

# On the pro- $p$ -Iwahori invariants of supersingular representations of unramified $U(2, 1)$

Peng Xu

## Abstract

Let  $G$  be the unramified unitary group  $U(2, 1)(E/F)$  defined over a non-archimedean local field  $F$  of odd residue characteristic  $p$ . In this paper, for any supersingular representation of  $G$  that contains the Steinberg weight, we prove its pro- $p$ -Iwahori invariants, as a right module over the pro- $p$ -Iwahori–Hecke algebra of  $G$ , is *not* simple.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Notations and Preliminaries</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	General notations . . . . .	3
2.2	The spherical Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(K, \sigma)$ . . . . .	6
2.3	Definition of supersingular representations . . . . .	6
2.3.1	Hecke eigenvalues of principal series for $T$ . . . . .	6
2.3.2	The Hecke operator $T_\sigma$ . . . . .	8
2.4	The space $(\text{ind}_K^G \sigma)^{I_{1,K}}$ . . . . .	8
<b>3</b>	<b><math>\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \pi^{I_{1,K}} \geq 2</math> for supersingular <math>\pi</math>: a conjecture</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	Some motivational remarks . . . . .	8
3.2	$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \pi^{I_{1,K}} \geq 2$ for any supersingular $\pi$ ? . . . . .	9
<b>4</b>	<b>The images of <math>(\text{ind}_K^G \sigma)^{I_{1,K}}</math> under <math>S_K</math> and <math>S_-</math></b>	<b>10</b>
<b>5</b>	<b><math>\overline{f}_1 \neq 0</math> in the degenerate case</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.3</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Appendix A: some remarks on <math>GL_2(F)</math></b>	<b>16</b>

# 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be the unramified unitary group  $U(2,1)(E/F)$  defined over a non-archimedean local field  $F$  of odd residue characteristic  $p$ , and  $K$  be a maximal compact open subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $I_K$  be the Iwahori subgroup in  $K$ , and  $I_{1,K}$  be the pro- $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $I_K$ .

In this paper, we prove:

**Theorem 1.1.** (*Theorem 6.1*) *Let  $\pi$  be a supersingular representation of  $G$  containing the Steinberg weight of  $K$ . Then, we have*

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \pi^{I_{1,K}} \geq 2.$$

Here, an irreducible smooth  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representation of  $G$  is supersingular, if it is a quotient of certain spherical universal modules, see 2.3 for the precise definition.

Indeed, what we proved in Theorem 6.1 is conceptually stronger than that stated in Theorem 1.1: as a counterpart to a result of Barthel–Livné on  $GL_2$  (Theorem 7.2), we propose a naive conjecture (3.2) on the pro- $p$ -Iwahori invariants of supersingular representations of  $G$ , and we prove it for those containing the *Steinberg weight*.

**Remark 1.2.** *Any spherical universal module of  $G$  is infinite dimensional ([Xu16, Corollary 4.6]). Therefore, supersingular representations of  $G$  containing a given weight do exist. Moreover, according to the philosophy of Breuil and Paškūnas on  $GL_2$  ([BP12, Proposition 10.2]), it is indeed very likely that there are infinitely many non-isomorphic supersingular representations of  $G$  containing a given weight.*

Our motivation of this paper is to understand the pro- $p$ -Iwahori invariants of irreducible smooth  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations of  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}(I_{1,K}, 1) := \text{End}_G(\text{ind}_{I_{1,K}}^G 1)$  be the pro- $p$ -Iwahori–Hecke algebra of  $G$ . For a smooth  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representation  $\pi$  of  $G$ , its pro- $p$ -Iwahori invariants  $\pi^{I_{1,K}}$  is naturally a right module over  $\mathcal{H}(I_{1,K}, 1)$ . On one hand, when  $\pi$  is an irreducible subquotient of principal series, we understand it well:  $\pi^{I_{1,K}}$  is *simple* over  $\mathcal{H}(I_{1,K}, 1)$  ([KX15, Corollary 4.4]). On the other hand, when  $\pi$  is a supersingular representation, we know very little about  $\pi^{I_{1,K}}$ . The following corollary deduced from Theorem 1.1, which, besides other things, unveils a new feature of them.

**Corollary 1.3.** (*Corollary 6.5*) *Let  $\pi$  be a supersingular representation of  $G$  containing the Steinberg weight of  $K$ . Then, the space  $\pi^{I_{1,K}}$  as a right module over  $\mathcal{H}(I_{1,K}, 1)$ , is **not** simple.*

We now review briefly what is known in the literatures (to our knowledge). For a two dimensional continuous irreducible generic  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representation of the absolute Galois group  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p^f}}$  ( $f > 1$ ), Breuil and Paškūnas have associated it an infinite family of supersingular representations of  $GL_2(\mathbf{Q}_{p^f})$  ([BP12, Theorem 1.5]), and one of these supersingular representation has been proved by Emerton–Gee–Savitt ([EGS15]) that it will *appear* globally, which implies that for such a supersingular representation its pro- $p$ -Iwahori invariants is equal to the original recipe used to construct it. These in all suggest<sup>1</sup> that the pro- $p$ -Iwahori invariants of supersingular representations of  $GL_2(F)$ , as right modules over the its pro- $p$ -Iwahori–Hecke algebra, might *not* be simple in general, unless  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$ .

Our Corollary 1.3 is in a different manner from the result on  $GL_2(\mathbf{Q}_{p^f})$  ( $f > 1$ ) mentioned above, and the condition of requiring to contain the Steinberg weight, as remarked above, is likely satisfied by infinitely many non-isomorphic supersingular representations of  $G$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we fix notations and review some preliminaries facts that we will use. In section 3, we propose a naive conjecture on the pro- $p$ -Iwahori invariants of supersingular representations of  $G$ . In section 4, we describe the image of the pro- $p$ -Iwahori invariants of a maximal compact induction under certain group operators. In section 6, we prove our main results.

## 2 Notations and Preliminaries

### 2.1 General notations

Let  $F$  be a non-archimedean local field of odd residue characteristic  $p$ , with ring of integers  $\mathfrak{o}_F$  and maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_F$ , and  $k_F$  be its residue field of cardinality  $q = p^f$ . Fix a separable closure  $F_s$  of  $F$ . Let  $E$  be the unramified quadratic extension of  $F$  in  $F_s$ . We use similar notations  $\mathfrak{o}_E$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_E$ ,  $k_E$  for analogous objects of  $E$ . Fix a uniformizer  $\varpi_E$  in  $E$ . We equip  $E^3$  with the Hermitian form  $h$ :

$$h : E^3 \times E^3 \rightarrow E, (v_1, v_2) \mapsto v_1^T \beta \overline{v_2}, v_1, v_2 \in E^3.$$

Here,  $-$  denotes the non-trivial Galois conjugation on  $E/F$ , inherited by  $E^3$ , and  $\beta$  is the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>We heard of this from Prof. Paškūnas several years ago.

The unitary group  $G$  is defined as:

$$G = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(3, E) \mid h(gv_1, gv_2) = h(v_1, v_2), \forall v_1, v_2 \in E^3\}.$$

Let  $B = HN$  (resp,  $B' = HN'$ ) be the subgroup of upper (resp, lower) triangular matrices of  $G$ , with  $N$  (resp,  $N'$ ) the unipotent radical of  $B$  (resp,  $B'$ ) and  $H$  the diagonal subgroup of  $G$ . Denote an element of the following form in  $N$  and  $N'$  by  $n(x, y)$  and  $n'(x, y)$  respectively:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ 0 & 1 & -\bar{x} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x & 1 & 0 \\ y & -\bar{x} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $(x, y) \in E^2$  satisfies  $x\bar{x} + y + \bar{y} = 0$ . For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , denote by  $N_k$  (resp,  $N'_k$ ) the subgroup of  $N$  (resp,  $N'$ ) consisting of  $n(x, y)$  (resp,  $n'(x, y)$ ) with  $y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^k$ . For  $x \in E^\times$ , denote by  $h(x)$  an element in  $H$  of the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{x}x^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{x}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Up to conjugacy, the group  $G$  has two maximal compact open subgroups  $K_0$  and  $K_1$ , given by:

$$K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{o}_E & \mathfrak{o}_E & \mathfrak{o}_E \\ \mathfrak{o}_E & \mathfrak{o}_E & \mathfrak{o}_E \\ \mathfrak{o}_E & \mathfrak{o}_E & \mathfrak{o}_E \end{pmatrix} \cap G, \quad K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{o}_E & \mathfrak{o}_E & \mathfrak{p}_E^{-1} \\ \mathfrak{p}_E & \mathfrak{o}_E & \mathfrak{o}_E \\ \mathfrak{p}_E & \mathfrak{p}_E & \mathfrak{o}_E \end{pmatrix} \cap G.$$

The maximal normal pro- $p$  subgroups of  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  are respectively:

$$K_0^1 = 1 + \varpi_E M_3(\mathfrak{o}_E) \cap G, \quad K_1^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \mathfrak{p}_E & \mathfrak{o}_E & \mathfrak{o}_E \\ \mathfrak{p}_E & 1 + \mathfrak{p}_E & \mathfrak{o}_E \\ \mathfrak{p}_E^2 & \mathfrak{p}_E & 1 + \mathfrak{p}_E \end{pmatrix} \cap G.$$

Let  $\alpha$  be the following diagonal matrix in  $G$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varpi_E^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varpi_E \end{pmatrix},$$

and put  $\beta' = \beta\alpha^{-1}$ . Note that  $\beta \in K_0$  and  $\beta' \in K_1$ . We use  $\beta_K$  to denote the unique element in  $K \cap \{\beta, \beta'\}$ .

Let  $K \in \{K_0, K_1\}$ , and  $K^1$  be the maximal normal pro- $p$  subgroup of  $K$ . We identify the finite group  $\Gamma_K = K/K^1$  with the  $k_F$ -points of an algebraic group defined over  $k_F$ , denoted also by  $\Gamma_K$ : when  $K$  is  $K_0$ ,  $\Gamma_K$

is  $U(2, 1)(k_E/k_F)$ , and when  $K$  is  $K_1$ ,  $\Gamma_K$  is  $U(1, 1) \times U(1)(k_E/k_F)$ . Let  $\mathbb{B}$  (resp,  $\mathbb{B}'$ ) be the upper (resp, lower) triangular subgroup of  $\Gamma_K$ , and  $\mathbb{U}$  (resp,  $\mathbb{U}'$ ) be its unipotent radical.

The Iwahori subgroup  $I_K$  (resp,  $I'_K$ ) and pro- $p$  Iwahori subgroup  $I_{1,K}$  (resp,  $I'_{1,K}$ ) in  $K$  are the inverse images of  $\mathbb{B}$  (resp,  $\mathbb{B}'$ ) and  $\mathbb{U}$  (resp,  $\mathbb{U}'$ ) in  $K$ . Recall we have the following Bruhat decomposition for  $K$ :

$$K = I_K \cup I_K \beta_K I_K.$$

Put  $H_0 = H \cap I_K$ , and  $H_1 = H \cap I_{1,K}$ . As  $H_0/H_1 \cong I_K/I_{1,K}$ , we will identify the characters of these groups. For a character  $\chi$  of  $H_0$ , i.e., a character of  $H_0/H_1$ , denote by  $\chi^s$  the character given by  $\chi^s(h) := \chi(\beta_K h \beta_K)$

Denote by  $n_K$  and  $m_K$  the unique integers such that  $N \cap I_{1,K} = N_{n_K}$  and  $N' \cap I_{1,K} = N'_{m_K}$ . We have:

$$n_K + m_K = 1$$

Note that the coset spaces  $N_{n_K}/N_{n_K+1}$  and  $N'_{m_K}/N'_{m_K+1}$  are indeed groups of order respectively  $q^{t_K}$  and  $q^{4-t_K}$ , for  $t_K = 3$  or  $1$ , depending on  $K$  is hyperspecial or not.

We will use the following group:

$$L_{q^3} := \{(x, t) \in k_E^2 \mid x\bar{x} + t + \bar{t} = 0\},$$

and its central subgroup:

$$L_q := \{(0, t) \mid t + \bar{t} = 0\}.$$

Here, the group structure of  $L_{q^3}$  is given by

$$(x, t) \cdot (x', t') := (x + x', t + t' - x'\bar{x}).$$

We may identify these groups naturally:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{n_K} : N_{n_K}/N_{n_K+1} &\cong L_{q^{t_K}} \\ n(x, \varpi_E^{n_K} t) &\mapsto (x \varpi_E^{-n_K}, t) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_E} \\ \\ L_{m_K} : N'_{m_K}/N'_{m_K+1} &\cong L_{q^{4-t_K}} \\ n'(\varpi_E x, \varpi_E^{m_K} t) &\mapsto (x \varpi_E^{2-m_K}, t) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_E} \end{aligned}$$

Here, the elements  $x$  and  $t$  on the left hand side lie in  $\mathfrak{o}_E$ .

We fix a non-zero element  $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathfrak{o}_E^\times$  with trace zero.

All the representations of  $G$  and its subgroups considered in this paper are smooth over  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ .

## 2.2 The spherical Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(K, \sigma)$

Let  $K$  be a maximal compact open subgroup of  $G$ , and  $(\sigma, W)$  be an irreducible smooth representation of  $K$ . As  $K^1$  is pro- $p$  and normal,  $\sigma$  factors through the finite group  $\Gamma_K = K/K^1$ , i.e.,  $\sigma$  is the inflation of an irreducible representation of  $\Gamma_K$ . Conversely, any irreducible representation of  $\Gamma_K$  inflates to an irreducible smooth representation of  $K$ . We may therefore identify irreducible smooth representations of  $K$  with irreducible representations of  $\Gamma_K$ , and we shall call them *weights* of  $K$  or  $\Gamma_K$  from now on.

It is known that  $\sigma^{I_{1,K}}$  and  $\sigma_{I'_{1,K}}$  are both one-dimensional, and that the natural composition map  $\sigma^{I_{1,K}} \hookrightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \sigma_{I'_{1,K}}$  is an isomorphism of vector spaces ([CE04, Theorem 6.12]). Denote by  $j_\sigma$  the inverse of the map aforementioned. For  $v \in \sigma^{I_{1,K}}$ , we have  $j_\sigma(\bar{v}) = v$ , where  $\bar{v}$  is the image of  $v$  in  $\sigma_{I'_{1,K}}$ . By composition, we view  $j_\sigma$  naturally a map in  $\text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(\sigma)$ .

Let  $\text{ind}_K^G \sigma$  be the smooth representation of  $G$  compactly induced from  $\sigma$ , i.e., the representation of  $G$  with underlying space  $S(G, \sigma)$

$$S(G, \sigma) = \{f : G \rightarrow W \mid f(kg) = \sigma(k) \cdot f(g), \forall k \in K, g \in G, \text{ smooth with compact support}\}$$

and  $G$  acting by right translation.

The spherical Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(K, \sigma)$  is defined as  $\text{End}_G(\text{ind}_K^G \sigma)$ . By [BL94, Proposition 5], it is isomorphic to the convolution algebra  $\mathcal{H}_K(\sigma)$ :

$$\mathcal{H}_K(\sigma) = \{\varphi : G \rightarrow \text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(\sigma) \mid \varphi(kgk') = \sigma(k)\varphi(g)\sigma(k'), \forall k, k' \in K, g \in G, \text{ smooth with compact support}\}$$

Let  $\varphi$  be the function in  $\mathcal{H}_K(\sigma)$ , supported on  $K\alpha K$  and satisfying  $\varphi(\alpha) = j_\sigma$ . Denote by  $T$  the Hecke operator in  $\mathcal{H}(K, \sigma)$ , which corresponds to the function  $\varphi$  via the isomorphism aforementioned between  $\mathcal{H}_K(\sigma)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(K, \sigma)$ . We refer the readers to [Xu16, (4)] for a formula of  $T$ .

The structure of the algebra  $\mathcal{H}(K, \sigma)$  is well-understood, and it is isomorphic to  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[T]$  ([Her11b, Corollary 1.3]).

## 2.3 Definition of supersingular representations

Let  $\sigma$  be a weight of  $K$ , and  $\chi_\sigma$  be the character of  $I_K$  for its action on the line  $\sigma^{I_{1,K}}$ .

### 2.3.1 Hecke eigenvalues of principal series for $T$

In this part, we follow along the lines in [BL95] to compute the Hecke eigenvalue of a general principal series of  $G$  for  $T$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *For a character  $\varepsilon$  of  $B$ , the space  $\text{Hom}_G(\text{ind}_K^G \sigma, \text{ind}_B^G \varepsilon)$  is at most one dimensional, and it is non-zero if and only if*

$$\varepsilon|_{H \cap K} = \chi_\sigma^s.$$

*Proof.* As we have an Iwasawa decomposition  $G = BK$ , the argument is identical to that of [BL94, Proposition 23].  $\square$

When the condition in Lemma 2.1 is satisfied, the space

$$\text{Hom}_G(\text{ind}_K^G \sigma, \text{ind}_B^G \varepsilon)$$

is one dimensional, and thus it affords a character of the spherical Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(K, \sigma)$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *The eigenvalue of  $T$  on the space  $\text{Hom}_G(\text{ind}_K^G \sigma, \text{ind}_B^G \varepsilon)$  is:*

$$\varepsilon(\alpha) + \sum_{(x,t) \in L_{q^{4-t}K}^\times} \chi_\sigma(h(t))$$

*Proof.* The argument of [Xu14, Proposition 3.24] for  $K_0$  can be slightly modified to work for any  $K$ .  $\square$

We will say the weight  $\sigma$  is *degenerate*, if

$$\sum_{(x,t) \in L_{q^{4-t}K}^\times} \chi_\sigma(h(t)) \neq 0,$$

Otherwise, we say  $\sigma$  is *regular*. Note that when  $\chi_\sigma = 1$ , it is trivial to see the above sum is equal to  $-1$ .

**Remark 2.3.** *The value of the sum  $\sum_{(x,t) \in L_{q^{4-t}K}^\times} \chi_\sigma(h(t))$  appearing in Proposition 2.2 is known, and the readers are referred to [KX15, Appendix A] for a full list of it. In terms of loc.cit, the **degenerate** case will only happen in two situations:*

- 1).  $\chi_\sigma$  is of the trivial type.
- 2).  $\chi_\sigma$  is hybrid and  $K$  is hyperspecial.

When  $\sigma$  is degenerate, we have (loc.cit):

$$\sum_{(x,t) \in L_{q^{4-t}K}^\times} \chi_\sigma(h(t)) = -\chi_\sigma(h(t)).$$

### 2.3.2 The Hecke operator $T_\sigma$

On account of Proposition 2.2, we consider  $T_\sigma \in \mathcal{H}(K, \sigma)$  given by:

$$T_\sigma := T - \sum_{(x,t) \in L_{q^{4-t}K}^\times} \chi_\sigma(h(t))$$

**Definition 2.4.** An irreducible smooth representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  is called *supersingular* if it is a quotient of  $\text{ind}_K^G \sigma / (T_\sigma)$ , for some weight  $\sigma$  of  $K$ .

**Remark 2.5.** Recall from [AHHV17, III, Part A], an irreducible admissible smooth representation  $\pi$  of a  $p$ -adic reductive group  $G$  is **supersingular**, if it contains a weight  $\sigma$  (w.r.t a special parahoric subgroup  $K$ ), satisfying that the space

$$\text{Hom}_G(\text{ind}_K^G \sigma, \pi)$$

admits a **supersingular** Hecke eigenvalue for the center  $\mathcal{Z}_G(\sigma)$  of the spherical Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(K, \sigma)$ . Here, the assumption of admissibility, as far as we understand, can be replaced by the existence of Hecke eigenvalue. In our case  $G = U(2, 1)$ ,

- a). the existence of Hecke eigenvalue is proved in [Xu18].
- b). a character of  $\mathcal{H}(K, \sigma)$  is **supersingular** if and only if it kills the maximal ideal  $(T_\sigma)$  ([AHHV17, III 4, Corollary]).

### 2.4 The space $(\text{ind}_K^G \sigma)^{I_{1,K}}$

Let  $\sigma$  be a weight of  $K$ . Fix a non-zero vector  $v_0 \in \sigma^{I_{1,K}}$ . Let  $f_n$  be the function in  $(\text{ind}_K^G \sigma)^{I_{1,K}}$ , supported on  $K\alpha^{-n}I_{1,K}$ , such that

$$f_n(\alpha^{-n}) = \begin{cases} \beta_K \cdot v_0, & n > 0, \\ v_0 & n \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have the following ([Xu16, Lemma 3.5])

**Lemma 2.6.** The set of functions  $\{f_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  consists of a basis of the  $I_{1,K}$ -invariants of  $\text{ind}_K^G \sigma$ .

## 3 $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \pi^{I_{1,K}} \geq 2$ for supersingular $\pi$ : a conjecture

### 3.1 Some motivational remarks

The simple modules of the pro- $p$ -Iwahori–Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(I_{1,K}, 1)$  of  $G$  were classified in [KX15], and they are either one or two dimensional. The so-called simple *supersingular* modules are all one-dimensional characters,



and all non-supersingular simple modules arise from the  $I_{1,K}$ -invariants of irreducible subquotients of principal series. The goal of this paper is to prove that the subspace of  $I_{1,K}$ -invariants of certain supersingular representation  $\pi$  is at least two dimensional.

To address our result, we remind the readers of a simple but important fact from classical case (i.e., complex case). The following is [BH06, Proposition 4.3, (2)]:

**Proposition 3.1.** *For a locally profinite group  $\mathcal{G}$  and a compact open subgroup  $\mathcal{K}$ , the process  $\pi \mapsto \pi^K$  induces a bijection between the following two sets:*

- a). *equivalence classes of irreducible smooth representations  $\pi$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $\pi^K \neq 0$ .*
- b). *isomorphic classes of simple  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{K}, 1)$ -modules.*

As far as we know, the pro- $p$ -Iwahori invariants of supersingular representations are only fully understood for the group  $GL_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  ([Bre03]) and some closely related cases, and in these cases the pro- $p$ -Iwahori invariants are still simple modules; beyond  $GL_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , very little is known. For the group  $GL_2(\mathbf{Q}_{p^f})$  ( $f > 1$ ), certain supersingular representation constructed by Breuil and Paškūnas in [BP12] has been proved in the recent work of Emerton–Gee–Savitt ([EGS15]) that it appears in the cohomology of a suitable Shimura curve, and that its pro- $p$ -Iwahori invariants matches the original recipe used to construct it. To our knowledge, that is the only case beyond  $GL_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  for a single supersingular representation its pro- $p$ -Iwahori invariants is *known*. In all, these work provide the first examples that the analogue of Proposition 3.1 above fail in the  $p$ -modular representation theory.

Our main input (Theorem 6.1) proved in this paper, combined something natural, that is the pro- $p$ -Iwahori invariants of an admissible supersingular representation *only* admits supersingular subquotient<sup>2</sup>, shows that the analogue of Proposition 3.1 fails wildly for supersingular representations of  $G$ , or possibly *always* fails.

### 3.2 $\dim_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \pi^{I_{1,K}} \geq 2$ for any supersingular $\pi$ ?

We propose the following:

**Conjecture 3.2.** *Let  $\sigma$  be a weight of  $K$ . Then, for any irreducible quotient  $\pi$  of  $\text{ind}_K^G \sigma / (T_\sigma)$ , the images of the functions  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  in  $\pi$  are **not** proportional.*

---

<sup>2</sup>This is indeed a theorem of Ollivier–Vignéras [OV17] now, if one assumes admissibility.

We end this part by some general but more related comments.

1). The images of the functions  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  are linearly independent in  $\text{ind}_K^G \sigma / (T_\sigma)$  ([Xu17, Corollary 2.10]).

2). An analogue of Conjecture 3.2 for  $GL_2$  holds and is indeed implicitly verified in [BL94]. See Theorem 7.2 in Section 7.

3). Our strategy to prove Conjecture 3.2 is naturally by contradiction. Assume there is some  $c \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  such that

$$\text{the image of } f_0 + c \cdot f_1 \text{ in } \pi \text{ is zero.}$$

Then starting from such a function we proceed to generate more functions whose images in  $\pi$  are still zero, and when  $\sigma$  is the Steinberg weight we find a contradiction after we take some care in the process.

## 4 The images of $(\text{ind}_K^G \sigma)^{I_{1,K}}$ under $S_K$ and $S_-$

For a smooth representation  $\pi$  of  $G$ , we have introduced two partial linear maps  $S_K$  and  $S_-$  in [Xu17, subsection 4.3] as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S_K &: \pi^{N'_{m_K}} \rightarrow \pi^{N_{n_K}}, \\ v &\mapsto \sum_{u \in N_{n_K} / N_{n_K+1}} u \beta_K v. \\ \\ S_- &: \pi^{N_{n_K}} \rightarrow \pi^{N'_{m_K}}, \\ v &\mapsto \sum_{u' \in N'_{m_K} / N'_{m_K+1}} u' \beta_K \alpha^{-1} v \end{aligned}$$

We have proved these two maps satisfy the following nice property:

**Proposition 4.1.** *If  $v \in \pi^{I_{1,K}}$ , then it is the same for  $S_K v$  and  $S_- v$ .*

*Proof.* This is [Xu17, (2) of Proposition 4.10]. □

Our main goal in this section is to apply the maps  $S_K$  and  $S_-$  to the space  $(\text{ind}_K^G \sigma)^{I_{1,K}}$  and determine explicitly the images. It is done in the following Proposition.

**Proposition 4.2.** *We have:*

(1). *For  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$S_K f_n = f_{-n}, \quad S_- f_n = c_- f_n.$$

*Here, the constant  $c_-$  is given by:*

$$c_- = \sum_{(x,t) \in L_{q^{4-t}K}^\times} \chi_\sigma(h(t)).$$

(2). For  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$S_K f_{-n} = d_n f_{-n}, \quad S_- f_{-n} = f_{n+1}.$$

Here, the constant  $d_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) is given by:

$$d_n = \sum_{(x,t) \in L_{q^t K}^\times} \chi_\sigma((h(t)));$$

and the constant  $d_0$  is equal to:

$$d_0 = \begin{cases} -\chi_\sigma(h(t)), & \text{if } \sigma \cong \text{ a twist of the Steinberg weight;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We will prove  $S_K f_n = f_{-n}$  for  $n \geq 1$  and  $S_- f_{-n} = f_{n+1}$  for  $n \geq 0$  at first.

For  $n \geq 1$ , the support of the function  $S_K f_n$  is contained in:

$$K \alpha^{-n} I_{1,K} \beta_K N_{n_K} = K \alpha^n I_{1,K}.$$

Then, by Proposition 4.1 and [Xu16, Remark 3.8], the function  $S_K f_n$  is proportional to  $f_{-n}$ . We compute:

$$S_K f_n(\alpha^n) = \sum_{u \in N_{n_K} / N_{n_{K+1}}} f_n(\alpha^n u \beta_K) = f_n(\alpha^n \beta_K) = v_0,$$

where we note that  $\alpha^n u \beta_K \in K \alpha^n I_{1,K}$ , for  $u \in N_{n_K} \setminus N_{n_{K+1}}$  ([Xu17, Lemma 3.7 (3)]). Hence, we have proved  $S_K f_n = f_{-n}$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

For  $n \geq 0$ , the support of the function  $S_- f_{-n}$  is contained in

$$K \alpha^n I_{1,K} \beta_K \alpha^{-1} N'_{m_K} = K \alpha^{-(n+1)} I_{1,K}.$$

By Proposition 4.1 and [Xu16, Remark 3.8] again, the function  $S_- f_{-n}$  is proportional to  $f_{n+1}$ . We compute:

$$S_- f_{-n}(\alpha^{-(n+1)}) = \sum_{u' \in N'_{m_K} / N'_{m_{K+1}}} f_{-n}(\alpha^{-(n+1)} u' \alpha \beta_K) = \beta_K v_0,$$

where we note that  $\alpha^{-(n+1)} u' \alpha \beta_K \in K \alpha^{n+1} K$ , for  $u' \in N'_{m_K} \setminus N'_{m_{K+1}}$  ([Xu17, Lemma 3.7 (2), (3)]). Thus, we have verified  $S_- f_{-n} = f_{n+1}$ , for  $n \geq 0$ .

We proceed to prove  $S_- f_n = c_- f_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ ; actually we will determine the value of  $c_-$  explicitly. The support of the function  $S_- f_n$  is contained in

$$K \alpha^{-n} I_{1,K} \alpha \beta_K N'_{m_K} \subseteq K \alpha^{n-1} I_{1,K} \cup K \alpha^n I_{1,K},$$

where the inclusion follows from [Xu17, Lemma 3.7 (1), (3)]. We conclude that  $S_- f_n \in \langle f_{-(n-1)}, f_n \rangle$  by Proposition 4.1 and [Xu16, Remark 3.8]. We compute:

$$S_- f_n(\alpha^{n-1}) = \sum_{u' \in N'_{m_K} / N'_{m_{K+1}}} f_n(\alpha^{n-1} u' \alpha \beta_K) = \sum_{u' \in N'_{m_K} / N'_{m_{K+1}}} v_0 = 0.$$

It remains to compute  $S_- f_n(\alpha^{-n})$ :

$$S_- f_n(\alpha^{-n}) = \sum_{u' \in N'_{m_K}/N'_{m_K+1}} f_n(\alpha^{-n} u' \alpha \beta_K).$$

Note that  $\alpha^{-n} u' \alpha \beta_K \in K \alpha^{-n-1} I_{1,K}$  for  $u' \in N'_{m_K+1}$ , and we are reduced to

$$S_- f_n(\alpha^{-n}) = \sum_{u' \in (N'_{m_K} \setminus N'_{m_K+1})/N'_{m_K+1}} f_n(\alpha^{-n} u' \alpha \beta_K)$$

For a  $u' = n'(*, \varpi_E^{m_K} t)$  for some  $t \in \mathfrak{o}_E^\times$ , we have (using [Xu16, (1)])

$$\alpha^{-n} u' \alpha \beta_K = n(*, \varpi_E^{2n-1+n_K} t^{-1}) h(\bar{t}^{-1}) \alpha^{-n} n'(*, \varpi_E^{m_K} t^{-1}).$$

Thus, we immediately get:

$$S_- f_n(\alpha^{-n}) = \left( \sum_{(x,t) \in L_{q^{4-t_K}}^\times} \chi_\sigma(h(t)) \right) \beta_K v_0,$$

here we have identified the group  $N'_{m_K}/N'_{m_K+1}$  with  $L_{q^{4-t_K}}$ , via the map  $L_{m_K}$  in the introduction.

Hence, we get

$$c_- = \sum_{(x,t) \in L_{q^{4-t_K}}^\times} \chi_\sigma(h(t)).$$

We move to deal with the last statement:  $S_K f_{-n} = d_n f_{-n}$ , for  $n \geq 0$ .

The support of the function  $S_K f_{-n}$  is contained in

$$K \alpha^n I_{1,K} \beta_K N_{n_K} \subseteq K \alpha^n K$$

By [Xu16, Remark 3.8], we get:

when  $n = 0$ ,  $S_K f_0 \in \langle f_0 \rangle$ ;

when  $n > 0$ ,  $S_K f_{-n} \in \langle f_{-n}, f_n \rangle$ .

We consider the second case at first. Assume  $n > 0$ . We compute:

$$S_K f_{-n}(\alpha^{-n}) = \sum_{u \in N_{n_K}/N_{n_K+1}} f_{-n}(\alpha^{-n} u \beta_K) = \sum_{u \in N_{n_K}/N_{n_K+1}} \beta_K v_0 = 0.$$

Next, we compute  $S_K f_{-n}(\alpha^n)$ :

$$S_K f_{-n}(\alpha^n) = \sum_{u \in N_{n_K}/N_{n_K+1}} f_{-n}(\alpha^n u \beta_K).$$

Note that  $\alpha^n u \beta_K \in K \alpha^{-n} N'_{m_K}$ , for  $u \in N_{n_K+1}$ . We are thus reduced to:

$$S_K f_{-n}(\alpha^n) = \sum_{u \in (N_{n_K} \setminus N_{n_K+1})/N_{n_K+1}} f_{-n}(\alpha^n u \beta_K).$$

For  $u = n(*, \varpi_E^{n_K} t)$ , for some  $t \in \mathfrak{o}_E^\times$ , we have (using [Xu16, (1)]):

$$\alpha^n u \beta_K = n'(*, \varpi_E^{2n-1+m_K} t^{-1}) h(t) \alpha^n n(*, \varpi_E^{n_K} t^{-1}).$$

Thus, we get

$$S_K f_{-n}(\alpha^n) = \left( \sum_{(x,t) \in L_{q^{t_K}}^\times} \chi_\sigma(h(t)) \right) v_0,$$

here we have identified the group  $N_{n_K}/N_{n_K+1}$  with  $L_{q^{t_K}}$ , via the map  $L_{n_K}$  in the introduction. Hence, we get:

$$d_n = \sum_{(x,t) \in L_{q^{t_K}}^\times} \chi_\sigma((h(t)))$$

**Remark 4.3.** *The exact values of  $c_-$  and  $d_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) depend on the nature of the character  $\chi_\sigma$ , and they have already been computed explicitly in [KX15, Appendix A]. Note that  $c_-$  is the same sum we have used in subsection 2.3.*

We still need to compute the constant  $d_0$  appearing in  $S_K f_0 = d_0 f_0$ . By definition, the constant  $d_0$  is determined by

$$\sum_{u \in N_{n_K}/N_{n_K+1}} u \beta_K v_0 = d_0 v_0. \quad (1)$$

We recall some stuff from [KX15, section 5]:

1). (Definition 5.2 of *loc.cit*)

To any character  $\chi$  of  $H_0/H_1$ , a subset  $J_K(\chi) \subset \{s\}$  is attached.

2). (Definition 5.3 of *loc.cit*)

For any subset  $J \subset J_K(\chi)$ , one defines a character  $M_{\chi,J}$  of the finite Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma_K} := \text{End}_{\Gamma_K}(\text{Ind}_{\mathbb{U}}^{\Gamma_K} 1)$ .

3). (Proposition 5.4 of *loc.cit*)

Every simple module of the algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma_K}$  is isomorphic to  $M_{\chi,J}$  for some character  $\chi$  of  $H_0/H_1$  and some  $J \subset J_K(\chi)$ .

4). (Proposition 5.5 of *loc.cit*)

The functor  $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma^{\mathbb{U}}$  gives a bijection of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of  $\Gamma_K$  and isomorphism classes of simple right  $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma_K}$ -modules.

By 4) above, we write our  $\sigma$  as  $\sigma_{\chi_\sigma, J}$  such that:

$$\sigma^{\mathbb{U}} \cong M_{\chi_\sigma, J},$$

for some  $J \subset J_K(\chi_\sigma)$ . Then, by comparing (1) and the right action of  $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma_K}$  ([KX15, 3.1, (1)]) on  $\sigma^{\mathbb{U}}$ , we see immediately that

$$d_0 = M_{\chi_\sigma, J}(T_{\beta_K}),$$

where  $T_{\beta_K}$  is the Hecke operator in  $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma_K} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}(I_{1,K}, 1)$  which corresponds to the double coset  $I_{1,K} \beta_K I_{1,K}$ . By the identification in [KX15, Proposition 5.7], our statement for the value of  $d_0$  now follows from the lists in Definition 5.3 of *loc.cit*:

$$d_0 = \begin{cases} -\chi_\sigma(h(\mathfrak{t})), & \text{if } \sigma \cong \text{ a twist of the Steinberg weight} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Here, we note that the element  $\beta_K$  is different from a normalized one (i.e., with determinant 1) used in [KX15] by exactly the diagonal matrix  $h(\mathfrak{t})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** *Our argument for the value of  $d_0$  in the Proposition is nearly formal and works for any  $\sigma$ . But we point out:*

- 1). *It is trivial to see  $d_0 = 0$  if  $\sigma$  is a character.*
- 2). *In the only case that  $d_0$  is non-zero, i.e.,  $\sigma$  is a twist of the Steinberg weight, we can work it out by hand without referring to [KX15].*

## 5 $\overline{f_1} \neq 0$ in the degenerate case

When the weight  $\sigma$  is *degenerate*, the Hecke operator  $T_\sigma$  is different from  $T$  (Definition 2.4). Because of that, we may verify with ease that the image of the function  $f_1$  in a supersingular  $\pi$  is non-zero.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Assume  $\sigma$  is degenerate, and  $\pi$  is an irreducible quotient of  $\text{ind}_K^G \sigma / (T_\sigma)$ . Then, we have  $\overline{f_1} \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that when  $\sigma$  is degenerate, we have

$$T_\sigma = T + \chi_\sigma(h(\mathfrak{t})).$$

By the assumption, the image of the function  $T_\sigma f_0$  in  $\pi$  is zero. By [Xu16, Proposition 3.6], we have

$$T_\sigma f_0 = f_{-1} + \lambda_{\beta_K, \sigma} f_1 + \chi_\sigma(h(\mathfrak{t})) f_0,$$

where  $\lambda_{\beta_K, \sigma}$  is some constant ([Xu17, Remark 2.1]). If the image of  $f_1$  in  $\pi$  is zero, it is the same for the function  $f_{-1}$ , as by definitions (or by (1) of Proposition 4.2) we have:

$$f_{-1} = S_K f_1.$$

In all, if  $\overline{f_1} = 0$ , then we get  $\overline{\chi_\sigma(h(\mathfrak{t})) f_0} = 0$ , i.e.,  $\overline{f_0} = 0$ , as  $\chi_\sigma(h(\mathfrak{t})) \neq 0$ . We get a contradiction, as the function  $f_0$  generates  $\text{ind}_K^G \sigma$ , and its image in the irreducible representation  $\pi$  can not be zero.  $\square$

**Remark 5.2.** *We have indeed verified that the image of  $f_1$  is non-zero in any irreducible quotient of  $\text{ind}_K^G \sigma / (T - \lambda)$  with  $\lambda \neq 0$ .*

**Remark 5.3.** *For a supersingular representation  $\pi$  containing a regular weight  $\sigma$ , we believe  $\overline{f_1}$  is still non-zero in  $\pi$ . But it seems challenging (to the author) to prove it at this stage.*

## 6 Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.3

**Theorem 6.1.** *Assume  $\sigma$  is the Steinberg weight. Then Conjecture 3.2 holds.*

*Proof.* Assume Conjecture 3.2 fails, and there is a non-zero  $c \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  such that:

the image  $\overline{f(c)}$  of the function  $f(c) := f_0 + c \cdot f_1$  in  $\pi$  is zero.

Consider the function  $f'(c) := S_K f(c)$ , which by Proposition 4.2 is equal to

$$-f_0 + c \cdot f_{-1},$$

where we note that  $d_0 = -1$  in the current case.

Next, we consider the function  $f''(c) := S_- f'(c)$ , which, by Proposition 4.2 again, is equal to

$$-f_1 + c \cdot f_2$$

Now, by [Xu16, Corollary 3.11], we have  $Tf_1 = f_2$ <sup>3</sup>. Recall that in the current case  $T_\sigma = T + 1$  (Definition 2.4). Putting all these together, we see

$$f''(c) = (-c - 1)f_1 + c \cdot (T + 1)f_1$$

As  $\overline{f''(c)} = \overline{(T + 1)f_1} = 0$ , we get

$$\overline{(-c - 1)f_1} = 0.$$

Thus, we must have  $c = -1$ , as  $\overline{f_1} \neq 0$  (Proposition 5.1).

Now we return to consider the function  $S_- f(-1)$ , which, by Proposition 4.2, is equal to

$$f_1 + f_1 = 2f_1,$$

where we note that in the current case  $c_-$  is clearly equal to  $-1$ . We then get a contradiction, as  $\overline{2f_1} \neq 0$  in  $\pi$  ( $p \neq 2$ !).  $\square$

**Remark 6.2.** *Note that in Theorem 6.1 we don't put any restriction on the field  $F$ , e.g., it is not necessary to be characteristic 0. But we do have used the assumption  $p \neq 2$  !*

---

<sup>3</sup>Recall we have proved in *loc.cit* that  $Tf_m = c_m f_m + f_{m+1}$  for any  $m \geq 1$ , even the value of the constant  $c_m$  is not recorded explicitly there: it is zero if  $\dim_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \sigma > 1$  (using [Xu17, Remark 2.1, Lemma 3.7]); when  $\sigma$  is a character, it is equal to  $\sum_{(x,t) \in L_{q^{4-t}K}^\times} \chi_\sigma(h(t))$  (Remark 2.3).

**Remark 6.3.** By Theorem 6.1, Theorem 1.1 follows immediately. As the kernel of any character of  $G$  contains the group  $I_{1,K}$ , Theorem 1.1 can be slightly extended to any supersingular representation of  $G$  that contains a twist of the Steinberg weight by a character extendable to  $G$ .

**Remark 6.4.** We remark briefly the cases beyond Theorem 6.1:

a). For a supersingular representation  $\pi$  containing a regular weight  $\sigma$ , we can also prove  $\overline{f_0}$  and  $\overline{f_1}$  are not proportional in  $\pi$ , if we **know**  $\overline{f_1} \neq 0$  in  $\pi$ .

b). It seems the strategy we use to prove Theorem 6.1 might not simply work for a weight like **the trivial character** of  $K$ . But it seems one may still be able to conclude an analogue of Theorem 1.1 for the trivial weight case from Theorem 1.1, by **changing of weight** in this setting (see [Her11a, Example 6.14], or [Sch14, Corollary 2.17]).

**Corollary 6.5.** Let  $\pi$  be a supersingular representation of  $G$  containing the Steinberg weight of  $K$ . Then, the space  $\pi^{I_{1,K}}$  as a right module over  $\mathcal{H}(I_{1,K}, 1)$ , is **not** simple.

*Proof.* As simple modules of the pro- $p$ -Iwahori–Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(I_{1,K}, 1)$  are finite dimensional, for our purpose we may assume<sup>4</sup>  $\pi$  is admissible, that is  $\dim \pi^{I_{1,K}} < \infty$ . By [KX15, 4.2], any supersingular module of  $\mathcal{H}(I_{1,K}, 1)$  is a character. Thanks to Ollivier–Vignéras [OV17, Theorem 5.3, Remark 5.2 (e)], we know the pro- $p$ -Iwahori invariants of an admissible supersingular representation only admits supersingular subquotients. Now the corollary follows from Theorem 6.1.  $\square$

## 7 Appendix A: some remarks on $GL_2(F)$

In this appendix, we point out that an analogue of Conjecture 3.2 is true for  $GL_2(F)$ , due to Barthel–Livné. *Our notations in this appendix are independent from other parts of this paper.*

Let  $F$  be a non-archimedean local field, with ring of integers  $\mathfrak{o}_F$  and maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_F$ , and let  $k_F$  be its residue field of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $G = GL_2(F)$ ,  $K = GL_2(\mathfrak{o}_F)$  the maximal compact open subgroup of  $G$ ,  $Z = F^\times$  the center of  $G$ . Let  $I$  be the standard Iwahori subgroup of  $G$ , and  $I_1$  be the pro- $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $I$ . Let  $K_1$  be the first congruence subgroup of  $K$ , so that  $K/K_1 \cong GL_2(k_F)$ . Fix a uniformizer  $\varpi$  in  $F$ . Denote by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively the following two matrices:

<sup>4</sup>We would like to thank Karol Koziol for pointing out this.



$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Put  $\gamma = \alpha\beta$ . Note that  $\gamma^2 = \varpi I_2$  and  $\gamma$  normalizes  $I_1$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be an irreducible representation of  $GL_2(k_F)$  over  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ , inflated to  $K = GL_2(\mathfrak{o}_F)$  via the isomorphism  $K/K_1 \cong GL_2(k_F)$ . Conversely, any irreducible smooth representation of  $K$  over  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$  arises from such an inflation. Nowadays, such a representation  $\sigma$  is usually called a *weight* of  $GL_2(k_F)$  or  $K$ . We extend  $\sigma$  to a representation of  $KZ$  by requiring  $\varpi$  acts trivially.

An irreducible smooth  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representation of  $G$  is called *supersingular* if it is a quotient of  $\text{ind}_{KZ}^G \sigma / (T)$ , for a weight  $\sigma$  of  $K$ , and for certain Hecke operator  $T$  in the spherical Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(KZ, \sigma)$  ([BL94, 3.1]).

Fix a non-zero vector  $v_0 \in \sigma^{I_1}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\psi_n$  be the function in  $(\text{ind}_{KZ}^G \sigma)^{I_1}$ , supported on  $KZ\alpha^{-n}I_1$ , such that

$$\psi_n(\alpha^{-n}) = \begin{cases} \beta \cdot v_0, & n > 0, \\ v_0 & n \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 7.1.** *Up to a scalar, the functions  $\psi_n$  defined above coincide that in [BL94, section 4]. One can simply check*

$$\psi_1 = \gamma \cdot \psi_0$$

Now we are ready to state the following analogue of Conjecture 3.2 for  $GL_2(F)$ , due to Barthel–Livné.

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let  $\sigma$  be a weight of  $K$ . Then, the images of  $\psi_0$  and  $\psi_1$  in any irreducible quotient of  $\text{ind}_{KZ}^G \sigma / (T)$  are **not** proportional.*

*Proof.* The is indeed verified in the argument of [BL94, Lemma 35].  $\square$

**Remark 7.3.** *Note that we can not deduce an analogue of Corollary 6.5 from Theorem 7.2, as supersingular modules of  $GL_2(F)$  are all two-dimensional ([Vig04]). Note also that, when  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$ , a main input in [Bre03] is to prove the functions  $\psi_0$  and  $\psi_1$  together give a basis of the  $I_1$ -invariants of  $\text{ind}_{KZ}^G \sigma / (T)$ , which fails for **any** other  $F$ .*

## Acknowledgements

The germ underlying this paper generates when the author was a Research Associate at University of Warwick, and he would like to thank Warwick Mathematics Institute for providing an outstanding working environment. The author was supported by a Postdoc grant from Leverhulme Trust RPG-2014-106 and European Research Council project 669655.

## References

- [AHHV17] N. Abe, G. Henniart, F. Herzig, and M.-F. Vignéras, *A classification of irreducible admissible mod  $p$  representations of  $p$ -adic reductive groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (2017), no. 2, 495–559. MR 3600042
- [BH06] Colin J. Bushnell and Guy Henniart, *The local Langlands conjecture for  $GL(2)$* , Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 335, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. MR 2234120 (2007m:22013)
- [BL94] Laure Barthel and Ron Livné, *Irreducible modular representations of  $GL_2$  of a local field*, Duke Math. J. **75** (1994), no. 2, 261–292. MR 1290194 (95g:22030)
- [BL95] ———, *Modular representations of  $GL_2$  of a local field: the ordinary, unramified case*, J. Number Theory **55** (1995), no. 1, 1–27. MR 1361556 (96m:22036)
- [BP12] Christophe Breuil and Vytautas Paškūnas, *Towards a modulo  $p$  Langlands correspondence for  $GL_2$* , Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **216** (2012), no. 1016, vi+114. MR 2931521
- [Bre03] Christophe Breuil, *Sur quelques représentations modulaires et  $p$ -adiques de  $GL_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ . I*, Compositio Math. **138** (2003), no. 2, 165–188. MR 2018825 (2004k:11062)
- [CE04] Marc Cabanes and Michel Enguehard, *Representation theory of finite reductive groups*, New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004. MR 2057756 (2005g:20067)
- [EGS15] Matthew Emerton, Toby Gee, and David Savitt, *Lattices in the cohomology of Shimura curves*, Invent. Math. **200** (2015), no. 1, 1–96. MR 3323575
- [Her11a] Florian Herzig, *The classification of irreducible admissible mod  $p$  representations of a  $p$ -adic  $GL_n$* , Invent. Math. **186** (2011), no. 2, 373–434. MR 2845621
- [Her11b] ———, *A Satake isomorphism in characteristic  $p$* , Compos. Math. **147** (2011), no. 1, 263–283. MR 2771132

- [KX15] Karol Koziol and Peng Xu, *Hecke modules and supersingular representations of  $U(2, 1)$* , Representation Theory **19** (2015), 56–93. MR 3321473
- [OV17] Rachel Ollivier and Marie-France Vignéras, *Parabolic induction in characteristic  $p$* , <https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.04921>, Preprint, 2017.
- [Sch14] Michael M. Schein, *On the universal supersingular mod  $p$  representations of  $GL_2(F)$* , J. Number Theory **141** (2014), 242–277. MR 3195399
- [Vig04] Marie-France Vignéras, *Representations modulo  $p$  of the  $p$ -adic group  $GL(2, F)$* , Compos. Math. **140** (2004), no. 2, 333–358. MR 2027193 (2004m:22028)
- [Xu14] Peng Xu, *On the mod- $p$  representations of unramified  $U(2, 1)$* , PhD Thesis, University of East Anglia, 2014.
- [Xu16] ———, *Freeness of spherical Hecke modules of unramified  $U(2, 1)$  in characteristic  $p$* , <http://arxiv.org/abs/1605.00262>, Preprint, 2016.
- [Xu17] ———, *On certain Iwahori representation of unramified  $U(2, 1)$  in characteristic  $p$* , <https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.02674>, Preprint, 2017.
- [Xu18] ———, *Hecke eigenvalues in  $p$ -modular representations of unramified  $U(2, 1)$* , Preprint, 2018.

Einstein Institute of Mathematics, HUJI, Jerusalem, 9190401, Israel

*E-mail address:* Peng.Xu@mail.huji.ac.il