

**STAR PRODUCT INDUCED FROM COHERENT STATES
ON $L^2(S^n)$ $n = 2, 3, 5$**

DÍAZ-ORTÍZ ERIK IGNACIO

ABSTRACT. We consider the bounded linear operators with domain in the Hilbert space $L^2(S^n)$, $n = 2, 3, 5$ and describe its symbolic calculus defined by the Berezin quantization. In particular, we derive an explicit formula for the composition of Berezin's symbols and thus a noncommutative star product, which in turn is invariant under the action of the group $SU(2)$, $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ and $SU(4)$ on \mathbb{C}^2 , \mathbb{C}^4 and \mathbb{C}^8 respectively.

1. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

The star product is an important tool for deformation quantization and noncommutative geometry. The most well studied star product is often referred to as Moyal star product. One way to obtain this star product is through the *Berezin quantization*. Let us briefly recall the definition of the latter (see Ref. [3] for details).

Let H be a Hilbert space endowed with the inner product (\cdot, \cdot) and M be some set with the measure $d\mu$. Let $\{\mathbf{K}(\cdot, \alpha) \in H \mid \alpha \in M\}$ be a family of functions in H such that it form an (overcomplete) basis for H .

The prototype of the space H is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space of complex-valued holomorphic functions on a complex domain M , that is, for each $\alpha \in M$, there exists an element $\mathbf{K}(\cdot, \alpha)$ of H , such that $(f, \mathbf{K}(\cdot, \alpha)) = f(\alpha)$ for each $f \in H$.

In this case, one can directly define the Berezin symbol of a bounded linear operator A on H as the complex-valued function $\mathfrak{B}(A)$ on M given by

$$\mathfrak{B}(A)(\alpha) = \frac{(A\mathbf{K}(\cdot, \alpha), \mathbf{K}(\cdot, \alpha))}{(\mathbf{K}(\cdot, \alpha), \mathbf{K}(\cdot, \alpha))}, \quad \alpha \in M.$$

and thus a symbolic calculus on $\mathbf{B}(H)$, the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H [3].

The Berezin map $\mathfrak{B} : A \mapsto \mathfrak{B}(A)$ has some nice properties: is a linear operator, the unit operator corresponds to the unit constant, Hermitian conjugation of operators corresponds to complex conjugation of symbols. Moreover, if we assume that the Berezin symbol may be extended in a neighbourhood of the diagonal $M \times M$ to the function

$$\mathfrak{B}(A)(\beta, \alpha) = \frac{(A\mathbf{K}(\cdot, \alpha), \mathbf{K}(\cdot, \beta))}{(\mathbf{K}(\cdot, \alpha), \mathbf{K}(\cdot, \beta))},$$

then an associative product for two Berezin symbols is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}(A)\#\mathfrak{B}(B)(\alpha) &= \mathfrak{B}(AB)(\alpha) \\ &= \int_M \mathfrak{B}(A)(\gamma, \alpha)\mathfrak{B}(B)(\alpha, \gamma) \frac{|(\mathbf{K}(\cdot, \alpha), \mathbf{K}(\cdot, \gamma))|^2}{(\mathbf{K}(\cdot, \alpha), \mathbf{K}(\cdot, \alpha))} d\mu(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

The product $\#$ allows us to define a noncommutative star product on the algebra which consists of Berezin symbols for bounded linear operators with domain in H .

In [3] Berezin applied this method to Kähler manifold. In this case H is the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions in $L^2(M, d\mu)$ so that the embedding from H into $L^2(M, d\mu)$ is the inclusion, and the complete family $\{\mathbf{K}(\cdot, \alpha)\}$ is obtained by freezing one variable in the reproducing kernel. In those cases, the spaces M have an obvious disadvantage in that their very definition requires a holomorphic structure (hence, in particular, they can make sense only on complex manifolds).

On the other hand, the Berezin transform make sense not only for holomorphic spaces, but for any space with an overcomplete family of functions. Hence it is of interest to investigate whether any such spaces other than can be used for quantization.

One such candidate, namely, the Hilbert space $L^2(S^n)$, $n = 2, 3, 5$, consisting of all square integrable functions with respect to the normalized surface measure $d\Omega$ on S^n endowed with the inner product

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle_{S^n} = \int_{S^n} \phi(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\psi(\mathbf{x})} d\Omega(\mathbf{x}),$$

and $S^n = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid |\mathbf{x}| = 1\}$ is the unit sphere immersed in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

The aim of the present paper is to introduce a symbolic calculus for the Hilbert spaces $L^2(S^n)$, $n = 2, 3, 5$. In order to introduce this symbolic calculus, we first need to find an overcomplete family of functions in $L^2(S^n)$, $n = 2, 3, 5$. Since it is not possible to define a reproducing kernel in $L^2(S^n)$, we can not use the same idea applied by Berezin to Kähler manifold. However, in general, the coherent states are a specific complete set of vectors in a Hilbert space satisfying a certain resolution of the identity condition.

In [9] Villegas-Blas introduced a system of coherent states for $L^2(S^n)$, $n = 2, 3, 5$. In addition, he defined an embedding \mathbf{B}_{S^n} from $L^2(S^n)$, $n = 2, 3, 5$, to $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$, $m = 2, 4, 8$ respectively, where $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$ denotes the Bargmann space of all entire functions in $L^2(\mathbb{C}^m, d\mu_m^{\hbar})$ for the Gaussian measure $d\mu_m^{\hbar} = (\pi\hbar)^{-m} e^{-|\mathbf{z}|^2/\hbar} d\mathbf{z}d\overline{\mathbf{z}}$, with $d\mathbf{z}d\overline{\mathbf{z}}$ denoting the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}^m and \hbar the Planck's constant (see Sec. 2 for details on the notation and some general facts about the transformation \mathbf{B}_{S^n} and the coherent states for $L^2(S^n)$, $n = 2, 3, 5$).

Starting from this and adopting the approach along the lines of Berezin quantization, in Sec. 3 we describe the rules for symbolic calculus on $\mathbf{B}(L^2(S^n))$, $n = 2, 3, 5$. In particular, we derive an explicit formula for the composition of Berezin's symbols and thus a star product on the algebra which consists of Berezin symbols for bounded linear operators with domain in $L^2(S^n)$. In addition, we show some properties of the extended Berezin symbol that we will use, in Sec. 4, to prove that this noncommutative star

product satisfies the usual requirement on the semiclassical limit; to proof this result the Laplace's method is also used (see the Appendix B for details of the way in which this method is used).

Finally, by the way in which Villegas-Blas introduced both the embedding \mathbf{B}_{S^n} and the coherent states, we will prove in Sec. 5 the invariance of our star product under the action of the group $SU(2)$, $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ and $SU(4)$ on \mathbb{C}^2 , \mathbb{C}^4 and \mathbb{C}^8 respectively.

It should be noted that, since $H = L^2(S^n)$ and $L^2(M, d\mu) = L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu_m^{\hbar})$, in our construction there is no inclusion of H into $L^2(M, d\mu)$. Furthermore, the functions of the complete family are not obtained by the reproducing kernel. This situation is thus slightly different Berezin's situation.

Throughout the paper, we will use the following basic notation. For every $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^k$, $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_k)$, $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_k)$, and for every multi-index $\ell = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ of length k , where \mathbb{Z}_+ is the set of nonnegative integers, let

$$\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{w} = \sum_{s=1}^k z_s \bar{w}_s, \quad |\mathbf{z}| = \sqrt{\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{z}}, \quad |\ell| = \sum_{s=1}^k \ell_s, \quad \ell! = \prod_{s=1}^k \ell_s!, \quad \mathbf{z}^\ell = \prod_{s=1}^k z_s^{\ell_s}.$$

Whenever convenient, we will abbreviate $\partial/\partial v_j, \partial/\partial \bar{v}_j$, etc., to $\partial_{v_j}, \partial_{\bar{v}_j}$, etc., respectively, and $\partial_{v_1} \partial_{v_2} \dots \partial_{v_k}$ to $\partial_{v_1 v_2 \dots v_k}$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we review some results on the transformation \mathbf{B}_{S^n} and the system of coherent states for $L^2(S^n)$, $n = 2, 3, 5$ introduced by Villegas-Blas in [9]. Here and in the sequel, the letters n and m will only denote integer numbers in the sets $\{2, 3, 5\}$ and $\{2, 4, 8\}$ respectively. Furthermore, whenever we write (n, m) we mean the three possible cases $(n, m) = (2, 2), (3, 4), (5, 8)$ unless a particular value of (n, m) is specified.

In order to describe both the transformation \mathbf{B}_{S^n} and the coherent states, let us consider, for $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m$, the map $\rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{z}) = (\rho_1(\mathbf{z}), \dots, \rho_{n+1}(\mathbf{z})) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ defined by

Case $(n, m) = (2, 2)$

$$(2.1) \quad \rho_1(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{2}(z_2^2 - z_1^2), \quad \rho_2(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{i}{2}(z_1^2 + z_2^2), \quad \rho_3(\mathbf{z}) = z_1 z_2.$$

Case $(n, m) = (3, 4)$

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_1(\mathbf{z}) &= z_1 z_3 + z_2 z_4, & \rho_2(\mathbf{z}) &= i(z_1 z_3 - z_2 z_4) \\ \rho_3(\mathbf{z}) &= i(z_1 z_4 + z_2 z_3), & \rho_4(\mathbf{z}) &= z_1 z_4 - z_2 z_3. \end{aligned}$$

Case $(n, m) = (5, 8)$

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_1(\mathbf{z}) &= i(-z_1 z_6 + z_3 z_8 + z_2 z_5 - z_4 z_7), & \rho_2(\mathbf{z}) &= z_1 z_6 + z_3 z_8 + z_2 z_5 + z_4 z_7, \\ \rho_3(\mathbf{z}) &= z_2 z_6 + z_3 z_7 - z_1 z_5 - z_4 z_8, & \rho_4(\mathbf{z}) &= i(-z_1 z_5 + z_4 z_8 - z_2 z_6 + z_3 z_7), \\ \rho_5(\mathbf{z}) &= i(-z_1 z_8 - z_2 z_7 - z_3 z_6 - z_4 z_5), & \rho_6(\mathbf{z}) &= z_1 z_8 + z_2 z_7 - z_3 z_6 - z_4 z_5. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the range of the map $\rho_{(n,m)}$ is $\mathbb{Q}^n := \{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid \alpha_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_{n+1}^2 = 0\}$. Moreover, $\rho_{(n,m)}$ is not one to one because it is invariant

under the action of the group $\mathcal{G}_m = \mathbb{Z}_2$, S^1 and $SU(2)$ on \mathbb{C}^2 , \mathbb{C}^4 and \mathbb{C}^8 , respectively, described by the following equation:

$$\mathbf{z}' = T(g)\mathbf{z}$$

with $T(g)$ given by

Case $m = 2$:

$$(2.4) \quad T(g) = \pm 1.$$

Case $m = 4$: For $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and therefore $g = \exp(i\theta) \in S^1$

$$(2.5) \quad T(g) = \begin{pmatrix} \exp(-i\theta) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(-i\theta) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \exp(i\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \exp(i\theta) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Case $m = 8$: For $g \in SU(2)$

$$(2.6) \quad T(g) = \mathbf{L}^\dagger \mathbf{V}(g) \mathbf{L},$$

with \mathbf{L}^\dagger denoting the adjoint of the following matrix

$$(2.7) \quad \mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{V}(g) = \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g \end{pmatrix},$$

where all the entries in $\mathbf{V}(g)$ are 2×2 matrices.

In fact, if we want the map $\rho_{(n,m)}$ to be an injection, its domain must be $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^m / \mathcal{G}_m$, where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^2 = \mathbb{C}^2,$$

$$(2.8) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^4 = \left\{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^4 : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = |z_3|^2 + |z_4|^2 \right\},$$

$$(2.9) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^8 = \left\{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^8 : \sum_{j=1}^4 |z_j|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^4 |z_{j+4}|^2, z_7 \bar{z}_1 + z_5 \bar{z}_3 = z_8 \bar{z}_2 + z_6 \bar{z}_4 \right\}.$$

2.1. The transformation \mathbf{B}_{S^n} with $n = 2, 3, 5$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}^k}$ denote the Bargmann space of complex valued holomorphic functions on $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^k$ which are square integrable with respect to the following measure on \mathbb{C}^k :

$$(2.10) \quad d\mu_m^{\hbar}(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{(\pi\hbar)^m} e^{-|\mathbf{z}|^2/\hbar} d\mathbf{z}d\bar{\mathbf{z}},$$

with $|\mathbf{z}|^2 = |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_k|^2$ and $d\mathbf{z}d\bar{\mathbf{z}}$ the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{C}^k \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2k}$.

Let us consider the following transformation \mathbf{B}_{S^n} from $L^2(S^n)$, $n = 2, 3, 5$ to $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$, $m = 2, 4, 8$ respectively

$$(2.11) \quad \mathbf{B}_{S^n} \psi(\mathbf{z}) = \int_{S^n} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{2\ell+n-1}}{\ell! \sqrt{n-1}} \left(\frac{\rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{z}) \cdot \mathbf{x}}{\hbar} \right)^\ell \right) \psi(\mathbf{x}) d\Omega(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m,$$

where \hbar denotes the Planck's constant (regarded as a parameter).

Villegas-Blas proved that \mathbf{B}_{S^n} is a unitary transformation onto its range $\mathcal{F}_m \subset \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$, where the space \mathcal{F}_m is defined by

Case $m = 2$: Let \mathcal{F}_2 be the closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}^2}$ generated by the monomials with even degree.

Case $m = 4$: Let $\mathcal{F}_4 \subset \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}^4}$ be the kernel of the following operator:

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{L} = v_1 \partial_{v_1} + v_2 \partial_{v_2} - v_3 \partial_{v_3} - v_4 \partial_{v_4}.$$

The domain of \mathcal{L} is defined as $\{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}^4} \mid \mathcal{L}f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}^4}\}$.

Case $m = 8$: Let $\mathcal{F}_8 \subset \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}^8}$ be the intersection of the kernel of the following three operators:

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_1 &= v_1 \partial_{v_1} + v_2 \partial_{v_2} + v_3 \partial_{v_3} + v_4 \partial_{v_4} - v_5 \partial_{v_5} - v_6 \partial_{v_6} - v_7 \partial_{v_7} - v_8 \partial_{v_8}, \\ \mathcal{R}_2 &= v_7 \partial_{v_1} - v_8 \partial_{v_2} + v_5 \partial_{v_3} - v_6 \partial_{v_4} - v_3 \partial_{v_5} + v_4 \partial_{v_6} - v_1 \partial_{v_7} + v_2 \partial_{v_8}, \\ \mathcal{R}_3 &= z_7 \partial_{v_1} - v_8 \partial_{v_2} + v_5 \partial_{v_3} - v_6 \partial_{v_4} + v_3 \partial_{v_5} - v_4 \partial_{v_6} + v_1 \partial_{v_7} - v_2 \partial_{v_8}. \end{aligned}$$

The domains of \mathcal{R}_ℓ , $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ are defined in a similar way as the domain of \mathcal{L} .

Notice that, for $m = 2, 4, 8$, the elements of the Hilbert spaces \mathcal{F}_m are given by the invariant functions in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$ under the action of the group $\mathcal{G}_m = \mathbb{Z}_2, S^1, \text{SU}(2)$ on $\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbb{C}^4, \mathbb{C}^8$ respectively (see Eqs. (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6)).

2.2. Coherent states for $L^2(S^n)$, $n = 2, 3, 5$. In [10] Villegas-Blas introduced the coherent states as the complex conjugate of the integral kernel defining the transformation \mathbf{B}_{S^n} (see Eq. (2.11)). For $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m - \{0\}$, let us define

$$(2.14) \quad \Phi_{\rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{z})}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{2\ell+n-1}}{\ell! \sqrt{n-1}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{z})}{\hbar} \right)^\ell, \quad \mathbf{x} \in S^n.$$

Note that $\Phi_{\rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{z})}^{(\hbar)}$ is in $L^2(S^n)$ because it is a bounded function. Moreover, the action of the transformation \mathbf{B}_{S^n} on a function Ψ in $L^2(S^n)$ is a function in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$ whose evaluation in $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ is equal to the $L^2(S^n)$ -inner product of Ψ with the coherent state labeled by $\rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{z})$ (see Eq. 2.11). This is

$$(2.15) \quad \mathbf{B}_{S^n} \Psi(\mathbf{z}) = \langle \Psi, \Phi_{\rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{z})}^{(\hbar)} \rangle_{S^n}.$$

For $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^m$, let us denote by $\mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\cdot, \mathbf{w})$ the action of the transformation \mathbf{B}_{S^n} of the coherent state $\Phi_{\rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{w})}^{(\hbar)}$. The function $\mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\cdot, \mathbf{w})$ has the following expression

$$\mathbf{Q}_2^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) := \mathbf{B}_{S^n} \Phi_{\rho_{(2,2)}^{(\hbar)}}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k)! \hbar^{2k}} (z_1 \bar{w}_1 + z_2 \bar{w}_2)^{2k},$$

(2.16)

$$\mathbf{Q}_4^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) := \mathbf{B}_{S^n} \Phi_{\rho_{(3,4)}^{(\hbar)}}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k!)^2 \hbar^{2k}} (z_1 \bar{w}_1 + z_2 \bar{w}_2)^k (z_3 \bar{w}_3 + z_4 \bar{w}_4)^k,$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_8^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) := \mathbf{B}_{S^n} \Phi_{\rho_{(5,8)}^{(\hbar)}}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!(k+1)! \hbar^{2k}} (\varrho(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}))^k,$$

with

$$(2.17) \quad \varrho(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = [u_1 \bar{v}_1 + u_2 \bar{v}_2 + u_3 \bar{v}_3 + u_4 \bar{v}_4][u_5 \bar{v}_5 + u_6 \bar{v}_6 + u_7 \bar{v}_7 + u_8 \bar{v}_8] \\ + [u_7 \bar{v}_1 - u_8 \bar{v}_2 + u_5 \bar{v}_3 - u_6 \bar{v}_4][u_2 \bar{v}_8 - u_3 \bar{v}_5 + u_4 \bar{v}_6 - u_1 \bar{v}_7].$$

We can express the functions $\mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\cdot, \mathbf{w})$ in terms of the modified Bessel functions of the first kind of order ν , \mathbf{I}_ν (see Secs. 8.4 and 8.5 of Ref. [5] for definition and expressions for this special function) as the following proposition establishes it:

Proposition 2.1. For $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^m - \{0\}$:

$$(2.18) \quad \mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = \Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}}{2\hbar^2}\right)^{\frac{3-n}{4}} \mathbf{I}_{\frac{n-3}{2}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}}}{\hbar}\right),$$

with $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{z})$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{w})$. We are taking the branch of the square root function defined by $\sqrt{z} = |z|^{1/2} \exp(i\theta/2)$, where $\theta = \text{Arg}(z)$ and $-\pi < \theta < \pi$.

Proof. Using the explicit expression definition of $\rho_{(n,m)}$ (see Eqs. (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3)) we obtain the following relations

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_{(2,2)}(\mathbf{z}) \cdot \rho_{(2,2)}(\mathbf{w}) &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{w})^2, \\ \rho_{(3,4)}(\mathbf{z}) \cdot \rho_{(3,4)}(\mathbf{w}) &= 2(z_1 \bar{w}_1 + z_2 \bar{w}_2)(z_3 \bar{w}_3 + z_4 \bar{w}_4), \\ \rho_{(5,8)}(\mathbf{z}) \cdot \rho_{(5,8)}(\mathbf{w}) &= 2\varrho(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}), \end{aligned}$$

with $\varrho(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})$ defined in Eq. (2.17). From Eqs. (2.16), (2.19) and using the following expression by \mathbf{I}_ν (see formula 9.6.10 of Ref. [1])

$$\mathbf{I}_\nu(\omega) = \left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right)^\nu \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}\omega^2\right)^\ell}{\ell! \Gamma(\nu + \ell + 1)}$$

we obtain Eq. (2.18). □

Proposition 2.1 and Eqs. (2.15), (2.16) allow us to express the inner product of two coherent states in terms of the modified Bessel functions: for $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{z})$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{w})$, with $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^m - \{0\}$

$$(2.20) \quad \left\langle \Phi_{\beta}^{(\hbar)}, \Phi_{\alpha}^{(\hbar)} \right\rangle_{S^n} = \mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})$$

$$(2.21) \quad = \Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha \cdot \beta}{2\hbar^2}\right)^{\frac{3-n}{4}} \mathbf{I}_{\frac{n-3}{2}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2\alpha \cdot \beta}}{\hbar}\right).$$

Moreover, from Eq. (2.21) and the fact that the modified Bessel function \mathbf{I}_{ϑ} , $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$, has the following asymptotic expression when $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$ (see formula 8.451-5 of Ref. [5])

$$(2.22) \quad \mathbf{I}_{\vartheta}(\omega) = \frac{e^{\omega}}{\sqrt{2\pi\omega}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2\omega)^k} \frac{\Gamma(\vartheta + k + \frac{1}{2})}{k! \Gamma(\vartheta - k + \frac{1}{2})}, \quad |\text{Arg}(\omega)| < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

we can obtain the asymptotic expansion for the inner product of two coherent states:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^m - \{0\}$ and $\alpha = \rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{z})$, $\beta = \rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{w})$. Assume $\alpha \cdot \beta \neq 0$ and $|\text{Arg}(\alpha \cdot \beta)| < \pi$. Then*

$$\left\langle \Phi_{\beta}^{(\hbar)}, \Phi_{\alpha}^{(\hbar)} \right\rangle_{S^n} = \Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2\alpha \cdot \beta}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{4}} \frac{2^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{2\alpha \cdot \beta}}{\hbar}\right) [1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)].$$

In addition to the expression indicated in Eq. (2.18) for the functions $\mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\cdot, \mathbf{w})$, with $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^m$, we can also express these functions as the average of the map $\exp((\cdot) \cdot \mathbf{w})$ under the corresponding group $\mathcal{G}_m = \mathbb{Z}_2, S^1, \text{SU}(2)$ (see Eqs. (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6)), as the following proposition establishes it:

Proposition 2.3. *For $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^m - \{0\}$:*

$$(2.23) \quad \mathbf{Q}_2^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\exp\left(\frac{z_1 \bar{w}_1 + z_2 \bar{w}_2}{\hbar}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{-z_1 \bar{w}_1 - z_2 \bar{w}_2}{\hbar}\right) \right),$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_4^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{T}(g(\psi)) \mathbf{w}\right) d\psi,$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_8^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi/2} \int_{\alpha=0}^{2\pi} \int_{\gamma=0}^{2\pi} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{T}(g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma)) \mathbf{w}\right) dm(\theta, \alpha, \gamma).$$

with $\mathbf{T}(g)$ given by the action of $\mathcal{G}_m = \mathbb{Z}_2, S^1, \text{SU}(2)$ on $\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbb{C}^4, \mathbb{C}^8$ indicated in Eqs. (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6). We are using the notation $g = g(\psi) = \exp(i\psi) \in S^1$ for the $m = 4$ case and $g = g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma) \in \text{SU}(2)$ for the $m = 8$ case where

$$(2.24) \quad g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) \exp(i\alpha) & \text{sen}(\theta) \exp(i\gamma) \\ -\text{sen}(\theta) \exp(-i\gamma) & \cos(\theta) \exp(-i\alpha) \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$ and $\alpha, \gamma \in [-\pi, \pi]$. The measure appearing in the integral expression for $\mathbf{Q}_8(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})$ is the Haar measure of $\text{SU}(2)$ under the parametrization of $\text{SU}(2)$ indicated in Eq. (2.24): $dm(\theta, \alpha, \gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \text{sen}(\theta) \cos(\theta) d\theta d\alpha d\gamma$.

Proof. Use the Taylor series expansion for the exponential function in the integral on the right-hand side of Eqs. (2.23). The last case $(n, m) = (5, 8)$ requires a more elaborated calculation. For this case, integrate first with respect to β and then with respect to α . To perform the integration

with respect to θ use the identity $\int_0^{\pi/2} (\cos \theta)^{2k+1} (\sin \theta)^{2(\ell-k)+1} d\theta = (\ell - k)!k!/2(\ell + 1)!$, which in turn can be obtained using the formula 2.511-4 of Ref. [5]. \square

We end this section by showing that the family of coherent states is complete

Proposition 2.4. *The family of coherent states forms a complete system in $L^2(S^n)$.*

Proof. Let $\phi, \psi \in L^2(S^n)$, since the transformation \mathbf{B}_{S^n} is unitary and Eq. (2.15)

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle_{S^n} = \langle \mathbf{B}_{S^n} \phi, \mathbf{B}_{S^n} \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{F}_m} = \int_{\mathbb{C}^m} \langle \phi, \Phi_{\rho(n,m)(\mathbf{z})}^{(\hbar)} \rangle_{S^n} \langle \Phi_{\rho(n,m)(\mathbf{z})}^{(\hbar)}, \psi \rangle_{S^n} d\mu_m^{\hbar}(\mathbf{z}).$$

\square

3. BEREZIN SYMBOLIC CALCULUS

In order to introduce our star product we consider the following:

Definition 3.1. The Berezin symbol of a bounded linear operator A with domain in $L^2(S^n)$ is defined, for every $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m$, by

$$(3.1) \quad \mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{\left\langle A \Phi_{\rho(n,m)(\mathbf{z})}^{(\hbar)}, \Phi_{\rho(n,m)(\mathbf{z})}^{(\hbar)} \right\rangle_{S^n}}{\left\langle \Phi_{\rho(n,m)(\mathbf{z})}^{(\hbar)}, \Phi_{\rho(n,m)(\mathbf{z})}^{(\hbar)} \right\rangle_{S^n}}.$$

We will denote by $A_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}$ the algebra of these Berezin symbols, that is

$$A_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)} = \left\{ \mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(A) \mid A \in \mathbf{B}(L^2(S^n)) \right\}.$$

Example. Let's us consider the operators \mathbf{A}_ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, n+1$, for which the coherent states $\Phi_{\rho(n,m)(\mathbf{z})}^{(\hbar)}$, $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m$, are their eigenfunctions with eigenvalue $(\overline{\rho(n,m)(\mathbf{z})})_\ell$ (see appendix A for details). From Eq. (3.1) it's not difficult to show

$$\mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{A}_\ell)(\mathbf{z}) = (\overline{\rho(n,m)(\mathbf{z})})_\ell, \quad \mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{A}_\ell^*)(\mathbf{z}) = (\rho(n,m)(\mathbf{z}))_\ell,$$

where \mathbf{A}_ℓ^* denotes the adjoint of the operator \mathbf{A}_ℓ .

Thus, by taking appropriate compositions of the operators $\mathbf{A}_\ell, \mathbf{A}_j^*$, $\ell, j = 1, \dots, n+1$, it can be shown that

$$\mathfrak{E}_{(n,m)} := \left\{ (\overline{\rho(n,m)(\mathbf{z})})^{\mathbf{s}} (\rho(n,m)(\mathbf{z}))^{\mathbf{k}} \mid \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n+1} \right\} \subset A_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}.$$

Moreover, since the map $\rho(n,m)$ is invariant under the action of the group $\mathcal{G}_m = \mathbb{Z}_2, S^1$ and $SU(2)$ on $\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbb{C}^4$ and \mathbb{C}^8 , respectively (see Eqs. (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6)), we can prove that the space \mathcal{P}_{inv} of all invariant polynomials, in the variables \mathbf{z} and $\bar{\mathbf{z}}$, under the action of the group \mathcal{G}_m on \mathbb{C}^m belong to $A_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}$. We will not go into great details of the proof and we will only sketch the structure of it. In order to show this assert, we first show that all polynomials in \mathcal{P}_{inv} have even degree.

For $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$, let us define the function $P_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}} : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $P_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{w}) := \mathbf{w}^{\mathbf{k}} \overline{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{s}}$. If we assume that $P_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}} \in \mathcal{P}_{inv}$, then $P_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}} = (P_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}})_{ave}$, with $(P_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}})_{ave}$ denoting the average of the polynomial $P_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}}$ under the group \mathcal{G}_m , i.e.

$$P_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} (P_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{w}) + P_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}}(-\mathbf{w})) , \quad \text{for } m = 2, \quad (3.2)$$

$$P_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi P_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{T}(g(\psi))\mathbf{w}) d\psi , \quad \text{for } m = 4, \quad (3.3)$$

$$P_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{w}) = \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi/2} \int_{\alpha=0}^{2\pi} \int_{\gamma=0}^{2\pi} P_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{T}(g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma))\mathbf{w}) dm(\theta, \alpha, \gamma) , \quad \text{for } m = 8,$$

with $\mathbb{T}(g)$ given by the action of \mathcal{G}_m on \mathbb{C}^m indicated in Eqs. (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6). We claim that if $P_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}}$ is odd then it must be equal to the zero polynomial: Using the explicit expression of $\mathbb{T}(g(\psi))$, $\mathbb{T}(g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma))$ (see Eqs. (2.5), (2.6)) on the right-hand side of Eqs. (3.2), (3.3) and integrating the result with respect to the variable ψ , θ , respectively, we obtain that the right-hand side of Eqs. (3.2), (3.3) must be equal to zero, where for the case $m = 8$ we have used the formulas 2.511-1, 2.511-3, 2.512-1 and 2.512-3 of Ref. [5].

Let $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, since the set of polynomials $\{P_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}} \mid \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m, |\mathbf{k}| + |\mathbf{s}| = 2\ell\}$, is a basis of the space $\mathcal{W}_{2\ell}$ of homogeneous polynomials of degree 2ℓ in the complex variable $\mathbf{w}, \overline{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathbb{C}^m$, then the set of polynomials $\{(P_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}})_{ave} \mid \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m, |\mathbf{k}| + |\mathbf{s}| = 2\ell\}$ is a basis of the subspace of $\mathcal{W}_{2\ell}$ consisting of all invariant polynomials under the group \mathcal{G}_m . Moreover, by induction and algebraic manipulations it can be proved that $(P_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}})_{ave}$, $|\mathbf{k}| + |\mathbf{s}| = 2\ell$, belongs to the span of the set $\mathcal{S}_{(n, m)}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{(2, 2)} &= \{(z_1^2)^{a_1} (z_2^2)^{a_2} (z_1 z_2)^{a_3} \mid a_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, j = 1, 2, 3, a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = \ell\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_{(3, 4)} &= \{(z_1 z_3)^{a_1} (z_2 z_4)^{a_2} (z_1 z_4)^{a_3} (z_2 z_3)^{a_4} \mid a_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, j = 1, \dots, 4, a_1 + \dots + a_4 = \ell\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_{(5, 8)} &= \{(z_3 z_8 + z_2 z_5)^{a_1} (z_1 z_6 + z_4 z_7)^{a_2} (z_2 z_6 + z_4 z_8)^{a_3} (z_3 z_7 - z_1 z_5)^{a_4} (z_1 z_8 + z_2 z_7)^{a_5} \\ &\quad (z_3 z_6 + z_4 z_5)^{a_6} \mid a_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, j = 1, \dots, 6, a_1 + \dots + a_6 = \ell\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since the functions in $\mathcal{S}_{(n, m)}$ belong to the span of the set $\mathfrak{E}_{(n, m)}$ we have \mathcal{P}_{inv} belongs to $A_{(n, m)}^{(h)}$.

From Eq. (2.21) we have

$$\|\Phi_{\rho(n, m)(\mathbf{z})}^{(h)}\|_{S^n}^2 = \Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{|\rho(n, m)(\mathbf{z})|^2}{2\hbar^2}\right)^{\frac{3-n}{4}} \mathbb{I}_{\frac{n-3}{2}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}|\rho(n, m)(\mathbf{z})|}{\hbar}\right) > 0,$$

hence the functions $\Phi_{\rho(n, m)(\mathbf{z})}^{(h)}$ are continuous, i.e. the map $\mathbf{z} \mapsto |\Phi_{\rho(n, m)(\mathbf{z})}^{(h)}|$ is continuous. Therefore, if $A : L^2(S^n) \rightarrow L^2(S^n)$ is a bounded linear operator, its Berezin symbol can be extended uniquely to a function defined on a neighbourhood of the diagonal in $\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^m$ in such a way that it is holomorphic in the first factor and anti-holomorphic in the second. In fact,

such an extension is given explicitly by

$$(3.4) \quad \mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z}) := \frac{\langle A\Phi_{\rho(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}), \Phi_{\rho(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{w}) \rangle_{S^n}}{\langle \Phi_{\rho(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}), \Phi_{\rho(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{w}) \rangle_{S^n}}.$$

Remark 3.2. By Eq. (2.21), the extended Berezin symbol has singularities at the zeros of the modified Bessel function $I_{\frac{n-3}{2}}(z)$, which are well known (see Ref. [8] Sec. 5.13) and at $\rho(n,m)(\mathbf{w}) \cdot \rho(n,m)(\mathbf{z}) = 0$.

We now give the rules for symbolic calculus

Proposition 3.3. *Let A, B be bounded linear operators with domain in $L^2(S^n)$. Then for $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\phi \in L^2(S^n)$ we have*

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(\text{Id}) &= 1, \text{ with Id denoting the identity operator,} \\ \mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(A^*)(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) &= \overline{\mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z})}, \\ \mathbf{B}_{S^n}(A\phi)(\mathbf{z}) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^m} \mathbf{B}_{S^n}\phi(\mathbf{u})\mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u})\mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u})d\mu_m^{\hbar}(\mathbf{u}). \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(AB)(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^m} \mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(B)(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w})\mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}) \\ &\quad \times \frac{\mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u})\mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w})}{\mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})} d\mu_m^{\hbar}(\mathbf{u}), \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first two equalities follow from the definition of extended Berezin symbol (see Eq. (3.4)). Eq. (3.5) follows from Eqs. (2.15), (3.4) and Proposition 2.4

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{S^n}(A\phi)(\mathbf{z}) &= \left\langle \phi, A^*\Phi_{\rho(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}) \right\rangle_{S^n} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^m} \mathbf{B}_{S^n}\phi(\mathbf{u})\mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u})\mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u})d\mu_m^{\hbar}(\mathbf{u}). \end{aligned}$$

The formula in Eq. (3.6) follows from Proposition 2.4 and Eq. (3.4). \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let $A : L^2(S^n) \rightarrow L^2(S^n)$ be a bounded linear operator, and define the function on $\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^m$,*

$$\mathfrak{K}_A(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) := \langle A\Phi_{\rho(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{w}), \Phi_{\rho(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}) \rangle_{S^n}.$$

Let A^\sharp be the operator on \mathcal{F}_m with Schwarz kernel \mathfrak{K}_A . Then

$$(3.7) \quad A^\sharp f(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{B}_{S^n}A(\mathbf{B}_{S^n})^{-1}f(\mathbf{z}) \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}_m, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_m$, and $\phi = (\mathbf{B}_{S^n})^{-1}f$. We obtain Eq. (3.7) from Eqs. (3.5), (3.4) and (2.20). \square

Now we show some properties of the extended Berezin symbol that we will use in the next section to obtain the asymptotic expansion of the star-product.

Proposition 3.5. *Let A be a bounded linear operator on $L^2(S^n)$, $n = 2, 3, 5$ and $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^m$, $m = 2, 4, 8$, respectively. Then*

$$(3.8) \quad \mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(T(g)\mathbf{w}, T(\tilde{g})\mathbf{z}) = \mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z}), \quad \forall g, \tilde{g} \in \mathcal{G}_m$$

where $T(g), T(\tilde{g})$ are given by the action of \mathcal{G}_m on \mathbb{C}^m (see Eqs. (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6)).

Proof. Since the elements of the Hilbert spaces \mathcal{F}_m are invariant functions under the action of the corresponding group \mathcal{G}_m , and $\mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\cdot, \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{B}_{S^n} \Phi_{\rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{v})}^{(\hbar)} \in \mathcal{F}_m$, $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ (see Eqs. (2.15) and 2.20)), we have

$$(3.9) \quad \mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{s}, T(g)\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{v}), \quad \forall \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^m, \forall g \in \mathcal{G}_m,$$

where we have used $\mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{v}) = \overline{\mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{s})}$. Thus, from Corollary 3.4 and Eq. (3.9)

$$(3.10) \quad \mathbf{B}_{S^n} A (\mathbf{B}_{S^n})^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\cdot, T(g)\mathbf{s}) = \mathbf{B}_{S^n} A (\mathbf{B}_{S^n})^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\cdot, \mathbf{s}), \quad \forall \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^m.$$

Since the transformation \mathbf{B}_{S^n} is unitary, we obtain from Eq. (3.4)

$$(3.11) \quad \mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z}) = \frac{\langle \mathbf{B}_{S^n} A (\mathbf{B}_{S^n})^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\cdot, \mathbf{z}), \mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\cdot, \mathbf{w}) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}_m}}{\langle \mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\cdot, \mathbf{w}), \mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\cdot, \mathbf{z}) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}_m}}.$$

Therefore, from Eqs. (3.11), (3.10) and (3.9) we obtain Eq. (3.8). \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let A be a bounded linear operator on $L^2(S^n)$, $n = 2, 3, 5$, then for $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ fixed, $m = 2, 4, 8$ respectively, the extended Berezin symbol $\mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(\cdot, \mathbf{z})$ is invariant under the action of the group \mathcal{G}_m on \mathbb{C}^m (see Eqs. (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6)), i.e.*

$$(3.12) \quad \mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(T(g)\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z}) = \mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z}), \quad \forall \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^m, \forall g \in \mathcal{G}_m.$$

A similar result is obtained by freezing the first variable in the extended Berezin symbol.

Proof. Let g_0 be the identity element in \mathcal{G}_m . From the explicit expression for $T(g)$ (see Eqs. (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6)), we obtain $T(g_0)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^m$. Thus, taking $\tilde{g} = g_0$ in Eq (3.8), we obtain Eq. (3.12).

Similarly, we obtain the second part of this Corollary. \square

In addition to satisfying the property indicated in Proposition 3.5, the extended Berezin symbol belongs to the kernel of the operator \mathcal{L} (for the case $(n, m) = (3, 4)$) and the kernel of the operators $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{R}_3$ (for the case $(n, m) = (5, 8)$), as the following proposition establishes it:

Proposition 3.7. *Let A be a bounded linear operator on $L^2(S^n)$, $n = 3, 5$, and $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^m$, $m = 4, 8$ respectively. Assume $\rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{w}) \neq 0$ and $\text{Arg}(\rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{w})) < \pi$. Then*

$$\text{For } (n, m) = (3, 4) : \quad \mathcal{L} \mathfrak{B}_{(3,4)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = 0,$$

$$\text{For } (n, m) = (5, 8) : \quad \mathcal{R}_j \mathfrak{B}_{(5,8)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$

with $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, defined in Eqs. (2.12), (2.13) and where we think of $\mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ as a function of \mathbf{v} for \mathbf{w} fixed.

Proof. The case $(n, m) = (3, 4)$. Let $g(\theta) = \exp(i\theta)$ and $T(g(\theta))$ given in Eq. (2.5). From Corollary 3.6

$$(3.13) \quad \mathfrak{B}_{(3,4)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(T(g(\theta))\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathfrak{B}_{(3,4)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}).$$

By considering the partial derivative of both sides in Eq. (3.13) with respect to θ and evaluating the resulting equation at the point $\theta = 0$, we obtain that $\mathfrak{B}_{(3,4)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})$ must belong to the kernel of the operator \mathcal{L} .

The case $(n, m) = (5, 8)$. Let $g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma) \in \text{SU}(2)$ and $T(g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma))$ given in Eq. (2.6). From Corollary 3.6

$$(3.14) \quad \mathfrak{B}_{(5,8)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(T(g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma))\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathfrak{B}_{(5,8)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}).$$

We consider the expression for $g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma) \in \text{SU}(2)$ given in Eq. (2.24). In a similar way that for the case $n = 3$, we can prove that $\mathfrak{B}_{(5,8)}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})$ is in the kernel of the operators \mathcal{R}_1 , \mathcal{R}_2 and \mathcal{R}_3 by considering the partial derivatives of both sides in Eq. (3.14) with respect to α, θ, γ , respectively, and then evaluating at the point $(\theta, \alpha, \gamma) = (0, 0, 0)$ (we actually need to take the limit $\theta \rightarrow 0$ in the last case). □

4. THE STAR PRODUCT

In this section we defined a noncommutative star product on the algebra $A_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}$ which consists of Berezin symbols for bounded linear operators with domain in $L^2(S^n)$. See Ref. [2] for the standard definition of star product.

Following a way similar to that followed by Berezin in Ref. [3], from Eq. (3.6) we can define on $A_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}$ a noncommutative operation. Thus, we have the following

Definition 4.1. For $f_1, f_2 \in A_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}$, let us defined on the algebra $A_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}$ the following operation

$$(4.1) \quad (f_1 *_m f_2)(\mathbf{z}) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^m} f_1(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}) f_2(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}) \frac{|\mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z})|^2}{\mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z})} d\mu_m^{\hbar}(\mathbf{u}),$$

where the functions $f_j(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$, $j = 1, 2$, are the analytic continuation of $f_j(\mathbf{v})$ to $\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^m$ (see Eq. (3.4)).

Remark 4.2. From Eqs. (3.8) and (3.9), it follows that the above operation is \mathcal{G}_m -invariant in the sense that

$$(4.2) \quad (f_1 \circ T(g)) *_m (f_2 \circ T(g)) = (f_1 *_m f_2) \circ T(g), \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{G}_m, \forall f_1, f_2 \in A_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)},$$

where $T(g)$ is given by the action of \mathcal{G}_m on \mathbb{C}^m (see Eqs. (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6)). Even more, in the next section we will prove that the operation $*_m$ is \mathfrak{F}_m -invariant, where $\mathfrak{F}_2 = \text{SU}(2)$, $\mathfrak{F}_4 = \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{F}_8 = \text{SU}(4)$.

In the following theorem we will prove that the operation $*_m$ satisfies the usual requirement of a star product, namely, it's associative and when $\hbar \rightarrow 0$

$$f_1 *_m f_2(\mathbf{z}) = f_1(\mathbf{z})f_2(\mathbf{z}) + \hbar \tilde{\mathcal{B}}(f_1, f_2)(\mathbf{z}) + O(\hbar^2), \quad \mathbf{z} \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^m, f_1, f_2 \in A_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)},$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a certain bidifferential operator of the first order.

Theorem 4.3. *The operation $*_m$ (see Eq. (4.1)) satisfies*

- a) $f *_m 1 = 1 *_m f = f$, for all $f \in A_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}$, with 1 denoting the unit constant function,
- b) $*_m$ is associative, and
- c) for $f_1, f_2 \in A_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}$ and $\mathbf{z} \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^m$, we have the following asymptotic expression when $\hbar \rightarrow 0$

(4.3)

$$f_1 *_m f_2(\mathbf{z}) = f_1(\mathbf{z})f_2(\mathbf{z}) + \hbar \left[\sum_{\ell=1}^m \partial_{u_\ell} f_2(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}) \partial_{\bar{u}_\ell} f_1(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}) \right]_{\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{z}} + O(\hbar^2),$$

where the functions $f_j(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u})$, $j = 1, 2$, are the analytic continuation of $f_j(\mathbf{z})$ to $\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^m$ (see Eq. (3.4)).

Proof. a) Let $f \in A_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}$, then $f = \mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(A)$ with $A \in \mathbf{B}(L^2(S^n))$. From Eqs. (2.20), (3.4) and Proposition 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} f *_m 1(\mathbf{z}) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^m} \langle A \Phi_{\rho(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{w}), \Phi_{\rho(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}) \rangle_{S^n} \frac{\langle \Phi_{\rho(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}), \Phi_{\rho(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{w}) \rangle_{S^n}}{\langle \Phi_{\rho(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}), \Phi_{\rho(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}) \rangle_{S^n}} d\mu_m^{\hbar}(\mathbf{w}) \\ &= f(\mathbf{z}). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, $1 *_m f = f$.

b) The associativity follows from the fact that the composition in the algebra of all bounded linear operator on $L^2(S^n)$ is associative.

c) Let us first assume that $\mathbf{z} \neq 0$. The case $\mathbf{z} = 0$ can be easily studied, see below.

Case $(n, m) = (2, 2)$: From Eq. (2.23)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathbf{Q}_2^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z})|^2}{\mathbf{Q}_2^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z})} e^{-|\mathbf{u}|^2/\hbar} &= \frac{\exp(-|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{z}|^2/\hbar)}{2(1 + \exp(-2|\mathbf{z}|^2/\hbar))} + \frac{\exp(-|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{z}|^2/\hbar)}{2(1 + \exp(-2|\mathbf{z}|^2/\hbar))} \\ &+ \frac{\cos(2\text{Im}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{z})/\hbar) \exp(-|\mathbf{u}|^2/\hbar) \exp(-|\mathbf{z}|^2/\hbar)}{1 + \exp(-2|\mathbf{z}|^2/\hbar)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Since the last term in Eq. (4.4) is $O(\hbar^\infty)$, where $O(\hbar^\infty)$ denotes a quantity tending to zero faster than any power of \hbar , we have from Eqs. (4.1) and (2.10)

$$(f_1 *_2 f_2)(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{z}) + \mathbf{I}(-\mathbf{z})}{2(1 + \exp(-2|\mathbf{z}|^2/\hbar))} + O(\hbar^\infty) \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{v}) := \frac{1}{(\pi\hbar)^2} \int \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\hbar}|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}|^2\right) d\mathbf{u} d\bar{\mathbf{u}} \quad (4.6)$$

with $\beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}) = f_1(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u})f_2(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z})$. Notice however that $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{v})$ is just the standard formula for the solution at time $t = \hbar/4$ of the heat equation on $\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{R}^4$

with initial data $\beta_{\mathbf{z}}$; i.e.

$$(4.7) \quad \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \hbar^{\ell} (\partial_{\mathbf{u}\bar{\mathbf{u}}})^{\ell} \beta_{\mathbf{z}} \Big|_{\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{v}},$$

where $\partial_{\mathbf{u}\bar{\mathbf{u}}} = \sum_j \partial_{u_j \bar{u}_j}$ denotes the Laplace operator. Eq. (4.7) can also be obtained using the stationary phase method (see Eq. (B.1)), however we do not include its description in order not to make this proof too long.

Thus, from Eqs. (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7)

$$(4.8) \quad (f_1 *_2 f_2)(\mathbf{z}) = (1 + \hbar \partial_{\mathbf{u}\bar{\mathbf{u}}}) \left[\frac{1}{2} \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}) \Big|_{\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{z}} + \frac{1}{2} \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}) \Big|_{\mathbf{u}=-\mathbf{z}} \right] + O(\hbar^2).$$

where we have used $1 + \exp(-2|\mathbf{z}|^2/\hbar) = 1 + O(\hbar^{\infty})$.

Note that for $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^2$, the function $\beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}) = f_1(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}) f_2(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z})$ satisfies $\beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}) = \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(-\mathbf{v}, -\bar{\mathbf{v}})$ because $f_1, f_2 \in A_{(2,2)}^{(\hbar)}$ and Corollary 3.6.

Thus, from the chain rule and Eq. (4.8)

$$(f_1 *_2 f_2)(\mathbf{z}) = f_1(\mathbf{z}) f_2(\mathbf{z}) + \hbar \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \left[\partial_{u_{\ell}} f_2(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}) \partial_{\bar{u}_{\ell}} f_1(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}) \right]_{\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{z}} + O(\hbar^2),$$

where we have used that the extended Berezin symbol is holomorphic in the first factor and anti-holomorphic in the second.

Case $(n, m) = (3, 4)$: From Eqs. (4.1), (2.23) and (2.10) we have

$$(4.9) \quad (f_1 *_4 f_2)(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{e^{|\mathbf{z}|^2/\hbar}}{\mathbf{Q}_4^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z})} \frac{1}{4\pi^6 \hbar^4} \int_{\psi=0}^{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^4} \int_{\theta=0}^{2\pi} \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}) \exp\left(\frac{\imath}{\hbar} \mathbf{p}_{\psi}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \theta)\right) d\theta d\mathbf{u} d\bar{\mathbf{u}} d\psi,$$

where $\beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}) = f_1(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}) f_2(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z})$ and the phase function \mathbf{p}_{ψ} is

$$\mathbf{p}_{\psi}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \theta) = \imath \left(|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{z}|^2 - \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{T}(g(\psi)) \mathbf{z} - \overline{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{T}(g(\theta)) \mathbf{z}} \right),$$

with $g(\psi) = \exp(\imath\psi)$ and $g(\theta) = \exp(\imath\theta)$. To obtain the asymptotic expansion (4.3), we can use the stationary phase method with complex phase, see Eq. (B.1), in the integral appearing on the right hand side of Eq. (4.9).

For our purpose, we need to consider the gradient and Hessian matrix of the function \mathbf{p}_{ψ} with respect to the nine variables $\theta, x_j = \Re(u_j), y_j = \Im(u_j), j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. It is actually more convenient to consider the derivatives of \mathbf{p}_{ψ} with respect to the variables $\theta, u_j, \bar{u}_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Namely,

- i) the condition $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \theta} \mathbf{p}_{\psi} = 0$ is equivalent to $\nabla_{\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \theta} \mathbf{p}_{\psi} = 0$ with $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_4), \mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_4), \mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_4)$, and
- ii) to obtain the Hessian matrix of \mathbf{p}_{ψ} with respect to the variables $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \theta$ we use the following equalities: $\partial_{x_j x_k} = \partial_{u_j u_k} + \partial_{u_j \bar{u}_k} + \partial_{\bar{u}_j u_k} + \partial_{\bar{u}_j \bar{u}_k}$, $\partial_{x_j y_k} = \imath(\partial_{u_j u_k} - \partial_{u_j \bar{u}_k} + \partial_{\bar{u}_j u_k} - \partial_{\bar{u}_j \bar{u}_k})$, $\partial_{y_j y_k} = -\partial_{u_j u_k} + \partial_{u_j \bar{u}_k} + \partial_{\bar{u}_j u_k} - \partial_{\bar{u}_j \bar{u}_k}$, $\partial_{x_j \theta} = \partial_{u_j \theta} + \partial_{\bar{u}_j \theta}$, $\partial_{y_j \theta} = \imath(\partial_{u_j \theta} - \partial_{\bar{u}_j \theta})$.

Notice that $\Im \mathbf{p}_\psi \geq 0$ because for all ψ and θ

$$|\Re(z_j \bar{u}_j e^{i\psi} + \bar{z}_j u_j e^{-i\theta})| \leq 2|z_j| |u_j| \text{ with } j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Given ψ fixed, $\psi \in (0, 2\pi)$, the gradient $\nabla_{\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \theta} \mathbf{p}_\psi$ is zero if and only if $\theta = \psi$, and $\mathbf{u} = \mathbb{T}(g(\psi)\mathbf{z})$ (i.e. $u_j = z_j \exp(-i\psi)$, $j = 1, 2$ and $u_j = z_j \exp(i\psi)$, $j = 3, 4$), where we have used that $\mathbf{z} \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^m$, i.e. \mathbf{z} satisfies the condition $|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = |z_3|^2 + |z_4|^2$ (see Eq. (2.8)). Moreover, the Hessian matrix of \mathbf{p}_ψ evaluated at the critical point $\mathbf{x}_0 + i\mathbf{y}_0 = \mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbb{T}(g(\psi)\mathbf{z})$, $\theta_0 = \psi$ is equal to

$$(4.10) \quad \mathbf{A} := \mathbf{p}''_\psi(\mathbf{u}_0, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_0, \theta_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 2i\mathbf{I}_4 & \mathbf{0}_4 & B_4\mathbf{u}_0 \\ \mathbf{0}_4 & 2i\mathbf{I}_4 & -iB_4\mathbf{u}_0 \\ (B_4\mathbf{u}_0)^t & -i(B_4\mathbf{u}_0)^t & i|\mathbf{z}|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where \mathbf{I}_s and $\mathbf{0}_s$ denote the identity matrix and zero matrix of size s respectively, S^t denotes the transpose matrix of a given matrix S and

$$B_{2\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{I}_\ell & \mathbf{0}_\ell \\ \mathbf{0}_\ell & \mathbf{I}_\ell \end{pmatrix}, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then from Eqs. (4.10) and (B.2), with $\mathcal{D} = i|\mathbf{z}|^2$, we have

$$\det(\mathbf{p}''_\psi(\mathbf{u}_0, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_0, \theta_0)) = (2i)^8 i|\mathbf{z}|^2.$$

From the stationary phase method we obtain that

$$(4.11) \quad (f_1 *_4 f_2)(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{e^{|\mathbf{z}|^2/\hbar}}{\mathbf{Q}_4^{(h)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z})} \frac{\sqrt{2\hbar}}{2^{2\pi} \sqrt{\pi} |\mathbf{z}|} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\sum_{\ell < k} \hbar^\ell \mathbf{M}_\ell \beta_{\mathbf{z}} \Big|_{cp} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^k) \right] d\psi$$

where

$$(4.12) \quad \mathbf{M}_\ell \beta_{\mathbf{z}} \Big|_{cp} = \sum_{s=\ell}^{3\ell} \frac{i^{-\ell} 2^{-s}}{s!(s-\ell)!} \left[(-\mathbf{A}^{-1}) \hat{D} \cdot \hat{D} \right]^s \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{p}_{cp})^{s-\ell} \Big|_{cp}$$

with $\mathbf{g} \Big|_{cp}$ denoting the evaluation at the critical point \mathbf{u}_0, θ_0 of a given function \mathbf{g} ,

(4.13)

$$\mathbf{p}_{cp} = \mathbf{p}_{cp}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \theta) = i(-\mathbb{T}(g(\theta))\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{u}_0 + i(\theta - \psi)B_4\mathbf{u}_0) \cdot \mathbf{u} - \frac{i}{2}|\mathbf{z}|^2(\theta - \psi)^2,$$

and \hat{D} the column vector of size 9 whose entries are defined by: $(\hat{D})_j = \partial_{x_j}$, $(\hat{D})_{4+j} = \partial_{y_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, 4$, and $(\hat{D})_9 = \partial_\theta$. The last Eq. (4.13) is a consequence of equalities $|\mathbf{u}_0| = |\mathbf{z}|$ and $\mathbf{p}_\psi(\mathbf{u}_0, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_0, \theta_0) = 0$.

In order to estimate $\mathbf{M}_1 \beta_{\mathbf{z}} \Big|_{cp}$, we first need to obtain the inverse of the matrix \mathbf{A} . From Eqs. (4.10) and (B.3), with $\mathcal{D} = i|\mathbf{z}|^2$,

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2i}\mathbf{I}_4 - \frac{1}{4i|\mathbf{z}|^2}B_4\mathbf{u}_0\mathbf{u}_0^t B_4 & \frac{1}{4|\mathbf{z}|^2}B_4\mathbf{u}_0\mathbf{u}_0^t B_4 & \frac{1}{2|\mathbf{z}|^2}B_4\mathbf{u}_0 \\ \frac{1}{4|\mathbf{z}|^2}B_4\mathbf{u}_0\mathbf{u}_0^t B_4 & \frac{1}{2i}\mathbf{I}_4 + \frac{1}{4i|\mathbf{z}|^2}B_4\mathbf{u}_0\mathbf{u}_0^t B_4 & \frac{1}{2i|\mathbf{z}|^2}B_4\mathbf{u}_0 \\ \frac{1}{2|\mathbf{z}|^2}\mathbf{u}_0^t B_4 & \frac{1}{2i|\mathbf{z}|^2}\mathbf{u}_0^t B_4 & \frac{1}{i|\mathbf{z}|^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the following equalities: $B_4 \mathbf{u}_0 \mathbf{u}_0^t B_4 \mathbf{p} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{p}} = ((B_4 \mathbf{u}_0)^t \mathbf{p})^2$ for all $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{C}^4$ and $\partial_{u_j} = (\partial_{x_j} - i\partial_{y_j})/2$, and easy linear algebra manipulations, we can show

$$(4.14) \quad -(\mathbf{A}^{-1})\hat{D} \cdot \hat{D} = 2i\partial_{\mathbf{u}\bar{\mathbf{u}}} - \frac{i}{|\mathbf{z}|^2} [(B_4 \mathbf{u}_0)^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}} - i\partial_{\theta}]^2,$$

where $\partial_{\mathbf{u}\bar{\mathbf{u}}} = \sum_{j=1}^4 \partial_{u_j \bar{u}_j}$ and $\partial_{\mathbf{u}}$ denote the Laplace operator and the column vector of size 4 whose j entry is ∂_{u_j} (i.e. $(\partial_{\mathbf{u}})_j = \partial_{u_j}$) respectively.

From Eqs. (4.12) and (4.14)

$$(4.15)$$

$$\mathbf{M}_0 \beta_{\mathbf{z}} \Big|_{cp} = \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}_0, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_0),$$

$$(4.16)$$

$$\mathbf{M}_1 \beta_{\mathbf{z}} \Big|_{cp} = \left[\partial_{\mathbf{u}\bar{\mathbf{u}}} - \frac{1}{2|\mathbf{z}|^2} ((B_4 \mathbf{u}_0)^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}})^2 - \frac{1}{2|\mathbf{z}|^2} \mathbf{u}_0^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}} + \frac{1}{2^3 |\mathbf{z}|^2} \right] \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}_0, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_0).$$

where we have used the equality $B_4 \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = 0$ to obtain Eq. (4.16).

Notice that the right side of Eqs. (4.15) and (4.16) still depend on the variable ψ because $\mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{T}(g(\psi))\mathbf{z}$.

Thus, from Eqs. (4.11), (4.15) and (4.16)

$$(4.17) \quad (f_1 *_4 f_2)(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{e^{|\mathbf{z}|^2/\hbar}}{\mathbf{Q}_4^{(h)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z})} \frac{\sqrt{2\hbar}}{2^2 \pi \sqrt{\pi} |\mathbf{z}|} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left[1 + \hbar \left(\partial_{\mathbf{u}\bar{\mathbf{u}}} - \frac{1}{2|\mathbf{z}|^2} ((B_4 \mathbf{u}_0)^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}})^2 - \frac{1}{2|\mathbf{z}|^2} \mathbf{u}_0^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}} + \frac{1}{2^3 |\mathbf{z}|^2} \right) \right] \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}_0, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_0) d\psi + O(\hbar^2) \right\}.$$

Note that for $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^4$ and $g(\theta) \in S^1$, the function $\beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}) = f_1(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v})f_2(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z})$ satisfies

$$\beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}) = \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{T}(g(\theta))\mathbf{v}, \overline{\mathbf{T}(g(\theta))\mathbf{v}}),$$

because $f_1, f_2 \in A_{(3,4)}^{(h)}$ and Corollary 3.6. Then, from the chain rule

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}^t \partial_{\mathbf{v}} \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \Big|_{\substack{\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{z} \\ \theta=\psi}} &= \mathbf{u}_0^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}} \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}_0, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_0), \\ \partial_{\mathbf{v}\bar{\mathbf{v}}} \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \Big|_{\substack{\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{z} \\ \theta=\psi}} &= \partial_{\mathbf{u}\bar{\mathbf{u}}} \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}_0, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_0), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[(\mathbf{v}^t B_4 \partial_{\mathbf{v}})^2 - \mathbf{v}^t \partial_{\mathbf{v}} \right] \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \Big|_{\substack{\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{z} \\ \theta=\psi}} &= \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^4 (B_4)_{j,j} (B_4)_{k,k} v_j v_k \partial_{v_j} \partial_{v_k} \right) \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \Big|_{\substack{\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{z} \\ \theta=\psi}} \\ &= ((B_4 \mathbf{u}_0)^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}})^2 \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}_0, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from Eqs. (4.17), (4.18), Proposition 2.1, the asymptotic expression of the modified Bessel function \mathbf{I}_{ν} (see Eq. (2.22)) and the relation $\sqrt{2}|\rho_{(3,4)}(\mathbf{z})| = |\mathbf{z}|^2$ we have

$$(f_1 *_4 f_2)(\mathbf{z}) = \left[1 + \hbar \left(\partial_{\mathbf{u}\bar{\mathbf{u}}} - \frac{1}{2|\mathbf{z}|^2} (\mathbf{u}^t B_4 \partial_{\mathbf{u}})^2 \right) \right] \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}) \Big|_{\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{z}} + O(\hbar^2).$$

Using the equality $\mathbf{u}^t B_4 \partial_{\mathbf{u}} f_2(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}) = 0$ (see Proposition 3.7) and that the extended Berezin symbol is holomorphic in the first factor and anti-holomorphic in the second we finally obtain Eq. (4.3).

Case $(n, m) = (5, 8)$: This case is similar to the case when $(n, m) = (3, 4)$ but the computations are more involved. First note that from Eqs. (4.1), (2.23) and (2.10)

$$(f_1 *_{\mathbf{Q}_S^{(\hbar)}} f_2)(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{e^{|\mathbf{z}|^2/\hbar}}{\mathbf{Q}_S^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z})} \frac{1}{(\pi\hbar)^8} \int_{\theta, \alpha, \gamma} \int_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{C}^8} \int_{\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}} \exp\left(\frac{\iota}{\hbar} \mathfrak{p}_{\theta, \alpha, \gamma}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma})\right) \times \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}) dm(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}) d\mathbf{u} d\bar{\mathbf{u}} dm(\theta, \alpha, \gamma), \quad (4.19)$$

with $\beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}) = f_1(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u})f_2(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z})$ and the phase function $\mathfrak{p}_{\theta, \alpha, \gamma}$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\theta, \alpha, \gamma}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}) = \iota \left(|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{z}|^2 - \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{T}(g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma))\mathbf{z} - \overline{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{T}(g(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}))\mathbf{z}} \right),$$

where we are considering the expression for $g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma), g(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}) \in \text{SU}(2)$ given in Eq. (2.24). For θ, α, γ fixed, $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$ and $\alpha, \gamma \in (-\pi, \pi)$, the equations $\partial_{u_\ell} \mathfrak{p}_{\theta, \alpha, \gamma} = 0$ and $\partial_{\bar{u}_\ell} \mathfrak{p}_{\theta, \alpha, \gamma} = 0$, $\ell = 1, \dots, 8$ imply $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{T}(g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma))\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{T}(g(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}))\mathbf{z}$, which in turn implies (see Eq. (2.6))

$$\mathbf{V}\left(g^{-1}(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma})g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma)\right) \mathbf{Lz} = \mathbf{Lz}, \quad (4.20)$$

where $\mathbf{V}(g)$ and \mathbf{L} are defined in Eq. (2.7). Since $\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$ then $\mathbf{Lz} \neq \mathbf{0}$, therefore we obtain from Eq. (4.20) that $g^{-1}(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma})g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma)$ must be the identity matrix, which in turn implies $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}) = (\theta, \alpha, \gamma)$.

Even more, we claim that

$$\partial_{\tilde{\vartheta}} \mathfrak{p}_{\theta, \alpha, \gamma} \Big|_{cp} = 0, \quad \text{for } \vartheta = \theta, \alpha, \gamma, \quad (4.21)$$

where $\partial_{\tilde{\vartheta}} \mathfrak{p}_{\theta, \alpha, \gamma} \Big|_{cp}$ denotes $\partial_{\tilde{\vartheta}} \mathfrak{p}_{\theta, \alpha, \gamma}$ evaluated at $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{T}(g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma))\mathbf{z}$ and $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}) = (\theta, \alpha, \gamma)$. To show this fact, note that from the explicit expression of the function $\mathfrak{p}_{\theta, \alpha, \gamma}$ and Eq. (2.6), we have

$$\partial_{\tilde{\vartheta}} \mathfrak{p}_{\theta, \alpha, \gamma} \Big|_{cp} = -\iota \mathbf{V}(g^{-1}(\theta, \alpha, \gamma)) \partial_{\vartheta} \mathbf{V}(g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma)) \mathbf{Lz} \cdot \mathbf{Lz}, \quad \vartheta = \theta, \alpha, \gamma.$$

From the expression for $g = g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma)$ given in Eq. (2.24) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\tilde{\theta}} \mathfrak{p}_{\theta, \alpha, \gamma} \Big|_{cp} &= 2\Im \left(e^{\iota(\gamma-\alpha)} [z_7 \bar{z}_1 + z_5 \bar{z}_3 - z_6 \bar{z}_4 - z_8 \bar{z}_2] \right), \\ \partial_{\tilde{\alpha}} \mathfrak{p}_{\theta, \alpha, \gamma} \Big|_{cp} &= \cos^2 \theta [|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + |z_3|^2 + |z_4|^2 - |z_5|^2 - |z_6|^2 - |z_7|^2 - |z_8|^2] \\ &\quad + 2\Re \left(\sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) e^{\iota(\gamma-\alpha)} [z_5 \bar{z}_3 - z_6 \bar{z}_4 + z_7 \bar{z}_1 - z_8 \bar{z}_2] \right), \\ \partial_{\tilde{\gamma}} \mathfrak{p}_{\theta, \alpha, \gamma} \Big|_{cp} &= \sin^2 \theta [|z_5|^2 + |z_6|^2 + |z_7|^2 + |z_8|^2 - |z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2 - |z_3|^2 - |z_4|^2] \\ &\quad + 2\Re \left(e^{\iota(\gamma-\alpha)} \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) [z_5 \bar{z}_3 - z_6 \bar{z}_4 + z_7 \bar{z}_1 - z_8 \bar{z}_2] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{z} \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^8$ (see Eq. (2.9)), then Eqs. (4.21) hold. Thus, the critical point is

$$\mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{T}(g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma))\mathbf{z}, \quad (\tilde{\theta}_0, \tilde{\alpha}_0, \tilde{\beta}_0) = (\theta, \alpha, \gamma). \quad (4.22)$$

One can also check that $\Im \mathfrak{p}_{\theta, \alpha, \gamma} \geq 0$ on the domain of $\mathfrak{p}_{\theta, \alpha, \gamma}$ and that $\mathfrak{p}_{\theta, \alpha, \gamma} = 0$ at the critical point. Moreover, the Hessian matrix of $\mathfrak{p}_{\theta, \alpha, \gamma}$ with

respect to the variables $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}$ (with $\mathbf{x} = \Re \mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{y} = \Im \mathbf{u}$) evaluated at the critical point is equal to

$$(4.23) \quad \mathbf{A} := \begin{pmatrix} 2i\mathbf{I}_8 & \mathbf{0}_8 & -i\mathbf{T}_\theta(g)\mathbf{z} & -i\mathbf{T}_\alpha(g)\mathbf{z} & -i\mathbf{T}_\beta(g)\mathbf{z} \\ \mathbf{0}_8 & 2i\mathbf{I}_8 & -\mathbf{T}_\theta(g)\mathbf{z} & -\mathbf{T}_\alpha(g)\mathbf{z} & -\mathbf{T}_\beta(g)\mathbf{z} \\ -i(\mathbf{T}_\theta\mathbf{z})^t & -(\mathbf{T}_\theta\mathbf{z})^t & i|\mathbf{z}|^2 & 0 & 0 \\ -i(\mathbf{T}_\alpha\mathbf{z})^t & -(\mathbf{T}_\alpha\mathbf{z})^t & 0 & i|\mathbf{z}|^2 \cos^2 \theta & 0 \\ -i(\mathbf{T}_\beta\mathbf{z})^t & -(\mathbf{T}_\beta\mathbf{z})^t & 0 & 0 & i|\mathbf{z}|^2 \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{T}_\vartheta(g)\mathbf{z} := \partial_\vartheta \mathbf{T}(g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma))\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{L}^\dagger \partial_\vartheta \mathbf{V}(g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma))\mathbf{L}\mathbf{z}$, $\vartheta = \theta, \alpha, \gamma$ (see Eq. (2.6)).

From Eqs. (4.23) and (B.2), with \mathcal{D} the diagonal matrix

$$(4.24) \quad \mathcal{D} = \text{diag}(i|\mathbf{z}|^2, i|\mathbf{z}|^2 \cos^2 \theta, i|\mathbf{z}|^2 \sin^2 \theta),$$

we have that $\det(\mathbf{A}) = (2i)^{16} i^3 |\mathbf{z}|^6 \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta$.

Thus, from the stationary phase method

$$(4.25) \quad (f_1 *_{\mathbf{8}} f_2)(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{e^{|\mathbf{z}|^2/\hbar}}{\mathbf{Q}_8^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z})} \frac{1}{(\pi\hbar)^8} \int_{\theta, \alpha, \gamma} \left(\frac{2^3 (\pi\hbar)^{19}}{|\mathbf{z}|^6 \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \\ \times \left[\sum_{\ell < k} \hbar^\ell \mathbf{M}_\ell \left(\cos \tilde{\theta} \sin \tilde{\theta} \beta_{\mathbf{z}} \right) \Big|_{cp} + O(\hbar^k) \right] dm(\theta, \alpha, \gamma)$$

where

$$(4.26) \quad \mathbf{M}_\ell \left(\cos \tilde{\theta} \sin \tilde{\theta} \beta_{\mathbf{z}} \right) \Big|_{cp} = \sum_{s=\ell}^{3\ell} \frac{i^{-\ell} 2^{-s}}{s!(s-\ell)!} \left[(-\mathbf{A}^{-1}) \hat{D} \cdot \hat{D} \right]^s \cos \tilde{\theta} \sin \tilde{\theta} \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{p}_{cp})^{s-\ell} \Big|_{cp}$$

with $\mathbf{g}|_{cp}$ denoting the evaluation at the critical point $\mathbf{u}_0, \theta_0, \alpha_0, \gamma_0$ of a given function \mathbf{g} ,

$$(4.27) \quad \mathbf{p}_{cp} = \mathbf{p}_{cp}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}) \\ = i \left(\mathbf{u}_0 - \mathbf{T}(g(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}))\mathbf{z} + (\tilde{\theta} - \theta)\mathbf{T}_\theta(g)\mathbf{z} + (\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha)\mathbf{T}_\alpha(g)\mathbf{z} \right. \\ \left. + (\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma)\mathbf{T}_\gamma(g)\mathbf{z} \right) \cdot \mathbf{u} - \frac{i}{2} |\mathbf{z}|^2 \left[(\tilde{\theta} - \theta)^2 + \cos^2 \theta (\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha)^2 \right. \\ \left. + \sin^2 \theta (\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma)^2 \right],$$

and \hat{D} the column vector of size 19 whose entries are defined by: $(\hat{D})_j = \partial_{x_j}$, $(\hat{D})_{8+j} = \partial_{y_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, 8$, $(\hat{D})_{17} = \partial_{\tilde{\theta}}$, $(\hat{D})_{18} = \partial_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ and $(\hat{D})_{19} = \partial_{\tilde{\gamma}}$. The last Eq. (4.27) is a consequence of equalities $|\mathbf{u}_0| = |\mathbf{z}|$ and $\mathbf{T}_\vartheta(g)\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = 0$, $\vartheta = \theta, \alpha, \gamma$.

In a similar way as we did for the case $n = 3$, we obtain the inverse of the matrix \mathbf{A} (see Eq. (4.23)) using Eq. (B.3), with \mathcal{D} the diagonal matrix given in Eq. (4.24). By considering the explicit expression for the inverse matrix \mathbf{A}^{-1} , using the equality $\mathbf{T}_\vartheta(g)\mathbf{z} (\mathbf{T}_\vartheta(g)\mathbf{z})^t \bar{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{p} = (\mathbf{T}_\vartheta(g)\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2$, $\vartheta = \theta, \alpha, \gamma$,

for all $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{C}^8$, and easy linear algebra manipulations, we can show

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned} -(\mathbf{A}^{-1})\hat{D} \cdot \hat{D} &= 2i\partial_{\mathbf{u}\bar{\mathbf{u}}} + \frac{i}{|\mathbf{z}|^2} \left[\frac{1}{\cos^2 \theta} \left((\mathbf{T}_\alpha(g)\mathbf{z})^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}} + \partial_{\tilde{\alpha}} \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \left((\mathbf{T}_\gamma(g)\mathbf{z})^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}} + \partial_{\tilde{\gamma}} \right)^2 + \left((\mathbf{T}_\theta(g)\mathbf{z})^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}} + \partial_{\tilde{\theta}} \right)^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial_{\mathbf{u}\bar{\mathbf{u}}} = \sum_{j=1}^8 \partial_{u_j \bar{u}_j}$ and $\partial_{\mathbf{u}}$ denote the Laplace operator and the column vector of size 8 whose j entry is ∂_{u_j} (i.e. $(\partial_{\mathbf{u}})_j = \partial_{u_j}$) respectively.

From Eqs. (4.26) and (4.28)

$$(4.29)$$

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_0 \left(\cos \tilde{\theta} \sin \tilde{\theta} \beta_{\mathbf{z}} \right) \Big|_{cp} &= \cos \theta \sin \theta \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}_0, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_0), \\ \mathbf{M}_1 \left(\cos \tilde{\theta} \sin \tilde{\theta} \beta_{\mathbf{z}} \right) \Big|_{cp} &= \frac{\cos \theta \sin \theta}{2|\mathbf{z}|^2} \left[2|\mathbf{z}|^2 \partial_{\mathbf{u}\bar{\mathbf{u}}} - (\mathbf{T}(g)\mathbf{z})^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}} + [(\mathbf{T}_\theta(g)\mathbf{z})^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}}]^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{[(\mathbf{T}_\alpha(g)\mathbf{z})^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}}]^2}{\cos^2 \theta} + \frac{[(\mathbf{T}_\gamma(g)\mathbf{z})^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}}]^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{(B_8 \mathbf{T}_\alpha(g)\mathbf{z})^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}}}{i \cos^2 \theta} - \frac{(B_8 \mathbf{T}_\gamma(g)\mathbf{z})^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}}}{i \sin^2 \theta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} - \frac{\sin \theta}{\cos \theta} \right) (\mathbf{T}_\theta(g)\mathbf{z})^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}} - \frac{3}{4} \right] \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}_0, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_0). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the right side of Eqs. (4.29) and (4.30) still depend on the variables θ, α, γ , because $\mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{T}(g(\theta, \alpha, \gamma))\mathbf{z}$ (see Eq. (4.22)).

On the other hand, since $f_1, f_2 \in \mathfrak{B}_{(5,8)}^{(h)}$, we obtain from Corollary 3.6 that, for $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^8$ and $g(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}) \in \text{SU}(2)$, the function $\beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}) = f_1(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v})f_2(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z})$ satisfies

$$\beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}) = \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{T}(g(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}))\mathbf{v}, \overline{\mathbf{T}(g(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}))\mathbf{v}}).$$

Then, from the chain rule

$$(4.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}^t \partial_{\mathbf{v}} \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \Big|_p &= \mathbf{u}_0^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}} \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}_0, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_0) = -(\mathbf{T}_{\theta\theta}(g)\mathbf{z})^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}} \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}_0, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_0) \\ \partial_{\mathbf{v}\bar{\mathbf{v}}} \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \Big|_p &= \partial_{\mathbf{u}\bar{\mathbf{u}}} \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}_0, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_0) \\ \left[-(\mathcal{R}_1)^2 + (\mathcal{R}_2)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (\mathcal{R}_3)^2 + 2\mathbf{v}^t \partial_{\mathbf{v}} \right] \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \Big|_p = \left([(\mathbf{T}_\theta(g)\mathbf{z})^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}}]^2 + \frac{[(\mathbf{T}_\alpha(g)\mathbf{z})^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}}]^2}{\cos^2 \theta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{[(\mathbf{T}_\gamma(g)\mathbf{z})^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}}]^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}_0, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_0) \\ -2\mathbf{v}^t \partial_{\mathbf{v}} \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \Big|_p &= \left(-\frac{(B_8 \mathbf{T}_\alpha(g)\mathbf{z})^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}}}{i \cos^2 \theta} - \frac{(B_8 \mathbf{T}_\gamma(g)\mathbf{z})^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}}}{i \sin^2 \theta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} - \frac{\sin \theta}{\cos \theta} \right) (\mathbf{T}_\theta(g)\mathbf{z})^t \partial_{\mathbf{u}} \right) \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}_0, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_0) \end{aligned}$$

where for a given function \mathbf{g} we denote by $\mathbf{g}|_p$ the evaluation of \mathbf{g} at $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{z}$, $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}) = (\theta, \alpha, \gamma)$ and \mathcal{R}_ℓ , $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ are give in Eq. (2.13).

Thus, from Proposition 2.1, the asymptotic expression of the modified Bessel function \mathbf{I}_ν (see Eq. (2.22)), Eqs. (4.25), (4.29), (4.30), (4.31), and the relation $\sqrt{2}|\rho_{(5,8)}(\mathbf{z})| = |\mathbf{z}|^2$ we have

$$(f_1 * f_2)(\mathbf{z}) = \left(1 + \hbar \left[\partial_{\mathbf{u}\bar{\mathbf{u}}} + \frac{1}{2|\mathbf{z}|^2} \left(-(\mathcal{R}_1)^2 + (\mathcal{R}_2)^2 - (\mathcal{R}_3)^2 \right) \right] \right) \beta_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}) \Big|_{\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{z}} + O(\hbar^2).$$

Then, from Proposition 3.7 (applying to f_2) and using that the extended Berezin symbol is holomorphic in the first factor and anti-holomorphic in the second we obtain Eq. (4.3).

Finally, suppose we have the case $|\mathbf{z}| = 0$. Then $\rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{z}) = 0$ and therefore the coherent state $\Phi_{\rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{z})}^{(\hbar)}$ is the constant function 1 on the whole sphere with $L^2(S^n)$ norm equal to one. Thus, in a similar way as we did for the case $n = 2$ (see Eqs. (4.6) and (4.7)) we conclude from the stationary phase method:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 *_{\hbar} f_2(\mathbf{z}) &= \frac{1}{(\pi\hbar)^m} \int_{\mathbb{C}^m} f_1(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{u}) f_2(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{0}) \exp\left(-\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{\hbar}\right) d\mathbf{u} d\bar{\mathbf{u}} \\ &= f_1(\mathbf{0}) f_2(\mathbf{0}) + \hbar \sum_{\ell=1}^m \left[\partial_{u_\ell} f_2(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{0}) \partial_{\bar{u}_\ell} f_1(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{u}) \right]_{\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{0}} + O(\hbar^2). \end{aligned}$$

□

5. INVARIANCE OF STAR-PRODUCT $*_m$

In this section we show that the star product $*_m$ defined on the algebra $A_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}$ is invariant under the group $\mathfrak{F}_m = \text{SU}(2), \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2), \text{SU}(4)$ for $m = 2, 4, 8$ respectively, i.e. it satisfies

$$(f_1 \circ L(g)) *_m (f_2 \circ L(g)) = (f_1 *_m f_2) \circ L(g), \quad \forall g \in \mathfrak{F}_m, \quad \forall f_1, f_2 \in A_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)},$$

where $L(g)$ denotes the action of the group \mathfrak{F}_m on \mathbb{C}^m and it is defined by the following equation

$$(5.1) \quad \mathbf{z}' = L(g)\mathbf{z}, \quad g \in \mathfrak{F}_m$$

with $L(g)$ given by:

Case $m = 2$: For $g \in \text{SU}(2)$

$$L(g) = g$$

Case $m = 4$: For $g = (V, W) \in \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2)$

$$L(g) = \begin{pmatrix} V & \mathbf{0}_2 \\ \mathbf{0}_2 & W \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{0}_\ell$ denotes the zero matrix of size ℓ .

Case $m = 8$: For $g \in \text{SU}(4)$

$$(5.2) \quad \mathbf{L}(g) = \begin{pmatrix} U & \mathbf{0}_4 \\ \mathbf{0}_4 & EUE \end{pmatrix},$$

where E is the following orthogonal matrix

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In order to prove that the star product $*_m$ is \mathfrak{F}_m -invariant, we first give the explicit relation between the $\text{SO}(n+1)$ action of rotations on the quadric \mathbb{Q}^n , $n = 2, 3, 5$ (whose elements are expressed in term of the map $\rho_{(n,m)}$) and the action of the group \mathfrak{F}_m on \mathbb{C}^m , $m = 2, 4, 8$, respectively.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $g \in \mathfrak{F}_m$ and $\mathbf{L}(g)$ the action defined above, $m = 2, 4, 8$, then exist $R \in \text{SO}(n+1)$, $n = 2, 3, 5$ respectively, such that*

$$(5.3) \quad R\rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{z}) = \rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{L}(g)\mathbf{z}), \quad \forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m,$$

where for $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, $R\mathbf{w} = R\Re(\mathbf{w}) + \iota R\Im(\mathbf{w})$ with $R\Re(\mathbf{w})$ and $R\Im(\mathbf{w})$ denoting the usual action of R on the real and imaginary part of \mathbf{w} , respectively (regarded as elements of \mathbb{R}^{n+1}).

Proof. The main idea is the same for the three cases $n = 2, 3, 5$. We describe in detail the most complicated case $n = 5$. The cases $n = 2, 3$ follow in a similar way and we will only sketch the structure of the proof.

The case $n = 5$: let us write the map $\rho_{(5,8)}(\mathbf{z}) = (\rho_1(\mathbf{z}), \rho_2(\mathbf{z}), \dots, \rho_6(\mathbf{z}))$ in matrix form

$$(5.4) \quad \rho_\ell(\mathbf{z}) = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \mathcal{A}_\ell \begin{pmatrix} z_5 \\ z_6 \\ z_7 \\ z_8 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_8), \\ \ell = 1, \dots, 6,$$

where the matrices \mathcal{A}_ℓ are defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\iota & 0 & 0 \\ \iota & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \iota \\ 0 & 0 & -\iota & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{A}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} -\iota & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\iota & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \iota & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \iota \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A}_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -\iota \\ 0 & 0 & -\iota & 0 \\ 0 & -\iota & 0 & 0 \\ -\iota & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A}_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From Eqs. (5.1), (5.2) and (5.4)

$$\rho_\ell(\mathbf{z}') = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) U^t \mathcal{A}_\ell EUE \begin{pmatrix} z_5 \\ z_6 \\ z_7 \\ z_8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let \mathcal{V} denote the real vector space generated by the matrices \mathcal{A}_ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, 6$. The vector space \mathcal{V} is the set of complex matrices of the form

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{pmatrix} -\vartheta & \bar{\mu} & 0 & \bar{\gamma} \\ \mu & \bar{\vartheta} & \bar{\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma & \vartheta & \mu \\ -\gamma & 0 & \bar{\mu} & -\bar{\vartheta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with } \mu, \vartheta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We now claim that, for $U \in \text{SU}(4)$, the matrix $U^t \mathcal{A}_\ell E U E$ is in the vector space \mathcal{V} . To prove this fact, let us denote by U_{jk} the matrix elements of U . Since $\det(U) = 1$ and $U^\dagger = U^{-1}$ then by considering the explicit expression for the inverse matrix U^{-1} we find that the matrix elements of U must satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{U}_{11}\bar{U}_{23} - \bar{U}_{21}\bar{U}_{13} &= U_{42}U_{34} - U_{32}U_{44}, & \bar{U}_{11}\bar{U}_{43} - \bar{U}_{41}\bar{U}_{13} &= U_{32}U_{24} - U_{22}U_{34}, \\ \bar{U}_{11}\bar{U}_{33} - \bar{U}_{31}\bar{U}_{13} &= U_{22}U_{44} - U_{42}U_{24}, & \bar{U}_{21}\bar{U}_{43} - \bar{U}_{41}\bar{U}_{23} &= U_{12}U_{34} - U_{32}U_{14}, \\ \bar{U}_{31}\bar{U}_{23} - \bar{U}_{21}\bar{U}_{33} &= U_{12}U_{44} - U_{42}U_{14}, & \bar{U}_{41}\bar{U}_{33} - \bar{U}_{31}\bar{U}_{43} &= U_{12}U_{24} - U_{22}U_{14}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by using the last equalities and computing the explicit expression for the matrix $U^t \mathcal{A}_\ell E U E$, we find that $U^t \mathcal{A}_\ell E U E$ has the form indicated in Eq. (5.5).

The vector spaces \mathcal{V} is endowed with the real valued inner product

$$\langle A, B \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{trace}(AB^\dagger) + \text{trace}(BA^\dagger) \right).$$

The set of matrices $\{\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}_\ell \mid \ell = 1, \dots, 6\}$ gives an orthonormal basis for the space \mathcal{V} . Thus $U^t \mathcal{A}_\ell E U E$ must be the following linear combination of the basis elements (summation over repeated indexes):

$$U^t \mathcal{A}_\ell E U E = \frac{1}{4} \langle U^t \mathcal{A}_\ell E U E, \mathcal{A}_k \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{A}_k, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, 6.$$

Therefore we have

$$\rho_\ell(\mathbf{z}') = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) R_{\ell k} \mathcal{A}_k \begin{pmatrix} z_5 \\ z_6 \\ z_7 \\ z_8 \end{pmatrix} = R_{\ell k} \rho_k(\mathbf{z}),$$

with the real numbers $R_{\ell k}$, $\ell, k = 1, \dots, 6$, given by

$$(5.6) \quad R_{\ell k} = \frac{1}{4} \langle U^t \mathcal{A}_\ell E U E, \mathcal{A}_k \rangle_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Thus to each element $U \in \text{SU}(4)$ we associated a 6×6 matrix R whose matrix elements are $R_{\ell k}$ given by Eq. (5.6). Since the matrix R satisfies the relation $R_{jk}R_{sk} = \delta_{js}$ (thus R must be an orthogonal matrix) then we have a continuous map $U \mapsto R$ from $\text{SU}(4)$ into $\text{O}(6)$. Since $\text{SU}(4)$ is a connected manifold and the identity element of $\text{SU}(4)$ goes to the identity element of $\text{O}(6)$ (see Eq. (5.6)), then the image of $\text{SU}(4)$ under the map we are considering must be the connected component of the identity matrix in $\text{O}(6)$. Thus $R \in \text{SO}(6)$.

For the cases $n = 2, 3$, let us write the maps $\rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{z}) = (\rho_1(\mathbf{z}), \dots, \rho_{n+1}(\mathbf{z}))$ in the following matrix form

For the case $n = 2$:

$$\rho_\ell(\mathbf{z}) = (z_1, z_2)\mathcal{B}_\ell \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2), \\ \ell = 1, 2, 3, \end{array}$$

where the matrices \mathcal{B}_ℓ are defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B}_3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the case $n = 3$:

$$\rho_\ell(\mathbf{z}) = (z_1, z_2)\mathcal{C}_\ell \begin{pmatrix} z_3 \\ z_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4), \\ \ell = 1, 2, 3, 4, \end{array}$$

where the matrices \mathcal{C}_ℓ are defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{C}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{C}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{C}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In a similar way we did for the case $n = 5$ we need to prove, for the case $n = 2$, that the matrix $U^t\mathcal{B}_\ell U$ (for all $U \in \text{SU}(2)$) is in the vector space generated by matrices \mathcal{B}_ℓ , $\ell = 1, 2, 3$, which is not difficult to prove using the parametrization of $\text{SU}(2)$ indicated in Eq. (2.24). And for the case $n = 3$ we need to prove that the matrix $V^t\mathcal{C}_\ell W$ (for all $V, W \in \text{SU}(2)$) is in the vector space generated by matrices \mathcal{C}_ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, 4$, which is a consequence of $\mathcal{C}_\ell \in \text{SU}(2)$, $\ell = 1, \dots, 4$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the case $n = 5$, therefore we will omit it. \square

Theorem 5.2. *The star product $*_m$ defined on the algebra $A_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}$ is \mathfrak{F}_m -invariant in the sense that*

$$(f_1 \circ \text{L}(g)) *_m (f_2 \circ \text{L}(g)) = (f_1 *_m f_2) \circ \text{L}(g), \quad g \in \mathfrak{F}_m, \quad \forall f_1, f_2 \in A_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)},$$

where $\text{L}(g)$ is given by the action of the group $\mathfrak{F}_m = \text{SU}(2), \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2), \text{SU}(4)$ on $\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbb{C}^4, \mathbb{C}^8$ respectively, indicated in Eq. (5.1).

Proof. Given $\tilde{R} \in \text{SO}(n+1)$, define the operator $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{R}} : L^2(S^n) \rightarrow L^2(S^n)$ by $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{R}}\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \psi(\tilde{R}^{-1}\mathbf{x})$. Let A be a bounded linear operator with domain in $L^2(S^n)$, $n = 2, 3, 5$ and $g \in \mathfrak{F}_m$, $m = 2, 4, 8$ respectively. Let $R \in \text{SO}(n+1)$ be the orthogonal matrix mentioned in the hypothesis of Proposition 5.1 associated to g .

From the expression for the coherent states (see Eq. (2.14)) and Eq. (5.3) we obtain

$$(5.7) \quad \Phi_{\rho_{(n,m)}(\text{L}(g)\mathbf{z})}^{(\hbar)} = \mathcal{T}_R \Phi_{\rho_{(n,m)}(\mathbf{z})}^{(\hbar)}, \quad \forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m.$$

Then from Eqs. (3.1) and (5.7) we have

$$(5.8) \quad \mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(A) \circ \text{L}(g)(\mathbf{z}) = \mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(\mathcal{T}_{R^{-1}}A\mathcal{T}_R)(\mathbf{z}), \quad \forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m.$$

Thus $\mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(A) \circ \text{L}(g)$ can be expressed as the Berezin symbol of the bounded linear operator $\mathcal{T}_{R^{-1}}A\mathcal{T}_R$ and from Eqs. (3.4) and (5.7) its extended Berezin symbol is

$$(5.9) \quad \mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(\mathcal{T}_{R^{-1}}A\mathcal{T}_R)(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z}) = \mathfrak{B}_{(n,m)}^{(\hbar)}(A)(\text{L}(g)\mathbf{w}, \text{L}(g)\mathbf{z}).$$

Moreover, from Eqs. (2.20), (5.7) and the unitarity of \mathbf{B}_{S^n}

$$(5.10) \quad \mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{u}, L(g)\mathbf{z}) = \left\langle \mathbf{T}_R \Phi_{\rho(n,m)(\mathbf{z})}^{(\hbar)}, \Phi_{\rho(n,m)(\mathbf{u})}^{(\hbar)} \right\rangle_{S^n} = \mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(L(g^{-1})\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}),$$

where we have used that the orthogonal matrix associated to g^{-1} which makes Eq. (5.3) holds is R^{-1} .

Thus we conclude from Eqs. (4.1) and (5.10)

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1 *_m f_2)(L(g)\mathbf{z}) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^m} f_1(L(g)\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) f_2(\mathbf{w}, L(g)\mathbf{z}) \frac{|\mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{w}, L(g)\mathbf{z})|^2}{\mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(L(g)\mathbf{z}, L(g)\mathbf{z})} d\mu_m^{\hbar}(\mathbf{w}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^m} f_1(L(g)\mathbf{z}, L(g)\mathbf{u}) f_2(L(g)\mathbf{u}, L(g)\mathbf{z}) \frac{|\mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z})|^2}{\mathbf{Q}_m^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z})} d\mu_m^{\hbar}(\mathbf{u}) \\ &= (f_1 \circ L(g)) *_m (f_2 \circ L(g))(\mathbf{z}) \end{aligned}$$

where we have made the change of variables $\mathbf{u} = L(g^{-1})\mathbf{w}$, used the invariance of the Gaussian measure $d\mu_m^{\hbar}$ with respect to the action of $L(g^{-1})$ and Eqs. (5.8) and (5.9). \square

APPENDIX A. THE COHERENT STATES ARE EIGENFUNCTIONS OF AN OPERATOR

In this appendix, we define operators on $L^2(S^n)$ for which the coherent states are their eigenfunctions. Below we will consider differential operators and we will not go into details about their domains we are considering since they are not of main relevance for our work.

For $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \rho(n,m)(\mathbf{z})$, $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m$, let us first note that the coherent states $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hbar)}$ can be written in terms of the functions $\exp(\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}/\hbar)$. Let

$$(A.1) \quad \mathbf{M} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{n-1}} \Delta_{S^n}^{1/4},$$

defined by functional calculus, where Δ_{S^n} denotes the self-adjoint and normalized spherical Laplacian on the n -sphere with discrete spectrum given by $\{(k + \frac{n-1}{2})^2 \mid k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Since $\Delta_{S^n}^{-1/4}$ is a continuous operator and

$$(A.2) \quad \Delta_{S^n}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha})^\ell = \left(\ell + \frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2 (\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha})^\ell, \quad \mathbf{x} \in S^n, \ell = 0, 1, \dots,$$

then $\mathbf{M}^{-1}\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}/\hbar)$, and therefore

$$(A.3) \quad \Phi_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hbar)}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{M} \exp(\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}/\hbar)$$

with $\exp(\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}/\hbar)$ regarded as a function on S^n .

Let \mathbf{E}_k , $k = 1, \dots, n+1$ be the following operators with domain in $L^2(S^n)$

$$\mathbf{E}_k = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} -i\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j \left[-i\hbar\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{kj} \right] + \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k \mathbf{N},$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j$ are regarded as multiplicative operators by the coordinates x_j and acting on $L^2(S^n)$,

$$\mathbf{N} = \hbar \left(\sqrt{\Delta_{S^n}} - \frac{n-1}{2} \right), \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{kj} = \left(y_k \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} - y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \right) \Big|_{S^n}$$

with y_j , $j = 1, \dots, n+1$ denoting the cartesian coordinates for \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and for a given operator A on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ we denote by $A|_{S^n}$ the restriction of the operator A to the n -sphere. Note that the Laplacian Δ_{S^n} is equal to $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^2 + \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2$ with $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^2 = \sum_{k < j} \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{kj}^2$.

Let us consider the angular momentum operators

$$\mathbf{L}_{kj} = y_k \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} - y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}, \quad k, j = 1, \dots, n+1$$

with domain in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$.

Introduce spherical coordinates $(r, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = (r, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ for \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and denote these with the equation $\mathbf{y} = T(r, \boldsymbol{\theta})$, where r is the radial coordinate $r = \sqrt{y_1^2 + \dots + y_{n+1}^2}$.

From the chain rule we obtain that for a given smooth function $f(\mathbf{y})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_{kj} f(\mathbf{y}) &= \mathbf{L}_{kj} (f \circ T \circ T^{-1})(\mathbf{y}) \\ &= \sum_{q=1}^n \left[y_k \frac{\partial \theta_q}{\partial y_j} - y_j \frac{\partial \theta_q}{\partial y_k} \right] \frac{\partial f \circ T}{\partial \theta_q} (T^{-1}(\mathbf{y})). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore evaluating both sides of last equation at $\mathbf{y} = T(r, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ and then at $r = 1$ we obtain

$$(A.4) \quad \mathbf{L}_{kj} f(T(1, \boldsymbol{\theta})) = \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{kj} f(T(1, \boldsymbol{\theta})).$$

Note that Eq. (A.4) in particular implies

$$(A.5) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{kj} \exp(\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} / \hbar) = \frac{1}{\hbar} (x_k \bar{\alpha}_j - x_j \bar{\alpha}_k) \exp(\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} / \hbar).$$

On the other hand, from (A.2) and the continuity of the operator $\Delta_{S^n}^{-1/2}$ we have

$$\Delta_{S^n}^{-1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(k + \frac{n-1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}}{\hbar} \right)^k = \exp \left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}}{\hbar} \right),$$

which in turn implies

$$(A.6) \quad \mathbf{N} \exp \left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}}{\hbar} \right) = (\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \exp \left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}}{\hbar} \right).$$

Hence, from Eqs. (A.5) and (A.6)

$$(A.7) \quad \mathbf{E}_k \exp \left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}}{\hbar} \right) = \bar{\alpha}_k \exp \left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}}{\hbar} \right).$$

Let \mathbf{A}_k , $k = 1, \dots, n+1$, be the operator

$$(A.8) \quad \mathbf{A}_k = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{M}^{-1}.$$

From Eqs. (A.3) and (A.7) we finally conclude that the coherent states $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hbar)}$ are eigenfunctions of the operators \mathbf{A}_k , $k = 1, \dots, n+1$, with eigenvalue $\bar{\alpha}_k$.

Remark A.1. It is well known that $L^2(S^n) = \bigoplus \mathcal{V}_\ell$, where \mathcal{V}_ℓ , $\ell = 0, 1, \dots$, is the space of spherical harmonics of degree ℓ defined as the vector space of restrictions to the n -sphere of harmonic homogeneous polynomials of degree ℓ defined initially on the ambient space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} (here by harmonic we mean a function in the kernel of the usual Laplacian operator on \mathbb{R}^{n+1}).

We assert that the operators \mathbf{A}_k maps \mathcal{V}_ℓ on $\mathcal{V}_{\ell-1}$, $\ell > 0$, and $\mathbf{A}_k \varphi = 0$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}_0$. Since the family of functions $\{(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{x})^\ell \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Q}^n\} \subset L^2(S^n)$ is an overcomplete set on \mathcal{V}_ℓ (see [7]) we just need to prove the assertion in the functions $(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{x})^\ell$ for $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Q}^n$. From Eqs. (A.1), (A.2) and (A.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_k(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{x})^\ell &= \mathbf{M}\mathbf{E}_k \left(\frac{2}{n-1}\ell + 1 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{x})^\ell \\ &= \hbar \ell \left(\frac{2\ell + n - 1}{n - 1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{M}\alpha_k (\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{x})^{\ell-1} \\ &= \hbar \ell \left(\frac{2(\ell - 1) + n - 1}{2\ell + n - 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_k (\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{x})^{\ell-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the operators $\mathbf{A}_k, k = 1, \dots, n + 1$, can be regarded as annihilation operators.

APPENDIX B. NECESSARY RESULTS: STATIONARY PHASE METHOD AND PARTITIONED MATRIX

In this appendix, we mention two known results needed to prove Theorem 4.3. We start with the stationary phase method which, for our purpose, we apply in the following way (see Theorem 7.7.5 of Ref. [6] for details):

Theorem B.1 (Stationary Phase Method). *Let \mathbf{p} and β be two smooth complex valued functions defined on \mathbb{R}^d with d any positive integer. Assume that β has compact support, $\Im(\mathbf{p}) \geq 0$, \mathbf{p} has a critical point at \mathbf{x}_0 and $\mathbf{p}'(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$ for $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}_0$ (where \mathbf{p}' denotes the gradient of \mathbf{p}). Moreover, assume that $\Im(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}_0)) = 0$ and $\det(\mathbf{p}''(\mathbf{x}_0)) \neq 0$ (where $\mathbf{p}''(\mathbf{x}_0)$ denotes the Hessian matrix of \mathbf{p} evaluated at the critical point \mathbf{x}_0). Then*

$$(B.1) \quad \int e^{i\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x})/\hbar} \beta(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = e^{i\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}_0)/\hbar} \left[\det \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}''(\mathbf{x}_0)}{2\pi i \hbar} \right) \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{\ell < k} \hbar^\ell \mathbf{M}_\ell \beta(\mathbf{x}_0) + O(\hbar^k) \right]$$

where $d\mathbf{x}$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d and

$$\mathbf{M}_\ell \beta(\mathbf{x}_0) = \sum_{s=\ell}^{3\ell} \frac{i^{-\ell} 2^{-s}}{s!(s-\ell)!} \left[(-\mathbf{p}''(\mathbf{x}_0))^{-1} \hat{D} \cdot \hat{D} \right]^s \beta(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{x}_0})^{s-\ell} \Big|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}_0},$$

with $(\mathbf{p}''(\mathbf{x}_0))^{-1}$ the inverse of the matrix $\mathbf{p}''(\mathbf{x}_0)$, \hat{D} the column vector of size d whose j entry is $\partial/\partial x_j$, and

$$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{x}_0}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}_0) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p}''(\mathbf{x}_0) (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0).$$

The second result we mentioned is used in Theorem 4.3 to avoid laborious calculations by obtaining both the determinant and the inverse matrix of a partitioned matrix.

Lemma B.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ be matrices of $\ell \times \ell$, $\ell \times s$, $s \times \ell$, $s \times s$ respectively, and \mathcal{A} invertible. Then*

$$(B.2) \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{C} & \mathcal{D} \end{pmatrix} = \det(\mathcal{A}) \det(\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{B}).$$

Furthermore, if we assume that \mathcal{D} is nonsingular, $\mathcal{B}^t\mathcal{B} = 0$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{I}_\ell$ where \mathbf{I}_ℓ denotes the identity matrix of size ℓ . Then,

$$(B.3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{B}^t & \mathcal{D} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_\ell + \mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}^{-1}\mathcal{B}^t & -\mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}^{-1} \\ -\mathcal{D}^{-1}\mathcal{B}^t & \mathcal{D}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Proof. The first part of this Lemma (Eq. (B.2)) is a direct consequence from proposition 2.8.3 of Ref. [4]. To prove the second part of this Lemma (Eq. B.3), we used the following analytical inversion formula for a partitioned matrix, provided that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}^{-1}\mathcal{B}^t$ is nonsingular (see proposition 2.8.7 of Ref. [4])

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{B}^t & \mathcal{D} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}^{-1} & -\mathcal{H}^{-1}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}^{-1} \\ -\mathcal{D}^{-1}\mathcal{B}^t\mathcal{H}^{-1} & \mathcal{D}^{-1} + \mathcal{D}^{-1}\mathcal{B}^t\mathcal{H}^{-1}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and the fact that $(\mathbf{I}_\ell - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}^{-1}\mathcal{B}^t)^{-1} = \mathbf{I}_\ell + \mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}^{-1}\mathcal{B}^t$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Abramowitz, M. and Stegun, I. A.: Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, 9th ed., Dover, New York (1972)
- [2] Bayen F, Flato M, Fronsdal C, Lichnerowicz A, Sternheimer D.: Deformation theory and quantization. I. Deformations of symplectic structures. Ann Phys (N Y). 111(1), 61-110 (1978)
- [3] Berezin, F. A.: Quantization. Math USSR-Izv., 8, 1116-1175 (1974)
- [4] Bernstein, D.: Matrix Mathematics: Theory, Facts, and Formulas: 2nd ed. Princeton University Press (2009)
- [5] Gradshteyn, I. S. and Ryzhik, I. M.: Table of Integrals, Series and Products, 5th ed., edited by Alan Jeffrey, Academic (1994)
- [6] Hörmander, L.: The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators in Distribution Theory and Fourier Analysis. Vol. I. 2nd ed., Springer Verlag (1990)
- [7] Helgason, S.: Topics in harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces. Birkhäuser, Boston (1981)
- [8] Lebedev, N.: Special functions and their applications. Silverman, R., editor. Prentice-Hall, Inc. Englewood Cliffs, N.J. (1965)
- [9] Villegas-Blas, C.: The Bargmann transform and canonical transformations. J. Math. Phys. 43(5), 2249-2283 (2002)
- [10] Villegas-Blas, C.: The Bargmann transform and the regularization of the 2,3,5-dimensional Kepler problem. J. Math. Phys. 47, 062110 (2006)

CONACYT - UNIVERSIDAD PEDAGÓGICA NACIONAL - UNIDAD 201, OAXACA
E-mail address: eidiazor@conacyt.mx