

STRONG APPROXIMATION FOR A FAMILY OF NORM VARIETIES

YANG CAO, DASHENG WEI, AND FEI XU

ABSTRACT. We study strong approximation of the equation

$$N_{L/k}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i(t)$$

where L/k is a finite extension of number fields and $p_i(t)$'s are non-proportional irreducible polynomials over k . We prove this equation satisfies strong approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction when L can be imbedded in $k[t]/(p_i(t))$ over k for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Under Schinzel's hypothesis, we prove that the same result is true without assuming that L can be imbedded in $k[t]/(p_i(t))$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ when L/k is cyclic.

1. INTRODUCTION

Weak approximation of the following family of norm varieties

$$N_{L/k}(x) = q(t) \tag{1.1}$$

has been studied extensively, where L/k is a finite extension of number fields and $q(t)$ is a polynomial over k . So far, there are two approaches to study this problem. The first approach is to study weak approximation of certain torsors over equation (1.1), which depends heavily on the explicit description of the torsors and the descent theory developed in [16], by

- geometric methods (k -rationality, $[L : k] \leq 3$ and $\deg(q(t)) \leq 6$; see [17], [52], [18]);
- the circle method (all irreducible factors of $q(t)$ are at most two distinct linear polynomials; see [33], [14], [36], [48]);
- the sieve method ($k = \mathbb{Q}$ and $q(t)$ is irreducible with $\deg(q(t)) \leq 3$; see [3], [24], [34]);
- additive combinatorics ($k = \mathbb{Q}$ and $q(t)$ is a product of linear polynomials; see [4], [5]).

Another approach is the fibration method which can be traced back to Hasse's proof about the local-global principle of quadratic forms. Along the same spirit of Hasse's proof, Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc in [15] proved the equation (1.1) satisfies weak approximation by assuming Schinzel's hypothesis when $k = \mathbb{Q}$, $[L : k] = 2$ and $q(t)$ is an irreducible polynomial over \mathbb{Q} . Such a conditional result (under Schinzel's hypothesis) was largely extended in [19] and [56]. In [30], Harpaz, Skorobogatov and Wittenberg explained how to replace Schinzel's hypothesis with the recent achievement in additive combinatorics developed by Green, Tao and Ziegler to obtain the unconditional results. In [31], Harpaz and Wittenberg further improved the fibration method such that most of the above mentioned unconditional results can be covered. Moreover, they also proposed a conjecture in [31] which implies that (1.1) satisfies weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction in general.

For strong approximation of equation (1.1), the first non-trivial example was given by Derenthal and Wei in [25] where $[L; k] = 4$ and $q(t)$ is an irreducible quadratic polynomial which has a root in K . By using the explicit description of the universal torsor of (1.1) in this special case, they proved that this universal torsor satisfies strong approximation in [25]. Under Schinzel's hypothesis, the related results for integral points and strong approximation of Châtelete surfaces are studied in [27] and [40].

In [13], Colliot-Thélène and Harari developed the fibration method for studying strong approximation. However, their method can not applied to study the equation (1.1). As pointed out in [13, P.175], there are two difficulties for proving strong approximation of the equation (1.1) by fibration method.

D1: non-trivial elements in Brauer groups of special fibres over rational points are infinite.

D2: the fibers over $q(t)$ may not split.

In this paper, we will overcome the first difficulty (D1) by using the natural action of torus $\text{Res}_{L/k}^1(\mathbb{G}_m)$. For any given open set of adelic points of (1.1), one can find a finite subgroup of Brauer group of the generic fiber via this action such that the existence of rational points over almost all fibers of rational points can be tested by using the restriction of this finite group to these special fibers (see Proposition 3.4). It is well known that one can overcome the second difficulty (D2) by using Schinzel's hypothesis. However, one needs to get rid of obstruction from finite extensions from residue fields of fibers over $p(t)$ to their algebraic closure in their function fields. This new ingredient forces us to restrict the field extension L/k , for example to be cyclic. Over \mathbb{P}^1 , Harpaz and Wittenberg in [31] proposed a conjecture to replace Schinzel hypothesis to solve the similar difficulty as (D2). One can also raise an integral version of Harpaz-Wittenberg conjecture to establish strong approximation of equation (1.1) for any finite field extension L/k .

Notation and terminology are standard. Let k be a number field, Ω_k the set of all primes in k and ∞_k the set of all Archimedean primes in k . Write $v < \infty_k$ for $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k$. Let \mathfrak{o}_k be the ring of integers of k and $\mathfrak{o}_{k,S}$ the S -integers of k for a finite set S of Ω_k containing ∞_k . For each $v \in \Omega_k$, the completion of k at v is denoted by k_v , the completion of \mathfrak{o}_k at v by \mathfrak{o}_{k_v} and the residue field at v by $k(v)$ for $v < \infty_k$. Write $\mathfrak{o}_{k_v} = k_v$ for $v \in \infty_k$ and $k_\infty = \prod_{v \in \infty_k} k_v$. Let \mathbf{A}_k be the adèle ring of k and \mathbf{A}_k^f the finite adèle ring of k . For a finite extension L/k of number fields and a finite set S of Ω_k containing ∞_k , the set of elements in L which are integral over all primes not lying above S is denoted by $\mathfrak{o}_{L,S}$.

A variety X over k is defined to be a reduced separated scheme of finite type over k . We denote $X_{\bar{k}} = X \times_k \bar{k}$ with \bar{k} a fixed algebraic closure of k . Let

$$\text{Br}(X) = H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m), \quad \text{Br}_1(X) = \ker[\text{Br}(X) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X_{\bar{k}})]$$

and

$$\text{Br}_a(X) = \text{coker}[\text{Br}(k) \rightarrow \text{Br}_1(X)], \quad \overline{\text{Br}(X)} = \text{coker}[\text{Br}(k) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X)].$$

For any subset B of $\text{Br}(X)$, we set

$$X(\mathbf{A}_k)^B = \{(x_v)_{v \in \Omega_k} \in X(\mathbf{A}_k) : \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \text{inv}_v(\xi(x_v)) = 0, \quad \forall \xi \in B\}.$$

We denote by $\pi_0(X(k_\infty))$ and $\pi_0(X(k_v))$ the set of connected components of $X(k_\infty)$ and $X(k_v)$ for $v \in \infty_k$ with discrete topology respectively. Define $X(\mathbf{A}_k)_\bullet = \pi_0(X(k_\infty)) \times X(\mathbf{A}_k^f)$ and

$$X(\mathbf{A}_k)_E := E \times X(\mathbf{A}_k^f) \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k)_\bullet \quad \text{and} \quad X(k)_E := X(k) \cap X(\mathbf{A}_k)_E \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k)_\bullet$$

for any subset $E \subset \pi_0(X(k_\infty))$. Since an element in $\text{Br}(X)$ takes the constant value at each connected component of $X(k_\infty)$, the set $X(\mathbf{A}_k)_E^B$ is well-defined. Write $pr_\bullet : X(\mathbf{A}_k) \rightarrow X(\mathbf{A}_k)_\bullet$ the natural projection map. If G is a connected algebraic group over k , then $G(k_\infty)^+$ is denoted as the connected component of identity of Lie group $G(k_\infty)$.

Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes. For $y \in Y$, we write X_y for the fiber of ϕ over y .

Definition 1.1. Let X be a variety over a number field k , B a subset of $\text{Br}(X)$ and S_0 a finite subset of Ω_k . We say X satisfies strong approximation with respect to B off S_0 if $X(k)$ is dense in $pr^{S_0}(X(\mathbf{A}_k)^B) \neq \emptyset$ where $pr^{S_0} : X(\mathbf{A}_k) \rightarrow X(\mathbf{A}_k^{S_0})$ the natural projection map and $\mathbf{A}_k^{S_0}$ is the adèle ring of k without S_0 -components.

The first main result of this paper is the following theorem (see Corollary 3.6).

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be the smooth locus of the following affine variety*

$$\prod_{i=1}^m N_{L_i/k}(x_i) = c \prod_{j=1}^n p_j(t)^{e_j} \quad \text{with} \quad c \in k^\times$$

where L_i/k 's are finite extensions of number fields and $p_j(t)$'s are distinct irreducible monic polynomials over k and e_j 's are positive integers. If for each $1 \leq j \leq n$ there is L_i such that either L_i can be imbedded in $k[t]/(p_j(t))$ over k or $[L_i : k] \mid e_j$ with $1 \leq i \leq m$, then X satisfies strong approximation off ∞_k with respect to $\text{Br}_1(X)$.

Under Schinzel's hypothesis, one can remove the assumption that for each $1 \leq j \leq n$ there is L_i such that either L_i can be imbedded in $k[t]/(p_j(t))$ over k or $[L_i : k] \mid e_j$ with $1 \leq i \leq m$ in the above theorem if one of extensions L_i/k for $1 \leq i \leq m$ is cyclic (see Corollary 4.6).

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be the smooth locus of the following affine variety*

$$\prod_{i=1}^m N_{L_i/k}(x_i) = c \prod_{j=1}^n p_j(t)^{e_j} \quad \text{with} \quad c \in k^\times$$

where L_i/k 's are finite extensions of number fields and $p_j(t)$'s are distinct irreducible monic polynomials over k and e_j 's are positive integers. Assume that one of extensions L_i/k for $1 \leq i \leq m$ is cyclic. Suppose the projection of each connected component of $X(k_\infty)$ to t -coordinate is unbounded for all real primes $v \in \infty_k$. If Schinzel's hypothesis holds, then X satisfies strong approximation off ∞_k with respect to $\text{Br}_1(X)$.

It should be pointed out that the case of Theorem 1.3 is even unknown for weak approximation before. The second named author further applies this idea to study weak approximation in [57].

The paper is organized as follows. In §2, we work out the local necessary condition at ∞_k of strong approximation for quasi-affine varieties. Then we prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 in §3 and §4 respectively. In §5, we further apply our method to show certain results in opposite direction of [31, Corollary 9.10].

2. NECESSARY CONDITIONS AT ∞_k

For a number field k , it is well known that k is discrete and closed in \mathbf{A}_k by the product formula. When X is a quasi-affine variety over k , then $X(k)$ is discrete and closed in $X(\mathbf{A}_k)$. Therefore $X(k_\infty)$ is not compact if X satisfies strong approximation off ∞_k in the classical sense. In this section, we extend this necessary condition to strong approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction when $\overline{\text{Br}(X)}$ is finite.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a variety over a number field k and B be a subgroup of $\text{Br}(X)$. A connected component D of $X(k_\infty)$ is called admissible with respect to B if $D \subset pr_{\infty_k}(X(\mathbf{A}_k)^B)$ where $pr_{\infty_k} : X(\mathbf{A}_k) \rightarrow X(k_\infty)$ is the projection map.

Since each element $b \in \text{Br}(X)$ takes a constant value over a connected component D_v of $X(k_v)$ for $v \in \infty_k$, one can simply write $b(D_v)$ for this constant value.

Let B be a subgroup of $\text{Br}(X)$. We define $D \sim_B D'$ for two connected components

$$D = \prod_{v \in \infty_k} D_v \quad \text{and} \quad D' = \prod_{v \in \infty_k} D'_v$$

of $X(k_\infty)$ if

$$\sum_{v \in \infty_k} b(D_v) = \sum_{v \in \infty_k} b(D'_v)$$

for all $b \in B$. This \sim_B provides an equivalent relation among the admissible connected components of $X(k_\infty)$ with respect to B .

Lemma 2.2. *Let B be a finite subgroup of $\text{Br}(X)$. Then two admissible connected components D and D' of $X(k_\infty)$ with respect to B satisfy $D \sim_B D'$ if and only if there is an open compact subset $W \neq \emptyset$ of $X(\mathbf{A}_k^f)$ such that*

$$D \times W \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k)^B \quad \text{and} \quad D' \times W \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k)^B.$$

Proof. Suppose that D and D' are the admissible connected components of $X(k_\infty)$ with respect to B satisfying $D \sim_B D'$. There are open compact subsets $W \neq \emptyset$ and $V \neq \emptyset$ in $X(\mathbf{A}_k^f)$ such that

$$D \times W \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k)^B \quad \text{and} \quad D' \times V \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k)^B$$

respectively. Since B is finite, one can further assume that

$$W = \prod_{v < \infty_k} W_v \quad \text{and} \quad V = \prod_{v < \infty_k} V_v$$

such that each element in B takes a single value over W_v and V_v respectively for each $v < \infty_k$. One can simply denote this single value by $b(W_v)$ and $b(V_v)$ respectively for each $v < \infty_k$. Moreover, one has $b(W_v) = b(V_v) = 0$ for almost all $v < \infty_k$.

Since

$$\sum_{v \in \infty_k} b(D_v) = \sum_{v \in \infty_k} b(D'_v) \quad \text{with} \quad D = \prod_{v \in \infty_k} D_v \quad \text{and} \quad D' = \prod_{v \in \infty_k} D'_v$$

for all $b \in B$, one concludes that

$$\sum_{v < \infty_k} b(W_v) = \sum_{v < \infty_k} b(V_v)$$

for all $b \in B$. This implies that $D' \times W \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k)^B$ as desired.

Conversely, one takes an element $(x_v)_{v < \infty_k} \in W$ and obtains $D \sim_B D'$. \square

The following proposition gives the necessary condition of strong approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction for quasi-affine varieties at ∞_k when $\overline{\text{Br}(X)}$ is finite.

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a quasi-affine geometrically integral variety over a number field k with $\dim(X) \geq 1$. Suppose that B is a finite subgroup of $\text{Br}(X)$. If X satisfies strong approximation with respect to B off ∞_k , then each equivalent class of admissible connected components of $X(k_\infty)$ with respect to B contains a non-compact one.*

Proof. If k has a complex prime v , then $X(k_v)$ is connected (see [44, Chapter 3, Theorem 3.5]). Since X is quasi-affine, the set $X(k_v)$ is not compact. This implies that every connected component of $X(k_\infty)$ is not compact. Therefore one only needs to consider the case that k is totally real.

Suppose there is an equivalent class $[D]$ of admissible connected components of $X(k_\infty)$ such that every element in this class is compact. Since D is admissible with respect to B , there is an open compact subset $W \neq \emptyset$ of $X(\mathbf{A}_k^f)$ such that $D \times W \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k)^B$. Since B is finite, one can further assume that $W = \prod_{v < \infty_k} W_v$ such that each element in B takes a constant value over W_v for all $v < \infty_k$. Therefore

$$(X(k_\infty) \times \prod_{v < \infty_k} W_v) \cap X(\mathbf{A}_k)^B = (\bigcup_{C \in [D]} C) \times \prod_{v < \infty_k} W_v.$$

Since the number of connected components of $X(k_\infty)$ is finite by [44, Chapter 3, Theorem 3.6], the set $\bigcup_{C \in [D]} C$ is compact by our assumption. Since X is quasi-affine, one obtains that $X(k)$ is discrete and closed in $X(\mathbf{A}_k)$. This implies that the set

$$X(k) \cap [(\bigcup_{C \in [D]} C) \times \prod_{v < \infty_k} W_v] = \{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$$

is finite.

Since X is geometrically integral over k , there is $v_0 < \infty_k$ such that

$$V_0 = W_{v_0} \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_l\} \neq \emptyset$$

is open and compact in $X(k_{v_0})$ by the Lang-Weil's estimation (see [45, Theorem 7.7.1]). Consider an open subset

$$X(k_\infty) \times V_0 \times \prod_{v < \infty_k, v \neq v_0} W_v$$

of $X(\mathbf{A}_k)$. Then

$$[X(k_\infty) \times V_0 \times \prod_{v < \infty_k, v \neq v_0} W_v] \cap X(\mathbf{A}_k)^B = (\bigcup_{C \in [D]} C) \times V_0 \times \prod_{v < \infty_k, v \neq v_0} W_v \neq \emptyset$$

contains no points in $X(k)$ any more. This contradicts that X satisfies strong approximation with respect to B off ∞_k . \square

Example 6.2 in [25] and Example 8.3 in [35] can be explained by Proposition 2.3.

Example 2.4. Let X be a variety over \mathbb{Q} defined by the equation

$$x^2 + y^2 = t(t-2)(t-10) \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^3$$

and the quaternions $\beta_1 = (t, -1)$ and $\beta_2 = (t-2, -1)$ are the representative of $\overline{\text{Br}(X)}$. Write B the subgroup of $\text{Br}(X)$ generated by β_1 and β_2 . The set $X(\mathbb{R})$ consists of two connected components. One connected component D_1 is given by $0 \leq t \leq 2$, which is compact. The other one D_2 is given by $t \geq 10$, which is not compact. Since β_2 takes $\frac{1}{2}$ over D_1 but takes 0 over D_2 , one gets $D_1 \not\sim_B D_2$. The local points

$$(t_v, x_v, y_v) = \begin{cases} (5, x_5, y_5) & v = 5 \\ (1, 3, 0) & v \neq 5 \end{cases}$$

exhibited in [25, Example 6.2] imply that D_1 is admissible. By Proposition 2.3, one concludes that X does not satisfy strong approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off ∞_k .

Example 2.5. Let X be a Del Pezzo surfaces of degree four defined by the equations

$$\begin{cases} x_0(x_0 + x_1) = x_2^2 + (x_2 + x_4)^2 \\ (x_0 + x_2)(x_0 + 2x_2) = 2x_1^2 + 3x_3^2 \end{cases}$$

in \mathbb{P}^4 and U be an open subset of X defined by $x_4 \neq 0$. As pointed out in the first step of [35, Example 8.1], the set $U(\mathbb{R})$ consists of three connected components D_0, D_1 and D_2 and one of them is compact with a rational point. Assume this component is D_0 . Then D_0 is admissible.

Let B be the subgroup of $\text{Br}(U)$ generated by α_1 and α_2 in the second step of [35, Example 8.1]. We claim that $D_0 \not\sim_B D_1$ and $D_0 \not\sim_B D_2$. Indeed, suppose $D_0 \sim_B D_1$. Since α_1 and α_2 are not constant over $U(\mathbb{R})$ by the fourth step in [35, Example 8.1], one obtains that

$$\alpha_1(D_0) = \alpha_1(D_1) \neq \alpha_1(D_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2(D_0) = \alpha_2(D_1) \neq \alpha_2(D_2).$$

This implies that

$$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(D_0) = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(D_1) = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(D_2)$$

which contradicts that $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ are not constant over $U(\mathbb{R})$ in the fifth step in [35, Example 8.1]. Therefore U does not satisfy strong approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off ∞ by the above claim and Proposition 2.3.

To end up this section, we provide a refined version of strong approximation for \mathbb{A}_k^1 which is needed in the next section. There are already several refinements for strong approximation for \mathbb{A}_k^1 implicitly in [8, Proposition 4.6] and [6, Theorem 6.2].

Definition 2.6. Let $v \in \infty_k$ be a real place and C_v be a subset of k_v . We say

- C_v is unbounded above if $\sup\{x \in C_v\} = +\infty$.
- C_v is unbounded below if $\inf\{x \in C_v\} = -\infty$.
- C_v is unbounded if C_v is either unbounded above or unbounded below.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $C = \prod_{v \in \infty_k} C_v$ be an open connected subset of k_∞ . Suppose that there is either a complex $v \in \infty_k$ with $C_v = \mathbb{C}$ or a real place $v \in \infty_k$ such that C_v is unbounded. If $W \neq \emptyset$ is an open subset of \mathbf{A}^f , then $k \cap (C \times W) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. One only needs to consider the case that k is totally real and there is $v_0 \in \infty_k$ such that C_{v_0} is unbounded. Therefore $C_{v_0} = (a, +\infty)$ or $(-\infty, a)$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Without loss of generality, one can assume that $W = \prod_{v < \infty_k} W_v$.

When $k = \mathbb{Q}$, the set $\mathbb{Q} \cap (C_{v_0} \times W) \neq \emptyset$ by Dirichlet's prime number theorem with modification on sign if necessary.

Otherwise, there is $\epsilon \in O_k^\times$ such that

$$|\epsilon|_{v_0} > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\epsilon|_v < 1 \quad \text{for all } v \in \infty_k \setminus \{v_0\}$$

by [43, 33:8]. Let Σ be a finite subset of Ω_k containing ∞_k such that $W_v = \mathfrak{o}_{k_v}$ for all $v \notin \Sigma$. For each $v < \infty_k$, one can fix $\beta_v \in \mathfrak{o}_k$ such that $\text{ord}_v(\beta_v) > 0$ and $\text{ord}_w(\beta_v) = 0$ for all finite $w \neq v$ by finiteness of class number of \mathfrak{o}_k . By strong approximation for \mathbb{A}^1 , there is $a \in k$ such that

$$a \in k_{v_0} \times \left(\prod_{v \in \infty_k \setminus \{v_0\}} C_v \right) \times W.$$

Let l_v be a sufficiently large integer such that $a + \beta_v^{l_v} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v} \subseteq W_v$ for each $v \in \Sigma \setminus \infty_k$. Take a sufficiently large positive integer N such that

$$b = a + \epsilon^{2N+1} \prod_{v \in \Sigma \setminus \infty_k} \beta_v^{l_v} \in C_v$$

for all $v \in \infty_k \setminus \{v_0\}$ and $b \in (a, +\infty)$ or $(-\infty, a)$ respectively at v_0 by replacing ϵ with $-\epsilon$ if necessary. Therefore

$$b \in k \cap (C \times W)$$

as desired. □

3. FIBRATION OVER \mathbb{A}_k^1 WITH AN ACTION OF TORUS

Let X be a smooth and geometrically integral variety over a number field k . Suppose that $X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{A}_k^1$ is a surjective morphism over k with geometrically integral generic fiber. There is an open dense subset U of \mathbb{A}_k^1 over k such that $f|_V : V = f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is smooth with geometrically integral fibers. Write

$$\mathbb{A}_k^1 \setminus U = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$$

where P_1, \dots, P_n are the closed points over k and $k_i = k(P_i)$ are the residue fields of P_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $D_i = f^{-1}(P_i)$ and $\{D_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^{g_i}$ be the set of irreducible components of D_i over k_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then one has the exact sequence with the residue maps

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Br}(X) \rightarrow \text{Br}(V) \xrightarrow{(\partial_{D_{i,j}})} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^{g_i} H^1(D_{i,j}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

given by [10, (3.9)]. By the functoriality of residue maps and the Faddeev exact sequence (see [20, §1.1 and §1.2]), one has the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Br}_a(U) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\partial_U} & \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^1(k_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \\ f^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{res} \\ \mathrm{Br}_a(V) & \xrightarrow{(\partial_{D_{i,j}})} & \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^{g_i} H^1(D_{i,j}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \end{array} \quad (3.1)$$

Recall that f is split if $D_{i,1}$ is geometrically integral with multiplicity 1 in D_i for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. In this case, one has $\partial_{D_{i,1}}(b) \in H^1(k_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ for any $b \in \mathrm{Br}_1(V)$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that f is split. Let B be a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Br}_1(V)$ and F_i/k_i be a finite abelian extension such that $\partial_{D_{i,1}}(B)|_{F_i} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let B_1 be a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Br}_1(V)$ such that the image of B_1 in $\mathrm{Br}_a(V)$ contains*

$$f^*(\partial_U^{-1}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^1(F_i/k_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))).$$

If $E = \prod_{v \in \infty_k} E_v$ is a connected component of $X(k_\infty)$ such that $f(E_{v_0})$ is unbounded for some $v_0 \in \infty_k$ when k is totally real, then

$$\bigcup_{c \in U(k)} X_c(\mathbf{A}_k)_{E_c}^{B+B_1} \quad \text{is dense in} \quad X(\mathbf{A}_k)_E^{(B+B_1) \cap \mathrm{Br}_1(X)}$$

where X_c is the fiber of f over $c \in U(k)$ and $E_c = X_c(k_\infty) \cap E$.

Proof. Write $\mathbb{A}_k^1 = \mathrm{Spec}(k[t])$ and $P_i = (p_i(t))$ where $p_i(t)$'s are the fixed irreducible polynomials over k for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let X' be an open subset of X over k such that the restriction $f|_{X'} : X' \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$ is smooth and $f|_{X'}^{-1}(P_i)$ contains the smooth part $D_{i,1}^{sm}$ of $D_{i,1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

For any open subset

$$W = E \times \prod_{v < \infty_k} W_v \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k) \quad \text{with} \quad W^{(B+B_1) \cap \mathrm{Br}_1(X)} \neq \emptyset,$$

there is a finite subset S of Ω_k containing ∞_k such that the following conditions hold.

(a) The morphism $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$ and the open immersion $X' \hookrightarrow X$ are extended to their integral models $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{o}_{k,S}}^1$ and $\mathcal{X}' \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ over $\mathfrak{o}_{k,S}$ such that the restriction map $\mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{o}_{k,S}}^1$ is smooth.

(b) The morphism $D_i \rightarrow P_i$ is extended to their integral models $\mathcal{D}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_i$ over $\mathfrak{o}_{k,S}$ such that

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_{k,S}[t]/(p_i(t))) = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_{k_i,S})$$

is smooth over $\mathfrak{o}_{k,S}$ and $\mathcal{D}_i = f^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Moreover,

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{o}_{k,S}}^1 \setminus \mathcal{U} = \{\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{V} = \{\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_n\}$$

(c) The closed immersion $D_{i,1} \hookrightarrow D_i$ is extended to their models $\mathcal{D}_{i,1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_i$ over $\mathfrak{o}_{k_i,S}$ such that the smooth points over residue fields $\mathcal{D}_{i,1}^{sm}(k(w)) \neq \emptyset$ for all primes w of k_i with $(w \cap k) \notin S$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. All field extensions $F_i/k_i/k$ are unramified outside S for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

(d) All elements in $(B+B_1) \cap \text{Br}_1(X)$ take the trivial value over $W_v = \mathcal{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{k_v})$ and all elements in $B+B_1$ take the trivial value over $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{o}_{k_v})$ for all $v \notin S$.

If there is a complex prime $v \in \infty_k$, then $E_v = X(\mathbb{C})$ by [44, Chapter 3, Theorem 3.5] and $f(E_v) = \mathbb{C}$. In this case, we define $W_v = E_v \cap V(k_v)$ for all $v \in \infty_k$. Otherwise, k is totally real. Then there is a connected component C_{v_0} of $V(k_{v_0})$ such that $f(E_{v_0} \cap C_{v_0})$ is unbounded. In this case, we define

$$W_v = \begin{cases} E_{v_0} \cap C_{v_0} & v = v_0 \\ E_v \cap V(k_v) & v \in \infty_k \setminus \{v_0\}. \end{cases}$$

By Harari's formal lemma (see [11, Theorem 1.4]), one can enlarge S such that there are $x_v \in W_v$ for all $v \in S$ with

$$\sum_{v \in S} \xi(x_v) = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

for all $\xi \in (B+B_1)$. By shrinking W_v for $v \in S \setminus \infty_k$, one can assume that each element in $B+B_1$ takes a single value over W_v . Since f is split, the set $f(\prod_{v \in \Omega_k} W_v)$ contains a non-empty open set in \mathbf{A}_k such that $f(W_{v_0})$ is unbounded when k is totally real. By Proposition 2.7, there is $t_0 \in \mathfrak{o}_{k,S}$ such that $X_{t_0}(\mathbf{A}_k) \cap W \neq \emptyset$.

If $v \notin S$ with $\text{ord}_v(p_i(t_0)) > 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $p_i(t)$ splits in \mathfrak{o}_{k_v} by (b) and Hensel's lemma. This implies that there is a prime w of k_i above v such that $(k_i)_w = k_v$. There is $y_v \in \mathcal{X}'_{t_0}(\mathfrak{o}_{k_v}) \subset \mathcal{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{k_v})$ such that

$$y_v \bmod v \in \mathcal{D}_{i,1}^{sm}(k(v)) \subset \mathcal{X}'_{t_0}(k(v))$$

by Hensel's lemma and (a) and (c) for $1 \leq i \leq n$. One can extend these y_v to

$$(y_v)_{v \in \Omega_k} \in X_{t_0}(\mathbf{A}_k) \cap W$$

by taking any element in $W_v \cap X_{t_0}(k_v)$ for $v \in S$ and any element in $\mathcal{X}_{t_0}(\mathfrak{o}_{k_v})$ for the rest of primes v of k . Then

$$\sum_{v \in \Omega_k} b(y_v) = \sum_{v \notin S} b(y_v) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{v \notin S, \text{ord}_v(p_i(t_0)) > 0} b(y_v)$$

by (3.2) for all $b \in (B+B_1)$.

When $\text{ord}_v(p_i(t_0)) > 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $v \notin S$, then $\text{ord}_v(p_j(t_0)) = 0$ for all $j \neq i$ by (b). Therefore there is $\tau_i \in \text{Gal}(F_i/k_i)$ such that

$$b(y_v) = \partial_{D_{i,1}}(b)(\tau_i) \quad \text{with } v \notin S \text{ and } \text{ord}_v(p_i(t_0)) > 0$$

by [28, Corollary 2.4.3] and the choice of y_v for all $b \in B+B_1$. One concludes that

$$\sum_{v \in \Omega_k} b(y_v) = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{D_{i,1}}(b)(\tau_i) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \quad (3.3)$$

with $(\tau_i)_{i=1}^n \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{Gal}(F_i/k_i)$ for all $b \in (B+B_1)$.

Since

$$\sum_{v \in \Omega_k} f^*(\xi)(y_v) = \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \xi(t_0) = 0$$

for all $\xi \in \partial_U^{-1}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^1(F_i/k_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))$ by the functoriality of Brauer-Manin pairing and the reciprocity law, one obtains

$$\chi((\tau_i)_{i=1}^n) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \chi \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^1(F_i/k_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

by (3.1) and (3.3). This implies that $(\tau_i)_{i=1}^n$ is the trivial element in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{Gal}(F_i/k_i)$. Therefore

$$(y_v)_{v \in \Omega_k} \in X_{t_0}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{B+B_1} \cap W$$

by (3.3) as desired. \square

Remark 3.2. In the proof of Proposition 3.1, the assumption that f is split is needed only for the fact that the set $f(\prod_{v \in \Omega_k} W_v)$ contains a non-empty open set in \mathbf{A}_k . When f has a section over k , this fact is also true. Instead that f is split, the same result still holds when f has a section over k .

Recall that a geometrically integral variety Y over a field k is quasi-trivial by [12, Definition 1.1] if the following two conditions hold:

- (i) $\bar{k}[Y]^\times/\bar{k}^\times$ is a permutation Galois module;
- (ii) $\text{Pic}(Y_{\bar{k}}) = 0$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let U be a quasi-trivial variety and T be a torus over a number field k . If $V \rightarrow U$ is a torsor under T , then the Sansuc morphism $\text{Br}_a(V) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \text{Br}_a(T)$ by [46, Lemma 6.4] is surjective.*

Proof. Since $V \rightarrow U$ is a torsor under T , one gets an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \bar{k}[U]^\times/\bar{k}^\times \rightarrow \bar{k}[V]^\times/\bar{k}^\times \rightarrow \bar{k}[T]^\times/\bar{k}^\times \rightarrow \text{Pic}(U_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(V_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(T_{\bar{k}})$$

by [46, Proposition 6.10]. Since $\text{Pic}(U_{\bar{k}}) = \text{Pic}(T_{\bar{k}}) = 1$, one obtains $\text{Pic}(V_{\bar{k}}) = 1$ and

$$H^2(k, \bar{k}[U]^\times/\bar{k}^\times) \rightarrow H^2(k, \bar{k}[V]^\times/\bar{k}^\times) \rightarrow H^2(k, \bar{k}[T]^\times/\bar{k}^\times) \rightarrow H^3(k, \bar{k}[U]^\times/\bar{k}^\times) \quad (3.4)$$

by using Galois cohomology for the above short exact sequence. Since $\bar{k}[U]^\times/\bar{k}^\times$ is a permutation $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -module, one obtains $H^3(k, \bar{k}[U]^\times/\bar{k}^\times) = 0$ by Shapiro lemma (see [42, Chapter 1, (1.6.4) Proposition]) and [39, Chapter I, Corollary 4.17]. The Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence implies the canonical isomorphisms

$$H^2(k, \bar{k}[V]^\times/\bar{k}^\times) \cong \text{Br}_a(V) \quad \text{and} \quad H^2(k, \bar{k}[T]^\times/\bar{k}^\times) \cong \text{Br}_a(T).$$

The result follows from (3.4). \square

Now we further assume that X admits an action of a torus T over k . Namely, there is a morphism $T \times_k X \xrightarrow{\rho} X$ over k satisfying the properties listed in [41, Definition 0.3].

Proposition 3.4. *Let X be a smooth variety with an action of a torus T over a number field k . Suppose that $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ is a morphism over k such that $f^{-1}(Z) \xrightarrow{f} Z$ is a torsor under T where Z is an open dense and quasi-trivial sub-variety of Y over k .*

If E is a connected component of $X(k_\infty)$ and $W = \prod_{v < \infty_k} W_v$ is an open compact subset of $X(\mathbf{A}_k^f)$, then there is a finite subgroup $B \subset \mathrm{Br}_a(f^{-1}(Z))$ such that

$$(E \times W) \cap X_c(\mathbf{A}_k)^B \neq \emptyset \iff (E \times W) \cap X_c(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_a(X_c)} \neq \emptyset$$

for all $c \in Z(k)$.

Proof. Let

$$\mathrm{St}(W_v) = \{g \in T(k_v) : g \cdot W_v = W_v\}$$

for $v < \infty_k$. Since W_v is an open compact subset of $X(k_v)$ with $W_v = \mathcal{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{k_v})$ for almost all v for an integral model \mathcal{X} of X , the group $\mathrm{St}(W_v)$ is an open subgroup of $T(k_v)$ such that $\mathrm{St}(W_v) = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{o}_{k_v})$ for almost all v for an integral model \mathcal{T} of T . Then

$$\mathrm{St}(W) = T^+(k_\infty) \times \prod_{v < \infty_k} \mathrm{St}(W_v)$$

is an open subgroup of $T(\mathbf{A}_k)$, where $T^+(k_\infty)$ is the connected Lie subgroup $T(k_\infty)$. Let

$$B_1 = \{\xi \in \mathrm{Br}_1(T) : \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \xi(\sigma_v) = 0 \text{ for all } (\sigma_v)_{v \in \Omega_k} \in \mathrm{St}(W)\}.$$

By [23, Theorem 3.19], one has the following commutative diagram of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T(\mathbf{A}_k)/\overline{T(k) \cdot T^+(k_\infty)} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Br}_a(T), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{III}^1(T) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{id} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T(\mathbf{A}_k)/(T(k) \cdot \mathrm{St}(W)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(B_1/\mathrm{Br}(k), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{III}^1(T) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (3.5)$$

and $B_1/\mathrm{Br}(k)$ is finite by [44, Theorem 6.15 and Theorem 8.1].

Let B be a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Br}_a(f^{-1}(Z))$ such that $\lambda(B) = B_1/\mathrm{Br}(k)$ where λ is the Sansuc morphism in Lemma 3.3. If

$$(E \times W) \cap X_c(\mathbf{A}_k)^B \neq \emptyset$$

for $c \in Z(k)$, there is $(x_v)_{v \in \Omega_k} \in (E \times W) \cap X_c(\mathbf{A}_k)$ which can be viewed

$$(x_v)_{v \in \Omega_k} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Br}_a(X_c), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \text{ such that } (x_v)_{v \in \Omega_k}|_B = 0.$$

By [46, Lemma 6.8], there is a canonical isomorphism $\lambda_c : \mathrm{Br}_a(X_c) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Br}_a(T)$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Br}_a(f^{-1}(Z)) & \xrightarrow{i_c^*} & \mathrm{Br}_a(X_c) \\ \lambda \downarrow & \swarrow \lambda_c & \\ \mathrm{Br}_a(T) & & \end{array} \quad (3.6)$$

where $i_c : X_c \hookrightarrow f^{-1}(Z)$ is the closed immersion. Since

$$(x_v)_{v \in \Omega_k} \circ \lambda_c^{-1} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Br}_a(T), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

with

$$(x_v)_{v \in \Omega_k} \circ \lambda_c^{-1}(B_1/\mathrm{Br}(k)) = (x_v)_{v \in \Omega_k} \circ \lambda_c^{-1} \circ \lambda(B) = i_c^*(B)((x_v)_{v \in \Omega_k}) = 0$$

by (3.6), there are $t \in T(k)$ and $(s_v)_{v \in \Omega_k} \in \text{St}(W)$ such that

$$t \cdot (s_v)_{v \in \Omega_k} = (x_v)_{v \in \Omega_k} \circ \lambda_c^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(\text{Br}_a(T), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \quad (3.7)$$

by (3.5). Consider

$$(s_v^{-1} \cdot x_v)_{v \in \Omega_k} \in (E \times W) \cap X_c(\mathbf{A}_k).$$

Then

$$b((s_v^{-1} \cdot x_v)_{v \in \Omega_k}) = \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \lambda_c(b)(s_v^{-1}) + \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} b(x_v) = - \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \lambda_c(b)(t \cdot s_v) + \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} b(x_v) = 0$$

for all $b \in \text{Br}_a(X_c)$ by (3.7) and [9, Proposition 2.9]. Namely,

$$(s_v^{-1} \cdot x_v)_{v \in \Omega_k} \in (E \times W) \cap X_c(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_a(X_c)} \neq \emptyset$$

as desired. \square

Combining Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.4, one obtains the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{A}_k^1$ be a surjective morphism over a number field k . Assume that X admits an action of a torus T over k such that the generic fiber of f is a torsor under T . Suppose that each equivalent class of admissible connected components of $X(k_\infty)$ contains a connected component $E = \prod_{v \in \infty_k} E_v$ such that $f(E_v)$ is unbounded for some $v \in \infty_k$ when k is totally real. If f is split or has a section over k , then X satisfies strong approximation off ∞_k with respect to $\text{Br}_1(X)$.*

Proof. For any open subset $X(k_\infty) \times \prod_{v < \infty_k} W_v$ of $X(\mathbf{A}_k)$ with

$$(X(k_\infty) \times \prod_{v < \infty_k} W_v) \cap X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1(X)} \neq \emptyset,$$

there is a connected component E of $X(k_\infty)$ such that

$$(E \times \prod_{v < \infty_k} W_v) \cap X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1(X)} \neq \emptyset$$

and $f(E)$ is unbounded. Since X admits an action of a torus T over k such that the generic fiber of f is a torsor under T , there is an open dense subset U of \mathbb{A}_k^1 over k with $V = f^{-1}(U)$ such that $f|_V : V \rightarrow U$ is a torsor under T . By Proposition 3.4, there is a finite subgroup $B \subset \text{Br}_1(V)$ such that

$$(E \times \prod_{v < \infty_k} W_v) \cap X_c(\mathbf{A}_k)^B \neq \emptyset \iff (E \times \prod_{v < \infty_k} W_v) \cap X_c(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_a(X_c)} \neq \emptyset$$

for all $c \in U(k)$. Let B_1 be a finite subgroup of $\text{Br}_1(V)$ as defined in Proposition 3.1 for B . Then there is $c_0 \in U(k)$ such that

$$(E \times \prod_{v < \infty_k} W_v) \cap X_{c_0}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{B+B_1} \neq \emptyset$$

by Proposition 3.1 and Remark 3.2. Therefore

$$(E \times \prod_{v < \infty_k} W_v) \cap X_{c_0}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_a(X_{c_0})} \neq \emptyset.$$

This implies that X_{c_0} is a trivial torsor by [46, Corollary 8.7] and

$$X_{c_0}(k) \cap (E \times W) \neq \emptyset$$

by [23, Theorem 3.19]. □

Corollary 3.6. *Let X be the smooth locus of the following affine variety*

$$\prod_{i=1}^m N_{L_i/k}(x_i) = c \prod_{j=1}^n p_j(t)^{e_j} \quad \text{with } c \in k^\times$$

where L_i/k 's are finite extensions of number fields and $p_j(t)$'s are distinct irreducible monic polynomials over k and e_j 's are positive integers. If for each $1 \leq j \leq n$ there is L_i such that either L_i can be imbedded in $k[t]/(p_j(t))$ over k or $[L_i : k] \mid e_j$ with $1 \leq i \leq m$, then X satisfies strong approximation off ∞_k with respect to $\text{Br}_1(X)$.

Proof. Consider the following homomorphism of tori

$$\phi : \prod_{i=1}^m \text{Res}_{L_i/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m; \quad (x_1, \dots, x_m) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^m N_{L_i/k}(x_i)$$

and $T = \ker \phi$. Then the surjective morphism

$$f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1; \quad (x, t) \mapsto t$$

with the action of T

$$T \times X \rightarrow X; \quad (\alpha, (x, t)) \mapsto (\alpha \cdot x, t).$$

For each $1 \leq j \leq n$, there is L_i such that either L_i can be imbedded in $k[t]/(p_j(t))$ over k or $[L_i : k] \mid e_j$ with $1 \leq i \leq m$. This implies that each $p_j(t)^{e_j}$ can be written as a norm from L_i to k with the variable t for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Since

$$X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1(X)} \neq \emptyset \quad \Rightarrow \quad c \in N_{L/k}(L^\times)$$

by [46, Theorem 5.1], one concludes that f has a section over k .

If there are a real prime $v \in \infty_k$ and L_i with $1 \leq i \leq m$ such that L_i has a real prime above v , then $X(k_v)$ is connected by inspecting the equation of X . Therefore one only needs to consider that k is totally real and all primes of L_i above ∞_k are complex for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. In this case, one can list all real roots of $p_i(t)^{e_i}$ with the odd integers e_i

$$-\infty < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_s < \infty.$$

Since the sign of $\prod_{i=1}^n p_i(t)^{e_i}$ will change when t crosses such a root, one can conclude that

$$f(E_v) \cap f(E'_v) = \emptyset$$

where E_v and E'_v are two different connected components of $X(k_v)$ for $v \in \infty_k$. On the other hand, the morphism f has a section. This implies that $X(k_v)$ is connected and $f(X(k_v)) = k_v$. □

Example 3.7. Let $a \in k^\times \setminus (k^\times)^2$. For any positive integer d , there is an irreducible polynomial $f(t)$ over k with $\deg(f) = 2d$ such that the affine Châtelet surface

$$x^2 - ay^2 = f(t)$$

satisfies strong approximation off ∞_k .

Proof. Let $L = k(\sqrt{a})$ and \mathbb{Q}^{ab} be the maximal abelian extension of \mathbb{Q} . Since

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{ab}/\mathbb{Q}) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times,$$

one concludes that $\mathrm{Gal}(k \cdot \mathbb{Q}^{ab}/k)$ is an open subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{ab}/\mathbb{Q})$ with a finite index. This implies that there is a Galois extension K/k containing L with $[K:k] = 2d$. Let $K = k(\theta)$ and $f(x)$ be an irreducible monic polynomial of θ over k . Write X a variety defined by the equation

$$x^2 - ay^2 = f(t).$$

Since $\bar{k}[X]^\times = \bar{k}^\times$ by [24, Proposition 2], one has

$$\mathrm{Br}_a(X) = H^1(k, \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\bar{k}}))$$

by [21, Lemma 2.1] and [39, Chapter 1, Corollary 4.21]. Let U be an open subset of X defined by $f(t) \neq 0$. Then $\mathrm{Pic}(U_{\bar{k}}) = 1$. Moreover, one has the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \bar{k}[U]^\times / \bar{k}^\times \xrightarrow{\mathrm{div}} \mathrm{Div}_{X_{\bar{k}} \setminus U_{\bar{k}}}(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow 1.$$

This implies that $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\bar{k}})$ is a free abelian group of rank $2d - 1$. By the inflation-restriction sequence, one further has

$$\mathrm{Br}_a(X) = H^1(k, \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\bar{k}})) = H^1(K/k, \mathrm{Pic}(X_K)).$$

Since $\mathrm{Pic}(U_K) = 1$, one has the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow K[U]^\times / K^\times \rightarrow \mathrm{Div}_{X_K \setminus U_K}(X_K) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(X_K) \rightarrow 1.$$

This implies the exact sequence

$$H^1(K/k, \mathrm{Div}_{X_K \setminus U_K}(X_K)) \rightarrow H^1(K/k, \mathrm{Pic}(X_K)) \rightarrow H^2(K/k, K[U]^\times / K^\times)$$

by Galois cohomology. Since $\mathrm{Div}_{X_K \setminus U_K}(X_K)$ is a permutation $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k)$ -module, one has

$$H^1(K/k, \mathrm{Div}_{X_K \setminus U_K}(X_K)) = 0$$

by Shapiro's lemma (see [42, (1.6.3) Proposition]). Since

$$K[U]^\times / K^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}(x - \sqrt{a}y) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K/k)} \mathbb{Z}(t - \sigma\theta) \right),$$

one concludes $H^1(K/L, K[U]^\times / K^\times) = 0$. Therefore one obtains the following the inflation-restriction sequence

$$1 \rightarrow H^2(L/k, (K[U]^\times / K^\times)^{\mathrm{Gal}(K/L)}) \rightarrow H^2(K/k, K[U]^\times / K^\times) \rightarrow H^2(K/L, K[U]^\times / K^\times)^{\mathrm{Gal}(L/k)}.$$

Since

$$H^2(K/L, K[U]^\times / K^\times) = H^2(K/L, \mathbb{Z}(x - \sqrt{a}y)) = H^1(K/L, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(x - \sqrt{a}y))$$

by Shapiro's lemma, one has

$$H^2(K/L, K[U]^\times/K^\times)^{\text{Gal}(L/k)} = \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(K/L), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(x - \sqrt{ay}))^{\text{Gal}(L/k)} = 0.$$

Note

$$(K[U]^\times/K^\times)^{\text{Gal}(K/L)} \cong \mathbb{Z}(x - \sqrt{ay}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}f_1(x) \oplus \mathbb{Z}f_2(x)$$

where

$$f_1(t) = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/L)} (t - \sigma\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(t) = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/k) \setminus \text{Gal}(K/L)} (t - \sigma\theta)$$

satisfying $\tau f_1(t) = f_2(t)$ for the non-trivial element $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/k)$. Since

$$\tau(x - \sqrt{ay}) = f_1(t) \cdot f_2(t) \cdot (x - \sqrt{ay})^{-1},$$

one concludes that

$$H^2(L/k, (K[U]^\times/K^\times)^{\text{Gal}(K/L)}) = \hat{H}^0(L/k, (K[U]^\times/K^\times)^{\text{Gal}(K/L)}) = 0.$$

Therefore $\text{Br}_a(X) = 0$. Since $f(t)$ is a monic polynomial, each connected component of $X(k_\infty)$ is not compact. The result follows from Corollary 3.6. \square

The local-global principle for integral points of Diophantine equations in [55] can be reduced to study strong approximation of

$$q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = p(t) \tag{3.8}$$

where $q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a non-degenerated quadratic form and $p(t)$ is a non-zero polynomial over a number field k . Indeed, strong approximation has been established in [22] and [58] for $n \geq 3$. The remaining $n = 2$ case is an affine Châtelet surface and [55, Theorem 4] can be recovered from the following example when the equation (3.8) is smooth.

Example 3.8. Let X be the smooth variety defined by (3.8) with $n = 2$ over k . If $q(x_1, x_2) = 0$ has a non-trivial solution over k and $p(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{v}$ is soluble for almost all primes v of k , then X satisfies strong approximation off ∞_k .

Proof. Since $q(x_1, x_2) = 0$ has a non-trivial solution over k , one can assume that

$$q(x_1, x_2) = x_1x_2.$$

Applying Corollary 3.6 for $m = 2$ and $L_1 = L_2 = k$, one concludes that X satisfies strong approximation off ∞_k with respect to $\text{Br}_1(X)$.

Let U be an open subset of X defined by $x_1 \neq 0$. Then $U \cong \mathbb{G}_m \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^1$ over k . This implies that $\bar{k}[U]^\times/\bar{k}^\times$ is free of rank 1 with a generator x_1 . Since $p(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{v}$ is soluble for almost all primes v of k , then $p(t)$ is not a constant. Therefore $x_1 \notin \bar{k}[X]^\times$ and $\bar{k}[X]^\times = \bar{k}^\times$. One concludes that

$$\text{Br}_a(X) = H^1(k, \text{Pic}(X_{\bar{k}})) \tag{3.9}$$

by [21, Lemma 2.1] and [39, Chapter 1, Corollary 4.21] and the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \bar{k}[U]^\times/\bar{k}^\times \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \text{Div}_{X_{\bar{k}} \setminus U_{\bar{k}}}(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow 1 \tag{3.10}$$

of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -modules. Since X is smooth, one can write

$$p(t) = c \prod_{j=1}^n p_j(t) \quad \text{and} \quad K_j = k[t]/(p_j(t))$$

with $c \in k^\times$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, where $p_j(t)$'s are distinct irreducible monic polynomials over k . Then

$$\text{Div}_{X_{\bar{k}} \setminus U_{\bar{k}}}(X_{\bar{k}}) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)}^{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/K_j)} \mathbb{Z}$$

as $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -modules. Since

$$H^1(k, \text{Div}_{X_{\bar{k}} \setminus U_{\bar{k}}}(X_{\bar{k}})) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H^2(k, \text{Div}_{X_{\bar{k}} \setminus U_{\bar{k}}}(X_{\bar{k}})) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n H^2(K_j, \mathbb{Z})$$

by Shapiro's lemma (see [42, (1.6.3) Proposition]) and

$$0 = H^1(k, \text{Div}_{X_{\bar{k}} \setminus U_{\bar{k}}}(X_{\bar{k}})) \rightarrow H^1(k, \text{Pic}(X_{\bar{k}})) \rightarrow H^2(k, \bar{k}[U]^\times / \bar{k}^\times) \rightarrow H^2(k, \text{Div}_{X_{\bar{k}} \setminus U_{\bar{k}}}(X_{\bar{k}}))$$

by applying Galois cohomology to (3.10), one obtains that

$$\text{Br}_a(X) \cong \ker(H^1(k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^n H^1(K_j, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))$$

by (3.9) and the identifications

$$H^2(k, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{and} \quad H^2(K_j, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(K_j, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

with $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Suppose $\text{Br}_a(X)$ is not trivial. There is

$$\psi \in \ker[\text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/K_j), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})] \quad (3.11)$$

such that $\ker(\psi) = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/L)$ where L/k is a finite abelian extension with $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k)$ and $\psi(\sigma) \neq 0$. By the Chebotarev density theorem, there are infinitely many primes \mathfrak{p} of k such that $\text{Frob}_{L/k}(\mathfrak{p}) = \sigma$. Since $p(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{v}$ is soluble for almost all primes v of k , there is a prime v_0 of k such that

$$\text{Frob}_{L/k}(v_0) = \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad (K_j)_w = k_{v_0}$$

for some $1 \leq j \leq n$ and some prime w of K_j above v_0 . This implies that

$$\text{Frob}_{LK_j/K_j}(w)|_{L/k} = \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(\sigma) = 0$$

by (3.11), which contradicts the choice of ψ . Therefore $\text{Br}_a(X)$ is trivial and X satisfies strong approximation off ∞_k . \square

It should be pointed out that the Brauer groups of certain affine Châtelet surfaces are computed with some algorithm for Brauer-Manin set in [1].

4. APPLICATION OF SCHINZEL HYPOTHESIS TO NON-SPLIT FIBRATIONS

We keep the same notations as those in the previous section if not explained. More precisely, we assume that X is a smooth and geometrically integral variety over a number field k . Let $X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{A}_k^1$ be a surjective morphism over k with geometrically integral generic fiber. The significant difference is that we do not assume that f is split in this section. Then the map on the adelic points $X(\mathbf{A}_k) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_k$ induced by f is not necessarily open. This prevents us from applying strong approximation for \mathbb{A}_k^1 to find a rational point over \mathbb{A}_k^1 .

Example 4.1. Let X be a smooth and geometrically integral variety defined by $x^2 + y^2 = t$ over \mathbb{Q} and consider the fibration $X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$ by sending $(x, y, t) \mapsto t$. For the prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $\text{ord}_p(t) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, the equation $x^2 + y^2 = t$ has no solution over \mathbb{Z}_p . There are infinitely many primes p such that the map $f : \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is not surjective. Therefore the map $X(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ induced by f is not open.

To overcome (D2) in §1, one can apply Schinzel's hypothesis. The hypothesis (H_1) in [20, pp. 71] is a consequence of Schinzel's hypothesis which is due to Serre by [20, Prop. 4.1]. One can further refine the hypothesis (H_1) to the following hypothesis with the signs.

Hypothesis (H_s) . Let $p_1(t), \dots, p_n(t)$ be irreducible polynomials over a number field k and $\epsilon_v \in \{\pm 1\}$ for each real prime $v \in \infty_k$. Then there is a finite subset S of Ω_k containing ∞_k satisfying the following property:

For any $\lambda_v \in k_v$ with $v \in S \setminus \infty_k$, there is $\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}_{k,S}$ close to λ_v for $v \in S \setminus \infty_k$ with arbitrarily large $\epsilon_v \lambda$ at all real primes v of k such that for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $p_i(\lambda)$ is a unit of k_w for all primes $w \notin S$ except one prime w_i , where $p_i(\lambda)$ is a uniformizing parameter.

Proposition 4.2. Schinzel hypothesis over \mathbb{Q} implies (H_s) over a number field k .

Proof. Fix $a \in k$ such that $\epsilon_v a > 0$ for all real primes $v \in \infty_k$ and write $P_i(t) = p_i(at)$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let S be a finite set of primes of k satisfying [20, Hypothesis (H_1)] for $P_1(t), \dots, P_n(t)$ and $a \in \mathfrak{o}_{k,S}$. For any given $\lambda_v \in k_v$ with $v \in S \setminus \infty_k$, there is $t_0 \in \mathfrak{o}_{k,S}$ close to $a^{-1} \lambda_v$ for $v \in S \setminus \infty_k$ and arbitrarily large at all real primes $v \in \infty_k$ such that for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $P_i(t_0)$ is a unit of k_w for all primes $w \notin S$ except one prime w_i , where $P_i(t_0)$ is a uniformizing parameter by [20, Prop.4.1]. Then one can choose $\lambda = at_0$ as desired. \square

Let U be an open dense subset of \mathbb{A}_k^1 over k such that $f|_V : V = f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is smooth with geometrically integral fibers. Write

$$\mathbb{A}_k^1 \setminus U = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$$

where P_1, \dots, P_n are the closed points over k and $k_i = k(P_i)$ are the residue fields of P_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $D_i = f^{-1}(P_i)$ and $\{D_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^{g_i}$ be the set of irreducible components of D_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. For any $b \in \text{Br}_1(V)$, one has

$$\partial_{D_{i,j}}(b) \in H^1(L_{i,j}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

where $L_{i,j}$ is the algebraic closure of k_i inside the function field $k_i(D_{i,j})$ for $1 \leq j \leq g_i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$.

The following result is an analogue of Proposition 3.1 without assuming that all fibers of f are split under Schinzel's hypothesis.

Proposition 4.3. *Let B be a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Br}_1(V)$. Suppose that $E = \prod_{v \in \infty_k} E_v$ is a connected component of $X(k_\infty)$ such that $f(E_v)$'s are unbounded for all real primes v of k . Assume that*

(i) *Each D_i contains an irreducible component $D_{i,1}$ of multiplicity 1 such that the algebraic closure L_i of k_i inside $k_i(D_{i,1})$ is cyclic over k_i with $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

(ii) *Hypothesis (H_s) holds.*

Let M_i/L_i be a finite abelian extension such that $\partial_{D_{i,1}}(B)|_{M_i} = 0$ and M_i/k_i is Galois for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let B_1 be a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Br}_1(V)$ such that the image of B_1 in $\mathrm{Br}_a(V)$ contains

$$f^*(\partial_U^{-1}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^1(M_i/k_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})))$$

by the commutative diagram (3.1)

Then

$$\bigcup_{c \in U(k)} X_c(\mathbf{A}_k)_{E_c}^{B+B_1} \quad \text{is dense in} \quad X(\mathbf{A}_k)_E^{(B+B_1) \cap \mathrm{Br}_1(X)}$$

where X_c is the fiber of f over $c \in U(k)$ and $E_c = E \cap X_c(k_\infty)$.

Proof. Write $\mathbb{A}_k^1 = \mathrm{Spec}(k[t])$ and $P_i = (p_i(t))$ where $p_i(t)$'s are the fixed irreducible polynomials over k . Let X' be an open subset of X over k such that the restriction $f|_{X'} : X' \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$ is smooth and $f|_{X'}^{-1}(P_i)$ contains the smooth part $D_{i,1}^{sm}$ of $D_{i,1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

For any open subset

$$W = E \times \prod_{v < \infty_k} W_v \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k) \quad \text{with} \quad W^{\mathrm{Br}_1(X)} \neq \emptyset,$$

there is a finite subset S_0 of Ω_k containing ∞_k such that the following conditions hold.

(a) The morphism $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$, the open immersions $U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$, $V \hookrightarrow X$ and $X' \hookrightarrow X$ are extended to their integral models over \mathfrak{o}_{k,S_0} with the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \mathcal{U} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{o}_{k,S_0}}^1 \end{array}$$

such that $f(\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{o}_{k_v})) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{o}_{k_v})$ for $v \notin S_0$ and the restriction $f|_{\mathcal{X}'} : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{o}_{k,S_0}}^1$ is smooth.

(b) The morphism $D_i \rightarrow P_i$ is extended to their integral models $\mathcal{D}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_i$ over \mathfrak{o}_{k,S_0} such that

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_{k,S_0}[t]/(p_i(t))) = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_{k_i,S_0})$$

is smooth over \mathfrak{o}_{k,S_0} and $\mathcal{D}_i = f^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Moreover,

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{o}_{k,S_0}}^1 \setminus \mathcal{U} = \{\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{V} = \{\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_n\}$$

(c) The closed immersion $D_{i,1} \hookrightarrow D_i$ is extended to their models $\mathcal{D}_{i,1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_i$ over \mathfrak{o}_{k,S_0} such that the smooth points over residue fields $\mathcal{D}_{i,1}^{sm}(k(w)) \neq \emptyset$ for all primes w in L_i with $(w \cap k) \notin S_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. All field extensions $M_i/L_i/k_i/k$ are unramified outside S_0 for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

(d) All elements in $(B + B_1) \cap \text{Br}(X)$ take the trivial value over $W_v = \mathcal{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{k_v})$ and all elements in $B + B_1$ take the trivial value over $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{o}_{k_v})$ for all $v \notin S_0$.

By the Chebotarev density theorem, there is a finite set T_i of primes of degree 1 over k in L_i with $|\text{Gal}(M_i/k_i)|$ elements such that

(1) for each $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(M_i/L_i)$, there are exactly $|\text{Gal}(L_i/k_i)|$ primes $\mathfrak{q} \in T_i$ with sufficiently large cardinalities of the residue fields and $\text{Frob}(\mathfrak{q}) = \sigma$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$;

(2) the set $S_i = \{\mathfrak{q} \cap k : \mathfrak{q} \in T_i\}$ has $|\text{Gal}(M_i/k_i)|$ primes with $S \cap S_i = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

Since all primes in T_i are of degree 1 over k , one concludes that $(L_i)_{\mathfrak{q}} = k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for each $\mathfrak{q} \in T_i$ with $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap k \in S_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Moreover, the scheme $\mathcal{D}_{i,1} \times_{\mathfrak{o}_{k,S}} \mathfrak{o}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ splits into geometrically irreducible components $\{\sigma \mathcal{D}_{i,\mathfrak{p}} : \sigma \in \text{Gal}(L_i/k_i)\}$ over $\mathfrak{o}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ by (b) and (c), where $\mathcal{D}_{i,\mathfrak{p}}$ is a fixed geometrically irreducible component of $\mathcal{D}_{i,1} \times_{\mathfrak{o}_{k,S}} \mathfrak{o}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in S_i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since the polynomial $p_i(t)$ has a root over $\mathfrak{o}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in S_i$ by (b) and (c), one obtains $t_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{o}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ with $\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(p_i(t_{\mathfrak{p}})) = 1$ such that there is $x_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{X}'_{t_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathfrak{o}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}}) \subset \mathcal{X}'(\mathfrak{o}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}})$ satisfying

$$x_{\mathfrak{p}} \bmod \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{D}_{i,\mathfrak{p}}^{sm}(k(\mathfrak{p})) \subset \mathcal{X}'_{t_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}))$$

by (1), the Lang-Weil's estimation (see [45, Theorem 7.7.1]), (a) and Hensel's lemma for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

For any real prime $v \in \infty_k$, there is a connected component C_v of $V(k_v)$ such that $f(E_v \cap C_v)$ is unbounded. We define

$$W_v = \begin{cases} E_v \cap C_v & v \text{ real prime in } \infty_k \\ E_v \cap V(k_v) & v \text{ complex prime in } \infty_k. \end{cases}$$

By Harari's formal lemma (see [11, Theorem 1.4]), there is a finite set S of primes of k containing $\cup_{i=0}^n S_i$ with

$$\begin{cases} x_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{X}'_{t_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathfrak{o}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}}) & \text{as above for } \mathfrak{p} \in \cup_{i=1}^n S_i \\ x_v \in W_v & \text{for } v \in S \setminus (\cup_{i=1}^n S_i) \end{cases}$$

such that

$$\sum_{v \in S} \xi(x_v) = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

for all $\xi \in (B + B_1)$. By shrinking W_v for $v \in S \setminus \infty_k$, one can assume that each element in $B + B_1$ takes a single value over W_v and $f(W_v)$ is open in k_v .

By (ii), there is $t_0 \in \mathfrak{o}_{k,S}$ close to $f(x_v)$ for $v \in S \setminus \infty_k$ and $t_0 \in f(W_v)$ for $v \in \infty_k$ such that $\text{ord}_v(p_i(t_0)) = 0$ for all $v \notin S$ except one prime v_i of k with $\text{ord}_{v_i}(p_i(t_0)) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Write

$$p_i(t) = \prod_{j=1}^g q_j(t)$$

where $q_j(t)$ are irreducible polynomials over $\mathfrak{o}_{k_{v_i}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq g$. There are g primes w_1, \dots, w_g of k_i above v_i corresponding to irreducible polynomials $q_1(t), \dots, q_g(t)$ respectively. Since $\text{ord}_{v_i}(p_i(t_0)) = 1$, there is $1 \leq j_0 \leq g$ such that

$$\text{ord}_{v_i}(q_{j_0}(t_0)) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ord}_{v_i}(q_j(t_0)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } j \neq j_0. \quad (4.2)$$

Then $q_{j_0}(t)$ is of degree 1 by (b) and Hensel's lemma and w_{j_0} is a prime of degree 1 over v_i .

Let α_i be the image of t in $k[t]/(p_i(t)) = k_i$. Then

$$\text{Cores}_{k_i/k}(\chi, t - \alpha_i) \in \text{Br}_1(U) \quad \text{with} \quad f^*(\text{Cores}_{k_i/k}(\chi, t - \alpha_i)) \in B_1$$

for any $\chi \in H^1(L_i/k_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ by [20, §1.2]. Since

$$0 = \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \text{Cores}_{k_i/k}(\chi, t_0 - \alpha_i)_v = \text{Cores}_{k_i/k}(\chi, t_0 - \alpha_i)_{v_i} + \sum_{v \in S} \text{Cores}_{k_i/k}(\chi, t_0 - \alpha_i)_v$$

by the reciprocity law, (b) and (c), one concludes that

$$\sum_{j=1}^g (\chi, t_0 - \alpha_i)_{w_j} = - \sum_{v \in S} \text{Cores}(\chi, t_v - \alpha_i)_v = - \sum_{v \in S} f^*(\text{Cores}_{k_i/k}(\chi, t - \alpha_i))(x_v) = 0$$

by (4.1) and the functoriality of Brauer-Manin pairing. By (4.2), one obtains that

$$(\chi, t_0 - \alpha_i)_{w_{j_0}} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \chi \in H^1(L_i/k_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

Since L_i/k_i is abelian, one concludes that w_{j_0} splits completely in L_i/k_i . There is

$$y_{v_i} \in \mathcal{X}'_{t_0}(\mathfrak{o}_{k_{v_i}}) \subset \mathcal{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{k_{v_i}}) \quad \text{such that} \quad y_{v_i} \bmod v_i \in \mathcal{D}_{i,v_i}^{sm}(k(v_i)) \subset \mathcal{X}'_{t_0}(k(v_i))$$

by Hensel's lemma and (a) and (c) for $1 \leq i \leq n$. One can extend these y_{v_i} to

$$(y_v)_{v \in \Omega_k} \in X_{t_0}(\mathbf{A}_k) \cap W$$

by taking any element in $W_v \cap X_{t_0}(k_v)$ for $v \in S$ and any element in $\mathcal{X}_{t_0}(\mathfrak{o}_{k_v})$ for the rest of primes v of k . Then

$$\sum_{v \in \Omega_k} b(y_v) = \sum_{v \notin S} b(y_v) = \sum_{i=1}^n b(y_{v_i})$$

by (4.1) and (d) for all $b \in (B + B_1)$. By [28, Corollary 2.4.3] and the choice of y_{v_i} , there is $\tau_i \in \text{Gal}(M_i/k_i)$ such that

$$b(y_{v_i}) = \partial_{D_{i,1}}(b)(\tau_i)$$

for all $b \in B + B_1$. Therefore

$$\sum_{v \in \Omega_k} b(y_v) = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{D_{i,1}}(b)(\tau_i) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \quad (4.3)$$

with $(\tau_i)_{i=1}^n \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{Gal}(M_i/k_i)$ for all $b \in (B + B_1)$. Since

$$\sum_{v \in \Omega_k} f^*(\xi)(y_v) = \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \xi(t_0) = 0$$

for all $\xi \in \partial_U^{-1}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^1(M_i/k_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))$ by the functoriality of Brauer-Manin pairing and the reciprocity law, one obtains

$$\chi((\tau_i)_{i=1}^n) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \chi \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^1(M_i/k_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

by (3.1) and (4.3). This implies that $(\tau_i)_{i=1}^n \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n [\text{Gal}(M_i/k_i), \text{Gal}(M_i/k_i)]$. Write

$$[\text{Gal}(L_i/k_i), \text{Gal}(M_i/L_i)] = \left\{ \prod_{\gamma \in \text{Gal}(L_i/k_i)} (\gamma \circ \sigma_\gamma) \sigma_\gamma^{-1} : \sigma_\gamma \in \text{Gal}(M_i/L_i) \right\}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then

$$[\text{Gal}(L_i/k_i), \text{Gal}(M_i/L_i)] \subseteq [\text{Gal}(M_i/k_i), \text{Gal}(M_i/k_i)] \subseteq \text{Gal}(M_i/L_i).$$

Since $\text{Gal}(L_i/k_i)$ is cyclic, one has

$$H^2(L_i/k_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = H^3(L_i/k_i, \mathbb{Z}) = H^1(L_i/k_i, \mathbb{Z}) = 0.$$

This implies the restriction map

$$H^1(M_i/k_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(M_i/L_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^{\text{Gal}(L_i/k_i)}$$

is surjective for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore the natural map

$$\text{Gal}(M_i/L_i) / [\text{Gal}(L_i/k_i), \text{Gal}(M_i/L_i)] \rightarrow \text{Gal}(M_i/k_i) / [\text{Gal}(M_i/k_i), \text{Gal}(M_i/k_i)]$$

is injective by the duality. One concludes that

$$[\text{Gal}(L_i/k_i), \text{Gal}(M_i/L_i)] = [\text{Gal}(M_i/k_i), \text{Gal}(M_i/k_i)]$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then

$$\tau_i = \prod_{\gamma_i \in \text{Gal}(L_i/k_i)} (\gamma_i \circ \sigma_{\gamma_i}) \sigma_{\gamma_i}^{-1} \quad \text{with } \sigma_{\gamma_i} \in \text{Gal}(M_i/L_i) \quad (4.4)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

For each pair $(\gamma_i, \sigma_{\gamma_i}) \in \text{Gal}(L_i/k_i) \times \text{Gal}(M_i/L_i)$ in (4.4), there is $\mathfrak{q} \in T_i$ such that

$$\sigma_{\gamma_i}^{-1} = \text{Frob}(\mathfrak{q}) \quad \text{and} \quad (L_i)_{\mathfrak{q}} = k_{\mathfrak{p}} \quad \text{with } \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap k$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(p_i(t_0)) = 1$, there is

$$y'_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{X}'_{t_0}(\mathfrak{o}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}}) \subset \mathcal{X}'_{t_0}(\mathfrak{o}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}}) \quad \text{such that} \quad y'_{\mathfrak{p}} \bmod \mathfrak{p} \in \gamma_i \mathcal{D}_{i,\mathfrak{p}}^{sm}(k(\mathfrak{p})) \subset \mathcal{X}'_{t_0}(k(\mathfrak{p}))$$

by Hensel's lemma and (a) and (c) for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Define

$$z_v = \begin{cases} y'_{\mathfrak{p}} & \text{if } v = \mathfrak{p} \text{ from a pair } (\gamma_i, \sigma_{\gamma_i}) \text{ in (4.4) with } 1 \leq i \leq n \\ y_v & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $(z_v)_{v \in \Omega_k} \in X_{t_0}(\mathbf{A}_k) \cap W$ by (d). For \mathfrak{p} from a pair $(\gamma_i, \sigma_{\gamma_i})$ from (4.4), one has

$$b(y'_{\mathfrak{p}}) = e(\mathfrak{p}) \partial_{D_i}(b)(\text{Frob}(y'_{\mathfrak{p}})) = \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(p_i(t_0)) \partial_{D_i}(b)(\gamma_i \circ \sigma_{\gamma_i}^{-1}) = -\partial_{D_i}(b)(\gamma_i \circ \sigma_{\gamma_i}) \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$b(y_{\mathfrak{p}}) = e(\mathfrak{p}) \partial_{D_i}(b)(\text{Frob}(y_{\mathfrak{p}})) = \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(p_i(t_0)) \partial_{D_i}(b)(\sigma_{\gamma_i}^{-1}) = -\partial_{D_i}(b)(\sigma_{\gamma_i}) \quad (4.6)$$

for all $b \in (B + B_1)$ by [28, Corollary 2.4.3 and p.244-245] with $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} b(z_v) &= \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} b(y_v) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\gamma_i \in \text{Gal}(L_i/k_i)} b(y_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\gamma_i \in \text{Gal}(L_i/k_i)} b(y'_{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{D_i}(b)(\tau_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\gamma_i \in \Gamma_i} \partial_{D_i}(b)(\sigma_{\gamma_i}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\gamma_i \in \text{Gal}(L_i/k_i)} \partial_{D_i}(b)(\gamma_i \circ \sigma_{\gamma_i}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $b \in (B + B_1)$ by (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6). This implies that

$$(z_v)_{v \in \Omega_k} \in (X_{t_0}(\mathbf{A}_k)_{E_c}^{B+B_1} \cap W) \neq \emptyset$$

as desired. \square

Remark 4.4. The assumption that L_i/k_i is cyclic for $1 \leq i \leq n$ is needed only for proving the equality

$$[\text{Gal}(M_i/k_i), \text{Gal}(M_i/k_i)] = \left\{ \prod_{\gamma \in \text{Gal}(L_i/k_i)} (\gamma \circ \sigma_{\gamma}) \sigma_{\gamma}^{-1} : \sigma_{\gamma} \in \text{Gal}(M_i/L_i) \right\}$$

in the proof of Proposition 4.3. This equality is equivalent to that the inflation map

$$H^2(L_i/k_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(M_i/k_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

is injective. In this case, the assumption that L_i/k_i is abelian will be enough for Proposition 4.3.

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{A}_k^1$ be a surjective morphism over a number field k . Suppose that X admits an action of a torus T over k such that $f^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{f} U$ is a torsor under T where U is an open dense subset of \mathbb{A}_k^1 over k . Write $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \setminus U = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ where P_1, \dots, P_n are the closed points over k and $k_i = k(P_i)$ are the residue fields of P_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Assume that*

i) Each $f^{-1}(P_i)$ contains an irreducible component of multiplicity 1 such that the algebraic closure L_i of k_i inside the function field of this component is cyclic over k_i with $1 \leq i \leq n$.

ii) Each equivalent class of admissible connected components of $X(k_{\infty})$ contains a connected component $E = \prod_{v \in \infty_k} E_v$ such that all $f(E_v)$'s are unbounded over all real primes v .

iii) Hypothesis (H_s) holds.

Then X satisfies strong approximation off ∞_k with respect to $\text{Br}_a(X)$.

Proof. It follows from the same proof of Theorem 3.5 by replacing Proposition 3.1 with Proposition 4.3. \square

It should be pointed out that weak approximation for varieties satisfying Theorem 4.5 is not known before (see [57]). Applying the above theorem to the norm equation in (1.1), one gets the following result which improves [27, Theorem 2] and [40, Theorem 1.1].

Corollary 4.6. *Let X be the smooth part of the following equation*

$$\prod_{i=1}^m N_{L_i/k}(x_i) = c \prod_{j=1}^n p_j(t)^{e_j} \quad \text{with } c \in k^\times$$

where L_i/k 's are finite extensions of number fields and $p_j(t)$'s are distinct irreducible monic polynomials over k and e_j 's are positive integers. Assume that one of extensions L_i/k for $1 \leq i \leq m$ is cyclic. Suppose there is a connected component $E = \prod_{v \in \infty_k} E_v$ in each equivalent class of admissible connected components of $X(k_\infty)$ such that the projection of t -coordinate of E_v is unbounded for all real primes $v \in \infty_k$. If Schinzel's hypothesis holds, then X satisfies strong approximation off ∞_k with respect to $\text{Br}_1(X)$.

Proof. It follows from the same proof of Corollary 3.6 by replacing Theorem 3.5 with Theorem 4.5. \square

5. FIBRATION OVER \mathbb{P}^1 WITH AN ACTION OF TORUS

Harpaz and Wittenberg proposed a conjectures in [31, Conjecture 9.1 and 9.2] related with

- a set of distinct irreducible polynomials $\{p_1(t), \dots, p_n(t)\}$ over k with $k_i = k[t]/(p_i(t))$ and $a_i \in k_i$ representing the class of t for $1 \leq i \leq n$;
- a set of finite extensions $\{L_1/k_1, \dots, L_n/k_n\}$ and $b_i \in k_i^\times$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Consider the morphism

$$\Phi : (\mathbb{A}_k^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}) \times_k \prod_{i=1}^n (\text{Res}_{L_i/k}(\mathbb{A}_{L_i}^1) \setminus F_i) \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Res}_{k_i/k}(\mathbb{A}_{k_i}^1)$$

given by

$$(\lambda, \mu, x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (b_i(\lambda - a_i\mu) - N_{L_i/k_i}(x_i))_{1 \leq i \leq n}$$

where F_i is the singular locus of the variety of $\text{Res}_{L_i/k}(\mathbb{A}_{L_i}^1) \setminus \text{Res}_{L_i/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m, L_i})$. They define

$$W = \Phi^{-1}(0, \dots, 0) \tag{5.1}$$

in [31, §9.2.2.] and show that [31, Conjecture 9.1] holds if W satisfies strong approximation off any finite prime (see [31, Corollary 9.10]).

In this section, we apply Proposition 3.4 to study the fibration over \mathbb{P}^1 with an action of torus and use [31, Conjecture 9.1 and 9.2] to establish strong approximation of W .

The following result is due to Sansuc [47, Theorem 4.1], which provides the explicit version of Waldschmidt's result in [53] and [54].

Lemma 5.1. *Let \mathfrak{m} be an integral ideal of a number field k . Suppose $\{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$ is a set of finite primes of k above a set of primes $\{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$ of \mathbb{Q} such that each p_i splits completely in Galois closure of k/\mathbb{Q} with $v_i \nmid \mathfrak{m}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. If $s > [k : \mathbb{Q}]^2 - [k : \mathbb{Q}] + 1$, then the set*

$$\{x \in \mathfrak{o}_{k, S_0}^\times : x \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}, \quad x \text{ totally positive at real primes}\}$$

is dense in the connected component of 1 in $(k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})^\times$ with $S_0 = \{v_1, \dots, v_s\} \cup \infty_k$.

One can apply Lemma 5.1 to established strong approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction for tori with the property of approximation at archimedean primes.

Theorem 5.2. *Let T be a torus over a number field k . If S is a finite subset of Ω_k , there is a finite subset S_0 of Ω_k with $S_0 \cap S = \emptyset$ and $|S_0|$ independent of S such that T satisfies strong approximation with respect to $\text{Br}_1(T)$ off S_0 .*

Proof. We first prove the case that T is a quasi-trivial torus. Without loss of generality, we assume that $T = \text{Res}_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ where K/k is a finite extension. Choose a set of primes $\{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$ of \mathbb{Q} with $s > [K : \mathbb{Q}]^2 - [K : \mathbb{Q}] + 1$ such that each p_i splits completely in Galois closure of K/\mathbb{Q} and any prime in S is not above p_i for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Let S_0 be a set of all primes of k above p_i for $1 \leq i \leq s$.

For any open subset $\prod_{v \in S_0} T(k_v) \times \prod_{v \notin S_0} U_v$ in $T(\mathbf{A}_k)$ with

$$\left(\prod_{v \in S_0} T(k_v) \times \prod_{v \notin S_0} U_v \right) \cap T(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1(T)} \neq \emptyset,$$

there is $x \in T(k) = K^\times$ such that

$$(x \cdot T^+(k_\infty)) \cap \left(\prod_{v \in S_0} T(k_v) \times \prod_{v \notin S_0} U_v \right) \neq \emptyset$$

by [23, Theorem 3.19], where

$$T^+(k_\infty) = \prod_{v \in \infty_k} T^+(k_v)$$

is the connected component of 1 in $T(k_\infty) = (K \otimes_k k_\infty)^\times$.

Let S_1 be a finite subset of Ω_k with $\infty_k \subseteq S_1$ and $S_1 \cap S_0 = \emptyset$ such that

$$U_v = (\mathfrak{o}_K \times_{\mathfrak{o}_k} \mathfrak{o}_{k_v})^\times = \prod_{w|v} \mathfrak{o}_{K_w}^\times$$

for any $v \notin S_1 \cup S_0$. Let \mathfrak{m} be an integral ideal of \mathfrak{o}_K consisting of the primes above $S_1 \setminus \infty_k$ such that

$$x(1 + \mathfrak{m}) \subseteq U_v$$

for all $v \in S_1 \setminus \infty_k$. Let \tilde{S}_0 be the set of primes of K above S_0 and ∞_k . There is $y \in \mathfrak{o}_{K, \tilde{S}_0}^\times$ with $y \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ such that

$$y \in \left((x^{-1} \cdot \prod_{v \in \infty_k} U_v) \cap T^+(k_\infty) \right) \subseteq T^+(k_\infty)$$

by applying Lemma 5.1. This implies that

$$xy \in T(k) \cap \left(\prod_{v \in S_0} k_v^\times \times \prod_{v \notin S_0} U_v \right)$$

as desired.

For a general torus T , one consider a flasque resolution of T in sense of [12, Proposition-Definition 3.1]

$$1 \rightarrow T_1 \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow 1$$

where T_0 is a quasi-trivial torus over k and T_1 is a flasque torus over k . Since T_0 satisfies strong approximation with respect to $\mathrm{Br}_1(T_0)$ off S_0 as above, one concludes that T satisfies strong approximation with respect to $\mathrm{Br}_1(T)$ off S_0 by the decent relation in [7, Theorem 5.1]. \square

Corollary 5.3. *Let G be a connected linear algebraic group over a number field k . If S is a finite subset of Ω_k , there is a finite subset S_0 of Ω_k with $S_0 \cap S = \emptyset$ and $|S_0|$ independent of S such that G satisfies strong approximation with respect to $\mathrm{Br}_1(G)$ off S_0 .*

Proof. Since

$$1 \rightarrow G^u \rightarrow G \rightarrow G^{\mathrm{red}} \rightarrow 1$$

where G^u is the unipotent radical of G , one can assume $G = G^{\mathrm{red}}$ by [9, Lemma 2.1] and [7, Proposition 4.1]. By [12, Proposition-Definition 3.1] and [7, Theorem 5.1], one can further assume that G is reductive and quasi-trivial. Then one has the following short exact sequence of algebraic groups

$$1 \rightarrow [G, G] \rightarrow G \rightarrow T \rightarrow 1$$

where $[G, G]$ is semi-simple and simply connected and T is quasi-trivial. Enlarge S_0 such that each simple factor of $[G, G]$ is isotropic over S_0 with $S_0 \cap S = \emptyset$. Since $[G, G]$ satisfies strong approximation off S_0 by [44, Theorem 7.12], one obtains the desired result by Theorem 5.2, [7, Proposition 4.1], [9, Lemma 2.1] and [51, Theorem 5.1.1(e)]. \square

The main result of this section is the following result.

Theorem 5.4. *Let X be a smooth variety with an action of a torus T over a number field k . Suppose that $X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{P}^1$ is a morphism over k such that all fibres of f contain an irreducible component of multiplicity 1. Assume that there is an open dense subset $U \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ over k such that $f^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{f} U$ is a torsor under T and $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus U = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ contains a rational point.*

(1) *If Conjecture 9.1 in [31] is true for $\{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$, then for any finite subset S of Ω_k there is a finite subset S_0 of Ω_k with $S \cap S_0 = \emptyset$ and $|S_0|$ independent of S such that X satisfies strong approximation with respect to $\mathrm{Br}_1(X)$ off S_0 .*

(2) *If Conjecture 9.1 in [31] is true for $\{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$, then $T(k_\infty)^+ \cdot f^{-1}(U)(k)$ is dense in $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_1(X)}$ where $T(k_\infty)^+$ is the connected component of identity of Lie group $T(k_\infty)$.*

(3) *If Conjecture 9.2 in [31] is true for $\{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$, then $f^{-1}(U)(k)$ is dense in $X(\mathbf{A}_k)_\bullet^{\mathrm{Br}_1(X)}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.2, there exists a finite subset S_0 of Ω_k with $S \cap S_0 = \emptyset$ such that $T(k_\infty)^+$ can be approximated by the elements in $T(k) \cdot \prod_{v \in S_0} T(k_v)$. The statement (2) gives

$$X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_1(X)} = \overline{T(k_\infty)^+ \cdot f^{-1}(U)(k)} \subset \overline{\left(\prod_{v \in S_0} T(k_v) \right) \cdot X(k)} \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k).$$

This implies that the statement (1) holds. We only need to show (2) and (3).

Let E be a connected component of $X(k_\infty)$ and W be an open compact subset of $X(\mathbf{A}_k^f)$ such that

$$(E \times W) \cap X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_1(X)} \neq \emptyset.$$

Since $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus U = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ contains a rational point, one concludes that U is quasi-trivial. By Proposition 3.4, there is a finite subgroup $B \subset \text{Br}_1(f^{-1}(U))$ such that

$$(E \times W) \cap X_c(\mathbf{A}_k)^B \neq \emptyset \iff (E \times W) \cap X_c(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Bra}(X_c)} \neq \emptyset$$

for all $c \in U(k)$.

For (2), there is $u \in U(k)$ such that

$$X_u(\mathbf{A}_k)^B \cap (E \times W) \neq \emptyset$$

by [31, Theorem 9.17]. Therefore

$$(E \times W) \cap X_u(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Bra}(X_u)} \neq \emptyset.$$

This implies that X_u is a trivial torsor under T over k by [51, Theorem 5.2.1]. Moreover, there are $g_\infty \in T(k_\infty)^+$ and $x \in X_u(k) \subset f^{-1}(U)(k)$ such that $g_\infty \cdot x \in W$ by [29, Theorem].

For (3), one also can apply [31, Theorem 9.17]. The properness of f is only used to check that a connected component of $f^{-1}(U)(\mathbb{R})$ maps onto a connected component of $U(\mathbb{R})$ in [31, pp. 281, line 12]. This is true in our situation by Hilbert 90. Then there is $u \in U(k)$ such that

$$X_u(\mathbf{A}_k)_\bullet^B \cap (E \times W) \neq \emptyset.$$

By the same argument as above (2), one concludes

$$[(f^{-1}(U)(k) \cap (E \times W))] \supset [X_u(k) \cap (E \times W)] \neq \emptyset$$

as desired. \square

Consider the surjective homomorphism of tori

$$\psi : \mathbb{G}_m \times \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Res}_{L_i/k} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Res}_{k_i/k} \mathbb{G}_m; \quad (\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \mapsto (\alpha^{-1} \cdot N_{L_i/k_i} \alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$$

where N_{L_i/k_i} is the norm map for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\ker(\psi)$ acts on W by

$$(\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \circ (\lambda, \mu, x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\alpha \cdot \lambda, \alpha \cdot \mu, \alpha_1 \cdot x_1, \dots, \alpha_n \cdot x_n).$$

Let $f : W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the fibration given by $(\lambda, \mu, x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto [\lambda, \mu]$ and

$$U = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{(p_1(t)), \dots, (p_n(t))\}$$

where $p_i(t)$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$ are the irreducible polynomials with $\lambda = t\mu$. Then $f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a torsor under $\ker(\psi)$.

Lemma 5.5. *Let W be defined as (5.1). Then*

$$\bar{k}[W]^\times = \bar{k}^\times, \quad \text{Pic}(W_{\bar{k}}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Br}_1(W) = \text{Br}(k)$$

where \bar{k} is an algebraic closure of k .

Proof. Consider the variety W_1 over \bar{k} defined by the following equations

$$\prod_{j=1}^{d_i} z_{i,\sigma_i}^{(j)} = \sigma_i(b_i)(\lambda - \sigma_i(a_i)\mu) \tag{5.2}$$

where σ_i runs over all embeddings from k_i/k to \bar{k}/k and $d_i = [L_i : k_i]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. For any fixed σ_i with $1 \leq i \leq n$, the variety defined by a single equation of (5.2) is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_{\bar{k}}^{d_i+1}$. This implies

$$(\bar{k}[z_{i,\sigma_i}^{(1)}, \dots, z_{i,\sigma_i}^{(d_i)}, \lambda, \mu] / (\prod_{j=1}^{d_i} z_{i,\sigma_i}^{(j)} - \sigma_i(b_i)(\lambda - \sigma_i(a_i)\mu)))^\times = \bar{k}^\times. \quad (5.3)$$

We claim that $\bar{k}[W_1]^\times = \bar{k}^\times$. Indeed, suppose $u \in (\bar{k}[W]^\times \setminus \bar{k}^\times)$, there is σ_{i_0} with $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$ such that the specialization of u with $z_{i,\tau}^{(j)} \in \bar{k}$ for all $\tau \neq \sigma_{i_0}$ and $1 \leq j \leq d_i$ is not a constant. This contradicts to (5.3) and the claim follows. Since $W_{\bar{k}}$ is obtained by removing a closed subset of W_1 of codimension bigger than 1, one concludes that $\bar{k}[W]^\times = \bar{k}[W_1]^\times = \bar{k}^\times$.

Since $\text{Pic}(U_{\bar{k}}) = \text{Pic}(\ker(\psi)_{\bar{k}}) = 0$, one obtains that $\text{Pic}(f^{-1}(U)_{\bar{k}}) = 0$ and

$$1 \rightarrow \bar{k}[U]^\times \rightarrow \bar{k}[f^{-1}(U)]^\times \rightarrow \bar{k}[\ker(\psi)]^\times \rightarrow 1$$

by [46, Prop.6.10]. Therefore

$$\text{Pic}(W_{\bar{k}}) = \text{coker}(\bar{k}[f^{-1}(U)]^\times \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \text{Div}_{W_{\bar{k}} \setminus f^{-1}(U)_{\bar{k}}} W_{\bar{k}})$$

and $\{z_{i,\sigma_i}^{(j)}\}$ is a basis of the free abelian group $\bar{k}[f^{-1}(U)]^\times / \bar{k}^\times$ where σ_i runs over all embeddings from k_i/k to \bar{k}/k and $1 \leq j \leq d_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $W \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{P}^1$ is faithful flat, one gets that $\text{Div}_{W_{\bar{k}} \setminus f^{-1}(U)_{\bar{k}}} W_{\bar{k}}$ is a free abelian group with a basis $\{f^{-1}(\lambda - \sigma_i(a_i)\mu)\}$ where σ_i runs over all embeddings from k_i/k to \bar{k}/k with $1 \leq i \leq n$. By the equation (5.2), one concludes that $\text{Pic}(W_{\bar{k}}) = 0$. By the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence (see [46, Lemma 6.3 (i)]), one has $\text{Br}_1(W) = \text{Br}(k)$. \square

Corollary 5.6. *Let W be defined by (5.1) and one of polynomials $\{p_1(t), \dots, p_n(t)\}$ be of degree one.*

(1) *If [31, Conjecture 9.1] holds for $\{p_1(t), \dots, p_n(t)\}$, then for any finite subset S of Ω_k , there is a finite subset S_0 of Ω_k with $S \cap S_0 = \emptyset$ and $|S_0|$ independent of S such that W satisfies strong approximation off S_0 .*

(2) *If [31, Conjecture 9.2] holds for $\{p_1(t), \dots, p_n(t)\}$, then $W(k)$ is dense in $W(\mathbf{A}_k)_\bullet$.*

Proof. The results follow from Theorem 5.4 and Lemma 5.5. \square

Acknowledgements. This work has benefited a lot from the program "Reinventing rational points" in IHP. We would like to thank J.-L. Colliot-Thélène for his useful comments and Y. Harpaz and O. Wittenberg for pointing out an error in Theorem 5.4 on the early version of this paper. The first named author is supported by Alexander von Humboldt foundation, the second and the third named authors are supported by NSFC grant no.11631009.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Berg: *Obstructions to integral points on affine Chatelet surfaces*, arXiv:1710.07969v1 (2017).
- [2] M. Borovoi et C. Demarche: *Manin obstruction to strong approximation for homogeneous spaces*, Comment. Math. Hev. 88 (2013), 1-54.
- [3] T. Browning and R. Heath-Brown: *Quadratic polynomials represented by norm forms*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 22 (2012), 1124-1190.

- [4] T. Browning and L. Matthiesen: *Norm forms for arbitrary number fields as products of linear polynomials*, arXiv:1307.7641 (2013).
- [5] T. Browning, L. Matthiesen and A. Skorobogatov: *Rational points on pencils of conics and quadrics with many degenerate fibres*, Ann. of Math. 180 (2014), 381-402.
- [6] Y. Cao: *Approximation forte pour les variétés avec une action d'un groupe linéaire*, Compositio Math. 154 (2018), 773-819.
- [7] Y. Cao, Y. Liang and F. Xu: *Arithmetic purity of strong approximation*, J.Math. Pures Appl. 132 (2019), 334-368.
- [8] Y. Cao, F. Xu: *Strong approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction for groupic varieties*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 117 (2018), 727-750.
- [9] Y. Cao, C. Demarche, F. Xu: *Comparing descent obstruction and Brauer-Manin obstruction for open varieties*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 371 (2019), 8625-8650.
- [10] J-L. Colliot-Thélène: *Birational invariants, purity and the Gersten conjecture*, K-Theory and Algebraic Geometry: Connections with Quadratic Forms and Division Algebras, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics 58, Part I (1995) 1-64.
- [11] J-L. Colliot-Thélène: *Points rationnels sur les fibrations*, Higher dimensional varieties and rational points, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., 12, Springer, Berlin, (2003) 171-221.
- [12] J-L. Colliot-Thélène: *Résolutions flasques des groupes linéaires connexes*, J. reine angew. Math. 618 (2008), 77-133.
- [13] J-L. Colliot-Thélène et D. Harari: *Approximation forte en famille*, J. reine angew. Math. 710 (2016), 173-198.
- [14] J-L. Colliot-Thélène, D. Harari and A. Skorobogatov: *Valeurs d'un polynôme à une variable représentées par norme*, Number Theory and Algebraic Geometry, London Math.Soc. Lecture Note Series 303 (Cambridge University Press 2003) 68-89.
- [15] J-L. Colliot-Thélène et J.-J. Sansuc: *Sur le principe de Hasse et l'approximation faible, et sur une hypothèse de Schinzel*, Acta Arith. 41 (1982) 33-53.
- [16] J-L. Colliot-Thélène et J.-J. Sansuc: *La descente sur les variétés rationnelles, II*, Duke Math. J. 54 (1987) 375-492.
- [17] J.L. Colliot-Thélène, J.L. Sansuc and P. Swinnerton-Dyer: *Intersections of two quadrics and Chatelet surfaces I, II* J. für die reine und angew. Mathematik 373 (1987) 37-107, 374 (1987) 72-168.
- [18] J.L. Colliot-Thélène and P. Salberger: *Arithmetic on some singular cubic hypersurfaces* Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 58 (1989) 519-549.
- [19] J.L. Colliot-Thélène, A.N. Skorobogatov and P. Swinnerton-Dyer: *Rational points and zero-cycles on fibred varieties: Schinzel hypothesis and Salberger's device*, J. für die reine und angew. Mathematik 495 (1998) 1-28.
- [20] J.L. Colliot-Thélène, P. Swinnerton-Dyer: *Hasse principle and weak approximation for pencils of Severi-Brauer and similar varieties*, J. für die reine und angew. Mathematik 453 (1994) 49-112.
- [21] J-L. Colliot-Thélène et F. Xu: *Brauer-Manin obstruction for integral points of homogeneous spaces and representations by integral quadratic forms*, Compos. Math. 145(2009) 309-363.
- [22] J-L. Colliot-Thélène, F. Xu: *Strong approximation for the total space of certain quadric fibrations*, Acta Arithmetica 157 (2013), 169-199.
- [23] C. Demarche: *Le défaut d'approximation forte dans les groupes linéaires connexes*, Proc.London Math. Soc. 102 (2011), 563-597.
- [24] U.Derenthal, A.Smeets and D.Wei: *Universal torsors and values of quadratic polynomials represented by norms*, Math. Ann. 361 (2015), 1021-1042.
- [25] U. Derenthal and D. Wei: *Strong approximation and descent*, J. reine und angew. Math. 731 (2017) 235-258.
- [26] P. Gille and L. Moret-Bailly : *Actions algébrique des groupes arithmétiques*, LMS Lecture Note 405 (2013) 231-249.

- [27] F. Gundlach: *Integral Brauer-Manin obstructions for sums of two squares and a power*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 88 (2013) 599-618.
- [28] D. Harari: *Méthode des fibrations et obstruction de Manin*, Duke Math. J. 75 (1994), 221-260.
- [29] D. Harari: *Le défaut d'approximation forte pour les groupes algébriques commutatifs*, Algebra & Number Theory 2 (5)(2008) 595-611.
- [30] Y. Harpaz, A. Skorobogatov and O. Wittenberg: *The Hardy-Littlewood conjecture and rational points*, Compos. Math. 150 (2014) 2095-2111.
- [31] Y. Harpaz and O. Wittenberg: *On the fibration method for zero-cycles and rational points*, Annals of Mathematics 183 (2016), no. 1, 229-295.
- [32] R. Hartshorne: *Algebraic Geometry*, GTM 52, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [33] R. Heath-Brown and A. Skorobogatov: *Rational solutions of certain equations involving norms*, Acta Math. 189 (2002) 161-177.
- [34] A.J.Irving: *Cubic polynomials represented by norm forms* J. reine angew. Math.723 (2017) 217-250.
- [35] J. Jahnel and D. Schindler: *On integral points on degree four Del Pezzo surfaces* Israel J. Math. 222 (2017) 21-62.
- [36] M.S.Jones: *A note on a theorem of Heath-Brown and Skorobogatov*, Quat. J. Math. 64 (2013) 1239-1251.
- [37] Q. Liu and F. Xu: *Very strong approximation for certain algebraic varieties*, Math. Ann. 363 (2015) 701-731.
- [38] J. S. Milne: *Étale Cohomology*, Princeton Math. Ser 33, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1980.
- [39] J. S. Milne: *Arithmetic duality theorems*, second ed. 2006.
- [40] V. Mitankin: *Integral points on generalised affine Châtelet surfaces*, arXiv:180210012v1 (2018).
- [41] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty and F. Kirwan: *Geometric invariant theory*, Ergebnisse 34, Springer, 1994
- [42] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt and K. Wingberg: *Cohomology of Number Fields*, Grundlehren 323 (second edition), Springer, 2008
- [43] O.T. O'Meara: *Introduction to Quadratic Forms*, Grundlehren 117, Springer, 1973
- [44] V. P. Platonov and A. S. Rapinchuk: *Algebraic groups and number theory*, Academic Press, 1994.
- [45] B. Poonen: *Rational Points on Varieties*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 186 (AMS), 2017.
- [46] J.-J. Sansuc: *Groupe de Brauer et arithmétique des groupes algébriques linéaires sur un corps de nombres*, J. reine angew Math. 327 (1981), 12-80.
- [47] J.-J. Sansuc: *Descente et principe de Hasse pour certaine variétés rationnelles*, Progr.Math.22, Birkhäuser (1982), 253-271.
- [48] D. Schindler and A. Skorobogatov *Norms as products of linear polynomials*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 89 (2014), 559-580.
- [49] J.-J. Serre: *Cohomologie Galoisienne*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol 5, Springer, Berlin, 1965.
- [50] A. N. Skorobogatov: *Descent on fibrations over the projective lines*, Amer. J. Math. 118 (1996), 905-923.
- [51] A. N. Skorobogatov: *Torsors and Rational Points*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 144, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [52] S. P. Swinnerton-Dyer: *Rational points on pencils of conics with 6 singular fibres*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse 8 (1999), 331-341.
- [53] M. Waldschmidt: *Transcendance et exponentielles en plusieurs variables*, Invent. math. 63 (1981), 97-127.
- [54] M. Waldschmidt: *Sur certains caractères du groupe des classes d'idèles d'un corps de nombres* Progr. Math. 22, Birkhäuser (1982), 323-335.
- [55] G. L. Watson: *Diophantine equations reducible to quadratics* Proc. London Math. Soc. 17 (1967), 26?44.
- [56] D. Wei: *On the equation $N_{K/k}(\Xi) = P(t)$* , Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 109 (2014) 1402-1434.
- [57] D. Wei: *On the fibration method for rational points*, arXiv: 1910.03647 (2019).
- [58] F. Xu: *Strong approximation for certain quadratic fibrations with compact fibres*, Adv. Math. 281 (2015), 279-295.

YANG CAO: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITY HANNOVER, GERMANY
Email address: yangcao1988@gmail.com

DASHENG WEI: ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEM SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES,
BEIJING 100190, CHINA
Email address: dshwei@amss.ac.cn

FEI XU: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CAPITAL NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100048, CHINA
Email address: xufei@math.ac.cn