

SYMMETRY OF EIGENVALUES OF OPERATORS ASSOCIATED WITH REPRESENTATIONS OF COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

JACEK KRAJCZOK

ABSTRACT. We ask the question whether for a given unitary representation U of a compact quantum group \mathbb{G} the associated operator $\rho_U \in \text{Mor}(U, U^{cc})$ has spectrum invariant under inversion – in this case we say that ρ_U has symmetric eigenvalues. This does not have to be the case. However, we give affirmative answer whenever a certain condition on the growth of dimensions of irreducible subrepresentations of tensor powers of U is imposed. This condition is satisfied whenever $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is of subexponential growth.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{G} be a compact quantum group. We say that \mathbb{G} is of Kac type if for every finite dimensional unitary representation U the contragredient representation U^c is unitary ([4, Definition 1.3.8.]). There are various equivalent formulations of this property related to the Haar integral on \mathbb{G} , Haar integrals on $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ (see [5]) or the antipode of \mathbb{G} – see e.g. [3, 4, 9]. It is known that not all compact quantum groups are of Kac type – counterexamples are given e.g. by $SU_q(2)$ groups when $q \notin \{-1, 1\}$ ([8]) or (some of) the free unitary and orthogonal groups ([7]). Even if U^c is not unitary, it is still a representation and we can form a second contragredient U^{cc} . It turns out that U^{cc} , as in the classical case, is equivalent to U . This equivalence is given by a positive operator $\rho_U \in \text{Mor}(U, U^{cc})$ which is characterized uniquely by the property $\text{Tr}(T \rho_U) = \text{Tr}(T \rho_U^{-1})$ ($T \in \text{End}(U)$) ([4, Section 3, 4]). In this paper we consider a problem when the spectrum of ρ_U coincides with the spectrum of ρ_U^{-1} (counting with multiplicities) – this will be stated more precisely in Section 3. There are examples of representations

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20G42; Secondary 22D10, 16T20.

Key words and phrases. Compact quantum group, representation, dimension, quantum dimension.

which does not have this property, however, we present some sufficient conditions for this to hold. Proposition 3.1 states that this symmetry condition holds when U and its conjugate representation are equivalent, Theorem 3.4 relates this property to the rate of growth of dimensions of irreducible subrepresentations of tensor powers of U . Moreover, Corollary 3.6 states that ρ_U has this property whenever $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is of subexponential growth. Proof of Theorem 3.4 uses functions d_t ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) which are generalizations of classical and quantum dimension of a unitary representation and will be introduced in the next section.

We refer to [4, Chapter 1] for necessary definitions and basic theory of compact quantum groups. Furthermore, most of our notational conventions agree with those of [4].

2. NOTATION

Throughout the paper \mathbb{G} will stand for a fixed compact quantum group. We will denote by $\text{uRep}(\mathbb{G})$ the class of finite dimensional, unitary representations of \mathbb{G} and by $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations. For each class $\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ we choose a representative $U^\alpha \in \text{uRep}(\mathbb{G})$. We will write α instead of U^α in objects which depends only on equivalence class – e.g. $\dim(\alpha) = \dim(U^\alpha)$. Let $U, V \in \text{uRep}(\mathbb{G})$ be two representations. Their tensor product will be denoted by $U \oplus V$. By [4, Proposition 1.4.4.] there exists a unique positive element of $\text{Mor}(U, U^{c c})$, ρ_U such that

$$\text{Tr}(\cdot \rho_U) = \text{Tr}(\cdot \rho_U^{-1}) \quad \text{on } \text{End}(U) = \text{Mor}(U, U). \quad (2.1)$$

For any real number $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we define

$$d_t(U) = \text{Tr}(\rho_U^t) \in]0, +\infty[$$

(we know that $d_t(U)$ is a positive number because operator ρ_U is positive and invertible – its spectrum lies in $]0, +\infty[$). Note that since operators ρ_U satisfy ([4, Proposition 1.4.7., Theorem 1.4.9.]

$$\rho_{U \oplus V} = \rho_U \oplus \rho_V, \quad \rho_{U \otimes V} = \rho_U \otimes \rho_V, \quad \rho_{\overline{U}} = (\rho_U^{-1})^\top,$$

we have

$$d_t(U \oplus V) = d_t(U) + d_t(V), \quad d_t(U \otimes V) = d_t(U)d_t(V), \quad d_t(\overline{U}) = d_{-t}(U),$$

where \overline{U} is the conjugate of U ([4, Definition 1.4.5.]). Moreover, $d_t(U)$ depends only on the equivalence class of U . It follows directly from the definition that $d_0(U)$ equals the dimension of U and $d_1(U) = d_{-1}(U)$ is the quantum dimension of U ([4, Definition 1.4.1.]). In general, behaviour of the function $t \mapsto d_t(U)$ allows us to infer information about the structure of eigenvalues of ρ_U .

Let us also define $\vec{\rho}_U$ to be the list of eigenvalues of ρ_U (counting with multiplicities) in descending order and $\overleftarrow{\rho}_U$ to be the list of eigenvalues of ρ_U^{-1} (counting with multiplicities) in the descending order. We will treat $\vec{\rho}_U$ and $\overleftarrow{\rho}_U$ as elements of $\mathbb{R}^{\dim U}$. Observe that for $t \geq 1$ we have

$$d_t(U) = (\|\vec{\rho}_U\|_t)^t,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_t$ is the ℓ_t -norm on $\mathbb{R}^{\dim U}$.

3. SYMMETRY OF EIGENVALUES

Let $U \in \text{uRep}(\mathbb{G})$. By (2.1) we have

$$\|\vec{\rho}_U\|_1 = \text{Tr}(\rho_U) = \text{Tr}(\rho_U^{-1}) = \|\overleftarrow{\rho}_U\|_1. \quad (3.1)$$

In this section we would like to address the question, when the following stronger property holds

$$\vec{\rho}_U = \overleftarrow{\rho}_U. \quad (3.2)$$

If (3.2) is true, we say that ρ_U has *symmetric eigenvalues*. If $\dim U \in \{1, 2\}$ this is of course true, however if dimension of U is larger, this need not be the case. Indeed, there exists compact quantum groups with fundamental representations which do not satisfy (3.2). For example, the construction of free quantum unitary groups begins with the choice of an invertible scalar matrix F which satisfies $\text{Tr}(F^*F) = \text{Tr}((F^*F)^{-1})$. Then the operator ρ_U for the fundamental representation is $(F^*F)^\top$ ([4, Example 1.4.2.]), hence one can easily choose matrix F so that (3.2) fails (e.g. take $F = \text{diag}(y, x, x) \in \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$, where $y > 1$ and $x > 0$ is the positive solution of the equation $\frac{2}{x^2} + \frac{1}{y^2} = 2x^2 + y^2$).

Nevertheless there are cases where one can prove (3.2). We start with a simple one.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $U \in \text{uRep}(\mathbb{G})$ be a unitary representation of \mathbb{G} . If the representations U and \overline{U} are equivalent then (3.2) holds.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of [4, Proposition 1.4.7.] which says that $\rho_{\overline{U}}$ is the transpose of ρ_U^{-1} . \square

Corollary 3.2. *Equation (3.2) holds for every finite dimensional unitary representation U of the free orthogonal quantum group $A_o(F)$. In particular, it holds for every $U \in \text{uRep}(SU_q(2))$.*

Proof. Assume that $F \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Since every finite dimensional unitary representation is unitarily equivalent to a direct sum of irreducible ones, it is enough to assume that U is irreducible. Irreducible representations of $A_o(F)$ are labeled (up to equivalence) by natural numbers: for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an irreducible unitary representation $V^{(r)} \in \text{uRep}(A_o(F))$ of dimension $z_r = \frac{x^{r+1}-y^{r+1}}{x-y}$, where x and y are solutions of the equation $\mathfrak{X}^2 - n\mathfrak{X} + 1 = 0$. Moreover, every irreducible representation of $A_o(F)$ is equivalent to $V^{(r)}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ([6, Remark 6.4.11., Corollary 6.4.12.]). Since $z_r \neq z_{r'}$ when $r \neq r'$, it follows that for each $r \in \mathbb{N}$ the representation $\overline{V^{(r)}}$ is equivalent to $V^{(r)}$. In particular, \overline{U} is equivalent to U . Second claim follows, because $SU_q(2) = A_o(F)$ for $F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -q^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ([6, Proposition 6.4.8.]). \square

In order to state our main theorem we have to introduce a function $\mathbb{N} \ni n \mapsto P_U(n) \in \mathbb{R}$ which tells us how the maximal dimension of irreducible subrepresentations of n -th tensor power of U grows as we increase n .

Definition 3.3. For $U \in \text{uRep}(\mathbb{G})$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ put

$$P_U(n) = \max\{\dim \alpha_1, \dots, \dim \alpha_N\},$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ are such that $U^{\otimes n}$ is equivalent to the direct sum $\bigoplus_{k=1}^N U^{\alpha_k}$.

The next theorem says that for any $U \in \text{uRep}(\mathbb{G})$ eigenvalues of ρ_U are symmetric (i.e. (3.2) holds) if $\mathbb{N} \ni n \mapsto P_U(n) \in \mathbb{R}$ grows subexponentially.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $U \in \text{uRep}(\mathbb{G})$ and assume that*

$$\forall c > 1 \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_U(n)}{c^n} = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Then eigenvalues of ρ_U are symmetric, that is $\vec{\rho}_U = \overleftarrow{\rho}_U$.

In the proof we will use standard inequalities for ℓ_p -norms on \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\|x\|_{p'} \leq \|x\|_p \leq n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p'}} \|x\|_{p'}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad 1 \leq p \leq p' < +\infty$$

which are a consequence of Hölder inequality, and the following elementary lemma:

Lemma 3.5. *Let $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n > 0$ and $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_m > 0$ be such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^t = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j^t$$

for every $t > 1$. Then $n = m$ and $a_i = b_i$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ be such that

$$U^{\oplus n} \text{ and } \bigoplus_{k=1}^N U^{\alpha_k} \text{ are unitarily equivalent}$$

(we are not assuming the U^{α_k} 's are pairwise non-equivalent). For each $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $t > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_t(\alpha_k) &= (\|\vec{\rho}_{\alpha_k}\|_t)^t \leq (\|\vec{\rho}_{\alpha_k}\|_1)^t = (\|\overleftarrow{\rho}_{\alpha_k}\|_1)^t \\ &\leq ((\dim \alpha_k)^{1-\frac{1}{t}} \|\overleftarrow{\rho}_{\alpha_k}\|_t)^t = (\dim \alpha_k)^{t-1} (\|\overleftarrow{\rho}_{\alpha_k}\|_t)^t \leq P_U(n)^{t-1} d_{-t}(\alpha_k), \end{aligned}$$

where in the third step we used (3.1).

Similarly for any $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $t > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{-t}(\alpha_k) &= (\|\overleftarrow{\rho}_{\alpha_k}\|_t)^t \leq (\|\overleftarrow{\rho}_{\alpha_k}\|_1)^t = (\|\vec{\rho}_{\alpha_k}\|_1)^t \\ &\leq ((\dim \alpha_k)^{1-\frac{1}{t}} \|\vec{\rho}_{\alpha_k}\|_t)^t = (\dim \alpha_k)^{t-1} (\|\vec{\rho}_{\alpha_k}\|_t)^t \leq P_U(n)^{t-1} d_t(\alpha_k). \end{aligned}$$

Summing these inequalities over i yields

$$\begin{aligned} d_t(U)^n &= d_t(U^{\oplus n}) = \sum_{k=1}^N d_t(\alpha_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^N P_U(n)^{t-1} d_{-t}(\alpha_k) \\ &= P_U(n)^{t-1} d_{-t}(U^{\oplus n}) = P_U(n)^{t-1} d_{-t}(U)^n, \\ d_{-t}(U)^n &= d_{-t}(U^{\oplus n}) = \sum_{k=1}^N d_{-t}(\alpha_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^N P_U(n)^{t-1} d_t(\alpha_k) \\ &= P_U(n)^{t-1} d_t(U^{\oplus n}) = P_U(n)^{t-1} d_t(U)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$1 \leq \left(\frac{P_U(n)}{(d_t(U)/d_{-t}(U))^{\frac{n}{t-1}}} \right)^{t-1}$$

and

$$1 \leq \left(\frac{P_U(n)}{(d_{-t}(U)/d_t(U))^{\frac{n}{t-1}}} \right)^{t-1}$$

for all $t > 1$.

Now, if $d_t(U) \neq d_{-t}(U)$ for some $t > 1$, then either $(d_t(U)/d_{-t}(U))^{\frac{1}{t-1}}$ or $(d_{-t}(U)/d_t(U))^{\frac{1}{t-1}}$ is strictly greater than 1. Setting c to be this number we get

$$1 \leq \left(\frac{P_U(n)}{c^n} \right)^{t-1}.$$

Now taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives a contradiction. It follows that we must have $d_t(U) = d_{-t}(U)$ for all $t > 1$ and consequently $\vec{\rho}_U = \overleftarrow{\rho}_U$ by Lemma 3.5. \square

For any unitary representation $U \in \text{uRep}(\mathbb{G})$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let us define

$$b(U, n) = \sum (\dim \alpha)^2,$$

where α ranges over all (nonequivalent) irreducible subrepresentations of $\bigoplus_{k=0}^n U^{\otimes k}$. It is natural to say that the dual $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ has *subexponential growth* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b(U, n)^{1/n} = 1 \tag{3.4}$$

holds for every $U \in \text{uRep}(\mathbb{G})$ (cf. [2, Section 3] and [1]).

Corollary 3.6. *Assume that $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ has subexponential growth. Then for every $U \in \text{uRep}(\mathbb{G})$ eigenvalues of ρ_U are symmetric i.e. $\vec{\rho}_U = \overleftarrow{\rho}_U$.*

Proof. We have $P_U(n) \leq b(U, n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, hence the equality (3.4) implies that condition (3.3) from Theorem 3.4 holds. \square

Acknowledgements. The author wish to thank Piotr Sołtan for guidance during his work on master thesis as well as for help in organizing the above material.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Banica and R. Vergnioux. Growth estimates for discrete quantum groups. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum. Probab. Relat. Top.*, 12(02):321–340, 2009.
- [2] A. D’Andrea, C. Pinzari, and S. Rossi. Polynomial growth of discrete quantum groups, topological dimension of the dual and *-regularity of the fourier algebra. *Ann. de l’institut Fourier*, 67(5):2003–2027, 2017.

- [3] Uwe Franz, Adam Skalski, and Piotr M. Sołtan. Introduction to compact and discrete quantum groups. In *Topological quantum groups*, volume 111 of *Banach Center Publ.*, pages 9–31. Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2017.
- [4] S. Neshveyev and L. Tuset. *Compact quantum groups and their representation categories*, volume 20 of *Cours Spécialisés [Specialized Courses]*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2013.
- [5] P. Podleś and S. L. Woronowicz. Quantum deformation of Lorentz group. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 130(2):381–431, 1990.
- [6] Thomas Timmermann. *An Invitation to Quantum Groups and Duality (Ems Textbooks in Mathematics)*. European Mathematical Society, 2008.
- [7] A. Van Daele and S. Wang. Universal quantum groups. *International Journal of Mathematics*, 7, 04 1996.
- [8] S. L. Woronowicz. Twisted $SU(2)$ group. An example of a noncommutative differential calculus. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 23(1):117–181, 1987.
- [9] S. L. Woronowicz. Compact quantum groups. *Symétries quantiques (Les Houches, 1995)*, pages 845–884, 1998.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL METHODS IN PHYSICS, FACULTY OF PHYSICS,
UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW, POLAND

E-mail address: jk347906@okwf.fuw.edu.pl