

THE BETTI SIDE OF THE DOUBLE SHUFFLE THEORY.

I. THE HARMONIC COPRODUCTS

BENJAMIN ENRIQUEZ AND HIDEKAZU FURUSHO

ABSTRACT. This paper is the first in a series which aims at: (a) giving a proof that the associator relations between multizeta values imply the double shuffle and regularization (DSR) ones, alternative to that of the second-named author’s 2010 paper; (b) enhancing Racinet’s construction of a torsor structure over the \mathbb{Q} -scheme of DSR relations to an explicit bitorsor structure.

In this paper, we revisit Racinet’s original DSR formalism, whose main character is an algebra coproduct, called the harmonic coproduct, and we introduce a variant which is a module coproduct; we explain the ‘de Rham’ nature of this formalism and construct a ‘Betti’ counterpart of it; we show how both formalisms can be interpreted in terms of geometry, following the ideas of Deligne and Terasoma’s unfinished 2005 preprint; we use Bar-Natan’s interpretation of associators as functors from the category of parenthesized braids to that of chord diagrams to show that any associator relates the Betti and de Rham geometric objects, both in the ‘algebraic’ and in the ‘module’ setups; we derive that any associator relates the Betti and de Rham algebra coproducts, as well as their module counterparts. These results will be used in the next parts of the series.

CONTENTS

Introduction	2
0.1. The context	2
0.2. The motivation and objectives of the series of papers	4
0.3. The contents of the present paper (part I of the series)	4
Part 1. Categorical preliminaries	5
Part 2. The de Rham and Betti frameworks of double shuffle theory	6
1. The de Rham framework of double shuffle theory	7
1.1. The algebras $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}, \mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}}$ and the module \mathcal{M}^{DR}	7
1.2. The coproducts $\Delta^{\mathcal{V},\text{DR}}, \Delta^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}}$ and $\Delta^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}}$	7
1.3. Completions	8
1.4. Γ -functions	8
1.5. Relation with double shuffle theory	8
2. The Betti framework of double shuffle theory	9
2.1. The algebras $\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}, \mathcal{W}^{\text{B}}$ and the module \mathcal{M}^{B}	9
2.2. Presentation of the algebra \mathcal{W}^{B}	11
2.3. The coproducts $\Delta^{\mathcal{V},\text{B}}, \Delta^{\mathcal{W},\text{B}}$ and $\Delta^{\mathcal{M},\text{B}}$	14
2.4. Filtrations and associated graded objects	15
2.5. Completions	21
3. Fake comparison isomorphisms	21
3.1. The algebra automorphisms $\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V},(1),\text{DR}}$ and $\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{W},(1),\text{DR}}$	21
3.2. The module automorphisms $\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V},(10),\text{DR}}$ and $\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{M},(10),\text{DR}}$	21
3.3. The isomorphisms $\text{comp}_{(\mu,\Phi)}^{\mathcal{V},(1)}$, $\text{comp}_{(\mu,\Phi)}^{\mathcal{W},(1)}$, $\text{comp}_{(\mu,\Phi)}^{\mathcal{V},(10)}$ and $\text{comp}_{(\mu,\Phi)}^{\mathcal{M},(10)}$	22
3.4. Relation with comparison isomorphisms	23

Date: March 7, 2021.

Part 3. Geometric interpretation of double shuffle theory	24
4. Algebraic preliminaries for part 3	24
4.1. Construction of algebra morphisms based on ideals with freeness properties	25
4.2. Construction of algebra morphisms based on morphisms to matrix algebras	26
5. Infinitesimal braid Lie algebras	26
5.1. Material on infinitesimal braid Lie algebras	27
5.2. Constructions related to an ideal of Up_5	28
6. Geometric interpretation of the de Rham harmonic coproducts	32
6.1. Localizations	32
6.2. Relationship between infinitesimal braid Lie algebras and $\Delta^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}}$	33
6.3. Relationship between infinitesimal braid Lie algebras and $\Delta^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}}$	34
6.4. Completions (commutativities of (A7) in (10.8.4) and (M5) in (11.6.1))	36
7. Braid groups	36
7.1. Material on braid groups	37
7.2. Algebraic constructions related to an ideal of $\mathbf{k}P_5^*$	42
8. Geometric interpretation of the Betti harmonic coproducts	47
8.1. Localizations	47
8.2. Relationship between braid groups and $\Delta^{\mathcal{W},\text{B}}$	48
8.3. Relationship between braid groups and $\Delta^{\mathcal{M},\text{B}}$	52
8.4. Completions (commutativities of (A1) in (10.8.4) and (M1) in (11.6.1))	54
Part 4. Associators and harmonic coproducts	55
9. Associators and comparison isomorphisms	55
9.1. The set $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k})$ of associators	55
9.2. Γ -functions	56
9.3. Functors arising from associators	57
9.4. Relations in B_4	61
9.5. Computations of images of \tilde{x}_{i5} under $\text{comp}_{(\mu,\Phi)}^{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}$	61
9.6. Computations of images of \tilde{x}_{i5} under $\text{comp}_{(\mu,\Phi)}^{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}$	64
9.7. Definition of the matrices $P_{(\mu,\Phi)}$, $Q_{(\mu,\Phi)}$ and $\overline{P}_{(\mu,\Phi)}$, $\overline{Q}_{(\mu,\Phi)}$	68
9.8. Computation of $P_{(\mu,\Phi)}$ and $\overline{P}_{(\mu,\Phi)}$	68
9.9. Computation of $Q_{(\mu,\Phi)}$ and $\overline{Q}_{(\mu,\Phi)}$	70
10. Associators and harmonic algebra coproducts	73
10.1. Commutative diagram relating $\hat{\ell}$ and $\hat{\bar{\ell}}$	73
10.2. Commutative diagram relating $M_3(\text{pr}_{12}^\wedge)$ and $M_3(\underline{\text{pr}}_{12}^\wedge)$	74
10.3. Commutative diagram relating $\hat{\omega}$ and $\hat{\bar{\omega}}$	76
10.4. Commutative diagram relating $\hat{\rho}$ and $\hat{\bar{\rho}}$ ((A3) in (10.8.4))	76
10.5. Relationship between col_1 , $\underline{\text{col}}_1$ and $\overline{P}_{(\mu,\Phi)}$	77
10.6. Relationship between row_1 , $\underline{\text{row}}_1$ and $\overline{P}_{(\mu,\Phi)}$	77
10.7. Commutative diagram relating $\text{row}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \text{col}_1$ and $\underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_1$ ((A4) in (10.8.4))	79
10.8. Associators and harmonic algebra coproducts	79
11. Associators and harmonic module coproducts	81
11.1. Localized versions of comparison isomorphisms	81
11.2. Definition of the matrix $R_{(\mu,\Phi)}$ and commutativity of (M3) in (11.6.1)	83
11.3. Computation of $R_{(\mu,\Phi)}$ in terms of $\overline{Q}_{(\mu,\Phi)}$	83
11.4. Relationship between col_0 , $\underline{\text{col}}_0$ and $R_{(\mu,\Phi)}$	84
11.5. Commutative diagram relating $\text{row}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \text{col}_0$ and $\underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_0$ ((M4) in (11.6.1))	86
11.6. Associators and harmonic module coproducts	87
Index	89
References	91

INTRODUCTION

0.1. The context.

0.1.1. *The bitorsor of mixed Tate motives over \mathbb{Z} .* [DeG] contains the construction of a \mathbb{Q} -linear neutral Tannakian category $\mathcal{T} := \text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of mixed Tate motives over \mathbb{Z} , equipped with ‘Betti’ and ‘de Rham’ fiber functors $\omega_{\text{B}}, \omega_{\text{DR}} : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ to the category of finite dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces. It gives rise to a \mathbb{Q} -scheme $\text{Isom}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\otimes}(\omega_{\text{B}}, \omega_{\text{DR}}) : \mathbf{k} \mapsto \text{Isom}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\otimes}(\omega_{\text{B}} \otimes \mathbf{k}, \omega_{\text{DR}} \otimes \mathbf{k})$, and to ‘motivic Galois’ \mathbb{Q} -group schemes $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\otimes}(\omega_{?}) : \mathbf{k} \mapsto \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\otimes}(\omega_X \otimes \mathbf{k})$, for $? \in \{\text{B}, \text{DR}\}$ (denoted $G^?$ in [DeG]), \mathbf{k} running over \mathbb{Q} -algebras. Then $\text{Isom}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\otimes}(\omega_{\text{B}}, \omega_{\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k})$ is equipped with commuting free and transitive actions of $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\otimes}(\omega_{\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k})$ from the left, and of $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\otimes}(\omega_{\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ from the right.

The triple formed by this set and these two groups is a *bitorsor*, meaning that the set is equipped with commuting left and right, free and transitive actions of the groups, and the assignment of the triple to \mathbf{k} is then a *bitorsor \mathbb{Q} -scheme*.

The category \mathcal{T} is closely connected with the family of real numbers known as multiple zeta values (MZVs), which are given by

$$(0.1.1) \quad \forall s \geq 1, k_1 \geq 1, \dots, k_{s-1} \geq 1, k_s \geq 2, \quad \zeta(k_1, \dots, k_s) = \sum_{0 < n_1 < \dots < n_s} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1} \dots n_s^{k_s}};$$

it follows from their integral expressions that these numbers, as well as¹ $2\pi i$, are periods of mixed Tate motives.

0.1.2. *The bitorsor of associators.* Combining [Dr] and [LeM], one can exhibit a family of algebraic relations satisfied by the MZVs and $2\pi i$, the ‘associator relations’. The corresponding \mathbb{Q} -scheme is the scheme² of associators M ; it is equipped with commuting free and transitive actions of affine \mathbb{Q} -group schemes GT and GRT (the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group scheme and its graded version) from the left and from the right, so that M is a bitorsor \mathbb{Q} -scheme.

In [An], it is explained that there is a bitorsor \mathbb{Q} -scheme morphism $\text{Isom}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\otimes}(\omega_{\text{B}}, \omega_{\text{DR}}) \rightarrow \text{M}$, i.e., a morphism between these \mathbb{Q} -schemes, together with compatible \mathbb{Q} -group scheme morphisms $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\otimes}(\omega_{\text{B}}) \rightarrow \text{GT}$ and $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\otimes}(\omega_{\text{DR}}) \rightarrow \text{GRT}$.

0.1.3. *The double shuffle torsor.* In [IKZ, R] (see also [G]), another family of algebraic relations satisfied by MZVs and $2\pi i$ was exhibited, the ‘double shuffle and regularization’ (DSR) relations. The corresponding \mathbb{Q} -scheme was constructed in [R]: it is a functor³ $\text{DMR}^{\text{DR}, \text{B}} := (\mathbf{k} \mapsto \sqcup_{\mu \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}} \{\mu\} \times \text{DMR}_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}))$, which is equipped with a free and transitive left action of a \mathbb{Q} -group scheme $\text{DMR}^{\text{DR}} := (\mathbf{k} \mapsto \mathbf{k}^{\times} \times (\text{DMR}_0(\mathbf{k}), \otimes))$, therefore with a torsor \mathbb{Q} -scheme structure. An interpretation of DMR^{DR} in terms of a stabilizer group was obtained in [EF0].

0.1.4. *Relations between the associator and double shuffle schemes.* The unfinished preprint [DeT], based on the ideas of Deligne’s letter to Racinet (April 21, 2001), contains an attempt at

¹We set $i := \sqrt{-1}$.

²An affine \mathbb{Q} -scheme (resp., \mathbb{Q} -group scheme) X can be identified with a representable functor from the category of \mathbb{Q} -algebras to that of sets (resp. groups), denoted $\mathbf{k} \mapsto X(\mathbf{k})$, for \mathbf{k} running over \mathbb{Q} -algebras.

³ DMR stands for the French ‘double mélange et régularisation’.

constructing a \mathbb{Q} -scheme inclusion $M \hookrightarrow \mathrm{DMR}^{\mathrm{DR},\mathrm{B}}$, using constructions involving the categories of perverse sheaves over the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{0,4}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{0,5}$.

In [F3], a \mathbb{Q} -scheme inclusion $M \hookrightarrow \mathrm{DMR}^{\mathrm{DR},\mathrm{B}}$ was constructed; a compatible \mathbb{Q} -group scheme inclusion $\mathrm{GRT}^{\mathrm{op}} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{DMR}^{\mathrm{DR}}$ can be constructed, so that this \mathbb{Q} -scheme inclusion can be upgraded to a \mathbb{Q} -torsor inclusion. This work is based on the construction, based on bar-complex techniques and the combinatorics of multiple polylogarithms, of explicit linear forms on the algebra $U(\mathfrak{p}_5)$, in which the associator relations take place, and on study of the interaction of these linear forms with the associator relations.

0.2. The motivation and objectives of the series of papers. The main motivation of this series of papers are (1) to gain a better understanding of the inclusion $M \subset \mathrm{DMR}^{\mathrm{DR},\mathrm{B}}$, in particular whether it is an equality (2) making explicit the bitorsor structure of $\mathrm{DMR}^{\mathrm{DR},\mathrm{B}}$. In order to reach (1), it seems useful to obtain a conceptual interpretation of this inclusion.

The objectives of the series of papers are therefore: (a) to extend the interpretation of the group $\mathrm{DMR}^{\mathrm{DR}}$ in terms of a stabilizer ([EF0]) to the torsor $\mathrm{DMR}^{\mathrm{DR},\mathrm{B}}$, for which the ideas of [DeT] will prove to be useful; (b) to give a proof of the inclusion $M \hookrightarrow \mathrm{DMR}^{\mathrm{DR},\mathrm{B}}$ based on this interpretation and on the interpretation of M obtained in [BN]; (c) to enhance the torsor structure of $\mathrm{DMR}^{\mathrm{DR},\mathrm{B}}$ into a bitorsor structure.

The material of the series is distributed as follows: the present part I is preparational; part II ([EF2]) will be devoted to objectives (a) and (b), and part III ([EF3]) to objective (c).

0.3. The contents of the present paper (part I of the series). After treating categorical and topological preliminaries (Part 1), we revisit the DSR formalism and construct its Betti counterpart (Part 2); interpret both formalisms in terms of braids and moduli spaces (Part 3); and derive the compatibility of their main constituents with associators (Part 4). The corresponding compatibility statements are Theorem 10.9 (compatibility of harmonic algebra coproducts with associators) and Theorem 11.13 (compatibility of harmonic module coproducts with associators). These statements will play a key role in the next papers of the series and should be viewed as the main results of the present paper. The necessary material for understanding their formulation is to be found in: §§9.1 and 9.2 (associators and their Γ -functions), §§1.1 and 1.3 (definitions of the algebra $\hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\mathrm{DR}}$ and of the module $\hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathrm{DR}}$), §§1.2 and 1.3 (definitions of the de Rham harmonic algebra coproduct $\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\mathrm{DR}}$ and of its module version $\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\mathrm{DR}}$), §§2.1 and 2.5 (definitions of the algebra $\hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\mathrm{B}}$ and of the module $\hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathrm{B}}$), §§2.3 and 2.5 (definitions of the Betti harmonic coproduct $\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\mathrm{B}}$ and of its module version $\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\mathrm{B}}$), and §3.3 (definitions of the algebra isomorphism $\mathrm{comp}_{(\mu,\Phi)}^{\mathcal{W},(1)}$ and of the module isomorphism $\mathrm{comp}_{(\mu,\Phi)}^{\mathcal{M},(10)}$).

0.3.1. Conventions. Throughout the paper, \mathbf{k} is a commutative and associative \mathbb{Q} -algebra. By a \mathbf{k} -algebra we mean a \mathbf{k} -module, equipped with a \mathbf{k} -bilinear associative product; a \mathbf{k} -algebra is called unital if it contains a (necessarily unique) unity element. We denote by A^\times the group

of invertible elements of an associative unital \mathbf{k} -algebra A . For $u \in A^\times$, we denote by $\text{Ad}(u)$ the inner automorphism of A induced by u , so $\text{Ad}(u)(a) = uau^{-1}$ for $a \in A$. If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism of \mathbf{k} -algebras, if M, N are respectively an A -module and a B -module, then a \mathbf{k} -module morphism $g : M \rightarrow N$ is said to be *compatible with f* iff $g(am) = f(a)g(m)$ for any $a \in A, m \in M$.

0.3.2. *Acknowledgements.* The collaboration of both authors has been supported by grants JSPS KAKENHI JP15KK0159 and JP18H01110.

Part 1. Categorical preliminaries

In this section, we introduce various symmetric monoidal categories of \mathbf{k} -modules and functors relating them. This material will be used through the rest of the paper.

Definition 0.1. (1) $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr}}$ is the category where objects are \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathbf{k} -modules and where morphisms are \mathbf{k} -module morphisms of degree 0;

(2) $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil}}$ is the category where objects are pairs $(M, i \mapsto F^i M)$, where M is a \mathbf{k} -module and $i \mapsto F^i M$ is a map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{k}\text{-submodules of } M\}$, which is decreasing (i.e. $F^i M \supset F^{i+1} M$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$), and where the set of morphisms from $(M, i \mapsto F^i M)$ to $(N, i \mapsto F^i N)$ is the set of \mathbf{k} -module morphisms $f : M \rightarrow N$, which are compatible with the filtrations on both sides. We denote by $F^i f : F^i M \rightarrow F^i N$ the induced \mathbf{k} -module map corresponding to $i \in \mathbb{Z}$;

(3) $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr},+}$ is the full subcategory of $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr}}$ of bounded below \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathbf{k} -modules, i.e. of modules $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$, such that there exists $i(M) \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $M_i = 0$ for $i < i(M)$;

(4) $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+}$ is the full subcategory of $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil}}$ of pairs $(M, i \mapsto F^i M)$, such that there exists $i(M) \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $F^{i(M)} M = M$;

(5) $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{top}}$ is the full subcategory of $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+}$ of pairs $(M, i \mapsto F^i M)$, such that the map $M \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow i} M/F^i M$ is a \mathbf{k} -module isomorphism, i.e. M is complete for the topology defined by $i \mapsto F^i M$.

Functors. There are tautological functors to each category from each of its full subcategories. We will denote some of these functors as follows $\text{taut}_{\text{top}}^{\text{fil},+} : \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{top}} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+}$ and $\text{taut}_{\text{gr},+}^{\text{fil},+} : \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr},+} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+}$.

There is also an ‘associated graded’ functor $\text{gr} : \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil}} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr}}$, which takes $(M, i \mapsto F^i M)$ to $\text{gr}(M) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{gr}_i(M)$, where $\text{gr}_i(M) := F^i M / F^{i+1} M$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. It induces a functor $\text{gr} : \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr},+}$.

For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are functors $F^\alpha : \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil}} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+}$ and $(-)_{\geq \alpha} : \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr}} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr},+}$, defined by $F^\alpha(M, i \mapsto F^i M) := (F^\alpha M, i \mapsto F^i M \cap F^\alpha M)$, and $M_{\geq \alpha} := \bigoplus_{i \geq \alpha} M_i$ for $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$.

Finally, there is a ‘completion’ functor $(-)^{\wedge} : \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{top}}$, given by $(M, i \mapsto F^i M) \mapsto (\varprojlim_j M/F^j M, i \mapsto \varprojlim_j F^i M/F^j M)$; it gives rise to a functor $(-)^{\wedge} \circ \text{taut}_{\text{gr},+}^{\text{fil},+} : \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr},+} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{top}}$.

A diagram of all these functors and categories is as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr}} & \xrightarrow{(-)^{\geq 0}} & \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr},+} & & \\
 \text{gr} \uparrow & & \text{gr} \downarrow \text{taut}_{\text{gr},+}^{\text{fil},+} & & \\
 \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil}} & \xrightarrow{F^0} & \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+} & \xrightleftharpoons[\text{taut}_{\text{top}}^{\text{fil},+}]{(-)^{\wedge}} & \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{top}}
 \end{array}$$

Lemma 0.2. 1) The functor $(-)^{\wedge} \circ \text{taut}_{\text{gr},+}^{\text{fil},+}(f) : \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr},+} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{top}}$ is exact.

2) If f is a morphism in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+}$ such that the morphism $\text{gr}(f)$ in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr},+}$ is injective, then the morphism \hat{f} in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{top}}$ is injective.

Proof. 1) Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr},+}$. Then for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, its degree k component $0 \rightarrow A_k \rightarrow B_k \rightarrow C_k \rightarrow 0$ is exact. The image of $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ by $(-)^{\wedge} \circ \text{taut}_{\text{gr},+}^{\text{fil},+}$ is the sequence $0 \rightarrow (\oplus_{k < 0} A_k) \oplus (\prod_{k \geq 0} A_k) \rightarrow (\oplus_{k < 0} B_k) \oplus (\prod_{k \geq 0} B_k) \rightarrow (\oplus_{k < 0} C_k) \oplus (\prod_{k \geq 0} C_k) \rightarrow 0$, which is then exact.

2) Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+}$ with $\text{gr}(f)$ injective. Let $i_0 := \min(i(M), i(N))$. For any $k \geq i_0$, the map $f_{\leq k} : M/F^k M \rightarrow N/F^k N$ induced by f is compatible with the filtrations on both sides induced by the images of $F^i M$ and $F^i N$, $i \leq k$. The associated graded map is $\oplus_{i=i_0}^{k-1} \text{gr}_i(f)$, which is injective. Therefore $f_{\leq k}$ is injective. So \hat{f} is injective as well. \square

Symmetric monoidal structures. Each of the categories from Definition 0.1, (1)-(4), is equipped with a symmetric monoidal structure, induced at the level of objects by the tensor product of \mathbf{k} -modules. The category $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{top}}$ is also equipped with a symmetric monoidal structure arising from the completed tensor product $(M, i \mapsto F^i M) \hat{\otimes} (N, i \mapsto F^i N) := (\varprojlim_j (M \otimes N)/F^j (M \otimes N), i \mapsto \varprojlim_j F^i (M \otimes N)/F^j (M \otimes N))$. For X, Y objects in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{top}}$, one has therefore $X \hat{\otimes} Y = (\text{taut}_{\text{top}}^{\text{fil},+}(X) \otimes \text{taut}_{\text{top}}^{\text{fil},+}(Y))^{\wedge}$. The functors in the previous paragraphs are then all monoidal.

Part 2. The de Rham and Betti frameworks of double shuffle theory

In this part, we recall from [R] the DSR formalism and complement it by the introduction of a harmonic module coproduct $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}, \text{DR}}$ (§1). We construct a variant of this formalism, where its basic ingredient, the free algebra in two generators, is replaced by the group algebra of the free group in the same number of generators (§2). We then construct families of isomorphisms relating the various ingredients of the formalism of §1 with their counterparts of §2 (§3). The formalism of §1 (resp. §2) is called ‘de Rham’ (resp. ‘Betti’) for reasons explained in §3.4.

1. THE DE RHAM FRAMEWORK OF DOUBLE SHUFFLE THEORY

We recall from [R] the basic formalism of double shuffle theory. Its main ingredients are: \mathbf{k} -bialgebras $(\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}, \Delta^{\mathcal{V}, \text{DR}})$ and $(\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}}, \Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}})$, a \mathbf{k} -coalgebra $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}, \Delta^{\mathcal{M}, \text{DR}})$, related by an algebra inclusion $\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}$ and a \mathcal{V}^{DR} -module structure on \mathcal{M}^{DR} , inducing a free rank one \mathcal{W}^{DR} -module structure on \mathcal{M}^{DR} , compatible with the coproducts; completions of all these structures; and a “ Γ -function” map $\mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[[t]]$, where the source is the set of group-like elements of the completion of $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$.

We introduce the algebra and module structures in §1.1, the coproducts in §1.2, the completions in §1.3, the Γ -function map in §1.4. We explain the relation with the formalism of [R] in §1.5.

1.1. The algebras \mathcal{V}^{DR} , \mathcal{W}^{DR} and the module \mathcal{M}^{DR} . Let \mathfrak{f}_2 be the free \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathbf{k} -Lie algebra over generators e_0 and e_1 of degree 1 and let

$$\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} := U\mathfrak{f}_2$$

be its enveloping algebra; it is a \mathbb{Z} -graded algebra whose components of degree < 0 vanish, therefore an algebra in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr},+}$.

Then

$$\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}} := \mathbf{k} \oplus (U\mathfrak{f}_2)e_1 \subset U\mathfrak{f}_2 = \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}$$

is a \mathbb{Z} -graded subalgebra of \mathcal{V}^{DR} , hence a subalgebra of it in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr},+}$.

The quotient \mathbf{k} -module

$$\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}} := \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} / \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}e_0$$

is an object in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr},+}$; it is a left module over \mathcal{V}^{DR} , and by restriction also over \mathcal{W}^{DR} . Denote by 1_{DR} the class of $1 \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}$ in \mathcal{M}^{DR} . The map

$$(1.1.1) \quad (-) \cdot 1_{\text{DR}} : \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}, \quad a \mapsto a \cdot 1_{\text{DR}}$$

is a surjective morphism in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr},+}$, and its kernel is equal to $(U\mathfrak{f}_2)e_0$. It follows from the direct sum decomposition $U\mathfrak{f}_2 = \mathbf{k} \oplus (U\mathfrak{f}_2)e_0 \oplus (U\mathfrak{f}_2)e_1$ that \mathcal{M}^{DR} is free of rank one as a \mathcal{W}^{DR} -module, generated by 1_{DR} . We denote by

$$(1.1.2) \quad \mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}, \quad a \mapsto a \cdot 1_{\text{DR}}$$

the corresponding isomorphism of \mathcal{W}^{DR} -modules.

1.2. The coproducts $\Delta^{\mathcal{V}, \text{DR}}$, $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}}$ and $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}, \text{DR}}$. We denote by $\Delta^{\mathcal{V}, \text{DR}} : \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}$ the enveloping algebra coproduct; it is determined by the conditions that e_0, e_1 are primitive.

One shows that \mathcal{W}^{DR} is freely generated by the elements $y_n := -e_0^{n-1}e_1$, where $n \geq 1$. One denotes by

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}} : \mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}$$

the algebra morphism determined by

$$(1.2.1) \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad \Delta^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}}(y_n) = y_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} y_k \otimes y_{n-k};$$

it equips \mathcal{W}^{DR} with a Hopf algebra structure.

We denote by

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}} : \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}$$

the \mathbf{k} -module morphism determined by the conditions that $\Delta^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}}(1_{\text{DR}}) = (1_{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}$, and that it is compatible with the module structures on both sides and with the algebra morphism $\Delta^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}}$. Then $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}, \Delta^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})$ is a cocommutative and coassociative coalgebra.

Remark 1.1. As a Hopf algebra, $(\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}}, \Delta^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}})$ is isomorphic to the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}^{\text{DR}})$ of the free Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}^{DR} with generators $(u_k)_{k \geq 1}$, the isomorphism being given by $\mathfrak{g}^{\text{DR}}[[t]] \ni \sum_{k \geq 1} t^k u_k \mapsto \log(1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} t^k y_k) \in \mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}}[[t]]$.

1.3. Completions. The pairs $(\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}, \Delta^{\mathcal{V},\text{DR}})$, $(\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}}, \Delta^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}})$ (resp. $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}, \Delta^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})$) are Hopf algebras (resp. is a coalgebra) in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr},+}$ (see Definition 0.1). Their images by the functor $(-)^{\wedge} \circ \text{taut}_{\text{gr},+}^{\text{fil},+} : \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr},+} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{top}}$ (see §1) are topological Hopf algebras $(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V},\text{DR}})$ and $(\hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{DR}}, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}})$, and a topological coalgebra $(\hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{DR}}, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})$; the topological \mathbf{k} -module $\hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{DR}}$ is then a free rank 1 module over the topological \mathbf{k} -algebra $\hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{DR}}$.

1.4. Γ -functions. Define a map

$$\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[[t]]^{\times}, \quad g \mapsto \Gamma_g,$$

where

$$(1.4.1) \quad \Gamma_g(t) := \exp\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} (g|e_0^{n-1}e_1)t^n\right) \in \mathbf{k}[[t]]^{\times}$$

and where $g \mapsto ((g|w))_w$ is the map $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{\{\text{words in } e_0, e_1\}}$ such that the identity $g = \sum_w (g|w)w$ holds.

1.5. Relation with double shuffle theory. In order to explain how the above material is used in the theory of double shuffle relations between the MZVs given by (0.1.1), we introduce the notation $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{DR}}$, $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{DR}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{DR}}$) for the spaces $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$, $\hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{DR}}$ (resp. \mathcal{V}^{DR}) when $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$ (resp. $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{Q}$).

The series $\varphi_{\text{KZ}} \in \mathbb{C}\langle\langle A, B \rangle\rangle^{\times}$ from §2 in [Dr] can be viewed as an element of $(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{DR}})^{\times}$ via the isomorphism given by $A \mapsto e_0$, $B \mapsto e_1$. It is a generating series for the MZVs, namely

$$\varphi_{\text{KZ}} = 1 + \sum_{m, k_1, \dots, k_m > 0, k_1 > 1} (-1)^m \zeta(k_1, \dots, k_m) w(k_1, \dots, k_m),$$

where $w(k_1, \dots, k_m)$ is an explicit element in $e_0^{k_1-1}e_1 \cdots e_0^{k_m-1}e_1 + e_1 \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{DR}} + \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{DR}}e_0$ (see [LeM] and [F1], Proposition 3.2.3).

The double shuffle relations between MZVs can be formulated as follows (see [R]):

- (1) φ_{KZ} is group-like for the coproduct $\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V},\text{DR}}$;
 (2) $(\Gamma_{\varphi_{\text{KZ}}}^{-1}(-e_1) \cdot \varphi_{\text{KZ}}) \cdot 1_{\text{DR}} \in \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{DR}}$ is group-like for the coproduct $\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}}$.

The correspondence between the present formalism and that of [R] is as follows.

this paper	e_0, e_1	$\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}, \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$	$\Delta^{\mathcal{V},\text{DR}}, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V},\text{DR}}$	$\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}}, \hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{DR}}$	$\Delta^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}}, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}}$
[R]	$x_0, -x_1$	$\mathbf{k}\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle,$ $\mathbf{k}\langle\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle\rangle$	$\Delta, \hat{\Delta}$	$\mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle x_1,$ degree completion	$\Delta_*, \hat{\Delta}_*$
$\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}, \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{DR}}$		$\Delta^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}}, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}}$		$(-) \cdot 1_{\text{DR}} : \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}, (-) \cdot 1_{\text{DR}} : \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{DR}}$	
$\mathbf{k}\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle / \mathbf{k}\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle x_0,$ degree completion		$\Delta_*, \hat{\Delta}_*$		$\pi_Y : \mathbf{k}\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle / \mathbf{k}\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle x_0,$ degree completion	

2. THE BETTI FRAMEWORK OF DOUBLE SHUFFLE THEORY

We construct a Betti version of the double shuffle formalism from §1. The analogues of \mathcal{V}^{DR} , \mathcal{W}^{DR} and \mathcal{M}^{DR} are a pair of filtered \mathbf{k} -algebras \mathcal{V}^{B} , \mathcal{W}^{B} , and a filtered \mathbf{k} -module \mathcal{M}^{B} (§2.1). An algebra presentation of \mathcal{W}^{B} is established in §2.2, and used in §2.3 to define a Hopf algebra coproduct $\Delta^{\mathcal{W},\text{B}}$ on \mathcal{W}^{B} as well as a coassociative coproduct $\Delta^{\mathcal{M},\text{B}}$ on \mathcal{M}^{B} ; a Hopf algebra coproduct $\Delta^{\mathcal{V},\text{B}}$ on \mathcal{V}^{B} is also defined. In §2.4, we show the compatibility of the coproducts with the filtrations, and we relate the resulting associated graded objects with the material of §1. We define the corresponding topological Hopf algebras and coassociative coalgebra in §2.5.

2.1. The algebras \mathcal{V}^{B} , \mathcal{W}^{B} and the module \mathcal{M}^{B} . Let F_2 be the free group with generators X_0, X_1 . Let

$$\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}} := \mathbf{k}F_2$$

be its group algebra. It is equipped with a decreasing algebra filtration $\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}} = F^0\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}} \supset F^1\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}} \supset \dots$, where for $i \geq 0$, $F^i\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}$ is the i -th power of the augmentation ideal \mathcal{V}_+^{B} of $\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}} = \mathbf{k}F_2$. Then

$$\mathcal{W}^{\text{B}} := \mathbf{k} \oplus \mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}(X_1 - 1) \subset \mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}$$

is a subalgebra of \mathcal{V}^{B} ; it is equipped with the induced filtration given by

$$\forall i \geq 0, \quad F^i\mathcal{W}^{\text{B}} := F^i\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}} \cap \mathcal{W}^{\text{B}}.$$

Then \mathcal{V}^{B} is an algebra in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+}$ and \mathcal{W}^{B} is a subalgebra.

The quotient \mathbf{k} -module

$$\mathcal{M}^{\text{B}} := \mathcal{V}^{\text{B}} / \mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}(X_0 - 1)$$

is a left module over \mathcal{V}^{B} , and by restriction over \mathcal{W}^{B} . Let 1_{B} be the class of $1 \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}$ in \mathcal{M}^{B} .

The map

$$(-) \cdot 1_{\text{B}} : \mathcal{V}^{\text{B}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\text{B}}, \quad a \mapsto a \cdot 1_{\text{B}}$$

is surjective, with kernel $(\mathbf{k}F_2)(X_0 - 1)$. The \mathbf{k} -module \mathcal{M}^{B} is equipped with a decreasing filtration given by $F^i\mathcal{M}^{\text{B}} := (F^i\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}) \cdot 1_{\text{B}}$ for $i \geq 0$, compatible with the filtrations of \mathcal{V}^{B} and \mathcal{W}^{B} . Therefore \mathcal{M}^{B} is a \mathcal{V}^{B} -module and a \mathcal{W}^{B} -module in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+}$.

Lemma 2.1 (Proposition 6.2.6 in [Wei]). *Let G be the free group over generators g_1, \dots, g_n , let $\mathbb{Z}G$ be its group algebra with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} and let $(\mathbb{Z}G)_0$ be the augmentation ideal of this algebra. Then $(\mathbb{Z}G)_0$ is freely generated, as a left $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module, by the family $(g_1 - 1, \dots, g_n - 1)$.*

The proof in [Wei] relies on the following statement (not explicitly stated there)

$$\forall N \geq 1, \quad \#\{g \in G \mid g \neq 1, \ell(g) < N\} = \#\{(g, i) \in G \times \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket \mid \ell(g) < N, \ell(gg_i) < N\}$$

which may be proved by counting arguments. Here is an alternative proof of this lemma.

Alternative proof of Lemma 2.1. There is a unique morphism of left $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules

$$a : (\mathbb{Z}G)^{\oplus n} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}G)_0, \quad (f_1, \dots, f_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(g_i - 1).$$

It follows from [Fox], equation (2.3) (see also the argument in Proposition 6.2.6 from [Wei]) that the map a is surjective.

The injectivity of a may then be established in two ways.

(First proof of injectivity of a .) In [Fox], endomorphisms $\frac{\partial}{\partial g_i}$ ($i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$) of the \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{Z}G$ are constructed. These endomorphisms are characterized by the following properties: $\frac{\partial}{\partial g_i}(1) = 0$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial g_i}(g_j) = \delta_{ij}$ ($j \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$), and $\frac{\partial}{\partial g_i}(uv) = u \frac{\partial}{\partial g_i}(v) + \frac{\partial}{\partial g_i}(u)\epsilon(v)$ for u, v in $\mathbb{Z}G$, where $\epsilon : \mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the counit map (augmentation). These properties imply the identities $\frac{\partial}{\partial g_i}(f(g_j - 1)) = \delta_{ij}f$ for $f \in \mathbb{Z}G$, $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$. As a consequence, the \mathbb{Z} -module map

$$b : (\mathbb{Z}G)_0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}G)^{\oplus n}, \quad f \mapsto \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial g_1} f, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial g_n} f \right)$$

is such that $b \circ a = \text{id}$. It follows that a is injective and therefore an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules.

(Second proof of injectivity of a .) Let $\mathbb{Z}\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle$ be the ring of formal series in noncommutative variables x_1, \dots, x_n , with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} . The group morphism $G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle^\times$ given by $g_i \mapsto 1 + x_i$ for $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$ gives rise to an algebra morphism $r : \mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle$, which is injective (see [Bbk], Ch. 2, Sec. 5, no. 3, Thm. 1). The map $r \circ a : \mathbb{Z}G^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle$ is given by $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n r(f_i)x_i$ and is therefore equal to the composed map $\mathbb{Z}G^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{r^{\oplus n}} \mathbb{Z}\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle$, where φ is given by $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i$. This map is injective, as is $r^{\oplus n}$, which implies that $r \circ a$ is injective, showing the injectivity of a . \square

Lemma 2.1 implies the direct sum decomposition

$$(2.1.1) \quad \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} = \mathbf{k}1 \oplus \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}(X_1 - 1) \oplus \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}(X_0 - 1) = \mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}} \oplus \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}(X_0 - 1),$$

which implies that $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{B}}$ is free of rank one as a $\mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}}$ -module, generated by the class $1_{\mathbb{B}}$ of $1 \in \mathbf{k}F_2$. We denote by

$$(2.1.2) \quad \mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{B}}, \quad a \mapsto a \cdot 1_{\mathbb{B}}$$

the corresponding isomorphism of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}}$ -modules.

2.2. **Presentation of the algebra $\mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}}$.** Set for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\xi_k^+ := X_0^k(X_1 - 1), \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_k^- := X_0^k(X_1^{-1} - 1).$$

These are elements of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}} \subset \mathbf{k}F_2$.

Lemma 2.2. *The algebra $\mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}}$ is the algebra whose generators are $(\xi_k^+)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(\xi_k^-)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, and relations are*

$$(2.2.1) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \xi_k^+ \xi_0^- = \xi_k^- \xi_0^+ = -\xi_k^+ - \xi_k^-.$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be the associative non-commutative algebra generated by $(\xi_k^+)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(\xi_k^-)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ divided by the two-sided ideal generated by the relation given in (2.2.1).

One checks that there is an algebra morphism

$$f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}F_2(X_1 - 1) = \mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}},$$

uniquely determined by

$$\xi_k^+ \mapsto X_0^k(X_1 - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_k^- \mapsto X_0^k(X_1^{-1} - 1).$$

We will prove that f is an algebra isomorphism.

Let us first prove that f is surjective. For this, it will suffice to prove that for any word w in $X_0^{\pm 1}, X_1^{\pm 1}$, there exists a polynomial without constant term P_w over a set of noncommutative variables $\{(\tilde{\xi}_k^+)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \tilde{\xi}_0^-\}$ indexed by $\mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{0\}$, such that

$$w \cdot (X_1 - 1) = P_w((\xi_k^+)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \xi_0^-).$$

We argue by induction on the length $|w|$ of w . If $w = 1$, then $P_w = \tilde{\xi}_0^+$. Assume the statement for $|w| < n$ and let w be a word of length n . Then $w = gw'$, where $g \in \{X_0^{\pm 1}, X_1^{\pm 1}\}$ and w' has length $n - 1$. If $g = X_1^{\pm 1}$, then

$$w \cdot (X_1 - 1) = X_1^{\pm 1} w' (X_1 - 1) = P_w((\xi_k^+)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \xi_0^-),$$

where $P_w := (1 + \tilde{\xi}_0^{\pm})P_{w'}$. If $g = X_0^{\pm 1}$, then

$$w \cdot (X_1 - 1) = X_0^{\pm 1} w' (X_1 - 1) = P_w((\xi_k^+)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \xi_0^-),$$

where we set

$$P_w := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\xi}_{k \pm 1}^+ (P_{w'})_k^+ - \tilde{\xi}_{\pm 1}^+ (1 + \tilde{\xi}_0^-) (P_{w'})_0^-,$$

in which the expressions $(P_{w'})_k^+$ and $(P_{w'})_0^-$ have the following meaning: for P a polynomial in the noncommutative variables $(\tilde{\xi}_k^+)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \tilde{\xi}_0^-$ without constant term, $(P)_k^+$ and $(P)_0^-$ are the polynomials in the same variables such that

$$P = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\xi}_k^+ (P)_k^+ + \tilde{\xi}_0^- (P)_0^-.$$

This proves that $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}F_2(X_1 - 1)$ is surjective.

To prove that f is injective, we will:

- a) equip the vector space $\mathcal{A}[t^{\pm 1}]$ with an algebra structure $*$, such that $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[t^{\pm 1}]$, $a \mapsto a \otimes 1$ is an algebra morphism;
- b) construct an algebra isomorphism $F : \mathcal{A}[t^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}F_2$;
- c) check that F extends f , in the sense that the following diagram commutes

$$(2.2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}F_2(X_1 - 1) \\ \downarrow -\otimes 1 & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}[t^{\pm 1}] & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbf{k}F_2 \end{array}$$

The above diagram implies that f is injective, which finally implies that it is an isomorphism.

(a) Construction of an algebra structure on $\mathcal{A}[t^{\pm 1}]$. Let $\mathbf{k}\langle(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\rangle_+$ be the ideal of $\mathbf{k}\langle(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\rangle$ generated by the generators $(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$. We have a direct sum decomposition $\mathbf{k}\langle(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\rangle = \mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}\langle(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\rangle_+$. Left multiplication induces a linear isomorphism

$$(\oplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbf{k}\tilde{\xi}_k^+ \oplus \mathbf{k}\tilde{\xi}_k^-)) \otimes \mathbf{k}\langle(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{k}\langle(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\rangle_+,$$

therefore there is a linear automorphism T of $\mathbf{k}\langle(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\rangle_+$, uniquely determined by

$$(2.2.3) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall a \in \mathbf{k}\langle(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\rangle, \quad T(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm} a) = \tilde{\xi}_{k+1}^{\pm} a.$$

Let I be the two-sided ideal of $\mathbf{k}\langle(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\rangle$ generated by (2.2.1). Then $I \subset \mathbf{k}\langle(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\rangle_+$. Set $\mathcal{A}^+ := \mathbf{k}\langle(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\rangle_+ / I$, then

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{k} \oplus \mathcal{A}^+.$$

Let $V \subset \mathbf{k}\langle(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\rangle_+$ be the linear span of all $\tilde{\xi}_k^+ \tilde{\xi}_0^- + \tilde{\xi}_k^+ + \tilde{\xi}_k^-$ and $\tilde{\xi}_k^- \tilde{\xi}_0^+ + \tilde{\xi}_k^+ + \tilde{\xi}_k^-$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$I = V \cdot \mathbf{k}\langle(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\rangle + \mathbf{k}\langle(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\rangle_+ \cdot V \cdot \mathbf{k}\langle(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\rangle.$$

It follows from the definition of T that $T^{\pm 1}$ maps the subspace $\mathbf{k}\langle(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\rangle_+ \cdot V \cdot \mathbf{k}\langle(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\rangle$ to itself. Moreover, for any $a \in \mathbf{k}\langle(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\rangle$, one has

$$T^{\pm 1}((\tilde{\xi}_k^+ \tilde{\xi}_0^- + \tilde{\xi}_k^+ + \tilde{\xi}_k^-)a) = (\tilde{\xi}_{k\pm 1}^+ \tilde{\xi}_0^- + \tilde{\xi}_{k\pm 1}^+ + \tilde{\xi}_{k\pm 1}^-)a, \quad T^{\pm 1}((\tilde{\xi}_k^- \tilde{\xi}_0^+ + \tilde{\xi}_k^+ + \tilde{\xi}_k^-)a) = (\tilde{\xi}_{k\pm 1}^- \tilde{\xi}_0^+ + \tilde{\xi}_{k\pm 1}^+ + \tilde{\xi}_{k\pm 1}^-)a,$$

which implies that $T^{\pm 1}$ maps $V \cdot \mathbf{k}\langle(\tilde{\xi}_k^{\pm})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\rangle$ to itself. All this implies that $T^{\pm 1}(I) \subset I$, so $T(I) = I$. It follows that T induces a linear automorphism of \mathcal{A}^+ . Relation (2.2.3) then implies:

$$(2.2.4) \quad \text{for } P, P' \in \mathcal{A}^+ \text{ and } a \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ we have } T^a(PP') = T^a(P)P'.$$

We now define a bilinear map

$$* : \mathcal{A}[t^{\pm 1}]^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[t^{\pm 1}]$$

as follows:

- 1) for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(1 \otimes t^a) * (1 \otimes t^b) = 1 \otimes t^{a+b}$;
- 2) for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $P \in \mathcal{A}^+$,

$$(1 \otimes t^a) * (P \otimes t^b) := T^a(P) \otimes t^b, \quad (P \otimes t^a) * (1 \otimes t^b) := P \otimes t^{a+b},$$

3) for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}^+$,

$$(P \otimes t^a) * (Q \otimes t^b) := (P \cdot T^a(Q)) \otimes t^b,$$

where \cdot is the product in \mathcal{A}^+ .

It then follows from a case analysis, using (2.2.4), that

(2.2.5) the product $*$ defines an associative algebra structure on $\mathcal{A}[t^{\pm 1}]$.

(b) Construction of an algebra isomorphism $(\mathcal{A}[t^{\pm 1}], *) \simeq \mathbf{k}F_2$. The elements $1 \otimes t$ and $1 \otimes t^{-1}$ of $(\mathcal{A}[t^{\pm 1}], *)$ are mutually inverse; so are the elements $1 + \xi_0^+$ and $1 + \xi_0^-$ of \mathcal{A} , and therefore the elements $(1 + \xi_0^+) \otimes 1$ and $(1 + \xi_0^-) \otimes 1$ of $\mathcal{A}[t^{\pm 1}]$. All this implies that there is an algebra morphism

$$G : \mathbf{k}F_2 \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}[t^{\pm 1}], *)$$

uniquely determined by

$$X_0^{\pm 1} \mapsto 1 \otimes t^{\pm 1}, \quad X_1^{\pm 1} \mapsto (1 + \xi_0^{\pm}) \otimes 1.$$

One checks that the algebra homomorphism $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}F_2(X_1 - 1)$ restricts to a morphism of algebras without unit

$$f^+ : \mathcal{A}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{k}F_2(X_1 - 1),$$

and that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}^+ & \xrightarrow{f^+} & \mathbf{k}F_2(X_1 - 1) \\ T \downarrow & & \downarrow X_0 \cdot - \\ \mathcal{A}^+ & \xrightarrow{f^+} & \mathbf{k}F_2(X_1 - 1) \end{array}$$

Define now a linear map

$$F : \mathcal{A}[t^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}F_2$$

by

$$1 \otimes t^a \mapsto X_0^a, \quad P \otimes t^b \mapsto f^+(P)X_0^b$$

for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $P \in \mathcal{A}^+$. Then:

- 1) for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $F(1 \otimes t^a)F(1 \otimes t^b) = X_0^a X_0^b = X_0^{a+b} = F(1 \otimes t^{a+b}) = F((1 \otimes t^a) * (1 \otimes t^b))$;
- 2) for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $P \in \mathcal{A}^+$,

$$F(1 \otimes t^a)F(P \otimes 1) = X_0^a f^+(P) = f^+(T^a(P)) = F(T^a(P) \otimes 1) = F((1 \otimes t^a) * (P \otimes 1)),$$

$$F(P \otimes 1)F(1 \otimes t^a) = f^+(P)X_0^a = F(P \otimes t^a) = F((P \otimes 1) * (1 \otimes t^a));$$

- 3) for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} F(P \otimes t^a)F(Q \otimes t^b) &= f^+(P)X_0^a f^+(Q)X_0^b = f^+(P)f^+(T^a(Q))X_0^b \\ &= f^+(PT^a(Q))X_0^b = F(PT^a(Q) \otimes t^b) = F((P \otimes t^a) * (Q \otimes t^b)). \end{aligned}$$

All this implies that F is an algebra morphism $\mathcal{A}[t^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}F_2$. Let us now show that the algebra morphisms F, G are mutually inverse.

We have

$$F \circ G(X_0^{\pm 1}) = F(1 \otimes t^{\pm 1}) = X_0^{\pm 1},$$

$$F \circ G(X_1^{\pm 1}) = F((1 + \xi_0^{\pm}) \otimes 1) = 1 + F(\xi_0^{\pm} \otimes 1) = 1 + f^+(\xi_0^{\pm}) = 1 + (X_1^{\pm 1} - 1) = X_1^{\pm 1},$$

so $F \circ G = \text{id}_{\mathbf{k}F_2}$.

Similarly, $G \circ F$ is an algebra endomorphism of $(\mathcal{A}[t^{\pm 1}], *)$.

Given the structure of $*$, a collection of generators of this algebra is given by $\{g \otimes 1, 1 \otimes t^{\pm 1}\}$, where g runs over a collection of algebraic generators of \mathcal{A} , so such a collection of algebraic generators is $\{(\xi_k^{\pm} \otimes 1)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, 1 \otimes t^{\pm 1}\}$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} G \circ F(\xi_k^{\pm} \otimes 1) &= G(f^+(\xi_k^{\pm})) = G(X_0^k (X_1^{\pm 1} - 1)) = G(X_0)^{*k} * (G(X_1^{\pm 1}) - 1) \\ &= (1 \otimes t^{\pm 1})^{*k} * (\xi_0^{\pm} \otimes 1) = \xi_k^{\pm} \otimes 1, \end{aligned}$$

so $G \circ F = \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}[t^{\pm 1}]}$.

(c) Diagram involving f and F . The commutation of (2.2.2) follows from the definition of F . \square

Lemma 2.2 leads to the following presentation:

Proposition 2.3. *The associative \mathbf{k} -algebra \mathcal{W}^{B} is generated by the unity element 1 and the elements*

$$(2.2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} Y_n^+ &:= (X_0 - 1)^{n-1} X_0 (1 - X_1) & (n > 0), \\ Y_n^- &:= (X_0^{-1} - 1)^{n-1} X_0^{-1} (1 - X_1^{-1}) & (n > 0), \\ X_1 &\text{ and } X_1^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

with defining relations

$$(2.2.7) \quad X_1 X_1^{-1} = X_1^{-1} X_1 = 1.$$

Proof. By the presentations in the previous lemma, we have $\xi_k^- = -\xi_k^+(1 + \xi_0^-)$ and $\xi_k^+ = -\xi_k^-(1 + \xi_0^+)$, from which we can deduce that \mathcal{A} is generated by ξ_k^+ and ξ_{-k}^- for $k \geq 0$ with defining equation (2.2.1) only for $k = 0$.

It is immediate to see that $(Y_n^+)_{n>0}$ (resp. $(Y_n^-)_{n>0}$) can be expressed by linear combinations of $(\xi_n^+)_{n>0}$ (resp. $(\xi_n^-)_{n>0}$) and vice versa and that the equation (2.2.1) for $k = 0$ is equivalent to (2.2.7), from which our claim follows. \square

2.3. The coproducts $\Delta^{\mathcal{V}, \text{B}}, \Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \text{B}}$ and $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}, \text{B}}$. We denote by $\Delta^{\mathcal{V}, \text{B}} : \mathcal{V}^{\text{B}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2}$ the group algebra coproduct; it is determined by the condition that the elements $X_0^{\pm 1}, X_1^{\pm 1}$ are group-like.

Proposition 2.4. *There is a unique algebra morphism*

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \text{B}} : \mathcal{W}^{\text{B}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{W}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2}$$

such that

$$(2.3.1) \quad \Delta^{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{B}}(X_1^{\pm 1}) = X_1^{\pm 1} \otimes X_1^{\pm 1}, \quad \Delta^{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{B}}(Y_k^{\pm}) = Y_k^{\pm} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Y_k^{\pm} + \sum_{k', k'' > 0, k' + k'' = k} Y_{k'}^{\pm} \otimes Y_{k''}^{\pm} \quad \text{for any } k \geq 1.$$

It equips $\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{B}}$ with a cocommutative Hopf algebra structure with antipode given by $X_1^{\pm 1} \mapsto X_1^{\mp 1}$, $Y_k^{\pm} \mapsto \sum_{a \geq 1} (-1)^a \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_a = k} Y_{k_1}^{\pm} \dots Y_{k_a}^{\pm}$.

Proof. The relations between the generators (see Proposition 2.3) are obviously preserved, therefore $\Delta^{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{B}}$ is well-defined. One directly checks the cocommutativity and coassociativity of $\Delta^{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{B}}$. One also checks that for t a formal parameter, the series $1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} t^k Y_k^{\pm}$ are group-like. It follows that $\Delta^{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{B}}$ admits the announced antipode. \square

We denote by

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{M},\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2}$$

the \mathbf{k} -module morphism determined by the conditions that $\Delta^{\mathcal{M},\mathcal{B}}(1_{\mathcal{B}}) = (1_{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2}$, and that it is compatible with the module structures on both sides and with the algebra morphism $\Delta^{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{B}}$. Then $(\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}}, \Delta^{\mathcal{M},\mathcal{B}})$ is a cocommutative and coassociative coalgebra.

Remark 2.5. If \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra and Γ is a group acting on \mathfrak{g} by Lie algebra automorphisms, then the semidirect product $U(\mathfrak{g}) \rtimes \Gamma$ is equipped with a cocommutative Hopf algebra structure, defined by the conditions that the elements of \mathfrak{g} are primitive and the elements of Γ are group-like. The Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{B}}, \Delta^{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{B}})$ is isomorphic to $U(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathcal{B}}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, with $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathcal{B}}$ the free Lie algebra over generators $(U_k^{\pm,(\ell)})_{k \geq 1, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and the action of \mathbb{Z} on $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathcal{B}}$ being given by $1 \cdot U_k^{\pm,(\ell)} = U_k^{\pm,(\ell+1)}$. The isomorphism is given by $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathcal{B}}[[t]] \ni \sum_{k \geq 1} t^k U_k^{\pm,(\ell)} \mapsto X_1^{\ell} \cdot \log(1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} t^k Y_k^{\pm}) \cdot X_1^{-\ell} \in \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{B}}[[t]]$, $\mathbb{Z} \ni \pm 1 \mapsto X_1^{\pm 1} \in \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{B}}$.

Remark 2.6. The automorphism of $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}$ induced by $X_i \mapsto X_i^{-1}$, $i = 0, 1$ restricts to a Hopf algebra automorphism θ of $(\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{B}}, \Delta^{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{B}})$ such that $X_1^{\pm} \mapsto X_1^{\mp}$ and $Y_k^{\pm} \mapsto Y_k^{\mp}$ for $k > 0$.

2.4. Filtrations and associated graded objects.

2.4.1. *Associated graded object of $(\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}, \Delta^{\mathcal{V},\mathcal{B}})$.* Recall the decreasing algebra filtration of the algebra $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}$ (see §2.1). The associated graded algebra $\text{gr}(\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{gr}_n(\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} F^n \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}} / F^{n+1} \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}$ is generated in degree 1; according to [Bbk], chap. 2, §5, no. 4, Theorem 2, there is an isomorphism of graded algebras

$$\text{gr}(\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}, \quad \text{gr}_1(\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}) \ni [X_i - 1] \mapsto e_i \in (\text{degree 1 part of } \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}) \subset \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}.$$

The algebra morphism $\Delta^{\mathcal{V},\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2}$ is then compatible with the filtrations on both sides, and the associated graded algebra morphism coincides with $\Delta^{\mathcal{V},\text{DR}} : \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}$.

2.4.2. *Filtration on $(\mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}}, \Delta^{\mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}}})$ and associated graded object.* Recall the decreasing algebra filtration of the algebra $\mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}}$ (see §2.1).

Lemma 2.7. *For $n \geq 1$, one has*

$$F^n \mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}} = F^{n-1} \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \cdot (X_1 - 1)$$

(equality of subspaces of $\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}$).

Proof. Set $\underline{e}_i^{\pm} := X_i^{\pm 1} - 1 \in \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}$ for $i = 0, 1$. By (2.1.1), one has

$$(2.4.1) \quad \mathcal{V}_+^{\mathbb{B}} = \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \cdot \underline{e}_0^+ \oplus \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \cdot \underline{e}_1^+,$$

which implies

$$(2.4.2) \quad \forall n \geq 0, \quad F^n \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} = (\mathcal{V}_+^{\mathbb{B}})^n = \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n} \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \underline{e}_{a_1}^+ \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \dots \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \underline{e}_{a_n}^+.$$

Since $F^n \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}$ is a right ideal in $\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}$, one has $F^n \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} = F^n \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \cdot \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}$, which together with (2.4.2) implies

$$(2.4.3) \quad \forall n \geq 0, \quad F^n \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} = \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n} \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \underline{e}_{a_1}^+ \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \dots \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \underline{e}_{a_n}^+ \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}.$$

Let $n \geq 1$. (2.4.2) implies

$$F^n \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} = \sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\ \in \{0, 1\}^{n-1}}} \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \underline{e}_{a_1}^+ \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \dots \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \underline{e}_{a_{n-1}}^+ \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \underline{e}_0^+ + \sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\ \in \{0, 1\}^{n-1}}} \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \underline{e}_{a_1}^+ \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \dots \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \underline{e}_{a_{n-1}}^+ \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \underline{e}_1^+,$$

which together with (2.4.1) and (2.4.3) for n replaced by $n - 1$ implies

$$(2.4.4) \quad F^n \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} = 0 \oplus F^{n-1} \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \cdot \underline{e}_0^+ \oplus F^{n-1} \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \cdot \underline{e}_1^+ \subset \mathbf{k}1 \oplus \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \cdot \underline{e}_0^+ \oplus \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \cdot \underline{e}_1^+ = \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}.$$

As $F^n \mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}} = F^n \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \cap (\mathbf{k}1 \oplus 0 \oplus \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \cdot \underline{e}_1^+)$, one obtains $F^n \mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}} = F^{n-1} \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \cdot \underline{e}_1^+$ \square

Proposition 2.8. *The morphism of graded algebras $\text{gr}(\mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}}) \rightarrow \text{gr}(\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}})$ induced by the compatibility of the inclusion $\mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}} \subset \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}$ with the filtrations is injective. The image of $\text{gr}(\mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}})$ under the isomorphism $\text{gr}(\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}) \simeq \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}$ is equal to $\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}} \subset \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}$.*

Proof. The injectivity of the map $\text{gr}(\mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}}) \rightarrow \text{gr}(\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}})$ follows from the fact that the filtration of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}}$ is induced by that of $\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}$. We have $\mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}} = \mathbf{k} \oplus F^1 \mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}}$, which implies that

$$(2.4.5) \quad \text{gr}(\mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}}) = \mathbf{k}1 \oplus \text{gr}(F^1 \mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}}) \subset \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}},$$

where

$$\text{gr}(F^1 \mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}}) \subset \bigoplus_{n > 0} \mathcal{V}_n^{\text{DR}}.$$

The sequence of linear maps $\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}} \xrightarrow{-(X_1-1)} F^1 \mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}} \subset \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}$ is compatible with the filtrations whose n th steps are given by $F^n \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}$, $F^{n+1} \mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}}$, and $F^{n+1} \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}$. The associated graded sequence of maps $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} \simeq \text{gr}(\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}) \rightarrow \text{gr}(F^1 \mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{B}}) \rightarrow \text{gr}(\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}) \simeq \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}$ is given by $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}$, $x \mapsto x e_1$. It follows

from Lemma 2.7 that the first map $\text{gr}(\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}) \rightarrow \text{gr}(F^1\mathcal{W}^{\text{B}})$ is surjective. All this implies the identification $\text{gr}(F^1\mathcal{W}^{\text{B}}) \simeq \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}e_1$, which together with (2.4.5) implies the claim. \square

We now describe explicitly the filtration of \mathcal{W}^{B} . Set $\underline{e}_i^{\pm} := X_i^{\pm 1} - 1 \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}$ for $i = 0, 1$,

$$\forall a_+, a_- \geq 0, \quad \underline{y}_{a_+, a_-}^{\pm} := (\underline{e}_0^+)^{a_+} (\underline{e}_0^-)^{a_-} \underline{e}_1^{\pm} \in \mathcal{W}^{\text{B}},$$

and

$$\forall a \geq 1, \quad V_a := \text{Span}_{\mathbf{k}}(\underline{y}_{a_+, a_-}^{\epsilon} \mid a_+ + a_- \geq a - 1, \quad \epsilon \in \{+, -\}) \subset \mathcal{W}^{\text{B}}.$$

Lemma 2.9. 1) For $n \geq 1$, $F^n\mathcal{W}^{\text{B}}$ is equal to the sum $\sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_k \mid a_1 + \dots + a_k \geq n} V_{a_1} \cdots V_{a_k}$ of products (for the algebra structure of \mathcal{W}^{B}) of subspaces of \mathcal{W}^{B} .

2) $F^0\mathcal{W}^{\text{B}} = \mathcal{W}^{\text{B}} = \mathbf{k}1 \oplus F^1\mathcal{W}^{\text{B}}$.

Proof. One has $\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}} = \mathbf{k}1 \oplus F^1\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}$ and $\mathcal{W}^{\text{B}} \supset \mathbf{k}1$, which implies 2). Let $n \geq 1$. By Lemma 2.7, $F^n\mathcal{W}^{\text{B}} = F^{n-1}\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}} \cdot \underline{e}_1^+$. Since X_1 is invertible in \mathcal{V}^{B} , one has $\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}} \cdot X_1^{-1} = \mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}$, which together with (2.4.3) implies $F^{n-1}\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}} \cdot X_1^{-1} = F^{n-1}\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}$. All this together with $X_1^{-1} \cdot \underline{e}_1^+ = -\underline{e}_1^-$ implies

$$(2.4.6) \quad F^n\mathcal{W}^{\text{B}} = F^{n-1}\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}} \cdot \underline{e}_1^+ + F^{n-1}\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}} \cdot \underline{e}_1^-.$$

One has $\mathcal{V}_+^{\text{B}} = \sum_{s \geq 1} \sum_{((a_1, \epsilon_1), \dots, (a_s, \epsilon_s)) \in (\{0, 1\} \times \{+, -\})^s} \mathbf{k} \cdot \underline{e}_{a_1}^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \underline{e}_{a_s}^{\epsilon_s}$, therefore

$$\forall n \geq 0, \quad F^n\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}} = \sum_{s \geq n} \sum_{((a_1, \epsilon_1), \dots, (a_s, \epsilon_s)) \in (\{0, 1\} \times \{+, -\})^s} \mathbf{k} \cdot \underline{e}_{a_1}^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \underline{e}_{a_s}^{\epsilon_s},$$

which together with (2.4.6) implies

$$\forall n \geq 1, \quad F^n\mathcal{W}^{\text{B}} = \sum_{s \geq n} \sum_{((a_1, \epsilon_1), \dots, (a_{s-1}, \epsilon_{s-1})) \in (\{0, 1\} \times \{+, -\})^{s-1}, \epsilon_s \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{k} \cdot \underline{e}_{a_1}^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \underline{e}_{a_{s-1}}^{\epsilon_{s-1}} \underline{e}_1^{\epsilon_s},$$

The fact that \underline{e}_0^+ and \underline{e}_0^- commute implies that the right-hand side of this equality can be identified with the sum of subspaces announced in 1). \square

Define linear maps

$$\underline{\xi}^{\pm} : \mathbf{k}[t, t^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\text{B}}, \quad f \mapsto \underline{\xi}^{\pm}(f) := f(X_0)(X_1^{\pm 1} - 1).$$

Lemma 2.10. For $a \geq 1$, one has

$$V_a = \underline{\xi}^+(((t-1)^{a-1})) + \underline{\xi}^-(((t-1)^{a-1})) \subset \mathcal{W}^{\text{B}},$$

where $((t-1)^{a-1}) := (t-1)^{a-1}\mathbf{k}[t, t^{-1}] \subset \mathbf{k}[t, t^{-1}]$ is the ideal of $\mathbf{k}[t, t^{-1}]$ generated by $(t-1)^{a-1}$.

Proof. For $a', a'' \geq 0$, one has $\underline{y}_{a', a''}^{\pm} = \underline{\xi}^{\pm}((-t^{-1})^{a''} (t-1)^{a'+a''})$, therefore $V_a = \underline{\xi}^+(W_a) + \underline{\xi}^-(W_a)$, where

$$W_a := \text{Span}\{t^{-a''} (t-1)^{a'+a''} \mid a', a'' \geq 0, a' + a'' \geq a - 1\} \subset \mathbf{k}[t, t^{-1}].$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned} W_a &= (t-1)^{a-1} \cdot \left(\text{Span}(1, \dots, t^{1-a}) + (t-1)\text{Span}(1, \dots, t^{-a}) + (t-1)^2\text{Span}(1, \dots, t^{-a-1}) + \dots \right) \\ &= (t-1)^{a-1} \cdot \left(\text{Span}(1, \dots, t^{1-a}) + \text{Span}(t, t^{-a}) + \text{Span}(t^2, \dots, t^{-a-1}) + \dots \right) = ((t-1)^{a-1}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.11. *For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has*

$$(2.4.7) \quad \Delta^{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{B}}(X_0^k(1-X_1)) = X_0^k(1-X_1) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_0^k(1-X_1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} X_0^i(1-X_1) \otimes X_0^{k-i}(1-X_1),$$

(2.4.8)

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{B}}(X_0^k(1-X_1^{-1})) = X_0^k(1-X_1^{-1}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_0^k(1-X_1^{-1}) - \sum_{i=0}^k X_0^i(1-X_1^{-1}) \otimes X_0^{k-i}(1-X_1^{-1}),$$

where for A an abelian group, for $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A$ be a function and for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$(2.4.9) \quad \sum_{k=a}^b f(k) := \begin{cases} f(a) + \cdots + f(b) & \text{if } b \geq a, \\ 0 & \text{if } b = a - 1, \\ -f(a-1) - \cdots - f(b+1) & \text{if } b < a - 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let t be a formal parameter. As we have seen, the series $s_{\pm}(t) := 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} t^k Y_k^{\pm}$ are group-like for $\Delta^{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{B}}$. One computes these series as follows

$$s_{\pm}(t) = 1 + tX_0^{\pm 1} \{1 - t(X_0^{\pm 1} - 1)\}^{-1} (1 - X_1^{\pm 1}) = (1 + t - tX_0^{\pm 1})^{-1} (1 + t - tX_0^{\pm 1} X_1^{\pm 1}) = \tilde{s}_{\pm}(u),$$

where $u := t/(1+t)$ and $\tilde{s}_{\pm}(u) := (1 - uX_0^{\pm 1})^{-1} (1 - uX_0^{\pm 1} X_1^{\pm 1})$. It follows that the series $\tilde{s}_{\pm}(u)$ are group-like. Together with their expansions $\tilde{s}_{\pm}(u) = 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} u^k X_0^{\pm k} (1 - X_1^{\pm 1})$, this implies (2.4.7) for $k \geq 1$ and (2.4.8) for $k \leq -1$. Since $X_1^{\pm 1}$ are group-like, (2.4.7) for k is equivalent to (2.4.8) for $-k$, which implies (2.4.7) for $k \leq -1$ and (2.4.7) for $k \geq 1$. Finally, (2.4.7) and (2.4.8) for $k = 0$ are direct consequences of the fact that $X_1^{\pm 1}$ are group-like. □

Lemma-Definition 2.12. *The assignments $f \mapsto \frac{t'f(t'')-t''f(t')}{t'-t''}$, $f \mapsto \frac{t'f(t')-t''f(t'')}{t'-t''}$ define linear maps $\mathbf{k}[t, t^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[t', (t')^{-1}, t'', (t'')^{-1}]$. We denote by*

$$\text{Op}^{\pm} : \mathbf{k}[t, t^{-1}] \mapsto \mathbf{k}[t, t^{-1}]^{\otimes 2}$$

the composition of this linear map with the isomorphism of their target algebra with $\mathbf{k}[t, t^{-1}]^{\otimes 2}$ given by $t' \mapsto t \otimes 1$, $t'' \mapsto 1 \otimes t$.

Proof. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, the image of t^a by the first map is $-t't''(t'^{a-2} + \cdots + t''^{a-2})$ if $a \geq 1$, and $(t't'')^a(t'^{-a} + \cdots + t''^{-a})$ if $a \leq 0$, which implies the result in this case. The result in the second case follows from the identity $\forall f \in \mathbf{k}[t, t^{-1}], \text{Op}^+(f) + \text{Op}^-(f) = f \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes f$. □

Lemma 2.13. *The map $\Delta^{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2}$ is such that*

$$\forall f \in \mathbf{k}[t, t^{-1}], \quad \underline{\xi}^{\pm}(f) \mapsto \underline{\xi}^{\pm}(f) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \underline{\xi}^{\pm}(f) + (\underline{\xi}^{\pm})^{\otimes 2}(\text{Op}^{\pm}(f)).$$

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f := t^k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\xi}^+(f) &= X_0^k(X_1 - 1) \mapsto \underline{\xi}^+(f) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \underline{\xi}^+(f) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} X_0^{k-i}(X_1 - 1) \otimes X_0^i(X_1 - 1) = \underline{\xi}^+(f) \otimes 1 \\ &+ 1 \otimes \underline{\xi}^+(f) + (\underline{\xi}^+)^{\otimes 2} \left(- \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} t^{k-i} t''^i \right) = \underline{\xi}^+(f) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \underline{\xi}^+(f) + (\underline{\xi}^+)^{\otimes 2} \left(\frac{t'f(t'') - t''f(t')}{t' - t''} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies the result in the case of $\underline{\xi}^+$. The result for $\underline{\xi}^-$ follows from this, from $\underline{\xi}^-(f) = -\underline{\xi}^+(f)X_1^{-1}$, and from the relation between Op^+ and Op^- (see proof of Lemma 2.12). \square

Lemma 2.14. *For $n \geq 2$, each of the maps Op^\pm defined in Lemma-Definition 2.12 takes $((t-1)^{n-1})$ (see Lemma 2.10) to $\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} ((t-1)^{a-1}) \otimes ((t-1)^{n-a-1})$.*

Proof. Assume that $f \in ((t-1)^{n-1})$, that is $f(t) = (t-1)^{n-1}a(t)$, with $a(t) \in \mathbf{k}[t^{\pm 1}]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t'f(t'') - t''f(t')}{t' - t''} &= \frac{t'(t''-1)^{n-1}a(t'') - t''(t'-1)^{n-1}a(t')}{t' - t''} \\ &= (t''-1)^{n-1} \frac{t'a(t'') - t''a(t')}{t' - t''} + t''a(t') \frac{(t''-1)^{n-1} - (t'-1)^{n-1}}{t' - t''} \end{aligned}$$

The second term of the last line belongs to the announced space $\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} ((t-1)^{a-1}) \otimes ((t-1)^{n-a-1})$, while the first term belongs to $\mathbf{k}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes ((t-1)^{n-1})$, which is contained in this space. This proves the result in the case of Op^+ . This and the relation between Op^+ and Op^- (see proof of Lemma 2.12) imply the result in the case of Op^- . \square

Proposition 2.15. *The morphism $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{B}}$ is compatible with the filtration of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{B}}$, in other words, if $n \geq 0$, then $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{B}}(F^n \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{B}}) \subset \sum_{a, b \geq 0 | a+b=n} F^a \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{B}} \otimes F^b \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{B}}$.*

Proof. Lemmas 2.10, 2.13 and 2.14 imply that $\forall a \geq 1$, $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{B}}(V_a) \subset V_a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes V_a + \sum_{a', a'' \geq 1, a'+a''=a} V_{a'} \otimes V_{a''}$. The result then follows from Lemma 2.9. \square

Proposition 2.16. *The associated graded morphism of $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2}$ with respect to the filtrations of both sides identifies with $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}} : \mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}$ under the isomorphisms $\text{gr}(\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{B}}) \simeq \mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}}$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that the elements $y_n, n \geq 1$ generate \mathcal{W}^{DR} , from the second part of (2.3.1) for $\pm = +$, and from the fact that for $n \geq 1$, the class of $Y_n^+ = X_0(X_0 - 1)^{n-1}(1 - X_1) \in F^1 \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{B}}$ in $\text{gr}_1(\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{B}})$ is $e_0^{n-1} \cdot (-e_1) = y_n$. \square

2.4.3. *Associated graded object of $(\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}}, \Delta^{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}})$.* Recall the decreasing filtration $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}} = F^0 \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}} \supset F^1 \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}} \supset \dots$ on the \mathbf{k} -module $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}}$, where for $i \geq 0$, $F^i \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}} = F^i \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}} \cdot 1_{\mathcal{B}}$ (see §2.1).

Lemma 2.17. *For $i \geq 0$, one has $F^i \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}} = F^i \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{B}} \cdot 1_{\mathcal{B}}$.*

Proof. For $i \geq 1$, one has $F^i \mathcal{V}^B \cdot 1_B = (F^{i-1} \mathcal{V}^B(X_0 - 1) + F^{i-1} \mathcal{V}^B(X_1 - 1)) \cdot 1_B = F^{i-1} \mathcal{V}^B(X_0 - 1) \cdot 1_B + F^{i-1} \mathcal{V}^B(X_1 - 1) \cdot 1_B = F^{i-1} \mathcal{V}^B(X_1 - 1) \cdot 1_B = F^i \mathcal{W}^B \cdot 1_B$, where the first (resp. last) equality follows from (2.4.4) (resp. Lemma 2.7). \square

Lemma 2.18. $(\mathcal{M}^B, \Delta^{\mathcal{M},B})$ is a coalgebra in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+}$; its image under gr is the coalgebra $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}, \Delta^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})$ in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr},+}$.

Proof. The first statement follows from Proposition 2.15 and from $\Delta^{\mathcal{M},B}(1_B) = (1_B)^{\otimes 2}$. The morphism $(-) \cdot 1_B : \mathcal{V}^B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^B$ in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+}$ induces a morphism $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} = \text{gr}(\mathcal{V}^B) \xrightarrow{\text{gr}((-)\cdot 1_B)} \text{gr}(\mathcal{M}^B)$. If one equips \mathcal{V}^B with the shifted filtration $F^n(\mathcal{V}^B[1]) := F^{n-1}(\mathcal{V}^B)$, then $(-) \cdot (X_0 - 1) : \mathcal{V}^B[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^B$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+}$, such that the composed morphism $\mathcal{V}^B[1] \xrightarrow{(-)\cdot(X_0-1)} \mathcal{V}^B \xrightarrow{(-)\cdot 1_B} \mathcal{M}^B$ is zero. Applying gr , one obtains that the composed morphism $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[1] \xrightarrow{(-)\cdot e_0} \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \text{gr}(\mathcal{M}^B)$ is zero, therefore a morphism $\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \text{gr}(\mathcal{M}^B)$.

By Lemma 2.17, the map $\mathcal{W}^B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^B$, $x \mapsto x \cdot 1_B$ is an isomorphism in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+}$, therefore it gives rise to an isomorphism $\text{gr}(\mathcal{W}^B) \rightarrow \text{gr}(\mathcal{M}^B)$. The following diagram commutes

$$(2.4.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{gr}(\mathcal{W}^B) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\text{gr}((-)\cdot 1_B)} & \text{gr}(\mathcal{M}^B) \\ \cong \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{(-)\cdot 1_{\text{DR}}} & \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}} \end{array}$$

which implies that $\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \text{gr}(\mathcal{M}^B)$ is an isomorphism. In the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{gr}(\mathcal{W}^B)^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{(\text{gr}((-)\cdot 1_B))^{\otimes 2}} & \text{gr}(\mathcal{M}^B)^{\otimes 2} & & \\ \uparrow \text{gr}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W},B}) & & \uparrow \text{gr}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M},B}) & & \\ & \text{gr}(\mathcal{W}^B) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\text{gr}((-)\cdot 1_B)} \text{gr}(\mathcal{M}^B) & & & \\ \cong \uparrow & \cong \uparrow & \cong \uparrow & & \cong \\ & \mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}} \xrightarrow[\cong]{(-)\cdot 1_{\text{DR}}} \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}} & & & \\ \uparrow \Delta^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}} & & \uparrow \Delta^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}} & & \\ (\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{((-)\cdot 1_{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}} & (\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2} & & \end{array}$$

the inner square commutes by (2.4.10), the external square commutes as it is the tensor square of (2.4.10), the lower quadrangle commutes by the definition of $\Delta^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}}$, the left quadrangle commutes by Proposition 2.16, the upper quadrangle commutes because $(-) \cdot 1_B$ induces an isomorphism of the coalgebras $(\mathcal{W}^B, \Delta^{\mathcal{W},B})$ and $(\mathcal{M}^B, \Delta^{\mathcal{M},B})$ in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+}$. It follows that the right quadrangle commutes. \square

One checks that \mathcal{M}^B is a module in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+}$ over \mathcal{V}^B , therefore over \mathcal{W}^B , and that the image of this module structure under gr is the module structure of \mathcal{M}^{DR} over \mathcal{V}^{DR} and \mathcal{W}^{DR} in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr},+}$.

2.5. Completions. Extending the above definitions of $F^k \mathcal{X}^B$ by $F^k \mathcal{X}^B := \mathcal{X}^B$ for $k < 0$ and $\mathcal{X} \in \{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{M}\}$, the pairs $(\mathcal{V}^B, \Delta^{\mathcal{V},B})$, $(\mathcal{W}^B, \Delta^{\mathcal{W},B})$ (resp. $(\mathcal{M}^B, \Delta^{\mathcal{M},B})$) are Hopf algebras (resp. is a coassociative coalgebra) in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+}$. Applying the functor $(-)^{\wedge} : \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{top}}$ (see §1), one obtains topological Hopf algebras $(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^B, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V},B})$ and $(\hat{\mathcal{W}}^B, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},B})$, and a topological coalgebra $(\hat{\mathcal{M}}^B, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},B})$. It follows from the compatibility of the decomposition (2.1.1) with filtrations that $\hat{\mathcal{M}}^B$ is free of rank one as a $\hat{\mathcal{W}}^B$ -module.

It follows from §2.4.1, Proposition 2.16, and Lemma 2.18 that the image of the pair $(\mathcal{X}^B, \Delta^{\mathcal{X},B})$ by the functor $\text{gr} : \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr},+}$ (see §1) is $(\mathcal{X}^{\text{DR}}, \Delta^{\mathcal{X},\text{DR}})$ for $\mathcal{X} \in \{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{M}\}$.

3. FAKE COMPARISON ISOMORPHISMS

In §§1 and 2, we introduced parallel ‘de Rham’ and ‘Betti’ structures, namely an inclusion of topological algebras $\hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{DR}} \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$ (resp. $\hat{\mathcal{W}}^B \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{V}}^B$), and a surjective $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$ -module (resp. $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^B$ -module) morphism $(-)\cdot 1_{\text{DR}} : \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}} \twoheadrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{DR}}$ (resp. $(-)\cdot 1_B : \hat{\mathcal{V}}^B \twoheadrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{M}}^B$), in which $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$ (resp. $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^B$) is the left regular module over itself. We introduce automorphisms of the de Rham algebra structures in §3.1, and of the de Rham module structures in §3.2. In §3.3, we define isomorphisms between the various Betti and de Rham structures. In §3.4, we relate these isomorphisms with the Betti-de Rham comparison isomorphisms, either of the fundamental group of $\mathfrak{M}_{0,4}$ with basepoint $\vec{1}$, or of the fundamental groupoid of the same space with respect to the pair of basepoints $(\vec{1}, \vec{0})$.

3.1. The algebra automorphisms $\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V},(1),\text{DR}}$ and $\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{W},(1),\text{DR}}$. For $(\mu, g) \in \mathbf{k}^\times \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}})$, we denote by $\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V},(1),\text{DR}}$ the automorphism of the topological \mathbf{k} -algebra $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$ given by

$$\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V},(1),\text{DR}} : e_0 \mapsto g \cdot \mu e_0 \cdot g^{-1}, \quad e_1 \mapsto \mu e_1.$$

One checks that this automorphism restricts to an automorphism of the subalgebra $\hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{DR}} \subset \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$, which we denote $\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{W},(1),\text{DR}}$.

3.2. The module automorphisms $\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V},(10),\text{DR}}$ and $\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{M},(10),\text{DR}}$. For $(\mu, g) \in \mathbf{k}^\times \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}})$. Denote by $\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V},(10),\text{DR}}$ the automorphism of the topological \mathbf{k} -module $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$ given by

$$(3.2.1) \quad \forall v \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}, \quad \text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V},(10),\text{DR}}(v) := \text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V},(1),\text{DR}}(v) \cdot g.$$

One checks that $\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V},(10),\text{DR}}$ leaves the \mathbf{k} -submodule $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}} e_0 \subset \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$ invariant, and therefore induces an automorphism of the topological \mathbf{k} -module $\hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{DR}} = \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}} / \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}} e_0$, which will be denoted $\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{M},(10),\text{DR}}$.

One checks that for $\mathcal{X} \in \{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{M}\}$ and $\mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}\}$, the \mathbf{k} -module automorphism $\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{X},(10),\text{DR}}$ is compatible (in the sense of §0.3.1) with the module structure of $\hat{\mathcal{X}}^{\text{DR}}$ over the algebra $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{DR}}$ and with the algebra automorphism $\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{A},(1),\text{DR}}$.

3.3. The isomorphisms $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)}$, $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{W}, (1)}$, $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10)}$ **and** $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{M}, (10)}$. There is a unique isomorphism

$$\text{iso}^{\mathcal{V}} : \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{B}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$$

of topological \mathbf{k} -algebras, defined by the condition $X_i \mapsto \exp(e_i)$ for $i = 0, 1$. This isomorphism restricts to an isomorphism of topological \mathbf{k} -algebras

$$\text{iso}^{\mathcal{W}} : \hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{B}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{DR}}.$$

There is a commutative diagram

$$(3.3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{B}} & \xrightarrow{\text{iso}^{\mathcal{V}}} & \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}} \\ \downarrow \scriptstyle{-(X_0-1)} & & \downarrow \scriptstyle{-(\exp(e_0)-1)} \\ \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{B}} & \xrightarrow{\text{iso}^{\mathcal{V}}} & \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}} \end{array}$$

The cokernels of the endomorphisms $-(\exp(e_0) - 1)$ and $- \cdot e_0$ of $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$ coincide as $-(\exp(e_0) - 1) = (- \cdot e_0) \circ (- \cdot \frac{\exp(e_0) - 1}{e_0})$ and $- \cdot \frac{\exp(e_0) - 1}{e_0}$ is a linear automorphism. Taking vertical cokernels of the above diagram, we obtain a \mathbf{k} -module isomorphism

$$\text{iso}^{\mathcal{M}} : \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{B}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{DR}}$$

which is moreover compatible with the algebra isomorphism $\text{iso}^{\mathcal{V}}$.

Let $(\mu, g) \in \mathbf{k}^{\times} \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}})$. Composing the algebra automorphism $\text{aut}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{W}, (1), \text{DR}}$ (resp. $\text{aut}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1), \text{DR}}$) of $\hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{DR}}$ (resp. $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$) with the algebra isomorphism $\text{iso}^{\mathcal{W}}$ (resp. $\text{iso}^{\mathcal{V}}$), we obtain algebra isomorphisms

$$(3.3.2) \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{W}, (1)} = \text{aut}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{W}, (1), \text{DR}} \circ \text{iso}^{\mathcal{W}} : \hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{B}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{DR}}, \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)} = \text{aut}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1), \text{DR}} \circ \text{iso}^{\mathcal{V}} : \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{B}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}.$$

Composing the \mathbf{k} -module automorphism $\text{aut}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10), \text{DR}}$ (resp. $\text{aut}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{M}, (10), \text{DR}}$) of $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$ (resp. $\hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{DR}}$) with the \mathbf{k} -module isomorphism $\text{iso}^{\mathcal{V}}$ (resp. $\text{iso}^{\mathcal{M}}$), one obtains \mathbf{k} -module isomorphisms

$$(3.3.3) \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10)} := \text{aut}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10), \text{DR}} \circ \text{iso}^{\mathcal{V}} : \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{B}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}, \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{M}, (10)} := \text{aut}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{M}, (10), \text{DR}} \circ \text{iso}^{\mathcal{M}} : \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{B}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{DR}},$$

which are compatible with the previous algebra isomorphisms.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(\mu, g) \in \mathbf{k}^{\times} \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}})$. Then*

$$(3.3.4) \quad \forall v^{\text{B}} \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{B}}, \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10)}(v^{\text{B}}) = \text{comp}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)}(v^{\text{B}}) \cdot g.$$

Proof. This follows from the definitions of $\text{comp}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)}$ (see second part of (3.3.2)), of $\text{comp}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10)}$ (see first part of (3.3.3)), and from (3.2.1), with v being replaced by $\text{iso}_{\mathcal{V}}(v^{\text{B}})$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(\mu, g) \in \mathbf{k}^\times \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}})$. Then the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{B}} & \xrightarrow{\text{comp}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10)}} & \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}} \\
 (-) \cdot 1_{\text{B}} \downarrow & & \downarrow (-) \cdot 1_{\text{DR}} \\
 \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{B}} & \xrightarrow{\text{comp}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{M}, (10)}} & \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{DR}}
 \end{array}$$

Proof. This follows from $\text{comp}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{X}, (10)} = \text{aut}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{X}, (10), \text{DR}} \circ \text{iso}^{\mathcal{X}}$ for $\mathcal{X} \in \{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{M}\}$, from $\text{iso}^{\mathcal{M}} \circ ((-) \cdot 1_{\text{B}}) = ((-) \cdot 1_{\text{DR}}) \circ \text{iso}^{\mathcal{V}}$, and from $\text{aut}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{M}, (10), \text{DR}} \circ ((-) \cdot 1_{\text{DR}}) = ((-) \cdot 1_{\text{DR}}) \circ \text{aut}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10), \text{DR}}$ (see §3.2). \square

3.4. Relation with comparison isomorphisms.

3.4.1. Recall from §0.1.1 the notation $\mathcal{T} = \text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and the motivic Galois group schemes $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\otimes}(\omega_?)$ for $? \in \{\text{B}, \text{DR}\}$ ([DeG]). By [An], there is an inclusion of \mathbb{Q} -group schemes $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\otimes}(\omega_?) \subset \mathbf{G}^?$, where $\mathbf{G}^?$ is the \mathbb{Q} -group scheme defined in [EF2], §1.6 for $? = \text{DR}$ and [EF3], §2.1 for $? = \text{B}$.

3.4.2. For \mathbf{H} a \mathbb{Q} -group scheme, denote by $\mathbf{H}\text{-mod}$ the tensor category of its finite-dimensional linear representations. An object of $\mathbf{H}\text{-mod}$ is then a pair (V, ρ) where V is a finite dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector space and $\rho : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ is a morphism of \mathbb{Q} -group schemes. For $? \in \{\text{B}, \text{DR}\}$, there is a sequence of tensor functors $\mathbf{G}^? \text{-mod} \xrightarrow{\text{res}_?} \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\otimes}(\omega_?)\text{-mod} \simeq \mathcal{T}$ where the first functor is induced by restriction and the second is the Tannaka equivalence (see [DeG], §2.1). Its composition with $\omega_?$ is the forgetful functor $\text{forget} : \mathbf{G}^? \text{-mod} \rightarrow \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

3.4.3. For $? \in \{\text{B}, \text{DR}\}$, set $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{?, (1)} := (\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^?, (\mu, g) \mapsto \text{aut}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1), ?})$ and $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{?, (10)} := (\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^?, (\mu, g) \mapsto \text{aut}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10), ?})$ (see §§3.1 and 3.2 for $? = \text{DR}$ and [EF3], §2.2 for $? = \text{B}$). By [EF2], §1.6 ($? = \text{DR}$), and [EF3], §2.2 ($? = \text{B}$), these are pro-objects in $\mathbf{G}^? \text{-mod}$. Denote by $m_?$ the product of $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^?$ and recall that $\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V}, ?}$ denotes its coproduct. The category $\text{Pro}(\mathbf{G}^? \text{-mod})$ of pro-objects in $\mathbf{G}^? \text{-mod}$ is equipped with a tensor product, and $(m_?, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V}, ?})$ defines a Hopf algebra structure on $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{?, (1)}$ in $\text{Pro}(\mathbf{G}^? \text{-mod})$, as well as a module-coalgebra structure over it on $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{?, (10)}$ in the same category (this meaning that $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{?, (10)}$ is a left $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{?, (1)}$ -module and a coassociative coalgebra, the left action morphism being a coalgebra morphism), both Hopf algebra and coalgebra structures being cocommutative.

3.4.4. Denote by M^\vee the dual of an object M of $\text{Pro}(\mathbf{G}^? \text{-mod})$; this is an object in the category $\text{Ind}(\mathbf{G}^? \text{-mod})$ of ind-objects in $\mathbf{G}^? \text{-mod}$. Then $(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{?, (1)})^\vee$ and $(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{?, (10)})^\vee$ are objects in $\text{Ind}(\mathbf{G}^? \text{-mod})$; in this category, $(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{?, (1)})^\vee$ is a commutative Hopf algebra and $(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{?, (10)})^\vee$ is a commutative algebra-comodule over it.

3.4.5. In the rest of §3.4, we set $X := \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ and denote by $0, 1$ the vectors d/dx at points $0, 1$ of \mathbb{P}^1 (with coordinate $z = x + iy$). These are base points at infinity in X . For $x, y \in \{0, 1\}$, let $P_{y,x}(X)$ be the motivic path space from x to y (see [DG], §4.3).

3.4.6. The images of $(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{?,(1)})^{\vee}$ and $(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{?,(10)})^{\vee}$ in $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{T})$ by $\text{Ind}(\text{res}_?)$ are respectively isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(P_{1,1}(X))$ and $\mathcal{O}(P_{1,0}(X))$ in the notation of [DG], Rem. 4.23, the former being equipped with its commutative Hopf algebra structure in $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{T})$ and the latter with its commutative algebra-module structure over it, both structures corresponding to the \mathcal{T} -group scheme structure of $P_{1,1}(X)$ and to the \mathcal{T} -torsor structure over it of $P_{1,0}(X)$ (see [DG], §5).

3.4.7. For $x, y \in \{0, 1\}$, prounipotent \mathbb{Q} -group schemes $\pi_1^{\text{DR}}(X, x)$ and $\pi_1^{\text{alg,unip}}(X, x)$ are defined in [De], §10.5, as well as torsors $\pi_1^{\text{DR}}(X, y, x)$ and $\pi_1^{\text{alg,unip}}(X, y, x)$; we use the notation π_1^{B} instead of $\pi_1^{\text{alg,unip}}$. If $? \in \{\text{B}, \text{DR}\}$, then the functor $\omega_? : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ takes the \mathcal{T} -group scheme (resp. \mathcal{T} -torsor) $P_{x,x}(X)$ (resp. $P_{y,x}(X)$) to $\pi_1^?(X, x)$ (resp. $\pi_1^?(X, y, x)$), so that forget takes the Hopf algebra and comodule algebra $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{?,(1)}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{?,(10)}$ in $\text{Pro}(\mathbb{G}^? \text{-mod})$ to the objects with the similar structures $\mathcal{O}(\pi_1^?(X, 1))^{\vee}$ and $\mathcal{O}(\pi_1^?(X, 1, 0))^{\vee}$ in $\text{Pro}(\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Q}})$, $\mathcal{O}(-)$ being the ring of regular functions over a scheme. Then $\text{comp}_{(2\pi i, \varphi_{\text{KZ}})}^{\mathcal{V},(1)}$ and $\text{comp}_{(2\pi i, \varphi_{\text{KZ}})}^{\mathcal{V},(10)}$ can respectively be identified with the Betti-de Rham comparison algebra and module isomorphisms $\text{ULie}\pi_1^{\text{B}}(X, 1) = \mathcal{O}(\pi_1^{\text{B}}(X, 1))^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(\pi_1^{\text{DR}}(X, 1))^{\vee} = \text{ULie}\pi_1^{\text{DR}}(X, 1)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\pi_1^{\text{B}}(X, 1, 0))^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(\pi_1^{\text{DR}}(X, 1, 0))^{\vee}$ given by [De], §12.16 (here $\text{ULie}(-)$ is the cocommutative topological Hopf algebra attached to a \mathbb{Q} -group scheme). The isomorphisms $\text{comp}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V},(1)}$ and $\text{comp}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V},(10)}$, for $(\mu, g) \in \mathbf{k}^{\times} \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}})$ can therefore be viewed as ‘fake comparison isomorphisms’.

Part 3. Geometric interpretation of double shuffle theory

The purpose of this part is to construct geometric interpretations of the ‘de Rham’ and ‘Betti’ DSR formalisms introduced in Part 2. These interpretations rely on general algebraic constructions which are explained in §4. Then §§5 and 6 are devoted to the de Rham DSR formalism: we introduce geometric objects related with the de Rham fundamental groups in §5, namely infinitesimal braid Lie algebras and morphisms relating them; they are used in §6 to give a geometric interpretation of the de Rham harmonic algebra coproduct following [DeT], as well as of its module counterpart. §§7 and 8 follow the same pattern on the Betti side: introduction of geometric objects related with the Betti fundamental groups in §7, namely braid groups and morphisms relating them, and geometric interpretations of the Betti harmonic algebra and module coproducts in §8.

4. ALGEBRAIC PRELIMINARIES FOR PART 3

In this section, we prove algebraic results that will be used in §§6 and 8. The first result allows for the construction of an algebra morphism starting from an algebra containing an ideal

with freeness properties (§4.1, Lemma 4.1). The second result describes the behavior of this construction under isomorphisms (§4.1, Lemma 4.2). The third result shows how an algebra morphism may be constructed starting from an algebra morphism to a matrix algebra satisfying some conditions (§4.2, Lemma 4.3).

4.1. Construction of algebra morphisms based on ideals with freeness properties.

Lemma 4.1. *Let R be an associative algebra and let $J \subset R$ be a two-sided ideal. Assume that $(j_a)_{a \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket}$ is a family of elements of J , which constitutes a basis of J for its left R -module structure. For $r \in R$, let $(m_{ab}(r))_{a, b \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket}$ be the collection of elements of R defined by $j_a r = \sum_{b=1}^d m_{ab}(r) j_b$.*

Then the map $\varpi_{R, J} : R \rightarrow M_d(R)$, $r \mapsto (m_{ab}(r))_{a, b \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket}$ is an algebra morphism.

Proof. Let $R^{\oplus d} \rightarrow J$ be the map $(r_1, \dots, r_d) \mapsto \sum_{a=1}^d r_a j_a$. This is an isomorphism of left R -modules. It sets up an isomorphism of algebras $\text{End}_{R\text{-left}}(J) \simeq \text{End}_{R\text{-left}}(R^{\oplus d})$, where the index "R-left" means endomorphisms of left R -modules. The map $R \rightarrow \text{End}_{R\text{-left}}(J)$, $r \mapsto (j \mapsto jr)$ is an algebra morphism when R is equipped with the opposite algebra structure. Similarly, the map $M_d(R) \rightarrow \text{End}_{R\text{-left}}(R^{\oplus d})$ taking $M \in M_d(R)$ to the image under the canonical isomorphism $R^{\oplus d} \simeq M_{1 \times d}(R)$ of the endomorphism $X \mapsto XM$ is an algebra isomorphism when $M_d(R)$ is equipped with the opposite algebra structure. We then obtain a sequence of algebra morphisms

$$R^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{End}_{R\text{-left}}(J) \simeq \text{End}_{R\text{-left}}(R^{\oplus d}) \simeq M_d(R)^{\text{op}},$$

which yields an algebra morphism $R \rightarrow M_d(R)$. One checks that this morphism is given by the announced formula. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let R be an associative algebra, let $J \subset R$ be a two-sided ideal, free as a left R -module with basis $(j_a)_{a \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket}$. Let $f : R \rightarrow R'$ be an algebra isomorphism, and let $(j'_a)_{a \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket}$ be a basis of $f(J) \subset R'$ as a left R' -module.*

Then there exists a unique element $P \in \text{GL}_d(R')$, such that $\begin{pmatrix} f(j_1) \\ \vdots \\ f(j_d) \end{pmatrix} = P \begin{pmatrix} j'_1 \\ \vdots \\ j'_d \end{pmatrix}$ (equality in $M_{d \times 1}(R')$). The morphisms $\varpi_{R, J} : R \rightarrow M_d(R)$ and $\varpi_{R', J'} : R' \rightarrow M_d(R')$ respectively attached to the data $(J \subset R, (j_a)_{a \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket})$ and $(J' \subset R', (j'_a)_{a \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket})$ as in Lemma 4.1 are related by the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\varpi_{R, J}} & M_d(R) \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow M_d(f) \\ R' & \xrightarrow{\varpi_{R', J'}} M_d(R') \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}(P)} & M_d(R') \end{array}$$

Proof. For $r \in R$, one has $\begin{pmatrix} j_1 \\ \vdots \\ j_d \end{pmatrix} r = \varpi_{R,J}(r) \begin{pmatrix} j_1 \\ \vdots \\ j_d \end{pmatrix}$, therefore $\begin{pmatrix} f(j_1) \\ \vdots \\ f(j_d) \end{pmatrix} f(r) = f(\varpi_{R,J}(r)) \begin{pmatrix} f(j_1) \\ \vdots \\ f(j_d) \end{pmatrix}$,
that is $P \begin{pmatrix} j'_1 \\ \vdots \\ j'_d \end{pmatrix} f(r) = f(\varpi_{R,J}(r)) P \begin{pmatrix} j'_1 \\ \vdots \\ j'_d \end{pmatrix}$. Comparing this with the identity $\begin{pmatrix} j'_1 \\ \vdots \\ j'_d \end{pmatrix} r' = \varpi_{R',J'}(r') \begin{pmatrix} j'_1 \\ \vdots \\ j'_d \end{pmatrix}$ for $r' \in R'$, one obtains $\varpi_{R',J'}(r') = P^{-1} \cdot f(\varpi_{R,J}(f^{-1}(r'))) \cdot P$. \square

4.2. Construction of algebra morphisms based on morphisms to matrix algebras.

Let R be an associative algebra and let $e \in R$. Define $\cdot_e : R \times R \rightarrow R$ by $r \cdot_e r' := rer'$. Then (R, \cdot_e) is an associative algebra. The subspaces Re and eR of R are subalgebras. There is an algebra morphism $\text{mor}_{R,e} : (R, \cdot_e) \rightarrow Re$, given by $r \mapsto re$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let R, S be associative algebras, let $e \in R$, let $n \geq 1$, and let $f : R \rightarrow M_n(S)$ be an algebra morphism. Assume that there exist elements $\text{row} \in M_{1 \times n}(S)$ and $\text{col} \in M_{n \times 1}(S)$, such that $f(e) = \text{col} \cdot \text{row}$.*

Then the map $\tilde{f} : (R, \cdot_e) \rightarrow S$, defined by $r \mapsto \text{row} \cdot f(r) \cdot \text{col}$ is an algebra morphism. One then has a commutative diagram

$$(4.2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{f} & M_n(S) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{row} \cdot (-) \cdot \text{col} \\ (R, \cdot_e) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & S \end{array}$$

where

$$(4.2.2) \quad \text{row} \cdot (-) \cdot \text{col} : M_n(S) \rightarrow S$$

is the linear map defined by $m \mapsto \text{row} \cdot m \cdot \text{col}$; in diagram (4.2.1), the horizontal arrows are algebra morphisms and the vertical arrows are vector space morphisms.

Proof. For $r, r' \in R$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(r \cdot_e r') &= \tilde{f}(rer') = \text{row} \cdot f(rer') \cdot \text{col} = \text{row} \cdot f(r)f(e)f(r') \cdot \text{col} \\ &= \text{row} \cdot f(r) \cdot \text{col} \cdot \text{row} \cdot f(r') \cdot \text{col} = \tilde{f}(r)\tilde{f}(r'). \end{aligned}$$

\square

5. INFINITESIMAL BRAID LIE ALGEBRAS

In §5.1, we recall the definition of the infinitesimal braid Lie algebras $\mathfrak{t}_n, \mathfrak{p}_n$ ($n \geq 1$), as well as the morphisms $\ell : \mathfrak{p}_4 \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_5$, $\text{pr}_i : \mathfrak{p}_5 \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_4$ ($i \in \llbracket 1, 5 \rrbracket$) and $\text{pr}_{12} : \mathfrak{p}_5 \rightarrow (\mathfrak{p}_4)^{\oplus 2}$ relating them.

In §5.2, we introduce an ideal $J(\text{pr}_5)$ of the universal enveloping algebra $U\mathfrak{p}_5$ arising from pr_5 , and show its freeness as a left $U\mathfrak{p}_5$ -module (Lemma 5.3). Lemma 4.1 then gives rise to an algebra morphism $\varpi : U\mathfrak{p}_5 \rightarrow M_3(U\mathfrak{p}_5)$. By composing ϖ with the morphisms ℓ and pr_{12} , we construct a morphism $\rho : \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2})$. In Lemma 5.6, by introducing suitable elements $\text{row}_1 \in M_{1 \times 3}((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2})$ and $\text{col}_1 \in M_{3 \times 1}((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2})$, we show that the morphism ρ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 4.3 (the index 1 in the notation row_1 and col_1 is motivated by the fact that these elements should be thought of as associated to the tangential base point $\vec{1}$ in $\mathfrak{M}_{0,4}$). Applying this lemma, we obtain in §5.2.4 a morphism $\tilde{\rho} : (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}, \cdot_{e_1}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}$, which we compute in Lemma 5.7.

5.1. Material on infinitesimal braid Lie algebras.

5.1.1. *The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{t}_n, \mathfrak{p}_n$.* For $n \geq 2$, let \mathfrak{t}_n be the graded Lie \mathbf{k} -algebra with generators t_{ij} , $i \neq j \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$ of degree 1, and relations $t_{ji} = t_{ij}$, $[t_{ij}, t_{ik} + t_{jk}] = 0$ for i, j, k all different in $\llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, and $[t_{ij}, t_{kl}] = 0$ for i, j, k, l all different in $\llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{t}_n is called the *Drinfeld-Kohno*, or *infinitesimal braid*, Lie algebra.

For $n \geq 4$, we denote by \mathfrak{p}_n the graded Lie \mathbf{k} -algebra with generators e_{ij} of degree 1, where $i \neq j \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$ and relations $e_{ji} = e_{ij}$, $\sum_{j \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket - \{i\}} e_{ij} = 0$, $[e_{ij}, e_{kl}] = 0$ for i, j, k, l all distinct in $\llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{p}_n is called the *sphere infinitesimal braid Lie algebra*.

For $n \geq 3$, there are surjective morphisms of graded Lie algebras $\mathfrak{t}_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{p}_{n+1}$ given by $t_{ij} \mapsto e_{ij}$ for $i \neq j \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, $\mathfrak{t}_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{p}_{n+1}$ given by $t_{ij} \mapsto e_{ij}$ for $i \neq j \in \llbracket 1, n+1 \rrbracket$, and an injective morphism $\mathfrak{t}_n \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{n+1}$ given by $t_{ij} \mapsto t_{ij}$ for $i \neq j \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$; they fit in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{t}_n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathfrak{p}_{n+1} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ \mathfrak{t}_{n+1} & & \end{array}$$

Moreover, the morphism $\mathfrak{t}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_{n+1}$ factorizes as $\mathfrak{t}_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_n/Z(\mathfrak{t}_n) \simeq \mathfrak{p}_{n+1}$, where $Z(\mathfrak{t}_n)$ is the 1-dimensional center of \mathfrak{t}_n (it is concentrated in degree 1 and spanned by $\sum_{i < j \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket} t_{ij}$).

Remark 5.1. Let P_n (resp. K_n) be the *pure sphere* (resp. *Artin pure*) braid group with n strands (see §7.1.1 and [Bir]). Its lower central series defines a descending group filtration. The associated graded \mathbb{Z} -module is a \mathbb{Z} -Lie algebra. Then $\text{gr}(P_n) \otimes \mathbf{k} \simeq \mathfrak{p}_n$, and $\text{gr}(K_n) \otimes \mathbf{k} \simeq \mathfrak{t}_n$.

5.1.2. *The morphisms ℓ, pr_i and pr_{12} between infinitesimal braid Lie algebras.* There is a graded Lie algebra isomorphism $\mathfrak{p}_4 \simeq \mathfrak{f}_2$, where $e_0 = e_{14} = e_{23}$, $e_1 = e_{12} = e_{34}$. One also sets $e_\infty := -e_0 - e_1$, so $e_\infty = e_{13} = e_{24}$. By abuse of notation, and following [DeT], we set

$$\forall i \in \{0, 1\}, e_i := (e_i, 0) \in (\mathfrak{f}_2)^2, \quad f_i := (0, e_i) \in (\mathfrak{f}_2)^2.$$

We then have $e_i = e_i \otimes 1$, $f_i = 1 \otimes e_i$ in $(\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}$.

One checks that there are Lie algebra morphisms $\text{pr}_i : \mathfrak{p}_5 \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_4$ for $i = 1, 2, 5$, given by

elt $x \in \mathfrak{p}_5$	e_{12}	e_{13}	e_{14}	e_{15}	e_{23}	e_{24}	e_{25}	e_{34}	e_{35}	e_{45}
$\text{pr}_1(x)$	0	0	0	0	e_0	e_∞	e_1	e_1	e_∞	e_0
$\text{pr}_2(x)$	0	e_∞	e_0	e_1	0	0	0	e_1	e_0	e_∞
$\text{pr}_5(x)$	e_1	e_∞	e_0	0	e_0	e_∞	0	e_1	0	0

These morphisms give rise to the Lie algebra morphism $\text{pr}_{12} : \mathfrak{p}_5 \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_4^{\oplus 2}$ defined by $\text{pr}_{12}(x) := (\text{pr}_1(x), \text{pr}_2(x))$.

There is a Lie algebra morphism $\ell : \mathfrak{p}_4 \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_5$, given by $e_0 \mapsto e_{23}$, $e_1 \mapsto e_{12}$. It is such that $\text{pr}_5 \circ \ell$ is the identity of \mathfrak{p}_4 .

The isomorphism $\mathfrak{p}_4 \simeq \mathfrak{f}_2$ gives rise to an isomorphism $U\mathfrak{p}_4 \simeq U\mathfrak{f}_2 = \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}$.

The Lie algebra morphisms pr_{12} , pr_i and ℓ induce algebra morphisms between universal enveloping algebras, which will be denoted $\text{pr}_{12} : U\mathfrak{p}_5 \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}$, $\text{pr}_i : U\mathfrak{p}_5 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}$ and $\ell : \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow U\mathfrak{p}_5$.

5.2. Constructions related to an ideal of $U\mathfrak{p}_5$.

5.2.1. The structure of $J(\text{pr}_5)$.

Definition 5.2. We denote by $J(\text{pr}_5)$ the kernel $\text{Ker}(U\mathfrak{p}_5 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_5} \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})$. This is a two-sided ideal of $U\mathfrak{p}_5$.

In order to study the structure of $J(\text{pr}_5)$, we prove the following Lemma 5.3.

Lemma 5.3. 1) The Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{p}_5 generated by the e_{i5} , $i \in \llbracket 1, 4 \rrbracket$ is freely generated by the e_{i5} , $i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket$, and coincides with the ideal $\text{Ker}(\text{pr}_5)$ of \mathfrak{p}_5 ; it will be denoted as \mathfrak{f}_3 .

2) Set $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_4 := \text{im}(\ell : \mathfrak{p}_4 \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_5)$. There is a direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{p}_5 = \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_4 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_3$.

Proof. 1) follows from [Ih2], §1.1 with $n = i = 5$ (the notation for \mathfrak{f}_3 in *loc. cit.* is N_n). 2) follows from the facts that $\mathfrak{f}_3 = \text{Ker}(\text{pr}_5)$ and that $\text{pr}_5 \circ \ell = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{p}_4}$. \square

Remark 5.4. Using [Ih2], one can prove that the centralizer of e_{45} in \mathfrak{p}_5 decomposes as a direct sum $\mathfrak{k}_{e_{45}} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_4$.

Lemma 5.5. The map $(U\mathfrak{p}_5)^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow J(\text{pr}_5)$, $(p_i)_{i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket} \mapsto \sum_{i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket} p_i \cdot e_{i5}$ is an isomorphism of left $U\mathfrak{p}_5$ -modules.

Proof. The equality $\mathfrak{p}_5 = \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_4 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_3$ is a decomposition of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{p}_5 as a direct sum of two Lie subalgebras. The tensor product of $\ell : U\mathfrak{p}_4 \rightarrow U\mathfrak{p}_5$ with the injection $U\mathfrak{f}_3 \rightarrow U\mathfrak{p}_5$, followed by the product in $U\mathfrak{p}_5$, induces a linear map

$$\text{codec} : U\mathfrak{p}_4 \otimes U\mathfrak{f}_3 \rightarrow U\mathfrak{p}_5.$$

This map is compatible with the PBW filtrations on both sides, and its associated graded map is the linear map $S\mathfrak{p}_4 \otimes S\mathfrak{f}_3 \rightarrow S\mathfrak{p}_5$, which is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces, so that codec is an isomorphism of filtered vector spaces.

The following diagram

$$(5.2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} U\mathfrak{p}_4 \otimes U\mathfrak{f}_3 & \xrightarrow{\text{codec}} & U\mathfrak{p}_5 \\ & \searrow \text{id} \otimes \varepsilon & \downarrow \text{pr}_5 \\ & & U\mathfrak{p}_4 \end{array}$$

is commutative, where ε is the counit of $U\mathfrak{f}_3$. Indeed, for $p \in U\mathfrak{p}_4$ and $f \in U\mathfrak{f}_3$,

$$\text{pr}_5 \circ \text{codec}(p \otimes f) = \text{pr}_5(\ell(p)f) = (\text{pr}_5(\ell(p))) \cdot \text{pr}_5(f) = p \cdot \varepsilon(f),$$

where the first equality follows from the definition of codec , the second equality follows from the algebra morphism property of pr_5 , and the third equality follows from the facts that $\text{pr}_5 \circ \ell = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{p}_4}$ and that $\mathfrak{f}_3 = \text{Ker}(\text{pr}_5 : \mathfrak{p}_5 \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_4)$. This diagram implies that $J(\text{pr}_5)$ is equal to the isomorphic image by codec of the subspace $U\mathfrak{p}_4 \otimes (U\mathfrak{f}_3)_+$ of $U\mathfrak{p}_4 \otimes U\mathfrak{f}_3$, where $(U\mathfrak{f}_3)_+ := \text{Ker}(\varepsilon : U\mathfrak{f}_3 \rightarrow \mathbf{k})$ is the augmentation ideal of $U\mathfrak{f}_3$, that is

$$(5.2.2) \quad J(\text{pr}_5) = \text{im}(U\mathfrak{p}_4 \otimes (U\mathfrak{f}_3)_+ \xrightarrow{\text{codec}} U\mathfrak{p}_5).$$

Since the e_{i5} , $i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket$, belong to \mathfrak{f}_3 , the following diagram commutes

$$(5.2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} (U\mathfrak{p}_4 \otimes U\mathfrak{f}_3)^{\oplus 3} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & U\mathfrak{p}_4 \otimes (U\mathfrak{f}_3)^{\oplus 3} & \longrightarrow & U\mathfrak{p}_4 \otimes U\mathfrak{f}_3 \\ \text{codec}^{\oplus 3} \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \text{codec} \\ (U\mathfrak{p}_5)^{\oplus 3} & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & & & U\mathfrak{p}_5 \end{array}$$

where the lower horizontal map is given by $(p_i)_{i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket} \mapsto \sum_{i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket} p_i e_{i5}$, and the upper horizontal map is given by the tensor product of the identity in $U\mathfrak{p}_4$ with the map $(U\mathfrak{f}_3)^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow U\mathfrak{f}_3$, $(\varphi_i)_{i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket} \mapsto \sum_{i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket} \varphi_i e_{i5}$.

Since $U\mathfrak{f}_3$ is freely generated, as an associative algebra, by the e_{i5} , $i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket$, the latter map corestricts to a \mathbf{k} -module isomorphism $(U\mathfrak{f}_3)^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow (U\mathfrak{f}_3)_+$. It follows that the upper horizontal map of (5.2.3) corestricts to an isomorphism $U\mathfrak{p}_4 \otimes (U\mathfrak{f}_3)^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow U\mathfrak{p}_4 \otimes (U\mathfrak{f}_3)_+$.

Since the vertical maps of (5.2.3) are isomorphisms, this implies that the lower horizontal map of (5.2.3) corestricts to an isomorphism from $(U\mathfrak{p}_5)^{\oplus 3}$ to the image by codec of $U\mathfrak{p}_4 \otimes (U\mathfrak{f}_3)_+$, which is $J(\text{pr}_5)$ according to (5.2.2). \square

5.2.2. *A morphism $\varpi : U\mathfrak{p}_5 \rightarrow M_3(U\mathfrak{p}_5)$.* Lemma 5.5 says that the hypothesis of Lemma 4.1 is satisfied in the following situation: $R = U\mathfrak{p}_5$, $J = J(\text{pr}_5)$, $d = 3$, $(j_a)_{a \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket} = (e_{i5})_{i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket}$. We denote by

$$\varpi : U\mathfrak{p}_5 \rightarrow M_3(U\mathfrak{p}_5)$$

the algebra morphism given in this situation by Lemma 4.1. Then for $p \in U\mathfrak{p}_5$, $\varpi(p) = (a_{ij}(p))_{i, j \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket}$, and

$$(5.2.4) \quad \forall i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket, \quad e_{i5} p = \sum_{j \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket} a_{ij}(p) e_{j5}$$

(equalities in $U\mathfrak{p}_5$).

5.2.3. *Construction and properties of a morphism $\rho : \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2})$.* Define the algebra morphism

$$(5.2.5) \quad \rho : \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2})$$

to be the composition

$$\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} \simeq U\mathfrak{p}_4 \xrightarrow{\ell} U\mathfrak{p}_5 \xrightarrow{\varpi} M_3(U\mathfrak{p}_5) \xrightarrow{M_3(\text{pr}_{12})} M_3((U\mathfrak{p}_4)^{\otimes 2}) \simeq M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}),$$

where ℓ is as in §5.1.2, ϖ is as in §5.2.2, and $M_3(\text{pr}_{12})$ is the morphism induced by pr_{12} , i.e., taking $(p_{ij})_{i,j \in [1,3]}$ to $(\text{pr}_{12}(p_{ij}))_{i,j \in [1,3]}$.

Lemma 5.6. *Set*

$$(5.2.6) \quad \text{row}_1 := (e_1 \quad -f_1 \quad 0) \in M_{1 \times 3}((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}), \quad \text{col}_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{3 \times 1}((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2})$$

(recall that $e_1, f_1 \in (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}$ are $e_1 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes e_1$), then

$$(5.2.7) \quad \rho(e_1) = \text{col}_1 \cdot \text{row}_1$$

(equality in $M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2})$).

Proof. One has $\ell(e_1) = e_{12}$. Let us compute $\varpi(e_{12})$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} e_{15}e_{12} &= e_{12}e_{15} + [e_{15}, e_{12}] = e_{12}e_{15} + [e_{25}, e_{15}] = (e_{12} + e_{25})e_{15} - e_{15}e_{25}, \\ e_{25}e_{12} &= -e_{25}e_{15} + (e_{12} + e_{15})e_{25} \end{aligned}$$

(applying the permutation of indices 1 and 2 to the previous equality),

$$e_{35}e_{12} = e_{12}e_{35},$$

which implies that

$$\varpi(e_{12}) = \begin{pmatrix} e_{12} + e_{25} & -e_{15} & 0 \\ -e_{25} & e_{12} + e_{15} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e_{12} \end{pmatrix} \in M_3(U\mathfrak{p}_5).$$

The image of this matrix in $M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2})$ is

$$\rho(e_1) = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & -f_1 & 0 \\ -e_1 & f_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (e_1 \quad -f_1 \quad 0) = \text{col}_1 \cdot \text{row}_1.$$

Therefore $\rho(e_1) = \text{col}_1 \cdot \text{row}_1$. □

5.2.4. *Construction and properties of a morphism* $\tilde{\rho} : (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}, \cdot_{e_1}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}$. Lemma 5.6 shows that the hypothesis of Lemma 4.3 is satisfied in the following situation: $R = \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}$, $S = (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}$, $e = e_1$, $n = 3$, $f = \rho$, row_1 and col_1 are as in Lemma 5.6. We denote by

$$\tilde{\rho} : (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}, \cdot_{e_1}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}$$

the algebra morphism given in this situation by Lemma 4.3.

Then for any $f \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}$, one has

$$(5.2.8) \quad \tilde{\rho}(f) = \text{row}_1 \cdot \rho(f) \cdot \text{col}_1 = \text{row}_1 \cdot \{M_3(\text{pr}_{12}) \circ \varpi \circ \ell(f)\} \cdot \text{col}_1 \in (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}.$$

Lemma 5.7. *For any $n \geq 0$,*

$$\tilde{\rho}(e_0^n) = e_1 e_0^n + f_1 f_0^n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (e_1 e_0^i) \cdot (f_1 f_0^{n-1-i})$$

(equality in $(\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2} = (U\mathfrak{f}_2)^{\otimes 2}$).

Proof. According to (5.2.8), $\tilde{\rho}(e_0^n) = \text{row}_1 \cdot \rho(e_0^n) \cdot \text{col}_1$. As ρ is an algebra morphism, $\rho(e_0^n) = \rho(e_0)^n$. Then $\rho(e_0) = M_3(\text{pr}_{12}) \circ \varpi \circ \ell(e_0) = M_3(\text{pr}_{12})(\varpi(e_{23}))$.

Let us compute $\varpi(e_{23})$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} e_{15}e_{23} &= e_{23}e_{15}, \\ e_{25}e_{23} &= e_{23}e_{25} + [e_{25}, e_{23}] = e_{23}e_{25} + [e_{35}, e_{25}] = (e_{23} + e_{35})e_{25} - e_{25}e_{35}, \\ e_{35}e_{23} &= -e_{35}e_{25} + (e_{23} + e_{25})e_{35} \end{aligned}$$

(applying the permutation of indices 2 and 3 to the previous equality),

which implies that

$$\varpi(e_{23}) = \begin{pmatrix} e_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e_{23} + e_{35} & -e_{25} \\ 0 & -e_{35} & e_{23} + e_{25} \end{pmatrix} \in M_3(U\mathfrak{p}_5).$$

Then

$$(5.2.9) \quad \rho(e_0) = M_3(\text{pr}_{12})(\varpi(e_{23})) = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -e_1 + f_0 & -e_1 \\ 0 & e_0 + e_1 - f_0 & e_0 + e_1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}).$$

Set $T := \begin{pmatrix} -e_1 + f_0 & -e_1 \\ e_0 + e_1 - f_0 & e_0 + e_1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2})$, then $\rho(e_0^n) = \begin{pmatrix} e_0^n & 0 \\ 0 & T^n \end{pmatrix}$, therefore

$$\tilde{\rho}(e_0^n) = \text{row}_1 \cdot \rho(e_0^n) \cdot \text{col}_1 = e_1 e_0^n + \begin{pmatrix} -f_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} T^n \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the last equality follows from the form of row_1 and col_1 .

One checks that $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_0 & -e_1 \\ 0 & e_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$, therefore

$$T^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_0 & -e_1 \\ 0 & e_0 \end{pmatrix}^n \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_0^n & -\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_0^i e_1 e_0^{n-1-i} \\ 0 & e_0^n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

so

$$\tilde{\rho}(e_0^n) = e_1 e_0^n + (-f_1 \ 0) \begin{pmatrix} f_0^n & -\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_0^i e_1 e_0^{n-1-i} \\ 0 & e_0^n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = e_1 e_0^n + f_1 f_0^n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_1 f_0^i e_1 e_0^{n-1-i};$$

the result then follows from the commutativity of e_s with f_t for $s, t \in \{0, 1\}$. \square

Remark 5.8. If \mathcal{A} is a unital associative algebra, then the following identity holds in $M_2(\mathcal{A})$

$$(5.2.10) \quad \text{Ad} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \left(\begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix} \right) = \text{Ad} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \left(\begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} w a & 0 \\ a u - w a - a v a & a u a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

provided $u, v, w \in \mathcal{A}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}^\times$. This implies the identity

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_0 & 0 \\ e_0 + e_1 - f_0 & f_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1},$$

allowing for an alternative computation of T^n .

6. GEOMETRIC INTERPRETATION OF THE DE RHAM HARMONIC COPRODUCTS

In this section, we construct commutative diagrams relating the de Rham harmonic algebra and module coproducts $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}}$ and $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}, \text{DR}}$ with infinitesimal braid Lie algebras (diagrams (6.2.3) and (6.3.5)).

These diagrams involve a localization $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$ of the algebra \mathcal{V}^{DR} , and a module $\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$ over this algebra, which are introduced in §6.1.

We prove the commutativity of the first diagram in §6.2: we first construct a diagram relating $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}}$ and the morphism $\tilde{\rho}$ from §5.2.4 (Lemma 6.2), and derive from there the commutativity of diagram (6.2.3) relating $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}}$ and ρ and $\text{row}_1, \text{col}_1$ (Proposition 6.3). The material in §6.2 is inspired by [DeT], more specifically by §6.3 and Proposition 6.2 in that paper.

In §6.3, we introduce a column vector col_0 (the index 0 indicates that it should be thought of as associated to the tangential base point $\vec{0}$ of $\mathfrak{M}_{0,4}$). We then prove the existence of a map $\delta : \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}])^{\otimes 2}$ fitting in a commutative diagram involving col_0 ; using diagram (6.2.3), we then identify δ with $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}, \text{DR}}$, which establishes diagram (6.3.5) (Proposition 6.9).

We finally construct completions of the diagrams (6.2.3) and (6.3.5) in §6.4.

6.1. Localizations. Define $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$ to be the localization of \mathcal{V}^{DR} with respect to e_1 , i.e. the unital \mathbf{k} -algebra with generators e_0 and $e_1^{\pm 1}$ and relations $e_1 e_1^{-1} = e_1^{-1} e_1 = 1$; $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$ is then equipped with a \mathbb{Z} -grading given by $\deg(e_i) = 1$ for $i = 0, 1$. It follows that $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$ is an algebra in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr}}$ (see Definition 0.1).

Set also $\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}] := \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}] / \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}] e_0$. This is a \mathbb{Z} -graded $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$ -module, therefore $\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$ is a $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$ -module in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr}}$.

One checks that the natural \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathbf{k} -algebra morphism $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$ and \mathbf{k} -module morphism $\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$ are injective.

6.2. Relationship between infinitesimal braid Lie algebras and $\Delta^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}}$. Denote by $\mathbf{k}[e_0]$ the linear span in \mathcal{V}^{DR} of the elements e_0^n , $n \geq 0$.

Lemma 6.1. $(\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}, \cdot_{e_1})$ is generated, as an associative (non-unital) algebra, by $\mathbf{k}[e_0]$.

Proof. For $k \geq 1$, the k -th power of the canonical injection, followed by the k -th fold product \cdot_{e_1} , sets up a linear map $\mathbf{k}[e_0]^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}$. One checks that this map is injective and that its image coincides with the part of \mathcal{V}^{DR} of e_1 -degree equal to $k - 1$. So the composition $\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} \mathbf{k}[e_0]^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}$, where the first map is the canonical injection and the second map is the iteration of the product \cdot_{e_1} , maps $\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} \mathbf{k}[e_0]^{\otimes k}$ injectively (in fact, bijectively) to \mathcal{V}^{DR} . \square

Recall that \mathcal{W}^{DR} is the subalgebra of \mathcal{V}^{DR} equal to $\mathbf{k} \oplus \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}_{e_1}$ (see §1.1). We set

$$(6.2.1) \quad \mathcal{W}_+^{\text{DR}} := \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}_{e_1}.$$

This is a (non-unital) subalgebra of \mathcal{W}^{DR} .

Since the right multiplication by e_1 is injective in \mathcal{V}^{DR} , the algebra morphism

$$(6.2.2) \quad \text{mor}_{\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}, e_1} : (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}, \cdot_{e_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_+^{\text{DR}}$$

(see §4) is an algebra isomorphism. One also checks that the algebra automorphism $\text{Ad}(e_1^{-1})$ of $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$ restricts to an algebra morphism $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq 0}$.

Lemma 6.2. The following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}, \cdot_{e_1}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}(e_1 f_1)^{-1} \circ \tilde{\rho}} & (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq 0})^{\otimes 2} \\ \text{mor}_{\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}, e_1} \downarrow \simeq & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{W}_+^{\text{DR}} & \xrightarrow{\Delta^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}}} & (\mathcal{W}_+^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2} \end{array}$$

where the top horizontal map is the composition of $\tilde{\rho}$ with the tensor square of the morphism $\text{Ad}(e_1^{-1}) : \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq 0}$ and the right vertical map is the tensor square of the injection $\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. For $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}} \circ \text{mor}_{\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}, e_1}(e_0^n) &= \Delta^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}}(e_0^n e_1) = \Delta^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}}(-y_{n+1}) \\ &= -y_{n+1} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes y_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \otimes y_{n+1-i} \\ &= e_0^n e_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes e_0^n e_1 - \sum_{i=1}^n e_0^{i-1} e_1 \otimes e_0^{n-i} e_1 = \text{Ad}(e_1 f_1)^{-1} \circ \tilde{\rho}(e_0^n), \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows from (1.2.1), and the last equality follows from Lemma 5.7.

It follows that the two maps of the above diagram agree on e_0^n , $n \geq 1$. Since these maps are algebra morphisms, and since the family e_0^n , $n \geq 0$ generates $(\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}, \cdot_{e_1})$ (see Lemma 6.1), this diagram commutes. \square

Proposition 6.3. *The following diagram commutes*

$$(6.2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}) \xrightarrow[\diamond\#]{(e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \text{col}_1 e_1 f_1} (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq 0})^{\otimes 2} \\ \simeq \downarrow \diamond & & \uparrow \\ (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}, \cdot_{e_1}) & & \\ \text{mor}_{\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}, e_1} \downarrow \simeq\# & & \\ \mathcal{W}_+^{\text{DR}} & \xrightarrow[\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}}]{} & (\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2} \end{array}$$

where ρ is as in (5.2.5), $\text{row}_1, \text{col}_1$ are as in (5.2.6), and $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}}$ is as in §1.2; in this diagram, all the maps are algebra morphisms (resp. degree 0 maps), except for the maps marked with \diamond (resp. $\#$), which are only \mathbf{k} -module morphisms (degree 1 maps).

Proof. This follows from the combination the commutative diagram from Lemma 6.2 with the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow (e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \text{col}_1 e_1 f_1 \\ (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}, \cdot_{e_1}) & \xrightarrow[\text{Ad}(e_1 f_1)^{-1} \circ \hat{\rho}]{} & (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq 0})^{\otimes 2} \end{array}$$

which follows from the specialization, based on (5.2.7), of the commutative diagram from Lemma 4.3 to $R = \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}$, $S = \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]^{\otimes 2}$, $f = \rho$, $e = e_1$, $\text{row} = (e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1$, $\text{col} = \text{col}_1 e_1 f_1$.

The map ρ has degree 0 as it is a composition of maps of degree 0. The other degree statements follow from inspection of the degrees of $e_1, \text{row}_1, \text{col}_1$. \square

6.3. Relationship between infinitesimal braid Lie algebras and $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}, \text{DR}}$.

Definition 6.4. Set

$$\text{col}_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -e_1 \cdot 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \\ e_1 \cdot 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{3 \times 1}((\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}).$$

Lemma 6.5. *Denote by $(a, x) \mapsto ax$ the action of $M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2})$ on $M_{3 \times 1}((\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2})$. Then*

$$(6.3.1) \quad \rho(e_0) \text{col}_0 = 0.$$

Proof. Using (5.2.9), one computes $\rho(e_0) \text{col}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -e_1 f_0 \cdot 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \\ e_1 f_0 \cdot 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \end{pmatrix} = 0$ in $M_{3 \times 1}((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}/\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}_{e_0})^{\otimes 2}) = M_{3 \times 1}((\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2})$. \square

Lemma 6.6. *The map $(e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \text{col}_0 : M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]^{\otimes 2}$ constructed out of matrix multiplication and of the tensor square of the map $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}] \otimes \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$ induced by the inclusions $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} \subset \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}} \subset \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$, the product on $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$, and the action of $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$ on $\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$, has image contained in $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq -1})^{\otimes 2}$.*

Proof. This map is given by $(m_{ij})_{i,j \in \llbracket 1,3 \rrbracket} \mapsto \{f_1^{-1}(m_{13} - m_{12})e_1 + e_1^{-1}(m_{22} - m_{23})e_1\} \cdot 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2}$. One has $f_1^{-1}(m_{13} - m_{12})e_1 \cdot 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq 1} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq -1}$ and $e_1^{-1}(m_{22} - m_{23})e_1 \cdot 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \in (\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq 0})^{\otimes 2}$, therefore the sum of these elements belongs to the announced space. \square

Lemma 6.7. *There is a unique map $\delta : \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq -1})^{\otimes 2}$, such that the following diagram commutes*

$$(6.3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}) \\ (-) \cdot 1_{\text{DR}} \downarrow & & \downarrow (e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \text{col}_0 \\ \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & (\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq -1})^{\otimes 2} \end{array}$$

It is such that

$$(6.3.3) \quad \delta(1_{\text{DR}}) = 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2}.$$

Proof. If $x \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}$, then

$$(e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1 \cdot \rho(xe_0) \cdot \text{col}_0 = (e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1 \rho(x) \rho(e_0) \text{col}_0 = 0$$

by (6.3.1), therefore $((e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \text{col}_0) \circ \rho(\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} e_0) = 0$. The existence and uniqueness of δ follow. One then computes

$$\delta(1_{\text{DR}}) = (e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1 \cdot \rho(1) \cdot \text{col}_0 = (e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1 \cdot \text{col}_0 = (e_1 f_1)^{-1} e_1 f_1 \cdot 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} = 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2}.$$

\square

Lemma 6.8. *The map δ satisfies the identity*

$$(6.3.4) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}}, \quad \forall m \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}, \quad \delta(x \cdot m) = \Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}}(x) \cdot \delta(m),$$

where the module structure in the left-hand side (resp. right-hand side) is that of \mathcal{M}^{DR} over \mathcal{W}^{DR} (resp. $\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]^{\otimes 2}$ over $(\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}$).

Proof. The identity is obvious if $x = 1$. Assume now that $x = ae_1$ with $a \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}$ and that $m \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}} = \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} / \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} e_0$. Let $\tilde{m} \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}$ be a lift of m . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x \cdot m) &= \delta(ae_1 \cdot m) = (e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1 \cdot \rho(ae_1 \tilde{m}) \cdot \text{col}_0 \\ &= (e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1 \cdot \rho(a) \rho(e_1) \rho(\tilde{m}) \cdot \text{col}_0 = (e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1 \cdot \rho(a) \cdot \text{col}_1 \cdot \text{row}_1 \cdot \rho(\tilde{m}) \cdot \text{col}_0 \\ &= (e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1 \cdot \rho(a) \cdot \text{col}_1 \cdot e_1 f_1 \cdot (e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1 \cdot \rho(\tilde{m}) \cdot \text{col}_0 \\ &= \Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}}(x) \cdot \delta(m), \end{aligned}$$

where row_1 is as in (5.2.6), the second equality follows from (6.3.2), the third equality follows from the fact that ρ is an algebra morphism, the fourth equality follows from (5.2.7) and the last equality follows from the combination of (6.3.2) and the equality $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}}(ae_1) = (e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1 \cdot \rho(a) \cdot \text{col}_1 \cdot (e_1 f_1)$ for $a \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}$, which follows from equation (6.2.3). \square

Proposition 6.9. *The following diagram commutes*

$$(6.3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2}) \\ (-) \cdot 1_{\text{DR}} \downarrow & & \downarrow (e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \text{col}_0 \\ \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}} & \xrightarrow{\Delta^{\mathcal{M}, \text{DR}}} (\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2} \hookrightarrow & (\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq -1})^{\otimes 2} \end{array}$$

In this diagram, all the maps have degree 0.

Proof. Combining (6.3.3), (6.3.4) and the fact that \mathcal{M}^{DR} is a free \mathcal{W}^{DR} -module of rank one with generator 1_{DR} , one obtains $\delta = \Delta^{\mathcal{M}, \text{DR}}$, which one injects in (6.3.2) to get the result. The statement on degrees follows from inspection of the degrees of $(e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1$ and col_0 . \square

6.4. Completions (commutativities of (A7) in (10.8.4) and (M5) in (11.6.1)). The following lemma will be used to prove the commutativities mentioned in the title of this subsection.

Lemma 6.10. *The commutative diagram (6.2.3) (resp. (6.3.5)) gives rise to a commutative diagram between the degree completions of its constituents, in which the completion of the map $(\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq 0})^{\otimes 2}$ (resp. $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq -1})^{\otimes 2}$) is injective.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.3, if one equips \mathcal{V}^{DR} and $M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2})$ with the shifted gradings $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[1]_n := \mathcal{V}_{n-1}^{\text{DR}}$ and $M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2})[1]_n := M_3(((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2})_{n-1})$, then (6.2.3) is a diagram in the category $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr}, +}$. By Proposition 6.9, (6.3.5) is similarly a diagram in the same category. Applying the functor $(-)^{\wedge} \circ \text{taut}_{\text{gr}, +}^{\text{fil}, +}$ (see §1), one obtains commutative diagrams in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{top}}$. The announced injectivities follows from Lemma 0.2, 1). \square

7. BRAID GROUPS

In §7.1, we recall the definition of various families of braid groups (the Artin braid group, the sphere braid group and the modular group of the sphere with marked points), of their pure subgroups, and of a diagram of morphisms relating them (see (7.1.1)). We recall the presentation of these groups and relate various generators by morphisms (Lemma 7.3). We then give a presentation of the modular group P_5^* which exhibits an order 5 cyclic symmetry, and may be viewed as an analogue of the presentation of \mathfrak{p}_5 in [Ih2], Proposition 4 (this presentation is not used in the sequel of the paper). In §7.1.2, we define morphisms $\underline{\ell} : F_2 \rightarrow P_5^*$, $\underline{\text{pr}}_i : P_5^* \rightarrow F_2$ ($i \in \llbracket 1, 5 \rrbracket$), $\underline{\text{pr}}_{12} : P_5^* \rightarrow (F_2)^2$ relating P_5^* with the free group with two generators F_2 or its square.

In §7.2, we introduce an ideal $J(\underline{\text{pr}}_5)$ of the group algebra $\mathbf{k}P_5^*$ arising from $\underline{\text{pr}}_5$, and show its freeness as a left $\mathbf{k}P_5^*$ -module (Lemma 7.10). Lemma 4.1 then gives rise to an algebra morphism $\underline{\varpi} : \mathbf{k}P_5^* \rightarrow M_3(\mathbf{k}P_5^*)$. By composing $\underline{\varpi}$ with the morphisms $\underline{\ell}$ and $\underline{\text{pr}}_{12}$, we construct a morphism $\underline{\rho} : \mathcal{V}^{\text{B}} \rightarrow M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2})$ (see (7.2.1)). In Lemma 7.11, by introducing suitable elements $\underline{\text{row}}_1 \in M_{1 \times 3}((\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2})$ and $\underline{\text{col}}_1 \in M_{3 \times 1}((\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2})$, we show that the morphism $\underline{\rho}$

satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 4.3. Applying this lemma, we obtain in §7.2.4 a morphism $\tilde{\rho}: (\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}, \cdot_{X_{1-1}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}})^{\otimes 2}$, which we compute in Lemma 7.12.

7.1. Material on braid groups.

7.1.1. *Braid groups.* For X a topological space, let $C_n(X)$ denote its configuration space of n distinct points. Let also $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n+1}$ be the moduli space of smooth complex projective curves of genus zero with $n+1$ marked points. Below, we give a list of topological spaces and simply-connected subspaces, together with standard names and notation for the corresponding fundamental groups (see [Bir, Ih1, LoS]).

range of n	$n \geq 1$	$n \geq 1$	$n \geq 3$
space X	$C_n(\mathbb{C})$	$C_n(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1)$	$C_n(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1)/\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathfrak{M}_{0,n}$
subspace b	\mathcal{U}_n	\mathcal{U}_n	\mathcal{B}_n
notation for $\pi_1(X, b)$	K_n	P_n	P_n^*
name of $\pi_1(X, b)$	pure Artin braid group	pure sphere (Hurwitz) braid group	pure modular group of the sphere with n marked points

range of n	$n \geq 1$	$n \geq 1$	$n \geq 3$
space X	$C_n(\mathbb{C})/S_n$	$C_n(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1)/S_n$	$C_n(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1)/(S_n \times \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})) \simeq \mathfrak{M}_{0,n}/S_n$
subspace b	$S_n \cdot \mathcal{U}_n$	$S_n \cdot \mathcal{U}_n$	$S_n \cdot \mathcal{B}_n$
notation for $\pi_1(X, b)$	B_n	H_n	B_n^*
name of $\pi_1(X, b)$	Artin braid group	sphere (Hurwitz) braid group	modular group of the sphere with n marked points

Here we set $\mathcal{U}_n := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_1 < \dots < x_n\} \subset C_n(\mathbb{C})$; we define $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_n \subset C_n(\mathbb{P}^1)$ to be the set of n -tuples (x_1, \dots, x_n) in $(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1)^n$, which lie on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$ in the counterclockwise order; one has $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_n = \mathrm{PGL}_2^+(\mathbb{R}) \cdot \mathcal{U}_n$; we define \mathcal{B}_n as the quotient $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_n/\mathrm{PGL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$; it is a simply-connected subspace of $C_n(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1)/\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

By homotopy exact sequence, the pure groups appear as the kernels of the natural morphisms of their non-pure counterparts to S_n , so

$$K_n = \mathrm{Ker}(B_n \rightarrow S_n), \quad P_n = \mathrm{Ker}(H_n \rightarrow S_n), \quad P_n^* = \mathrm{Ker}(B_n^* \rightarrow S_n).$$

According to [LoS], Appendix, B_n^* is isomorphic to the quotient of the Artin braid group B_n by the normal subgroup generated by η_n if $n \geq 3$, which corresponds to the winding of x_n around (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) (see (7.1.6) for an expression in terms of standard generators), and by its center $Z(B_n)$, which is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} . One has $Z(B_n) \subset K_n$, and $P_{n+1}^* \simeq K_n/Z(B_n)$ for $n \geq 2$. One also has $P_n \simeq P_n^* \times C_2$, where C_2 is the cyclic group of order 2 (see [LoS], Proposition A4 iii) and also [Ih1], Corollary 2.1.2).

Remark 7.1. The isomorphism $P_{n+1}^* \simeq K_n/Z(B_n)$ can be interpreted as follows. There is an isomorphism $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n+1} \simeq C_n(\mathbb{C})/\mathrm{Aff}$, with $\mathrm{Aff} = \{x \mapsto ax + b \mid a \in \mathbb{C}^\times, b \in \mathbb{C}\}$; it gives rise to a homotopy exact sequence $\pi_2(\mathfrak{M}_{0,n+1}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathrm{Aff}) \rightarrow \pi_1(C_n(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathfrak{M}_{0,n+1}) \rightarrow 1$. The

spaces $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n}$ are $K(\pi, 1)$ -spaces, as can be seen inductively from the homotopy exact sequences of the fibrations $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{0,n}$, therefore $\pi_2(\mathfrak{M}_{0,n+1}) = 1$. One has $K_n = \pi_1(C_n(\mathbb{C}))$, $P_{n+1}^* = \pi_1(\mathfrak{M}_{0,n+1})$ and $\pi_1(\text{Aff}) = \mathbb{Z}$, so the above exact sequence implies $P_{n+1}^* \simeq K_n/\mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 7.2. The isomorphism $P_n \simeq P_n^* \times C_2$ for $n \geq 2$ implies, in the notation of Remark 5.1, the isomorphism $\text{gr}(P_n) \simeq \text{gr}(P_n^*) \times C_2$, therefore $\text{gr}(P_n^*) \otimes \mathbf{k} \simeq \text{gr}(P_n) \otimes \mathbf{k} \simeq \mathfrak{p}_n$ as $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbf{k}$.

A diagram of pure braid groups. The canonical projection $C_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C_{n+1}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1)/\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ defines a morphism of topological spaces; this map takes \mathcal{U}_{n+1} to \mathcal{B}_{n+1} , therefore induces a group morphism $K_{n+1} \rightarrow P_{n+1}^*$.

Define a morphism $C_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C_{n+1}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1)/\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ to be the map taking (x_1, \dots, x_n) to the class of $(x_1, \dots, x_n, \infty)$. This map takes \mathcal{U}_n to \mathcal{B}_{n+1} , therefore induces a group morphism $K_n \rightarrow P_{n+1}^*$.

Let D be the open unit disc in \mathbb{C} , let $C_n^D(\mathbb{C})$ (resp. \mathcal{U}_n^D) be the intersection of $C_n(\mathbb{C})$ (resp. \mathcal{U}_n) with D^n . Then $(C_n^D(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{U}_n^D)$ is a deformation retract of $(C_n(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{U}_n)$, therefore $K_n \simeq \pi_1(C_n^D(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{U}_n^D)$. The morphism $C_n^D(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ given by $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n, 2)$ takes \mathcal{U}_n^D to \mathcal{U}_{n+1} , and therefore induces a morphism $K_n \rightarrow K_{n+1}$.

Then the diagram

$$(7.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_n & \longrightarrow & P_{n+1}^* \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ K_{n+1} & & \end{array}$$

commutes.

Presentations of braid groups. According to [Ar], the group B_n is presented for $n \geq 1$ by generators $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}$, subject to relations $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i$ for $|i - j| > 1$, and $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$ for $i \in \llbracket 1, n-1 \rrbracket$. The morphism $B_n \rightarrow S_n$ is then given by $\sigma_i \mapsto (i, i+1)$.

For $i < j \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, set

$$\tilde{x}_{ij} := (\sigma_{j-2} \cdots \sigma_i)^{-1} \sigma_{j-1}^2 (\sigma_{j-2} \cdots \sigma_i) = (\sigma_{j-1} \cdots \sigma_{i+1}) \sigma_i^2 (\sigma_{j-1} \cdots \sigma_{i+1})^{-1} \in B_n.$$

(when $j = i+1$, the products $\sigma_{j-2} \cdots \sigma_i$ and $\sigma_{j-1} \cdots \sigma_{i+1}$ are equal to 1 by convention, see (2.4.9)). Then one checks that $\tilde{x}_{ij} \in K_n$. According to [Ar], a presentation of K_n is given by generators \tilde{x}_{ij} , $i < j \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, subject to relations

$$(7.1.2) \quad (a_{ijk}, \tilde{x}_{ij}) = (a_{ijk}, \tilde{x}_{ik}) = (a_{ijk}, \tilde{x}_{jk}) = 1$$

for $i < j < k$ and $i, j, k \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, where $a_{ijk} = \tilde{x}_{ij} \tilde{x}_{ik} \tilde{x}_{jk}$, together with

$$(7.1.3) \quad (\tilde{x}_{ij}, \tilde{x}_{kl}) = (\tilde{x}_{ik}, \tilde{x}_{ij}^{-1} \tilde{x}_{jl} \tilde{x}_{ij}) = (\tilde{x}_{il}, \tilde{x}_{jk}) = 1.$$

for $i < j < k < l$ and $i, j, k, l \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$.

Set

$$\omega_n := \tilde{x}_{12} \cdot (\tilde{x}_{13} \tilde{x}_{23}) \cdots (\tilde{x}_{1n} \cdots \tilde{x}_{n-1,n}) \in K_n.$$

Then ω_n is a generator of $Z(B_n)$, therefore

$$(7.1.4) \quad P_{n+1}^* = K_n / \langle \omega_n \rangle.$$

For $n \geq 2$ and $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, define $\tilde{x}_{i,n+1} \in K_n$ by

$$(7.1.5) \quad \tilde{x}_{i,n+1} := (\tilde{x}_{1i} \cdots \tilde{x}_{i-1,i} \tilde{x}_{i,i+1} \cdots \tilde{x}_{in})^{-1}.$$

For $i < j \in \llbracket 1, n+1 \rrbracket$, we denote by $x_{ij} \in P_{n+1}^*$ the image of $\tilde{x}_{ij} \in K_n$ by the projection (7.1.4).

Lemma 7.3. *If $i < j \in \llbracket 1, n+1 \rrbracket$, then $x_{ij} \in P_{n+1}^*$ is the image of $\tilde{x}_{ij} \in K_{n+1}$ under the morphism $K_{n+1} \rightarrow P_{n+1}^*$.*

Proof. If $j \leq n$, then the image of $\tilde{x}_{ij} \in K_n$ under $K_n \rightarrow P_{n+1}^*$ is $x_{ij} \in P_{n+1}^*$, and the image of the same element under $K_n \rightarrow K_{n+1}$ is $\tilde{x}_{ij} \in K_n$, so the result follows from the commutativity of (7.1.1).

Assume now that $j = n+1$. According to [LoS], three lines after (A1), one has

$$(7.1.6) \quad \eta_{n+1} = \sigma_n \cdots \sigma_1^2 \cdots \sigma_n$$

(equality in B_{n+1}). Moreover, one checks that for $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$,

$$\sigma_i \cdots \sigma_n \cdot \eta_{n+1}^{-1} \cdot (\sigma_i \cdots \sigma_n)^{-1} = \tilde{x}_{1i} \cdots \tilde{x}_{i-1,i} \tilde{x}_{i,i+1} \cdots \tilde{x}_{i,n+1}$$

(equality in B_{n+1}). The left-hand side belongs to the kernel of the morphism $B_{n+1} \rightarrow B_{n+1}^*$, and the right-hand side belongs to the subgroup $K_{n+1} \subset B_{n+1}$, therefore the latter side belongs to the kernel of the composed morphism $K_{n+1} \subset B_{n+1} \rightarrow B_{n+1}^*$. As this morphism factors as $K_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow P_{n+1}^* \hookrightarrow B_{n+1}^*$, the right-hand side belongs to $\text{Ker}(K_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow P_{n+1}^*)$. \square

Diagrammatic representation. The generators of B_n are depicted as follows when $n = 4$, $\sigma_1 = \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ | \quad | \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array}$, $\sigma_2 = \begin{array}{c} | \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ | \quad | \quad | \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array}$, $\sigma_3 = \begin{array}{c} | \quad | \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ | \quad | \quad | \quad | \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array}$ and the convention for the product is $\sigma_2 \sigma_1 = \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ | \quad | \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array}$

The element $\tilde{x}_{ij} \in K_n$ is then depicted as follows

$$\tilde{x}_{ij} = \begin{array}{c} \overbrace{\begin{array}{c} | \quad | \quad | \quad | \\ | \quad | \quad | \quad | \\ | \quad | \quad | \quad | \end{array}}^{j-1} \\ \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} | \quad | \quad | \quad | \\ | \quad | \quad | \quad | \\ | \quad | \quad | \quad | \end{array}}_{i-1} \end{array}$$

According to these conventions, the diagrammatic representatives of the elements $\tilde{x}_{i,5} \in B_4$ given by (7.1.5) are the following

$$\tilde{x}_{15} = \begin{array}{c} | \quad | \quad | \quad | \\ | \quad | \quad | \quad | \\ | \quad | \quad | \quad | \end{array}, \quad \tilde{x}_{25} = \begin{array}{c} | \quad | \quad | \quad | \\ | \quad | \quad | \quad | \\ | \quad | \quad | \quad | \end{array}, \quad \tilde{x}_{35} = \begin{array}{c} | \quad | \quad | \quad | \\ | \quad | \quad | \quad | \\ | \quad | \quad | \quad | \end{array}, \quad \tilde{x}_{45} = \begin{array}{c} | \quad | \quad | \quad | \\ | \quad | \quad | \quad | \\ | \quad | \quad | \quad | \end{array}.$$

For $a, b \geq 1$, we define $\sigma_{a,b} \in B_{a+b}$ to be the element represented by

$$(7.1.7) \quad \sigma_{a,b} = \begin{array}{c} \overbrace{\quad\quad\quad}^a \quad \overbrace{\quad\quad\quad}^b \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \cdots \quad \cdots \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \quad \quad \quad \end{array} \in B_{a+b}.$$

Note the equality $\sigma_1 = \sigma_{1,1}^{-1} \in B_2$.

The following result may be viewed as an analogue of Proposition 4 in [Ih2].

Proposition 7.4. *For $i \in C_5 \simeq \llbracket 1, 5 \rrbracket$, define $g_i \in P_5^*$ by $g_i := x_{i,i+1}$ (with the convention $x_{\overline{0},\overline{1}} := x_{1,5}$). The group P_5^* is presented by generators g_i ($i \in C_5$), subject to the relations*

$$(g_i, g_j) = 1 \text{ if } i, j \in C_5 \text{ and } i - j \neq \pm \overline{1}, \quad (g_{\overline{0}}, g_{\overline{1}})(g_{\overline{1}}, g_{\overline{2}})(g_{\overline{2}}, g_{\overline{3}})(g_{\overline{3}}, g_{\overline{4}})(g_{\overline{4}}, g_{\overline{0}}) = 1.$$

Proof. It follows from (7.1.5) that for $i \in \llbracket 1, 4 \rrbracket$, one has

$$(7.1.8) \quad x_{i,5} = (x_{1i} \cdots x_{i-1,i} x_{i,i+1} \cdots x_{i4})^{-1} \in P_5^*.$$

Relation $\omega_5 = 1$, namely

$$(7.1.9) \quad x_{12}x_{13}x_{23}x_{14}x_{24}x_{34} = 1,$$

together with relation (7.1.8) for $i = 4$, implies $g_{\overline{4}} = x_{45} = x_{12}x_{13}x_{23}$, therefore

$$(7.1.10) \quad x_{13} = g_{\overline{1}}^{-1} g_{\overline{4}} g_{\overline{2}}^{-1}.$$

Relation (7.1.9) together with $(x_{14}, x_{23}) = 1$ yields $x_{12}x_{13}x_{14}x_{23}x_{24}x_{34} = 1$, which together with (7.1.8) for $i = 1$, yields $x_{15} = x_{23}x_{24}x_{34}$. This relation yields

$$(7.1.11) \quad x_{24} = g_{\overline{2}}^{-1} g_{\overline{0}} g_{\overline{3}}^{-1}.$$

By the commutation of x_{34} with $x_{23}x_{24}x_{34}$, this relation also yields $g_{\overline{0}} = x_{15} = x_{24}x_{34}x_{23} = x_{24}x_{34}g_{\overline{2}}$, therefore $x_{34}^{-1}x_{24}^{-1} = g_{\overline{2}}g_{\overline{0}}^{-1}$. (7.1.9) implies $x_{14} = x_{23}^{-1}x_{13}^{-1}x_{12}^{-1}x_{34}^{-1}x_{24}^{-1}$, which after combination with the previous equality yields $x_{14} = x_{23}^{-1}x_{13}^{-1}x_{12}^{-1}g_{\overline{2}}g_{\overline{0}}^{-1} = g_{\overline{2}}^{-1}x_{13}^{-1}g_{\overline{1}}^{-1}g_{\overline{2}}g_{\overline{0}}^{-1}$. Combining with (7.1.10), we obtain

$$(7.1.12) \quad x_{14} = g_{\overline{4}}^{-1} g_{\overline{2}} g_{\overline{0}}^{-1}.$$

As P_5^* is a quotient of K_4 it is generated by $\{x_{ij} | i < j \in \llbracket 1, 4 \rrbracket\}$, therefore also by the union of this set with $g_{\overline{0}}$, which is equal to $\{g_i | i \in C_5\} \cup \{x_{13}, x_{24}, x_{14}\}$. Relations (7.1.10), (7.1.11) and (7.1.12) then imply that a generating set is $\{g_i | i \in C_5\}$.

The group P_5^* may be viewed as generated by $\{g_i | i \in C_5\} \cup \{x_{13}, x_{24}, x_{14}\}$, subject to relations (7.1.2), (7.1.3), $\omega_5 = 1$, and (7.1.8) for $i = 1, 4$. These relations imply expressions (7.1.10), (7.1.11) and (7.1.12) of x_{13}, x_{24}, x_{14} in terms of $\{g_i | i \in C_5\}$. Substituting these expressions in relations (7.1.2), (7.1.3), $\omega_5 = 1$, and (7.1.8) for $i = 1, 4$, we obtain a presentation of P_5^* in terms of the generators $\{g_i | i \in C_5\}$. The relations obtained in this way are the following. Relation $\omega_5 = 1$ yields the commutation of $g_{\overline{0}}$ with $g_{\overline{2}}$. Relation (7.1.2) for $(i, j, k) = (1, 2, 3)$ yields the commutation of $g_{\overline{4}}$ with $g_{\overline{1}}$ and $g_{\overline{2}}$. Relation (7.1.2) for $(i, j, k) = (2, 3, 4)$ yields the

commutation of $g_{\bar{0}}$ with $g_{\bar{2}}$ and $g_{\bar{3}}$. The first part of relation (7.1.3), namely $(x_{12}, x_{34}) = 1$, yields the commutation of $g_{\bar{1}}$ with $g_{\bar{3}}$. The last part of relation (7.1.3), namely $(x_{14}, x_{23}) = 1$, yields a consequence of the already obtained commutations of $g_{\bar{2}}$ with $g_{\bar{0}}$ and $g_{\bar{4}}$. The middle part of relation (7.1.3), namely $(x_{13}, x_{12}^{-1}x_{24}x_{12}) = 1$, together with the commutations $(g_{\bar{1}}, g_{\bar{3}}) = (g_{\bar{0}}, g_{\bar{2}}) = 1$, yields the relation $g_{\bar{0}}g_{\bar{2}}^{-1}g_{\bar{1}}g_{\bar{3}}^{-1}g_{\bar{2}}g_{\bar{4}}^{-1}g_{\bar{3}}g_{\bar{0}}^{-1}g_{\bar{4}}g_{\bar{1}}^{-1} = 1$, which by again using the commutation relations yields $g_{\bar{0}}g_{\bar{4}}^{-1}g_{\bar{1}}g_{\bar{0}}^{-1}g_{\bar{2}}g_{\bar{1}}^{-1}g_{\bar{3}}g_{\bar{2}}^{-1}g_{\bar{4}}g_{\bar{3}}^{-1} = 1$, which is equivalent to the cyclic relation $(g_{\bar{0}}, g_{\bar{1}})(g_{\bar{1}}, g_{\bar{2}})(g_{\bar{2}}, g_{\bar{3}})(g_{\bar{3}}, g_{\bar{4}})(g_{\bar{4}}, g_{\bar{0}}) = 1$.

Relation (7.1.2) for $(i, j, k) = (1, 2, 4)$ splits as the conjunction of $x_{12}x_{14}x_{24} = x_{14}x_{24}x_{12}$ and $x_{14}x_{24}x_{12} = x_{24}x_{12}x_{14}$. The first relation yields a consequence of already obtained relations, namely $(g_{\bar{0}}, g_{\bar{2}}) = (g_{\bar{1}}, g_{\bar{3}}) = (g_{\bar{1}}, g_{\bar{4}}) = 1$. After using $(g_{\bar{0}}, g_{\bar{2}}) = (g_{\bar{1}}, g_{\bar{3}}) = 1$, the second relation yields $g_{\bar{0}}g_{\bar{3}}^{-1}g_{\bar{1}}g_{\bar{4}}^{-1}g_{\bar{2}}g_{\bar{0}}^{-1}g_{\bar{3}}g_{\bar{1}}^{-1}g_{\bar{4}}g_{\bar{2}}^{-1} = 1$ which as above is equivalent to the already obtained cyclic relation.

Relation (7.1.2) for $(i, j, k) = (1, 3, 4)$ splits as the conjunction of $x_{13}x_{14}x_{34} = x_{34}x_{13}x_{14}$ and $x_{34}x_{13}x_{14} = x_{14}x_{34}x_{13}$. The first relation yields a consequence of the already obtained relations $(g_{\bar{2}}, g_{\bar{4}}) = (g_{\bar{3}}, g_{\bar{1}}) = (g_{\bar{3}}, g_{\bar{0}}) = 1$. After using $(g_{\bar{2}}, g_{\bar{4}}) = 1$ and the commutation of $g_{\bar{1}}$ with $g_{\bar{3}}^{-1}$, the second relation yields the already obtained relation $g_{\bar{0}}g_{\bar{3}}^{-1}g_{\bar{1}}g_{\bar{4}}^{-1}g_{\bar{2}}g_{\bar{0}}^{-1}g_{\bar{3}}g_{\bar{1}}^{-1}g_{\bar{4}}g_{\bar{2}}^{-1} = 1$. \square

Remark 7.5. Under the commutation relations, the cyclic relation $\prod_{i \in C_5} (g_i, g_{i+1}) = 1$ (using the notation $\prod_{i \in C_5} a_i := a_{\bar{0}}a_{\bar{1}} \cdots a_{\bar{4}}$) is equivalent to any of the relations $\prod_{i \in C_5} g_i g_{i+j}^{-1} = 1$, where $j \in C_5 - \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$. For $j = \bar{2}$, this relation expresses as $\prod_{i \in C_5} (g_i^{-1}, g_{i+1}^{-1}) = 1$. The cyclic relation is also equivalent to the relation $\prod_{i \in C_5} (g_{-i}, g_{-i-1}) = 1$. All this proves that the group $D_5 \times C_2$ acts by automorphisms of P_5^* as follows: the dihedral group D_5 acts by permutation of indices of the generators $(g_i)_{i \in C_5}$ and the cyclic group C_2 acts by $g_i \mapsto g_i^{-1}$.

7.1.2. The morphisms $\underline{\ell}$, $\underline{\text{pr}}_i$ and $\underline{\text{pr}}_{12}$ between braid groups. Let F_2 be the free group with generators X_0, X_1 . Similarly to §5.2.3, we will abuse notation by setting for $i = 0, 1$

$$(7.1.13) \quad X_i := (X_i, 1) \in (F_2)^2, \quad Y_i := (1, X_i) \in (F_2)^2.$$

We then have $X_i = X_i \otimes 1, Y_i = 1 \otimes X_i$ in $(\mathcal{V}^B)^{\otimes 2}$.

Lemma 7.6. 1) *There are group morphisms $\underline{\text{pr}}_i : P_5^* \rightarrow F_2$ for $i = 1, 2, 5$, given by*

$x \in P_5^*$	x_{12}	x_{13}	x_{14}	x_{15}	x_{23}	x_{24}	x_{25}	x_{34}	x_{35}	x_{45}
$\underline{\text{pr}}_1(x)$	1	1	1	1	X_0	$(X_1X_0)^{-1}$	X_1	X_1	$(X_0X_1)^{-1}$	X_0
$\underline{\text{pr}}_2(x)$	1	$(X_0X_1)^{-1}$	X_0	X_1	1	1	1	X_1	$X_1^{-1}X_0X_1$	$(X_0X_1)^{-1}$
$\underline{\text{pr}}_5(x)$	X_1	$(X_0X_1)^{-1}$	X_0	1	X_0	$(X_1X_0)^{-1}$	1	X_1	1	1

2) *There is a group morphism $\underline{\ell} : F_2 \rightarrow P_5^*$, given by $X_0 \mapsto x_{23}, X_1 \mapsto x_{12}$. We have*

$$\underline{\text{pr}}_5 \circ \underline{\ell} = \text{id}_{F_2}.$$

Proof. Direct computation. \square

Define $\underline{\text{pr}}_{12} : P_5^* \rightarrow (F_2)^2$ as the morphism $\underline{p} \mapsto (\underline{\text{pr}}_1(\underline{p}), \underline{\text{pr}}_2(\underline{p}))$. We will denote by $\underline{\ell}$, $\underline{\text{pr}}_i$ and $\underline{\text{pr}}_{12}$ the Hopf algebra morphisms relating the group algebras $\mathbf{k}F_2$, $(\mathbf{k}F_2)^{\otimes 2}$ and $\mathbf{k}P_5^*$ induced by $\underline{\ell}$, $\underline{\text{pr}}_i$ and $\underline{\text{pr}}_{12}$.

Remark 7.7. The pure modular group of the sphere with 4 marked points P_4^* is freely generated by x_{12}, x_{23} , and therefore isomorphic to F_2 . Composing with this isomorphism the morphisms $\underline{\text{pr}}_i$ (resp. $\underline{\ell}$), one obtains the morphisms $P_5^* \rightarrow P_4^*$ (resp. $P_4^* \rightarrow P_5^*$) induced by the morphisms between moduli spaces consisting in forgetting the i -th marked point (resp. doubling the fourth marked point).

7.2. Algebraic constructions related to an ideal of $\mathbf{k}P_5^*$.

7.2.1. The structure of $J(\underline{\text{pr}}_5)$.

Definition 7.8. We denote by $J(\underline{\text{pr}}_5)$ the kernel $\text{Ker}(\mathbf{k}P_5^* \xrightarrow{\underline{\text{pr}}_5} \mathbf{k}F_2)$. This is a two-sided ideal of $\mathbf{k}P_5^*$.

Let F_3 be the free group with generators a_i , $i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket$; there is a unique group morphism $F_3 \rightarrow P_5^*$, given by $a_i \mapsto x_{i5}$ for $i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket$.

Lemma 7.9. 1) The morphisms $\underline{\text{pr}}_5$ and $F_3 \rightarrow P_5^*$ fit in an exact sequence $1 \rightarrow F_3 \rightarrow P_5^* \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow 1$. As $F_3 \rightarrow P_5^*$ is injective, we will identify F_3 with its image in P_5^* .

2) The map $F_2 \times F_3 \rightarrow P_5^*$, $(\underline{f}, \underline{f}') \mapsto \underline{\ell}(\underline{f}) \cdot \underline{f}'$ is a bijection.

Proof. 1) follows from [Ih1], Proposition 2.1.3, based on [FaB]. 2) then follows from the fact that $\underline{\ell}$ is a section of $\underline{\text{pr}}_5$. \square

Lemma 7.10. The map $(\mathbf{k}P_5^*)^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow J(\underline{\text{pr}}_5)$, $(\underline{p}_i)_{i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket} \mapsto \sum_{i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket} \underline{p}_i \cdot (x_{i5} - 1)$ is an isomorphism of left $\mathbf{k}P_5^*$ -modules.

Proof. The bijection from Lemma 7.9, 2) induces a linear isomorphism $\mathbf{k}F_2 \otimes \mathbf{k}F_3 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{k}P_5^*$. For $(\underline{f}, \underline{f}') \in F_2 \times F_3$, $\underline{\text{pr}}_5(\underline{\ell}(\underline{f}) \cdot \underline{f}') = \underline{f}$ as $\underline{\text{pr}}_5 \circ \underline{\ell} = \text{id}_{F_2}$ and $F_3 = \text{Ker}(\underline{\text{pr}}_5)$. It follows that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{k}F_2 \otimes \mathbf{k}F_3 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{k}P_5^* \\ & \searrow \text{id} \otimes \varepsilon & \downarrow \underline{\text{pr}}_5 \\ & & \mathbf{k}F_2 \end{array}$$

It follows that $J(\underline{\text{pr}}_5)$ is the image of $\mathbf{k}F_2 \otimes (\mathbf{k}F_3)_+$ under the linear isomorphism $\mathbf{k}F_2 \otimes \mathbf{k}F_3 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{k}P_5^*$.

Since $x_{i5} \in F_3$ for $i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbf{k}F_2 \otimes \mathbf{k}F_3)^{\oplus 3} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (\mathbf{k}P_5^*)^{\oplus 3} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{k}F_2 \otimes \mathbf{k}F_3 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{k}P_5^* \end{array}$$

commutes, where the vertical maps are, on the left-hand side, the tensor product of the $\text{id}_{\mathbf{k}F_2}$ with $\mathbf{k}F_3^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}F_3$, $(\underline{f}_i)_{i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket} \mapsto \sum_{i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket} \underline{f}_i \cdot (x_{i5} - 1)$, and on the right-hand side, the map given by the same formula.

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\mathbf{k}F_2 \otimes (\mathbf{k}F_3)_+$ is the isomorphic image of the left vertical map, therefore $J(\underline{\text{pr}}_5)$ is the isomorphic image of $(\mathbf{k}P_5^*)^{\oplus 3}$ by the right vertical map, which proves the claimed statement. \square

7.2.2. *A morphism $\underline{\varpi} : \mathbf{k}P_5^* \rightarrow M_3(\mathbf{k}P_5^*)$.* Lemma 7.10 says that the hypothesis of Lemma 4.1 is satisfied in the following situation: $R = \mathbf{k}P_5^*$, $J = J(\underline{\text{pr}}_5)$, $d = 3$, $(j_a)_{a \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket} = (x_{i5} - 1)_{i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket}$. We denote by

$$\underline{\varpi} : \mathbf{k}P_5^* \rightarrow M_3(\mathbf{k}P_5^*)$$

the algebra morphism given in this situation by Lemma 4.1. Then for $\underline{p} \in \mathbf{k}P_5^*$, $\underline{\varpi}(\underline{p}) = (\underline{a}_{ij}(\underline{p}))_{i, j \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket}$, and

$$\forall i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket, \quad (x_{i5} - 1)\underline{p} = \sum_{j \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket} \underline{a}_{ij}(\underline{p})(x_{j5} - 1)$$

(equalities in $\mathbf{k}P_5^*$).

7.2.3. *Construction and properties of a morphism $\underline{\rho} : \mathcal{V}^B \rightarrow M_3((\mathcal{V}^B)^{\otimes 2})$.* Define the algebra morphism

$$(7.2.1) \quad \underline{\rho} : \mathcal{V}^B \rightarrow M_3((\mathcal{V}^B)^{\otimes 2})$$

to be the composition

$$\mathcal{V}^B \xrightarrow{\underline{\ell}} \mathbf{k}P_5^* \xrightarrow{\underline{\varpi}} M_3(\mathbf{k}P_5^*) \xrightarrow{M_3(\underline{\text{pr}}_{12})} M_3((\mathcal{V}^B)^{\otimes 2}),$$

where $\underline{\ell}$ is as in 2) of Lemma 7.6, $\underline{\varpi}$ is as in §7.2.2, and $M_3(\underline{\text{pr}}_{12})$ is the morphism induced by $\underline{\text{pr}}_{12}$, i.e., taking $(\underline{p}_{ij})_{i, j \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket}$ to $(\underline{\text{pr}}_{12}(\underline{p}_{ij}))_{i, j \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket}$.

Lemma 7.11. *Set*

$$(7.2.2) \quad \underline{\text{row}}_1 := (X_1 - 1 \quad 1 - Y_1 \quad 0) \in M_{1 \times 3}((\mathcal{V}^B)^{\otimes 2}), \quad \underline{\text{col}}_1 := \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{3 \times 1}((\mathcal{V}^B)^{\otimes 2})$$

(where $X_1, Y_1 \in F_2^2 \subset (\mathcal{V}^B)^{\otimes 2}$ are defined by (7.1.13)), then

$$(7.2.3) \quad \underline{\rho}(X_1 - 1) = \underline{\text{col}}_1 \cdot \underline{\text{row}}_1$$

(equality in $M_3((\mathcal{V}^B)^{\otimes 2})$).

Proof. One has $\underline{\ell}(X_1) = x_{12}$. Let us compute $\underline{\omega}(x_{12})$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} (x_{15} - 1)x_{12} &= (x_{15} - 1)x_{12}x_{15}x_{25}x_{25}^{-1}x_{15}^{-1} = x_{12}x_{15}x_{25}(x_{15} - 1)x_{25}^{-1}x_{15}^{-1} \\ &= x_{12}x_{15}x_{25}(-x_{15}x_{25}^{-1}x_{15}^{-1} + 1 + x_{25}^{-1}x_{15}^{-1})(x_{15} - 1) + x_{12}x_{15}x_{25}(1 - x_{15})x_{25}^{-1}(x_{25} - 1), \\ (x_{25} - 1)x_{12} &= (x_{25} - 1)x_{12}x_{15}x_{25}x_{25}^{-1}x_{15}^{-1} = x_{12}x_{15}x_{25}(x_{25} - 1)x_{25}^{-1}x_{15}^{-1} \\ &= x_{12}x_{15}x_{25}(x_{25}^{-1} - 1)x_{15}^{-1}(x_{15} - 1) + x_{12}x_{15}(x_{25} - 1), \\ (x_{35} - 1)x_{12} &= x_{12}(x_{35} - 1), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\underline{\omega}(x_{12}) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{12}x_{15}x_{25}(-x_{15}x_{25}^{-1}x_{15}^{-1} + 1 + x_{25}^{-1}x_{15}^{-1}) & x_{12}x_{15}x_{25}(1 - x_{15})x_{25}^{-1} & 0 \\ x_{12}x_{15}x_{25}(x_{25}^{-1} - 1)x_{15}^{-1} & x_{12}x_{15} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{12} \end{pmatrix} \in M_3(\mathbf{k}P_5^*).$$

The image of $\underline{\omega}(x_{12} - 1)$ in $M_3((\mathcal{V}^B)^{\otimes 2})$ by $M_3(\underline{\text{pr}}_{12})$ is therefore

$$\begin{pmatrix} (X_1 - 1)Y_1 & Y_1(1 - Y_1) & 0 \\ 1 - X_1 & Y_1 - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (X_1 - 1 \quad 1 - Y_1 \quad 0) = \underline{\text{col}}_1 \cdot \underline{\text{row}}_1.$$

Therefore $\underline{\rho}(X_1 - 1) = \underline{\text{col}}_1 \cdot \underline{\text{row}}_1$. \square

7.2.4. Construction and properties of a morphism $\tilde{\rho} : (\mathcal{V}^B, \cdot_{X_1-1}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}^B)^{\otimes 2}$. Lemma 7.11 shows that the hypothesis of Lemma 4.3 is satisfied in the following situation: $R = \mathcal{V}^B$, $S = (\mathcal{V}^B)^{\otimes 2}$, $e = X_1 - 1$, $n = 3$, $f = \underline{\rho}$, row and col are $\underline{\text{row}}_1$ and $\underline{\text{col}}_1$ from Lemma 7.11. We denote by

$$\tilde{\rho} : (\mathcal{V}^B, \cdot_{X_1-1}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}^B)^{\otimes 2}$$

the algebra morphism given in this situation by Lemma 4.3.

Then for any $\underline{f} \in \mathcal{V}^B$, one has

$$(7.2.4) \quad \underline{\tilde{\rho}}(\underline{f}) = \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \underline{\rho}(\underline{f}) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_1 = \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \{M_3(\underline{\text{pr}}_{12}) \circ \underline{\omega} \circ \underline{\ell}(\underline{f})\} \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_1 \in (\mathcal{V}^B)^{\otimes 2}.$$

Lemma 7.12. *For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\underline{\tilde{\rho}}(X_0^k) = (X_1 - 1)X_0^k \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (1 - X_1^{-1})X_0^k X_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (X_1 - 1)X_0^i \otimes (1 - X_1^{-1})X_0^{k-i} X_1,$$

$$\underline{\tilde{\rho}}(X_0^k X_1^{-1}) = \underline{\tilde{\rho}}(X_0^k)(X_1^{-1} \otimes X_1^{-1})$$

(equalities in $(\mathcal{V}^B)^{\otimes 2}$).

Proof. According to (7.2.4), $\underline{\tilde{\rho}}(X_0^k) = \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \underline{\rho}(X_0^k) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_1$. As $\underline{\rho}$ is an algebra morphism, $\underline{\rho}(X_0^k) = \underline{\rho}(X_0)^k$. Then $\underline{\rho}(X_0) = M_3(\underline{\text{pr}}_{12}) \circ \underline{\omega} \circ \underline{\ell}(X_0) = M_3(\underline{\text{pr}}_{12})(\underline{\omega}(x_{23}))$.

Let us compute $\underline{\omega}(x_{23})$.

As x_{15} commutes with x_{23} , one has

$$(7.2.5) \quad (x_{15} - 1) \cdot x_{23} = x_{23} \cdot (x_{15} - 1).$$

Since x_{25} commutes with $x_{23}x_{25}x_{35}$, one has

$$x_{23}^{-1}x_{25}x_{23} = x_{25}x_{35}x_{25}x_{35}^{-1}x_{25}^{-1}$$

which implies the first equality in the following chain of equalities

$$\begin{aligned} x_{23}^{-1}(x_{25} - 1)x_{23} &= x_{25}x_{35}x_{25}x_{35}^{-1}x_{25}^{-1} - 1 = x_{25}(x_{35}x_{25}x_{35}^{-1}x_{25}^{-1} - 1) + x_{25} - 1 \\ &= x_{25}\{x_{35}(x_{25}x_{35}^{-1}x_{25}^{-1} - 1) + x_{35} - 1\} + x_{25} - 1 \\ &= x_{25}[x_{35}\{x_{25}(x_{35}^{-1}x_{25}^{-1} - 1) + x_{25} - 1\} + x_{35} - 1] + x_{25} - 1 \\ &= x_{25}(x_{35}[x_{25}\{x_{35}^{-1}(x_{25}^{-1} - 1) + x_{35}^{-1} - 1\} + x_{25} - 1] + x_{35} - 1) + x_{25} - 1 \\ &= x_{25}x_{35}x_{25}x_{35}^{-1}(x_{25}^{-1} - 1) + x_{25}x_{35}x_{25}(x_{35}^{-1} - 1) + x_{25}x_{35}(x_{25} - 1) + x_{25}(x_{35} - 1) + x_{25} - 1 \\ &= (-x_{25}x_{35}x_{25}x_{35}^{-1}x_{25}^{-1} + x_{25}x_{35} + 1)(x_{25} - 1) + (-x_{25}x_{35}x_{25}x_{35}^{-1} + x_{25})(x_{35} - 1), \end{aligned}$$

the following equalities being immediate. Therefore

$$(7.2.6) \quad (x_{25} - 1) \cdot x_{23} = x_{23}(-x_{25}x_{35}x_{25}x_{35}^{-1}x_{25}^{-1} + x_{25}x_{35} + 1) \cdot (x_{25} - 1) + x_{23}(-x_{25}x_{35}x_{25}x_{35}^{-1} + x_{25}) \cdot (x_{35} - 1).$$

Since $x_{23}x_{25}x_{35} = x_{35}x_{23}x_{25}$, one has $x_{23}^{-1}x_{35}x_{23} = x_{25}x_{35}x_{25}^{-1}$, which implies the first equality in the following chain of equalities

$$\begin{aligned} x_{23}^{-1}(x_{35} - 1)x_{23} &= x_{25}x_{35}x_{25}^{-1} - 1 = x_{25}(x_{35}x_{25}^{-1} - 1) + x_{25} - 1 \\ &= x_{25}\{x_{35}(x_{25}^{-1} - 1) + x_{35} - 1\} + x_{25} - 1 = x_{25}x_{35}(x_{25}^{-1} - 1) + x_{25} - 1 + x_{25}(x_{35} - 1) \\ &= (-x_{25}x_{35}x_{25}^{-1} + 1)(x_{25} - 1) + x_{25}(x_{35} - 1), \end{aligned}$$

the following equalities being immediate. Therefore

$$(7.2.7) \quad (x_{35} - 1) \cdot x_{23} = x_{23}(-x_{25}x_{35}x_{25}^{-1} + 1) \cdot (x_{25} - 1) + x_{23}x_{25} \cdot (x_{35} - 1).$$

Equalities (7.2.5), (7.2.6) and (7.2.7) imply that

$$\underline{\omega}(x_{23}) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{23}(-x_{25}x_{35}x_{25}x_{35}^{-1}x_{25}^{-1} + x_{25}x_{35} + 1) & x_{23}(-x_{25}x_{35}x_{25}x_{35}^{-1} + x_{25}) \\ 0 & x_{23}(-x_{25}x_{35}x_{25}^{-1} + 1) & x_{23}x_{25} \end{pmatrix} \in M_3(\mathbf{k}P_5^*).$$

Then

$$(7.2.8) \quad \underline{\rho}(X_0) = M_3(\underline{\text{pr}}_{12})(\underline{\omega}(x_{23})) = \begin{pmatrix} X_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - X_1)X_0 + Y_1^{-1}Y_0Y_1 & (1 - X_1)X_0X_1 \\ 0 & X_0 - Y_1^{-1}Y_0Y_1X_1^{-1} & X_0X_1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2}).$$

Set $\underline{T} := \begin{pmatrix} (1 - X_1)X_0 + Y_1^{-1}Y_0Y_1 & (1 - X_1)X_0X_1 \\ X_0 - Y_1^{-1}Y_0Y_1X_1^{-1} & X_0X_1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2((\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2})$, then $\underline{\rho}(X_0^k) = \begin{pmatrix} X_0^k & 0 \\ 0 & \underline{T}^k \end{pmatrix}$, therefore

$$\tilde{\underline{\rho}}(X_0^k) = \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \underline{\rho}(X_0^k) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_1 = (X_1 - 1)X_0^kY_1 + (1 - Y_1 \quad 0) \underline{T}^k \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = (X_1 - 1)X_0^kY_1 - (1 - Y_1)(\underline{T}^k)_{11},$$

where the second equality follows from the form of $\underline{\text{row}}_1$ and $\underline{\text{col}}_1$, and where $(\underline{T}^k)_{11}$ means the $(1, 1)$ -entry of \underline{T}^k .

One checks that

$$\underline{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -X_1^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -X_1^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -X_1^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ X_1^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1^{-1}Y_0Y_1 & (1-X_1)X_0X_1 \\ 0 & X_1^{-1}X_0X_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}^k = \begin{pmatrix} a^k & \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^i b c^{k-i-1} \\ 0 & c^k \end{pmatrix}$$

using the notation (2.4.9) so that

$$\underline{T}^k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -X_1^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^k & \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^i b c^{k-i-1} \\ 0 & c^k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ X_1^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^i b c^{k-i-1} \cdot X_1^{-1} & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{T}^k)_{11} &= a^k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^i b c^{k-i-1} \cdot X_1^{-1} \\ &= Y_1^{-1}Y_0^kY_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} Y_1^{-1}Y_0^iY_1 \cdot (1-X_1)X_0X_1 \cdot X_1^{-1}X_0^{k-i-1}X_1 \cdot X_1^{-1} \\ &= Y_1^{-1}Y_0^kY_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} Y_1^{-1}Y_0^iY_1 \cdot (1-X_1)X_0^{k-i} \\ &= Y_1^{-1}Y_0^kY_1 + (1-X_1)X_0^k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} Y_1^{-1}Y_0^iY_1 \cdot (1-X_1)X_0^{k-i}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}(X_0^k) &= (X_1 - 1)Y_1X_0^k - (1 - Y_1)(\underline{T}^k)_{11} \\ &= (X_1 - 1)Y_1X_0^k - (1 - Y_1)\{(1 - X_1)X_0^k + Y_1^{-1}Y_0^kY_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} Y_1^{-1}Y_0^iY_1 \cdot (1 - X_1)X_0^{k-i}\} \\ &= (X_1 - 1)Y_1X_0^k - (1 - Y_1)(1 - X_1)X_0^k - (1 - Y_1)Y_1^{-1}Y_0^kY_1 - (1 - Y_1) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} Y_1^{-1}Y_0^iY_1 \cdot (1 - X_1)X_0^{k-i} \\ &= (X_1 - 1)X_0^k + (Y_1 - 1)Y_1^{-1}Y_0^kY_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - X_1)X_0^{k-i} \cdot Y_1^{-1}(1 - Y_1)Y_0^iY_1, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the first identity.

By Lemma 7.11, one has $\underline{\rho}(X_1) = 1 + \underline{\text{col}}_1 \cdot \underline{\text{row}}_1$. As $1 + \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_1$ is equal to X_1Y_1 and is therefore invertible, one checks that the inverse of $1 + \underline{\text{col}}_1 \cdot \underline{\text{row}}_1$ is $1 - \underline{\text{col}}_1 \cdot (1 + \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_1)^{-1} \cdot \underline{\text{row}}_1$, therefore

$$\underline{\rho}(X_1^{-1}) = 1 - \underline{\text{col}}_1 \cdot (1 + \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_1)^{-1} \cdot \underline{\text{row}}_1 = 1 - \underline{\text{col}}_1 \cdot (X_1Y_1)^{-1} \cdot \underline{\text{row}}_1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\rho}(X_0^k X_1^{-1}) &= \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \underline{\rho}(X_0^k) \cdot \left(1 - \underline{\text{col}}_1 \cdot (X_1 Y_1)^{-1} \cdot \underline{\text{row}}_1\right) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_1 \\ &= \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \underline{\rho}(X_0^k) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_1 \cdot \left(1 - (X_1 Y_1)^{-1} \cdot \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_1\right) = \underline{\rho}(X_0^k) \cdot (X_1 Y_1)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the second statement. \square

Remark 7.13. Identity (5.2.10) from Remark 5.8 implies another decomposition of \underline{T} , namely

$$\underline{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -X_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_0 & 0 \\ X_0 - Y_1^{-1} Y_0 Y_1 X_1^{-1} & Y_1^{-1} Y_0 Y_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -X_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1},$$

which as in this remark allows for an alternative computation of \underline{T}^k .

8. GEOMETRIC INTERPRETATION OF THE BETTI HARMONIC COPRODUCTS

The purpose of this section is to construct a commutative diagram relating the Betti algebra and module coproducts $\Delta^{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{B}}$ and $\Delta^{\mathcal{M},\mathcal{B}}$ with braid groups (diagrams (8.2.3) and (8.3.5)), analogously to the de Rham diagrams (6.2.3) and (6.3.5).

This construction involves a localization $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$ of the algebra $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}$, and a module $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$ over this algebra, which are introduced and studied in §8.1. We prove the commutativity of diagram (8.2.3) in §8.2 (Proposition 8.5); this diagram relates $\Delta^{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{B}}$ with $\underline{\rho}$ and $\underline{\text{row}}_1$, $\underline{\text{col}}_1$, and is a Betti analogue of diagram (6.2.3). We prove the commutativity of the diagram (8.3.5) in §8.3 (Proposition 8.14); this statement is based on Proposition 8.5 and relates $\Delta^{\mathcal{M},\mathcal{B}}$ with $\underline{\rho}$, $\underline{\text{row}}_1$ and the column vector $\underline{\text{col}}_0$ (see Definition 8.9). We construct completions of the diagrams (8.2.3) and (8.3.5) in §8.4.

8.1. Localizations. Define $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$ to be the localization of $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}$ with respect to $X_1 - 1$, i.e. the \mathbf{k} -algebra with generators $X_0^{\pm 1}, X_1^{\pm 1}, (X_1 - 1)^{-1}$ and relations expressing that u^{-1} is a left and right inverse of u for $u \in \{X_0, X_1, X_1 - 1\}$. It is equipped with a collection of subspaces indexed by $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, namely

$$(8.1.1) \quad F^i(\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]) := \sum_{\substack{n, i_0, \dots, i_n \geq 0, \\ i_0 + \dots + i_n - n = i}} F^{i_0} \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}(X_1 - 1)^{-1} \dots (X_1 - 1)^{-1} F^{i_n} \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}.$$

This collection is decreasing and compatible with the product, and therefore equips $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$ with the structure of an algebra in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil}}$ (see Definition 0.1).

Set also $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}] := \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}] / \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}](X_0 - 1)$. This is a module over $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $F^i(\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}])$ be the image of $F^i(\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}])$ under the canonical projection $(-)\cdot 1_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$. This collection is decreasing and compatible with the collection of subspaces of $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$ and the action of this algebra, therefore equips $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$ with the structure of a module over $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$ in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil}}$.

The natural maps $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$ are compatible algebra and module morphisms in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil}}$.

Lemma 8.1. 1) The canonical morphism $\mathcal{V}^B \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$ is injective.

2) The image of $\mathcal{V}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$ under the functor $\text{gr} : \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil}} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr}}$ (see §1) is isomorphic to $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$.

Proof. 1) Denote by $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]^\wedge$ the completion of $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$ with respect to large degrees (see §1.3). It may be viewed as an algebra in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil}}$ by setting $F^\alpha(\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]^\wedge) := \prod_{\beta \geq \alpha} \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]^\beta$. There is a unique algebra morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{V}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]^\wedge$ in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil}}$ given by $X_i^{\pm 1} \mapsto (1 + e_i)^{\pm 1}$ for $i = 0, 1$ and $(X_1 - 1)^{-1} \mapsto e_1^{-1}$. It induces an algebra morphism $\text{gr}\varphi : \text{gr}\mathcal{V}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}] \rightarrow \text{gr}\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]^\wedge \simeq \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$ in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr}}$. Its composition with $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} \simeq \text{gr}\mathcal{V}^B \xrightarrow{\text{gr}(\text{can})} \text{gr}\mathcal{V}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$, can being the morphism $\mathcal{V}^B \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$, is the canonical map $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$, which is injective. It follows that $\text{gr}(\text{can})$ is injective. Since $\bigcap_{k \geq 0} F^k \mathcal{V}^B = 0$, this implies that can is injective.

2) There is a unique graded algebra morphism $\psi : \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}] \rightarrow \text{gr}\mathcal{V}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$, induced by $e_i \mapsto [X_i - 1] \in \text{gr}_1 \mathcal{V}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$ for $i = 0, 1$ and $e_1^{-1} \mapsto [(X_1 - 1)^{-1}] \in \text{gr}_{-1} \mathcal{V}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$. One has $\text{gr}\varphi \circ \psi = \text{id}$, which implies that ψ is injective. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, for $n, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$ as in the right-hand side of (8.1.1), and for $v_i \in F^{\alpha_i} \mathcal{V}^B$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, the degree α component ψ_α of ψ maps $[v_0]e_1^{-1} \cdots e_1^{-1}[v_n] \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_\alpha$, where $[v_i] \in \text{gr}_{\alpha_i} \mathcal{V}^B \simeq \mathcal{V}_{\alpha_i}^{\text{DR}}$ to $[v_0(X_1 - 1)^{-1} \cdots (X_1 - 1)^{-1}v_n] \in \text{gr}_\alpha(\mathcal{V}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}])$, therefore ψ_α is surjective. It follows that ψ is surjective. \square

Lemma 8.2. 1) The morphism $\mathcal{M}^B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$ is injective.

2) The image of $\mathcal{M}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$ under the functor gr (see §1) is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$.

Proof. 1) Let $\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]^\wedge$ the completion of $\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$ with respect to large degrees (see §1.3); it can be identified with $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]^\wedge / \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]^\wedge e_0$. The map φ in the proof of Lemma 8.1 maps the left ideal generated by $X_0 - 1$ to the left ideal generated by e_0 , therefore induces a morphism $\bar{\varphi} : \mathcal{M}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]^\wedge$ in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil}}$, which gives rise to a morphism $\text{gr}\bar{\varphi} : \text{gr}\mathcal{M}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}] \rightarrow \text{gr}\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]^\wedge$. Its composition with $\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}} \simeq \text{gr}\mathcal{M}^B \xrightarrow{\text{gr}(\text{can}_{\mathcal{M}})} \text{gr}\mathcal{M}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$, where $\text{can}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the morphism $\mathcal{M}^B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$, is the canonical map $\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$, which is injective. This implies the injectivity of $\text{gr}(\text{can}_{\mathcal{M}})$, then of $\text{can}_{\mathcal{M}}$ as in the proof of Lemma 8.1.

2) The composition of ψ from the proof of Lemma 8.1 with $\text{gr}((-) \cdot 1_B)$ takes e_0 to 0, therefore takes $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]e_0$ to 0, therefore induces a map $\bar{\psi} : \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}] \rightarrow \text{gr}\mathcal{M}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$. One has $\text{gr}\bar{\varphi} \circ \bar{\psi} = \text{id}$, which implies that $\bar{\psi}$ is injective. The equality $\text{gr}((-) \cdot 1_B) \circ \psi = \bar{\psi} \circ ((-) \cdot 1_{\text{DR}})$, where $(-) \cdot 1_{\text{DR}} : \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$ is the canonical projection, the surjectivity of ψ and that of $\text{gr}((-) \cdot 1_B)$ (which follows from the construction of the filtration in $\mathcal{M}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$) imply the surjectivity of $\bar{\psi}$. \square

8.2. Relationship between braid groups and $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, B}$. Denote by $\mathbf{k}[X_0^{\pm 1}]$ the linear span in $\mathbf{k}F_2$ of the elements X_0^k , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, by $\mathbf{k}[X_0^{\pm 1}]X_1^{-1}$ the linear span of the elements $X_0^k X_1^{-1}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The sum of these submodules of $\mathcal{V}^B = \mathbf{k}F_2$ is direct.

Lemma 8.3. $(\mathcal{V}^B, \cdot_{X_1-1})$ is generated, as an associative algebra, by $\mathbf{k}[X_0^{\pm 1}] \oplus \mathbf{k}[X_0^{\pm 1}]X_1^{-1}$.

Proof. For $s \geq 0$ and for $(k_0, \dots, k_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$, $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_s) \in \{\pm 1\}^s$, set

$$(8.2.1) \quad w(k_0, \dots, k_s | \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_s) := X_0^{k_0} X_1^{\epsilon_1} X_0^{k_1} \dots X_0^{k_{s-1}} X_1^{\epsilon_s} X_0^{k_s} \in F_2.$$

If $s \geq 0$, let $(F_2)_s$ be the subset of F_2 of all the elements (8.2.1), where $(k_0, \dots, k_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$, $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_s) \in \{\pm 1\}^s$; so when $s = 0$, $(F_2)_0$ is the set of all X_0^k , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

One has for $s \geq 0$, $(k_0, \dots, k_{s+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$, $(\epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{s+1}) \in \{\pm 1\}^s$,

$$\begin{aligned} w(k_0, \dots, k_{s+1} | 1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{s+1}) &= X_0^{k_0} \cdot_{X_1-1} w(k_1, \dots, k_{s+1} | \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{s+1}) \\ &\quad + w(k_0 + k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{s+1} | \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{s+1}), \\ w(k_0, \dots, k_{s+1} | -1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{s+1}) &= -X_0^{k_0} X_1^{-1} \cdot_{X_1-1} w(k_1, \dots, k_{s+1} | \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{s+1}) \\ &\quad + w(k_0 + k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{s+1} | \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{s+1}). \end{aligned}$$

These identities enable one to prove by induction on $s \geq 0$ that $(F_2)_s$ is contained in the associative subalgebra of $(\mathcal{V}^B, \cdot_{X_1-1})$ generated by $\mathbf{k}[X_0^{\pm 1}] \oplus \mathbf{k}[X_0^{\pm 1}]X_1^{-1}$. The statement then follows from the fact that the union for $s \geq 0$ of all $(F_2)_s$ is equal to F_2 . \square

Recall that \mathcal{W}^B is the subalgebra of \mathcal{V}^B equal to $\mathbf{k} \oplus \mathcal{V}^B(X_1 - 1)$ (see §1.2). We set

$$\mathcal{W}_+^B := \mathcal{V}^B(X_1 - 1).$$

This is a (non-unital) subalgebra of \mathcal{W}^B . It is equipped with the filtration induced by \mathcal{W}^B .

Since the right multiplication by $X_1 - 1$ is injective in \mathcal{V}^B , the algebra morphism

$$(8.2.2) \quad \text{mor}_{\mathcal{V}^B, X_1-1} : (\mathcal{V}^B, \cdot_{X_1-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_+^B$$

(see §4) is an algebra isomorphism.

One checks that the automorphisms $\text{Ad}(X_1 - 1)^{-1}$ and $\text{Ad}(1 - X_1^{-1})^{-1}$ of $\mathcal{V}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$ map \mathcal{V}^B to $F^0(\mathcal{V}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}])$.

Lemma 8.4. *The following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{V}^B, \cdot_{X_1-1}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}(X_1-1)^{-1}(1-Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \circ \tilde{\rho}} & F^0(\mathcal{V}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}])^{\otimes 2} \\ \text{mor}_{\mathcal{V}^B, X_1-1} \downarrow \simeq & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{W}_+^B & \xrightarrow{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, B}} & (\mathcal{W}^B)^{\otimes 2} \end{array}$$

where the top horizontal map is the composition with $\tilde{\rho}$ of tensor product of the maps $\mathcal{V}^B \rightarrow F^0(\mathcal{V}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}])$ given by $\text{Ad}(X_1 - 1)^{-1}$ and $\text{Ad}(1 - X_1^{-1})^{-1}$ and the right vertical map is the tensor square of $\mathcal{W}^B \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}^B \hookrightarrow F^0(\mathcal{V}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}])$.

Proof. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{\mathcal{W}, B} \circ \text{mor}_{\mathcal{V}^B, X_1-1}(X_0^k) &= \Delta^{\mathcal{W}, B}(X_0^k(X_1 - 1)) = -X_0^k(1 - X_1) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes X_0^k(1 - X_1) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} X_0^i(1 - X_1) \otimes X_0^{k-i}(1 - X_1) = \text{Ad}(X_1 - 1)^{-1}(Y_1 - 1)^{-1} \left((X_1 - 1)X_0^k \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (X_1 - 1)X_0^k \right) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (X_1 - 1)X_0^i \otimes (X_1 - 1)X_0^{k-i} = \text{Ad}((X_1 - 1)^{-1}(Y_1 - 1)^{-1}Y_1)(\tilde{\rho}(X_0^k)), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{B}} \circ \text{mor}_{\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}, X_1^{-1}}(X_0^k X_1^{-1}) &= \Delta^{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{B}}(X_0^k(1 - X_1^{-1})) = X_0^k(1 - X_1^{-1}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_0^k(1 - X_1^{-1}) \\
&- \sum_{i=0}^k X_0^i(1 - X_1^{-1}) \otimes X_0^{k-i}(1 - X_1^{-1}) = \text{Ad}(X_1 - 1)^{-1}(Y_1 - 1)^{-1} \left((X_1 - 1)X_0^k X_1^{-1} \otimes 1 \right. \\
&+ 1 \otimes (X_1 - 1)X_0^k X_1^{-1} - \sum_{i=0}^k (X_1 - 1)X_0^i X_1^{-1} \otimes (X_1 - 1)X_0^{k-i} X_1^{-1} \left. \right) \\
&= \text{Ad}(X_1 - 1)^{-1}(Y_1 - 1)^{-1} \left((X_1 - 1)X_0^k X_1^{-1} \otimes X_1^{-1} + X_1^{-1} \otimes (X_1 - 1)X_0^k X_1^{-1} \right. \\
&- \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (X_1 - 1)X_0^i X_1^{-1} \otimes (X_1 - 1)X_0^{k-i} X_1^{-1} \left. \right) = \text{Ad}((X_1 - 1)^{-1}(Y_1 - 1)^{-1}Y_1)(\underline{\rho}(X_0^k))(X_1^{-1} \otimes X_1^{-1}) \\
&= \text{Ad}((X_1 - 1)^{-1}(Y_1 - 1)^{-1}Y_1)(\underline{\rho}(X_0^k X_1^{-1}));
\end{aligned}$$

in each of these sequence of equalities, the second equality follows from (2.4.7) (resp. (2.4.8)), the fourth equality follows from Lemma 7.12.

It follows that the two maps of the announced diagram agree on the family of elements $X_0^k, X_0^k X_1^{-1}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since these maps are algebra morphisms, and since this family generates $(\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}, \cdot, X_1^{-1})$ (see Lemma 8.3), this diagram commutes. \square

Proposition 8.5. *The following diagram commutes*

$$(8.2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}} & \xrightarrow{\underline{\rho}} & M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2}) \xrightarrow[\diamond\sharp]{(X_1-1)^{-1}(1-Y_1^{-1})^{-1}\underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_1 (X_1-1)(1-Y_1^{-1})} F^0(\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}])^{\otimes 2} \\
\downarrow \simeq \diamond & & \uparrow \\
(\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}, \cdot, X_1^{-1}) & & \\
\text{mor}_{\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}, X_1^{-1}} \downarrow \simeq \sharp & & \\
\mathcal{W}_+^{\mathcal{B}} & \xrightarrow{\Delta^{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{B}}} & (\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2}
\end{array}$$

where $\underline{\rho}$ is as in (7.2.1), $\underline{\text{row}}_1, \underline{\text{col}}_1$, are as in (7.2.2), and $\Delta^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}}$ is as in §1.2; in this diagram, all the maps are \mathbf{k} -algebra morphisms (resp. compatible with the filtrations), except for the maps marked with \diamond (resp. \sharp), which are only \mathbf{k} -module morphisms (resp. increase the filtration degrees by 1).

Proof. The map marked $\diamond\sharp$ is well-defined as it is the composition of the map $\underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_1 : M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2}$ and of the tensor product of the maps $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow F^0(\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}])$ given by $\text{Ad}(X_1 - 1)^{-1}$ and $\text{Ad}(1 - X_1^{-1})^{-1}$.

The commutation of (8.2.3) follows from the combination the commutative diagram from Lemma 8.4 with the specialization, based on using (7.2.3), of the commutative diagram from Lemma 4.3 to $R = \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}, S = \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]^{\otimes 2}, e = X_1 - 1, \text{row} = (X_1 - 1)^{-1}(1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1}\underline{\text{row}}_1,$

$\text{col} = \underline{\text{col}}_1(X_1 - 1)(1 - Y_1^{-1})$, which yields the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}^{\text{B}} & \xrightarrow{\underline{\rho}} & M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2}) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow (X_1 - 1)^{-1}(1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_1(X_1 - 1)(1 - Y_1^{-1}) \\ (\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}, \cdot_{X_1 - 1}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}(X_1 - 1)^{-1}(1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \circ \underline{\rho}} & F^0(\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1 - 1}])^{\otimes 2} \end{array}$$

The filtration statement about $\text{mor}_{\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}, X_1 - 1}$ follows from: $X_1 - 1 \in F^1 \mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}$, the filtration of \mathcal{V}^{B} is compatible with its algebra structure. The filtration statement about the map marked with $\diamond\sharp$ follows from: same statement regarding $\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1 - 1}]^{\otimes 2}$, the components of the row (resp. column) element in this map belong to $F^{-1}(\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1 - 1}]^{\otimes 2})$ (resp. $F^2(\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1 - 1}]^{\otimes 2})$). The filtration statement about $\Delta^{\text{W}, \text{B}}$ is Proposition 2.15. The filtration statement on $\underline{\rho}$ will be proved in Lemma 8.8.

Lemma 8.6. *Recall that F_3 may be viewed as a normal subgroup of P_5^* (see Lemma 7.9). For any $g \in P_5^*$, for any $i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket$, there exists an element $w(g, i) \in F_3$, such that*

$$(8.2.4) \quad g^{-1}x_{i5}g = w(g, i)x_{i5}w(g, i)^{-1}.$$

Proof. As F_3 is normal in P_5^* , for any $g \in P_5^*$, the inner automorphism of P_5^* given by conjugation by g^{-1} restricts to an automorphism θ_g of F_3 . Then $g \mapsto \theta_g$ defines a group anti-homomorphism $\theta : P_5^* \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_3)$. Let $\text{Aut}^*(F_3)$ be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_3)$, consisting of all the automorphisms taking each x_{i5} , $i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket$, to a conjugate of this element. When $g \in F_3$, θ_g is an inner automorphism of F_3 , therefore belongs to $\text{Aut}^*(F_3)$. One computes

$$\theta_{x_{12}} : x_{15} \mapsto \text{Ad}(x_{15}x_{25})(x_{15}), \quad x_{25} \mapsto \text{Ad}(x_{15})(x_{25}), \quad x_{35} \mapsto x_{35},$$

$$\theta_{x_{23}} : x_{15} \mapsto x_{15}, \quad x_{25} \mapsto \text{Ad}(x_{25}x_{35})(x_{25}), \quad x_{35} \mapsto \text{Ad}(x_{25})(x_{35}),$$

which implies that the images by θ of x_{12}^{-1} and x_{23}^{-1} , and therefore also of F_2 , lie in $\text{Aut}^*(F_3)$. Together with Lemma 7.9, this implies that the image of P_5^* is contained in $\text{Aut}^*(F_3)$, and therefore the announced statement. \square

Lemma 8.7. *Equip $\mathbf{k}P_5^*$ with the adic filtration of its augmentation ideal. The algebra morphism $\underline{\varpi} : \mathbf{k}P_5^* \rightarrow M_3(\mathbf{k}P_5^*)$ is compatible with the filtrations on both sides.*

Proof. Let $J := (\mathbf{k}P_5^*)_+$. The announced statement means that for any $n \geq 0$, $\underline{\varpi}(J^n) \subset M_3(J^n)$. This is obvious for $n = 0$, let us prove it for $n = 1$.

As $\underline{\varpi}$ is linear, and as J is spanned by the $g - 1$, where $g \in P_5^*$, it suffices to show that $\underline{\varpi}(g) - \text{id} \in M_3(J)$ for any $g \in P_5^*$, where id is the unit matrix in $M_3(\mathbf{k}P_5^*)$. Let $i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket$; then $(x_{i5} - 1)g = g \cdot w(g, i)(x_{i5} - 1)w(g, i)^{-1} = g \cdot w(g, i)(x_{i5} - 1) + g \cdot w(g, i)(x_{i5} - 1)(w(g, i)^{-1} - 1)$ where the first equality follows from (8.2.4).

By Lemma 2.1, the map $(\mathbf{k}F_3)^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow (\mathbf{k}F_3)_+, (\underline{f}_i)_{i \in \llbracket 1,3 \rrbracket} \mapsto \sum_{i \in \llbracket 1,3 \rrbracket} \underline{f}_i \cdot (x_{i5} - 1)$ is bijective. For $w \in F_3$, we denote by $(\underline{f}_i(w))_{i \in \llbracket 1,3 \rrbracket}$ the preimage of $w - 1$ in $(\mathbf{k}F_3)^{\oplus 3}$. Then $\sum_{i \in \llbracket 1,3 \rrbracket} \underline{f}_i(w) \cdot (x_{i5} - 1) = w - 1$. Substituting w by $w(g, i)^{-1}$ in this identity, one obtains

$$(x_{i5} - 1)g = g \cdot w(g, i)(x_{i5} - 1) + \sum_{j \in \llbracket 1,3 \rrbracket} g \cdot w(g, i)(x_{i5} - 1) \underline{f}_j(w(g, i)^{-1}) \cdot (x_{j5} - 1).$$

It follows that

$$\underline{\varpi}(g)_{ij} = g \cdot w(g, i) \delta_{ij} + g \cdot w(g, i)(x_{i5} - 1) \underline{f}_j(w(g, i)^{-1}).$$

As $g \cdot w(g, i) \in P_5^*$, $g \cdot w(g, i) \equiv 1 \pmod{J}$. Moreover, as J is a two-sided ideal, $g \cdot w(g, i)(x_{i5} - 1) \underline{f}_j(w(g, i)^{-1}) \in J$. It follows that $\underline{\varpi}(g)_{ij} \equiv \delta_{ij} \pmod{J}$, and therefore that $\underline{\varpi}(g) - \text{id} \in M_3(J)$. Therefore $\underline{\varpi}(J) \subset M_3(J)$.

Then for $n \geq 1$, $\underline{\varpi}(J^n) \subset \underline{\varpi}(J)^n \subset M_3(J)^n \subset M_3(J^n)$. \square

Lemma 8.8. *The algebra morphism ρ is compatible with the filtrations of its source and target algebras.*

Proof. The morphisms $\underline{\ell}$ and $\underline{\text{pr}}_{12}$ are compatible with the filtrations as they can be identified with algebra morphisms between group algebras induced by group morphisms, the group algebras being equipped with the adic filtrations of their augmentation ideals. Together with Lemma 8.7, this implies the result. \square \square

8.3. Relationship between braid groups and $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}}$.

Definition 8.9. Set

$$\underline{\text{col}}_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (1 - X_1)Y_1^{-1} \cdot 1_{\mathcal{B}}^{\otimes 2} \\ (1 - X_1^{-1})Y_1^{-1} \cdot 1_{\mathcal{B}}^{\otimes 2} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{3 \times 1}((\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2}).$$

Lemma 8.10. *Denote by $(a, x) \mapsto ax$ the action of $M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2})$ on $M_{3 \times 1}((\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2})$. Then*

$$(8.3.1) \quad \underline{\rho}(X_0 - 1) \underline{\text{col}}_0 = 0.$$

Proof. (7.2.8) implies the equalities

$$\underline{\rho}(X_0) \underline{\text{col}}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Y_1^{-1} Y_0 Y_1 (1 - X_1) Y_1^{-1} \cdot 1_{\mathcal{B}}^{\otimes 2} \\ -Y_1^{-1} Y_0 Y_1 X_1^{-1} (1 - X_1) Y_1^{-1} \cdot 1_{\mathcal{B}}^{\otimes 2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (1 - X_1) Y_1^{-1} Y_0 \cdot 1_{\mathcal{B}}^{\otimes 2} \\ (1 - X_1^{-1}) Y_1^{-1} Y_0 \cdot 1_{\mathcal{B}}^{\otimes 2} \end{pmatrix} = \underline{\text{col}}_0$$

in $M_{3 \times 1}((\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}/\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}(X_0 - 1))^{\otimes 2}) = M_{3 \times 1}((\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2})$. \square

Lemma 8.11. *The map $(X_1 - 1)^{-1} (1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \text{row}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_0 : M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1 - 1}]^{\otimes 2}$ defined similarly to the map in Lemma 6.6, replacing exponents DR by B and e_1 by $X_1 - 1$ in localizations, has image contained in $F^{-1}(\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1 - 1}])^{\otimes 2}$.*

Proof. This map is given by $(m_{ij})_{i,j \in \llbracket 1,3 \rrbracket} \mapsto \{(Y_1 - 1)^{-1} Y_1 (m_{13} X_1^{-1} - m_{12}) Y_1^{-1} (X_1 - 1)\} \cdot 1_B^{\otimes 2} + \{(X_1 - 1)^{-1} Y_1 (m_{22} - m_{23} X_1^{-1}) Y_1^{-1} (X_1 - 1)\} \cdot 1_B^{\otimes 2}$. The first (resp. second) summand belongs to $F^1(\mathcal{M}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]) \otimes F^{-1}(\mathcal{M}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}])$ (resp. $F^0(\mathcal{M}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}])^{\otimes 2}$), therefore their sum belongs to the announced space. \square

Lemma 8.12. *There is a unique map $\underline{\delta} : \mathcal{M}^B \rightarrow F^{-1}(\mathcal{M}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}])^{\otimes 2}$, such that the following diagram commutes*

$$(8.3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}^B & \xrightarrow{\underline{\rho}} & M_3((\mathcal{V}^B)^{\otimes 2}) \\ (-) \cdot 1_B \downarrow & & \downarrow (X_1-1)^{-1} (1-Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_0 \\ \mathcal{M}^B & \xrightarrow{\underline{\delta}} & F^{-1}(\mathcal{M}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}])^{\otimes 2} \end{array}$$

It is such that

$$(8.3.3) \quad \underline{\delta}(1_B) = 1_B^{\otimes 2}.$$

Proof. If $x \in \mathcal{V}^B$, then

$(X_1 - 1)^{-1} (1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \underline{\rho}(x(X_0 - 1)) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_0 = (X_1 - 1)^{-1} (1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \underline{\rho}(x) \underline{\rho}(X_0 - 1) \underline{\text{col}}_0 = 0$ by (8.3.1), therefore $\left((X_1 - 1)^{-1} (1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_0 \right) \circ \rho(\mathcal{V}^B(X_0 - 1)) = 0$. The existence and uniqueness of $\underline{\delta}$ follow. One then computes

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\delta}(1_B) &= (X_1 - 1)^{-1} (1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \underline{\rho}(1) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_0 = (X_1 - 1)^{-1} (1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_0 \\ &= (X_1 - 1)^{-1} (1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \cdot (1 - Y_1) (1 - X_1) Y_1^{-1} \cdot 1_B^{\otimes 2} = 1_B^{\otimes 2}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 8.13. *The map $\underline{\delta}$ satisfies the identity*

$$(8.3.4) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{W}^B, \quad \forall m \in \mathcal{M}^B, \quad \underline{\delta}(x \cdot m) = \Delta^{\mathcal{W},B}(x) \cdot \underline{\delta}(m),$$

where the module structure in the left-hand side (resp. right-hand side) is that of \mathcal{M}^B over \mathcal{W}^B (resp. $\mathcal{M}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]^{\otimes 2}$ over $(\mathcal{W}^B)^{\otimes 2}$).

Proof. The identity is obvious if $x = 1$. Assume now that $x = a(X_1 - 1)$ with $a \in \mathcal{V}^B$ and that $m \in \mathcal{M}^B = \mathcal{V}^B / \mathcal{V}^B(X_0 - 1)$. Let $\tilde{m} \in \mathcal{V}^B$ be a lift of m . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\delta}(x \cdot m) &= \underline{\delta}(a(X_1 - 1) \cdot m) = (X_1 - 1)^{-1} (1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \underline{\rho}(a(X_1 - 1) \tilde{m}) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_0 \\ &= (X_1 - 1)^{-1} (1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \underline{\rho}(a) \underline{\rho}(X_1 - 1) \underline{\rho}(\tilde{m}) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_0 \\ &= (X_1 - 1)^{-1} (1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \underline{\rho}(a) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_1 \cdot \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \underline{\rho}(\tilde{m}) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_0 \\ &= (X_1 - 1)^{-1} (1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \underline{\rho}(a) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_1 \cdot (X_1 - 1) (1 - Y_1^{-1}) \cdot (X_1 - 1)^{-1} (1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \underline{\rho}(\tilde{m}) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_0 \\ &= \Delta^{\mathcal{W},B}(x) \cdot \underline{\delta}(m), \end{aligned}$$

where $\underline{\text{row}}_1$ is as in (7.2.2), the second equality follows from (8.3.2), the third equality follows from the fact that $\underline{\rho}$ is an algebra morphism, the fourth equality follows from (7.2.3), and the last equality follows from the combination of (8.3.2) and the equality

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{B}}(a(X_1 - 1)) = (X_1 - 1)^{-1}(1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1}\underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot \underline{\rho}(a) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_1 \cdot (X_1 - 1)(1 - Y_1^{-1})$$

for $a \in \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}$, which follows from (8.2.3). \square

Proposition 8.14. *The following diagram commutes*

$$(8.3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}} & \xrightarrow{\underline{\rho}} & M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2}) \\ (-) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{B}} \downarrow & & \downarrow (X_1 - 1)^{-1}(1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1}\underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_0 \\ \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}} & \xrightarrow{\Delta^{\mathcal{M},\mathcal{B}}} (\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2} \hookrightarrow F^{-1}(\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1 - 1}])^{\otimes 2} \end{array}$$

where the right vertical map is as in Proposition 8.11. All the maps in this diagram are compatible with the filtrations.

Proof. Combining (8.3.3), (8.3.4) and the fact that $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}}$ is a free $\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{B}}$ -module of rank one with generator $1_{\mathcal{B}}$, one obtains $\underline{\delta} = \Delta^{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{B}}$, which we inject in (8.3.2) to get the result.

The filtration statement on $\underline{\rho}$ is Lemma 8.8. The filtration statement on $\Delta^{\mathcal{M},\mathcal{B}}$ follows from Lemma 2.18. The filtration statement on the right vertical map follows from: the components of the row vector belong to $F^{-1}(\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1 - 1}]^{\otimes 2})$, the components of the column vector belong to $F^1(\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1 - 1}]^{\otimes 2})$, the action of $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1 - 1}]$ on $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1 - 1}]$ is compatible with the filtrations. \square

8.4. Completions (commutativities of (A1) in (10.8.4) and (M1) in (11.6.1)). The following lemma will be used to prove the commutativities mentioned in the title of this subsection.

Lemma 8.15. *The commutative diagram (8.2.3) (resp. (8.3.5)) gives rise to a commutative diagram between the completions of its constituents with respect to the filtrations, in which the completion of the map $(\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2} \hookrightarrow F^0(\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1 - 1}]^{\otimes 2})$ (resp. $(\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2} \hookrightarrow F^{-1}(\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1 - 1}]^{\otimes 2})$ is injective.*

Proof. By Proposition 8.5, if one equips $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}$ and $M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2})$ with the shifted filtration $F^n(\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}}[1]) := F^{n-1}(\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}})$ and $F^n(M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2})[1]) := M_3(F^{n-1}(\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes 2})$, then (8.2.3) is a diagram in the category $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+}$. By Proposition 8.14, (8.3.5) is similarly a diagram in the same category. Applying the functor $(-)^{\wedge}$ (see §1), one obtains commutative diagrams in $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{top}}$. The injectivity of the completions of the said maps follows from Lemma 0.2, 2), together with the identification of the associated graded maps with the maps $(\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq 0})^{\otimes 2}$ and $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq -1}^{\otimes 2}$ (see Proposition 2.8, Lemma 8.1, 2), Lemma 2.18, Lemma 8.2, 2)), which are known to be injective. \square

Part 4. Associators and harmonic coproducts

In this part, we recall the formalism of associators and its interpretation in terms of categories related to braids, and do some related computations of matrices (§9). We combine these computations with the geometric interpretations of the Betti and de Rham algebra coproducts obtained in Part 3 to show Theorem 10.9, according to which any associator relates these two algebra coproducts (§10). We follow a similar approach in §11 to show Theorem 11.13, according to which any associator relates the Betti and de Rham module coproducts.

9. ASSOCIATORS AND COMPARISON ISOMORPHISMS

The purpose of this section is to recall some facts on associators, and in particular how these objects relate braid groups to infinitesimal braid Lie algebras.

In §9.1, we recall the definition of the set of associators over \mathbf{k} with a given parameter $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ (Definition 9.3). In §9.2, we recall the construction and properties of Γ -functions of associators (Lemma 9.5). In §9.3, we recall from [BN] that the choice of an associator gives rise to a functor from a category \mathbf{PaB} of parenthesized braids to a category $\widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}$ of parenthesized chord diagrams; we compute images of particular morphisms of \mathbf{PaB} . This leads, for each associator (μ, Φ) , to a collection $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^P$ of morphisms from algebras associated to braid groups to algebras associated to infinitesimal braid Lie algebras, indexed by parenthesized words P in one letter \bullet . In §9.4, we express particular elements of the pure braid group K_4 in terms of elements $\sigma_{a,b} \in B_4$. We apply these results to explicitly compute the images of these elements in the modular group P_5^* in the group of invertible elements of the completion $(U\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge$ of the enveloping algebra of the infinitesimal braid Lie algebra \mathfrak{p}_5 , first under the functor $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}$ (§9.5, Proposition 9.13), then under the functor $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}$ (§9.6, Proposition 9.16). This leads to the definition of matrices $P_{(\mu, \Phi)}$, $R_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ and $\overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$, $\overline{R}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ (§9.7) and to their computation in §§9.8 and 9.9.

9.1. The set $M(\mathbf{k})$ of associators. Let $\mathcal{A} = F^0\mathcal{A} \supset F^1\mathcal{A} \supset \dots$ be a filtered algebra over \mathbf{k} , complete with respect to its filtration. Let $a_0, a_1 \in F^1\mathcal{A}$. Then there is a morphism $\text{ev}_{a_0, a_1} : \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, uniquely determined by the conditions $e_i \mapsto a_i$ for $i = 0, 1$.

Definition 9.1. For $\Phi \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$, we set $\Phi(a_0, a_1) := \text{ev}_{a_0, a_1}(\Phi)$ (this is an element of \mathcal{A}).

Remark 9.2. Assume that $\mathcal{A} = \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$, $(a_0, a_1) := (e_0, e_1)$, then $\text{ev}_{a_0, a_1} = \text{id}_{\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}}$, therefore $\Phi = \Phi(e_0, e_1)$.

Recall the graded Lie algebra \mathfrak{t}_4 from §5.1.1.

Definition 9.3. ([Dr]) If $\mu \in \mathbf{k}$, one defines the set $M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$ to be the set of elements $\Phi \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$, which are group-like for $\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V}, \text{DR}}$ and such that

$$(9.1.1) \quad \Phi(b, a) = \Phi(a, b)^{-1},$$

$$(9.1.2) \quad e^{(\mu/2)b}\Phi(c, b)e^{(\mu/2)c}\Phi(a, c)e^{(\mu/2)a}\Phi(b, a) = 1$$

(equalities in $\mathbf{k}\langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle$, called the 2-cycle and hexagon conditions; here $c := -a - b$),

$$\Phi(t_{12}, t_{23} + t_{24}) \cdot \Phi(t_{13} + t_{23}, t_{34}) = \Phi(t_{23}, t_{34}) \cdot \Phi(t_{12} + t_{13}, t_{24} + t_{34}) \cdot \Phi(t_{12}, t_{23})$$

(equality in $(U\mathfrak{t}_4)^\wedge$, called the pentagon condition).

We call $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k}) := \{(\mu, \Phi) \mid \mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times, \Phi \in \mathbf{M}_\mu(\mathbf{k})\}$ the set of associators over \mathbf{k} .

In [F2], it was proved that the first two equalities are consequences of the latter one, where μ is obtained from the expansion $\Phi = 1 + \frac{\mu^2}{24}[e_0, e_1] +$ terms of degree ≥ 3 . These two equalities also imply the relation

$$(9.1.3) \quad e^{-(\mu/2)b}\Phi(c, b)e^{-(\mu/2)c}\Phi(a, c)e^{-(\mu/2)a}\Phi(b, a) = 1$$

in $\mathbf{k}\langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle$.

Remark 9.4. In [Dr], §2, it is proved that $\varphi_{\text{KZ}} \in (\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{DR}})^\times$ (see §1.5) belongs to $\mathbf{M}_1(\mathbb{C})$, and that $\mathbf{M}_\mu(\mathbb{Q})$ is nonempty, from which one derives that $\mathbf{M}_\mu(\mathbf{k})$ is nonempty for \mathbf{k} any \mathbb{Q} -algebra and any $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$.

9.2. Γ -functions. Let $\Phi \in \mathbf{M}_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. Let φ_0, φ_1 be the elements of $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$ defined by the equality $\Phi = 1 + \varphi_0 e_0 + \varphi_1 e_1$ (equality in $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$). Let \bar{e}_0, \bar{e}_1 be free commutative formal variables; there is a unique continuous \mathbf{k} -algebra morphism $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[[\bar{e}_0, \bar{e}_1]]$, denoted $f \mapsto f^{\text{ab}}$, such that $e_i \mapsto \bar{e}_i$ for $i = 0, 1$.

Lemma 9.5. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}$ and $\Phi \in \mathbf{M}_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. Let $\Gamma_\Phi(t) \in \mathbf{k}[[t]]^\times$ be as in (1.4.1).*

(1) *One has the identity*

$$(9.2.1) \quad (1 + \varphi_1 e_1)^{\text{ab}} = \frac{\Gamma_\Phi(-\bar{e}_0)\Gamma_\Phi(-\bar{e}_1)}{\Gamma_\Phi(-\bar{e}_0 - \bar{e}_1)}$$

in $\mathbf{k}[[\bar{e}_0, \bar{e}_1]]$.

(2) *Γ_Φ satisfies the identity*

$$(9.2.2) \quad \Gamma_\Phi(t)\Gamma_\Phi(-t) = \frac{\mu t}{e^{\mu t/2} - e^{-\mu t/2}}$$

in $1 + t^2\mathbf{k}[[t]]$.

Proof. In [E], one attaches to Φ a collection $(\zeta_\Phi(n))_{n \geq 2}$ of elements of \mathbf{k} with the following properties: (a) for n even ≥ 2 , one has $\zeta_\Phi(n) = \mu^n \cdot \zeta(n)/(2\pi i)^n$; (b) the series $\tilde{\Gamma}_\Phi(t)$ (denoted $\Gamma_\Phi(t)$ in [E]) defined by $\tilde{\Gamma}_\Phi(t) := \exp(-\sum_{n \geq 2} \zeta_\Phi(n)t^n/n)$ is such that

$$(9.2.3) \quad (1 + \varphi_1 e_1)^{\text{ab}} = \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_\Phi(\bar{e}_0 + \bar{e}_1)}{\tilde{\Gamma}_\Phi(\bar{e}_0)\tilde{\Gamma}_\Phi(\bar{e}_1)}$$

(identity in $\mathbf{k}[[\bar{e}_0, \bar{e}_1]]$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{r.h.s. of (9.2.3)}) &= \exp\left(-\sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{\zeta_{\Phi}(n)}{n} \{(\bar{e}_0 + \bar{e}_1)^n - \bar{e}_0^n - \bar{e}_1^n\}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{\zeta_{\Phi}(n)}{n} \{n\bar{e}_0^{n-1}\bar{e}_1 + O(\bar{e}_1^2)\}\right) = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 2} \zeta_{\Phi}(n) \bar{e}_0^{n-1} \bar{e}_1 + O(\bar{e}_1^2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\text{l.h.s. of (9.2.3)}) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 2} (\Phi|e_0^{n-1}e_1) \bar{e}_0^{n-1} \bar{e}_1 + O(\bar{e}_1^2).$$

Then (9.2.3) implies $\zeta_{\Phi}(n) = -(\Phi|e_0^{n-1}e_1)$ for $n \geq 2$. It follows that $\Gamma_{\Phi}(t) = 1/\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Phi}(-t)$, where Γ_{Φ} is as in (1.4.1). Plugging this equality in (9.2.3), we obtain (9.2.1). This proves 1).

Let us prove 2). Since $\log \Gamma(1-t) = \gamma t + \sum_{n \geq 2} \zeta(n)t^n/n$, the series $\exp(2 \sum_{n \text{ even}, n \geq 2} \frac{\zeta(n)t^n}{n})$ is equal to $\Gamma(1+t)\Gamma(1-t)$. The identities $\Gamma(t+1) = t\Gamma(t)$ and $\Gamma(t)\Gamma(-t) = \frac{t}{\sin(\pi t)}$ imply that the latter series equals $(2\pi i t)/(e^{(2\pi i t)/2} - e^{-(2\pi i t)/2})$, therefore

$$\exp\left(2 \sum_{n \text{ even}, n \geq 2} \frac{\zeta(n)t^n}{n}\right) = \frac{2\pi i t}{e^{(2\pi i t)/2} - e^{-(2\pi i t)/2}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\Phi}(t)\Gamma_{\Phi}(-t) &= (\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Phi}(t)\tilde{\Gamma}_{\Phi}(-t))^{-1} = \exp\left(2 \sum_{n \text{ even}, n \geq 2} \frac{\zeta_{\Phi}(n)t^n}{n}\right) = \exp\left(2 \sum_{n \text{ even}, n \geq 2} \frac{\zeta(n)(\mu t/(2\pi i))^n}{n}\right) \\ &= \frac{\mu t}{e^{\mu t/2} - e^{-\mu t/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves 2). \square

Remark 9.6. Lemma 9.5 can also be derived by combining two results from [F3], namely the inclusion result $M_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}) \subset \text{DMR}_{\mu}(\mathbf{k})$ and the result on Γ -functions for elements of $\text{DMR}_{\mu}(\mathbf{k})$.

Lemma 9.7. *The following identities*

$$(9.2.4) \quad \varphi_1(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\frac{\Gamma_{\Phi}(-\alpha)\Gamma_{\Phi}(-\beta)}{\Gamma_{\Phi}(-\alpha-\beta)} - 1 \right), \quad \varphi_0(\alpha, \beta) = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\Gamma_{\Phi}(-\alpha)\Gamma_{\Phi}(-\beta)}{\Gamma_{\Phi}(-\alpha-\beta)} - 1 \right),$$

hold in the commutative formal series ring $\mathbf{k}[[\alpha, \beta]]$.

Proof. The first identity follows from the image of (9.2.1) by the isomorphism of formal series rings taking (\bar{e}_0, \bar{e}_1) to (α, β) . One has $\Phi(e_0, e_1) \in \mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}})$, which implies that $\log \Phi(e_0, e_1) \in \hat{\mathfrak{f}}_2$. One also knows that the degree 1 component of the series $\log \Phi(e_0, e_1)$ in e_0, e_1 is zero. This implies $(\log \Phi(e_0, e_1))^{\text{ab}} = 0$, therefore $\Phi(e_0, e_1)^{\text{ab}} = 1$. This implies the equality $1 + \alpha\varphi_0(\alpha, \beta) + \beta\varphi_1(\alpha, \beta) = 1$. Together with the first identity of (9.2.4), this implies the second identity of (9.2.4). \square

9.3. Functors arising from associators.

9.3.1. *The category \mathbf{PaB} .* For $n \geq 0$, let Par_n be the set of parenthesizations of the word $\underbrace{\bullet \cdots \bullet}_{n \text{ letters}}$. Set $\text{Par} := \sqcup_{n \geq 0} \text{Par}_n$. The set Par is a monoid with product denoted $(P, Q) \mapsto PQ$. For $P \in \text{Par}$, we denote by $|P|$ the integer n such that $P \in \text{Par}_n$.

We denote by \mathbf{PaB} the category with set of objects given by $\text{Ob}(\mathbf{PaB}) := \text{Par}$ and set of morphisms given by $\mathbf{PaB}(P, Q) := \emptyset$ if $|P| \neq |Q|$, $\mathbf{PaB}(P, Q) := B_{|P|}$ if $|P| = |Q|$, where $B_{|P|}$ is the Artin braid group with $|P|$ strands.

The composition $\mathbf{PaB}(P, Q) \times \mathbf{PaB}(Q, R) \rightarrow \mathbf{PaB}(P, R)$ is given by the product in $B_{|P|}$ if $|P| = |Q| = |R|$, more precisely $((P \xrightarrow{f} Q), (Q \xrightarrow{g} R)) \mapsto (P \xrightarrow{gf} R)$ for $f, g \in B_{|P|}$.

We now introduce particular morphisms of \mathbf{PaB} :

(a) for $P, Q, R \in \text{Par}$, we denote by

$$a_{P,Q,R} \in \mathbf{PaB}((PQ)R, P(QR))$$

the image of $1 \in B_{|P|+|Q|+|R|} \simeq \mathbf{PaB}((PQ)R, P(QR))$;

(b) for $P \in \text{Par}$ and $b \in B_{|P|}$, we denote by

$$b_P \in \mathbf{PaB}(P)$$

the image of $b \in B_{|P|} \simeq \mathbf{PaB}(P)$;

(c) for $P, Q \in \text{Par}$, we denote by

$$\sigma_{P,Q} \in \mathbf{PaB}(PQ, QP)$$

the image of $\sigma_{|P|,|Q|} \in B_{|P|+|Q|} \simeq \mathbf{PaB}(PQ, QP)$, where $\sigma_{|P|,|Q|}$ is as in (7.1.7).

9.3.2. *The category $\widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}$.* For $n \geq 1$, the permutation group S_n acts by automorphisms of \mathfrak{t}_n by permutation of indices via $\sigma \cdot t_{ij} = t_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}$. This action gives rise to an algebra structure on the tensor product $(U\mathfrak{t}_n)^\wedge \otimes \mathbf{k}S_n$, and to a topological Hopf algebra on it, defined by the conditions that the elements of S_n be group-like and the elements of \mathfrak{t}_n be primitive; the resulting topological Hopf algebra structure is denoted by $(U\mathfrak{t}_n)^\wedge \rtimes S_n$.

Following [BN], we denote by $\widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}$ the category with set of objects Par and sets of morphisms given by $\widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}(P, Q) := (U\mathfrak{t}_{|P|})^\wedge \rtimes S_{|P|}$ if $|P| = |Q|$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}(P, Q) := 0$ otherwise, and with composition induced by the product in $(U\mathfrak{t}_{|P|})^\wedge \rtimes S_{|P|}$ in the same way as the composition in \mathbf{PaB} is induced by the product in braid groups.

We also denote by $\widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}^*$ the analogue of $\widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}$, where the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}_{|P|}$ is replaced by its quotient $\mathfrak{p}_{|P|+1}$. One then has a natural functor $\widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}^*$.

9.3.3. *The functor $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)} : \mathbf{PaB} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}$.* It follows from [BN], Theorem 1, that each pair (μ, Φ) , with $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$, gives rise to a functor

$$\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)} : \mathbf{PaB} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}$$

determined by

$$(9.3.1) \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet, \bullet}) = \exp(-\frac{\mu}{2}t_{12}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}(\bullet\bullet),$$

$$(9.3.2) \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}) = \Phi(t_{12}, t_{23}) \in \widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}((\bullet\bullet)\bullet, \bullet(\bullet\bullet)),$$

and its compatibility with operations of extensions, cabling and strand removal from [BN] in both the source and target categories.

Let us again denote by

$$\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)} : \mathbf{PaB} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}^*$$

the composition of functors $\mathbf{PaB} \xrightarrow{\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}} \widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}^*$.

For $P, Q \in \text{Par}$ with $|P| = |Q| = n$, we will denote by

$$\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{P, Q} : B_n \rightarrow (U\mathfrak{p}_{n+1})^\wedge \rtimes S_n$$

the composed map

$$B_n \simeq \mathbf{PaB}(P, Q) \xrightarrow{\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{P, Q}} \widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}^*(P, Q) \simeq (U\mathfrak{p}_{n+1})^\wedge \rtimes S_n.$$

It corestricts to a map $B_n \rightarrow ((U\mathfrak{p}_{n+1})^\wedge)^\times \rtimes S_n$, which is a group morphism denoted $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^P := \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{P, P}$ when $Q = P$.

The map $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{P, Q}$ restricts and corestricts to a map $K_n \rightarrow (U\mathfrak{p}_{n+1})^\wedge$, which factors through a map $P_{n+1}^* \rightarrow (U\mathfrak{p}_{n+1})^\wedge$. The latter map induces an isomorphism $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}^B(\mathfrak{M}_{0, n+1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{\mathcal{Y}}^{\text{DR}}(\mathfrak{M}_{0, n+1})$, where $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}^B(\mathfrak{M}_{0, n+1}) := (\mathbf{k}P_{n+1}^*)^\wedge$, $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}^{\text{DR}}(\mathfrak{M}_{0, n+1}) := (U\mathfrak{p}_{n+1})^\wedge$.

It follows from the categorical formalism that the algebra isomorphisms $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))^\bullet}$ and $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{(\bullet\bullet), (\bullet\bullet)^\bullet} : \hat{\mathcal{Y}}^B(\mathfrak{M}_{0, 5}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{Y}}^{\text{DR}}(\mathfrak{M}_{0, 5})$ are related by

$$(9.3.3) \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))^\bullet} = \text{Ad}(\Phi(e_{12}, e_{23})) \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{(\bullet\bullet), (\bullet\bullet)^\bullet}$$

Remark 9.8. There are natural identifications $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)} \simeq \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{(\bullet\bullet), (\bullet\bullet)^\bullet}$ and $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10)} \simeq \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{(\bullet\bullet), (\bullet\bullet)^\bullet}$.

9.3.4. *Images by $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ of particular morphisms.*

Lemma 9.9. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in \mathbf{M}_\mu(\mathbf{k})$.*

1) *If P, Q are in Par , with $|P| = a$, $|Q| = b$, then*

$$(9.3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{P, Q}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & a & a+1 & \cdots & a+b \\ b+1 & \cdots & a+b & 1 & \cdots & b \end{pmatrix} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2} \sum_{\beta \in a+[[1, b]]} e_{\beta, a+b+1} + \mu \sum_{\alpha < \beta \in a+[[1, b]]} e_{\alpha, \beta}\right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & a & a+1 & \cdots & a+b \\ b+1 & \cdots & a+b & 1 & \cdots & b \end{pmatrix} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in [[1, a]]} e_{\alpha, a+b+1} + \mu \sum_{\alpha < \beta \in [[1, a]]} e_{\alpha, \beta}\right) \in \widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}^*(PQ, QP). \end{aligned}$$

2) *If P, Q, R are in Par , with $|P| = a$, $|Q| = b$, $|R| = c$, then*

$$(9.3.5) \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{P, Q, R}) = \Phi\left(\sum_{\gamma \in a+b+[[1, c]]} e_{\gamma, a+b+1}, \sum_{\alpha \in [[1, a]]} e_{\alpha, a+b+1}\right) \in \widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}^*((PQ)R, P(QR)).$$

Proof. 1) It follows from (9.3.1) that if P, Q are in Par, with $|P| = a$, $|Q| = b$, then

$$\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{P, Q}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & a & a+1 & \cdots & a+b \\ b+1 & \cdots & a+b & 1 & \cdots & b \end{pmatrix} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\mu}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in A, \beta \in B} t_{\alpha, \beta}\right) \in \widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}(PQ, QP),$$

where $A := \llbracket 1, a \rrbracket$, $B := a + \llbracket 1, b \rrbracket$. The image of $-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in A, \beta \in B} t_{\alpha, \beta}$ under $\mathfrak{t}_{a+b} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_{a+b+1}$ is

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in A, \beta \in B} e_{\alpha, \beta} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \in B} \left(-\sum_{\alpha \in A} e_{\alpha, \beta} - \sum_{\beta' \in B} e_{\beta, \beta'}\right) + \sum_{\alpha < \beta \in B} e_{\alpha, \beta} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \in B} e_{\beta, a+b+1} + \sum_{\alpha < \beta \in B} e_{\alpha, \beta}.$$

By symmetry, one also has

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in A, \beta \in B} e_{\alpha, \beta} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in A} e_{\alpha, a+b+1} + \sum_{\alpha < \beta \in B} e_{\alpha, \beta}.$$

This implies that the image of $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{P, Q})$ in $\widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}^*(PQ, QP)$ is the announced value.

2) It follows from (9.3.2) that if P, Q, R are in Par, with $|P| = a$, $|Q| = b$, $|R| = c$, then

$$\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{P, Q, R}) = \Phi\left(\sum_{\alpha \in A, \beta \in B} t_{\alpha, \beta}, \sum_{\beta \in B, \gamma \in C} t_{\beta, \gamma}\right) \in \widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}((PQ)R, P(QR)),$$

where $A := \llbracket 1, a \rrbracket$, $B := a + \llbracket 1, b \rrbracket$, $C := a + b + \llbracket 1, c \rrbracket$.

For $I \subset \llbracket 1, a + b + c \rrbracket$, set $e_I := \sum_{i, i' \in I} e_{i, i'}$, and for $I, J \subset \llbracket 1, a + b + c \rrbracket$ disjoint, set $e_{IJ} := \sum_{i \in I, j \in J} e_{i, j}$. Then

$$\sum_{\gamma \in C} e_{\gamma, a+b+c+1} = -\sum_{\gamma \in C, \alpha \in A} e_{\alpha, \gamma} - \sum_{\gamma \in C, \beta \in B} e_{\beta, \gamma} - \sum_{\gamma, \gamma' \in C} e_{\gamma, \gamma'} = z + e_{AB},$$

where

$$z = -e_{AB} - e_{AC} - e_{BC} - e_C.$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{\alpha \in A} e_{\alpha, a+b+c+1} = z' + e_{BC},$$

where

$$z' = -e_{AB} - e_{AC} - e_{BC} - e_A.$$

One immediately has $[e_{AB}, e_C] = [e_{BC}, e_A] = 0$. Moreover, the relations $[e_{\alpha, \beta} + e_{\alpha', \beta}, e_{\alpha, \alpha'}] = 0$ for $\alpha, \alpha' \in A$ and $\beta \in B$, and $[e_{\alpha'', \beta}, e_{\alpha, \alpha'}] = 0$ for $\beta \in B$ and $\alpha, \alpha', \alpha'' \in A$ all distinct, imply that $[e_{AB}, e_A] = 0$. Similarly, $[e_{AC}, e_A] = 0$ and $[e_{AC}, e_C] = [e_{BC}, e_C] = 0$. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha, \alpha' \in A, \beta \in B, \gamma \in C} [e_{\alpha, \gamma}, e_{\alpha', \beta}] + \sum_{\alpha \in A, \beta, \beta' \in B, \gamma \in C} [e_{\beta, \gamma}, e_{\alpha, \beta'}] = \sum_{\alpha \in A, \beta \in B, \gamma \in C} [e_{\alpha, \gamma}, e_{\alpha, \beta}] + \sum_{\alpha \in A, \beta \in B, \gamma \in C} [e_{\beta, \gamma}, e_{\alpha, \beta}] \\ & = \sum_{\alpha \in A, \beta \in B, \gamma \in C} [e_{\alpha, \gamma} + e_{\beta, \gamma}, e_{\alpha, \beta}] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

therefore $[e_{AC} + e_{BC}, e_{AB}] = 0$.

All this implies

$$[z, z'] = [z, e_{AB}] = [z', e_{BC}] = [z, e_{BC}] = [z', e_{AB}] = 0,$$

which, together with the fact that Φ is the exponential of a Lie series without linear terms, implies that $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{P, Q, R}) \in \widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}^*((PQ)R, P(QR))$ is the announced value. \square

9.4. Relations in B_4 .

Lemma 9.10. *One has the following equalities in B_4 :*

$$(9.4.1) \quad \tilde{x}_{1,5} = \sigma_{3,1}\sigma_{1,3},$$

$$(9.4.2) \quad \tilde{x}_{2,5} = \sigma_{2,2} \cdot \tilde{x}_{4,5} \cdot \sigma_{2,2}^{-1}.$$

$$(9.4.3) \quad \tilde{x}_{3,5} = \sigma_{1,3} \cdot \tilde{x}_{4,5} \cdot \sigma_{1,3}^{-1}.$$

$$(9.4.4) \quad \tilde{x}_{4,5} = \sigma_{1,3}\sigma_{3,1},$$

$$(9.4.5) \quad \tilde{x}_{2,5} = \sigma_{1,3}\sigma_{2,2}^{-1} \cdot \tilde{x}_{1,5} \cdot \sigma_{2,2}\sigma_{1,3}^{-1},$$

Proof. These equalities follow from the fact their left-hand sides can be represented as indicated in Figure 9.1. □




FIGURE 9.1. Proof of Lemma 9.10

9.5. Computations of images of \tilde{x}_{i5} under $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}$.

9.5.1. Relations in **PaB**.

Lemma 9.11. *1) The automorphism $(\tilde{x}_{1,5})_{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}$ of the object $((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet$ of the category **PaB** is equal to the composition*

$$((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet \xrightarrow{a_{\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet}} (\bullet\bullet)(\bullet\bullet) \xrightarrow{a_{\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet}} \bullet(\bullet(\bullet\bullet)) \xrightarrow{(\tilde{x}_{1,5})_{\bullet(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))}} \bullet(\bullet(\bullet\bullet)) \xrightarrow{a_{\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet}^{-1}} (\bullet\bullet)(\bullet\bullet) \xrightarrow{a_{\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet}^{-1}} ((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet$$

of isomorphisms of this category.

2) The automorphism $(\tilde{x}_{2,5})_{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)}$ of the object $((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)$ of the category \mathbf{PaB} is equal to the composition

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\bullet\bullet)\bullet) \xrightarrow{a_{\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet}} (\bullet\bullet)(\bullet\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet}^{-1}} (\bullet\bullet)(\bullet\bullet) \xrightarrow{a_{\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet}^{-1}} ((\bullet\bullet)\bullet) \xrightarrow{(\tilde{x}_{45})_{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)}} \\ & ((\bullet\bullet)\bullet) \xrightarrow{a_{\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet}} (\bullet\bullet)(\bullet\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet}} (\bullet\bullet)(\bullet\bullet) \xrightarrow{a_{\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet}^{-1}} ((\bullet\bullet)\bullet) \end{aligned}$$

of isomorphisms of this category.

3) The automorphism $(\tilde{x}_{3,5})_{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)}$ of the object $((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)$ of the category \mathbf{PaB} is equal to the composition

$$((\bullet\bullet)\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\bullet\bullet(\bullet\bullet)}^{-1}} \bullet((\bullet\bullet)\bullet) \xrightarrow{a_{\bullet\bullet(\bullet\bullet)}^{-1}} (\bullet(\bullet\bullet)) \bullet \xrightarrow{(\tilde{x}_{45})_{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))}} (\bullet(\bullet\bullet)) \bullet \xrightarrow{a_{\bullet\bullet(\bullet\bullet)}} \bullet((\bullet\bullet)\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\bullet\bullet(\bullet\bullet)}} ((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)$$

of isomorphisms of this category.

4) The automorphism $(\tilde{x}_{4,5})_{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)}$ of the object $((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)$ of the category \mathbf{PaB} is equal to the composition

$$((\bullet\bullet)\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{(\bullet\bullet)\bullet}} \bullet((\bullet\bullet)\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\bullet\bullet(\bullet\bullet)}} ((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)$$

of isomorphisms of this category.

Proof. Let \mathbf{B} be the groupoid with set of objects equal to $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and sets of morphisms given by $\mathbf{B}(n) := B_n$ if $n \geq 0$ (with the convention $B_0 = 1$) and $\mathbf{B}(n, m) := \emptyset$ if $m \neq n$.

There is a functor $\text{forget} : \mathbf{PaB} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ given by $\text{forget}(P) := |P|$ at the level of objects and defined at the level of morphisms by the condition that the map $\text{forget} : \mathbf{PaB}(P, Q) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(|P|, |Q|)$ is given by the composition $\mathbf{PaB}(P, Q) \simeq B_{|P|} \simeq \mathbf{B}(|P|, |Q|)$ when $|Q| = |P|$, and is the only self-map of the empty set if $|Q| \neq |P|$.

Then

$$(9.5.1) \quad \forall P, Q \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{PaB}), \quad \mathbf{PaB}(P, Q) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(|P|, |Q|) \text{ is an isomorphism.}$$

Each of the items of the lemma states the equality of two elements of $\mathbf{PaB}((\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet)$. In view of (9.5.1), this equality is a consequence of the equality of their images in $\mathbf{B}(4) = B_4$. The latter equality is itself a consequence of Lemma 9.10: (9.4.2) (resp. (9.4.3), (9.4.4)) implies the equality of elements of B_4 relevant to 2) (resp. 3), 4)). \square


Remark 9.12. The equalities from Lemma 9.11 can be translated in the graphical language of [BN] as the statement that for $i \in \llbracket 1, 4 \rrbracket$, the isomorphism $(\tilde{x}_{i5})_{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)}$ of the object $((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)$ of the category \mathbf{PaB} is equal to the composition of morphisms indicated in Figure 9.2.

9.5.2. *Computation of images of morphisms in \mathbf{PaB} .*

Proposition 9.13. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. One has*

$$(9.5.2) \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)}(\tilde{x}_{1,5}) = \text{Ad}\left(\Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5})^{-1} \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5})^{-1}\right)(\exp(\mu e_{1,5})),$$

$$(9.5.3) \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)}(\tilde{x}_{2,5}) = \text{Ad}\left(\Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5})^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2} e_{34,5}\right) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5})^{-1}\right)(\exp(\mu e_{2,5})),$$


 FIGURE 9.2. $(\tilde{x}_{i,5})_{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}$

$$(9.5.4) \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}(\tilde{x}_{3,5}) = \text{Ad}\left(\exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{4,5}\right)\Phi(e_{3,5}, e_{4,5})\right)(\exp(\mu e_{3,5})),$$

$$(9.5.5) \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}(\tilde{x}_{4,5}) = \exp(\mu e_{4,5}).$$

Proof. For $i \in \llbracket 1, 4 \rrbracket$, $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}(\tilde{x}_{i,5})$ is equal to $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}((\tilde{x}_{i,5})_{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet})$. One computes

$$(9.5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}((\tilde{x}_{1,5})_{\bullet(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))}) &= \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet(\bullet\bullet), \bullet}) \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet, \bullet(\bullet\bullet)}) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{45}\right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{15}\right) = \exp(\mu e_{15}), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from the first equality in (9.3.4) for $(P, Q) = (\bullet(\bullet\bullet), \bullet)$ and from the second equality in (9.3.4) for $(P, Q) = (\bullet, \bullet(\bullet\bullet))$, and the last equality is a computation in $(U\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge \rtimes S_4$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}((\tilde{x}_{1,5})_{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}) &= \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet})^{-1} \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet, \bullet\bullet})^{-1} \\ &\circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}((\tilde{x}_{1,5})_{\bullet(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))}) \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet, \bullet\bullet}) \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet}) \\ &= \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5})^{-1} \cdot \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5})^{-1} \cdot \exp(\mu e_{15}) \cdot \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5}) \cdot \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}), \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 9.11, 1), and the second equality follows from (9.3.5) for $(P, Q, R) = (\bullet\bullet, \bullet, \bullet)$ and $(\bullet, \bullet, \bullet\bullet)$ and from (9.5.6). This proves (9.5.2).

One computes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}((\tilde{x}_{4,5})_{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}) &= \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet, \bullet(\bullet\bullet)}) \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet}) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{15}\right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{4,5}\right) = \exp(\mu e_{4,5}), \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 9.11, 2), and the second equality follows from the second equality in (9.3.4) for $(P, Q) = (\bullet, (\bullet\bullet)\bullet)$ and from the first equality in (9.3.4) for $(P, Q) = (\bullet, (\bullet\bullet)\bullet)$. This proves (9.5.5).

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}((\tilde{x}_{2,5})_{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}) = \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet, \bullet})^{-1} \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet}) \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}) \\
& \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}((\tilde{x}_{4,5})_{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}) \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet, \bullet})^{-1} \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet})^{-1} \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}) \\
& = \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5})^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{34,5} + \mu e_{3,4}\right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) \\
& \cdot e^{\mu e_{4,5}} \cdot \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5})^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{34,5} - \mu e_{3,4}\right) \cdot \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) \\
& = \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5})^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{34,5}\right) \cdot \exp(\mu e_{3,4}) \cdot \Phi(e_{2,5}, e_{34,5}) \cdot e^{\mu e_{2,5}} \cdot \Phi(e_{2,5}, e_{34,5})^{-1} \\
& \cdot \exp(-\mu e_{3,4}) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{34,5}\right) \cdot \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) \\
& = \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5})^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{34,5}\right) \cdot \Phi(e_{2,5}, e_{34,5}) \cdot e^{\mu e_{2,5}} \cdot \Phi(e_{2,5}, e_{34,5})^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{34,5}\right) \cdot \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) \\
& = \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5})^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{34,5}\right) \cdot \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5})^{-1} \cdot e^{\mu e_{2,5}} \cdot \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{34,5}\right) \cdot \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 9.11, 3), the second equality follows from (9.3.5) for $(P, Q, R) = (\bullet\bullet, \bullet, \bullet)$, from the second equality in (9.3.4) for $(P, Q) = (\bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet)$ and from (9.5.5), the third equality follows from computation in $(U\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge \rtimes S_4$, in particular the fact that $e_{3,4}$ commutes with $e_{34,5}$, the fourth equality follows from the fact the $e_{3,4}$ commutes with $e_{2,5}$ and $e_{34,5}$, and the last equality follows from the 2-cycle identity (9.1.1). This proves (9.5.3).

Finally

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}((\tilde{x}_{3,5})_{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}) = \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet, (\bullet\bullet)\bullet}) \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet, \bullet\bullet, \bullet}) \\
& \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}((\tilde{x}_{4,5})_{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}) \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet, \bullet\bullet, \bullet})^{-1} \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet, (\bullet\bullet)\bullet})^{-1} \\
& = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{1,5}\right) \cdot \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{1,5}) \cdot e^{\mu e_{4,5}} \cdot \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{1,5})^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{1,5}\right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
& = \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{4,5}\right) \cdot \Phi(e_{3,5}, e_{4,5}) \cdot e^{\mu e_{3,5}} \cdot \Phi(e_{3,5}, e_{4,5})^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{4,5}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 9.11, 4), the second equality follows from (9.3.5) for $(P, Q, R) = (\bullet, \bullet\bullet, \bullet)$, from the second equality in (9.3.4) for $(P, Q) = (\bullet, (\bullet\bullet)\bullet)$ and from (9.5.5), and the third equality follows from computation in $(U\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge \rtimes S_4$. This proves (9.5.4). \square

9.6. Computations of images of \tilde{x}_{i5} under $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}$.

9.6.1. Relations in PaB.

Lemma 9.14. 1) *The automorphism $(\tilde{x}_{1,5})_{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}$ of the object $(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet$ of the category PaB is equal to the composition*

$$(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet \xrightarrow{a_{\bullet, \bullet\bullet, \bullet}} \bullet((\bullet\bullet)\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\bullet, (\bullet\bullet)\bullet}} ((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet \xrightarrow{\sigma_{(\bullet\bullet)\bullet, \bullet}} \bullet((\bullet\bullet)\bullet) \xrightarrow{a_{\bullet, \bullet\bullet, \bullet}^{-1}} (\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet$$

of isomorphisms of this category.

2) The automorphism $(\tilde{x}_{2,5})_{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}$ of the object $(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet$ of the category \mathbf{PaB} is equal to the composition

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\bullet(\bullet\bullet)}^{-1}} \bullet(\bullet(\bullet\bullet)) \xrightarrow{a_{\bullet(\bullet\bullet)}^{-1}} (\bullet\bullet)(\bullet\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\bullet\bullet}^{\bullet\bullet}} (\bullet\bullet)(\bullet\bullet) \xrightarrow{a_{\bullet\bullet}^{\bullet\bullet}} \bullet(\bullet(\bullet\bullet)) \xrightarrow{(\tilde{x}_{1,5})_{\bullet(\bullet\bullet)}} \bullet(\bullet(\bullet\bullet)) \\ & \xrightarrow{a_{\bullet\bullet}^{-1}} (\bullet\bullet)(\bullet\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\bullet\bullet}^{-1}} (\bullet\bullet)(\bullet\bullet) \xrightarrow{a_{\bullet\bullet}^{\bullet\bullet}} \bullet(\bullet(\bullet\bullet)) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\bullet\bullet}^{\bullet\bullet}} (\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet \end{aligned}$$

of isomorphisms of this category.

3) The automorphism $(\tilde{x}_{3,5})_{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}$ of the object $(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet$ of the category \mathbf{PaB} is equal to the composition

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\bullet(\bullet\bullet)}^{-1}} \bullet(\bullet(\bullet\bullet)) \xrightarrow{a_{\bullet(\bullet\bullet)}^{-1}} (\bullet\bullet)(\bullet\bullet) \xrightarrow{a_{\bullet\bullet}^{-1}} ((\bullet\bullet)\bullet) \xrightarrow{(\tilde{x}_{4,5})_{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)}} ((\bullet\bullet)\bullet) \\ & \xrightarrow{a_{\bullet\bullet}^{\bullet\bullet}} (\bullet\bullet)(\bullet\bullet) \xrightarrow{a_{\bullet\bullet}^{\bullet\bullet}} \bullet(\bullet(\bullet\bullet)) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\bullet\bullet}^{\bullet\bullet}} (\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet \end{aligned}$$

of isomorphisms of this category.

4) The automorphism $(\tilde{x}_{4,5})_{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}$ of the object $(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet$ of the category \mathbf{PaB} is equal to the composition

$$(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\bullet(\bullet\bullet)}^{\bullet\bullet}} \bullet(\bullet(\bullet\bullet)) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\bullet\bullet}^{\bullet\bullet}} (\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet$$

of isomorphisms of this category.

Proof. Each of the items of the lemma states the equality of two elements of $\mathbf{PaB}((\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet)$. In view of (9.5.1), this equality is a consequence of the equality of their images in $\mathbf{B}(4) = B_4$ under the morphism of groupoids $\text{forget} : \mathbf{PaB} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ from the proof of Lemma 9.11. The latter equality is itself a consequence of Lemma 9.10: (9.4.1) (resp., (9.4.5), (9.4.3), (9.4.4)) implies the equality of elements of B_4 relevant to 1) (resp., 2), 3), 4). \square

Remark 9.15. The equalities from Lemma 9.14 can be translated in the graphical language of [BN] as the statement that for $i \in \llbracket 1, 4 \rrbracket$, the isomorphism $(\tilde{x}_{i5})_{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}$ of the object $(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet$ of the category \mathbf{PaB} is equal to the composition of morphisms indicated in Figure 9.3.

9.6.2. Computation of images of morphisms in \mathbf{PaB} .


Proposition 9.16. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. One has*

$$(9.6.1) \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}(\tilde{x}_{1,5}) = \text{Ad}\left(\Phi(e_{1,5}, e_{4,5})\right)(\exp(\mu e_{15})),$$

$$(9.6.2) \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}(\tilde{x}_{2,5}) = \text{Ad}\left(\exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{4,5}\right)\Phi(e_{23,5}, e_{4,5})\exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}\right)\Phi(e_{2,5}, e_{14,5})\right)(\exp(\mu e_{2,5})),$$

$$(9.6.3) \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}(\tilde{x}_{3,5}) = \text{Ad}\left(\exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{4,5}\right) \cdot \Phi(e_{23,5}, e_{4,5}) \cdot \Phi(e_{3,5}, e_{14,5})\right)(\exp(\mu e_{3,5})),$$

$$(9.6.4) \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}(\tilde{x}_{4,5}) = \exp(\mu e_{4,5}).$$

FIGURE 9.3. $(\tilde{x}_{i,5})_{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}$.

Proof. For $i \in [1, 4]$, $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}(\tilde{x}_{i,5})$ is equal to $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}((\tilde{x}_{i,5})_{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet})$. One computes

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}((\tilde{x}_{1,5})_{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}) &= \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet, \bullet\bullet, \bullet}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{(\bullet\bullet)\bullet, \bullet} \circ \sigma_{\bullet, (\bullet\bullet)\bullet} \circ a_{\bullet, \bullet\bullet, \bullet}) \\
&= \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet, \bullet\bullet, \bullet})^{-1} \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{(\bullet\bullet)\bullet, \bullet}) \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet, (\bullet\bullet)\bullet}) \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet, \bullet\bullet, \bullet}) \\
&= \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{1,5})^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2} e_{4,5}\right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2} e_{1,5}\right) \cdot \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{1,5}) \\
&= \Phi(e_{1,5}, e_{4,5}) \cdot \exp(\mu e_{15}) \cdot \Phi(e_{1,5}, e_{4,5})^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 9.14, 1), the third equality from the relation between composition and product, from (9.3.5) for $(P, Q, R) = (\bullet, \bullet\bullet, \bullet)$, from the first equality in (9.3.4) for $(P, Q) = ((\bullet\bullet)\bullet, \bullet)$ and from the second equality in (9.3.4) for $(P, Q) = (\bullet, (\bullet\bullet)\bullet)$, and the last equality follows from the duality relation (9.1.1). This proves (9.6.1).

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}((\tilde{x}_{2,5})_{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}) \\
 &= \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet, \bullet(\bullet\bullet)} \circ a_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet\bullet} \circ \sigma_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet}^{-1} \circ a_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet}^{-1} \circ (\tilde{x}_{1,5})_{\bullet(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))} \circ a_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet\bullet} \circ \sigma_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet} \circ a_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{\bullet, \bullet(\bullet\bullet)}^{-1}) \\
 &= \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet, \bullet(\bullet\bullet)}) \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet\bullet}) \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet})^{-1} \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet})^{-1} \\
 & \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\tilde{x}_{1,5})_{\bullet(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))} \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet\bullet}) \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet}) \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet})^{-1} \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet, \bullet(\bullet\bullet)})^{-1} \\
 &= \text{Ad}\left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{1,5}\right) \cdot \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5}) \cdot \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{34,5} - \mu e_{3,4}\right) \cdot \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5})^{-1}\right) (\exp(\mu e_{1,5})) \\
 &= \text{Ad}\left(\exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{4,5}\right) \cdot \Phi(e_{23,5}, e_{4,5}) \cdot \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{34,5}\right) \cdot \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5})^{-1}\right) (\exp(\mu e_{1,5})) \\
 &= \text{Ad}\left(\exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{4,5}\right) \cdot \Phi(e_{23,5}, e_{4,5}) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{14,5}\right) \cdot \Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{2,5})^{-1}\right) (\exp(\mu e_{2,5})) \\
 &= \text{Ad}\left(\exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{4,5}\right) \cdot \Phi(e_{23,5}, e_{4,5}) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}\right) \cdot \Phi(e_{2,5}, e_{14,5})\right) (\exp(\mu e_{2,5}));
 \end{aligned}$$

the first equality follows from Lemma 9.14, 2), the third equality follows from the relation between composition and product, from (9.3.5) for $(P, Q, R) = (\bullet, \bullet, \bullet\bullet)$ and from the second equality in (9.3.4) for $(P, Q) = (\bullet, \bullet(\bullet\bullet))$ and $(\bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet)$. The two next equalities are computations in $U(\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge \rtimes S_4$, more precisely both are based on the effect of conjugation by an element of S_4 on an element of \mathfrak{p}_5 in this algebra, and the fourth equality also uses that $\mathbf{k}S_4 \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge \rtimes S_4$ is an algebra morphism, and the fact that e_{34} commutes with both $e_{34,5}$ and e_{15} . The sixth equality follows from $e_{1234,5} = 0$ and from the duality identity (9.1.1). This proves (9.6.2).

One computes

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}((\tilde{x}_{3,5})_{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}) \\
 &= \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet, \bullet(\bullet\bullet)} \circ a_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet\bullet} \circ a_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet} \circ (\tilde{x}_{4,5})_{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet} \circ a_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet}^{-1} \circ a_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet\bullet}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{\bullet, \bullet(\bullet\bullet)}^{-1}) \\
 &= \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet, \bullet(\bullet\bullet)}) \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet\bullet}) \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet}) \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}((\tilde{x}_{4,5})_{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}) \\
 & \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet\bullet, \bullet\bullet})^{-1} \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(a_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet\bullet})^{-1} \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet, \bullet(\bullet\bullet)})^{-1} \\
 &= \text{Ad}\left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{1,5}\right) \cdot \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5}) \cdot \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5})\right) (\exp(\mu e_{45})) \\
 &= \text{Ad}\left(\exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{4,5}\right) \cdot \Phi(e_{23,5}, e_{4,5}) \cdot \Phi(e_{3,5}, e_{14,5})\right) (\exp(\mu e_{3,5})),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 9.14, 3), the third equality follows from (9.3.5) for $(P, Q, R) = (\bullet\bullet, \bullet, \bullet)$ and $(\bullet, \bullet, \bullet\bullet)$, from the second equality in (9.3.4) for $(P, Q) = (\bullet, (\bullet\bullet)\bullet)$, and the last equality is a computation in $(U\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge \rtimes S_4$. This proves (9.6.3).

One computes

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}((\tilde{x}_{4,5})_{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}) = \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet, \bullet(\bullet\bullet)} \circ \sigma_{\bullet(\bullet\bullet), \bullet}) = \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet, \bullet(\bullet\bullet)}) \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}(\sigma_{\bullet(\bullet\bullet), \bullet}) \\
 &= \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{15}\right) \cdot \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}e_{45}\right) = \exp(\mu e_{45}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 9.14, 4), and the third equality follows from the second equality in (9.3.4) for $(P, Q) = (\bullet, \bullet(\bullet\bullet))$ and from the first equality in (9.3.4) for $(P, Q) = (\bullet, \bullet(\bullet\bullet))$. This proves (9.6.4). \square

9.7. Definition of the matrices $P_{(\mu, \Phi)}$, $Q_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ and $\overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$, $\overline{Q}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$. Recall from Lemma 5.3, 1) the graded Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{f}_3 of \mathfrak{p}_5 generated by the e_{i5} , $i \in \llbracket 1, 4 \rrbracket$. It follows from Propositions 9.13 and 9.16 that for $i \in \llbracket 1, 4 \rrbracket$, both $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}(\tilde{x}_{i5} - 1)$ and $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}(\tilde{x}_{i5} - 1)$ belong to the augmentation ideal of the degree completion $(U\mathfrak{f}_3)^\wedge$ of its universal enveloping algebra. This augmentation ideal is freely generated, as a left $(U\mathfrak{f}_3)^\wedge$ -module, by the elements e_{i5} , $i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket$. It follows that there are uniquely defined collections $(p_{ij})_{i,j \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket}$ and $(q_{ij})_{i,j \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket}$ of elements of $(U\mathfrak{f}_3)^\wedge$, such that

$$\forall i, j \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket, \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}(\tilde{x}_{i5} - 1) = \sum_{i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket} p_{ij} e_{j5}, \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}(\tilde{x}_{i5} - 1) = \sum_{i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket} q_{ij} e_{j5}$$

(equalities in $(U\mathfrak{f}_3)^\wedge$).

Definition 9.17. Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. One sets $P_{(\mu, \Phi)} := (p_{ij})_{i,j \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket} \in M_3((U\mathfrak{f}_3)^\wedge)$, $Q_{(\mu, \Phi)} := (q_{ij})_{i,j \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket} \in M_3((U\mathfrak{f}_3)^\wedge)$.

One then has

$$(9.7.1) \quad M_{3 \times 1}(\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_{15} - 1 \\ \tilde{x}_{25} - 1 \\ \tilde{x}_{35} - 1 \end{pmatrix} = P_{(\mu, \Phi)} \begin{pmatrix} e_{15} \\ e_{25} \\ e_{35} \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_{3 \times 1}(\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_{15} - 1 \\ \tilde{x}_{25} - 1 \\ \tilde{x}_{35} - 1 \end{pmatrix} = Q_{(\mu, \Phi)} \begin{pmatrix} e_{15} \\ e_{25} \\ e_{35} \end{pmatrix}$$

(equalities in $M_{3 \times 1}((U\mathfrak{f}_3)^\wedge)$).

Lemma 9.18. *If $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$, then the matrices $P_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ and $Q_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ belong to $\text{GL}_3((U\mathfrak{f}_3)^\wedge)$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that that both p_{ij} and q_{ij} are equal to $\mu \delta_{ij}$ modulo the augmentation ideal of $(U\mathfrak{f}_3)^\wedge$, which is equal to the direct product of the components of $U\mathfrak{f}_3$ of degrees > 0 . \square

Definition 9.19. For $i, j \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket$, one sets $\overline{p}_{ij} := \text{pr}_{12}^\wedge(p_{ij}) \in (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2, \wedge}$, $\overline{q}_{ij} := \text{pr}_{12}^\wedge(q_{ij}) \in (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2, \wedge}$.

Definition 9.20. Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. One sets $\overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)} := \text{pr}_{12}^\wedge(P_{(\mu, \Phi)}) = (\overline{p}_{ij})_{i,j \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket} \in \text{GL}_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2, \wedge})$, $\overline{Q}_{(\mu, \Phi)} := \text{pr}_{12}^\wedge(Q_{(\mu, \Phi)}) = (\overline{q}_{ij})_{i,j \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket} \in \text{GL}_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2, \wedge})$.

9.8. Computation of $P_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ and $\overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$. Recall the elements $\varphi_0, \varphi_1 \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$ associated with Φ (§9.2).

Proposition 9.21. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. One has*

$$P_{(\mu, \Phi)} = \text{diag} \left(\Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5})^{-1} \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5})^{-1}, \quad \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5})^{-1} e^{\frac{\mu}{2} e_{34,5}} \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5})^{-1}, \quad e^{\frac{\mu}{2} e_{4,5}} \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{3,5})^{-1} \right).$$

$\begin{aligned} & (e^{\mu e_{1,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5}) \\ & \cdot (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) \\ & + (e^{\mu e_{1,5}} - 1) (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5}) \\ & + \frac{e^{\mu e_{1,5}} - 1}{e_{1,5}} \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} & (e^{\mu e_{1,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5}) \\ & \cdot (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) \\ & - (e^{\mu e_{1,5}} - 1) \varphi_0(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5}) \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} & -(e^{\mu e_{1,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5}) \\ & \cdot \varphi_0(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) \end{aligned}$
$\begin{aligned} & (e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) \\ & \cdot e^{-\frac{\mu}{2} e_{34,5}} (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) \\ & - (e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2} e_{34,5}} - 1}{e_{34,5}} \\ & - (e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) \varphi_0(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} & (e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) \\ & \cdot e^{-\frac{\mu}{2} e_{34,5}} (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) \\ & - (e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2} e_{34,5}} - 1}{e_{34,5}} \\ & + (e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) \\ & + \frac{e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1}{e_{2,5}} \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} & -(e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) \\ & \cdot e^{-\frac{\mu}{2} e_{34,5}} \varphi_0(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) \end{aligned}$
$\begin{aligned} & -(e^{\mu e_{3,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{3,5}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2} e_{4,5}} - 1}{e_{4,5}} \\ & - (e^{\mu e_{3,5}} - 1) \varphi_0(e_{4,5}, e_{3,5}) \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} & -(e^{\mu e_{3,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{3,5}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2} e_{4,5}} - 1}{e_{4,5}} \\ & - (e^{\mu e_{3,5}} - 1) \varphi_0(e_{4,5}, e_{3,5}) \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} & -(e^{\mu e_{3,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{3,5}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2} e_{4,5}} - 1}{e_{4,5}} \\ & + (e^{\mu e_{3,5}} - 1) (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{4,5}, e_{3,5}) \\ & + \frac{e^{\mu e_{3,5}} - 1}{e_{3,5}} \end{aligned}$

where $\text{diag}(d_1, d_2, d_3)$ denotes the diagonal matrix with diagonal elements d_1, d_2, d_3 .

Proof. The computation of the first line of the matrix follows from (9.5.2) and from

$$\begin{aligned} & (e^{\mu e_{1,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5}) \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) \\ & = (e^{\mu e_{1,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5}) \varphi_0(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) e_{4,5} + (e^{\mu e_{1,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5}) \varphi_1(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) e_{12,5} \\ & + (e^{\mu e_{1,5}} - 1) \varphi_0(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5}) e_{34,5} + (e^{\mu e_{1,5}} - 1) \varphi_1(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5}) e_{1,5} + (e^{\mu e_{1,5}} - 1) \\ & = \left((e^{\mu e_{1,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5}) (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) + (e^{\mu e_{1,5}} - 1) (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5}) + \frac{e^{\mu e_{1,5}} - 1}{e_{1,5}} \right) e_{1,5} \\ & + \left((e^{\mu e_{1,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5}) (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) + (e^{\mu e_{1,5}} - 1) (-\varphi_0)(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5}) \right) e_{2,5} \\ & + \left((e^{\mu e_{1,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{1,5}) (-\varphi_0)(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) \right) e_{3,5}. \end{aligned}$$

The computation of the second line follows from (9.5.3) and from

$$\begin{aligned} & (e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) e^{-\frac{\mu}{2} e_{34,5}} \Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) = (e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) e^{-\frac{\mu}{2} e_{34,5}} \varphi_0(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) e_{4,5} \\ & + (e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) e^{-\frac{\mu}{2} e_{34,5}} \varphi_1(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) e_{12,5} + (e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) (e^{-\frac{\mu}{2} e_{34,5}} - 1) \\ & + (e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) \varphi_0(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) e_{34,5} + (e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) \varphi_1(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) e_{2,5} + (e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) \\ & = \left((e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) e^{-\frac{\mu}{2} e_{34,5}} (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) - (e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2} e_{34,5}} - 1}{e_{34,5}} \right. \\ & \left. + (e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) (-\varphi_0)(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) \right) e_{1,5} + \left((e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) e^{-\frac{\mu}{2} e_{34,5}} (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) \right. \\ & \left. - (e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2} e_{34,5}} - 1}{e_{34,5}} + (e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) + \frac{e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1}{e_{2,5}} \right) e_{2,5} \\ & + \left((e^{\mu e_{2,5}} - 1) \Phi(e_{34,5}, e_{2,5}) e^{-\frac{\mu}{2} e_{34,5}} (-\varphi_0)(e_{4,5}, e_{12,5}) \right) e_{3,5}. \end{aligned}$$

The computation of the third line follows from (9.5.4), from the 2-cycle identity (9.1.1), and from

$$\begin{aligned}
& (e^{\mu e_{3,5}} - 1)\Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{3,5})e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{4,5}} = (e^{\mu e_{3,5}} - 1)\Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{3,5})(e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{4,5}} - 1) + (e^{\mu e_{3,5}} - 1)\varphi_0(e_{4,5}, e_{3,5})e_{4,5} \\
& + (e^{\mu e_{3,5}} - 1)\varphi_1(e_{4,5}, e_{3,5})e_{3,5} + (e^{\mu e_{3,5}} - 1) = \left(- (e^{\mu e_{3,5}} - 1)\Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{3,5})\frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{4,5}} - 1}{e_{4,5}} \right. \\
& \left. - (e^{\mu e_{3,5}} - 1)\varphi_0(e_{4,5}, e_{3,5}) \right)e_{1,5} + \left(- (e^{\mu e_{3,5}} - 1)\Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{3,5})\frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{4,5}} - 1}{e_{4,5}} \right. \\
& \left. - (e^{\mu e_{3,5}} - 1)\varphi_0(e_{4,5}, e_{3,5}) \right)e_{2,5} + \left(- (e^{\mu e_{3,5}} - 1)\Phi(e_{4,5}, e_{3,5})\frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{4,5}} - 1}{e_{4,5}} \right. \\
& \left. + (e^{\mu e_{3,5}} - 1)(\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{4,5}, e_{3,5}) + \frac{e^{\mu e_{3,5}} - 1}{e_{3,5}} \right)e_{3,5}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 9.22. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. One has*

$$\overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)} =$$

$$\text{diag} \left(\Phi(e_0, e_1)^{-1}\Phi(f_\infty, f_1)^{-1}, \Phi(e_0, e_1)^{-1}e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_1}\Phi(f_\infty, f_1)^{-1}e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}f_1}, e^{\frac{\mu}{2}e_0}\Phi^{-1}(e_0, e_\infty)e^{\frac{\mu}{2}f_\infty}\Phi^{-1}(f_\infty, f_0) \right)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \cdot \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \begin{array}{l} (e^{\mu f_1} - 1)(\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_0 + f_\infty, e_1 + f_1) \\ + (e^{\mu f_1} - 1)(\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(-(e_1 + f_1), f_1) \\ + \frac{e^{\mu f_1} - 1}{f_1} \end{array} & \begin{array}{l} (e^{\mu f_1} - 1) \cdot \\ \cdot (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_0 + f_\infty, e_1 + f_1) \\ - (e^{\mu f_1} - 1)\varphi_0(-(e_1 + f_1), f_1) \end{array} & \begin{array}{l} -(e^{\mu f_1} - 1) \cdot \\ \cdot \varphi_0(e_0 + f_\infty, e_1 + f_1) \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (e^{\mu e_1} - 1)e^{\frac{\mu}{2}(e_1 + f_1)} \cdot \\ \cdot (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_0 + f_\infty, e_1 + f_1) \\ - (e^{\mu e_1} - 1)\frac{e^{\frac{\mu}{2}(e_1 + f_1)} - 1}{-(e_1 + f_1)} \\ - (e^{\mu e_1} - 1)\varphi_0(-(e_1 + f_1), e_1) \end{array} & \begin{array}{l} (e^{\mu e_1} - 1)e^{\frac{\mu}{2}(e_1 + f_1)} \cdot \\ \cdot (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_0 + f_\infty, e_1 + f_1) \\ - (e^{\mu e_1} - 1)\frac{e^{\frac{\mu}{2}(e_1 + f_1)} - 1}{-(e_1 + f_1)} \\ + (e^{\mu e_1} - 1)(\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(-(e_1 + f_1), e_1) \\ + \frac{e^{\mu e_1} - 1}{e_1} \end{array} & \begin{array}{l} -(e^{\mu e_1} - 1)e^{\frac{\mu}{2}(e_1 + f_1)} \cdot \\ \cdot \varphi_0(e_0 + f_\infty, e_1 + f_1) \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} -(e^{\mu(e_\infty + f_0)} - 1)\Phi(e_0, e_\infty) \cdot \\ \cdot \Phi(f_\infty, f_0)\frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}(e_0 + f_\infty)} - 1}{e_0 + f_\infty} \\ - (e^{\mu(e_\infty + f_0)} - 1)\varphi_0(e_0 + f_\infty, e_\infty + f_0) \end{array} & \begin{array}{l} -(e^{\mu(e_\infty + f_0)} - 1)\Phi(e_0, e_\infty) \cdot \\ \cdot \Phi(f_\infty, f_0)\frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}(e_0 + f_\infty)} - 1}{e_0 + f_\infty} \\ - (e^{\mu(e_\infty + f_0)} - 1)\varphi_0(e_0 + f_\infty, e_\infty + f_0) \end{array} & \begin{array}{l} -(e^{\mu(e_\infty + f_0)} - 1)\Phi(e_0, e_\infty) \cdot \\ \cdot \Phi(f_\infty, f_0)\frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}(e_0 + f_\infty)} - 1}{e_0 + f_\infty} \\ + (e^{\mu(e_\infty + f_0)} - 1) \cdot \\ \cdot (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_0 + f_\infty, e_\infty + f_0) \\ + \frac{e^{\mu(e_\infty + f_0)} - 1}{e_\infty + f_0} \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array}$$

Proof. One transforms the expression obtained from Proposition 9.21 using identities $\Phi(e_0 + f_\infty, e_1 + f_1) = \Phi(e_0, e_1)\Phi(f_\infty, f_1)$, $\Phi(e_0 + f_\infty, e_\infty + f_0) = \Phi(e_0, e_\infty)\Phi(f_\infty, f_0)$, $\Phi(-(e_1 + f_1), f_1) = \Phi(-(e_1 + f_1), e_1) = 1$, which follow from the fact Φ is a group-like element with vanishing linear terms in e_0, e_1 . □

9.9. Computation of $Q_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ and $\overline{Q}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$.

Proposition 9.23. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. One has*

$$Q_{(\mu, \Phi)} =$$

$$\text{diag} \left(\Phi(e_{15}, e_{45}), e^{\frac{\mu}{2}e_{45}} \Phi(e_{23,5}, e_{45}) e^{\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} \Phi(e_{25}, e_{14,5}), e^{\frac{\mu}{2}e_{45}} \Phi(e_{23,5}, e_{45}) \Phi(e_{35}, e_{14,5}) \right).$$

$\frac{e^{\mu e_{15}} - 1}{e_{15}} + (e^{\mu e_{15}} - 1)(\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{45}, e_{15})$	$-(e^{\mu e_{15}} - 1)\varphi_0(e_{45}, e_{15})$	$-(e^{\mu e_{15}} - 1)\varphi_0(e_{45}, e_{15})$
$\begin{aligned} & -(e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{25})e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} \cdot \\ & \cdot \Phi(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{45}} - 1}{e_{45}} \\ & -(e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{25}) \cdot \\ & \cdot e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} \varphi_0(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} & -(e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{25})e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} \cdot \\ & \cdot \Phi(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{45}} - 1}{e_{45}} \\ & + (e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{25})e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} \cdot \\ & \cdot (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \\ & + (e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{25}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} - 1}{e_{23,5}} \\ & + (e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)(\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{14,5}, e_{25}) \\ & + \frac{e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1}{e_{25}} \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} & -(e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{25})e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} \cdot \\ & \cdot \Phi(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{45}} - 1}{e_{45}} \\ & + (e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{25})e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} \cdot \\ & \cdot (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \\ & + (e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{25}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} - 1}{e_{23,5}} \\ & -(e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\varphi_0(e_{14,5}, e_{25}) \end{aligned}$
$\begin{aligned} & -(e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{35}) \cdot \\ & \cdot \Phi(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{45}} - 1}{e_{45}} \\ & -(e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{35})\varphi_0(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} & -(e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{35}) \cdot \\ & \cdot \Phi(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{45}} - 1}{e_{45}} \\ & + (e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{35}) \cdot \\ & \cdot (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \\ & -(e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1)\varphi_0(e_{14,5}, e_{35}) \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} & -(e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{35}) \cdot \\ & \cdot \Phi(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{45}} - 1}{e_{45}} \\ & + (e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{35}) \cdot \\ & \cdot (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \\ & + (e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1)(\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{14,5}, e_{35}) \\ & + \frac{e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1}{e_{35}} \end{aligned}$

Proof. The computation of the first line of the matrix follows from (9.6.1), from the 2-cycle identity (9.1.1), and from

$$\begin{aligned} (e^{\mu e_{15}} - 1)\Phi(e_{45}, e_{15}) &= (e^{\mu e_{15}} - 1)(1 + \varphi_0(e_{45}, e_{15})e_{45} + \varphi_1(e_{45}, e_{15})e_{15}) \\ &= \left(\frac{e^{\mu e_{15}} - 1}{e_{15}} + (e^{\mu e_{15}} - 1)\varphi_1(e_{45}, e_{15}) \right) e_{15} + (e^{\mu e_{15}} - 1)\varphi_0(e_{45}, e_{15})e_{45} \\ &= \left(\frac{e^{\mu e_{15}} - 1}{e_{15}} + (e^{\mu e_{15}} - 1)(\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{45}, e_{15}) \right) e_{15} - (e^{\mu e_{15}} - 1)\varphi_0(e_{45}, e_{15})e_{25} - (e^{\mu e_{15}} - 1)\varphi_0(e_{45}, e_{15})e_{35}. \end{aligned}$$

The computation of the second line of the matrix follows from (9.6.2), from the 2-cycle identity (9.1.1), and from

$$\begin{aligned} (e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{25})e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} \Phi(e_{45}, e_{23,5})e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{45}} &= (e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{25})e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} \Phi(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \cdot \\ & \cdot (e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{45}} - 1) + (e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{25})e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} (\varphi_0(e_{45}, e_{23,5})e_{45} + \varphi_1(e_{45}, e_{23,5})e_{23,5}) \\ & + (e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{25})(e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} - 1) + (e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)(\varphi_0(e_{14,5}, e_{25})e_{14,5} + \varphi_1(e_{14,5}, e_{25})e_{25}) + (e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1) \\ & = \left(-(e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{25})e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} \Phi(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{45}} - 1}{e_{45}} - (e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{25})e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} \varphi_0(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \right) e_{15} \\ & + \left(-(e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{25})e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} \Phi(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{45}} - 1}{e_{45}} + (e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{25})e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \right) \\ & + (e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{25}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} - 1}{e_{23,5}} + (e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)(\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{14,5}, e_{25}) + \frac{e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1}{e_{25}} \Big) e_{25} \\ & + \left(-(e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{25})e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} \Phi(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{45}} - 1}{e_{45}} + (e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{25})e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \right) \\ & + (e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{25}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{23,5}} - 1}{e_{23,5}} - (e^{\mu e_{25}} - 1)\varphi_0(e_{14,5}, e_{25}) \Big) e_{35} \end{aligned}$$

The computation of the third line of the matrix follows from (9.6.3), from the 2-cycle identity (9.1.1), and from

$$\begin{aligned}
& (e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{35})\Phi(e_{45}, e_{23,5})e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{45}} = (e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{35})\Phi(e_{45}, e_{23,5})(e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{45}} - 1) \\
& + (e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{35})(\varphi_0(e_{45}, e_{23,5})e_{45} + \varphi_1(e_{45}, e_{23,5})e_{23,5}) \\
& + (e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1)(\varphi_0(e_{14,5}, e_{35})e_{14,5} + \varphi_1(e_{14,5}, e_{35})e_{35}) + (e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1) \\
& = \left(- (e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{35})\Phi(e_{45}, e_{23,5})\frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{45}} - 1}{e_{45}} - (e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{35})\varphi_0(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \right) e_{15} \\
& + \left(- (e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{35})\Phi(e_{45}, e_{23,5})\frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{45}} - 1}{e_{45}} + (e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{35})(\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) \right. \\
& \left. - (e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1)\varphi_0(e_{14,5}, e_{35}) \right) e_{25} + \left(- (e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{35})\Phi(e_{45}, e_{23,5})\frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}e_{45}} - 1}{e_{45}} \right. \\
& \left. + (e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1)\Phi(e_{14,5}, e_{35})(\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{45}, e_{23,5}) + (e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1)(\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_{14,5}, e_{35}) + \frac{e^{\mu e_{35}} - 1}{e_{35}} \right) e_{35}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 9.24. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. One has*

$$\overline{Q}_{(\mu, \Phi)} =$$

$$\text{diag} \left(\Phi(f_1, f_\infty), e^{\frac{\mu}{2}f_\infty} \Phi(f_0, f_\infty) e^{\frac{\mu}{2}f_0} \Phi(e_1, e_0), e^{\frac{\mu}{2}(e_0+f_\infty)} \Phi(f_0, f_\infty) \Phi(e_\infty, e_0) \right).$$

$\frac{e^{\mu f_1} - 1}{f_1} + (e^{\mu f_1} - 1)(\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_0 + f_\infty, f_1)$	$-(e^{\mu f_1} - 1)\varphi_0(e_0 + f_\infty, f_1)$	$-(e^{\mu f_1} - 1)\varphi_0(e_0 + f_\infty, f_1)$
$-(e^{\mu e_1} - 1)\Phi(e_0, e_1)e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}(-e_0+f_0)} \cdot \Phi(f_\infty, f_0)\frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}(e_0+f_\infty)} - 1}{e_0+f_\infty}$	$-(e^{\mu e_1} - 1)\Phi(e_0, e_1)e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}(-e_0+f_0)} \cdot \Phi(f_\infty, f_0)\frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}(e_0+f_\infty)} - 1}{e_0+f_\infty}$	$-(e^{\mu e_1} - 1)\Phi(e_0, e_1)e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}(-e_0+f_0)} \cdot \Phi(f_\infty, f_0)\frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}(e_0+f_\infty)} - 1}{e_0+f_\infty}$
$-(e^{\mu e_1} - 1)\Phi(e_0, e_1)e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}(-e_0+f_0)} \cdot \varphi_0(e_0 + f_\infty, -e_0 + f_0)$	$+(e^{\mu e_1} - 1)\Phi(e_0, e_1)\frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}(-e_0+f_0)} - 1}{-e_0+f_0} + (e^{\mu e_1} - 1)(\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_0 - f_0, e_1) + \frac{e^{\mu e_1} - 1}{e_1}$	$+(e^{\mu e_1} - 1)\Phi(e_0, e_1)\frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}(-e_0+f_0)} - 1}{-e_0+f_0} - (e^{\mu e_1} - 1)\varphi_0(e_0 - f_0, e_1)$
$-(e^{\mu(e_\infty+f_0)} - 1)\Phi(e_0, e_\infty) \cdot \Phi(f_\infty, f_0)\frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}(e_0+f_\infty)} - 1}{e_0+f_\infty}$	$-(e^{\mu(e_\infty+f_0)} - 1)\Phi(e_0, e_\infty) \cdot \Phi(f_\infty, f_0)\frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}(e_0+f_\infty)} - 1}{e_0+f_\infty} + (e^{\mu(e_\infty+f_0)} - 1)\Phi(e_0, e_\infty) \cdot (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_0 + f_\infty, -e_0 + f_0)$	$-(e^{\mu(e_\infty+f_0)} - 1)\Phi(e_0, e_\infty) \cdot \Phi(f_\infty, f_0)\frac{e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}(e_0+f_\infty)} - 1}{e_0+f_\infty} + (e^{\mu(e_\infty+f_0)} - 1)\Phi(e_0, e_\infty) \cdot (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_0 + f_\infty, -e_0 + f_0)$
$-(e^{\mu(e_\infty+f_0)} - 1)\Phi(e_0, e_\infty) \cdot \varphi_0(e_0 + f_\infty, -e_0 + f_0)$	$-(e^{\mu(e_\infty+f_0)} - 1)\varphi_0(e_0 - f_0, e_\infty + f_0)$	$+(e^{\mu(e_\infty+f_0)} - 1)(\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(e_0 - f_0, e_\infty + f_0) + \frac{e^{\mu(e_\infty+f_0)} - 1}{e_\infty + f_0}$

Proof. One transforms the expression obtained from Proposition 9.23 using the commutativity of e_0 with f_0, f_∞ , as well as the identities $\Phi(e_0 + f_\infty, e_1 + f_1) = \Phi(e_0, e_1)\Phi(f_\infty, f_1)$, $\Phi(e_0 + f_\infty, e_\infty + f_0) = \Phi(e_0, e_\infty)\Phi(f_\infty, f_0)$, $\Phi(-(e_1 + f_1), f_1) = \Phi(-(e_1 + f_1), e_1) = 1$, which follow from the fact Φ is a group-like element with vanishing linear terms in e_0, e_1 . □

10. ASSOCIATORS AND HARMONIC ALGEBRA COPRODUCTS

The purpose of this section is the proof of the first main result of this paper, namely the compatibility of associators with harmonic algebra coproducts (Theorem 10.9). This proof relies on the commutativity of diagram (10.8.4), which is divided into subdiagrams (A1) to (A8). Among them, subdiagram (A1) (resp. (A7)) expresses the interpretation of the Betti (resp. de Rham) algebra coproduct and was proved in Lemma 8.15 (resp. Lemma 6.10). The main remaining diagrams are (A3) and (A4), both of which relate, by means of the matrix $\overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ from §9.7 and the algebra comparison isomorphisms, ‘de Rham’ and ‘Betti’ objects: namely, the morphisms $\hat{\rho}$ and $\hat{\underline{\rho}}$ in the case of (A3), and the morphisms $\text{row}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \text{col}_1$ and $\underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_1$ in the case of (A4). §§10.1 to 10.4 are devoted to the commutativity of (A3); more precisely, §§10.1 to 10.3 are devoted to the commutativity of diagrams relating constituents of $\hat{\rho}$ and $\hat{\underline{\rho}}$, and these results are gathered in §10.4 for proving the commutativity of (A3). §§10.5 to 10.7 are devoted to the commutativity of (A4); more precisely, §10.5 (resp. §10.6) is devoted to the proof of equalities relating $\overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$, col_1 and $\underline{\text{col}}_1$ (resp. $\overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$, row_1 and $\underline{\text{row}}_1$), based on the explicit computation of $\overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ in §9.8, and these results are combined in §10.7 for proving the commutativity of (A4). Theorem 10.9 is formulated and proved in §10.8.

10.1. Commutative diagram relating $\hat{\ell}$ and $\hat{\underline{\ell}}$. One checks that for $(\mu, \Phi) \in \mathbf{k}^\times \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}})$, the morphism

$$(10.1.1) \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)} : \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{B}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$$

defined in §3.3 is an isomorphism of topological Hopf algebras, where the two sides are equipped with the coproducts $\Delta^{\mathcal{V}, \text{B}}$ and $\Delta^{\mathcal{V}, \text{DR}}$, and is given by

$$(10.1.2) \quad X_0 \mapsto \Phi(e_0, e_1) e^{\mu e_0} \Phi(e_0, e_1)^{-1}, \quad X_1 \mapsto e^{\mu e_1}.$$

Then:

Lemma 10.1. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. The following diagram of topological Hopf algebras*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{B}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\underline{\ell}}} & (\mathbf{k}P_5^*)^\wedge \\ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet} \\ \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\ell}} & (Up_5)^\wedge \end{array}$$

is commutative, where $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)}$ is as in (10.1.1), $\hat{\underline{\ell}}$ is the completed version of $\underline{\ell}$ from §7.1.2, $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}$ is as in §9.3.3, and $\hat{\ell}$ is the completed version of ℓ from §5.1.2.

Proof. The following equalities $x_{12} = \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_{1,1}^{-2}$ hold in B_2 (see §7.1.1) and in view of §9.3.1, (c), imply the equality $(x_{12})_{\bullet\bullet} = \sigma_{\bullet, \bullet}^{-2}$ in $\text{PaB}(\bullet\bullet)$. The following equalities $(x_{12})_{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet} =$

$(\sigma_{\bullet, \bullet}^{-2} \otimes \text{id}_{\bullet}) \otimes \text{id}_{\bullet}$ and $(x_{23})_{(\bullet, (\bullet, \bullet)) \bullet} = (\text{id}_{\bullet} \otimes \sigma_{\bullet, \bullet}^{-2}) \otimes \text{id}_{\bullet}$ similarly hold in $\mathbf{PaB}(((\bullet, \bullet)) \bullet)$ and $\mathbf{PaB}((\bullet, (\bullet, \bullet)) \bullet)$. §9.3.1 then implies the equalities

$$(10.1.3) \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet, \bullet)) \bullet} (x_{12}) = e^{\mu e_{12}}, \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{(\bullet, (\bullet, \bullet)) \bullet} (x_{23}) = e^{\mu e_{23}}.$$

Then

$$(10.1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet, \bullet)) \bullet} (x_{23}) &= \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}((x_{23})_{(\bullet, (\bullet, \bullet)) \bullet}) \\ &= \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}((a_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet} \otimes \text{id}_{\bullet})^{-1} \circ (x_{23})_{(\bullet, (\bullet, \bullet)) \bullet} \circ (a_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet} \otimes \text{id}_{\bullet})) = \Phi(e_{12}, e_{23})^{-1} e^{\mu e_{23}} \Phi(e_{12}, e_{23}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last identity uses the second part of (10.1.3).

The first part of (10.1.3) implies that $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet, \bullet)) \bullet} \circ \hat{\ell}(X_1) = e^{\mu e_{12}}$, which is equal to $\hat{\ell} \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)}(X_1)$. (10.1.4) implies $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet, \bullet)) \bullet} \circ \hat{\ell}(X_0) = \Phi(e_{12}, e_{23})^{-1} e^{\mu e_{23}} \Phi(e_{12}, e_{23})$. One has $\hat{\ell} \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)}(X_0) = \Phi(e_{23}, e_{12}) e^{\mu e_{23}} \Phi(e_{23}, e_{12})^{-1}$. The 2-cycle identity (9.1.1) implies that these images are equal. Therefore the images of both X_0 and X_1 under $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet, \bullet)) \bullet} \circ \hat{\ell}$ and $\hat{\ell} \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)}$ are the same. \square

10.2. Commutative diagram relating $M_3(\text{pr}_1^\wedge)$ and $M_3(\text{pr}_2^\wedge)$.

Lemma 10.2. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. The following*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbf{k}P_5^*)^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1^\wedge} & \hat{\mathcal{Y}}^B \\ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet, \bullet)) \bullet} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)} \\ (U\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1^\wedge} \hat{\mathcal{Y}}^{\text{DR}} & \xleftarrow{\text{Ad}(\Phi(e_0, e_1)^{-1})} \hat{\mathcal{Y}}^{\text{DR}} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbf{k}P_5^*)^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2^\wedge} & \hat{\mathcal{Y}}^B \\ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet, \bullet)) \bullet} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)} \\ (U\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2^\wedge} \hat{\mathcal{Y}}^{\text{DR}} & \xleftarrow{\text{Ad}(\Phi(e_\infty, e_1)^{-1} e^{(\mu/2)e_1})} \hat{\mathcal{Y}}^{\text{DR}} \end{array}$$

are commutative diagrams of topological Hopf algebras.

Proof. One computes

$$(10.2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet, \bullet)) \bullet} (x_{34}) &= \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}((x_{34})_{(\bullet, (\bullet, \bullet)) \bullet}) \\ &= \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}((a_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet})^{-1} \circ (x_{34})_{(\bullet, (\bullet, \bullet)) \bullet} \circ a_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}) = \Phi(e_{12,3}, e_{34})^{-1} e^{\mu e_{34}} \Phi(e_{12,3}, e_{34}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Proposition 7.4 that the elements $x_{i, i+1}$, $i \in C_5$ generate P_5^* . So it suffices to check the commutativity of the diagrams on these elements. Using (9.5.2) and (9.5.5), (10.1.3), (10.1.4) and (10.2.1), the images of these generators under the two maps can be shown to be given by the following table.

generator x of P_5^*	x_{12}	x_{23}	x_{34}	x_{45}	x_{15}
common value of $\text{Ad}(\Phi(e_0, e_1)^{-1}) \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)} \circ \text{pr}_1^\wedge(x)$ and $\text{pr}_1^\wedge \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet, \bullet)) \bullet}(x)$	1	$e^{\mu e_0}$	$\Phi(e_0, e_1)^{-1} e^{\mu e_1} \Phi(e_0, e_1)$	$e^{\mu e_0}$	1

This implies that the first diagram commutes.

The situation in the case of the second diagram is given by the following table

generator x of P_5^*	x_{12}	x_{23}	x_{34}	x_{45}	x_{15}
$\text{Ad}(\Phi(e_\infty, e_1)^{-1}e^{(\mu/2)e_1})$ $\circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)} \circ \text{pr}_2^\wedge(x)$	1	1	$\Phi(e_\infty, e_1)^{-1}e^{\mu e_1}$ $\cdot \Phi(e_\infty, e_1)$	\star	$\Phi(e_\infty, e_1)^{-1}e^{\mu e_1}$ $\cdot \Phi(e_\infty, e_1)$
$\text{pr}_2^\wedge \circ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}(x)$	1	1	$\Phi(e_\infty, e_1)^{-1}e^{\mu e_1}$ $\cdot \Phi(e_\infty, e_1)$	$e^{\mu e_\infty}$	$\Phi(e_\infty, e_1)^{-1}e^{\mu e_1}$ $\cdot \Phi(e_\infty, e_1)$

where $\star = \Phi(e_\infty, e_1)^{-1}e^{-(\mu/2)e_1}\Phi(e_0, e_1)e^{-\mu e_0}\Phi(e_0, e_1)^{-1}e^{-(\mu/2)e_1}\Phi(e_\infty, e_1)$.

By the hexagon identity (9.1.2), one has

$$e^{(\mu/2)t_{23}}\Phi(t_{12}, t_{23})e^{(\mu/2)t_{12}} = \Phi(t_{13}, t_{23})e^{(\mu/2)(t_{12}+t_{23})}\Phi(t_{12}, t_{13})$$

and by the exchange of t_{12} and t_{23} , also

$$e^{(\mu/2)t_{12}}\Phi(t_{23}, t_{12})e^{(\mu/2)t_{23}} = \Phi(t_{13}, t_{12})e^{(\mu/2)(t_{12}+t_{23})}\Phi(t_{23}, t_{13}).$$

Taking the product of the last equality with the previous one and using the 2-cycle relation, we get

$$e^{(\mu/2)t_{12}}\Phi(t_{23}, t_{12})e^{\mu t_{23}}\Phi(t_{12}, t_{23})e^{(\mu/2)t_{12}} = \Phi(t_{13}, t_{12})e^{\mu(t_{12}+t_{23})}\Phi(t_{12}, t_{13})$$

Inverting, using the 2-cycle identity and conjugating by $\Phi(t_{13}, t_{12})^{-1}e^{-(\mu/2)t_{12}}e^{(\mu/2)t_{12}}$, we get (10.2.2)

$$\Phi(t_{13}, t_{12})^{-1}e^{-(\mu/2)t_{12}}\Phi(t_{12}, t_{23})^{-1}e^{-\mu t_{23}}\Phi(t_{23}, t_{12})^{-1}e^{-\mu t_{12}}(\Phi(t_{13}, t_{12})^{-1}e^{-(\mu/2)t_{12}})^{-1} = e^{-\mu t_{2,13}}.$$

Taking the image of the resulting identity by the morphism $(U\mathfrak{t}_3)^\wedge \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$ given by $t_{12} \mapsto e_1$, $t_{23} \mapsto e_0$, $t_{13} \mapsto e_\infty$ and using the 2-cycle identity, one obtains the equality $\star = e^{\mu e_\infty}$. It follows that the second diagram commutes. \square

Lemma 10.3. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in \mathbf{M}_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. The following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M_3((\mathbf{k}P_5^*)^\wedge) & \xrightarrow{M_3(\text{pr}_{12}^\wedge)} & & \xrightarrow{} & M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) \\ \downarrow M_3(\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}) & & & & \downarrow M_3((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)})^{\otimes 2}) \\ M_3((U\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge) & \xrightarrow{M_3(\text{pr}_{12}^\wedge)} & M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}(\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)})} & M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) \end{array}$$

where

$$(10.2.3) \quad \kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} := \Phi(e_0, e_1) \cdot e^{-(\mu/2)f_1}\Phi(f_\infty, f_1) \in (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge},$$

and $\text{Ad}(\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)})$ denotes the automorphism taking each entry of a matrix to its image by the automorphism $\text{Ad}(\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)})$ of $(\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}$.

Proof. Combining the tensor product of the two diagrams from Lemma 10.2 with the diagram expressing the compatibility of $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}$ with the coproducts of its source and target, one

obtains the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(\mathbf{k}P_5^*)^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_{12}^\wedge} & (\mathcal{V}^B)^{\otimes 2\wedge} \\
\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet} \downarrow & & \downarrow (\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)})^{\otimes 2} \\
(U\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_{12}^\wedge} (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} & \xleftarrow{\text{Ad}(\Phi(e_0, e_1)^{-1} \Phi(f_\infty, f_1)^{-1} e^{(\mu/2) f_1})} (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}
\end{array}$$

from where we derive the announced commutative diagram. \square

10.3. Commutative diagram relating $\hat{\varpi}$ and $\hat{\varpi}$.

Lemma 10.4. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. The following diagram is commutative*

$$(10.3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
(\mathbf{k}P_5^*)^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\hat{\varpi}} & M_3((\mathbf{k}P_5^*)^\wedge) \\
\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet} \downarrow & & \downarrow M_3(\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}) \\
(U\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\hat{\varpi}} M_3((U\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}(P_{(\mu, \Phi)})} M_3((U\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge)
\end{array}$$

where $\hat{\varpi}, \hat{\varpi}$ are the completions of $\varpi, \underline{\varpi}$ (see §§6.4, 8.4) and $P_{(\mu, \Phi)} \in \text{GL}_3((U\mathfrak{f}_3)^\wedge) \subset \text{GL}_3((U\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge)$ is given by Definition 9.17.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.2, with $R = (\mathbf{k}P_5^*)^\wedge$, $J = J(\text{pr}_5)^\wedge$, $(j_a)_{a \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket} = (x_{i5} - 1)_{i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket}$, $R' = (U\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge$, $J' = J(\text{pr}_5)^\wedge$, $(j'_a)_{a \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket} = (e_{i5})_{i \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket}$, $f = \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{((\bullet\bullet)\bullet)\bullet}$. \square

10.4. Commutative diagram relating $\hat{\rho}$ and $\hat{\rho}$ ((A3) in (10.8.4)).

Lemma 10.5. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$ and let $\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)} \in \text{GL}_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge})$ be the matrix obtained by the left multiplication by the scalar $\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \in ((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge})^\times$ (see (10.2.3)) of the entries of the matrix $\overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)} \in \text{GL}_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge})$ (see Definition 9.20). The following diagram commutes*

$$(10.4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{\mathcal{V}}^B & \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}} & M_3((\mathcal{V}^B)^{\otimes 2\wedge}) \\
\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)} \downarrow & & \downarrow M_3((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)})^{\otimes 2}) \\
\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}} M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}(\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)})} M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge})
\end{array}$$

where $\hat{\rho}, \hat{\rho}$ are as in §§6.4, 8.4.

Proof. One checks that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
M_3((U\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}(P_{(\mu, \Phi)})} & M_3((U\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge) & \xrightarrow{M_3(\text{pr}_{12}^\wedge)} & M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}(\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)})} & M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) \\
& & & & & & \uparrow \text{Ad}(\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}) \\
& & & & & & M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) \\
& & & & & & \uparrow M_3(\text{pr}_{12}^\wedge) \\
& & & & & & M_3((U\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge)
\end{array}$$

The result follows from the juxtaposition of this diagram and of the diagrams from Lemmas 10.1, 10.3 and 10.4. \square

10.5. Relationship between col_1 , $\underline{\text{col}}_1$ and $\overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$.

Lemma 10.6. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in \mathbf{M}_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. One has*

$$(10.5.1) \quad (\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)})^{\otimes 2}(\underline{\text{col}}_1) = \kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \text{col}_1 \cdot v_{(\mu, \Phi)}$$

(equality in $M_{3 \times 1}((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge})$), where col_1 , $\underline{\text{col}}_1$ are as in (5.2.6), (7.2.2), $\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)}$, $\overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ are as in (10.2.3), Definition 9.20 and where

$$(10.5.2) \quad v_{(\mu, \Phi)} := \frac{1}{\mu} e^{\mu f_1} \frac{\Gamma_\Phi(e_1) \Gamma_\Phi(f_1)}{\Gamma_\Phi(e_1 + f_1)} \in (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}.$$

Proof. Let $d_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ and $\tilde{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ be the matrices of the right-hand side of equality of Corollary 9.22, so that $\overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)} = d_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \tilde{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$. One computes

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \text{col}_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} (e^{\mu f_1} - 1) \left(\varphi_1(-(e_1 + f_1), f_1) + \frac{1}{f_1} \right) \\ -(e^{\mu e_1} - 1) \left(\varphi_1(-(e_1 + f_1), e_1) + \frac{1}{e_1} \right) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{e^{\mu f_1} - 1}{f_1} \frac{\Gamma_\Phi(e_1 + f_1) \Gamma_\Phi(-f_1)}{\Gamma_\Phi(e_1)} \\ -\frac{e^{\mu e_1} - 1}{e_1} \frac{\Gamma_\Phi(e_1 + f_1) \Gamma_\Phi(-e_1)}{\Gamma_\Phi(f_1)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu e^{(\mu/2)f_1} \\ -\mu e^{(\mu/2)e_1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\Gamma_\Phi(e_1 + f_1)}{\Gamma_\Phi(e_1) \Gamma_\Phi(f_1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from $\varphi_1(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\frac{\Gamma_\Phi(-\alpha) \Gamma_\Phi(-\beta)}{\Gamma_\Phi(-\alpha-\beta)} - 1 \right)$ for α, β commutative formal variables (see (9.2.1)), the third equality follows from the functional equation (9.2.2) for Γ_Φ . Therefore

$$\tilde{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \text{col}_1 \cdot v_{(\mu, \Phi)} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{(3\mu/2)f_1} \\ -e^{(\mu/2)e_1 + \mu f_1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, $\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} d_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ is a diagonal matrix of the form $\text{diag}(e^{-(\mu/2)f_1}, e^{-(\mu/2)e_1 - \mu f_1}, *)$, with $*$ $\in (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}$. Then

$$\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \text{col}_1 \cdot v_{(\mu, \Phi)} = \kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} d_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \tilde{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \text{col}_1 \cdot v_{(\mu, \Phi)} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\mu f_1} \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = (\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)})^{\otimes 2}(\underline{\text{col}}_1).$$

□

 10.6. Relationship between row_1 , $\underline{\text{row}}_1$ and $\overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$.

Lemma 10.7. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in \mathbf{M}_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. One has*

$$(10.6.1) \quad M_{1 \times 3}((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)})^{\otimes 2})(\underline{\text{row}}_1) \cdot \kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)} = u_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \text{row}_1$$

(equality in $M_{1 \times 3}((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge})$), where row_1 , $\underline{\text{row}}_1$ are as in (5.2.6), (7.2.2), $\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)}$, $\overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ are as in (10.2.3), Definition 9.20, and where

$$(10.6.2) \quad u_{(\mu, \Phi)} := \mu e^{-\mu f_1} \frac{e^{\mu(e_1 + f_1)} - 1}{e_1 + f_1} \frac{\Gamma_\Phi(e_1 + f_1)}{\Gamma_\Phi(e_1) \Gamma_\Phi(f_1)} \in (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}.$$

Proof. One has $M_{1 \times 3}((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\vee, (1)})^{\otimes 2})(\underline{\text{row}}_1) = (e^{\mu e_1} - 1 \quad 1 - e^{\mu f_1} \quad 0)$. With $d_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ as in the proof of Lemma 10.6, this implies

$$M_{1 \times 3}((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\vee, (1)})^{\otimes 2})(\underline{\text{row}}_1) \cdot \kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} d_{(\mu, \Phi)} = e^{-(\mu/2)f_1} (e^{\mu e_1} - 1 \quad -e^{-(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)}(e^{\mu f_1} - 1) \quad 0).$$

Then with $\tilde{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ as in the proof of Lemma 10.6,

(10.6.3)

$$\begin{aligned} M_{1 \times 3}((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\vee, (1)})^{\otimes 2})(\underline{\text{row}}_1) \cdot \kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \bar{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)} &= M_{1 \times 3}((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\vee, (1)})^{\otimes 2})(\underline{\text{row}}_1) \cdot \kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} d_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \tilde{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)} \\ &= e^{-(\mu/2)f_1} (e^{\mu e_1} - 1 \quad -e^{-(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)}(e^{\mu f_1} - 1) \quad 0) \cdot \tilde{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)} \\ &= e^{-(\mu/2)f_1} (e^{\mu e_1} - 1)(e^{\mu f_1} - 1) \\ &\cdot \begin{pmatrix} (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(-(e_1+f_1), f_1) + e^{-(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)} \varphi_0(-(e_1+f_1), e_1) & -\varphi_0(-(e_1+f_1), f_1) - e^{-(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)} (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(-(e_1+f_1), e_1) \\ + \frac{1}{f_1} + e^{-(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)} \frac{e^{(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)} - 1}{-e_1 - f_1} & -\frac{e^{-(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)}}{e_1} + e^{-(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)} \frac{e^{(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)} - 1}{-e_1 - f_1} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &(\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(-(e_1+f_1), f_1) + e^{-(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)} \varphi_0(-(e_1+f_1), e_1) + \frac{1}{f_1} + e^{-(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)} \frac{e^{(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)} - 1}{-e_1 - f_1} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{-(e_1+f_1)} + \frac{1}{f_1} \right) \left(\frac{\Gamma_{\Phi}(e_1+f_1)\Gamma_{\Phi}(-f_1)}{\Gamma_{\Phi}(e_1)} \right) + e^{-(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)} \cdot \frac{1}{e_1+f_1} \left(\frac{\Gamma_{\Phi}(e_1+f_1)\Gamma_{\Phi}(-e_1)}{\Gamma_{\Phi}(f_1)} \right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_{\Phi}(e_1+f_1)}{\Gamma_{\Phi}(e_1)\Gamma_{\Phi}(f_1)} \cdot \left(\left(\frac{1}{-(e_1+f_1)} + \frac{1}{f_1} \right) \Gamma_{\Phi}(f_1)\Gamma_{\Phi}(-f_1) + e^{-(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)} \cdot \frac{1}{e_1+f_1} \Gamma_{\Phi}(e_1)\Gamma_{\Phi}(-e_1) \right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_{\Phi}(e_1+f_1)}{\Gamma_{\Phi}(e_1)\Gamma_{\Phi}(f_1)} \cdot \left(\left(\frac{1}{-(e_1+f_1)} + \frac{1}{f_1} \right) \frac{\mu f_1 e^{(\mu/2)f_1}}{e^{\mu f_1} - 1} + e^{-(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)} \cdot \frac{1}{e_1+f_1} \frac{\mu e_1 e^{(\mu/2)e_1}}{e^{\mu e_1} - 1} \right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_{\Phi}(e_1+f_1)}{\Gamma_{\Phi}(e_1)\Gamma_{\Phi}(f_1)} \cdot \frac{e^{-(\mu/2)f_1}}{(e^{\mu e_1} - 1)(e^{\mu f_1} - 1)} \cdot \frac{\mu e_1}{e_1+f_1} (e^{\mu(e_1+f_1)} - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from (9.2.4) and the third equality follows from (9.2.2); similarly

$$\begin{aligned} &-\varphi_0(-(e_1+f_1), f_1) - e^{-(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)} (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0)(-(e_1+f_1), e_1) - \frac{e^{-(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)}}{e_1} \\ &+ e^{-(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)} \frac{e^{(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)} - 1}{-e_1 - f_1} \\ &= \frac{1}{-(e_1+f_1)} \frac{\Gamma_{\Phi}(e_1+f_1)\Gamma_{\Phi}(-f_1)}{\Gamma_{\Phi}(e_1)} - e^{-(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)} \left(\frac{1}{-(e_1+f_1)} + \frac{1}{e_1} \right) \frac{\Gamma_{\Phi}(e_1+f_1)\Gamma_{\Phi}(-e_1)}{\Gamma_{\Phi}(f_1)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_{\Phi}(e_1+f_1)}{\Gamma_{\Phi}(e_1)\Gamma_{\Phi}(f_1)} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{-(e_1+f_1)} \frac{\mu f_1}{e^{(\mu/2)f_1} - e^{-(\mu/2)f_1}} - e^{-(\mu/2)(e_1+f_1)} \left(\frac{1}{-(e_1+f_1)} + \frac{1}{e_1} \right) \frac{\mu e_1}{e^{(\mu/2)e_1} - e^{-(\mu/2)e_1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_{\Phi}(e_1+f_1)}{\Gamma_{\Phi}(e_1)\Gamma_{\Phi}(f_1)} \cdot \frac{e^{-(\mu/2)f_1}}{(e^{\mu e_1} - 1)(e^{\mu f_1} - 1)} \cdot \frac{-\mu f_1}{e_1+f_1} (e^{\mu(e_1+f_1)} - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from (9.2.4), the second equality follows from (9.2.2). It follows that the right-hand side of (10.6.3) is equal to

$$e^{-\mu f_1} \cdot \frac{\Gamma_{\Phi}(e_1+f_1)}{\Gamma_{\Phi}(e_1)\Gamma_{\Phi}(f_1)} \frac{e^{\mu(e_1+f_1)} - 1}{e_1+f_1} (\mu e_1 \quad -\mu f_1 \quad 0) = u_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \text{row}_1.$$

□

10.7. Commutative diagram relating $\text{row}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \text{col}_1$ and $\underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_1$ ((A4) in (10.8.4)).

Lemma 10.8. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. The following diagram of \mathbf{k} -module morphisms is commutative, where $u \cdot (-) \cdot v$ is the linear map $x \mapsto uxv$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) & \xrightarrow{\text{row}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \text{col}_1} & (\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \\
 M_3((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)})^{\otimes 2}) \downarrow \simeq & & \simeq \downarrow (\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)})^{\otimes 2} \\
 M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) & & (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \\
 \text{Ad}(\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \bar{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}) \uparrow \simeq & & \simeq \uparrow u_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot (-) \cdot v_{(\mu, \Phi)} \\
 M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) & \xrightarrow{\text{row}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \text{col}_1} & (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}
 \end{array}$$

Proof. This follows from the juxtaposition of the obviously commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) & \xrightarrow{\text{row}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \text{col}_1} & (\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \\
 M_3((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)})^{\otimes 2}) \downarrow \simeq & & \simeq \downarrow (\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)})^{\otimes 2} \\
 M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) & \xrightarrow{M_{1 \times 3}((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)})^{\otimes 2})(\underline{\text{row}}_1) \cdot (-) \cdot M_{3 \times 1}((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)})^{\otimes 2})(\underline{\text{col}}_1)} & (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}
 \end{array}$$

with the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) & \xrightarrow{M_{1 \times 3}((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)})^{\otimes 2})(\underline{\text{row}}_1) \cdot (-) \cdot M_{3 \times 1}((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)})^{\otimes 2})(\underline{\text{col}}_1)} & (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \\
 \text{Ad}(\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \bar{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}) \uparrow \simeq & & \simeq \uparrow u_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot (-) \cdot v_{(\mu, \Phi)} \\
 M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) & \xrightarrow{\text{row}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \text{col}_1} & (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}
 \end{array}$$

whose commutativity follows from (10.5.1) and (10.6.1). \square

10.8. Associators and harmonic algebra coproducts.

Theorem 10.9. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. Set*

$$(10.8.1) \quad B_\Phi := \frac{\Gamma_\Phi(-e_1)\Gamma_\Phi(-f_1)}{\Gamma_\Phi(-e_1 - f_1)} \in ((\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge})^\times.$$

The following diagram commutes

$$(10.8.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 \hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{B}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W}, \text{B}}} & (\mathcal{W}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \\
 \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{W}, (1)} \downarrow & & \downarrow (\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{W}, (1)})^{\otimes 2} \\
 \hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{DR}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}}} (\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}(B_\Phi)} & (\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}
 \end{array}$$

Proof. If $(\mu, g) \in \mathbf{k}^\times \times (\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}})^\times$, there is a unique extension of the algebra automorphism $\text{aut}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1), \text{DR}}$ of $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$ (see §3.1) to an algebra automorphism $\text{aut}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc}}, (1)}$ of its localization $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$

(see §6.1). The algebra isomorphism $\text{iso}^{\mathcal{V}} : \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{B}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$ from §3.3 also extends uniquely to an algebra isomorphism $\text{iso}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc}}} : \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}] \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$. We denote by

$$(10.8.3) \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc}}, (1)} : \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}] \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$$

the algebra isomorphism given by the composition $\text{aut}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc}}, (1)} \circ \text{iso}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc}}}$. It is compatible with the filtrations on both sides, and in particular it induces an algebra isomorphism between $F^0 \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$ and $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq 0}$.

Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. Consider the diagram

$$(10.8.4) \quad \begin{array}{c} \hat{\mathcal{W}}_+^{\text{B}} \xrightarrow{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \text{B}}} (\mathcal{W}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \\ \downarrow \text{mor}_{\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{B}}, X_1-1} \quad \downarrow \hat{\rho} \quad \downarrow M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) \quad \downarrow \text{row}_1(-) \cdot \text{col}_1 \quad \downarrow \text{Ad}((X_1-1)^{-1} \cdot (1-Y_1^{-1})^{-1}) \quad \downarrow F^0 \mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]^{\otimes 2\wedge} \quad \downarrow (\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc}}, (1)})^{\otimes 2} \\ \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{B}} \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}} M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) \xrightarrow{\text{row}_1(-) \cdot \text{col}_1} (\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}((X_1-1)^{-1} \cdot (1-Y_1^{-1})^{-1})} F^0 \mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]^{\otimes 2\wedge} \xrightarrow{(\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc}}, (1)})^{\otimes 2}} (\mathcal{W}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \\ \downarrow \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)} \quad \downarrow M_3((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)})^{\otimes 2}) \quad \downarrow (\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)})^{\otimes 2} \quad \downarrow (\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc}}, (1)})^{\otimes 2} \quad \downarrow (\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{W}, (1)})^{\otimes 2} \\ \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}} \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}} M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) \xrightarrow{\text{row}_1(-) \cdot \text{col}_1} (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}((\kappa(\mu, \Phi) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}(\mu, \Phi))^{-1})} (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}((e_1 f_1)^{-1})} (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq 0})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \xrightarrow{(\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{W}, (1)})^{\otimes 2}} (\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \\ \downarrow \text{mor}_{\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}, e_1} \quad \downarrow \text{Ad}((\kappa(\mu, \Phi) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}(\mu, \Phi))^{-1}) \quad \downarrow u_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{-1} \cdot (-) \cdot v_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{-1} \quad \downarrow B_\Phi^{-1} \cdot (-) \cdot B_\Phi \cdot \frac{e_1 + f_1}{e^{\mu(e_1 + f_1)} - 1} \quad \downarrow (\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{W}, (1)})^{\otimes 2} \\ \hat{\mathcal{W}}_+^{\text{DR}} \xrightarrow{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}}} (\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}((e_1 f_1)^{-1})} (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq 0})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \xrightarrow{(\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{W}, (1)})^{\otimes 2}} (\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \\ \downarrow (-) \cdot \frac{e^{\mu e_1} - 1}{e_1} \quad \downarrow \Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}} \quad \downarrow \text{Ad}(B_\Phi^{-1}) \quad \downarrow (-) \cdot \frac{e_1 + f_1}{e^{\mu(e_1 + f_1)} - 1} \\ \hat{\mathcal{W}}_+^{\text{DR}} \xrightarrow{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}}} (\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \end{array}$$

It is divided into subdiagrams (A1) to (A8). In this diagram:

- the commutativity of (A1) (resp. (A3), (A4), (A7)) follows from Lemma 8.15 (resp. Lemma 10.5, Lemma 10.8, Lemma 6.10);
- the commutativity of (A2) follows from the definition of $\text{mor}_{-, -}$, from the compatibilities of $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)}$ and $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{W}, (1)}$, and from the fact that $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)}$ is an algebra morphism taking $X_1 - 1$ to $e^{\mu e_1} - 1$;
- the commutativity of (A5) follows from the equalities

$$(10.8.5) \quad \begin{aligned} B_\Phi^{-1} \cdot (e^{\mu e_1} - 1)^{-1} (1 - e^{-\mu f_1})^{-1} &= (e_1 f_1)^{-1} u_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{-1}, \\ (e^{\mu e_1} - 1) (1 - e^{-\mu f_1}) \cdot B_\Phi \cdot \frac{e_1 + f_1}{e^{\mu(e_1 + f_1)} - 1} &= v_{\mu, \Phi}^{-1} e_1 f_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$(10.8.6) \quad (\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc}}, (1)})^{\otimes 2} ((X_1 - 1)^{\pm 1} (1 - Y_1^{-1})^{\pm 1}) = (e^{\mu e_1} - 1)^{\pm 1} (1 - e^{-\mu f_1})^{\pm 1}$$

in $(\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}])^{\otimes 2\wedge}$, where the two first equalities follow from (9.2.2), and the last equality is immediate;

- the commutativity of (A6) follows from the compatibility of $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc}}, (1)}$ and $\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{W}, (1)}$, and the commutativity of (A8) follows from the fact that $\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}}$ is an algebra morphism, such that $e_1 \mapsto e_1 + f_1$.

Using successively the commutativities of (A6), (A1), (A5), (A4), (A3), (A7), (A8), (A2), and denoting by map_i ($i = 1, 2$) the two maps $\hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{B}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}$ which can be derived from diagram (10.8.2), one sees that the two maps

$$\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{B}} \xrightarrow{\text{mor}_{\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}, X_1-1}} \hat{\mathcal{W}}_+^{\text{B}} \xrightarrow{\text{map}_i} (\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \xrightarrow{B_{\Phi}^{-1} \cdot (-) \cdot B_{\Phi} \frac{e_1 + f_1}{e^{\mu(e_1 + f_1)} - 1}} (\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq 0})^{\otimes 2\wedge}$$

coincide ($i = 1, 2$). Since the map $(\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \xrightarrow{B_{\Phi}^{-1} \cdot (-) \cdot B_{\Phi} \frac{e_1 + f_1}{e^{\mu(e_1 + f_1)} - 1}} (\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]_{\geq 0})^{\otimes 2\wedge}$ is injective, and since $\text{mor}_{\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}, X_1-1} : \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{B}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{W}}_+^{\text{B}}$ is a bijection, it follows that the restrictions of map_1 and map_2 to $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_+^{\text{B}}$ coincide. One has $\text{map}_1(1) = 1^{\otimes 2} = \text{map}_2(1)$, which implies that the restrictions of map_1 and map_2 to $\mathbf{k}1 \subset \hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{B}}$ also coincide. Since $\hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{B}}$ is the direct sum of $\mathbf{k}1$ and $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_+^{\text{B}}$, it follows that the maps map_1 and map_2 coincide, therefore that (10.8.2) commutes. \square

11. ASSOCIATORS AND HARMONIC MODULE COPRODUCTS

The purpose of this section is to prove the second main result of this paper, namely the compatibility of associators with harmonic module coproducts (Theorem 11.13). This proof relies on the commutativity of diagram (11.6.1). The main new ingredients in this diagram are: a family of localized versions of the comparison isomorphisms from §3, which are constructed in §11.1; and a matrix $R_{(\mu, \Phi)}$, which is defined in §11.2. Diagram (11.6.1) is divided into subdiagrams (M1) to (M5). Among them, subdiagram (M1) (resp. (M5)) expresses the geometric interpretation of the Betti (resp. de Rham) module coproduct and was proved in Lemma 8.15 (resp. Lemma 6.10). The main remaining diagrams are (M3) and (M4), which relate, by means of the module comparison isomorphisms and the matrices $R_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ from §11.2 and $\overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ from §9.7, ‘de Rham’ and ‘Betti’ objects: namely, the morphisms $\hat{\rho}$ and $\hat{\underline{\rho}}$ in the case of (M3), and the morphisms $\text{row}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \text{col}_0$ and $\underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_0$ in the case of (M4). The commutativity of (M3) is established in §11.2 using the module morphism properties of its constituents over the constituents of (A3) in (10.8.4), the commutativity of (A3), and the definition of $R_{(\mu, \Phi)}$. The commutativity of (M4) is established in §§11.3 to 11.5; more precisely, §11.3 is devoted to the expression of $R_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ in terms of the matrix $\overline{Q}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ from §9.7; §11.4 is devoted to the proof of an equality relating $R_{(\mu, \Phi)}$, col_0 and $\underline{\text{col}}_0$, based in §11.3 and the computation of $\overline{Q}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ in §9.9; this result is combined in §11.5 with the equality relating $\overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$, row_1 and $\underline{\text{row}}_1$ from §10.5 to prove the commutativity of (M4). Theorem 11.13 is formulated and proved in §11.6.

11.1. Localized versions of comparison isomorphisms. For $(\mu, g) \in \mathbf{k}^\times \times (\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}})^\times$, let

$$\text{comp}_{(\mu, g)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc}}, (10)} : \mathcal{V}^{\text{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1 - 1}]^\wedge \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]^\wedge$$

be the \mathbf{k} -module isomorphism given by

$$(11.1.1) \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}^{\mathbf{B}}\left[\frac{1}{X_1-1}\right]^\wedge, \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc},(10)}}(v) := \text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc},(1)}}(v) \cdot g$$

(see (10.8.3)). One checks that it restricts to an isomorphism $\mathcal{V}^{\mathbf{B}}\left[\frac{1}{X_1-1}\right]^\wedge(X_0-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}\left[\frac{1}{e_1}\right]^\wedge e_0$.

Definition 11.1. For $(\mu, g) \in \mathbf{k}^\times \times (\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}})^\times$, $\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{M}_{1\text{oc},(10)}} : \mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{B}}\left[\frac{1}{X_1-1}\right]^\wedge \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}\left[\frac{1}{e_1}\right]^\wedge$ is the \mathbf{k} -module isomorphism induced by $\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc},(10)}}$.

Lemma 11.2. For $(\mu, g) \in \mathbf{k}^\times \times (\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}})^\times$, the isomorphism $\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{M}_{1\text{oc},(10)}}$ is compatible with the filtrations on both sides. Moreover, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathbf{B}} & \xrightarrow{\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{M},(10)}} & \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{DR}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{B}}\left[\frac{1}{X_1-1}\right]^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{M}_{1\text{oc},(10)}}} & \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}\left[\frac{1}{e_1}\right]^\wedge \end{array}$$

Proof. The first statement follows from the fact that $\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc},(10)}}$ is compatible with filtrations. It follows from its definition (see (10.8.3)) that the algebra isomorphisms $\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V},(1)}$ and $\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc},(1)}}$ intertwine the algebra morphisms $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathbf{B}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\mathbf{B}}\left[\frac{1}{X_1-1}\right]^\wedge$ and $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}\left[\frac{1}{e_1}\right]^\wedge$. Expressing the resulting identity and multiplying it by g , one sees that the \mathbf{k} -module morphisms $\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V},(10)}$ and $\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc},(10)}}$ intertwine the same algebra morphisms. The announced diagram follows by projection. \square

The compatibility of the algebra morphism $\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V},(1)}$ and the \mathbf{k} -module morphism $\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{M},(10)}$ with the module structures (see §3.3) extends to the localized situation as follows.

Lemma 11.3. For $(\mu, g) \in \mathbf{k}^\times \times (\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}})^\times$, the \mathbf{k} -module morphism $\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{M}_{1\text{oc},(10)}}$ is compatible (in the sense of §0.3.1) with the \mathbf{k} -algebra morphism $\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc},(1)}}$ and with the module structure of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{B}}\left[\frac{1}{X_1-1}\right]^\wedge$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}\left[\frac{1}{e_1}\right]^\wedge$) over $\mathcal{V}^{\mathbf{B}}\left[\frac{1}{X_1-1}\right]^\wedge$ (resp. $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}\left[\frac{1}{e_1}\right]^\wedge$).

Proof. It follows from (11.1.1) and from the algebra morphism property of $\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc},(1)}}$ that

$$\forall v, v' \in \mathcal{V}^{\mathbf{B}}\left[\frac{1}{X_1-1}\right]^\wedge, \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc},(10)}}(vv') = \text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc},(1)}}(v) \text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc},(10)}}(v').$$

Applying to this identity the projection $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}\left[\frac{1}{e_1}\right]^\wedge \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}\left[\frac{1}{e_1}\right]^\wedge$, one obtains:

$$\forall v \in \mathcal{V}^{\mathbf{B}}\left[\frac{1}{X_1-1}\right]^\wedge, \forall m \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{B}}\left[\frac{1}{X_1-1}\right]^\wedge, \quad \text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{M}_{1\text{oc},(10)}}(vm) = \text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc},(1)}}(v) \text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{M}_{1\text{oc},(10)}}(m).$$

\square

11.2. **Definition of the matrix $R_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ and commutativity of (M3) in (11.6.1).** Recall that $\Phi \in (\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}})^\times$, and that $\hat{\rho} : \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge})$ is an algebra morphism. It follows that $\hat{\rho}(\Phi) \in \text{GL}_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge})$.

Definition 11.4. Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. We set

$$(11.2.1) \quad R_{(\mu, \Phi)} := \hat{\rho}(\Phi)^{-1} \bar{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{-1} \kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{-1} \Phi(e_0, e_1) \Phi(f_0, f_1) \in \text{GL}_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}).$$

Lemma 11.5. Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. The diagram

$$(11.2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{B}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}} & M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) \\ \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10)} \downarrow & & \downarrow M_3((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10)})^{\otimes 2}) \\ & & M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) \\ & & \uparrow \kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \bar{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot (-) \cdot R_{(\mu, \Phi)} \\ \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}} & M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) \end{array}$$

((M3) in (11.6.1)) commutes.

Proof. Let $v \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{B}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} M_3((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10)})^{\otimes 2})(\hat{\rho}(v)) &= M_3((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)})^{\otimes 2})(\hat{\rho}(v)) \cdot \Phi(e_0, e_1) \Phi(f_0, f_1) \\ &= \text{Ad}(\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \bar{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}) \left(\hat{\rho}(\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)}(v)) \right) \cdot \Phi(e_0, e_1) \Phi(f_0, f_1) \\ &= \text{Ad}(\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \bar{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}) \left(\hat{\rho}(\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10)}(v) \cdot \Phi^{-1}) \right) \cdot \Phi(e_0, e_1) \Phi(f_0, f_1) \\ &= \text{Ad}(\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \bar{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}) \left(\hat{\rho}(\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10)}(v)) \cdot \hat{\rho}(\Phi)^{-1} \right) \cdot \Phi(e_0, e_1) \Phi(f_0, f_1) \\ &= \kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \bar{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \hat{\rho}(\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10)}(v)) \cdot \hat{\rho}(\Phi)^{-1} \cdot (\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \bar{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)})^{-1} \cdot \Phi(e_0, e_1) \Phi(f_0, f_1) \\ &= \kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \bar{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \hat{\rho}(\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10)}(v)) \cdot R_{(\mu, \Phi)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first and third equalities follow from (3.3.4), the second equality follows from Lemma 10.5, the fourth equality follows from the fact that $\hat{\rho}$ is an algebra morphism, and the last equality follows from (11.2.1). \square

11.3. **Computation of $R_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ in terms of $\bar{Q}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$.** Let us first relate the elements $P_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ and $Q_{(\mu, \Phi)}$ from Definition 9.17.

Lemma 11.6. Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. One has

$$(11.3.1) \quad Q_{(\mu, \Phi)} = \hat{\ell}(\Phi)^{-1} \cdot P_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \hat{\omega}(\hat{\ell}(\Phi))$$

(equality in $\text{GL}_3((U\mathfrak{p}_5)^{\otimes 2\wedge})$).

Proof. The following sequence of equalities holds in $M_{3 \times 1}((U\mathfrak{p}_5)^{\otimes 2\wedge})$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e_{15} \\ e_{25} \\ e_{35} \end{pmatrix} &= M_{3 \times 1}(\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}) \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_{15} - 1 \\ x_{25} - 1 \\ x_{35} - 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \hat{\ell}(\Phi)^{-1} \cdot M_{3 \times 1}(\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{(\bullet(\bullet\bullet))\bullet}) \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_{15} - 1 \\ x_{25} - 1 \\ x_{35} - 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot \hat{\ell}(\Phi) \\ &= \hat{\ell}(\Phi)^{-1} \cdot P_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e_{15} \\ e_{25} \\ e_{35} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \hat{\ell}(\Phi) = \hat{\ell}(\Phi)^{-1} \cdot P_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \hat{\omega}(\hat{\ell}(\Phi)) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e_{15} \\ e_{25} \\ e_{35} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

since: the first (resp. third) equality follows from the second (resp. first) part of (9.7.1); the second equality follows from (9.3.3) together with $\Phi(e_{12}, e_{23}) = \hat{\ell}(\Phi)^{-1}$, which follows from the definition of $\hat{\ell}$ (see §5.1.2) and (9.1.1); the last equality follows from (5.2.4).

The result then follows from the injectivity of the map $((U\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge)^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow (U\mathfrak{p}_5)^\wedge$, $(p_i)_{i \in [1,3]} \mapsto \sum_{i \in [1,3]} p_i \cdot e_{i5}$ (see Lemma 5.5). \square

Lemma 11.7. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. One has*

$$\overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)} \hat{\rho}(\Phi) = \overline{Q}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$$

(equality in $\text{GL}_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2, \wedge})$).

Proof. One has

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{Q}_{(\mu, \Phi)} &= \text{pr}_{12}^\wedge(Q_{(\mu, \Phi)}) = \text{pr}_{12}^\wedge(\hat{\ell}(\Phi)^{-1} \cdot P_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \hat{\omega}(\hat{\ell}(\Phi))) \\ &= \text{pr}_{12}^\wedge(\hat{\ell}(\Phi))^{-1} \cdot \text{pr}_{12}^\wedge(P_{(\mu, \Phi)}) \cdot \text{pr}_{12}^\wedge(\hat{\omega}(\hat{\ell}(\Phi))) = 1 \cdot \overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \hat{\rho}(\Phi) = \overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)} \hat{\rho}(\Phi), \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from Definition 9.20, the second equality follows from Lemma 11.6, the third equality from the fact that pr_{12}^\wedge is an algebra morphism.

One has $\text{pr}_{12}^\wedge \circ \hat{\ell}(e_1) = 0$. As pr_{12}^\wedge is an algebra morphism and as the logarithm of Φ is a Lie series in e_0, e_1 without degree 1 terms, this implies $\text{pr}_{12}^\wedge \circ \hat{\ell}(\Phi) = 1$. Together with Definition 9.20 and the definition of $\hat{\rho}$ (see §5.2.3), this implies the fourth equality, hence the result. \square

Corollary 11.8. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. One has*

$$R_{(\mu, \Phi)} = \overline{Q}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{-1} \kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{-1} \Phi(e_0, e_1) \Phi(f_0, f_1)$$

(equality in $\text{GL}_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2, \wedge})$).

Proof. This follows from Definition 11.4 and Lemma 11.7. \square

11.4. Relationship between col_0 , col_0 and $R_{(\mu, \Phi)}$.

Lemma 11.9. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. The following equalities hold in $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2, \wedge}$:*

$$(11.4.1) \quad \left(-(e^{\mu e_1} - 1) \varphi_1(e_0 - f_0, e_1) - \frac{e^{\mu e_1} - 1}{e_1} \right) e_1 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} = (1 - e^{\mu e_1}) \Phi(e_0, e_1) 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2}$$

$$(11.4.2) \quad \left((e^{\mu(e_\infty + f_0)} - 1) \varphi_1(e_0 - f_0, e_\infty + f_0) + \frac{e^{\mu(e_\infty + f_0)} - 1}{e_\infty + f_0} \right) e_1 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} = (1 - e^{\mu e_\infty}) \Phi(e_0, e_\infty) 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2}$$

$$(11.4.3) \quad \Phi(e_0, e_1)e^{(\mu/2)e_0}\Phi(e_\infty, e_0)(1 - e^{\mu e_\infty})\Phi(e_0, e_\infty)1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} = (1 - e^{-\mu e_1})\Phi(e_0, e_1)1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2}.$$

Proof. The following holds in $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(- (e^{\mu e_1} - 1)\varphi_1(e_0 - f_0, e_1) - \frac{e^{\mu e_1} - 1}{e_1} \right) e_1 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} = -(e^{\mu e_1} - 1) \left(\varphi_1(e_0 - f_0, e_1)e_1 + 1 \right) 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \\ & = (1 - e^{\mu e_1}) \left(\varphi_0(e_0 - f_0, e_1)(e_0 - f_0) + \varphi_1(e_0 - f_0, e_1)e_1 + 1 \right) 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} = (1 - e^{\mu e_1})\Phi(e_0 - f_0, e_1)1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \\ & = (1 - e^{\mu e_1})\Phi(e_0, e_1)1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \end{aligned}$$

as the second equality follows from $e_0 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} = f_0 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} = 0$, the third equality from the relation between φ_0, φ_1 and Φ (see §9.2), and the last equality from the commutation of f_0 with e_0 and e_1 . This proves (11.4.1).

The following holds in $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left((e^{\mu(e_\infty + f_0)} - 1)\varphi_1(e_0 - f_0, e_\infty + f_0) + \frac{e^{\mu(e_\infty + f_0)} - 1}{e_\infty + f_0} \right) e_1 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \\ & = - \left((e^{\mu(e_\infty + f_0)} - 1)\varphi_1(e_0 - f_0, e_\infty + f_0) + \frac{e^{\mu(e_\infty + f_0)} - 1}{e_\infty + f_0} \right) (e_\infty + f_0) 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \\ & = -(e^{\mu(e_\infty + f_0)} - 1) \left(\varphi_1(e_0 - f_0, e_\infty + f_0)(e_\infty + f_0) + 1 \right) 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \\ & = -(e^{\mu(e_\infty + f_0)} - 1) \left(\varphi_0(e_0 - f_0, e_\infty + f_0)(e_0 - f_0) + \varphi_1(e_0 - f_0, e_\infty + f_0)(e_\infty + f_0) + 1 \right) 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \\ & = -(e^{\mu(e_\infty + f_0)} - 1)\Phi(e_0 - f_0, e_\infty + f_0)1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \\ & = (1 - e^{\mu(e_\infty + f_0)})\Phi(e_0, e_\infty)1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} = (1 - e^{\mu e_\infty})\Phi(e_0, e_\infty)1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \end{aligned}$$

as the first and third equalities follow from $e_0 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} = f_0 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} = 0$, the fourth from the relation between φ_0, φ_1 and Φ (see §9.2), the fifth from the commutation of f_0 with e_0 and e_∞ , and the last from the same fact, together with $f_0 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} = 0$. This proves (11.4.2).

The following holds in $(\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(e_0, e_1)e^{(\mu/2)e_0}\Phi(e_\infty, e_0)(1 - e^{\mu e_\infty})\Phi(e_0, e_\infty) \\ & = e^{-(\mu/2)e_1}\Phi(e_\infty, e_1)(e^{-(\mu/2)e_\infty} - e^{(\mu/2)e_\infty})\Phi(e_0, e_\infty) \\ & = e^{-(\mu/2)e_1} \cdot \left(e^{(\mu/2)e_1}\Phi(e_0, e_1)e^{(\mu/2)e_0} - e^{-(\mu/2)e_1}\Phi(e_0, e_1)e^{-(\mu/2)e_0} \right) \\ & = (1 - e^{-\mu e_1})\Phi(e_0, e_1) + \Phi(e_0, e_1)(e^{(\mu/2)e_0} - 1) - e^{-(\mu/2)e_1}\Phi(e_0, e_1)(e^{-(\mu/2)e_0} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

as the first equality follows from the 2-cycle identity (9.1.1) and the hexagon identity (9.1.2) for $(a, b, c) = (e_0, e_\infty, e_1)$ and the second equality follows from the hexagon identities (9.1.2) and (9.1.3) for $(a, b, c) = (e_0, e_1, e_\infty)$. Applying this equality to $1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2}$, and using $e_0 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} = 0$, one obtains (11.4.3). \square

Lemma 11.10. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in \mathbf{M}_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. One has*

$$(11.4.4) \quad \Phi(e_0, e_1)^{-1}\Phi(f_0, f_1)^{-1}M_{3 \times 1}((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{M}, (10)})^{\otimes 2})(\underline{\text{col}}_0) = R_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{-1}\text{col}_0$$

(equality in $M_{3 \times 1}((\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge})$), where $\text{col}_0, \underline{\text{col}}_0$ are as in Definitions 6.4 and 8.9.

Proof. Using Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, one obtains

$$(11.4.5) \quad M_{3 \times 1}((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{M}, (10)})^{\otimes 2})(\underline{\text{col}}_0) = e^{-\mu f_1} \Phi(f_0, f_1) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (1 - e^{\mu e_1}) \Phi(e_0, e_1) 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \\ (1 - e^{-\mu e_1}) \Phi(e_0, e_1) 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand,

$$(11.4.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(e_0, e_1) \Phi(f_0, f_1) R_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{-1} \cdot \text{col}_0 = \kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \overline{Q}_{(\mu, \Phi)} \cdot \text{col}_0 \\ & = \kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \text{diag} \left(\Phi(f_1, f_\infty), e^{\frac{\mu}{2} f_\infty} \Phi(f_0, f_\infty) e^{\frac{\mu}{2} f_0} \Phi(e_1, e_0), e^{\frac{\mu}{2} (e_0 + f_\infty)} \Phi(f_0, f_\infty) \Phi(e_\infty, e_0) \right) \cdot \\ & \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \left(- (e^{\mu e_1} - 1) \varphi_1(e_0 - f_0, e_1) - \frac{e^{\mu e_1} - 1}{e_1} \right) e_1 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \\ \left((e^{\mu (e_\infty + f_0)} - 1) \varphi_1(e_0 - f_0, e_\infty + f_0) + \frac{e^{\mu (e_\infty + f_0)} - 1}{e_\infty + f_0} \right) e_1 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \end{pmatrix} \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e^{-(\mu/2) f_1} \Phi(f_\infty, f_1) e^{\frac{\mu}{2} f_\infty} \Phi(f_0, f_\infty) e^{\frac{\mu}{2} f_0} \left(- (e^{\mu e_1} - 1) \varphi_1(e_0 - f_0, e_1) - \frac{e^{\mu e_1} - 1}{e_1} \right) e_1 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \\ \Phi(e_0, e_1) \cdot e^{-(\mu/2) f_1} \Phi(f_\infty, f_1) e^{\frac{\mu}{2} (e_0 + f_\infty)} \Phi(f_0, f_\infty) \Phi(e_\infty, e_0) \left((e^{\mu (e_\infty + f_0)} - 1) \varphi_1(e_0 - f_0, e_\infty + f_0) + \frac{e^{\mu (e_\infty + f_0)} - 1}{e_\infty + f_0} \right) e_1 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \end{pmatrix} \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e^{-(\mu/2) f_1} \Phi(f_\infty, f_1) e^{\frac{\mu}{2} f_\infty} \Phi(f_0, f_\infty) e^{\frac{\mu}{2} f_0} (1 - e^{\mu e_1}) \Phi(e_0, e_1) 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \\ \Phi(e_0, e_1) \cdot e^{-(\mu/2) f_1} \Phi(f_\infty, f_1) e^{\frac{\mu}{2} (e_0 + f_\infty)} \Phi(f_0, f_\infty) \Phi(e_\infty, e_0) (1 - e^{\mu e_\infty}) \Phi(e_0, e_\infty) 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \end{pmatrix} \\ & = e^{-(\mu/2) f_1} \Phi(f_\infty, f_1) e^{\frac{\mu}{2} f_\infty} \Phi(f_0, f_\infty) e^{\frac{\mu}{2} f_0} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (1 - e^{\mu e_1}) \Phi(e_0, e_1) 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \\ \Phi(e_0, e_1) e^{\frac{\mu}{2} e_0} \Phi(e_\infty, e_0) (1 - e^{\mu e_\infty}) \Phi(e_0, e_\infty) 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \end{pmatrix} \\ & = e^{-\mu f_1} \Phi(f_0, f_1) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (1 - e^{\mu e_1}) \Phi(e_0, e_1) 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \\ \Phi(e_0, e_1) e^{\frac{\mu}{2} e_0} \Phi(e_\infty, e_0) (1 - e^{\mu e_\infty}) \Phi(e_0, e_\infty) 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \end{pmatrix} \\ & = e^{-\mu f_1} \Phi(f_0, f_1) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (1 - e^{\mu e_1}) \Phi(e_0, e_1) 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \\ (1 - e^{-\mu e_1}) \Phi(e_0, e_1) 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where the first (resp. second, third) equality follows from Corollary 11.8 (resp. Corollary 9.24, (10.2.3)), the fourth equality from the equalities (11.4.1) and (11.4.2), the fifth equality from the commutativity of $e^{\frac{\mu}{2} f_0}$ with e_∞ and e_0 , and from $e^{\frac{\mu}{2} f_0} 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2} = 1_{\text{DR}}^{\otimes 2}$, the sixth equality from the hexagon identity (9.1.2) for $(a, b, c) = (f_0, f_1, f_\infty)$, and the last equality follows from (11.4.3). (11.4.4) then follows from the combination of (11.4.5) and (11.4.6). \square

11.5. Commutative diagram relating $\text{row}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \text{col}_0$ and $\underline{\text{row}}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \underline{\text{col}}_0$ ((M4) in (11.6.1)).

Lemma 11.11. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. One has*

$$(11.5.1)$$

$$M_{1 \times 3}((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{oc}}, (1)})^{\otimes 2})((X_1 - 1)^{-1} (1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \underline{\text{row}}_1) = B_\Phi (e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1 (\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)})^{-1}$$

(equality in $M_{1 \times 3}(\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]^{\otimes 2 \wedge})$).

Proof. This follows from (10.6.1), from the fact that $(\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc}}, (1)} \otimes^2)$ is an algebra automorphism, and from the equality $(\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)} \otimes^2)((X_1 - 1)(1 - Y_1^{-1})) = e_1 f_1 u_{(\mu, \Phi)} B_{\Phi}^{-1}$, which follows from (10.8.5) and (10.8.6). \square

Lemma 11.12. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. The diagram*

(11.5.2)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) & \xrightarrow{(X_1 - 1)^{-1}(1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \text{row}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \text{col}_0} & \mathcal{M}^{\text{B}}[\frac{1}{X_1 - 1}]^{\otimes 2\wedge} \\
 \downarrow M_3((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10)} \otimes^2)) & & \downarrow (\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}, (10)} \otimes^2) \\
 M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) & & \\
 \downarrow (\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)})^{-1} \cdot (-) \cdot R_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{-1} & & \\
 M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) & \xrightarrow[(e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1 \cdot (-) \cdot \text{col}_0]{} \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]^{\otimes 2\wedge} \xrightarrow[B_{\Phi} \cdot (-)]{} & \mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]^{\otimes 2\wedge}
 \end{array}$$

((M4) in (11.6.1)) commutes, where B_{Φ} is as in (10.8.1).

Proof. Let $v \in M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2\wedge})$. One has

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}, (10)} \otimes^2)((X_1 - 1)^{-1}(1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \text{row}_1 \cdot v \cdot \text{col}_0) \\
 &= M_{1 \times 3}((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc}}, (1)} \otimes^2)((X_1 - 1)^{-1}(1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \text{row}_1) \cdot M_3((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)} \otimes^2)(v)) \cdot \\
 & \cdot M_{3 \times 1}((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{M}, (10)} \otimes^2)(\text{col}_0)) \\
 &= M_{1 \times 3}((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}_{\text{loc}}, (1)} \otimes^2)((X_1 - 1)^{-1}(1 - Y_1^{-1})^{-1} \text{row}_1) \cdot M_3((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10)} \otimes^2)(v)) \cdot \\
 & \cdot \Phi(e_0, e_1)^{-1} \Phi(f_0, f_1)^{-1} M_{3 \times 1}((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{M}, (10)} \otimes^2)(\text{col}_0)) \\
 &= B_{\Phi}(e_1 f_1)^{-1} \text{row}_1 (\kappa_{(\mu, \Phi)} \overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)})^{-1} \cdot M_3((\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10)} \otimes^2)(v) \cdot R_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{-1} \text{col}_0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first identity follows from Lemma 11.3, the second identity follows from (3.3.4), and the last identity follows from (11.5.1) and (11.4.4). This implies the commutation of the diagram. \square

11.6. Associators and harmonic module coproducts.

Theorem 11.13. *Let $\mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{B}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M}, \text{B}}} & (\mathcal{M}^{\text{B}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \\
 \downarrow \text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{M}, (10)} & & \downarrow (\text{comp}_{(\mu, \Phi)}^{\mathcal{M}, (10)} \otimes^2) \\
 \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{DR}} & \xrightarrow[\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M}, \text{DR}}]{} (\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \xrightarrow[B_{\Phi} \cdot (-)]{} & (\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}
 \end{array}$$

commutes, where B_{Φ} is given by (10.8.1).

Proof. Consider the following diagram. It is divided into the subdiagrams (M1), ..., (M5).

(11.6.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\hat{\mathcal{M}}^B & \xrightarrow{\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},B}} & (\mathcal{M}^B)^{\otimes 2\wedge\mathcal{C}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F^{-1}(\mathcal{M}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}])^{\otimes 2\wedge} \\
\downarrow \text{comp}_{(\mu,\Phi)}^{\mathcal{M},(10)} & \swarrow (-)\cdot 1_B & \downarrow \hat{\rho} & \nearrow (X_1-1)^{-1}(1-Y_1^{-1})^{-1}\text{row}_1\cdot(-)\cdot\text{col}_0 & \downarrow (\text{comp}_{(\mu,\Phi)}^{\mathcal{M}_{1\text{oc}},(10)})^{\otimes 2} \\
\hat{\mathcal{V}}^B & \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}} & M_3((\mathcal{V}^B)^{\otimes 2\wedge}) & & \\
\downarrow \text{comp}_{(\mu,\Phi)}^{\mathcal{V},(10)} & & \downarrow M_3((\text{comp}_{(\mu,\Phi)}^{\mathcal{V},(10)})^{\otimes 2}) & & \\
\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}} & M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) & & \\
\downarrow \text{comp}_{(\mu,\Phi)}^{\mathcal{M},(10)} & \swarrow (-)\cdot 1_{\text{DR}} & \downarrow (\kappa_{(\mu,\Phi)}\bar{P}_{(\mu,\Phi)})^{-1}\cdot(-)\cdot R_{(\mu,\Phi)}^{-1} & & \\
\hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{DR}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}}} & (\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge\mathcal{C}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1}]_{\geq -1})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \xrightarrow{B_\Phi\cdot(-)} (\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1}]_{\geq -1})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \\
& & \downarrow \hat{\rho} & \nearrow (\varepsilon_1 f_1)^{-1}\text{row}_1\cdot(-)\cdot\text{col}_0 & \\
& & M_3((\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge}) & &
\end{array}$$

The commutativity of subdiagram (M1) (resp. (M2), (M3), (M4), (M5)) follows from Lemma 8.15 (resp. Lemma 3.2, Lemma 11.5, Lemma 11.12, Lemma 6.10).

Using the commutativities of these diagrams in the following order M1-M4-M3-M5-M2, we obtain that the composition of the external square with the morphism $\pi^B : \hat{\mathcal{V}}^B \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{M}}^B$ is commutative. Since this morphism is surjective, this implies that the external diagram commutes.

The commutativity of the announced diagram then follows from Lemma 11.2 and from the fact that the injection $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2\wedge} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{M}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1}])^{\otimes 2\wedge}$ intertwines the left multiplication $B_\Phi \cdot (-)$ on both sides. \square

INDEX

- $(-) \cdot 1_B$, §2.1(p.9)
 $(-) \cdot 1_{DR}$, §1.1(p.7)
 1_B , §2.1(p.9)
 1_{DR} , §1.1(p.7)
 a_{ijk} , §7.1(p.38)
 $a_{P,Q,R}$, §9.3(p.58)
 $\text{Aut}^*(F_3)$, §8.2(p.51)
 $\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{M},(10),DR}$, §3.2(p.21)
 $\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V},(1),DR}$, §3.1(p.21)
 $\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V},(10),DR}$, §3.2(p.21)
 $\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V}_{loc},(1)}$, §10.8(p.79)
 $\text{aut}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{W},(1),DR}$, §3.1(p.21)
 b_n , §7.1(p.37)
 B_n^* , §7.1(p.37)
 B_n , §7.1(p.37)
 \tilde{B}_n , §7.1(p.37)
 b_P , §9.3(p.58)
 B_Φ , §10.8(p.79)
 $C_n(X)$, §7.1(p.37)
 col , §4.2(p.26)
 col_0 , §6.3(p.34)
 col_0 , §8.3(p.52)
 col_1 , §5.2(p.30)
 col_1 , §7.2(p.43)
 $\text{comp}_{(\mu,\Phi)}$, §9.3(p.58)
 $\text{comp}_{(\mu,\Phi)}^P$, §9.3(p.59)
 $\text{comp}_{(\mu,\Phi)}^{P,Q}$, §9.3(p.59)
 $\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{M},(10)}$, §3.3(p.22)
 $\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{M}_{loc},(10)}$, §11.1(p.82)
 $\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V},(1)}$, §3.3(p.22)
 $\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V},(10)}$, §3.3(p.22)
 $\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V}_{loc},(1)}$, §10.8(p.80)
 $\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{V}_{loc},(10)}$, §11.1(p.81)
 $\text{comp}_{(\mu,g)}^{\mathcal{W},(1)}$, §3.3(p.22)
 D_5 , §7.1(p.41)
 δ , §6.3(p.35)
 $\underline{\delta}$, §8.3(p.53)
 $\Delta^{\mathcal{M},B}$, §2.3(p.15)
 $\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},B}$, §2.5(p.21)
 $\Delta^{\mathcal{M},DR}$, §1.2(p.8)
 $\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},DR}$, §1.3(p.8)
 $\Delta^{\mathcal{V},B}$, §2.3(p.14)
 $\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V},B}$, §2.5(p.21)
 $\Delta^{\mathcal{V},DR}$, §1.2(p.7)
 $\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{V},DR}$, §1.3(p.8)
 $\Delta^{\mathcal{W},B}$, §2.3(p.14)
 $\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},B}$, §2.5(p.21)
 $\Delta^{\mathcal{W},DR}$, §1.2(p.7)
 $\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},DR}$, §1.3(p.8)
 e_0, e_1 , §1.1(p.7)
 \bar{e}_0, \bar{e}_1 , §9.2(p.56)
 e_{ij} , §5.1(p.27)
 e_∞ , §5.1(p.27)
 η_n , §7.1(p.37)
 ev_{a_0, a_1} , §9.1(p.55)
 f_0, f_1 , §5.1(p.27)
 f^{ab} , §9.2(p.56)
 $F^i \mathcal{M}^B$, §2.1(p.9)
 $F^i(\mathcal{M}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}])$, §8.1(p.47)
 $F^i \mathcal{V}^B$, §2.1(p.9)
 $F^i(\mathcal{V}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}])$, §8.1(p.47)
 $F^i \mathcal{W}^B$, §2.1(p.9)
 F_2 , §2.1(p.9), §7.1(p.41)
 F_3 , §7.2(p.42)
 Γ_g , §1.4(p.8)
 g_i ($i \in C_5$), §7.1(p.40)
 gr , §0.3(p.5)
 H_n , §7.1(p.37)
 i , §0.1(p.3)
 $\text{iso}^{\mathcal{M}}$, §3.3(p.22)
 $\text{iso}^{\mathcal{V}}$, §3.3(p.22)
 $\text{iso}^{\mathcal{V}_{loc}}$, §10.8(p.80)
 $\text{iso}^{\mathcal{W}}$, §3.3(p.22)
 $J(\text{pr}_5)$, §5.2(p.28)
 $J(\underline{\text{pr}}_5)$, §7.2(p.42)
 \mathbf{k} , §0.3(p.4)
 $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil}}$, §0.3(p.5)
 $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{fil},+}$, §0.3(p.5)
 $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr}}$, §0.3(p.5)
 $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{gr},+}$, §0.3(p.5)
 $\mathbf{k}\text{-mod}_{\text{top}}$, §0.3(p.5)
 $\mathbf{k}[[\bar{e}_0, \bar{e}_1]]$, §9.2(p.56)
 K_n , §7.1(p.37)
 \tilde{K}_n , §5.1(p.27)
 $\kappa_{(\mu,\Phi)}$, §10.2(p.75)
 ℓ , §5.1(p.28)
 $\hat{\ell}$, §10.1(p.73)
 $\underline{\ell}$, §7.1(p.41)
 $\hat{\underline{\ell}}$, §10.1(p.73)
 \mathcal{M}^B , §2.1(p.9)
 $\mathcal{M}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$, §8.1(p.47)
 $\hat{\mathcal{M}}^B$, §2.5(p.21)
 \mathcal{M}^{DR} , §1.1(p.7)
 $\mathcal{M}^{DR}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$, §6.1(p.32)
 $\hat{\mathcal{M}}^{DR}$, §1.3(p.8)
 $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n+1}$, §7.1(p.37)
 $M_3(\text{pr}_{12})$, §5.2(p.30)
 $M_3(\underline{\text{pr}}_{12})$, §7.2(p.43)

$M(\mathbf{k})$, §9.1(p.56)
 $M_\mu(\mathbf{k})$, §9.1(p.55)
 $\text{mor}_{R,e}$, §4.2(p.26)
 $\text{mor}_{\mathcal{V}^B, X_1-1}$, §8.2(p.49)
 $\text{mor}_{\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}, e_1}$, §6.2(p.33)

ω_n , §7.1(p.38)

$P_{(\mu, \Phi)}$, §9.7(p.68)
 $\overline{P}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$, §9.7(p.68)
 P_n , §5.1(p.27), §7.1(p.37)
 P_n^* , §7.1(p.37)
 \mathfrak{p}_n , §5.1(p.27)
 \mathbf{PaB} , §9.3(p.58)
 \mathbf{PaCD} , §9.3(p.58)
 $\widehat{\mathbf{PaCD}}^*$, §9.3(p.58)
 Par , §9.3(p.58)
 Par_n , §9.3(p.58)
 $\Phi(a_0, a_1)$, §9.1(p.55)
 $\Phi(e_0, e_1)$, §9.1(p.55)
 φ_{KZ} , §1.5(p.8)
 $\varpi_{R,J}$, §4.1(p.25)
 ϖ , §5.2(p.29)
 $\widehat{\varpi}$, §10.3(p.76)
 $\underline{\varpi}$, §7.2(p.43)
 $\overline{\varpi}$, §10.3(p.76)
 $\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2, \text{pr}_5$, §5.1(p.27)
 pr_{12} , §5.1(p.28)
 $\underline{\text{pr}}_1, \underline{\text{pr}}_2, \underline{\text{pr}}_5$, §7.1(p.41)
 $\overline{\text{pr}}_{12}$, §7.1(p.42)

$Q_{(\mu, \Phi)}$, §9.7(p.68)
 $\overline{Q}_{(\mu, \Phi)}$, §9.7(p.68)

$R_{(\mu, \Phi)}$, §11.2(p.83)
 ρ , §5.2(p.30)
 $\tilde{\rho}$, §5.2(p.31)
 $\underline{\rho}$, §7.2(p.43)
 $\overline{\rho}$, §7.2(p.44)
 row , §4.2(p.26)
 row_1 , §5.2(p.30)
 $\underline{\text{row}}_1$, §7.2(p.43)

S_n , §9.3(p.58)
 $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}$, §7.1(p.38)
 $\sigma_{a,b}$, §7.1(p.40)
 $\sigma_{P,Q}$, §9.3(p.58)

T , §5.2(p.31)
 \underline{T} , §7.2(p.45)
 t_{ij} , §5.1(p.27)
 \mathfrak{t}_n , §5.1(p.27)
 $\text{taut}_{\text{top}}^{\text{fil},+}$, §0.3(p.5)
 $\text{taut}_{\text{gr},+}^{\text{fil},+}$, §0.3(p.5)
 θ_g , §8.2(p.51)

$u_{(\mu, \Phi)}$, §10.6(p.77)
 \mathcal{U}_n , §7.1(p.37)
 $U(\mathfrak{p}_5)$, §5.0(p.27)
 $U(\mathfrak{t}_n)^\wedge \rtimes \mathbf{k}S_n$, §9.3(p.58)

\mathcal{V}^B , §2.1(p.9)
 (\mathcal{V}^B, X_1-1) , §8.2(p.48)
 $\mathcal{V}^B[\frac{1}{X_1-1}]$, §8.1(p.47)
 $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^B$, §2.5(p.21)
 \mathcal{V}^{DR} , §1.1(p.7)
 $(\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}, e_1)$, §6.2(p.33)
 $\mathcal{V}^{\text{DR}}[\frac{1}{e_1}]$, §6.1(p.32)
 $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$, §1.3(p.8)
 $v_{(\mu, \Phi)}$, §10.5(p.77)

\mathcal{W}^B , §2.1(p.9)
 \mathcal{W}_+^B , §8.2(p.49)
 $\hat{\mathcal{W}}^B$, §2.5(p.21)
 \mathcal{W}^{DR} , §1.1(p.7)
 $\mathcal{W}_+^{\text{DR}}$, §6.2(p.33)
 $\hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{DR}}$, §1.3(p.8)

X_0, X_1 , §2.1(p.9), §7.1(p.41)
 x_{ij} , §7.1(p.39)
 \tilde{x}_{ij} , §7.1(p.38)
 $\tilde{x}_{i,n+1}$, §7.1(p.39)
 ξ_k^+, ξ_k^- , §2.2(p.11)

Y_0, Y_1 , §7.1(p.41)
 y_n , §1.2(p.7)
 Y_n^+, Y_n^- , §2.2(p.14)

$\zeta(k_1, \dots, k_s)$, §0.1(p.3)
 $\zeta_\Phi(n)$, §9.2(p.56)

REFERENCES

- [An] Y. André, *Une introduction aux motifs (motifs purs, motifs mixtes, périodes)*. Panoramas et Synthèses, 17. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2004.
- [Ar] E. Artin, *Theory of braids*, Ann. of Math. (2) 48, (1947). 101–126.
- [BN] D. Bar-Natan, *On associators and the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group I*, Selecta Mathematica, New Series 4 (1998), 183–212.
- [Bir] J. Birman, *Braids, links, and mapping class groups*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 82. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974.
- [Bbk] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. Fasc. XXXVII. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitre II: Algèbres de Lie libres. Chapitre III: Groupes de Lie*, Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, No. 1349. Hermann, Paris, 1972.
- [De] P. Deligne, *Le groupe fondamental de la droite projective moins trois points*. Galois groups over \mathbb{Q} , 72–297, MSRI publications, 16, Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [DeG] P. Deligne, A. Goncharov, *Groupes fondamentaux motiviques de Tate mixte*. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 38 (2005), no. 1, 1–56.
- [DeT] P. Deligne, T. Terasoma, *Harmonic shuffle relation for associators*, preprint (2005).
- [Dr] V. Drinfeld, *On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and on a group that is closely connected with $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$* , Leningrad Math. J. 2 (1991), no. 4, 829–860.
- [E] B. Enriquez, *On the Drinfeld generators of $\text{grt}_1(k)$ and Γ -functions for associators*, Math. Res. Lett. 13 (2006), no. 2-3, 231–243.
- [EF0] B. Enriquez, H. Furusho, *A stabilizer interpretation of double shuffle Lie algebras*, International Mathematics Research Notices 22 (2018), 6870–6907.
- [EF2] B. Enriquez, H. Furusho, *The Betti side of the double shuffle theory. II. Double shuffle relations for associators*. Preprint arXiv:1807.07786, v3.
- [EF3] B. Enriquez, H. Furusho, *The Betti side of the double shuffle theory. III. Bitorsor structures*. Preprint arXiv:1908.00444, v3.
- [FaB] E. Fadell, J. Van Buskirk, *The braid groups of E^2 and S^2* , Duke Math. J. 29 (1962), 243–257.
- [Fox] R. Fox, *Free Differential Calculus, I: Derivation in the Free Group Ring*, Ann. of Math. (3) 57 (1969). 547–560.
- [F1] H. Furusho, *The multiple zeta value algebra and the stable derivation algebra*. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 39 (2003), no. 4, 695–720.
- [F2] H. Furusho, *Pentagon and hexagon equations*, Ann. of Math. (2) 171 (2010), no. 1, 545–556.
- [F3] H. Furusho, *Double shuffle relation for associators*, Ann. of Math. (1) 174 (2011), no. 1, 341–360.
- [G] A. Goncharov, *Multiple polylogarithms, cyclotomy and modular complexes*. Math. Res. Lett. 5 (1998), no. 4, 497–516.
- [IKZ] K. Ihara, M. Kaneko, D. Zagier, *Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values*. Compos. Math. 142 (2006), no. 2, 307–338.
- [Ih1] Y. Ihara, *Automorphisms of pure sphere braid groups and Galois representations*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II, 353–373, Progr. Math., 87, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.
- [Ih2] Y. Ihara, *On the stable derivation algebra associated with some braid groups*, Israel J. Math. 80 (1992), no. 1-2, 135–153.
- [LeM] T.Q.T. Le, J. Murakami, *Kontsevich’s integral for the Kauffman polynomial*. Nagoya Math. J. 142 (1996), 39–65.
- [LoS] P. Lochak, L. Schneps, *The Grothendieck-Teichmüller group and automorphisms of braid groups*, The Grothendieck theory of dessins d’enfants (Luminy, 1993), 323–358, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 200, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [R] G. Racinet, *Doubles mélanges des polylogarithmes multiples aux racines de l’unité*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. No. 95 (2002), 185–231.
- [Wei] C. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 38, Cambridge University Press, 1994.

INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE MATHÉMATIQUE AVANCÉE, UMR 7501, UNIVERSITÉ DE STRASBOURG ET CNRS, 7 RUE RENÉ DESCARTES, 67000 STRASBOURG, FRANCE
Email address: enriquez@math.unistra.fr

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, FURO-CHO, CHIKUSA-KU, NAGOYA, 464-8602, JAPAN
Email address: furusho@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp