

DEL PEZZO SURFACES OVER FINITE FIELDS

ANDREY TREPALIN

ABSTRACT. Let X be a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 or greater over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q . The image Γ of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$ in the group $\text{Aut}(\text{Pic}(\overline{X}))$ is a cyclic subgroup preserving the anticanonical class and the intersection form. The conjugacy class of Γ in the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\text{Pic}(\overline{X}))$ preserving the anticanonical class and the intersection form is a natural invariant of X . We say that the conjugacy class of Γ in $\text{Aut}(\text{Pic}(\overline{X}))$ is the *type* of a del Pezzo surface. In this paper we study which types of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 or greater can be realized for given q . We collect known results about this problem and fill the gaps.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *del Pezzo surface* is a smooth projective surface such that its anticanonical class is ample. Let X be a del Pezzo surface over finite field \mathbb{F}_q , and $\overline{X} = X \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. The Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$ acts on the lattice $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})$ and preserves the anticanonical class $-K_X$ and the intersection form. The image Γ of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$ is a cyclic subgroup in $\text{Aut}(\text{Pic}(\overline{X}))$ preserving the intersection form. Obviously, the set of elements in $\text{Aut}(\text{Pic}(\overline{X}))$ preserving the intersection form is a group. We denote this group by W .

The group Γ is a cyclic subgroup of W . Therefore the conjugacy class of Γ in W is a natural invariant of a del Pezzo surface. We say that the conjugacy class of Γ in W is the *type* of a del Pezzo surface. It is well-known (see, for example, [Man74, Theorem IV.1.1]) that the type of a del Pezzo surface defines the number N_1 of \mathbb{F}_q -points on X . One has

$$N_1 = q^2 + aq + 1, \quad (1.1)$$

where a is the trace of a generator g of Γ considered as an element on $\text{GL}(\text{Pic}(\overline{X}))$.

More generally, let N_k be the number of \mathbb{F}_{q^k} points of $X_k = X \otimes \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$. The zeta function of X is the formal power series

$$Z_X(t) = \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{N_k t^k}{k} \right).$$

For a geometrically rational surface X one has (see [Man74, Corollary 2 from Theorem IV.5.1])

$$Z_X(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)(1-q^2t) \det(1-qtg | \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Q})}.$$

Therefore the zeta function of a del Pezzo surface X is totally defined by the type of X . Thus the type of X defines the numbers N_k , since these numbers are uniquely determined by a given zeta function.

The research was carried out at the IITP RAS at the expense of the Russian Foundation for Sciences (project N^o 14-50-00150).

The study of algebraic varieties over finite fields has numerous applications in coding theory (see, for example, [VNT18]).

The natural question is which types of del Pezzo surfaces can be realized for given q . In [BFL16, Theorem 1.7] it is shown that a del Pezzo surface of any type exists for any sufficiently big q . But there is no answer to the question for small q . The aim of this paper is to construct each type of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 or greater over \mathbb{F}_q if it is possible, and show that such surfaces do not exist for other values of q .

The *degree* of a del Pezzo surface X is the number $d = K_X^2$. One has $1 \leq d \leq 9$. For each d we give the isomorphism class of W in the following table, where $W(E_6)$, $W(E_7)$ and $W(E_8)$ are the Weyl groups of the corresponding root systems E_6 , E_7 and E_8 .

Degree	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Group	$\langle \text{id} \rangle$	$\langle \text{id} \rangle$ or $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	D_6	S_5	$(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4 \rtimes S_5$	$W(E_6)$	$W(E_7)$	$W(E_8)$

One can easily find conjugacy classes of elements in the dihedral group D_6 of order 12 and the symmetric group S_5 . For the group $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4 \rtimes S_5$ it is more difficult, and we give a proof of the classification in Proposition 6.1, since in some sources there are missed cases in the classification of finite subgroups of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4 \rtimes S_5$. The classification of conjugacy classes of the elements in the groups $W(E_6)$, $W(E_7)$ and $W(E_8)$ is obtained in [Fr51] and [Fr67].

A surface S is called *minimal* if any birational morphism $S \rightarrow S'$ is an isomorphism. The type of a del Pezzo surface X allows to determine whether the surface is minimal or not. If X is not a minimal surface then it is isomorphic to the blowup of a surface Y at some points of certain degrees. Such points of the blowup should satisfy some conditions that are called *being in general position* (see Theorem 2.2, Proposition 6.4 and Corollary 2.6). An important case of nonminimal del Pezzo surfaces is the blowup of the projective plane at some points of certain degrees in general position. Surfaces of these types have many interesting properties and are studied in many papers. For example, the blowups of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at six \mathbb{F}_q -points or four \mathbb{F}_q -points and a point of degree two are considered in [SD10], and the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at seven \mathbb{F}_q -points is considered in [Kap13, Chapter 4, Sections 2 and 3] and [KR16] for odd q . Many types (including most complicated) of nonminimal del Pezzo surfaces are considered in [BFL16], but the aim of [BFL16] is to construct del Pezzo surfaces with a given number of \mathbb{F}_q -points. Some types of nonminimal del Pezzo surfaces are not considered in [BFL16] because del Pezzo surfaces of different types can have the same number of \mathbb{F}_q -points.

The types of minimal del Pezzo surfaces of degrees 4, 3 and 2 are considered in the papers of S. Rybakov and the author. Minimal del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 are constructed in [Ry05, Theorem 3.2]. These surfaces either admit a structure of a conic bundle with four degenerate fibres, or are isomorphic to the contracting of a (-1) -curve on a del Pezzo surface of degree 3 admitting a structure of a conic bundle with five degenerate fibres. Any del Pezzo surface of degree 3 is a cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^3$. There are five types of minimal cubic surfaces. One type is constructed in [SD10] and the other four types are constructed in [RT17, Theorem 1.2], but there are some restrictions on q for three types. Minimal del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 are constructed in [Tr17]. For four types there are some restrictions on q (three of them are inherited from the restrictions on q for the case of cubic surfaces).

We give the classification of the types of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 5 or greater, 4, 3 and 2 in Tables 1, 4, 3 and 2 respectively.

The main results of this paper are the following three theorems.

Theorem 1.2. *In the notation of Table 2 the following holds.*

- (1) *Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 of types 1 and 49 do not exist over $\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_3, \mathbb{F}_4, \mathbb{F}_5, \mathbb{F}_7, \mathbb{F}_8$, and exist over other finite fields.*
- (2) *Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 of types 5 and 10 do not exist over $\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_3, \mathbb{F}_4, \mathbb{F}_5$, and exist over other finite fields.*
- (3) *Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 of types 2, 3, 18 and 31 do not exist over $\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_3, \mathbb{F}_4$, and exist over other finite fields.*
- (4) *Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 of types 4, 6–9, 12–14, 17, 21, 22, 25, 32, 33, 38, 40, 50, 53, 55, 60 do not exist over \mathbb{F}_2 , and exist over other finite fields.*
- (5) *Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 of types 11, 15, 16, 19, 20, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29, 30, 34, 36, 37, 39, 41–46, 48, 51, 52, 54, 57–59 exist over all finite fields.*
- (6) *Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 of types 28 and 35 do not exist over \mathbb{F}_2 , and exist over any \mathbb{F}_q where $q \geq 4$.*
- (7) *Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 of types 47 and 56 exist over any \mathbb{F}_q where $q = 6k+1$.*

Theorem 1.3. *In the notation of Table 3 the following holds.*

- (1) *A cubic surface of type (c_1) does not exist over $\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_3, \mathbb{F}_5$, and exists over other finite fields.*
- (2) *Cubic surfaces of types $(c_2), (c_3)$ do not exist over $\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_3$, and exist over other finite fields.*
- (3) *Cubic surfaces of types $(c_4), (c_5), (c_9), (c_{10})$ do not exist over \mathbb{F}_2 , and exist over other finite fields.*
- (4) *Cubic surfaces of types (c_6) – $(c_8), (c_{11})$ – (c_{13}) and (c_{15}) – (c_{25}) exist over all finite fields.*
- (5) *A cubic surface of type (c_{14}) exists over any \mathbb{F}_q where $q = 6k + 1$.*

Theorem 1.4. *In the notation of Table 4 the following holds.*

- (1) *Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 of types $\text{id}, \iota_{ab}, \iota_{abcd}$ do not exist over $\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_3$, and exist over other finite fields.*
- (2) *Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 of types $(ab)(cd), (ab)(cd)\iota_{ac}, (ab)(cd)\iota_{ae}$ do not exist over \mathbb{F}_2 , and exist over other finite fields.*
- (3) *The other types of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 exist over all finite fields.*

The plan of this paper is as follows.

In Section 2 we remind some notions about del Pezzo surfaces and the corresponding Weyl groups.

In Section 3 we give a short proof of a well-known fact, that del Pezzo surfaces of degree 5 or greater of any type exist over any \mathbb{F}_q , and give the classification of the types of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 5 or greater.

In Sections 4–6 we prove Theorems 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4. By Corollary 2.6 if a del Pezzo surface Y of degree $d \geq 3$ contains an \mathbb{F}_q -point not lying on the lines, then the blowup of Y at this point a del Pezzo surface X of degree $d - 1$. Moreover, the type of X is defined by the type of Y . Therefore surfaces of the same type as Y exist over any finite field, such that there exists a surface X of the corresponding type. Thus our strategy is the following. We start from del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2, then we pass to del Pezzo surfaces of degree 3 and consider only those types, for which del Pezzo surfaces of the corresponding types do

not exist over all finite fields. After this we consider the types of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 such that their existence over all finite fields does not immediately follow from the existence of del Pezzo surface of degree 3 of the corresponding types.

In Section 4 we consider del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 and prove Theorem 1.2. In Table 2 we give the classification of conjugacy classes in $W(E_7)$. These 60 conjugacy classes are divided into 30 pairs, such that the Geiser twist (see Definition 4.2) of a surface of one type in a pair has the other type in the pair. The surfaces of types, that are paired by Geiser twist, exist over the same finite fields. Many pairs of types are considered in [BFL16] and [Tr17]. For each of the remaining pairs of types one type in the pair can be constructed as either the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at some points of certain degrees, or the blowup of a minimal del Pezzo surface of degree 4 at two \mathbb{F}_q -points, or the blowup of a cubic surface at an \mathbb{F}_q -point. We give the constructions of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 of these types over \mathbb{F}_q if it is possible, and show that such types of surfaces do not exist for other values of q .

In Section 5 we consider del Pezzo surfaces of degree 3 (that are cubic surfaces) and prove Theorem 1.3. In Table 3 we give the classification of conjugacy classes in $W(E_6)$. There are 25 types of cubic surfaces. Existence of cubic surfaces of eight types over all finite fields immediately follows from the existence of the corresponding (see the last column of Table 3) del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 over all finite fields. Many types are considered in [Ry05], [SD10], [RT17], [Tr17] and [BFL16]. Some types of cubic surfaces are considered in the proofs of some lemmas in Section 4. The remaining three types can be constructed as the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at some points of certain degrees. We give the constructions of cubic surfaces of these types over \mathbb{F}_q if it is possible, and show that such types of surfaces do not exist for other values of q .

In Section 6 we consider del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 and prove Theorem 1.4. In Table 4 we give the classification of conjugacy classes in $W(D_5) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4 \rtimes S_5$. There are 18 types of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4. For almost all types del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 exist over all finite fields, since cubic surfaces of the corresponding (see the last column of Table 4) types exist over all finite fields. Three of the remaining types are considered in [Ry05] and [BFL16]. The remaining three types can be constructed as the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ or a smooth quadric in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^3$ at some points of certain degrees. We give the constructions of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 of these types over \mathbb{F}_q if it is possible, and show that such types of surfaces do not exist for other values of q .

In Section 7 we discuss problems arising for del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1, and show that for almost all methods of constructing of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 or greater there appear some additional difficulties.

The author is a Young Russian Mathematics award winner and would like to thank its sponsors and jury. Also the author is grateful to S. Rybakov for valuable comments.

Notation. Throughout this paper all surfaces are smooth, projective and defined over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q of order q , where q is a power of prime. For a surface X we denote $X \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ by \overline{X} . For a del Pezzo surface X we denote the Picard group by $\text{Pic}(X)$, the image of the group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ in the corresponding Weyl group $W(R)$ acting on the Picard group $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})$ is denoted by Γ , for the Γ -invariant Picard group $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})^\Gamma$ one has $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})^\Gamma = \text{Pic}(X)$. The number $\rho(X) = \text{rk Pic}(X)$ is the Picard number of X , for the Γ -invariant Picard number $\rho(\overline{X})^\Gamma$ one has $\rho(\overline{X})^\Gamma = \rho(X)$. The subspace of classes C in $\text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ such that $C \cdot K_X = 0$ is denoted by K_X^\perp .

For any variety X we denote by \mathbf{F} the Frobenius automorphism of X .
We denote by ξ_d a primitive root of unity of order d , and $\omega = \xi_3$, $i = \xi_4$.

2. DEL PEZZO SURFACES AND WEYL GROUPS

In this section we remind some basic notions about del Pezzo surfaces and the corresponding Weyl groups.

Definition 2.1. A smooth projective surface X such that the anticanonical class $-K_X$ is ample is called a *del Pezzo surface*.

The number $d = K_X^2$ is called the *degree* of a del Pezzo surface.

It is well known that a del Pezzo surface \overline{X} over an algebraically closed field $\overline{\mathbb{k}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{k}}}^2$, $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{k}}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{k}}}^1$ or the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{k}}}^2$ at up to 8 points in *general position*. More precisely, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2.2 (cf. [Man74, Theorem IV.2.5]). *Let $1 \leq d \leq 9$, and p_1, \dots, p_{9-d} be $9-d$ points on the projective plane $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{k}}}^2$ such that*

- *no three lie on a line;*
- *no six lie on a conic;*
- *for $d = 1$ the points are not on a singular cubic curve with singularity at one of these points.*

Then the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{k}}}^2$ at p_1, \dots, p_{9-d} is a del Pezzo surface of degree d .

Moreover, any del Pezzo surface \overline{X} of degree $1 \leq d \leq 7$ over algebraically closed field $\overline{\mathbb{k}}$ is the blowup of such set of points.

Definition 2.3. We say that a collection of geometric points on $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{k}}}^2$ is *in general position* if it satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.2.

Moreover, for a number of points of certain degree on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ we say that these points are *in general position* if the corresponding geometric points are in general position.

Definition 2.4. A curve E of genus 0 on a surface such that $E^2 = -1$ is called a *(-1)-curve*.

For a surface X one has $(K_X + E) \cdot E = -2$, thus if X is a del Pezzo surface then $-K_X \cdot E = 1$, and the anticanonical morphism $\varphi_{|-K_X|}$ maps E to a line in a projective space of dimension $d = K_X^2$ for $d \geq 2$.

Therefore if there is no confusion (-1)-curves on del Pezzo surfaces are called *lines*.

The following theorem describes the set of (-1)-curves on del Pezzo surfaces.

Theorem 2.5 (cf. [Man74, Theorem IV.4.3]). *Let \overline{X} be a del Pezzo surface of degree $1 \leq d \leq 7$ and $f : \overline{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{k}}}^2$ be the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{k}}}^2$ at points p_1, \dots, p_{9-d} . Then the set of (-1)-curves on \overline{X} consists of the following curves:*

- *the preimages of p_i ;*
- *the proper transforms of lines passing through two points from the set $\{p_i\}$;*
- *the proper transforms of conics passing through five points from the set $\{p_i\}$;*
- *the proper transforms of cubics passing through seven points from the set $\{p_i\}$ and passing through one of these points with multiplicity 2;*
- *the proper transforms of quartics passing through eight points from the set $\{p_i\}$ and passing through three of these points with multiplicity 2;*

- the proper transforms of quintics passing through eight points from the set $\{p_i\}$ and passing through six of these points with multiplicity 2;
- the proper transforms of sextics passing through eight points from the set $\{p_i\}$ with multiplicity at least 2 and passing through one of these points with multiplicity 3.

Applying Theorem 2.5 one can compute the numbers of (-1) -curves on del Pezzo surfaces, that are presented in the following table.

Degree	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Number of lines	0	1 or 0	3	6	10	16	27	56	240

The following useful corollary from Theorem 2.2 is well-known. For the proof see, for example, [Tr17, Corollary 3.9].

Corollary 2.6. *Let X be a del Pezzo surface of degree $3 \leq d \leq 9$, and let p be an \mathbb{F}_q -point that does not lie on the lines. Then the blowup of X at p is a del Pezzo surface of degree $d - 1$.*

To apply Corollary 2.6 one should compare the number of \mathbb{F}_q -points on X and the number of \mathbb{F}_q -points on X lying on the lines. The number of \mathbb{F}_q -points on X of certain type is given by equation (1.1). The following remark allows us to compute the number of \mathbb{F}_q -points on X lying on the lines.

Remark 2.7. Let X be a del Pezzo surface of degree $3 \leq d \leq 7$. Then any line defined over \mathbb{F}_q contains $q + 1$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q .

If $d \geq 4$ then there are no points of intersection of three or more lines. Therefore the number of \mathbb{F}_q -points on X lying on the lines is equal to $A(q + 1) - B + C$, where A is the number of lines defined over \mathbb{F}_q , B is the number of pairs of meeting each other lines defined over \mathbb{F}_q , and C is the number of pairs of meeting each other conjugate lines defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} .

If $d = 3$ then there are no points of intersection of four or more lines. Three lines H_1, H_2 and H_3 meet each other, if and only if the divisor $H_1 + H_2 + H_3$ is linearly equivalent to $-K_X$. For such triple of lines there are two possibilities: either there are three distinct points $H_1 \cap H_2, H_1 \cap H_3, H_2 \cap H_3$, or the three lines have a common point, that is called an *Eckardt point*. Therefore if all three lines are defined over \mathbb{F}_q , then the union of these lines contains $3q$ or $3q + 1$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q . If one of these lines is defined over \mathbb{F}_q , and the others are conjugate and defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , then the union of these lines contains $q + 2$ or $q + 1$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q . If these three lines are conjugate and defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^3} , then the union of these lines contains 0 or 1 point defined over \mathbb{F}_q . In all other cases there are no \mathbb{F}_q -points on the union of these three lines.

Therefore for $d = 3$ the number of \mathbb{F}_q -points on X lying on the lines is equal to $A(q + 1) - B + C + D - E + F$, where A is the number of lines defined over \mathbb{F}_q , B is the number of pairs of meeting each other lines defined over \mathbb{F}_q , C is the number of pairs of meeting each other conjugate lines defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , D is the number of Eckardt points lying on a triple of lines defined over \mathbb{F}_q , E is the number of Eckardt points lying on a triple of lines defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} such that two of these lines are conjugate, F is the number of Eckardt points lying on a triple of conjugate lines defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^3} . Note that the type of a cubic surface X defines the numbers A, B and C . Therefore the number of \mathbb{F}_q -points on X lying on the lines is at least $A(q + 1) - B + C - E$ and at most $A(q + 1) - B + C + D + F$.

To count the mentioned in Remark 2.7 numbers of Eckardt points we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8 ([DD17, Lemma 9.4]). *A line on a cubic surface contains 0, 1 or 2 Eckardt points if q is odd, and contains 0, 1 or 5 Eckardt points if q is even.*

If \overline{X} is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^1$, then the Picard group of \overline{X} is generated by the proper transform L of the class of a line on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^2$, and the classes E_1, \dots, E_{9-d} of the exceptional divisors. For $d \leq 6$ the subspace $K_{\overline{X}}^{\perp} \subset \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is generated by

$$L - E_1 - E_2 - E_3, \quad E_1 - E_2, \quad E_2 - E_3, \quad \dots, \quad E_{8-d} - E_{9-d}.$$

This set of generators are simple roots for the root system of a certain type given in the following table (for details see e. g. [Man74, Theorem IV.3.5]).

Degree	6	5	4	3	2	1
Root system	$A_2 \times A_1$	A_4	D_5	E_6	E_7	E_8

To simplify the notation we denote by E_{9-d} the root system corresponding to a del Pezzo surface of degree d .

Any group, acting on the Picard lattice $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})$ and preserving the intersection form, is a subgroup of the Weyl group $W(E_{9-d})$. In particular, if X is a del Pezzo surface over a field \mathbb{k} , then the group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{k}}/\mathbb{k})$ acts on $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})$, and its image Γ in $\text{Aut}(\text{Pic}(\overline{X}))$ is a subgroup of $W(E_{9-d})$. Moreover, if \mathbb{k} is a finite field then Γ is a cyclic subgroup of $W(E_{9-d})$. One can easily classify finite subgroups of $W(A_2 \times A_1) \cong D_6$ that is a dihedral group of order 12, $W(A_4) \cong S_5$ and $W(D_5) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4 \rtimes S_5$. The classification of finite subgroups in $W(E_6)$, $W(E_7)$, $W(E_8)$ is obtained in [Car72].

One of the main properties of a conjugacy class in $W(E_{9-d})$ is a Carter graph, that was introduced in [Car72]. This graph describes eigenvalues of the action of Γ on $K_{\overline{X}}^{\perp} \subset \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ (see [Car72, Table 3]), and, in particular, defines the order of Γ . Moreover, we have the following useful well-known lemma.

Lemma 2.9. *Let Y be a del Pezzo surface of type whose Carter graph is R , and X be the blowup of Y at a point P of degree k . If X is a del Pezzo surface then its type has the Carter graph $R \times A_{k-1}$. In particular, if P is an \mathbb{F}_q -point then the types of X and Y have the same Carter graph.*

3. DEL PEZZO SURFACES OF DEGREE 5 AND GREATER

In this section we give a proof of the well-known fact that del Pezzo surfaces of degree 5 or greater of any types exist over all finite fields. For the sake of completeness we give the classification of the types of del Pezzo surface of degree 5 or greater.

Proposition 3.1. *Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 5 or greater of any types exist over all finite fields.*

Proof. For del Pezzo surfaces of degree 5 the group Γ is a cyclic subgroup of the Weyl group $W(A_4) \cong S_5$. The conjugacy class of a cyclic subgroup Γ in S_5 is defined by the cyclic type of $\sigma \in S_5$.

For a given σ consider a smooth conic Q on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ and five geometric points p_1, \dots, p_5 on Q , such that \mathbf{F} acts on these points as $p_i \mapsto p_{\sigma(i)}$. Consider the blowup $f : X_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ of the points p_1, \dots, p_5 . The surface X_4 is a del Pezzo surface of degree 4 by Theorem 2.2, since

Surface	Class	Graph	Order	Eigenvalues	$\rho(\overline{X})^\Gamma$
\mathbb{P}^2	id	\emptyset	1		1
X_8	id	\emptyset	1	1	2
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$	id	\emptyset	1	1	2
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$	g	A_1	2	-1	1
X_7	id	\emptyset	1	1, 1	3
X_7	g	A_1	2	1, -1	2
X_6	id	\emptyset	1	1, 1, 1	4
X_6	s	A_1	2	1, 1, -1	3
X_6	rs	A_1^2	2	1, -1, -1	2
X_6	r^3	A_1	2	1, 1, -1	3
X_6	r^2	A_2	3	1, ω , ω^2	2
X_6	r	$A_2 \times A_1$	6	ω , ω^2 , -1	1
X_5	id	\emptyset	1	1, 1, 1, 1	5
X_5	(ab)	A_1	2	1, 1, 1, -1	4
X_5	$(ab)(cd)$	A_1^2	2	1, 1, -1, -1	3
X_5	(abc)	A_2	3	1, 1, ω , ω^2	3
X_5	$(abcd)$	A_3	4	1, i , -1, - i	2
X_5	$(abcde)$	A_4	5	ξ_5 , ξ_5^2 , ξ_5^3 , ξ_5^4	1
X_5	$(abc)(de)$	$A_2 \times A_1$	6	1, ω , ω^2 , -1	2

TABLE 1. Types of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 5 or greater

if three points p_i lie on a line l then $l \cdot Q \geq 3$ that is impossible. One has $f_*^{-1}(Q)^2 = -1$. Therefore there exists the contraction $\pi : X_4 \rightarrow X_5$ of $f_*^{-1}(Q)$, where X_5 is a del Pezzo surface of degree 5 of type corresponding to σ .

This method works in all cases except the trivial group and the group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \langle (12)(34) \rangle$ over \mathbb{F}_2 , since there are only three \mathbb{F}_2 -points and one point of degree 2 on a conic. But in these cases one can consider a blowup $X_5 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^2$ at four \mathbb{F}_2 -points or two points of degree 2 in general position respectively.

On a del Pezzo surface of degree 6–9 one can blow up a number of \mathbb{F}_q -points and get a del Pezzo surface of degree 5. One can consider a del Pezzo surface of degree 5 of the corresponding type and contract a number of (-1) -curves defined over \mathbb{F}_q . □

In Table 1 for del Pezzo surfaces X of degree 5 and greater we give the classification of conjugacy classes in the groups acting on $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})$ and preserving K_X and the intersection form. The first column is the isomorphism class of the surface $\overline{X} = X \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$. In this column we denote by X_d del Pezzo surfaces such that \overline{X}_d can be obtained as the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}}^2$ at $9 - d$ points. The second column is a conjugacy class of the generator of Γ . We denote the generator of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by g , and denote the generators of D_6 by r and s , with the relations $r^6 = s^2 = sr sr = 1$. The third column is a Carter graph corresponding to the conjugacy class (see [Car72]). The fourth column is the order of an element. The fifth column is the collection of eigenvalues of the action of an element on $K_X^\perp \subset \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. The sixth column is the invariant Picard number $\rho(\overline{X})^\Gamma$.

4. DEL PEZZO SURFACES OF DEGREE 2

In this section for each cyclic subgroup $\Gamma \subset W(E_7)$ we construct the corresponding del Pezzo surface of degree 2 over \mathbb{F}_q if it is possible, and show that such surfaces do not exist for other values of q . These results are summed up in Theorem 1.2.

In Table 2 we collect some facts about conjugacy classes of elements in the Weyl group $W(E_7)$. This table is based on [Car72, Table 10]. The first column is the number of a conjugacy class in order of their appearance in Carter's table. The second column is a Carter graph corresponding to the conjugacy class (see [Car72]). The third column is the order of an element. The fourth column is the collection of eigenvalues of the action of an element on $K_X^\perp \subset \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. The fifth column is the invariant Picard number $\rho(\overline{X})^\Gamma$. The last column is the number of the corresponding conjugacy class after the Geiser twist (see Definition 4.2).

Number	Graph	Order	Eigenvalues	$\rho(\overline{X})^\Gamma$	Geiser
1.	\emptyset	1	1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1	8	49.
2.	A_1	2	1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -1	7	31.
3.	A_1^2	2	1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -1, -1	6	18.
4.	A_2	3	1, 1, 1, 1, 1, ω , ω^2	6	53.
5.	A_1^3	2	1, 1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1	5	10.
6.	A_1^3	2	1, 1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1	5	9.
7.	$A_2 \times A_1$	6	1, 1, 1, 1, -1, ω , ω^2	5	40.
8.	A_3	4	1, 1, 1, 1, i , -1, - i	5	33.
9.	A_1^4	2	1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1, -1	4	6.
10.	A_1^4	2	1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1, -1	4	5.
11.	$A_2 \times A_1^2$	6	1, 1, 1, -1, -1, ω , ω^2	4	27.
12.	A_2^2	3	1, 1, 1, ω , ω^2 , ω , ω^2	4	55.
13.	$A_3 \times A_1$	4	1, 1, 1, i , -1, - i , -1	4	22.
14.	$A_3 \times A_1$	4	1, 1, 1, i , -1, - i , -1	4	21.
15.	A_4	5	1, 1, 1, ξ_5 , ξ_5^2 , ξ_5^3 , ξ_5^4	4	54.
16.	D_4	6	1, 1, 1, -1, $-\omega^2$, -1, $-\omega$	4	19.
17.	$D_4(a_1)$	4	1, 1, 1, i , - i , i , - i	4	50.
18.	A_1^5	2	1, 1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1	3	3.
19.	$A_2 \times A_1^3$	6	1, 1, -1, -1, -1, ω , ω^2	3	16.
20.	$A_2^2 \times A_1$	6	1, 1, -1, ω , ω^2 , ω , ω^2	3	45.
21.	$A_3 \times A_1^2$	4	1, 1, i , -1, - i , -1, -1	3	14.
22.	$A_3 \times A_1^2$	4	1, 1, i , -1, - i , -1, -1	3	13.
23.	$A_3 \times A_2$	12	1, 1, i , -1, - i , ω , ω^2	3	42.
24.	$A_4 \times A_1$	10	1, 1, ξ_5 , ξ_5^2 , ξ_5^3 , ξ_5^4 , -1	3	43.
25.	A_5	6	1, 1, $-\omega^2$, ω , -1, ω^2 , $-\omega$	3	38.
26.	A_5	6	1, 1, $-\omega^2$, ω , -1, ω^2 , $-\omega$	3	37.
27.	$D_4 \times A_1$	6	1, 1, -1, $-\omega^2$, -1, $-\omega$, -1	3	11.
28.	$D_4(a_1) \times A_1$	4	1, 1, i , - i , i , - i , -1	3	35.
29.	D_5	8	1, 1, -1, ξ_8 , ξ_8^3 , ξ_8^5 , ξ_8^7	3	41.
30.	$D_5(a_1)$	12	1, 1, i , - i , $-\omega^2$, -1, $-\omega$	3	34.
31.	A_1^6	2	1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1	2	2.

Number	Graph	Order	Eigenvalues	$\rho(\overline{X})^\Gamma$	Geiser
32.	A_2^3	3	$1, \omega, \omega^2, \omega, \omega^2, \omega, \omega^2$	2	60.
33.	$A_3 \times A_1^3$	4	$1, i, -1, -i, -1, -1, -1$	2	8.
34.	$A_3 \times A_2 \times A_1$	12	$1, i, -1, -i, \omega, \omega^2, -1$	2	30.
35.	A_3^2	4	$1, i, -1, -i, i, -1, -i$	2	28.
36.	$A_4 \times A_2$	15	$1, \xi_5, \xi_5^2, \xi_5^3, \xi_5^4, \omega, \omega^2$	2	59.
37.	$A_5 \times A_1$	6	$1, -\omega^2, \omega, -1, \omega^2, -\omega, -1$	2	26.
38.	$A_5 \times A_1$	6	$1, -\omega^2, \omega, -1, \omega^2, -\omega, -1$	2	25.
39.	A_6	7	$1, \xi_7, \xi_7^2, \xi_7^3, \xi_7^4, \xi_7^5, \xi_7^6$	2	57.
40.	$D_4 \times A_1^2$	6	$1, -1, -\omega^2, -1, -\omega, -1, -1$	2	7.
41.	$D_5 \times A_1$	8	$1, -1, \xi_8, \xi_8^3, \xi_8^5, \xi_8^7, -1$	2	29.
42.	$D_5(a_1) \times A_1$	12	$1, i, -i, -\omega^2, -1, -\omega, -1$	2	23.
43.	D_6	10	$1, -1, -\xi_5^3, -\xi_5^4, -1, -\xi_5, -\xi_5^2$	2	24.
44.	$D_6(a_1)$	8	$1, i, -i, \xi_8, \xi_8^3, \xi_8^5, \xi_8^7$	2	52.
45.	$D_6(a_2)$	6	$1, -\omega^2, -1, -\omega, -\omega^2, -1, -\omega$	2	20.
46.	E_6	12	$1, \omega, \omega^2, -i\omega, -i\omega^2, i\omega, i\omega^2$	2	58.
47.	$E_6(a_1)$	9	$1, \xi_9, \xi_9^2, \xi_9^4, \xi_9^5, \xi_9^7, \xi_9^8$	2	56.
48.	$E_6(a_2)$	6	$1, \omega, \omega^2, -\omega^2, -\omega, -\omega^2, -\omega$	2	51.
49.	A_1^7	2	$-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1$	1	1.
50.	$A_3^2 \times A_1$	4	$-1, i, -1, -i, i, -1, -i$	1	17.
51.	$A_5 \times A_2$	6	$\omega, \omega^2, -\omega^2, \omega, -1, \omega^2, -\omega$	1	48.
52.	A_7	8	$\xi_8, i, \xi_8^3, -1, \xi_8^5, -i, \xi_8^7$	1	44.
53.	$D_4 \times A_1^3$	6	$-1, -1, -1, -1, -\omega^2, -1, -\omega$	1	4.
54.	$D_6 \times A_1$	10	$-1, -1, -1, -\xi_5^3, -\xi_5^4, -\xi_5, -\xi_5^2$	1	15.
55.	$D_6(a_2) \times A_1$	6	$-1, -\omega^2, -1, -\omega, -\omega^2, -1, -\omega$	1	12.
56.	E_7	18	$-1, -\xi_9^5, -\xi_9^7, -\xi_9^8, -\xi_9, -\xi_8^2, -\xi_9^4$	1	47.
57.	$E_7(a_1)$	14	$-\xi_7^4, -\xi_7^5, -\xi_7^6, -1, -\xi_7, -\xi_7^2, -\xi_7^3$	1	39.
58.	$E_7(a_2)$	12	$-\omega^2, -1, -\omega, -i\omega, -i\omega^2, i\omega, i\omega^2$	1	46.
59.	$E_7(a_3)$	30	$-\omega^2, -1, -\omega, -\xi_5^3, -\xi_5^4, -\xi_5, -\xi_5^2$	1	36.
60.	$E_7(a_4)$	6	$-\omega^2, -1, -\omega, -\omega^2, -\omega, -\omega^2, -\omega$	1	32.

Table 2: Conjugacy classes of elements in $W(E_7)$

The *Geiser twist* of a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 is very useful in what follows. It was used in [Tr17, Section 3] and [BFL16, Subsection 4.1.2.]. We recall some notions introduced in [Tr17, Section 3].

For a del Pezzo surface X of degree 2 the anticanonical linear system $|-K_X|$ gives a double cover $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$. This cover defines an involution on X that is called *the Geiser involution*. Therefore we can apply the following well-known proposition (see, for example, [RT17, Proposition 4.4]).

Proposition 4.1. *Let X_1 be a smooth algebraic variety over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q such that a cyclic group G of order n acts on X_1 and this action induces a faithful action of G on the group $\text{Pic}(\overline{X}_1)$. Let Γ_1 be the image of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ in the group $\text{Aut}(\text{Pic}(\overline{X}_1))$. Let h and g be the generators of Γ_1 and G respectively.*

Then there exists a variety X_2 such that the image Γ_2 of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$ in the group $\text{Aut}(\text{Pic}(\overline{X}_2)) \cong \text{Aut}(\text{Pic}(\overline{X}_1))$ is generated by the element gh .

Note that in Proposition 4.1 one has $\overline{X}_1 \cong \overline{X}_2$. Therefore if X_1 is a del Pezzo surface, then X_2 is a del Pezzo surface of the same degree.

Definition 4.2 ([Tr17, Definition 3.2]). Let X_1 be a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 such that the image Γ_1 of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$ in the group $\text{Aut}(\text{Pic}(\overline{X}_1))$ is generated by an element h . Then by Proposition 4.1 there exists a del Pezzo surface X_2 of degree 2 such that the image Γ_2 of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$ in the group $\text{Aut}(\text{Pic}(\overline{X}_2))$ is generated by the element γh . We say that the surface X_2 is the *Geiser twist* of the surface X_1 .

Therefore 60 types of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 are divided into 30 pairs, and a surface of a given type exists if and only if the Geiser twist of this surface exists.

Remark 4.3 ([Tr17, Remark 3.3]). Note that the Geiser involution γ acts on $K_X^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by multiplying all elements by -1 . Therefore the eigenvalues of a generator of the group Γ_2 are the eigenvalues of a generator of the group Γ_1 multiplied by -1 . Thus for each type of the group Γ_1 it is easy to find the type of the corresponding group Γ_2 (see Table 2), except the cases where two types of Γ_2 have the same collections of eigenvalues.

We use the following lemma to distinguish types of del Pezzo surfaces with the same collection of eigenvalues.

Lemma 4.4. *If there is a Γ_1 -invariant (-1) -curve on a del Pezzo surface X_1 of degree 2 then there are no Γ_2 -invariant (-1) -curves on the Geiser twist X_2 of X_1 . In particular, in a pair of del Pezzo surfaces X_1 and X_2 of degree 2 such that X_2 is the Geiser twist of X_1 , no more than one surface is isomorphic to the blowup of a cubic surface at an \mathbb{F}_q -point.*

Proof. If there is a Γ_1 -invariant (-1) -curve E on a del Pezzo surface X_1 of degree 2 then one can contract this curve and get a cubic surface Y . The set of (-1) -curves on X_1 consist of E , the proper transforms of the 27 lines on Y , and images of these 28 curves under the action of the Geiser involution. Therefore there are no Γ_1 -invariant pairs of (-1) -curves permuted by Γ_1 and the Geiser involution on X_1 . Thus there are no Γ_2 -invariant (-1) -curves on X_2 . \square

Corollary 4.5. *There are six pairs of types of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 that have the same Carter graph: 5 and 6, 9 and 10, 13 and 14, 21 and 22, 25 and 26, 37 and 38 (see Table 2). For these types we have the following description.*

- A del Pezzo surface X_1 of type 5 is isomorphic to the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$ at three points of degree 2. The Geiser twist X_2 of X_1 has type 10 and is isomorphic to the blowup of a del Pezzo surface of degree 4 of type ι_{abcd} (see Table 4) at two \mathbb{F}_q -points.
- A del Pezzo surface X_1 of type 6 is isomorphic to the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at an \mathbb{F}_q -point and three points of degree 2. The Geiser twist X_2 of X_1 has type 9 and is isomorphic to the blowup of a del Pezzo surface Y of degree 8 with $\rho(Y) = 1$ at three points of degree 2.
- A del Pezzo surface X_1 of type 13 is isomorphic to the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$ at a point of degree 2 and a point of degree 4. The Geiser twist X_2 of X_1 has type 22

and is isomorphic to the blowup of a del Pezzo surface of degree 4 of type $(ab)_{acde}$ (see Table 4) at two \mathbb{F}_q -points.

- A del Pezzo surface X_1 of type 14 is isomorphic to the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at an \mathbb{F}_q -point, a point of degree 2 and a point of degree 4. The Geiser twist X_2 of X_1 has type 21 and is isomorphic to the blowup of a del Pezzo surface Y of degree 8 with $\rho(Y) = 1$ at a point of degree 2 and a point of degree 4.
- A del Pezzo surface X_1 of type 25 is isomorphic to the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$ at a point of degree 6. The Geiser twist X_2 of X_1 has type 38 and is isomorphic to the blowup of a cubic surface of type c_{10} (see Table 3) at an \mathbb{F}_q -point.
- A del Pezzo surface X_1 of type 26 is isomorphic to the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at an \mathbb{F}_q -point and a point of degree 6. The Geiser twist X_2 of X_1 has type 37 and is isomorphic to the blowup of a del Pezzo surface Y of degree 8 with $\rho(Y) = 1$ at a point of degree 6.

Remark 4.6. In Table 2 there are no data describing difference between types of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 with the same Carter graph. One can find these data in [Car72, Table 10] or [Ur96, Table 1] and see that the given in Corollary 4.5 descriptions satisfy these data.

Proof of Corollary 4.5. The described surfaces of certain types have the corresponding to these types Carter graphs by Lemma 2.9. The surfaces of types 6, 10, 14, 22, 26 and 38 are isomorphic to the blowup of certain cubic surfaces at an \mathbb{F}_q -point. Therefore by Lemma 4.4 any surface of one of these types cannot be the Geiser twist of an other surface of one of these types. \square

We prove Theorem 1.2 case by case. We start from the types for which a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 is isomorphic to the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at a number of points of certain degrees. There are 15 types of such del Pezzo surfaces parametrised by Young diagrams. Seven of these types are considered in [BFL16, Subsection 4.2] and three other types are considered in [Tr17]. The remaining five types are considered below.

For types 3 and 6 we use the notation of the following remark.

Remark 4.7. A del Pezzo surface X of degree 2 of type 3 or 6 is isomorphic to the blowup of a cubic surface Y at an \mathbb{F}_q -point not lying on any line. Moreover, there is a morphism $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ that contracts six lines on Y to 6 geometric points p_1, \dots, p_6 on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$. By Theorem 2.5 the set of lines on Y consists of $E_i = f^{-1}(p_i)$, the proper transforms $L_{ij} \sim L - E_i - E_j$ of the lines passing through a pair of points p_i and p_j , and the proper transforms

$$Q_j \sim 2L + E_j - \sum_{i=1}^6 E_i$$

of the conics passing through five points p_i for $i \neq j$. Note that the group $S_6 \subset W(E_6)$ naturally acts on this set in the following way: for $\sigma \in S_6$ one has $\sigma(E_i) = E_{\sigma(i)}$, $\sigma(L_{ij}) = L_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}$, and $\sigma(Q_i) = Q_{\sigma(i)}$. For considered types of surfaces the actions of \mathbf{F} on the set of lines on Y coincides with the actions of certain permutations.

Lemma 4.8. *A del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 3 does not exist over $\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_3, \mathbb{F}_4$ and exists over other finite fields.*

Proof. If X has type 3 then the Galois group acts on the set of lines on the corresponding cubic surface Y as the permutation (12)(34) in the notation of Remark 4.7. Therefore there are seven lines defined over \mathbb{F}_q : $E_5, E_6, L_{12}, L_{34}, L_{56}, Q_5$ and Q_6 . Also there are two \mathbb{F}_q -points $L_{13} \cap L_{24}$ and $L_{14} \cap L_{23}$, that are the points of intersection of the lines not defined over \mathbb{F}_q .

The line L_{56} meets each other line defined over \mathbb{F}_q , and any other line defined over \mathbb{F}_q meets only two lines defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Therefore there are $6q + 3$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q on the lines $E_5, E_6, L_{12}, L_{34}, Q_5$ and Q_6 . Each of these lines does not intersect the lines L_{13}, L_{14}, L_{23} and L_{24} . Thus there are at least $6q + 5$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q lying on the lines on Y .

Note that there are $q^2 + 3q + 1$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q on Y . If $q \leq 4$ then $q^2 + 3q + 1 \leq 6q + 5$, so either the surface Y does not exist over $\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_3$ and \mathbb{F}_4 , or it is impossible to blow up Y at an \mathbb{F}_q -point not lying on the lines. Therefore a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 3 does not exist over $\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_3$ and \mathbb{F}_4 .

By Remark 2.7, there are at most $7q + 3$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q lying on the lines on Y . For $q \geq 5$ one has $q^2 + 3q + 1 > 7q + 3$. Therefore one can blow up Y at an \mathbb{F}_q -point not lying on the lines and get a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 3 by Corollary 2.6.

To construct a cubic surface Y for $q \geq 5$, one can consider a smooth conic Q on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$, choose an \mathbb{F}_q -point and two points of degree 2 on Q , and blow up $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at these five geometric points. On the obtained surface Z there are $q^2 + 2q + 1$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q , and $4q + 3$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q lying on the lines. Therefore we can blow up Z at an \mathbb{F}_q -point not lying on the lines and get a required cubic surface Y by Corollary 2.6 and Lemma 2.9. \square

Lemma 4.9. *A del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 6 does not exist over \mathbb{F}_2 and exists over other finite fields.*

Proof. If X has type 6 then the Galois group acts on the set of lines on the corresponding cubic surface Y as the permutation (12)(34)(56).

Over any finite field \mathbb{F}_q the cubic surface Y can be constructed in the following way. Let p_1, p_2 and p_3, p_4 be two pairs of conjugate geometric points defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} in general position on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$. Consider two smooth conjugate conics Q_1 and Q_2 defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} passing through p_1, p_2, p_3 and p_4 (such conics always exist since three reduced conics are defined over \mathbb{F}_q). Let q_1 be an \mathbb{F}_{q^2} -point on Q_1 and $q_2 \in Q_2$ be the conjugate of q_1 . We show that the points p_i and q_j are in general position.

Any line passing through two points p_i and p_j does not contain other points lying on Q_1 or Q_2 . Therefore such line passes through exactly two points from the set $\{p_i, q_j\}$. If a point p_i lie on a line L passing through q_1 and q_2 then the conjugate of p_i lie on this line since q_1 and q_2 are conjugate. Hence L meets Q_1 at three points, that is impossible.

Thus the points p_i and q_j are in general position. The blowup of $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at these points is a required cubic surface by Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.9.

There are three lines on Y defined over \mathbb{F}_q : L_{12}, L_{34} , and L_{56} . Note that the lines L_{12}, L_{34} , and L_{56} meet each other. Also there are six \mathbb{F}_q -points $L_{13} \cap L_{24}, L_{14} \cap L_{23}, L_{15} \cap L_{26}, L_{16} \cap L_{25}, L_{35} \cap L_{46}$, and $L_{36} \cap L_{45}$, that are the points of intersection of the lines not defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Thus, by Remark 2.7, there are at most $3q + 7$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q lying on the lines on Y .

Note that there are $q^2 + q + 1$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q on Y . For $q \geq 4$ one has $q^2 + q + 1 > 3q + 7$. Therefore one can blow up Y at an \mathbb{F}_q -point not lying on the lines and get a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 6 by Corollary 2.6.

For $q = 3$ one can check that the seven points

$$\begin{aligned} (1 : 0 : 1 + i), & \quad (1 : 0 : 1 - i), & \quad (0 : 1 : 1 + i), & \quad (0 : 1 : 1 - i), \\ (1 : 1 + i : 0), & \quad (1 : 1 - i : 0) & \quad (1 : -1 : 1), \end{aligned}$$

are in general position.

A del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 6 over \mathbb{F}_2 does not exist, since there are no seven \mathbb{F}_4 -points on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_4}^2$ in general position by [BFL16, Proposition 4.5]. □

Now we consider types 11, 14 and 23.

Lemma 4.10. *A del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 11 exists over all finite fields.*

Proof. A del Pezzo surface of type 11 is the blowup of a $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at two points of degree 2 and a point of degree 3.

Let r, q_1, q_2, q_3 be geometric points on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ in general position such that r is an \mathbb{F}_q -point, q_i are conjugate points defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^3} . Consider two smooth conics Q_1 and Q_2 defined over \mathbb{F}_q and passing through these four points. Let L_1 and L_2 be two conjugate lines defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} and passing through r , and p_{ij} be the points of intersection of L_i and Q_j that differ from r . Then the points p_{ij} are defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . We show that the points p_{ij} and q_k are in general position.

Any line passing through two points q_i and q_j does not contain other points lying on Q_1 or Q_2 . Therefore such line passing through exactly two points from the set $\{p_{ij}, q_k\}$. If a point q_k lie on a line passing through two points from the set $\{p_{ij}\}$ then the points $\mathbf{F}^2 q_k$ and $\mathbf{F}^4 q_k$ lie on this line since $\mathbf{F}^2 p_{ij} = p_{ij}$ for any i and j . But this is impossible since any line meets Q_1 at 2 or 1 point. If a line L passing through three points from the set $\{p_{ij}\}$ then it has two common points with L_1 or L_2 . That is impossible.

If a conic Q passes through the points q_1, q_2, q_3 , and three points from the set $\{p_{ij}\}$ then it has five common points with Q_1 or Q_2 . That is impossible. If a conic Q passes through the six points $p_{11}, p_{12}, p_{21}, p_{22}, q_i$, and q_j , then the conics Q and $\mathbf{F}Q$ have at least five common points. Therefore one has $Q = \mathbf{F}Q$ and this conic contains the points q_1, q_2, q_3 and have five common points with Q_1 and Q_2 . That is impossible.

Thus the points p_{ij} and q_k are in general position. The blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at these points is a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 11 by Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.9. □

Lemma 4.11. *A del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 14 does not exist over \mathbb{F}_2 and exists over other finite fields.*

Proof. A del Pezzo surface of type 14 is the blowup of a $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at an \mathbb{F}_q -point, a point of degree 2 and a point of degree 4.

Let us show that there are no a point of degree 2 and a point of degree 4 in general position on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^2$. Assume that there exist such points in general position and $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4$ are the corresponding geometric points. Then a conic Q_i passing through p_i, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4 is defined over \mathbb{F}_4 and not defined over \mathbb{F}_2 . There are only two conjugate conics defined over \mathbb{F}_4 passing through four points since there is only one point of degree 2

on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^1$. Let L_{ij} be a line passing through q_i and q_j . Then the conics $L_{12} \cup L_{34}$ and $L_{14} \cup L_{23}$ are conjugate conics defined over \mathbb{F}_4 passing through q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4 . Therefore Q_1 and Q_2 coincide with these conics, and points q_1 and q_2 lie on the union of lines L_{ij} . Thus the points $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4$ are not in general position. We have a contradiction.

Now assume that $q \geq 3$. Let q_1, q_2, q_3 , and q_4 be conjugate geometric points defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^4} in general position on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$. Consider two smooth conjugate conics Q_1 and Q_2 defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} passing through q_1, q_2, q_3 and q_4 . Let p_1 be an \mathbb{F}_{q^2} -point on Q_1 and $p_2 \in Q_2$ be the conjugate of p_1 . We show that the points p_i and q_j are in general position.

Any line passing through two points q_i and q_j does not contain other points lying on Q_1 or Q_2 . Therefore such line passes through exactly two points from the set $\{p_i, q_j\}$. If a point q_i lie on a line passing through p_1 and p_2 then the points $\mathbf{F}q_i, \mathbf{F}^2q_i$ and \mathbf{F}^3q_i lie on this line since $\mathbf{F}p_1 = p_2$ and $\mathbf{F}p_2 = p_1$. But this is impossible.

Thus the points p_i and q_j are in general position. The blowup of $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at these points is a cubic surface by Theorem 2.2. If we blow up an \mathbb{F}_q -point not lying on the lines on Y then we get a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 14, by Corollary 2.6 and Lemma 2.9. Such point exists since there are $q^2 + q + 1$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q on Y , and no more than $q + 2$ from those points lie on the lines (in the notation of Remark 4.7 these points are \mathbb{F}_q -points on L_{12} and the \mathbb{F}_q -point $L_{35} \cap L_{46}$). □

Lemma 4.12. *A del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 23 exists over all finite fields.*

Proof. A del Pezzo surface of type 23 is the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at a point of degree 3 and a point of degree 4.

Let q_1, q_2, q_3 be conjugate geometric points on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ not lying on a line and defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^3} . There are $q^2 + q + 1$ lines on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ and $q^2 + q + 1$ conics passing through q_1, q_2 and q_3 . Each of those curves contains $\frac{q^4 - q^2}{4}$ points of degree 4. There are $\frac{q^8 - q^2}{4}$ points of degree 4 on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$. For any $q \geq 2$ one can check that

$$\frac{q^8 - q^2}{4} > 2 \cdot \frac{q^4 - q^2}{4} \cdot (q^2 + q + 1).$$

Therefore we can find a point of degree 4 not lying on a line or a conic passing through q_1, q_2 and q_3 . Denote the corresponding geometric points by p_1, p_2, p_3 and p_4 . We show that the points p_i and q_j are in general position.

Assume that points p_i, p_j , and q_k lie on a line. Then the points $\mathbf{F}^4 p_i = p_i, \mathbf{F}^4 p_j = p_j$, and $\mathbf{F}^4 q_k$ lie on the same line. Therefore the points q_1, q_2 , and q_3 lie on a line. We have a contradiction. The same arguments show that three points p_i, q_j , and q_k can not lie on a line.

If a conic passes through six points p_i, p_j, p_k, q_1, q_2 , and q_3 then this conic passes through the points p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 since the sets $\{\mathbf{F}p_i, \mathbf{F}p_j, \mathbf{F}p_k\}$ and $\{p_i, p_j, p_k\}$ have two common points. If a conic passes through the six points $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, q_i, q_j$ then it passes through the points q_1, q_2, q_3 since either $\mathbf{F}q_i = q_j$ or $\mathbf{F}q_j = q_i$. All these cases are impossible since the points p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 do not lie on a conic passing through the points q_1, q_2 , and q_3 .

Thus the points p_j and q_j are in general position. The blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at these points is a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 23 by Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.9. □

The next five types of del Pezzo surface of degree 2 can be obtained by blowing up minimal del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 of certain types at two \mathbb{F}_q -points. The method that we use for these types is very closely related to the method used in [Tr17, Lemma 3.12], where there is considered the blowup of the sixth type of a minimal del Pezzo surface of degree 4 at two \mathbb{F}_q -points.

Lemma 4.13. *A del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 10 does not exist over $\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_3, \mathbb{F}_4, \mathbb{F}_5$ and exists over other finite fields.*

Proof. A del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 10 is the blowup of a minimal del Pezzo surface S of degree 4 at two \mathbb{F}_q -points. The surface S admits a conic bundle structure with degenerate fibres over four \mathbb{F}_q -points. Such del Pezzo surface does not exist over \mathbb{F}_2 and \mathbb{F}_3 , and exists over other finite fields by [Ry05, Theorem 3.2] and [Tr17, Theorem 2.5]. Assume that $q \geq 4$. The surface S admits two structures of conic bundles and each of the 16 lines is a component of a singular fibre of one of these conic bundles. These lines form eight $\langle \mathbf{F} \rangle$ -orbits, each consisting of 2 curves. Therefore there are eight \mathbb{F}_q -points on the lines, that are the points of intersection of conjugate lines. But by equation (1.1) there are $q^2 - 2q + 1$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q on S . Thus for $q \geq 4$ there is an \mathbb{F}_q -point P on S not lying on the lines. Let $f : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be the blowup of S at P . By Corollary 2.6, the surface \tilde{S} is a cubic surface of type (c_3) (see Table 3). There are three lines on \tilde{S} defined over \mathbb{F}_q : the exceptional divisor $E = f^{-1}(P)$, and the proper transforms C_1 and C_2 of the fibres passing through P of the two conic bundles on S .

Now we show that all other $\langle \mathbf{F} \rangle$ -orbits of lines consist of 2 lines. Let H be a line on \tilde{S} that differs from E, C_1 and C_2 . If $H \cdot E = 0$ then $f(H)$ is a (-1) -curve and the orbit of this curve consists of 2 curves. Assume that $H \cdot E = 1$. Then $C_1 \cdot H = C_2 \cdot H = 0$ since $E + C_1 + C_2 \sim -K_{\tilde{S}}$. It means that $f(H)$ is a section of any conic bundle on S . For any singular fibre this section must meet one component D_1 of this fibre at a point, and for the other component D_2 of this fibre $f(H) \cdot D_2 = 0$. But we have $\mathbf{F}D_1 = D_2$, therefore $\mathbf{F}f(H) \cdot D_2 = f(H) \cdot D_1 = 1$. Thus $\mathbf{F}f(H) \neq f(H)$ and the orbit of H consists of 2 lines.

Therefore on \tilde{S} there are twelve \mathbb{F}_q -points that are the points of intersection of conjugate lines, and three meeting each other lines E, C_1 , and C_2 defined over \mathbb{F}_q . By Remark 2.7, there are $3q+12-\epsilon$ or $3q+13-\epsilon$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q lying on the lines on \tilde{S} , where $\epsilon \leq 12$ is the number of Eckardt points among the points of intersection of conjugate lines.

By equation (1.1), there are $q^2 - q + 1$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q on \tilde{S} . For $q \geq 7$ one has $q^2 - q + 1 > 3q + 13$. Therefore one can blow up \tilde{S} at an \mathbb{F}_q -point not lying on the lines and get a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 10 by Corollary 2.6 and Lemma 2.9.

By Lemma 2.8, for $q = 5$ there are at most 2 Eckardt points on a line. Therefore if E, C_1 , and C_2 meet at an Eckardt point then there are $3q + 1$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q on their union and $\epsilon \leq 3$. In this case there are at least 25 \mathbb{F}_q -points lying on the lines on \tilde{S} . Otherwise, there are $3q$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q on $E \cup C_1 \cup C_2$ and $\epsilon \leq 6$. In this case there are at least 21 \mathbb{F}_q -points lying on the lines on \tilde{S} . In the both cases there is no an \mathbb{F}_q -point not lying on the lines on \tilde{S} .

By Lemma 2.8, for $q = 4$ there are either 0, or 1, or 5 Eckardt points on a line. Therefore if E, C_1 and C_2 meet at an Eckardt point then there are $3q + 1$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q on their union and $\epsilon \leq 12$. In this case there are at least 13 \mathbb{F}_q -points lying on the lines on \tilde{S} . Otherwise, there are $3q$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q on $E \cup C_1 \cup C_2$ and $\epsilon \leq 3$. In this

case there are at least 21 \mathbb{F}_q -points lying on the lines on \tilde{S} . In the both cases there is no an \mathbb{F}_q -point not lying on the lines on \tilde{S} .

For $q \leq 3$ one has $q^2 - q + 1 < 3q$. Therefore a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 10 can not be obtained over $\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_3, \mathbb{F}_4$, and \mathbb{F}_5 . □

Lemma 4.14. *A del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 16 exists over all finite fields.*

Proof. A del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 16 is the blowup of a minimal del Pezzo surface S of degree 4 at two \mathbb{F}_q -points. The surface S admits a conic bundle structure with degenerate fibres over an \mathbb{F}_q -point and a point of degree 3. Such del Pezzo surface exists over all finite fields by [Ry05, Theorem 3.2]. The surface S admits two structures of conic bundles and each of the 16 lines is a component of a singular fibre of one of these conic bundles. The orbits of $\langle \mathbf{F} \rangle$ on the set of the lines on S have cardinalities 2, 2, 6, and 6. Therefore there are two \mathbb{F}_q -points on the lines, that are the points of intersection of the lines in the orbits of length two. But by equation (1.1) there are $q^2 + q + 1$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q on S . Thus there is an \mathbb{F}_q -point P on S not lying on the lines. Let $f : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be the blowup of S at P . By Corollary 2.6, the surface \tilde{S} is a cubic surface. There are three lines on \tilde{S} defined over \mathbb{F}_q : the exceptional divisor $E = f^{-1}(P)$, and the proper transforms C_1 and C_2 of the fibres passing through P of the two conic bundles.

As in Lemma 4.13 one can check that the $\langle F \rangle$ -orbits of lines meeting E at a point have cardinalities 1, 1, 2, and 6.

Therefore on \tilde{S} there are three \mathbb{F}_q -points that are the points of intersection of pairs of conjugate lines, and three meeting each other lines E, C_1 , and C_2 defined over \mathbb{F}_q . By Remark 2.7, there are at most $3q + 4$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q lying on the lines on \tilde{S} .

By equation (1.1), there are $q^2 + 2q + 1$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q on \tilde{S} . For $q \geq 3$ one has $q^2 + 2q + 1 > 3q + 4$. Therefore one can blow up \tilde{S} at an \mathbb{F}_q -point not lying on the lines and get a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 10 by Corollary 2.6 and Lemma 2.9.

For $q = 2$ we should more carefully choose a point P on S .

Let $\pi_1 : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^1$ and $\pi_2 : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^1$ be the two conic bundles structures, s_1 and s_2 be \mathbb{F}_2 -points on a singular fibres of π_1 and π_2 respectively, F_1 be a fibre of π_1 containing s_2 and F_2 be a fibre of π_2 containing s_1 . If F_1 and F_2 have a common \mathbb{F}_2 -point P , then one can blow up this point and get a cubic surface \tilde{S} , such that at most eight \mathbb{F}_2 -points lying on the lines on \tilde{S} , since the two points of intersection of conjugate lines lie on lines defined over \mathbb{F}_2 .

If F_1 and F_2 do not have a common \mathbb{F}_2 -point, then the other smooth fibre \tilde{F}_2 of π_2 defined over \mathbb{F}_2 transversally intersects F_1 at two \mathbb{F}_2 -points. One can blow up any of these points and get a cubic surface \tilde{S} , such that at most eight \mathbb{F}_2 -points lying on the lines on \tilde{S} , since one of the points of intersection of conjugate lines lies on a line defined over \mathbb{F}_2 and the three lines defined over \mathbb{F}_2 do not have a common Eckardt point.

In the both cases there are nine \mathbb{F}_2 -points on \tilde{S} and one can blow up \tilde{S} at an \mathbb{F}_2 -point not lying on the lines and get a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 10. □

Now we consider del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 of types 22, 29, and 30. These surfaces are the blowups of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 with the Picard number 1 at two \mathbb{F}_q -points. The blowup of such a surface at an \mathbb{F}_q -point not lying on the lines is a

cubic surface admitting a structure of a conic bundle. These cubic surfaces exist over any field by [Ry05, Theorem 3.2], and have types (c_{19}) , (c_{20}) , and (c_{24}) (see Table 3) by Lemma 2.9.

Lemma 4.15. *A del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 22 does not exist over \mathbb{F}_2 and exists over other finite fields.*

Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 of types 29 and 30 exist over all finite fields.

Proof. From [Man74, Table 1] one can see, that the $\langle \mathbf{F} \rangle$ -orbits of the lines of length at most 3 have cardinalities 1, 2, 2, and 2 for a cubic surface of type (c_{19}) , have cardinalities 1 and 2 for a cubic surface of type (c_{20}) , and have cardinality 1 for a cubic surface of type (c_{24}) . Therefore by Remark 2.7 there are at most $q+4$, $q+2$ and $q+1$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q and lying on the lines for cubic surfaces of types (c_{19}) , (c_{20}) and (c_{24}) respectively. By equation (1.1), there are $q^2 - q + 1$, $q^2 + q + 1$ and $q^2 + 2q + 1$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q on these types of cubic surfaces respectively.

Note that for $q \geq 4$ one has $q^2 - q + 1 > q + 4$, and for $q \geq 2$ one has $q^2 + q + 1 > q + 2$, and $q^2 + 2q + 1 > q + 1$. Therefore one can blow up a cubic surface of type (c_{19}) for $q \geq 4$ or a cubic surface of type (c_{20}) or (c_{24}) over any finite field at an \mathbb{F}_q -point not lying on the lines and get a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of types 22, 29 or 30 respectively by Corollary 2.6 and Lemma 2.9.

For $q = 3$ a cubic surface of type (c_{19}) has a structure of a conic bundle with three degenerate fibres and one smooth fibre F defined over \mathbb{F}_3 . These singular fibres consist of pairs of conjugate lines defined over \mathbb{F}_9 , and the line defined over \mathbb{F}_3 is a bisection of this conic bundle (see the proof of [Isk79, Theorem 4]). Therefore there are at least two \mathbb{F}_3 -points on F not lying on the lines. One can blow up the cubic surface at one of these \mathbb{F}_3 -points and get a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 22.

For $q = 2$ a cubic surface of type (c_{19}) has a structure of a conic bundle with three degenerate fibers defined over \mathbb{F}_2 . Therefore there is no an \mathbb{F}_2 -point not lying on the lines. Thus a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 22 can not be obtained over \mathbb{F}_2 . □

The remaining case is a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 38. This surface is the blowup of a minimal cubic surface of type (c_{10}) at an \mathbb{F}_q -point not lying on the lines.

Lemma 4.16. *A del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 38 does not exist over \mathbb{F}_2 and exists over other finite fields.*

Proof. A del Pezzo surface of type 38 is the blowup of a cubic surface of type (c_{10}) at an \mathbb{F}_q -point. From [Man74, Table 1] one can see that the $\langle \mathbf{F} \rangle$ -orbits of lines have cardinalities 3, 6, 6, 6 and 6 for a cubic surface of type (c_{10}) . Therefore there is at most one \mathbb{F}_q -point lying on the lines for this cubic surface. By equation (1.1) there are $q^2 - q + 1$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q on this cubic surface. One has $q^2 - q + 1 > 1$ for any q .

For $q \geq 3$ a cubic surface of type (c_{10}) exists by [RT17, Proposition 6.1]. Therefore one can blow up this surface at an \mathbb{F}_q -point not lying on the lines and get a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 38 by Corollary 2.6 and Lemma 2.9.

For $q = 2$ a cubic surface of type (c_{10}) does not exist by [RT17, Proposition 6.5]. Thus a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of type 38 can not be obtained over \mathbb{F}_2 . □

The blowups of minimal cubic surfaces at an \mathbb{F}_q -point of other types (c_{11}) – (c_{14}) are considered in [Tr17, Lemma 3.13]. But for the three types (c_{12}) – (c_{14}) of these surfaces there are some restrictions on q coming from [RT17, Theorem 1.2]. In Section 5 we remove these restrictions for the types (c_{12}) and (c_{13}) .

Now we prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 of types that are the Geiser twists (see Definition 4.2) of each other exist over the same finite fields. For each of the 30 pairs of types we give a reference, where one of these types is considered.

- The types 1 and 49 are considered in [BFL16, Subsection 4.2, case $a = 8$].
- The types 2 and 31 are considered in [BFL16, Subsection 4.2, case $a = 6$].
- The types 3 and 18 are considered in Lemma 4.8.
- The types 4 and 53 are considered in [BFL16, Subsection 4.2, case $a = 5$].
- The types 5 and 10 are considered in Lemma 4.13.
- The types 6 and 9 are considered in Lemma 4.9.
- The types 7 and 40 are considered in [Tr17, Proposition 2.17 and Lemma 3.5].
- The types 8 and 33 are considered in [BFL16, Subsection 4.2, case $a = 4$].
- The types 11 and 27 are considered in Lemma 4.10.
- The types 12 and 55 are considered in [Tr17, Lemma 3.10].
- The types 13 and 22 are considered in Lemma 4.15.
- The types 14 and 21 are considered in Lemma 4.11.
- The types 15 and 54 are considered in [BFL16, Subsection 4.2, case $a = 3$].
- The types 16 and 19 are considered in Lemma 4.14.
- The types 17 and 50 are considered in [Tr17, Lemma 3.12].
- The types 20 and 45 are considered in [Tr17, Proposition 2.17].
- The types 23 and 42 are considered in Lemma 4.12.
- The types 24 and 43 are considered in [BFL16, Subsection 4.2, case $a = 1$].
- The types 25 and 38 are considered in Lemma 4.16.
- The types 26 and 37 are considered in [BFL16, Subsection 4.2, case $a = 2$].
- The types 28 and 35 are considered in [Tr17, Proposition 2.17].
- The types 29 and 41 are considered in Lemma 4.15.
- The types 30 and 34 are considered in Lemma 4.15.
- The types 32 and 60 are considered in [Tr17, Lemma 3.13].
- The types 36 and 59 are considered in [Tr17, Lemma 3.11].
- The types 39 and 57 are considered in [Tr17, Lemma 3.10].
- The types 44 and 52 are considered in [Tr17, Proposition 2.17].
- The types 46 and 58 are considered in [Tr17, Lemma 3.13] and Lemma 5.5.
- The types 47 and 56 are considered in [Tr17, Lemma 3.13] and [RT17].
- The types 48 and 51 are considered in [Tr17, Lemma 3.13] and Lemma 5.5.

□

5. DEL PEZZO SURFACES OF DEGREE 3

In this section for each cyclic subgroup $\Gamma \subset W(E_6)$ we construct the corresponding cubic surface (that is a del Pezzo surface of degree 3) over \mathbb{F}_q if it is possible, and show that such surfaces do not exist for other values of q . As a result we prove Theorem 1.3.

In Table 3 we collect some facts about conjugacy classes of elements in the Weyl group $W(E_6)$. This table based on the tables in [SD67], [Man74], and [Car72]. The

Type	Graph	Order	Eigenvalues	$\rho(\overline{X})^F$	Blowup
c_1	\emptyset	1	1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1	7	1.
c_2	A_1^2	2	-1, -1, 1, 1, 1, 1	5	3.
c_3	A_1^4	2	-1, -1, -1, -1, 1, 1	3	10.
c_4	$D_4(a_1)$	4	i, i, -i, -i, 1, 1	3	17.
c_5	$A_3 \times A_1$	4	i, -i, -1, -1, 1, 1	3	14.
c_6	A_2	3	$\omega, \omega^2, 1, 1, 1, 1$	5	4.
c_7	D_4	6	$-\omega, -\omega^2, -1, -1, 1, 1$	3	16.
c_8	$A_2 \times A_1^2$	6	$\omega, \omega^2, -1, -1, 1, 1$	3	11.
c_9	A_2^2	3	$\omega, \omega, \omega^2, \omega^2, 1, 1$	3	12.
c_{10}	$A_5 \times A_1$	6	$-\omega, -\omega^2, \omega, \omega^2, -1, -1$	1	38.
c_{11}	A_2^3	3	$\omega, \omega, \omega, \omega^2, \omega^2, \omega^2$	1	32.
c_{12}	$E_6(a_2)$	6	$-\omega, -\omega, -\omega^2, -\omega^2, \omega, \omega^2$	1	48.
c_{13}	E_6	12	$i\omega, i\omega^2, -i\omega, -i\omega^2, \omega, \omega^2$	1	46.
c_{14}	$E_6(a_1)$	9	$\xi_9, \xi_9^2, \xi_9^4, \xi_9^5, \xi_9^7, \xi_9^8$	1	47.
c_{15}	A_4	5	$\xi_5, \xi_5^2, \xi_5^3, \xi_5^4, 1, 1$	3	15.
c_{16}	A_1	2	-1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1	6	2.
c_{17}	A_1^3	2	-1, -1, -1, 1, 1, 1	4	6.
c_{18}	A_3	4	i, -i, -1, 1, 1, 1	4	8.
c_{19}	$A_3 \times A_1^2$	4	i, -i, -1, -1, -1, 1	2	22.
c_{20}	D_5	8	$\xi_8, \xi_8^3, \xi_8^5, \xi_8^7, -1, 1$	2	29.
c_{21}	$A_2 \times A_1$	6	$\omega, \omega^2, -1, 1, 1, 1$	4	7.
c_{22}	$A_2^2 \times A_1$	6	$\omega, \omega, \omega^2, \omega^2, -1, 1$	2	20.
c_{23}	A_5	6	$-\omega, -\omega^2, \omega, \omega^2, -1, 1$	2	26.
c_{24}	$D_5(a_1)$	12	$-\omega, -\omega^2, i, -i, -1, 1$	2	30.
c_{25}	$A_4 \times A_1$	10	$\xi_5, \xi_5^2, \xi_5^3, \xi_5^4, -1, 1$	2	24.

TABLE 3. Conjugacy classes of elements in $W(E_6)$

first column is a type according to [SD67]. The second column is the Carter graph corresponding to the conjugacy class (see [Car72]). The third column is the order of element. The fourth column is the collection of eigenvalues of the action of element on $K_X^\perp \subset \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. The fifth column is the invariant Picard number $\rho(\overline{X})^F$. The last column is the type of the corresponding conjugacy class (see Table 2) in $W(E_7)$ after blowing up a cubic surface at an \mathbb{F}_q -point.

Remark 5.1. Theorem 1.3 for cubic surfaces of types (c_7) , (c_8) , (c_{15}) , (c_{20}) , (c_{22}) , (c_{23}) , (c_{24}) and (c_{25}) immediately follows from Theorem 1.2. One can consider a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 of the corresponding type (see the last column of Table 3) and contract a line defined over \mathbb{F}_q .

Many types of cubic surfaces are considered in [Ry05], [SD10], [RT17], [Tr17], [BFL16] and in some lemmas in Section 4. Actually, there are only three types of cubic surfaces left: (c_2) , (c_9) , (c_{21}) . These cubic surfaces are isomorphic to the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at a number of points of certain degrees. We consider these types in the following three lemmas.

Lemma 5.2. *A cubic surface of type (c_2) does not exist over $\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_3$, and exists over other finite fields.*

Proof. A cubic surface of type (c_2) is the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at two \mathbb{F}_q -points and two points of degree 2.

In the proof of Lemma 4.8 it is shown that there are $q^2 + 3q + 1$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q on X and at least $6q + 5$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q lying on the lines on X . Therefore this surface does not exist for $q = 2$ and $q = 3$, since $q^2 + 3q + 1 < 6q + 5$ in these cases.

For $q \geq 4$ the cubic surface X can be constructed in the following way. Let p_1, p_2 and p_3, p_4 be two pairs of conjugate geometric points defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} in general position on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$. Consider two smooth conics Q_1 and Q_2 defined over \mathbb{F}_q passing through p_1, p_2, p_3 and p_4 . Let q_1 be an \mathbb{F}_q -point on Q_1 and q_2 be an \mathbb{F}_q -point on Q_2 . We show that the points p_i and q_j are in general position.

Any line passing through two points p_i and p_j does not contain other points lying on Q_1 or Q_2 . Therefore such line passes through exactly two points from the set $\{p_i, q_j\}$. If a point p_i lie on a line L passing through q_1 and q_2 then the conjugate of p_i lie on this line since q_1 and q_2 are \mathbb{F}_q -points. Hence L meets Q_1 at three points, that is impossible.

Thus the points p_i and q_j are in general position. The blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at these points is a cubic surface of type (c_2) by Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.9. □

Lemma 5.3. *A cubic surface of type (c_9) does not exist over \mathbb{F}_2 and exists over other finite fields.*

Proof. A cubic surface of type (c_9) is the blowup of a $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at two points of degree 3.

Let $q = 2$ and P be a point on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^2$ of degree 3 in general position. There are 7 smooth conics defined over \mathbb{F}_2 passing through P and 7 lines defined over \mathbb{F}_2 on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^2$. These lines and conics contain 22 points of degree three: 14 points on lines, 7 points that differs from P on conics passing through P , and P . But there are exactly 22 points of degree 3 on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^2$. Therefore there are no two points of degree 3 in general position on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^2$.

For $q \geq 3$ a cubic surface of type (c_9) is constructed in the proof of [RT17, Proposition 6.1]. □

Lemma 5.4. *A cubic surface of type (c_{21}) exists over all finite fields.*

Proof. A cubic surface of type (c_{21}) is the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at an \mathbb{F}_q -point, a point of degree 2 and a point of degree 3.

Consider a smooth conic Q on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$. Let q_1 and q_2 be a pair of conjugate geometric points on Q defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , and q_3, q_4, q_5 be three conjugate geometric points on Q defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^3} . Then any line L_{ij} passing through two geometric points q_i and q_j except L_{12} does not contain \mathbb{F}_q -points.

There are $2q + 2$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q on $Q \cup L_{12}$, and $q^2 + q + 1$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$. One has $q^2 + q + 1 > 2q + 2$ for $q \geq 2$. Therefore there is an \mathbb{F}_q -point p on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ not lying on L_{ij} and Q .

Thus the points p and q_i are in general position. The blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at these points is a cubic surface of type (c_{21}) by Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.9. □

For the three types (c_{12}) , (c_{13}) , and (c_{14}) of minimal cubic surfaces considered in [RT17] there are some restrictions on q . More precisely, cubic surfaces of types (c_{12}) and (c_{13}) are constructed for any odd q , and cubic surfaces of type (c_{14}) are constructed for any q ,

such that $q = 6k + 1$. In the following lemma we construct cubic surfaces of types (c_{12}) and (c_{13}) for even q . Also for even q this lemma give a construction of cubic surfaces of type (c_{11}) , that are considered in [SD10].

Lemma 5.5. *Cubic surfaces of types (c_{12}) and (c_{13}) exist over all finite fields.*

Proof. For any odd q cubic surfaces of types (c_{12}) and (c_{13}) are constructed in [RT17, Subsection 5.3] and [RT17, Subsection 5.2] respectively. We construct these types of cubics surfaces for any even q .

Let q be even and X be a cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^3$ given by the equation

$$A(x^2z + xz^2 + y^3 + y^2z) + Byz(y + z) + Cz^3 + Dy(y + z)t + Ez^2t + Fzt^2 + t^3 = 0.$$

One can check that the surface X is smooth if and only if $A \neq 0$.

The automorphism g of order 4 given by

$$g : (x : y : z : t) \mapsto (x + y : y + z : z : t)$$

acts on X . The g -invariant hyperplane section $z = 0$ is the union of three lines

$$Ay^3 + Dy^2t + t^3 = 0.$$

Moreover, one can select A and D so that these three lines are conjugate.

Therefore the group Γ has order divisible by 3 and commute with the image \tilde{g} of g in $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})$. In particular, an element h' of order 3 in Γ commutes with \tilde{g} . The element $\tilde{g}h'$ has order 12, thus the type of this element is either c_{13} , or c_{24} (see Table 3). Therefore the element h' has type c_6 or c_{11} . By [RT17, Lemma 2.10] an element of type c_6 is conjugate to $(123) \in S_6 \subset W(E_6)$. Applying the notation of Remark 4.7 one can easily check that there are no (123) -orbits consisting of three meeting each other lines. Therefore h' has type c_{11} and X is a minimal cubic surface of type (c_{11}) , (c_{12}) or (c_{13}) .

Let h be a generator of Γ . Applying Proposition 4.1 one can obtain cubic surfaces X_2 , X_3 and X_4 such that the corresponding groups Γ_2 , Γ_3 and Γ_4 in $W(E_6)$ are generated by $\tilde{g}h$, \tilde{g}^2h and \tilde{g}^3h respectively. We want to show that there are a surface of type (c_{11}) , a surface of type (c_{12}) and two surfaces of type (c_{13}) among the four surfaces X , X_2 , X_3 and X_4 .

If X has type (c_{11}) or (c_{12}) then the surface X_2 has type (c_{13}) , so for these two cases we can replace X by X_2 and reduce these cases to the case when X has type (c_{13}) .

Assume that X has type (c_{13}) . The elements h and \tilde{g} can be simultaneously diagonalized in $\text{GL}(K_X^\perp \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, and \tilde{g} multiplies the eigenvalues of h by i , $-i$, i , $-i$, 1 and 1 . The element \tilde{g}^2h has order 12 and type c_{13} , therefore \tilde{g} trivially acts on the eigenvalues ω and ω^2 of h . Thus all eigenvalues of the element $\tilde{g}h$ are ω or $-\omega$, and this element has type c_{11} or c_{12} . For these cases the element \tilde{g}^3h has type c_{12} or c_{11} respectively. Hence two of the surfaces X , X_1 , X_2 , and X_3 have type (c_{13}) , one surface has type (c_{12}) and one surface has type (c_{11}) . □

Now we prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. For each type of cubic surfaces we give a reference, where this type is considered.

- The type (c_1) is considered in [SD10] (see also [BFL16, Corollary 3.3]).
- The type (c_2) is considered in Lemma 5.2.

- The type (c_3) is considered in the proof of Lemma 4.13.
- The type (c_4) is considered in the proof of [Tr17, Lemma 3.12].
- The type (c_5) is considered in the proof of Lemma 4.11.
- The type (c_6) is considered in [BFL16, Subsection 3.1, case $a = 4$].
- The type (c_9) is considered in Lemma 5.3.
- The type (c_{10}) is considered in [RT17, Propositions 6.1 and 6.5]
- The type (c_{11}) is considered in [SD10].
- The type (c_{12}) is considered in Lemma 5.5.
- The type (c_{13}) is considered in Lemma 5.5.
- The type (c_{14}) is considered in [RT17, Subsection 5.4].
- The type (c_{16}) is considered in [SD10] (see also [BFL16, Subsection 3.1, $a = 5$]).
- The type (c_{17}) is considered in the proof of Lemma 4.9.
- The type (c_{18}) is considered in [BFL16, Subsection 3.1, case $a = 3$].
- The type (c_{19}) is considered in [Ry05, Theorem 3.2].
- The type (c_{21}) is considered in Lemma 5.4.

The types (c_7) , (c_8) , (c_{15}) , (c_{20}) , (c_{22}) , (c_{23}) , (c_{24}) and (c_{25}) are considered in Remark 5.1. \square

6. DEL PEZZO SURFACES OF DEGREE 4

In this section for each cyclic subgroup $\Gamma \subset W(D_5)$ we construct the corresponding del Pezzo surface of degree 4 over \mathbb{F}_q if it is possible, and show that such surfaces do not exist for other values of q . As a result we prove Theorem 1.4.

In Table 4 we collect some facts about conjugacy classes of elements in the Weyl group $W(D_5)$. The Weyl group $W(D_5)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4 \rtimes S_5 \subset \mathrm{GL}_5(\mathbb{Z})$. The elements of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$ change signs of even number of the coordinates, and the elements of S_5 permute the coordinates (see [DI09, Subsection 6.4]). One can find the classification of conjugacy classes in $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4 \rtimes S_5$ in [DI09, Table 3], but there are two missed classes (denoted by $(ab)\iota_{ac}$ and $(ab)\iota_{acde}$ in Table 4). We give a corrected classification in Table 4 and prove that there are no missed classed in Proposition 6.1.

The first column is a conjugacy class in the notation of [DI09, Subsection 6.4]. The second column is the Carter graph corresponding to the conjugacy class (see [Car72]). The third column is the order of element. The fourth column is the collection of eigenvalues of the action of element on $K_X^\perp \subset \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. The fifth column is the invariant Picard number $\rho(\overline{X})^\Gamma$. The last column is the type of the corresponding conjugacy class (see Table 3) of $W(E_6)$ after blowing up a del Pezzo surface of degree 4 at an \mathbb{F}_q -point.

Proposition 6.1. *All conjugacy classes of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4 \rtimes S_5$ are listed in Table 4.*

Proof. Let g be an element in $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4 \rtimes S_5$. The image of g under the natural homomorphism $f : (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4 \rtimes S_5 \rightarrow S_5$ belongs to one of the seven conjugacy classes in S_5 parametrised by Young diagrams: trivial, (12), (123), (12)(34), (1234), (12345), (123)(45).

If $f(g)$ is trivial then g is trivial or S_5 -conjugate to ι_{12} or ι_{1234} . These three cases are listed in Table 4.

If $f(g)$ is conjugate to (12) then g is S_5 -conjugate to (12), $(12)\iota_{12}$, $(12)\iota_{13}$, $(12)\iota_{34}$, $(12)\iota_{1234}$ or $(12)\iota_{1345}$. One has $\iota_{13}(12)\iota_{12}\iota_{13} = (12)$ and $\iota_{13}(12)\iota_{1234}\iota_{13} = (12)\iota_{34}$. The other four cases are listed in Table 4.

Class	Graph	Order	Eigenvalues	$\rho(\overline{X})^\Gamma$	Blowup
id	\emptyset	1	1, 1, 1, 1, 1	6	c_1
(ab)	A_1	2	-1, 1, 1, 1, 1	5	c_{16}
$(ab)(cd)$	A_1^2	2	-1, -1, 1, 1, 1	4	c_2
ι_{ab}	A_1^2	2	-1, -1, 1, 1, 1	4	c_2
(abc)	A_2	3	$\omega, \omega^2, 1, 1, 1$	4	c_6
$(ab)\iota_{cd}$	A_1^3	2	-1, -1, -1, 1, 1	3	c_{17}
$(abcd)$	A_3	4	i, -i, -1, 1, 1	3	c_{18}
$(ab)\iota_{ac}$	A_3	4	i, -i, -1, 1, 1	3	c_{18}
$(abc)(de)$	$A_2 \times A_1$	6	$\omega, \omega^2, -1, 1, 1$	3	c_{21}
ι_{abcd}	A_1^4	2	-1, -1, -1, -1, 1	2	c_3
$(ab)(cd)\iota_{ae}$	$A_3 \times A_1$	4	i, -i, -1, -1, 1	2	c_5
$(ab)(cd)\iota_{ac}$	$D_4(a_1)$	4	i, i, -i, -i, 1	2	c_4
$(abcde)$	A_4	5	$\xi_5, \xi_5^2, \xi_5^3, \xi_5^4, 1$	2	c_{15}
$(abc)\iota_{de}$	$A_2 \times A_1^2$	6	$\omega, \omega^2, -1, -1, 1$	2	c_8
$(abc)\iota_{ad}$	D_4	6	$-\omega, -\omega^2, -1, -1, 1$	2	c_7
$(ab)\iota_{acde}$	$A_3 \times A_1^2$	4	i, -i, -1, -1, -1	1	c_{19}
$(abcd)\iota_{ae}$	D_5	8	$\xi_8, \xi_8^3, \xi_8^5, \xi_8^7, -1$	1	c_{20}
$(abc)(de)\iota_{ad}$	$D_5(a_1)$	12	$-\omega, -\omega^2, i, -i, -1$	1	c_{24}

TABLE 4. Conjugacy classes of elements in $W(D_5)$

If $f(g)$ is conjugate to (123) then g is S_5 -conjugate to (123) , $(123)\iota_{12}$, $(123)\iota_{14}$, $(123)\iota_{45}$, $(123)\iota_{1234}$ or $(123)\iota_{1245}$. One has $\iota_{24}(123)\iota_{12}\iota_{24} = (123)$, $\iota_{34}(123)\iota_{1234}\iota_{34} = (123)\iota_{14}$ and $\iota_{24}(123)\iota_{1245}\iota_{24} = (123)\iota_{45}$. The other three cases are listed in Table 4.

If $f(g)$ is conjugate to $(12)(34)$ then g is S_5 -conjugate to $(12)(34)$, $(12)(34)\iota_{12}$, $(12)(34)\iota_{13}$, $(12)(34)\iota_{15}$, $(12)(34)\iota_{1234}$ or $(12)(34)\iota_{1345}$. One has $\iota_{15}(12)(34)\iota_{12}\iota_{15} = (12)(34)$, $\iota_{13}(12)(34)\iota_{1234}\iota_{13} = (12)(34)$ and $\iota_{35}(12)(34)\iota_{1345}\iota_{35} = (12)(34)\iota_{15}$. The other three cases are listed in Table 4.

If $f(g)$ is conjugate to (1234) then g is S_5 -conjugate to (1234) , $(1234)\iota_{12}$, $(1234)\iota_{13}$, $(1234)\iota_{15}$, $(1234)\iota_{1234}$ or $(1234)\iota_{1235}$. One has $\iota_{25}(1234)\iota_{12}\iota_{25} = (1234)$, $\iota_{23}(1234)\iota_{13}\iota_{23} = (1234)$, $\iota_{13}(1234)\iota_{1234}\iota_{13} = (1234)$ and $\iota_{35}(1234)\iota_{1235}\iota_{35} = (1234)\iota_{15}$. The other two cases are listed in Table 4.

If $f(g)$ is conjugate to (12345) then g is S_5 -conjugate to (12345) , $(12345)\iota_{12}$, $(12345)\iota_{13}$ or $(12345)\iota_{1234}$. One has $\iota_{1345}(12345)\iota_{12}\iota_{1345} = (12345)$, $\iota_{23}(12345)\iota_{13}\iota_{23} = (12345)$ and $\iota_{24}(12345)\iota_{1234}\iota_{24} = (12345)$. The remaining case is listed in Table 4.

If $f(g)$ is conjugate to $(123)(45)$ then g is S_5 -conjugate to $(123)(45)$, $(123)(45)\iota_{12}$, $(123)(45)\iota_{14}$, $(123)(45)\iota_{45}$, $(123)(45)\iota_{1235}$ or $(123)(45)\iota_{1245}$. One has $\iota_{1345}(123)(45)\iota_{12}\iota_{1345} = (123)(45)$, $\iota_{1234}(123)(45)\iota_{45}\iota_{1234} = (123)\iota_{14}$, $\iota_{34}(123)(45)\iota_{1235}\iota_{34} = (123)(45)\iota_{14}$ and $\iota_{24}(123)(45)\iota_{1245}\iota_{24} = (123)(45)$. The other two cases are listed in Table 4.

□

Remark 6.2. The result of Theorem 1.4 for del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 of types, that differ from id , $(ab)(cd)$, ι_{ab} , ι_{abcd} , $(ab)(cd)\iota_{ae}$, $(ab)(cd)\iota_{ac}$, immediately follows from Theorem 1.3. One can consider a del Pezzo surface of degree 3 of the corresponding type (see the last column of Table 4) and contract a line defined over \mathbb{F}_q .

The type id is considered in [BFL16, Lemma 3.1], and the types ι_{abcd} and $(ab)(cd)\iota_{ac}$ of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 are considered in [Ry05] and [Tr17]. The type $(ab)(cd)$ is isomorphic to the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at an \mathbb{F}_q -point and two points of degree 2. We consider this type in the following lemma.

Lemma 6.3. *A del Pezzo surface of degree 4 of type $(ab)(cd)$ does not exist over \mathbb{F}_2 and exists over other finite fields.*

Proof. A del Pezzo surface of degree 4 of type $(ab)(cd)$ is the blowup of a $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ at an \mathbb{F}_q -point and two points of degree 2. Five geometric points on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ are in general position if and only if they lie on a smooth conic by [BFL16, Lemma 2.4].

There are no an \mathbb{F}_q -point and two points of degree 2 on a smooth conic Q in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ for $q = 2$. For other values of q one can blow up points of these degrees on Q and get a del Pezzo surface of degree 4 of type $(ab)(cd)$ by Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.9. \square

The two remaining cases are the blowups of a quadric surface in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^3$ at four geometric points. This surface is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$. We denote by π_1 and π_2 the projections on the first and the second factors of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$. The Picard group $\text{Pic}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1\right)$ is generated by the classes F_1 and F_2 of fibres of π_1 and π_2 respectively. Thus any divisor D on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$ is linearly equivalent to $aF_1 + bF_2$. The pair (a, b) is called *bedegree* of D . For example the anticanonical class $-K_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1}$ has bedegree $(2, 2)$.

The next well-known proposition defines points in general position on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $1 \leq d \leq 8$, and p_1, \dots, p_{8-d} be $8-d$ points on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^1$ such that*

- *no two lie on a fibre of π_1 or π_2 ;*
- *no four lie on a curve of bedegree $(1, 1)$;*
- *no six lie on a curve of bedegree $(1, 2)$ or $(2, 1)$;*
- *for $d = 1$ the points are not on a singular curve of bedegree $(2, 2)$ with singularity at one of these points.*

Then the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^1$ at p_1, \dots, p_{8-d} is a del Pezzo surface of degree d .

Moreover, any del Pezzo surface \overline{X} of degree $1 \leq d \leq 7$ over algebraically closed field $\overline{\mathbb{k}}$ is the blowup of such set of points.

Definition 6.5. As in Definition 2.3 we say that a collection of points on a smooth quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^3$ is *in general position* if it satisfies the conditions of Proposition 6.4.

Now we can consider the cases ι_{ab} and $(ab)(cd)\iota_{ae}$ of Table 4.

Lemma 6.6. *A del Pezzo surface of degree 4 of type ι_{ab} does not exist over $\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_3$, and exists over other finite fields.*

Proof. A del Pezzo surface of degree 4 of type ι_{ab} is the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$ at two points of degree 2.

For $q = 2$ there are only two geometric fibres of π_1 defined over \mathbb{F}_4 and not defined over \mathbb{F}_2 . Therefore one can not find two points of degree 2 in general position for this case.

For $q = 3$ there are 18 points of degree 2 not lying in one geometric fibre of π_1 or π_2 on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_3}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_3}^1$, that are the points of degree 2 in general position. Let P be one of these points. Then the union of the two geometric fibres of π_1 and the two geometric fibres of π_2 passing through the two geometric points corresponding to P contains 10 points of degree 2 in general position (including P). There are four curves of bidegree $(1, 1)$ defined over \mathbb{F}_3 and passing through P . These curves contain 8 points of degree 2 in general position that differ from P . Therefore any point of degree 2 in general position, either lies on one of these curves, or lies in the geometric fibres of π_1 or π_2 containing P . Thus there are no pairs of points of degree 2 in general position on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_3}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_3}^1$.

For $q \geq 4$ one can consider a cubic surface of type (c_2) (this surface is constructed in Lemma 5.2), contract a line defined over \mathbb{F}_q and get a del Pezzo surface of degree 4 of type ι_{ab} . □

Lemma 6.7. *A del Pezzo surface of degree 4 of type $(ab)(cd)\iota_{ae}$ does not exist over \mathbb{F}_2 and exists over other finite fields.*

Proof. A del Pezzo surface of degree 4 of type $(ab)(cd)\iota_{ae}$ is the blowup of a conic $Q \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^3$, such that $\rho(Q) = 1$ at a point of degree 4.

For $q = 2$ there are five \mathbb{F}_2 -points and 66 points of degree 4 on Q . There are five pairs defined over \mathbb{F}_4 conjugate fibres of π_1 and π_2 each containing 6 points of degree 4, ten curves of bidegree $(1, 1)$ passing through three \mathbb{F}_2 -points each containing 3 points of degree 4, and six points of degree 4, that are the intersection of two geometric fibres F_{11} and F_{12} of π_1 and two geometric fibres F_{21} and F_{22} of π_2 such that the fibres F_{11} , F_{12} , F_{21} and F_{22} are permuted by the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{16}/\mathbb{F}_2)$. One has $5 \cdot 6 + 10 \cdot 3 + 6 = 66$. Thus there is no a point of degree 4 in general position on Q for $q = 2$.

For $q \geq 3$ one can consider a cubic surface of type (c_5) (this surface is constructed in the proof of Lemma 4.11), contract a line defined over \mathbb{F}_q and get a del Pezzo surface of degree 4 of type $(ab)(cd)\iota_{ae}$. □

Now we prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. For each type of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 we give a reference, where this type is considered.

- The type id is considered in [BFL16, Lemma 3.1].
- The type $(ab)(cd)$ is considered in Lemma 6.3.
- The type ι_{ab} is considered in Lemma 6.6.
- The type ι_{abcd} is considered in [Ry05, Theorem 3.2] and [Tr17, Theorem 2.5].
- The type $(ab)(cd)\iota_{ae}$ is considered in Lemma 6.7.
- The type $(ab)(cd)\iota_{ac}$ is considered in [Ry05, Theorem 3.2].

The other types are considered in Remark 6.2. □

7. OPEN QUESTIONS

In this section we discuss open questions that arise for constructing del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 over finite fields.

By [Car72, Table 11] there are 112 types of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1. This number is greater than the sum of the numbers of del Pezzo surfaces types of degrees 2, 3 and 4. For 30 types of del Pezzo surfaces X of degree 1 one has $\rho(X) = 1$.

As in the case of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 one can define the *Bertini twist*, since each del Pezzo surface X of degree 1 has an involution defined by the double cover of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(1 : 1 : 2)$ given by the linear system $| -2K_X |$. But the Bertini twist X_2 of a del Pezzo surface X_1 of degree 1 such that $\rho(X_1) = 1$ can have $\rho(X_2) = 1$. Actually, for five pairs of types of del Pezzo surfaces X_1 and X_2 of degree 1 one has $\rho(X_1) = \rho(X_2) = 1$. Moreover, there are seven types of del Pezzo surfaces X of degree 1 with $\rho(X) = 1$, such that the Bertini twist of X has the same type as X .

For nonminimal del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 the methods that works for del Pezzo surfaces of degree at least 2 does not work. To apply Theorem 2.2 one has to check that eight geometric points of the blowup do not lie on a cubic curve having a singularity at one of these points. Also to apply Corollary 2.6 to del Pezzo surfaces X of degree 2 one has to consider an additional condition, that the point of the blowup do not lie on the ramification divisor of the anticanonical map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$. For even q there arise additional difficulties (see [BFL16, Lemma 4.1]). Moreover, on del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 a pair of lines permuted by the Geiser involution can have two or one common geometric points, and there can be four lines meeting each other either in six distinct geometric points, or in one common geometric point that is called a *generalised Eckardt point*. Therefore for del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 formulas for calculating \mathbb{F}_q -points lying on the lines are much more complicated than the formulas given in Remark 2.7.

To construct some types of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 that admit a structure of a minimal conic bundle one can apply [Ry05, Theorem 2.11]. But a constructed minimal surface admitting a structure of a conic bundle is not in general a del Pezzo surface, as in the cases of degrees 4 and 2 (see the proof of [Ry05, Theorem 3.2] and [Tr17, Proposition 2.3] respectively).

Despite these problems some types of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 are constructed in [BFL16, Section 5] and [Tr17, Lemma 3.11].

A generalization of the results of this paper to the case of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 is a great challenge.

REFERENCES

- [BFL16] B. Banwait, F. Fité, D. Loughran, Del Pezzo surfaces over finite fields and their Frobenius traces, preprint, see <http://arxiv.org/abs/1606.00300>
- [Car72] R. W. Carter, Conjugacy classes in the weyl group, *Compositio Mathematica*, Vol. 25, Fasc. 1, 1972, 1–59
- [DI09] I. V. Dolgachev, V. A. Iskovskikh, Finite subgroups of the plane Cremona group, In: *Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry, vol. I: In Honor of Yu. I. Manin*, *Progr. Math.*, 269, 443–548, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2009
- [DD17] I. Dolgachev, A. Duncan, Automorphisms of cubic surfaces in positive characteristic, preprint, see <http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.01167>
- [Fr51] J. S. Frame, The classes and representations of the groups of 27 lines and 28 bitangents, *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Appl. Ser. IV*, 32, 1951, 83–119

- [Fr67] J. S. Frame, The characters of the Weyl group E_8 , in: Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra, ed. J. Leech, Oxford, 1967, 111–130
- [Isk79] V. A. Iskovskikh, Minimal models of rational surfaces over arbitrary field, Math. USSR Izv., 1979, 43, 19–43 (in Russian)
- [Kap13] N. Kaplan, Rational point counts for del Pezzo surfaces over finite fields and coding theory, Harvard Ph.D. Thesis.
- [KR16] A. Knecht, K. Reyes, Full Degree Two del Pezzo Surfaces over Small Finite Fields, In: Contemporary Developments in Finite Fields and Applications, 2016, 145–159
- [Man74] Yu. I. Manin, Cubic forms: algebra, geometry, arithmetic, In: North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 4, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-London; American Elsevier Publishing Co., New York, 1974
- [RT17] S. Rybakov, A. Trepalin, Minimal cubic surfaces over finite fields, Mat. Sb., 208:9, 148–170, 2017. Engl. transl.: Sb. Math., 208:9 (2017)
- [Ry05] S. Rybakov, Zeta-functions of conic bundles and del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 over finite fields, Moscow Math. Journal volume 5:4, 2005, 919–926
- [SD67] H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer, The zeta function of a cubic surface over a finite field, Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 63, 1967, 55–71
- [SD10] H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer, Cubic surfaces over finite fields, Math. Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 2010, 149, 385–388.
- [Tr17] A. Trepalin, Minimal del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 over finite fields, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 54:5, 1779–1801, 2017
- [Ur96] T. Urabe, Calculation of Manin’s invariant for del Pezzo surfaces, Math. Comp., 65:213, 247–258, S15–S23, 1996
- [VNT18] S. Vlăduț, D. Nogin, M. Tsfasman, Varieties over finite fields: quantitative theory, to appear in Uspekhi Mat. Nauk

Andrey Trepalin

Institute for Information Transmission Problems, 19 Bolshoy Karetnyi side-str., Moscow 127994, Russia
 trepalin@mccme.ru