

# LINEAR PHASE SPACE DEFORMATIONS WITH ANGULAR MOMENTUM SYMMETRY

CLAUDIO MENESES

ABSTRACT. Motivated by the work of Leznov–Mostovoy [LM03], we classify the linear deformations of standard  $2n$ -dimensional phase space that preserve the obvious symplectic  $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ -symmetry. As a consequence, we describe standard phase space, as well as  $T^*S^n$  and  $T^*\mathbb{H}^n$  with their standard symplectic forms, as degenerations of a 3-dimensional family of coadjoint orbits, which in a generic regime are identified with the Grassmannian of oriented 2-planes in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ .

*Keywords:* Coadjoint orbits; Lie algebra deformations; momentum maps.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The notions of momentum maps and symplectic reduction provide a very convenient formulation of integrability in classical mechanics [GS84, GS06]. A standard example is the integrability of central potential Hamiltonians such as the  $n$ -dimensional Kepler and harmonic oscillator problems, which can be understood in terms of dynamical (i.e. symplectic) symmetries for the groups  $\mathrm{SO}(n+1)$  and  $\mathrm{SU}(n)$  [Len24, Fra65]. These principles can be exploited in more general contexts, such as the analogous integrability of the Kepler and harmonic oscillator problems on the round  $n$ -sphere studied by Higgs [Hig79]. The standard formulation of these problems can then be recovered from a limiting process, if we interpret the sectional curvature of the  $n$ -sphere as a deformation parameter yielding a commutative linear deformation of the Poisson structure in standard phase space.

We will address a natural generalization of the previous idea. A precise formulation relies on three facts (two of which are proved in appendix A):

- (i)  $(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \omega = \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i \wedge dp_i)$  is symplectomorphic to a connected component  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}^+$  of a coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}$  of  $G_n = \mathrm{O}(n) \ltimes \mathrm{H}_n$ , where  $\mathrm{H}_n$  is the  $2n+1$ -dimensional Heisenberg group. The action of  $\mathrm{O}(n)$  on  $\mathrm{H}_n$  is the standard one on its  $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n$  subgroup and trivial on the central extension element.
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{o}(n) \ltimes \mathfrak{h}_n$  is the orthogonal Lie algebra associated to a quadratic form  $Q_0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$  of isotropy index 2 and signature  $(n, 0)$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}$  is

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B08, 17B56, 17B80; Secondary 53D20, 14H70.

identified with a Zariski open set in  $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$ , the Grassmannian of oriented 2-planes in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ .

- (iii)  $(T^*S^n, \omega)$  and  $(T^*\mathbb{H}^n, \omega)$  with their standard symplectic forms are symplectomorphic to coadjoint orbits (a connected component in the latter case) for *deformations* of  $\mathfrak{g}_n$  that are respectively isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{e}(n+1) = \mathfrak{o}(n+1) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and  $\mathfrak{e}(n,1) = \mathfrak{o}(n,1) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . The deformation parameter is interpreted as the sectional curvature of an underlying configuration space (remark 8).

The problem that we pose is the following: *to classify all deformations of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_n$  and the subsequent coadjoint orbits that specialize to the previous examples.*<sup>1</sup>

At a technical level, the problem is equivalent to the understanding of the Lie algebra cohomology  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{g}_n)$ . In virtue of (ii), the problem is related to the study of equivalence classes of deformations of a degenerate quadratic form in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$  of isotropy index 2 and signature  $(n,0)$ . Our treatment emphasizes the physical and geometric features of the problem while solving it, and is motivated by the work of Leznov-Mostovoy [LM03]. They studied the Kepler problem on a 3-dimensional family of deformations of standard phase space in the special case  $n = 3$ , interpreted as commutative deformations of the standard Poisson structure on  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3)$ . The main result of this article is a proof that the generators of the Leznov-Mostovoy deformations determine three cocycles spanning  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{g}_n)$  for arbitrary  $n \geq 3$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $n \geq 3$ . The space of infinitesimal deformations of  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  is three-dimensional. Every infinitesimal deformation is integrable, and the generic deformation is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{o}(n+2, \mathbb{C})$ .*

However, the induced linear deformations of  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  are not independent (corollary 1), marking a subtle difference between the infinitesimal and global pictures. The effective family of linear deformations of  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  turns out to be at most two-dimensional.

The phase space deformations that we will study are natural generalizations of the phase space of a manifold of constant sectional curvature, and contain the latter as particular cases (remark 8). Such deformations can be understood geometrically in terms of the Grassmannian of oriented planes in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ , relative to a family of deformations of a degenerate quadratic form of signature  $(n,0)$  and isotropy index 2. In particular, there is a generic regime of deformation parameters for which the induced symplectic manifold is *compact*. This leads to the possibility of extending the study of classical and quantum integrable systems on spaces of constant curvature to the most general phase space deformations that preserve a notion of angular momentum symmetry, by means of the study of the geometry of suitable momentum maps. We plan to address such a problem in the future.

---

<sup>1</sup>The study of deformations and contractions of Lie algebras in physics originates in [IW53]. The reader can find a leisurely exposition of such ideas in [GS84, GS06].

The work is organized as follows. Section 2 is dedicated to presenting a proof of theorem 1 following an application of the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence. An argument for an alternative proof in terms of the geometry of quadratic forms is given in remark 1. The rest of the article is a series of applications of theorem 1. Section 3 describes the general family  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  of coadjoint orbits, induced by deformations of  $\mathfrak{g}_n$ , that correspond to the deformations of standard phase space (corollary 3). Section 4 describes some geometric structures in  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  corresponding to the induced deformations of the position and momentum polarizations in phase space, and the Euclidean group momentum map corresponding to the free-motion Hamiltonian.

## 2. DEFORMATIONS OF THE LIE ALGEBRA $\mathfrak{o}(n) \ltimes \mathfrak{h}_n$

It will be convenient to work with complex Lie algebras, since the real deformations of  $\mathfrak{g}_n$  can be regarded as all possible real forms of a complex deformation of its complexification. The infinitesimal deformations of a complex Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  are described by the Lie algebra cohomology space  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$  with respect to the adjoint representation [CE48, Ger64, LN67, Fia85]. We are interested in the case when  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a semidirect product of a *semisimple* Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  and an ideal  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{g}$  is also a module for  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Let  $E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\mathfrak{k}, H^q(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}))$ . It follows from Whitehead's lemma that  $E_2^{1,1} = 0$  and  $E_2^{2,0} = 0$ . The Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence [HS53, Wei95] collapses from the  $E_2$ -term for  $p + q = 2$ . Hence, restriction induces the isomorphism

$$(2.1) \quad H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \cong E_2^{0,2} \cong H^2(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{k}}$$

(cf. [HS53, theorem 13]). In order to describe the deformations of  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ , we will first consider the case  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C}^n$  so  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{e}_n^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^n$ , the complexification of the Euclidean Lie algebra in dimension  $n \geq 3$ . In terms of a canonical basis  $\{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{l}_{jk}\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{e}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  is defined by the commutation relations

$$[\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j] = 0, \quad [\mathbf{l}_{ij}, \mathbf{e}_k] = \delta_{ik}\mathbf{e}_j - \delta_{jk}\mathbf{e}_i, \quad [\mathbf{l}_{ij}, \mathbf{l}_{kl}] = \delta_{ik}\mathbf{l}_{jl} + \delta_{jl}\mathbf{l}_{ik} - \delta_{il}\mathbf{l}_{jk} - \delta_{jk}\mathbf{l}_{il}.$$

We will now describe the space  $H^2(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathfrak{e}_n^{\mathbb{C}})^{\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})}$  explicitly. By definition, a 2-cocycle is a linear map  $f : \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{e}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  satisfying

$$[\mathbf{e}_i, f(\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_k)] - [\mathbf{e}_j, f(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_k)] + [\mathbf{e}_k, f(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j)] = 0 \quad \forall i, j, k.$$

A 2-coboundary is a linear map of the form

$$f(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) = [l(\mathbf{e}_i), \mathbf{e}_j] + [\mathbf{e}_i, l(\mathbf{e}_j)]$$

for some linear map  $l : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{e}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . A 2-cocycle  $f$  is called *invariant* if  $\forall g \in \mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad (g \cdot f)(\cdot, \cdot) = [g, f(\cdot, \cdot)] - f([g, \cdot], \cdot) - f(\cdot, [g, \cdot]) = \text{a coboundary.}$$

**Lemma 1.** *The space of infinitesimal deformations of the complexification of the Euclidean Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{e}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , is one-dimensional and generated by the invariant 2-cocycle*

$$f(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) = \mathbf{l}_{ij}.$$

*Every infinitesimal deformation is integrable. Together, they determine a one-dimensional family of Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{e}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\varepsilon)$ , where  $\mathfrak{e}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\varepsilon) \cong \mathfrak{o}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$  for  $\varepsilon \neq 0$  (cf. [GS84]).*

*Proof.* Any 2-coboundary necessarily takes values in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Hence, any two invariant 2-cocycles taking values in  $\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})$  are cohomologous if and only if they are equal.

That  $f$  is an invariant element in  $Z^2(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathfrak{e}_n^{\mathbb{C}})$  under the  $\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -action is a routine computation. By the previous remark, the cohomology class of  $f$  is nontrivial.

It remains to show that up to a constant, this is the only possibility for  $f$ . First, let us assume that  $\forall i, j, f(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) \in \mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , then the invariance condition (2.2) implies that for any  $g \in \mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $[g, \mathbf{e}_i] = [g, \mathbf{e}_j] = 0$ ,  $[g, f(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j)]$  is identically 0. From the structure of  $\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , it follows that

$$\{\ker(\text{ad}_{\mathbf{e}_i} |_{\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})}) \cap \ker(\text{ad}_{\mathbf{e}_j} |_{\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})})\}^{\perp} = \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{l}_{ij},$$

where the left hand side denotes the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})$  annihilated by

$$\ker(\text{ad}_{\mathbf{e}_i} |_{\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})}) \cap \ker(\text{ad}_{\mathbf{e}_j} |_{\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})}).$$

Thus,  $f(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) = c\mathbf{l}_{ij}$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  is the only invariant cocycle with image lying in  $\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})$ .

Now, let us assume that  $f$  is an invariant cocycle with  $f(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , for some  $i, j$ . We claim that the same holds for any other value of  $f$ . Indeed, for any  $k \neq i, j$ , the invariance of  $f$  under  $\mathbf{l}_{ik}$  implies that  $[\mathbf{l}_{ik}, f(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j)] - f(\mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{e}_j)$  is equal to a 2-coboundary evaluated at  $\mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j$ , and therefore  $f(\mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{e}_j) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Moreover, for any  $l \neq i, j, k$ , a similar argument on the invariance of  $f$  under  $\mathbf{l}_{jl}$  shows that  $f(\mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{e}_l) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . In conclusion, the image of an invariant 2-cocycle either lies fully in  $\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})$  or in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

To conclude the classification, let us assume that  $f : \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is an arbitrary linear map. We claim that  $f$  is a 2-coboundary. To see this, observe that the linear space of such maps and the space of linear maps  $l : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})$  are equidimensional, and the coboundary map  $l \mapsto [l(\cdot), \cdot] + [\cdot, l(\cdot)]$  is a linear map between these spaces. A basis for the space of linear maps  $l : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})$  is given by the set  $\{\mathbf{l}_{ijk}(\mathbf{e}_m) = \delta_{im}\mathbf{l}_{jk}\}_{j < k}$ . Since

$$(d\mathbf{l}_{ijk})(\mathbf{e}_m, \mathbf{e}_n) = (\delta_{im}\delta_{jn} - \delta_{in}\delta_{jm})\mathbf{e}_k + (\delta_{in}\delta_{km} - \delta_{im}\delta_{kn})\mathbf{e}_j,$$

and the collection of the latter maps is obviously linearly independent, we conclude that the coboundary map is an isomorphism. Therefore, all linear maps  $f : \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  are 2-coboundaries, and any invariant 2-cocycle taking values in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is necessarily trivial in cohomology.

To conclude the proof, it is a routine computation to verify that the bracket deformation of  $\mathfrak{e}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  determined by any choice of nontrivial invariant 2-cocycle,

$$[\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j]_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \mathbf{l}_{ij}, \quad \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C},$$

and with the rest of brackets kept the same, satisfies the Jacobi identity and defines a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{e}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\varepsilon)$  that is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{o}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$  if  $\varepsilon \neq 0$ . In particular, the specialization  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$  leads to the real forms  $\mathfrak{o}(n+1)$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $\mathfrak{o}(n, 1)$  for  $\varepsilon < 0$ .  $\square$

**Proof of theorem 1.** The Heisenberg Lie algebra is defined as a central extension of the abelian Lie algebra  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ , and  $\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})$  acts trivially in the extension term. This implies that  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathfrak{h}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  is a central extension of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ , and moreover, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{h}_n^{\mathbb{C}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^{2n} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^{2n} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

We claim that there is a 1 – 1 correspondence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Infinitesimal} \\ \text{deformations of } \mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}} \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Infinitesimal} \\ \text{deformations of} \\ \mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^{2n} \end{array} \right\}$$

In other words, there is an isomorphism

$$H^2 \left( \mathfrak{h}_n^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}} \right)^{\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})} \cong H^2 \left( \mathbb{C}^{2n}, \mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^{2n} \right)^{\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})}.$$

It will be convenient to consider a canonical basis for  $\mathfrak{h}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{2n}, \mathbf{I}\}$ , with commutation relations

$$[\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_{n+j}] = \delta_{ij} \mathbf{I}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Any invariant cocycle  $f : \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{h}_n^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  is cohomologous to a cocycle  $f'$  satisfying  $f(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{I}) = 0 \forall i$ . To see this, notice that the cocycle condition implies that  $[\mathbf{e}_j, f(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{I})] = 0 \forall i, j$ , therefore  $f(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{I}) = c_i \mathbf{I}$ . The linear map  $l : \mathfrak{h}_n^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  defined as

$$l(\mathbf{e}_j) = 0, \quad l(\mathbf{I}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (c_i \mathbf{e}_{n+i} - c_{n+i} \mathbf{e}_i),$$

satisfies  $(dl)(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{I}) = f(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{I})$ , and the claim follows. Moreover, a similar argument shows that any invariant cocycle is cohomologous to a cocycle with image in  $\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ . Indeed, assume that  $f(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) = c_{ij} \mathbf{I}$ . Then the linear map  $l : \mathfrak{h}_n^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  given by  $l(\mathbf{e}_k) = \delta_{ik} c_{ij} \mathbf{e}_{j-n}$  if  $j > n$  (or  $-\delta_{ik} c_{ij} \mathbf{e}_{j+n}$  if  $j \leq n$ ) satisfies  $(dl)(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) = c_{ij} \mathbf{I}$  and zero otherwise. This concludes the proof of the isomorphism in cohomology. Thus, it is enough to understand the infinitesimal deformations of  $\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ .

Lemma 1 can be used in the classification of the independent invariant 2-cocycles  $f : \bigwedge \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ . A similar argument as in the proof of lemma 1 shows that an invariant 2-cocycle which is not a 2-coboundary necessarily has image in  $\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})$ . When thought of as a  $\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -module, the subalgebra  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$  splits as a direct sum  $\mathbb{C}_1^n \oplus \mathbb{C}_2^n$  of invariant  $\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -subspaces. There is an induced splitting  $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^{2n} = \left( \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}_1^n \right) \oplus (\mathbb{C}_1^n \otimes \mathbb{C}_2^n) \oplus \left( \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}_2^n \right)$ , and any invariant 2-cocycle can be decomposed into three different components. The classification problem is then reduced to the classification of invariant 2-cocycles on each component. It follows from lemma 1 that the restriction to  $\mathbb{C}_1^n$  and  $\mathbb{C}_2^n$  determines two nontrivial one-dimensional spaces of invariant 2-cocycles, spanned by

$$(2.3) \quad f_1(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) = \mathbf{l}_{ij} \quad \text{for } i, j \leq n, \text{ and zero otherwise,}$$

$$(2.4) \quad f_2(\mathbf{e}_{n+i}, \mathbf{e}_{n+j}) = \mathbf{l}_{ij} \quad \text{for } i, j \leq n, \text{ and zero otherwise.}$$

These are the only possibilities that are supported in the invariant subspaces  $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}_1^n$  and  $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}_2^n$ . The remaining possibility would consist of an invariant 2-cocycle supported in  $\mathbb{C}_1^n \otimes \mathbb{C}_2^n$ . There is an obvious choice, namely

$$(2.5) \quad f_3(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_{n+j}) = \mathbf{l}_{ij} \quad \text{for } i, j \leq n, \text{ and zero otherwise.}$$

A similar argument as in the proof of lemma 1 shows that any other invariant 2-cocycle supported in  $\mathbb{C}_1^n \otimes \mathbb{C}_2^n$  must be a multiple of  $f_3$ . Therefore, any nontrivial invariant 2-cocycle is a linear combination of  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  and  $f_3$ .

Let  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ . The lift to  $\mathfrak{g}_n$  of the Lie bracket deformations of  $\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^{2n}$  induced by the previous cocycles is determined by

$$[\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j]_{\varepsilon_1} = \varepsilon_1 \mathbf{l}_{ij}, \quad [\mathbf{e}_{n+i}, \mathbf{e}_{n+j}]_{\varepsilon_2} = \varepsilon_2 \mathbf{l}_{ij}, \quad [\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_{n+j}]_{\varepsilon_3} = \delta_{ij} \mathbf{I} + \varepsilon_3 \mathbf{l}_{ij}.$$

and additionally,

$$[\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{I}] = \varepsilon_3 \mathbf{e}_i - \varepsilon_1 \mathbf{e}_{n+i}, \quad [\mathbf{e}_{n+i}, \mathbf{I}] = \varepsilon_2 \mathbf{e}_i - \varepsilon_3 \mathbf{e}_{n+i},$$

while the remaining basis elements' Lie brackets are unchanged. It is a routine computation to verify that these Lie bracket deformations satisfy the Jacobi identity. Hence they integrate to a three-dimensional family of deformations  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$ . When  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \neq 0$ , and  $\varepsilon_3^2 \neq \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2$ , there is an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3) \cong \mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(1, 1, 0) = \mathfrak{o}(n+2, \mathbb{C})$ . The details on this isomorphism and the full classification of deformations are described in proposition 1.  $\square$

*Remark 1.* There is yet another way to describe the linear deformations of  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ , and in particular the invariant 2-cocycles generating  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}})$ , in terms of the geometry of the of the quadratic space  $(\mathbb{C}^{n+2}, Q_0^{\mathbb{C}})$ . Any deformation  $Q_{\varepsilon}^{\mathbb{C}}$  of the quadratic form  $Q_0^{\mathbb{C}}$  induces a deformation of the orthogonal Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{o}(\mathbb{C}^{n+2}, Q_0^{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ , in such a way that if a new quadratic form  $\tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathbb{C}}$  is induced by an orthogonal transformation of  $Q_{\varepsilon}^{\mathbb{C}}$ , the corresponding deformations  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\varepsilon)$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\varepsilon)$  are isomorphic.

Consider the canonical basis  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{n+2}\}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ , together with the correspondence

$$\mathbf{v}_i \wedge \mathbf{v}_j \mapsto \mathbf{l}_{ij}, \quad \mathbf{v}_i \wedge \mathbf{v}_{n+1} \mapsto \mathbf{e}_i, \quad \mathbf{v}_i \wedge \mathbf{v}_{n+2} \mapsto \mathbf{e}_{n+i}, \quad \mathbf{v}_{n+1} \wedge \mathbf{v}_{n+2} \mapsto \mathbf{I}$$

where  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ . It is straightforward to verify that the deformations of the corresponding bilinear form of  $Q_0$  along the totally isotropic plane  $W = \text{Span}\{\mathbf{v}_{n+1}, \mathbf{v}_{n+2}\}$ , parametrized as

$$(\mathbf{v}_{n+1}, \mathbf{v}_{n+1}) = \varepsilon_1, \quad (\mathbf{v}_{n+2}, \mathbf{v}_{n+2}) = \varepsilon_2, \quad (\mathbf{v}_{n+1}, \mathbf{v}_{n+2}) = \varepsilon_3$$

induce the deformation  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$ . Therefore, we conclude *a posteriori* that the map

$$\{\text{Deformations of } Q_0 \text{ along } W\} \rightarrow \left\{ Z^2(\mathfrak{h}_n^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C}))^{\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})} \cong H^2(\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}) \right\}$$

is a bijection.

*Remark 2.* The special cases  $n = 1, 2$  were excluded from the proof since  $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{h}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{o}(2)$  is abelian. However, it follows from remark 1 that the spaces  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{g}_n)$  are still three-dimensional when  $n = 1, 2$ .

### 3. DEFORMATION OF SPECIAL COADJOINT ORBITS

Let us assume that  $n \geq 3$ . The three-parameter family of deformations of  $\mathfrak{g}_n$  can be conveniently prescribed as a deformation of the Lie-Poisson structure on a basis for  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\vee}$ . Let us consider the dual coordinates  $\{l_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$  in  $\mathfrak{o}(n)^{\vee}$  with canonical commutation relations

$$(3.1) \quad \{l_{ij}, l_{kl}\} = \delta_{ik}l_{jl} - \delta_{il}l_{jk} + \delta_{jl}l_{ik} - \delta_{jk}l_{il}$$

together with the Darboux coordinates  $\{x_i, p_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , and the central extension coordinate  $I$  in  $\mathfrak{h}_n^{\vee}$ , on which the coordinates  $l_{ij}$  act as

$$(3.2) \quad \{l_{ij}, x_k\} = \delta_{ik}x_j - \delta_{jk}x_i, \quad \{l_{ij}, p_k\} = \delta_{ik}p_j - \delta_{jk}p_i, \quad \{l_{ij}, I\} = 0.$$

The commutation relations (3.1)–(3.2) do not admit nontrivial deformations as a consequence of the simplicity of  $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ , and in particular, they are not affected by the integration of the cocycles (2.3)–(2.5). On the other hand, the linear deformations of the induced Lie-Poisson bracket of the chosen basis for  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\vee}$  manifest in the remaining commutation relations. Let  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$  and  $\varepsilon_3$  be complex parameters corresponding to the cocycles  $f_1, f_2$  and  $f_3$  in  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}})$ . The Lie-Poisson bracket deformations in  $(\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}})^{\vee}$  take the explicit form

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \{x_i, x_j\} &= \varepsilon_1 l_{ij}, & \{p_i, p_j\} &= \varepsilon_2 l_{ij}, \\ \{x_i, p_j\} &= \delta_{ij} I + \varepsilon_3 l_{ij}, \\ \{x_i, I\} &= \varepsilon_3 x_i - \varepsilon_1 p_i, & \{p_i, I\} &= \varepsilon_2 x_i - \varepsilon_3 p_i, \end{aligned}$$



*Remark 3.* Let  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$ . For every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , the nonzero triples  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$  and  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' = \lambda \cdot (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$  define isomorphic Lie algebras under scaling of generators. Therefore, in order to describe the different isomorphism classes of nontrivial deformations of  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ , it is sufficient to consider them in terms of a stratification of the projective plane  $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ .

*Remark 4.* Different special values of nonzero triples  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  determine special Lie algebra deformations. By definition,  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(1, 1, 0) = \mathfrak{o}(n+2, \mathbb{C})$ , which is seen under the relabeling  $x_i = l_{in+1}$ ,  $p_i = l_{in+2}$ ,  $I = l_{n+1n+2}$ . Moreover, the Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(1, 0, 0)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1, 0)$  are isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{o}(n+1, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  under the respective relabelings  $p_i = l_{in+1}$  and  $x_i = l_{in+1}$ . Finally, let  $\mathfrak{d}_n$  denote the deformation of  $\mathfrak{h}_n$  corresponding to the triple  $(0, 0, 1)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{d}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  is completely characterized by its ideals  $\text{Span}\{I, x_i, p_i\} \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(0, 0, 1) = \mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathfrak{d}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  be the flat conic defined by the equation

$$\varepsilon_3^2 = \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2,$$

and for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , let

$$\mathcal{L}_i = \{\varepsilon_i = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}).$$

Then we have that  $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{L}_1 = [0 : 1 : 0]$ ,  $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{L}_2 = [1 : 0 : 0]$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2 = [0 : 0 : 1]$ . With the exception of the latter, all such special points belong to  $\mathcal{L}_3$ .

**Proposition 1.** *The isomorphism classes of nontrivial deformations  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  are stratified in the projective plane  $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  as follows:*

(i)  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \cong \mathfrak{o}(n+2, \mathbb{C})$ , if  $[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}] \in \mathcal{U}$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  denotes the Zariski open locus

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \setminus \{\mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2\}.$$

(ii)  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \cong \mathfrak{o}(n+1, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  if  $[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}] \in \mathcal{C}$ .

(iii)  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \cong \mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathfrak{d}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  if  $[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}] \in (\mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2) \setminus \mathcal{C}$ . Notice that

$$(\mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2) \setminus \mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{L}_1 \setminus [0 : 1 : 0]) \cup (\mathcal{L}_2 \setminus [1 : 0 : 0]).$$

*Proof.* The proof follows after a systematic implementation of the following fundamental principle: at a special value of  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ , all cocycles that haven't been integrated to a deformation become trivial in cohomology, and hence, the remaining deformations become equivalent to of a linear transformation of the basis elements.

(i) Let  $\pi_3 : \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \setminus \mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_3$  be the projection  $\pi_3(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3) = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, 0)$ . If  $[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}] \in \mathcal{U}$ , we have that  $\varepsilon_1 \neq 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_2 \neq 0$ , and  $\varepsilon_3^2/\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 \neq 1$ . Then, there is an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\pi_3(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})) \cong \mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  induced by the linear transformation defined by

$$x_i \mapsto x_i + \frac{\lambda \varepsilon_3}{2\varepsilon_2} p_i, \quad p_i \mapsto p_i + \frac{\lambda \varepsilon_3}{2\varepsilon_1} x_i, \quad I \mapsto \left(1 - \frac{\lambda^2 \varepsilon_3^2}{4\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}\right) I, \quad l_{ij} \mapsto \lambda l_{ij},$$



where

$$\lambda = \frac{2\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_3^2} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{\varepsilon_3^2}{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2}} \right) = 1 + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon_3^2}{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2}\right).$$

In order to show that  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\pi_3(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})) \cong \mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(1, 1, 0) \cong \mathfrak{o}(n+2, \mathbb{C})$ , let

$$x_i = \sqrt{\varepsilon_1}l_{in+1}, \quad p_i = \sqrt{\varepsilon_2}l_{in+2}, \quad I = \sqrt{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2}l_{n+1n+2}.$$

(ii) Assume  $[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}] \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{[1 : 0 : 0], [0 : 1 : 0]\}$ . An isomorphism  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\varepsilon_1, 0, 0) \cong \mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  is defined by the linear transformation acting as the identity on  $x_i$ ,  $I$  and  $l_{ij}$ , and mapping

$$p_i \mapsto p_i + \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_1}}x_i$$

An analogous isomorphism can be constructed to show that  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1, 0) \cong \mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ .

(iii) Assume that  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{L}_1 \setminus [0 : 1 : 0]$ . The linear transformation defined by

$$p_i \mapsto p_i - \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2\varepsilon_3}x_i,$$

and acting as the identity on  $x_i$ ,  $I$ , and  $l_{ij}$  defines the isomorphism  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \cong \mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(0, 0, 1) = \mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathfrak{d}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . An analogous argument implies the result for any  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{L}_2 \setminus [1 : 0 : 0]$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Any deformation  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  with  $[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}] \in \mathcal{U}$  depends only on the two effective parameters  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ . Any deformation  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  with  $[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}] \in \mathcal{C}$  depends only on one effective parameter (either  $\varepsilon_1$  or  $\varepsilon_2$ ). Any deformation  $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\mathbb{C}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  with  $[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}] \in (\mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2) \setminus \mathcal{C}$  depends only on the effective parameter  $\varepsilon_3$ .*

*Remark 5.* From now on, we will assume that the deformation parameters  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$  are real, unless otherwise stated.

In order to describe the different real forms of the deformations  $\mathfrak{g}_n(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  that arise by restriction to  $\mathbb{R}$ , it is necessary to consider instead a stratification of  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$ . The lift  $\text{pr}^{-1}(\mathcal{C}|_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$  has two connected components  $\mathcal{C}_+$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_-$ , depending on whether  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \geq 0$  or  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \leq 0$ .  $\text{pr}^{-1}(((\mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2) \setminus \mathcal{C})|_{\mathbb{R}})$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{L}$  (although it possesses two connected components, the corresponding real forms are isomorphic). The set  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{(\mathcal{C}_+ \cup \mathcal{C}_- \cup \mathcal{L})\}$  can be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{R}_{++} \cup \mathcal{R}_{--} \cup \mathcal{R}_{+-},$$

with the regions  $\mathcal{R}_{++}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{--}$  characterized by the conditions  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1 < 0$ , respectively (each region consisting of 3 connected components). The remaining region  $\mathcal{R}_{+-}$  consists of all triples  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  for which either  $\varepsilon_1 > 0, \varepsilon_2 < 0$  or  $\varepsilon_1 < 0, \varepsilon_2 > 0$ .

**Corollary 2.** *In terms of the previous stratification of  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$ , the isomorphism type of the real forms  $\mathfrak{g}_n(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  for  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \neq (0, 0, 0)$  is*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathfrak{o}(n+2) & \text{if } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{R}_{++}, \\ \mathfrak{o}(n+1, 1) & \text{if } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{R}_{+-}, \\ \mathfrak{o}(n, 2) & \text{if } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{R}_{--}, \\ \mathfrak{o}(n+1) \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}_+, \\ \mathfrak{o}(n, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}_-, \\ \mathfrak{o}(n) \times \mathfrak{d}_n|_{\mathbb{R}} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{L}. \end{array} \right.$$

**3.1. Special coadjoint orbits.** The rank of a semi-simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is equal to the dimension of the center of its universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ —a space generated by the so-called *Casimir invariants*.  $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))$  can be equivalently described in terms of the Lie-Poisson structure in  $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)$ . For  $\mathfrak{o}(n+2, \mathbb{C})$  and the dual basis  $\{l_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+2}$  with Poisson brackets (3.1), the Casimir invariants can be determined explicitly as the homogeneous polynomials

$$C_{2k} = \text{tr} \left( L^{2k} \right), \quad k = 1, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1,$$

where  $L = (l_{ij})$ . The choice of values for the Casimir invariants determines all the coadjoint orbits of maximal dimension in the orbit stratification of  $\mathfrak{o}(n+2, \mathbb{C})^\vee$ , isomorphic to the quotient of  $O(n+2, \mathbb{C})$  by a maximal torus. There is an analogous description of the coadjoint orbits in  $\mathfrak{o}(n+2, \mathbb{C})^\vee$  isomorphic to the homogeneous space  $O(n+2, \mathbb{C})/SO(2, \mathbb{C}) \times O(n, \mathbb{C})$ , and which are the *minimal* nontrivial orbits when  $n \neq 2, 4$  [Wol78]. The next result is described in [BS97].

**Lemma 2** ([BS97]). *The  $2n$ -dimensional coadjoint orbits in  $\mathfrak{o}(n+2)^\vee$  are isomorphic to the homogeneous space  $SO(n+2)/SO(2) \times SO(n)$  and form a 1-dimensional algebraic family determined by the collection of quadratic equations*

$$(3.4) \quad C_2 = -2r^2,$$

$$(3.5) \quad l_{i_1 i_2} l_{i_3 i_4} = l_{i_1 i_3} l_{i_2 i_4} - l_{i_1 i_4} l_{i_2 i_3}, \quad 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < i_4 \leq n+2.$$

*Remark 6.* The set of quadratic equations (3.4)–(3.5) identify the given coadjoint orbits with the Grassmannian  $\widetilde{Gr}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$  of oriented 2-planes in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ , as they can be understood as a 2 : 1 lift of the classical Plücker embedding. The Plücker relations indicate that equations (3.5) are overdetermined, and can be generated by any subcollection of  $\binom{n}{2}$  equations containing a given fixed element  $l_{ij}$ , i.e.  $l_{n+1 n+2}$ .

Applying corollary 2 and lemma 2 to the generic deformations  $\mathfrak{g}_n(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , and letting  $x_i = l_{in+1}$ ,  $p_i = l_{in+2}$ , and  $I = l_{n+1n+2}$ , equation (3.4) becomes

$$(3.6) \quad C_2 = -2 \left( I^2 + \varepsilon_1 x^2 + \varepsilon_2 p^2 - 2\varepsilon_3 xp - (\varepsilon_3^2 - \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2) l^2 \right),$$

where

$$x^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2, \quad p^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2, \quad xp = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i p_i, \quad l^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} l_{ij}^2.$$

The remaining equations do not depend on the deformation parameters. We emphasize the ones containing  $\{I, x_i, p_j\}$ ,

$$(3.7) \quad Il_{ij} = x_i p_j - x_j p_i,$$

$$(3.8) \quad l_{ij} x_k - l_{ik} x_j + l_{jk} x_i = 0, \quad l_{ij} p_k - l_{ik} p_j + l_{jk} p_i = 0.$$

Notice that the subcollection (3.7) generalizes the usual definition of angular momentum and generate (3.5), while equations (3.8) generalize the vector analysis relations  $\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{p} = 0$ .

**Definition 1.** The coadjoint orbits  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \subset \mathfrak{g}_n(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^\vee$  are the special  $2n$ -dimensional orbits defined by the choice of value  $C_2 = -2$  in equation (3.6).

*Remark 7.* It follows from remarks 1 and 6 that over the open set  $\mathcal{R}_{++}$ , (3.6)–(3.7) correspond to the equations that determine a  $2 : 1$  lift of the Plücker embedding, identifying  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  with the Grassmannian of oriented planes in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ . The different solutions of the quadratic equation (3.6) correspond to the different choices of orientation of a given 2-plane in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ . If we consider the degeneration  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ , the limiting equations

$$(3.9) \quad I = \pm 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \pm l_{ij} = x_i p_j - x_j p_i,$$

define two disjoint orbits  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}^+$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}^-$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_n^\vee$ , each isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n$ . In turn, the degeneration of the canonical symplectic structure determined by the Kirillov–Konstant–Souriau symplectic form [RSTS94, Kir04] on  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  corresponds to the symplectic structure on standard phase space

$$\left( \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i \wedge dp_i \right)$$

for each of the two orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}_n^\vee$ . The existence of two limiting connected components corresponds to the limiting degenerations in the work of Higgs [Hig79] for the cotangent bundles  $T^*S^n$  as the sectional curvature is allowed to vanish (cf. remark 8).

**Corollary 3.** For any  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$ , the special coadjoint orbits  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  define a family of deformations of standard phase space, and carry a canonical “angular momentum” representation of  $\mathfrak{o}(n)$  in  $C^\infty(\mathcal{O}_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}))$  (cf. [LM03]). These orbits are diffeomorphic to the Grassmannian of oriented 2-planes  $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$  over the open region  $\mathcal{R}_{++}$ .

*Remark 8.* Over the lift of the flat conic  $\text{pr}^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}) = \mathcal{C}_+ \cup \mathcal{C}_-$  (and in particular, in the lines  $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3 = 0$  and  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_3 = 0$ ), the coadjoint orbits  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  degenerate to a manifold isomorphic to  $T^*S^n$  if  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}_+$  and two copies of  $T^*\mathbb{H}^n$  if  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}_-$ . A proof of this fact is given in proposition 2. Although the symplectic structure inherited in  $T^*S^n$  (resp.  $T^*\mathbb{H}^n$ ) is the standard one (see remark 10), the variables  $x_i$  and  $p_j$  do not define Darboux coordinates. Instead, their commutation relations resemble physically the result of adding an external magnetic field in standard phase space [Nov82, Per90]. From a physical point of view, the study of dynamical problems over the complete family of coadjoint orbits  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  can also be interpreted as the study of deformations of dynamical systems on  $n$ -manifolds of constant sectional curvature (which is equal to  $\varepsilon_2$  when  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_3 = 0$ ).

**Proposition 2.** *There is an induced isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \cong (T^*S^n, \omega)$  over the locus  $\mathcal{C}_+$ , where  $\omega$  denotes the corresponding standard symplectic form. Over the locus  $\mathcal{C}_-$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  is a disjoint union of two connected components  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}^+(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}^-(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ , each symplectomorphic to  $(T^*\mathbb{H}^n, \omega)$ , corresponding to the values  $I > 0$  and  $I < 0$  respectively.*

*Proof.* It is enough to corroborate this in the case  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_3 = 0$ ; when  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$  (resp.  $\varepsilon_2 < 0$ ). Then, equation (3.6) determines an  $n$ -sphere homogeneous space model in the affine variables  $I, x_i$  (resp. a two-sheeted  $n$ -hyperboloid model, with connected components corresponding to the values  $I > 0$  and  $I < 0$ ). Moreover, the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  of the  $\text{SO}(n+1) \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ -action (resp. the  $\text{SO}(n,1) \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ -action) on  $(\mathfrak{o}(n+1) \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1})^*$  (resp. on  $(\mathfrak{o}(n,1) \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1})^*$ ) determined by equations (3.6)-(3.7) has the structure of a rank- $n$  subbundle  $E \rightarrow S^n$  (resp. two bundles  $E^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$  and  $E^- \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$ ) of the trivial vector bundle  $\mathfrak{o}(n+1)^* \times S^n$  (resp. two copies of  $\mathfrak{o}(n,1)^* \times \mathbb{H}^n$ ), with fiber at a point  $(I, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  given by the kernel of the map  $L_{(I, x_1, \dots, x_n)} : \mathfrak{o}(n+1)^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}(n)^*$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{o}(n,1)^*$ ), defined as

$$(L_{(I, x_1, \dots, x_n)}(l, p))_{ij} = Il_{ij} - x_i p_j + x_j p_i + \sum_{k=1}^n (l_{ik} x_k - l_{ik} x_j + l_{jk} x_i).$$

By construction, the bundle of orthonormal frames of  $E$  (resp.  $E^+$  and  $E^-$ ) is isomorphic to  $\text{SO}(n+1) \rightarrow S^n$  (resp.  $\text{SO}(n,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$ ), with fibers corresponding to the isotropy groups of points  $(I, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  (depending on the values  $I > 0$  or  $I < 0$  in the second case), which gives the isomorphism  $E \cong T^*S^n$  (resp.  $E^{\pm} \cong T^*\mathbb{H}^n$ ).  $\square$

Observe that on  $\mathcal{C}_-$ , only the connected component  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}^+(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  is of physical significance, as it degenerates to the component  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}^+$  corresponding to the value  $I = 1$  when  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ .

*Remark 9.* For any  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{R}_{++}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_{+-}$ , or  $\mathcal{R}_{--}$ , the coadjoint orbits  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  are also irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces, acquiring a natural Kähler structure [Bor54]. The tangent space at any point in a given orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  is respectively modeled by one of the quotients  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{o}(n+2)/\mathfrak{o}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{o}(n)$ ,

$\mathfrak{o}(n+1, 1)/\mathfrak{o}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{o}(n-1, 1)$  or  $\mathfrak{o}(n, 2)/\mathfrak{o}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{o}(n-2, 2)$ , and the integrable almost complex structure can be defined as  $J = \text{ad}_{\mathbf{I}}$ , where  $\mathbf{I}$  is a generator of  $\mathfrak{o}(2) \subset \mathfrak{o}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{o}(n-i, i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$ . Therefore, it follows that all orbits  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  possess a natural Kähler polarization generalizing the standard complex coordinate polarization determined by

$$\left( \mathbb{C}^n, \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_i \right), \quad z_i = x_i + \sqrt{-1}p_i.$$

#### 4. SINGULAR REAL POLARIZATIONS AND FREE MOTION

By their very definition, the family of coadjoint orbits  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  possess two natural real polarizations, singular over the set  $\{I = 0\}$ , and invariant under a family of groups of symplectomorphisms isomorphic to a deformation of the Euclidean group. These are spanned by the Hamiltonian vector fields corresponding to the collections of functions  $\{x_i/I\}$ ,  $\{p_i/I\}$  in involution

$$\{x_i/I, x_j/I\} = 0, \quad \{p_i/I, p_j/I\} = 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n,$$

and will be called, respectively, the *position* and *momentum* polarizations. Both position and momentum polarizations are invariant under a global  $\text{SO}(n)$ -action, generalizing the standard rotational action in position and momentum coordinates, and which is characterized infinitesimally by the momentum map

$$\lambda : \mathcal{O}_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}(n)^*, \quad (\lambda)_{ij} = l_{ij}.$$

Let  $q_i = x_i/I$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . The choice of the position polarization motivates the introduction of a family of functions playing the role of the free-motion Hamiltonians in deformed phase space, namely

$$(4.1) \quad H_0(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{2} (p^2 + \varepsilon_2 l^2) = \frac{1}{2} (p^2 + \varepsilon_2 (p^2 q^2 - (pq)^2)),$$

and which posses two equivalent geometric interpretations in terms of the dynamical symmetries of a family of  $\binom{n+1}{2}$ -dimensional Lie groups. They not only coincide with the quadratic Casimir invariants of the Lie subalgebras spanned by the dual elements  $\{l_{ij}\}$  and  $\{p_k\}$ , but also correspond to  $|\mu_0(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})|^2$ , the square of the norm of a family of momentum maps

$$\mu_0(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) : \mathcal{O}_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^*$$

where

$$\mathfrak{k}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathfrak{o}(n+1) & \text{if } \varepsilon_2 > 0, \\ \mathfrak{o}(n, 1) & \text{if } \varepsilon_2 < 0, \\ \mathfrak{e}_{n+1} & \text{if } \varepsilon_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $H_0(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  and  $\mu_0(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$  respectively generalize the standard free-motion Hamiltonian and the corresponding Euclidean group momentum map in

$(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \omega)$ . In particular, over the contraction  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_3 = 0$ , the coordinates  $\{q_i\}$  correspond to the *gnomonic coordinates* over the  $n$ -sphere [Fig79] if  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$  and hyperbolic  $n$ -space if  $\varepsilon_2 < 0$  and  $I > 0$ , while  $H_0$  corresponds to the Hamiltonian inducing geodesic motion.

*Remark 10.* In the coordinates  $\{q_i, p_j\}$ , defined over the open set  $\{I \neq 0\}$ , Kirillov's symplectic form on the family  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}(0, \varepsilon_2, 0)$  takes the simple form

$$(4.2) \quad \omega_\varepsilon = -d\theta_\varepsilon, \quad \theta_\varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( p_i - \varepsilon_2 \frac{(q, p)q_i}{1 + \varepsilon_2 q^2} \right) dq_i.$$

The analogous expression over the real flat conic  $\varepsilon_3^2 = \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2$  can then be reconstructed by means of a suitable linear transformation (see proposition 1). In particular, when  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_3 = 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$  (resp.  $\varepsilon_2 < 0$ ), the above explicit expression for the Liouville form  $\theta_\varepsilon$  provides the standard Darboux coordinates with conjugated momenta

$$p_i - \varepsilon_2 \frac{(q, p)q_i}{1 + \varepsilon_2 q^2}$$

on  $T^*S^n$ , (resp.  $T^*\mathbb{H}^n$  when either  $I > 0$  or  $I < 0$ ).

#### APPENDIX A. STANDARD PHASE SPACE AS A COADJOINT ORBIT

**Proposition 3.** *There is a symplectomorphism*

$$\left( \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \omega = \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i \wedge dp_i \right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{2n}^+$$

to a connected component of a  $2n$ -dimensional coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}$  of the group  $O(n) \times \mathbb{H}_n$ , mapping the standard  $O(n)$ -action on  $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n$  to the corresponding coadjoint action on  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}$ .

*Proof.* It is convenient to identify a suitable set of generators and relations on the dual space  $\mathfrak{g}_n^\vee$ . Let  $\{x_1, p_1, \dots, x_n, p_n, I\}$  be a set of standard dual variables for the Heisenberg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}_n$ , and let  $\{l_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$  be dual variables for the orthogonal Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ . By definition, the symplectic structure of a coadjoint orbit is determined by the Lie-Poisson bracket on  $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_n^\vee)$ . Consider the  $2n$ -dimensional coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{2n} \subset \mathfrak{g}_n^\vee$  determined by fixing the values  $I = \pm 1$ , together with the *angular momentum relations*

$$l_{ij} = x_i p_j - x_j p_i, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n.$$

The canonical commutation relations  $\{x_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}$  will follow if we restrict to the connected component  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}^+$  given by  $I = 1$ . The correspondence of symplectic  $O(n)$ -actions readily follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $Q_0$  denote the quadratic form in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$  prescribed by*

$$Q_0(a_1, \dots, a_{n+2}) = a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2.$$

*There is an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{g}_n \cong \mathfrak{o}(\mathbb{R}^{n+2}, Q_0)$ . There is an induced diffeomorphism between  $\mathcal{O}_{2n}$  and the Zariski open subset  $\mathcal{U} \subset \widetilde{\text{Gr}}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$  consisting*

of oriented 2-planes  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$  such that  $a_{n+1} \wedge a_{n+2}|_P \neq 0$ , i.e., whose image under the Plücker embedding lies in the complement of the zero locus

$$Z(a_{n+1} \wedge a_{n+2}) \subset \mathbb{P} \left( \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{n+2} \right).$$

*Proof.* Recall that any bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on a vector space  $V$  induces a Lie algebra structure on  $\bigwedge^2 V$  in terms of the orthogonal endomorphisms

$$v \wedge w \mapsto L_{v \wedge w}(u) := (v, u)w - (w, u)v,$$

[GS84, GS06]. A direct computation shows that the Lie algebra structure on  $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$  induced by the quadratic form  $Q_0(a_1, \dots, a_{n+2}) = a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}_n$  under the dual correspondence

$$a_i \wedge a_j \mapsto l_{ij} \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n,$$

$$a_i \wedge a_{n+1} \mapsto x_i, \quad a_i \wedge a_{n+2} \mapsto p_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad a_{n+1} \wedge a_{n+2} \mapsto I.$$

Such a correspondence identifies the angular momentum relations along the hyperplanes  $I = \pm 1$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_n$  with the Plücker relations along the hyperplanes  $a_{n+1} \wedge a_{n+2} = \pm 1$ . Let  $\text{pr} : \widetilde{\text{Gr}}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$  the projection forgetting orientation,  $\iota : \text{Gr}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{n+2})$  the classical Plücker embedding, and let

$$\mathcal{U} = \widetilde{\text{Gr}}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2}) \setminus (\text{pr} \circ \iota)^{-1}(Z(a_{n+1} \wedge a_{n+2}))$$

Since the level sets  $a_{n+1} \wedge a_{n+2} = \pm 1$  in  $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$  determine uniquely a choice of orientation in every 2-plane in  $\text{Gr}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2}) \setminus \iota^{-1}(Z(a_{n+1} \wedge a_{n+2}))$ , we conclude that there is an induced diffeomorphism  $\mathcal{U} \cong \mathcal{O}_{2n}$ . In particular the stabilizer in  $\text{G}_n$  of the unique totally isotropic 2-plane  $W$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$  is equal to  $\text{O}(n) \times \mathbb{R} \subset \text{O}(\mathbb{R}^{n+2}, Q_0) \cong \text{G}_n$ , with the  $\mathbb{R}$ -factor corresponding to central extension elements (cf. remark 7).  $\square$

*Remark 11.* Under the correspondences described in propositions 3 and 4, the Howe pair  $(\text{O}(n), \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$  of  $(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \omega)$  [KKS78, How89] is induced by the maximal compact subgroup  $G \subset \text{O}(\mathbb{R}^{n+2}, Q_0) \cong \text{G}_n$  and the group  $G'$  of endomorphisms of the unique totally isotropic plane in  $(\mathbb{R}^{n+2}, Q_0)$  preserving the area element  $a_{n+1} \wedge a_{n+2} = 1$ .

**Acknowledgments.** I would like to thank Jacob Mostovoy for introducing me to the ideas that led to this work and for multiple discussions, Rolf Farnsteiner for clarifying a question on spectral sequences, and the referees for their constructive criticism and important remarks. The majority of this work was developed under a postdoctoral fellowship at the MPIM in Bonn in the Spring of 2015. While concluding it the author was supported by the DFG SPP 2026 priority programme ‘‘Geometry at infinity’’.



## REFERENCES

- [Bor54] A. Borel, *Kählerian coset spaces of semisimple Lie groups*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **40** (1954), 1147–1151.
- [BS97] O. M. Boyarskyi and T. V. Skrypnyk, *Degenerate orbits of adjoint representation of orthogonal and unitary groups regarded as algebraic submanifolds*, Ukrainian Math. J. **49** (1997), no. 7, 1003–1015.
- [CE48] C. Chevalley and S. Eilenberg, *Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **63** (1948), no. 1, 85–124.
- [Fia85] A. Fialowski, *Deformations of Lie algebras*, Mat. Sb. (N. S.) **127(169)** (1985), no. 4, 476–482.
- [Fra65] D. M. Fradkin, *Three-dimensional isotropic harmonic oscillator and  $SU_3$* , Am. J. Phys. **33** (1965), no. 3, 207–211.
- [Ger64] M. Gerstenhaber, *On the deformation of rings and algebras*, Ann. Math. **79** (1964), no. 1, 59–103.
- [GS84] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Symplectic techniques in physics*, Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [GS06] ———, *Variations on a theme by Kepler*, Colloquium Publications Vol. 42, American Mathematical Soc., 2006.
- [Hig79] P. W. Higgs, *Dynamical symmetries in a spherical geometry I*, J. Phys. A **12** (1979), no. 3, 309–323.
- [How89] R. Howe, *Remarks on classical invariant theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **313** (1989), no. 2, 539–570.
- [HS53] G. Hochschild and J-P. Serre, *Cohomology of Lie algebras*, Ann. Math. (1953), 591–603.
- [IW53] E. Inonu and E. P. Wigner, *On the contraction of groups and their representations*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **39** (1953), 510–524.
- [Kir04] A. A. Kirillov, *Lectures on the orbit method*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 64, American Mathematical Soc., 2004.
- [KKS78] D. Kazhdan, B. Kostant, and S. Sternberg, *Hamiltonian group actions and dynamical systems of Calogero type*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), no. 4, 481–507.
- [Len24] W. Lenz, *Über den Bewegungsverlauf und die Quantenzustände der gestörten Keplerbewegung*, Z. Phys. **24** (1924), no. 1, 197–207.
- [LM03] A. Leznov and J. Mostovoy, *Classical dynamics in deformed spaces*, J. Phys. A **36** (2003), no. 5, 1439–1449.
- [LN67] M. Levy-Nahas, *Deformation and contraction of Lie algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **8** (1967), no. 6, 1211–1222.
- [Nov82] S. P. Novikov, *The Hamiltonian formalism and a multivalued analogue of Morse theory*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **37** (1982), no. 5(227), 3–49, 248, English translation in Russian Math. Surveys **37:5** (1982), 1–56.
- [Per90] A. Perelomov, *Integrable systems of classical mechanics and Lie algebras*, Birkhäuser, 1990.
- [RSTS94] A. Reyman and M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, *Group-theoretical methods in the theory of finite-dimensional integrable systems*, Dynamical systems VII, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1994.
- [Wei95] C. A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [Wol78] J. Wolf, *Representations associated to minimal co-adjoint orbits*, Differential Geometrical Methods in Mathematical Physics II. Springer Berlin Heidelberg (1978), 329–349.

MATHEMATISCHES SEMINAR, CHRISTIAN-ALBRECHTS UNIVERSITÄT ZU KIEL, LUDEWIG-  
MEYN-STR. 4, 24118 KIEL, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* `meneses@math.uni-kiel.de`