energy Physics Theory Group
Department of Physics
University of Tokyo
Hongo, Tokyo 113, JAPAN

Abstract. There is a nonribbon 2-link all of whose components are trivial 2-knots and one of whose band-sums is a nonribbon 2-knot.

1 Main result

We work in the smooth category.

An m -component 2-(dimensional) link is a closed oriented 2-submanifold $L = (K_1, \dots, K_m) \subset S^4$ such that K_i is diffeomorphic to S^2 . If $m = 1$, L is called a 2-knot. We say that 2-links L_1 and L_2 are *equivalent* if there exists an orientation preserving diffeomorphism $f : S^4 \rightarrow S^4$ such that $f(L_1) = L_2$ and that $f|_{L_1} : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ is an order and orientation preserving diffeomorphism. Take a 3-ball B^3 in S^4 . Then ∂B^3 is a 2-knot. We say that a 2-knot K is a *trivial* knot if K is equivalent to the 2-knot ∂B^3 .

*This research was partially supported by Research Fellowships of the Promotion of Science for Young Scientists.

Keyword: 2-links, 2-knots, nonribbon 2-links, nonribbon 2-knots
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57M25, 57Q45
This paper is published in
Journal of knot theory and its ramifications 10, 2001, 913-922.
This manuscript is not the published version.

A 2-link $L = (K_1, \dots, K_m)$ is called a *ribbon* 2-link if L satisfies the following properties. (See e.g. [1].)

(1) There is a self-transverse immersion $f : D_1^3 \amalg \dots \amalg D_m^3 \rightarrow S^4$ such that $f(\partial D_i^3) = K_i$.

(2) The singular point set $C (\subset S^4)$ of f consists of double points. C is a disjoint union of 2-discs $D_i^2 (i = 1, \dots, k)$.

(3) Put $f^{-1}(D_j^2) = D_{jB}^2 \amalg D_{jS}^2$. The 2-disc D_{jS}^2 is trivially embedded in the interior $\text{Int } D_\alpha^3$ of a 3-disc component D_α^3 . The circle ∂D_{jB}^2 is trivially embedded in the boundary ∂D_β^3 of a 3-disc component D_β^3 . The 2-disc D_{jB}^2 is trivially embedded in the 3-disc component D_β^3 . (Note that there are two cases, $\alpha = \beta$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$.)

There are nonribbon 2-knots. (See e.g. [1].) It is trivial that, if a component of a 2-link is a nonribbon 2-knot, the 2-link is a nonribbon 2-link. It is natural to ask:

Question Is there a nonribbon 2-link all of whose components are ribbon knots? In particular, is there a nonribbon 2-link all of whose components are trivial knots?

We give an affirmative answer to this question.

Theorem 1.1 *There is a nonribbon 2-link $L = (K_1, K_2)$ such that K_i is a trivial 2-knot ($i = 1, 2$).*

Note. The announcement of Theorem 1.1 is in [4].

2 Band-sums

Let $L = (K_1, K_2)$ be a 2-link. A 2-knot K_0 is called a *band-sum* of the components K_1 and K_2 of the 2-link L along a *band* h if we have:

(1) There is a 3-dimensional 1-handle h , which is attached to L , embedded in S^4 .

(2) There are a point $p_1 \in K_1$ and a point $p_2 \in K_2$. We attach h to $K_1 \amalg K_2$ along $p_1 \amalg p_2$. $h \cap (K_1 \cup K_2)$ is the attach part of h . Then we obtain a 2-knot from K_1 and K_2 by this surgery. The 2-knot is K_0 .

3 A sufficient condition of Theorem 1.1

In §4 and §5 we prove:

Proposition 3.1 *There is a 2-link $L = (K_1, K_2)$ such that*

- (1) K_i is a trivial 2-knot ($i = 1, 2$), and
- (2) a band-sum K_3 of the components K_1, K_2 of the 2-link L is a nonribbon 2-knot.

Claim 3.2 *Proposition 3.1 implies Theorem 1.1.*

Proof of Claim 3.2. By the definition of ribbon links, we have the following fact: If $L = (K_1, K_2)$ is a ribbon 2-link, then any band sum of $L = (K_1, K_2)$ is a ribbon 2-knot. The contrapositive proposition of this fact implies Claim 3.2.

4 $Q(K)$

Let K be a 2-knot $\subset S^4$. We define a 2-knot $Q(K)$ for K . The 2-knot $Q(K)$ plays an important role in our proof as we state in the last paragraph of this section.

Let $K \times D^2$ be a tubular neighborhood of K in S^4 , where D^2 is a disc. In $\text{Int } D^2$, take a compact oriented 1-dimensional submanifold $[-1, 1]$. Take $K \times [-1, 1] \subset K \times \text{Int } D^2$. We give an orientation to $K \times [-1, 1]$. Let $D(K)$ be the 2-component 2-link $(K \times \{-1\}, K \times \{1\})$. Then $K \times [-1, 1]$ is a Seifert hypersurface of the 2-link $D(K)$, where we give an orientation to $D(K)$ so that the orientation of $D(K)$ is compatible with that of $K \times [-1, 1]$.

In order to prove our main theorem, we construct some 2-knots, 2-links, and some subsets in S^4 from $D(K)$. For this purpose, we prepare the following B^4 and F_θ .

Let B^4 be a 4-ball $\subset S^4$. Put $B^4 =$

$$\{(x, y, z, w) \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1, z = r \cdot \cos\theta, w = r \cdot \sin\theta, 0 \leq r \leq 1, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi\}.$$

Let $F_0 = \{(x, y, z, 0) \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1, 0 \leq z \leq 1\} \subset B^4$.

Let $A = \{(x, y, 0, 0) \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1\} \subset F_0 \subset B^4$.

We regard B^4 as the result of rotating F_0 around the axis A . For each θ , we put

$$F_\theta = \{(x, y, r \cdot \cos\theta, r \cdot \sin\theta) \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1, 0 \leq r \leq 1, \theta: \text{fix}\}.$$

We suppose that $B^4 \cap D(K)$ satisfies the condition that, for each θ , $F_\theta \cap D(K)$ is drawn as in Figure 4.1.




Figure 4.1

Note. In Figure 4.1, we suppose the following hold: The intersection $B^4 \cap D(K)$ is a disjoint union of two 2-discs. Call them D_1^2 and D_2^2 . The intersection $F_\theta \cap D(K)$ is two arcs. Call them E_1 and E_2 . The boundary ∂E_i is a set of two points $a_i \amalg b_i$, where a_i is in A and b_i is in $F_0 - A$. The 2-disc D_i is the result of rotating E_i around the axis A . The result of rotating b_i is ∂D_i^2 . Since a_i is in the axis A , the result of rotating a_i is the point a_i itself. The point b_i is in the boundary of D_i^2 . The point a_i is in the interior of D_i^2 .

Let $Q(K)$ be a band-sum of the components $K \times \{-1\}$ and $K \times \{1\}$ of the 2-link $D(K)$ with the following properties.

- (1) The band h is in $K \times \text{Int}D^2$.
- (2) $\{h - (\text{the attach part of } h)\} \cap (K \times [-1, 1]) = \phi$.
- (3) $Q(K) - B^4 = D(K) - B^4$.
- (4) $B^4 \cap (D(K) \cup h)$ ($= B^4 \cap (K \times \{-1\} \cup h \cup K \times \{1\})$) satisfies the following conditions. (We summarize the conditions in Table 1.)

For $\pi \leq \theta < 2\pi$ and $\theta = 0$, $F_\theta \cap (D(K) \cup h)$ is drawn as in Figure 4.2.

For $0 < \theta < \pi$, $F_\theta \cap (D(K) \cup h)$ is drawn as in Figure 4.1.

- (5) $B^4 \cap h$ satisfies the following conditions.

For $\pi \leq \theta < 2\pi$ and $\theta = 0$, $F_\theta \cap h$ is drawn as in Figure 4.3.

For $0 < \theta < \pi$, $F_\theta \cap h$ is empty.

$B^4 \cap (\text{the attach part of } h)$ is as follows.

For $\pi \leq \theta < 2\pi$ and $\theta = 0$, $F_\theta \cap (\text{the attach part of } h)$ is drawn as in Figure 4.4.

For $0 < \theta < \pi$, $F_\theta \cap (\text{the attach part of } h)$ is empty.

- (6) $B^4 \cap Q(K)$ satisfies the following conditions. (We summarize the conditions in Table 1.)

For $\pi < \theta < 2\pi$, $F_\theta \cap Q(K)$ is drawn as in Figure 4.5.

For $\theta = 0, \pi$, $F_\theta \cap Q(K)$ is drawn as in Figure 4.2.

For $0 < \theta < \pi$, $F_\theta \cap Q(K)$ is drawn as in Figure 4.1.




Figure4.2




Figure 4.3




Figure 4.4




Figure 4.5

	$\theta = 0$	$0 < \theta < \pi$	$\theta = \pi$	$\pi < \theta < 2\pi$
$B^4 \cap D(K)$	Figure 4.1	Figure 4.1	Figure 4.1	Figure 4.1
$B^4 \cap (D(K) \cup h)$	Figure 4.2	Figure 4.1	Figure 4.2	Figure 4.2
$B^4 \cap Q(K)$	Figure 4.2	Figure 4.1	Figure 4.2	Figure 4.5
$B^4 \cap L$	Figure 4.5	Figure 4.5	Figure 4.5	Figure 4.5
$B^4 \cap (L \cup h')$	Figure 4.2	Figure 4.2	Figure 4.2	Figure 4.5
$B^4 \cap K_3$	Figure 4.2	Figure 4.1	Figure 4.2	Figure 4.5

Table 1

Note. The following hold:

(I) $h \cup (K \times [-1, 1])$ is a Seifert hypersurface of the 2-knot $Q(K)$.

(II) Let A be a Seifert matrix of $Q(K)$ associated with the Seifert hypersurface $h \cup (K \times [-1, 1])$. Since $h \cup (K \times [-1, 1])$ is diffeomorphic to $(S^1 \times S^2) - B^3$, A is a (1×1) -matrix. By the construction of $Q(K)$, $A = (2)$ or $A = (-1)$ holds. Recall that whether A is a 1×1 -matrix (2) or (-1) depends on which orientation we give $Q(K)$. Recall that the orientation of $Q(K)$ is determined by that of $D(K)$.

(III) $2t - 1$ or $2 - t$ represents for the Alexander polynomial of the 2-knot $Q(K)$. (See §F,G,H of §7 of [8] for Seifert matrices of 2-knots and the Alexander polynomial of 2-knots.) Hence $Q(K)$ is a nontrivial 2-knot.

In §5 we prove:

Lemma 4.1 *Let K be a 2-knot. There is a 2-link $L = (K_1, K_2)$ such that*

(1) K_i is a trivial 2-knot ($i = 1, 2$), and

(2) $Q(K)$ is a band-sum K_3 of the components K_1, K_2 of the 2-link L .

The above $Q(K)$ is ‘a 2-knot $D(J, \gamma)$ whose γ is sufficiently complicated’ in §4 of [1]. Corollary 4.3 in §4 of [1] or Example after Corollary 4.3 in §4 of [1] says that, for a 2-knot K , the above $Q(K)$ is a nonribbon 2-knot. Hence Lemma 4.1 implies Proposition 3.1.

5 Proof of Lemma 4.1

Let $L = (K_1, K_2)$ be a 2-link with the following conditions.

(1) $(S^4 - B^4) \cap L = (S^4 - B^4) \cap D(K)$.

(2) $B^4 \cap L$ satisfies the condition that, for each θ , $F_\theta \cap L$ is drawn as in Figure 4.5. (We summarize the conditions in Table 1.)

Note. In Figure 4.5, the following hold: The two arcs are called l_1 and l_2 . l_i is a trivial arc. $l_1 \cap A \neq \phi$. $l_2 \cap A = \phi$. K_i is made from l_i by the rotation.

By the construction of $L = (K_1, K_2)$, K_1 satisfies the conditions:

- (1) $K_1 \subset B^4$.
- (2) For each θ , $F_\theta \cap K_1$ is drawn as in Figure 5.1.

We prove K_1 is a trivial knot. Because: Since l_1 is a trivial arc, K_1 is a spun knot of a trivial 1-knot. See [10] for spun knots.

By the construction of $L = (K_1, K_2)$, K_2 satisfies the following conditions.

- (1) $(S^4 - B^4) \cap K_2 = (S^4 - B^4) \cap D(K)$.
- (2) For each θ , $F_\theta \cap K_2$ is drawn as in Figure 5.2.

We prove: K_2 is a trivial knot. Because: Let P be a subset $(K \times [-1, 1] - B^4)$. Then P is diffeomorphic to a 3-ball. Hence ∂P is a trivial 2-knot. Since l_2 is a trivial arc, K_2 is equivalent to ∂P . Hence K_2 is a trivial 2-knot.

Let K_3 be a band-sum of the components K_1 and K_2 of the 2-link L with the following conditions.

- (1) The band h' is in B^4 .
- (2) $B^4 \cap (L \cup h') = B^4 \cap (K_1 \cup h' \cup K_2)$ satisfies the following conditions. (We summarize the conditions in Table 1.)

For $\pi < \theta < 2\pi$, $F_\theta \cap (L \cup h')$ is drawn as in Figure 4.5.

For $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, $F_\theta \cap (L \cup h')$ is drawn as in Figure 4.2.

- (3) $B^4 \cap h'$ satisfies the following conditions.

For $\pi < \theta < 2\pi$, $F_\theta \cap h'$ is empty.

For $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, $F_\theta \cap h'$ is drawn as in Figure 4.3.

- (4) Note that h and h' are dual handles each other.

- (5) $B^4 \cap K_3$ satisfies the following conditions. (We summarize the conditions in Table 1.)

For $\pi < \theta < 2\pi$, $F_\theta \cap K_3$ is drawn as in Figure 4.5.

For $\theta = 0, \pi$, $F_\theta \cap K_3$ is drawn as in Figure 4.2.

For $0 < \theta < \pi$, $F_\theta \cap K_3$ is drawn as in Figure 4.1.

By the construction of this knot K_3 and the construction of $Q(K)$ in §4, K_3 is identical to $Q(K)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1, Proposition 3.1, Theorem 1.1.




Figure 5.2

6 Related problems

Problem 6.1. Let $L = (K_1, K_2)$ be a 2-link. Then do we have $\mu(L) = \mu(K_1) + \mu(K_2)$?

See [9] [6] [7] for the μ invariant of 2-links and related topics.

Problem 6.2. Is there a 2-link which is not an SHB link?

See [2] [3] for SHB links.

The author proved in [6]: if $L = (K_1, K_2)$ is an SHB link, then the answer to Problem 6.1 is affirmative.

Problem 6.3. Let K_1, K_2, K_3 be arbitrary 2-knots. Is there a 2-component 2-link $L = (L_1, L_2)$ such that L_1 (resp. L_2) is equivalent to K_2 (resp. K_2) and that a band-sum of L is K_3 ?

If the answer to Problem 6.1 is affirmative, then the answer to Problem 6.3 is negative.

In [5] the author gives the negative answer to the n -dimensional knot version of Problem 6.3 and proves the n -dimensional version of Theorem 1.1. The announcement of them is in [4].

References

- [1] T. Cochran: Ribbon knots in S^4 , *J. London Math. Soc.*, 28, 563-576, 1983.
- [2] T. Cochran and K. Orr: Not all links are concordant to boundary links, *Ann. Math.*, 138, 519-554, 1993.
- [3] J. Levine and K. Orr: A survey of applications of surgery to knot and link theory, *Surgery theory and its applications: surveys presented in honor of C.T.C. Wall*, (to appear).
- [4] E. Ogasa: Some results of knots and links in all dimensions, *Surgery and geometric topology: Science Bulletin of Josai University, Edit. A.Ranicki and M. Yamasaki*, 1997.
- [5] E. Ogasa: n -dimensional links, their components, and their band-sums, *University of Tokyo Preprint Series, UTMS (to appear)* math.GT/0011163 in <http://xxx.lanl.gov>.

- [6] E. Ogasa: Ribbon moves of 2-links preserve the μ invariant of 2-links, *University of Tokyo Preprint Series, UTMS 97-35*, math.GT/0004008 in <http://xxx.lanl.gov>.
- [7] E. Ogasa: Ribbon-moves of 2-knots: The Farber-Levine pairing and the Atiyah-Pathodi-Singer-Casson-Gordon-Ruberman η invariant of 2-knots *University of Tokyo Preprint Series, UTMS 00-22*, math.GT/0004007 in <http://xxx.lanl.gov>.
- [8] D. Rolfsen: Knots and links, *Publish or Perish*, 1976.
- [9] D. Ruberman: Doubly slice knots and the Casson-Gordon invariants, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 279, 569-588, 1983.
- [10] E. Zeeman: Twisting spun knots, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* , 115, 471-495, 1965.

Acknowledgements. The author discussed Problem 6.1 with Prof. Kent Orr. Prof. Kent Orr, Prof. J. Levine and Prof. T. Cochran told the author that Problem 6.2 is open. The author would like to thank Prof. Kent Orr, Prof. J. Levine and Prof. T. Cochran for their kindness. The author would like to thank the referee(s) and the editor(s) for reading the manuscript.