

LIPSCHITZ p -SUMMING MULTILINEAR OPERATORS

JORGE C. ANGULO-LÓPEZ AND MAITE FERNÁNDEZ-UNZUETA

ABSTRACT. We apply the geometric approach provided by Σ -operators to develop a theory of p -summability for multilinear operators. In this way, we introduce the notion of Lipschitz p -summing multilinear operators and show that it is consistent with a general panorama of generalization: Namely, they satisfy Pietsch-type domination and factorization theorems and generalizations of the inclusion Theorem, Grothendieck's coincidence Theorems, the weak Dvoretzky-Rogers Theorem and a Lindenstrauss-Pelczyński Theorem. We also characterize this new class in tensorial terms by means of a Chevet-Saphar-type tensor norm. Moreover, we introduce the notion of Dunford-Pettis multilinear operators. With them, we characterize when a projective tensor product contains ℓ_1 . Relations between Lipschitz p -summing multilinear operators with Dunford-Pettis and Hilbert-Schmidt multilinear operators are given.

1. INTRODUCTION

The relevant role that absolutely p -summing operators play in the theory of Banach spaces has motivated the development of analogous notions for classes of mappings other than linear bounded operators. This is the case of completely p -summing operators, studied by G. Pisier in [30], and the case of Lipschitz p -summing maps, studied by J. Farmer and W.B. Johnson in [17]. This is also the case of bounded multilinear and polynomial mappings. In this context, however, a variety of notions of p -summability have appeared. In general, they are not equivalent to each other. Among them, we find [6], [14], [23], [28]. Relations among these notions may be found in [8], [25] and [26]. The current interest in studying p -summability in such a non-linear context has been stimulated also by the search of Bohnenblust-Hille or Littlewood-type estimates (e.g. [1], [4], [11], [15]).

In this paper we introduce and develop the notion of p -summability for multilinear operators that stems from regarding, via a natural isomorphism, multilinear operators as homogenous mappings on a certain subset of the tensor product, i.e. as Σ -operators. This approach has been successfully applied to the case of operators that factor through a Hilbert space [20], as well as to the case of (p, q) -dominated operators [21]. Multilinear operators of this type will be called Lipschitz p -summing. Our first result is that the triad of equivalent formulations of the linear p -summability property holds: the local definition, the Pietsch's Domination theorem and the Pietsch's Factorization theorem (see Theorem 3.1).

To provide a general framework for this class of multilinear mappings, we prove multilinear generalizations of several other fundamental results of the linear theory. Specifically, we prove that multilinear versions of the Inclusion Theorem

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H60; 47L22; 46G25.

Key words and phrases. Absolutely p -summing operators; Dunford-Pettis operators; multilinear operators; Lipschitz mappings; tensor products of Banach spaces.

J.C. Angulo-López was supported by a scholarship and M. Fernández-Unzueta by project 284110, both from CONACyT.

(Proposition 3.8), as well as Grothendieck's coincidence Theorems (Corollaries 3.7 and 3.10) hold. Multilinear results generalizing the weak Dvoretzky-Rogers' and Lindenstrauss-Pelczyński's theorems are also proved (Corollary 3.12 and Corollary 3.13, respectively). In addition, we describe Lipschitz p -summing multilinear operators as elements in the dual of a tensor space, whose norm generalizes the Chevet-Saphar crossnorm (see Theorems 6.1 and 6.2).

In general, Lipschitz p -summing multilinear operators are not comparable with compact operators. However, as it happens in the linear case, they transform some sequences with a weak-Cauchy behaviour into norm-convergent sequences (see Proposition 4.6). Multilinear operators satisfying this last property will be called Dunford-Pettis operators. They are studied in Section 4.

In all our considerations above, we have been implicitly using the projective tensor product. In Section 5 we briefly see how to develop the theory of Lipschitz p -summing operators with respect to any reasonable crossnorm β . We apply this to see that for every $1 \leq p < \infty$, Hilbert-Schmidt multilinear operators coincide with the class of *Lipschitz p -summing multilinear operators with respect to the Hilbert-Schmidt tensor product*, which generalizes a classical linear result proved in [24] (see Theorem 5.2).

Finally, in Section 7 we introduce Lipschitz p -summing homogeneous polynomials and relate these notions with other non-linear generalizations of absolutely p -summing operators that have appeared in the literature.

2. MULTILINEAR MAPPINGS STUDIED THROUGH Σ -OPERATORS.

Throughout this paper X, X_1, \dots, X_n and Y will be Banach spaces over the same field \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , and B_X will be the closed unit ball of a space X . The projective tensor product of X_1, \dots, X_n will be denoted by $X_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi X_n$ and the space of multilinear bounded operators from $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ to Y by $\mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$. When Y is the scalar field, we will use the notation $\mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. The general theory of Banach spaces that we will use can be found in [12] and [31].

We briefly recall now how Σ -operators are used to study bounded multilinear mappings. Details can be found in [19]. Each multilinear bounded operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ admits a factorization $T = \hat{T} \circ \otimes$, where $\hat{T} \in \mathcal{L}(X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_n; Y)$ is such that for every $x_i \in X_i$ $i = 1, \dots, n$, $T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \hat{T}(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n)$. The mapping $f_T := \hat{T}|_\Sigma$, where $\Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n} := \{x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n \in X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_n; x_i \in X_i\}$ is the Segre cone of the spaces X_i , is called a Σ -operator. The space of continuous Σ -operators endowed with the Lipschitz norm will be denoted $\mathcal{L}(\Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n}; Y)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n})$ in the case where Y is the scalar field. The following mappings are isometric isomorphisms (see [19, Theorem 3.2]):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \mathcal{L}(\Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n}; Y) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{L}(X_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi X_n; Y) \\ T & \mapsto & f_T & \mapsto & \hat{T} \end{array}$$

The general procedure to go from a given theory on linear operators to the broader context of Σ -operators is done in two steps: the first step is to interpret a specific type of boundedness condition on linear operators $\{S : X \rightarrow Y\}$ as a continuous condition, thus, as a Lipschitz condition. The second step is to formulate such Lipschitz condition for Σ -operators $\{f : \Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n} \rightarrow Y\}$. In the case of the p -summability property, this procedure gives rise to the following definition:

$f \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_m}; Y)$ is an *absolutely p -summing Σ -operator* if there is a constant $c \geq 0$ such that for every $i = 1, \dots, k$ and every $u_i, v_i \in \Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n}$, the following inequality holds:

$$(1) \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \|f(u_i) - f(v_i)\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq c \cdot \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |\varphi(u_i) - \varphi(v_i)|^p \right)^{1/p} ; \varphi \in B_{\mathcal{L}(\Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n})} \right\}$$

When $n = 1$, we have that $\Sigma_X = X$. In this case absolutely p -summing Σ -operators and absolutely p -summing linear operators coincide, as well as Σ -operators and bounded linear mappings coincide.

The space of absolutely p -summing Σ -operators, denoted by $\Pi_p(\Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n}; Y)$, is a Banach space when defining the norm $\pi_p(f)$ as the smallest constant $c \geq 0$ satisfying inequality (1).

The following result will be used in Theorem 3.1. It can be directly proved.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\delta_{x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n}$ be defined as $\delta_{x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n}(\varphi) := \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. Then, the following map is an isometric inclusion.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n} & \xrightarrow{i} & C(B_{\mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n)}, w^*) \\ x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n & \mapsto & \delta_{x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n}. \end{array}$$

3. LIPSCHITZ p -SUMMING MULTILINEAR OPERATORS.

We will denote $j_p : C(B_{\mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n)}, w^*) \rightarrow L_p(\mu)$ and $i_p : L_\infty(\mu) \rightarrow L_p(\mu)$ the natural inclusion mappings and $i_Y : Y \rightarrow \ell_\infty^{B_Y^*}$ the natural isometric inclusion $i_Y(z) = (x^*(z))_{x^* \in B_Y^*}$.

Now we apply the geometrical approach explained in Section 2 to obtain the following fundamental equivalences:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $T : X_1 \times \dots \times X_n \rightarrow Y$ be an n -linear operator between Banach spaces. The following conditions for T are equivalent:*

i) There exists $c > 0$ such that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $u_i, v_i \in X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \|T(u_i) - T(v_i)\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq c \cdot \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |\varphi(u_i) - \varphi(v_i)|^p \right)^{1/p} ; \varphi \in B_{\mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n)} \right\}.$$

ii) There is a constant $c > 0$ and a regular probability measure μ on the space $(B_{\mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n)}, w^)$ such that for each $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n), v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$ we have that*

$$\|T(u) - T(v)\| \leq c \cdot \left(\int_{B_{\mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n)}} |\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)|^p d\mu(\varphi) \right)^{1/p}.$$

iii) There exist a regular Borel probability measure μ on $(B_{\mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n)}, w^*)$, a subset $\Sigma_p := (j_p \circ \otimes)(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ of $L_p(\mu)$ and a Lipschitz function $h_T : \Sigma_p \rightarrow Y$ such that $T = h_T \circ j_p \circ \otimes$, that is, in such a way that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 \times \dots \times X_n & \xrightarrow{T} & Y \\ \downarrow \otimes & & \uparrow h_T \\ \Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n} & \xrightarrow{j_p|_{\Sigma}} & \Sigma_p \\ \cap & & \cap \\ C(B_{\mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n)}, w^*) & \xrightarrow{j_p} & L_p(\mu). \end{array}$$

iv) There exist a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) , a multilinear operator $\nu : X_1 \times \dots \times X_n \rightarrow L_{\infty}(\mu)$, $\|\nu\| = 1$, and a Lipschitz function $\tilde{h}_T : L_p(\mu) \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}^{B_{Y^*}}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 \times \dots \times X_n & \xrightarrow{T} & Y \\ \downarrow \nu & & \searrow i_Y \\ L_{\infty}(\mu) & \xrightarrow{i_p} & L_p(\mu) \\ & & \nearrow \tilde{h}_T \\ & & \ell_{\infty}^{B_{Y^*}} \end{array}$$

If $\pi_p^{Lip}(T) := \inf\{c; i\} \text{ holds}$, then $\pi_p^{Lip}(T) = \inf\{c; ii\} \text{ holds}$ and $\|\tilde{h}_T\|_{Lip} = \pi_p^{Lip}(T)$ when the spaces are real and $\pi_p^{Lip}(T) \leq \|\tilde{h}_T\|_{Lip} \leq \sqrt{2}\pi_p^{Lip}(T)$ when the spaces are complex.

Proof. The proof is like the proof of the original (linear) Pietsch factorization Theorem. Indeed, for proving the equivalence between (i) and (ii), it is possible to apply [7, Theorem 2.2]. There the authors extend the original statement concerning bounded linear operators (see [12, Theorem 2.12]) to a broader class of mappings.

To see (ii) \Rightarrow (iii), we will use Lemma 2.1 without mentioning it. Consider a regular Borel probability measure μ as in (ii). Let $\Sigma_p := (j_p \circ \otimes)(X_1 \times \dots \times X_n) \subset L_p(\mu)$ and define $h_T : \Sigma_p \rightarrow Y$ as $h_T((j_p \circ \otimes)(u_1, \dots, u_n)) := T(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ for every $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$. h_T is well defined, since whenever $(j_p \circ \otimes)(u_1, \dots, u_n) = (j_p \circ \otimes)(v_1, \dots, v_n)$, (ii) guarantees that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(u_1, \dots, u_n) - T(v_1, \dots, v_n)\| &\leq \\ \|(j_p \circ \otimes)(u_1, \dots, u_n) - (j_p \circ \otimes)(v_1, \dots, v_n)\|_{L_p(\mu)} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, $\|h_T\|_{Lip} = \pi_p^{Lip}(T)$ holds because for every $z, w \in \Sigma_p$,

$$\|h_T(z) - h_T(w)\| \leq \|h_T\|_{Lip} \cdot \left(\int_{B_{\mathcal{L}}} |\varphi(z) - \varphi(w)|^p d\mu(\varphi) \right)^{1/p},$$

and $\pi_p^{Lip}(T)$ is the infimum among the constants satisfying (i).

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). Assume that $h_T : \Sigma_p \rightarrow Y$ is as in (iii). Then, $i_Y \circ h_T$ is a Lipschitz function with $\|i_Y \circ h_T\|_{Lip} = \|h_T\|_{Lip} = \pi_p^{Lip}(T)$. Using the Lipschitz 1-injectivity property of ℓ_∞^Γ (see [3, Lemma 1.1]), we find a Lipschitz extension \tilde{h}_T of $i_Y \circ h_T$ defined on $L_p(\mu)$, such that $\|\tilde{h}_T\|_{Lip} = \|i_Y \circ h_T\|_{Lip} = \pi_p^{Lip}(T)$ when the spaces were real spaces, and $\pi_p^{Lip}(T) \leq \|\tilde{h}_T\|_{Lip} \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot \pi_p^{Lip}(T)$ when the spaces were complex.

(iv) \Rightarrow (ii). For $(u_1, \dots, u_n), (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$, inequality (ii), and consequently (i), follows from:

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(u_1, \dots, u_n) - T(v_1, \dots, v_n)\| &= \|(i_Y \circ T)(u_1, \dots, u_n) - (i_Y \circ T)(v_1, \dots, v_n)\| \\ &= \|\tilde{h}_T \circ i_p \circ \nu(u_1, \dots, u_n) - \tilde{h}_T \circ i_p \circ \nu(v_1, \dots, v_n)\| \\ &\leq \|\tilde{h}_T\|_{Lip} \|i_p \circ \nu(u_1, \dots, u_n) - i_p \circ \nu(v_1, \dots, v_n)\|_{L_p(\mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.2. A multilinear operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ is **Lipschitz p -summing** if it satisfies any of the equivalent conditions in Theorem 3.1. The Lipschitz p -summing norm of T is defined as $\pi_p^{Lip}(T)$.

$\Pi_p^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ will denote the Banach space of Lipschitz p -summing multilinear operators with the norm π_p^{Lip} . Clearly, $\Pi_p^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ and $\Pi_p(\Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n}; Y)$ are isometrically isomorphic Banach spaces, via the mapping $T \mapsto f_T$.

Remark 3.3. We use the name Lipschitz in Definition 3.2 to highlight the role that the differences play in such notion. We also try to avoid confusion with other notions of p -summability of multilinear operators that appear in the literature. The main reason is, however, that Σ -operators are Lipschitz functions, although non-zero multilinear mappings are not. In Subsection 7.2 we discuss this fact.

Remark 3.4. Other equivalent characterizations of Lipschitz p -summing operators can be proved. We mention one that will be used later. Its proof relies on (iii) and the Lipschitz 1-injectivity property of ℓ_∞^Γ :

There exist a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) and a Lipschitz function \tilde{h}_T such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 \times \dots \times X_n & \xrightarrow{T} & Y \\ \downarrow i \circ \otimes & & \searrow i_Y \\ C(B_{\mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n), w^*}) & \xrightarrow{j_p} & L_p(\mu) \\ & & \nearrow \tilde{h}_T \\ & & \ell_\infty^{B_{Y^*}} \end{array}$$

Examples of Lipschitz p -summing operators. Clearly, any bounded multilinear form is Lipschitz p -summing. This implies that any multilinear operator whose image belongs to a finite dimensional space is Lipschitz p -summing. Other natural examples are the following:

Let K, K_1, \dots, K_n be compact Hausdorff spaces and let μ, ν be positive regular Borel measures on K and $K_1 \times \dots \times K_n$ respectively. If $h \in L_p(\mu)$ and $f \in L_p(\nu)$, then the multilinear operators $T_h \in \mathcal{L}(C(K), \dots, C(K); L_p(\mu))$, and $S_f \in \mathcal{L}(C(K_1), \dots, C(K_n); L_p(\nu))$ defined as $T_h(g_1, \dots, g_n) := h(w) \cdot g_1(w) \cdots g_n(w)$ and $S_f(g_1, \dots, g_n) := f(w_1, \dots, w_n) \cdot g_1(w_1) \cdots g_n(w_n)$, are Lipschitz p -summing.

Given $\lambda = (\lambda_k)_k \in \ell_p$, the diagonal operator $T_\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty, \dots, \ell_\infty; \ell_p)$, defined as $T_\lambda((a_k^1)_k, \dots, (a_k^n)_k) := (\lambda_k \cdot a_k^1 \cdots a_k^n)_k$, is Lipschitz p -summing.

Main properties. To establish the generalizations of some of the main results in the linear case, first we see that Lipschitz p -summing operators are located between the following two multilinear generalizations of absolutely p -summing linear operators. \mathcal{L}_{ss}^p denotes the set of strongly p -summing multilinear operators (see its definition in [14]):

Proposition 3.5. *For X_1, \dots, X_n, Y Banach spaces,*

$$\{T \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y); \hat{T} \in \Pi_p(X_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi X_n; Y)\} \subset \Pi_p^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y) \subset \mathcal{L}_{ss}^p(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y).$$

Proof. To prove the first inclusion, let us consider a bounded multilinear mapping T such that its associated linear operator \hat{T} defined on $X_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi X_n$ is an absolutely p -summing operator. Then, for any finite set $z_1, \dots, z_k \in X_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi X_n$ it holds that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \left\| \hat{T}(z_i) \right\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \pi_p(\hat{T}) \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |\varphi(z_i)|^p \right)^{1/p}; \varphi \in B_{\mathcal{L}(X_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi X_n)} \right\}.$$

So, in particular, this estimate holds for any finite set of vectors of the form $z_i = u_1^i \otimes \dots \otimes u_n^i - v_1^i \otimes \dots \otimes v_n^i \in \Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n} - \Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n}$. This gives rise to (i) in Theorem 3.1. To see the other relation, just observe that $T \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ is strongly p -summing exactly when T satisfies condition (i) in Theorem 3.1 for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, $u_i \in X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$ and $v_i = 0$.

Example 3.3 in [9] shows that $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1, \ell_1; \ell_1)$, $T((x), (y)) = (x_j y_j)_j$ is a strongly p -summing multilinear operator whose associated linear mapping is not absolutely p -summing. We do not know if T is Lipschitz p -summing.

Corollary 3.6. *The Aron-Berner extension of a Lipschitz p -summing multilinear operator $T \in \Pi_p^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ takes its values in Y .*

Proof. By Proposition 3.5, T is strongly p -summing. Thus, by Theorem 2.2 in [14], its Aron-Berner extension is in $\mathcal{L}(X_1^{**}, \dots, X_n^{**}; Y)$.

The statements stated below as propositions can be proved using standard arguments. We will only prove their corollaries. This type of results are usually known as *coincidence theorems*.

Corollary 3.7 (A Grothendieck Theorem for multilinear operators). *If $X_1, \dots, X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, are \mathcal{L}_1 spaces and Y is an \mathcal{L}_2 space, then*

$$\Pi_1^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y) = \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y).$$

Proof.

Under our assumptions, the space $X_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi X_n$ is also an \mathcal{L}_1 space (see [10, p.314]). By Grothendieck's Theorem [12, Theorem 3.1] and Proposition 3.5, this implies that

$$\{T; \hat{T} \in \Pi_1(X_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi X_n; Y)\} = \Pi_1^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y) = \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y).$$

Proposition 3.8 (Inclusion Theorem). *If $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$, then*

$$\Pi_p^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y) \subset \Pi_q^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$$

and $\pi_q^{Lip}(T) \leq \pi_p^{Lip}(T)$ for every $T \in \Pi_p^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$.

The ideal properties of absolutely p -summing linear operators extend to Lipschitz p -summing operators as follows:

Proposition 3.9. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every X_1, \dots, X_n, Y, Z Banach spaces, it holds that*

- i) $\Pi_p^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ and $\|T\| \leq \pi_p^{Lip}(T)$.
- ii) The space $(\Pi_p^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y), \pi_p^{Lip})$ is a Banach space. It contains the multilinear operators whose range lies in a finite dimensional subspace of Y .
- iii) Let $T \in \Pi_p^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$, $R \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$ and $S_i \in \mathcal{L}(W_i, X_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $R \circ T \circ (S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n) \in \Pi_p^{Lip}(W_1, \dots, W_n; Z)$ and $\pi_p^{Lip}(R \circ T \circ (S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n)) \leq \|R\| \cdot \pi_p^{Lip}(T) \cdot \|S_1\| \cdots \|S_n\|$.
- iv) $\pi_p^{Lip}(\Lambda_n) = 1$, where $\Lambda_n : \mathbb{K} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is $\Lambda_n((z_1, \dots, z_n)) = z_1 \cdots z_n$.
- v) Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ and $R \in \Pi_p(Y, Z)$. Then it holds that $R \circ T \in \Pi_p^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Z)$ and $\pi_p^{Lip}(R \circ T) \leq \pi_p(R) \cdot \|T\|_{Lip}$.
- vi) If $i : Y \rightarrow Z$ is a linear isometry, then $T \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ is Lipschitz p -summing if and only if $i \circ T \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Z)$ is Lipschitz p -summing and $\pi_p^{Lip}(T) = \pi_p^{Lip}(i \circ T)$.

Corollary 3.10. *If $X_1, \dots, X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, are \mathcal{L}_1 spaces, then*

$$\Pi_1^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y) = \Pi_2^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y).$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.8, we only need to check that $\Pi_2^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y) \subset \Pi_1^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$. Let $T \in \Pi_2^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ and let $i_Y \circ T = \tilde{h}_T \circ j_2 \circ (i \circ \otimes)$ be the factorization given in Remark 3.4. By Corollary 3.7, $j_2 \circ (i \circ \otimes)$ is Lipschitz 1-summing. This implies that $\tilde{h}_T \circ j_2 \circ (i \circ \otimes)$, which equals $i \circ T$, is Lipschitz 1-summing. By (vi) Proposition 3.9, T is Lipschitz 1-summing, too.

We will use the notation $x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n$ meaning $x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{j-1} \otimes x_{j+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n$, X_1, \dots, X_n meaning the product of the spaces X_i , $i \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_l\}$ and $T_{x_{i_1}^0, \dots, x_{i_l}^0} \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ to denote the mapping such that if each $x_{i_j}^0$ is placed in the i_j -th position, it coincides with T .

Proposition 3.11. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $x_{i_j}^0 \in X_{i_j}, j = 1, \dots, l, l < n$ be fixed. If $T \in \Pi_p^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ then, $T_{x_{i_1}^0, \dots, x_{i_l}^0} \in \Pi_p^{Lip}(X_1, \overset{i_1, \dots, i_l}{\dots}, X_n; Y), \pi_p^{Lip}(T_{x_{i_1}^0, \dots, x_{i_l}^0}) \leq \|x_{i_1}^0\| \cdots \|x_{i_l}^0\| \pi_p^{Lip}(T)$.*

Corollary 3.12 (A weak Dvoretzky-Rogers Theorem). *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let X be a Banach space. Then $\Pi_p^{Lip}(X, \overset{n}{\dots}, X; X) = \mathcal{L}({}^n X; X)$ if and only if X is a finite dimensional space.*

Proof. Let us assume that every multilinear operator is Lipschitz p -summing. Whenever $l = n - 1$, Proposition 3.11 guarantees that any linear mapping of the form $T_{x_{i_1}^0, \dots, x_{i_l}^0}$ is absolutely p -summing. By the weak Dvoretzky-Rogers theorem, this already implies that X is finite dimensional. The reverse implication follows from *ii*) in Proposition 3.9.

Corollary 3.13 (A Lindenstrauss-Pełczyński Theorem). *Let X_1, \dots, X_n, Y be Banach spaces, each X_1, \dots, X_n with an unconditional basis. Each X_i is isomorphic to $\ell_1^{\Gamma_i}$ for some Γ_i and Y is isomorphic to a Hilbert space if and only if*

$$\Pi_1^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y) = \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y).$$

Proof. If $X_i \simeq \ell_1^{\Gamma_i}$ and $Y \simeq H$, the result is given by Corollary 3.7. Assume now that each X_1, \dots, X_n has an unconditional basis and, together with Y are such that every n -linear operator is Lipschitz 1-summing. Let us fix n norm one vectors $x_i^0 \in X_i$ and n norm one linear projections $P_i \in \mathcal{L}(X_i, X_i)$ onto $\langle x_i^0 \rangle$, respectively. Given an arbitrary $S \in \mathcal{L}(X_1; Y)$, let us define $S^1 \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ as $S^1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = S(x_1)P_2(x_2) \cdots P_n(x_n)$. Under our assumption, S^1 is Lipschitz 1-summing. Then, by Proposition 3.11, we have that $S_{x_2^0, \dots, x_n^0}^1$ is an absolutely 1-summing linear operator. Finally, observe that $S_{x_2^0, \dots, x_n^0}^1 = S$. We have proved that $\mathcal{L}(X_1; Y) = \Pi_1(X_1, Y)$. By [22, Theorem 4.2], we know that X_1 is isomorphic to an $\ell_1^{\Gamma_1}$ and Y is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. An analogous argument can be done with the other indexes $i = 2, \dots, n$.

4. DUNFORD-PETTIS AND LIPSCHITZ p -SUMMING MULTILINEAR OPERATORS

A multilinear mapping is said to be *compact* if it maps bounded sets into relatively compact sets. The space of compact multilinear operators will be denoted $\mathcal{K}(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)$. It holds that $T \in \mathcal{K}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ if and only if $\hat{T} \in \mathcal{K}(X_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi X_n; Y)$. Let us see that in general, there is not a containment relationship between compact and Lipschitz p -summing multilinear operators:

Example 4.1. *Consider $S \in \Pi_p(\ell_1; \ell_2) \setminus \mathcal{K}(\ell_1; \ell_2)$; for instance, the formal inclusion mapping. Let $S^1 \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1, X_2, \dots, X_n; \ell_2)$ be the multilinear mapping $S^1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = S(x_1)P_2(x_2) \cdots P_n(x_n)$, constructed from S as in the proof of Corollary 3.13. S^1 is a non compact Lipschitz p -summing multilinear mapping.*

Example 4.2. *Let $S \in \mathcal{K}(\ell_2; \ell_2) \setminus \Pi_p(\ell_2; \ell_2)$ (see an example in [12, p.38]). Constructing S^1 as before, $S^1 \in \mathcal{K}(\ell_2, X_2, \dots, X_n; \ell_2) \setminus \Pi_p^{Lip}(\ell_2, X_2, \dots, X_n; \ell_2)$.*

Proposition 4.6 states that, however, Lipschitz p -summing operators are of the following type:

Definition 4.3. We say that a multilinear operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)$ is Dunford-Pettis if its associated Σ -operator f_T transforms the weakly Cauchy sequences in Σ_{X_1, \dots, X_m} into norm convergent sequences in Y . In this case, we say that the Σ -operator f_T is Dunford-Pettis. The space of Dunford-Pettis multilinear operators will be denoted $\mathcal{DP}(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)$.

In the case of linear mappings, $n = 1$, Definition 4.3 coincides with the usual definition of a Dunford-Pettis (or completely continuous) linear operator (see [5] and the references therein). A notion of Dunford-Pettis for polynomials was studied in [18].

Proposition 4.4. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be Banach spaces and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then,

- (1) $\mathcal{K}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y) \subset \mathcal{DP}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$.
- (2) If $T \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ is such that $\hat{T} \in \mathcal{DP}(X_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \dots \hat{\otimes}_\pi X_n; Y)$, then $T \in \mathcal{DP}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$.

Proof. (1) follows from a compactness argument. To prove (2), let \hat{T} be such that it transforms the weakly Cauchy sequences of $X_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \dots \hat{\otimes}_\pi X_n$ into norm convergent sequences in Y . In particular, this holds for the weakly Cauchy sequences in Σ_{X_1, \dots, X_n} . Since $f_T = \hat{T}|_{\Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n}}$, we have the desired condition on f_T .

The following theorem generalizes an important and non-trivial result, which corresponds to the case $n = 1$ of our result. We denote c_0 the space of sequences converging to zero with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

Theorem 4.5. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be Banach spaces. The following are equivalent:

- (1) The space $X_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \dots \hat{\otimes}_\pi X_n$ contains no isomorphic copies of ℓ_1 .
- (2) Every bounded sequence in Σ_{X_1, \dots, X_n} contains a weakly Cauchy subsequence.
- (3) For every Banach space Y , $\mathcal{K}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y) = \mathcal{DP}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$.
- (4) $\mathcal{K}(X_1, \dots, X_n; c_0) = \mathcal{DP}(X_1, \dots, X_n; c_0)$.

Proof. By Rosenthal's dichotomy Theorem, (1) is equivalent to the fact that every bounded sequence in $X_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \dots \hat{\otimes}_\pi X_n$ contains a weakly Cauchy subsequence. In particular, this holds for the subset Σ_{X_1, \dots, X_n} , which gives (2). Assume (2). By Proposition 4.4, to prove (3) it is enough to prove \supset . Let T be a Dunford-Pettis multilinear operator and let $A_i \in X_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ be arbitrary bounded subsets. Consider the subsets $A := A_1 \times \dots \times A_n \subset X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$ and $A^\otimes := \{x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n; x_i \in A_i\} \subset \Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n}$. By (2), any sequence in A^\otimes has a weakly Cauchy subsequence $\{a_1^j \otimes \dots \otimes a_n^j\}_j \subset A^\otimes$. Since T is Dunford-Pettis, $\{f_T(a_1^j \otimes \dots \otimes a_n^j)\}_j$ is a norm convergent sequence. But $\{f_T(a_1^j \otimes \dots \otimes a_n^j)\}_j = \{T(a_1^j, \dots, a_n^j)\}_j$. Consequently, T is compact. (4) is a particular case of (3). Finally, let us see that (4) implies (1). If $\ell_1 \subset X_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \dots \hat{\otimes}_\pi X_n$, there exist a linear operator $\hat{S} \in \mathcal{DP}(X_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \dots \hat{\otimes}_\pi X_n; c_0) \setminus \mathcal{K}(X_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \dots \hat{\otimes}_\pi X_n; c_0)$ (for a proof, see Proposition [5, II.6]). Proposition 4.4 implies that its associated multilinear operator S is Dunford-Pettis. Since S is non compact, (4) does not hold.

The linear case $n = 1$ of the following results can be found in [29, Corollary 1.7].

Proposition 4.6. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then,

$$\Pi_p^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y) \subset \mathcal{DP}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y).$$

Proof. Let T be a Lipschitz p -summing multilinear operator. By (iii) in Theorem 3.1, T factorizes as $T = h_T \circ j_p \circ (i \circ \otimes)$. Consider a sequence $\{(x_1^k, \dots, x_n^k)\}_k$ such that $\{(x_1^k \otimes \dots \otimes x_n^k)\}_k \subset \Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n}$ is weakly Cauchy. Since j_p transforms weakly Cauchy sequences into norm convergent sequences, (see [12, pp. 40, 49]) and h_T is norm continuous, then $\{h_T \circ j_p(x_1^k \otimes \dots \otimes x_n^k)\}_k$ is a convergent sequence in Y . Thus, $\{T(x_1^k, \dots, x_n^k)\}_k = \{h_T \circ j_p(x_1^k \otimes \dots \otimes x_n^k)\}_k$ is a convergent sequence and we conclude that T is Dunford-Pettis.

From Theorem 4.5 and Proposition 4.6 we get:

Corollary 4.7. *Let X_i be Banach spaces such that $\ell_1 \not\subset X_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \dots \hat{\otimes}_\pi X_n$. Then, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\Pi_p^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y) \subset \mathcal{K}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$.*

Example 4.8. *Let $1 < q_i < \infty$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{q_i} < 1$. Then, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, every Lipschitz p -summing multilinear operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_{q_1}, \dots, \ell_{q_n}; Y)$ is compact. This is because of Corollary 4.7 and the fact that in this case $\ell_{q_1} \hat{\otimes}_\pi \dots \hat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_{q_n}$ is reflexive, (see [2]).*

5. HILBERT-SCHMIDT MULTILINEAR OPERATORS.

When the Banach spaces are Hilbert spaces, absolutely p -summing linear operators and Hilbert-Schmidt operators coincide ([24, Theorem 5.2]). In this section, we determine the relation with Lipschitz p -summability.

In order to remain in a Hilbert-space context, it is necessary to consider the Hilbert-Schmidt tensor product \otimes_H , instead of the projective tensor product, since $H_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \dots \hat{\otimes}_\pi H_n$ is not, in general, a Hilbert space, while $H_1 \hat{\otimes}_H \dots \hat{\otimes}_H H_n$ is. We will see briefly how to define Lipschitz p -summability when considering other tensor norms.

5.1. Lipschitz p -summability with respect to other reasonable crossnorms.

A norm β on the vector space $X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_n$ is said to be a **reasonable crossnorm** if it has the following two properties: (1) $\beta(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n) \leq \|x_1\| \dots \|x_n\|$ for every $x_i \in X_i$; $i = 1, \dots, n$ and (2) For every $x_i^* \in X_i^*$, the linear functional $x_1^* \otimes \dots \otimes x_n^*$ on $X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_n$ is bounded, and $\|x_1^* \otimes \dots \otimes x_n^*\| \leq \|x_1^*\| \dots \|x_n^*\|$ (see [10], [13] or [31]). The main point to pay attention to in each step is that in this case we are working with multilinear functionals whose associated linear operators are continuous on $X_1 \hat{\otimes}_\beta \dots \hat{\otimes}_\beta X_n$. We will denote the space of such mappings by $\mathcal{L}_\beta(X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

Definition 5.1. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. An n -linear operator between Banach spaces $T \in \mathcal{L}_\beta(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ is said to be Lipschitz p -summing with respect to the reasonable crossnorm β (briefly, β -Lipschitz p -summing) if there exists $c > 0$ such that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $u_i, v_i \in X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$, the following inequality holds:*

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \|T(u_i) - T(v_i)\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq c \cdot \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |\varphi(u_i) - \varphi(v_i)|^p \right)^{1/p} ; \varphi \in B_{\mathcal{L}_\beta(X_1, \dots, X_n)} \right\}$$

A Domination and a Factorization Theorems hold for Lipschitz p -summing multilinear operators with respect to β (analogues to (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 3.1) and many of its properties, such as an inclusion Theorem, can be derived from them.

5.2. H -Lipschitz p -summing and Hilbert-Schmidt multilinear operators coincide.

In this subsection all the spaces considered H_1, \dots, H_n, G , will be Hilbert spaces and the reasonable crossnorm β defined on $H_1 \otimes \dots \otimes H_n$ will be the Hilbert-Schmidt norm, \otimes_H . In this context, we will say that $T : H_1 \times \dots \times H_n \rightarrow G$ is H -Lipschitz p -summing, $T \in \Pi_p^{Lip,H}(H_1, \dots, H_n; G)$ if T satisfies Definition 5.1 with respect to \otimes_H . The best c will be denoted $\pi_p^{Lip,H}(T)$.

Following [23, Definition 5.2], T is said to be Hilbert-Schmidt, $T \in \mathcal{L}_{HS}$, if there is an orthonormal basis $(e_j^k)_j$ for each $k = 1, \dots, n$, such that

$$\|T\|_{HS} := \left(\sum_{\substack{j_k \in J_k \\ k=1, \dots, n}} \|T(e_{j_1}^1, \dots, e_{j_n}^n)\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$$

The following coincidence between classes generalizes the linear result in [24]:

Theorem 5.2. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\mathcal{L}_{HS}(H_1, \dots, H_n; G) = \Pi_p^{Lip,H}(H_1, \dots, H_n; G)$, where $\|T\|_{HS} \leq \pi_2^{Lip,H}(T) \leq B_p^n \|T\|_{HS}$ and B_p is Khintchine's inequality constant.

Proof. As always, \hat{T} will denote the linear mapping associated to T . By [23, Proposition 10], $T \in \mathcal{L}_{HS}(H_1, \dots, H_n; G)$ if and only if the linear mapping \hat{T} is in $\mathcal{L}_{HS}(H_1 \hat{\otimes}_H \dots \hat{\otimes}_H H_n; G)$. The main result in [24] implies that $\mathcal{L}_{HS}(H_1 \hat{\otimes}_H \dots \hat{\otimes}_H H_n; G) = \Pi_p(H_1 \hat{\otimes}_H \dots \hat{\otimes}_H H_n; G)$. An analogous statement to Proposition 3.5 holds for the norm \otimes_H . Using it we obtain that if $T \in \mathcal{L}_{HS}$, then $T \in \Pi_p^{Lip,H}(H_1, \dots, H_n; G)$.

To prove the reverse inclusion $\Pi_p^{Lip,H} \subset \mathcal{L}_{HS}$, we consider first the case $p = 2$. Let $T \in \Pi_2^{Lip,H}(H_1, H_2, \dots, H_m; G)$ and let $(e_{i_k}^k)_{i_k \in I_k}$ be an orthonormal basis for H_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$. Fix $J_k \subseteq I_k$, an arbitrary finite subset of I_k . From Definition 5.1 we have that in this particular case

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{\substack{j_k \in J_k \\ k=1, \dots, n}} \|T(e_{j_1}^1, \dots, e_{j_n}^n)\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \pi_2^{Lip,H}(T) \sup_{\varphi \in B_{\mathcal{L}_{HS}}} \left(\sum_{\substack{j_k \in J_k \\ k=1, \dots, n}} |\varphi(e_{j_1}^1, \dots, e_{j_n}^n)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \pi_2^{Lip,H}(T). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|T\|_{HS} \leq \pi_2^{Lip,H}(T)$.

For $1 \leq p \leq 2$ we get, from this case and from Proposition 3.8 stated with the norm \otimes_H (namely, $\Pi_p^{Lip,H} \subset \Pi_2^{Lip,H}$), that $\Pi_p^{Lip,H} \subset \mathcal{L}_{HS}$ and $\|T\|_{HS} \leq \pi_2^{Lip,H}(T)$.

Finally, let $p > 2$. We will see that whenever $T : H_1 \times H_2 \times \dots \times H_n \rightarrow G$ is an element of $\Pi_p^{Lip,H}(H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n; G)$, then $\|T\|_{HS} \leq (B_p)^n \pi_2^{Lip,H}(T)$.

Let $(e_{i_k}^i)_{i_k \in I_i} \subseteq H_i$ be an orthonormal basis of H_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$. For every finite subset $J_i \subseteq I_i$, with m_i elements, we consider $(e_{j_k}^i)_{j_k \in J_i}$ ordered linearly and for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, write $e_{j_1}^i, \dots, e_{j_{m_i}}^i$. If $\{r_n\}_n$ are the Rademacher functions and

writing dt for $dt_1 \cdots dt_n$ and $d\mu$ for $d\mu(\varphi)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{l=1, \dots, n}^{m_l} \left\| T \left(e_{j_{k_1}}^1, \dots, e_{j_{k_n}}^n \right) \right\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \\
& \left(\int \cdots \int_{[0,1]^n} \left\| T \left(\sum_{k_1=1}^{m_1} r_{k_1}(t_1) e_{j_{k_1}}^1, \dots, \sum_{k_n=1}^{m_n} r_{k_n}(t_n) e_{j_{k_n}}^n \right) \right\|^2 dt_1 \cdots dt_n \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \\
& \left(\int \cdots \int_{[0,1]^n} \left\| T \left(\sum_{k_1=1}^{m_1} r_{k_1}(t_1) e_{j_{k_1}}^1, \dots, \sum_{k_n=1}^{m_n} r_{k_n}(t_n) e_{j_{k_n}}^n \right) \right\|^p dt_1 \cdots dt_n \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \\
& \pi_p^{Lip, H} (T) \left(\int \cdots \int_{[0,1]^n} \int_{B_{\mathcal{L}HS}} \left| \varphi \left(\sum_{k_1=1}^{m_1} r_{k_1}(t_1) e_{j_{k_1}}^1, \dots, \sum_{k_n=1}^{m_n} r_{k_n}(t_n) e_{j_{k_n}}^n \right) \right|^p d\mu dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \\
& (B_p)^n \pi_p^{Lip, H} (T) \left(\int_{B_{\mathcal{L}HS}} \left(\sum_{l=1, \dots, n}^{m_l} \left| \varphi \left(e_{j_{k_1}}^1, \dots, e_{j_{k_n}}^n \right) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} d\mu(\varphi) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \\
& (B_p)^n \pi_p^{Lip, H} (T).
\end{aligned}$$

6. TENSORIAL APPROACH TO LIPSCHITZ p -SUMMING MULTILINEAR OPERATORS.

Here we study the tensorial side of the p -summability of multilinear mappings. By one hand, we will construct a tensor normed space in such a way that its dual is isometric to the space of Lipschitz p -summing multilinear operators. On the other hand, Lipschitz p -summing multilinear operators will be characterized in terms of a Lipschitz condition of a mapping of the form $Id \otimes T$.

To prove the first statement, we consider decompositions of the elements $z \in X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_n \otimes Y$ of the following form:

$$(2) \quad z = \sum_{i=1}^k (p_i - q_i) \otimes y_i \quad \text{where } p_i, q_i \in \Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n}, y_i \in Y.$$

Given a pair of conjugate indexes $1 \leq p, p' \leq \infty$ and a $z \in X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_n \otimes Y$ we define

$$d_p^{Lip}(z) := \inf \left\{ \left(\sup_{\varphi \in B_{\mathcal{L}(\Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n})}} \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\varphi(p_i) - \varphi(q_i)|^{p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \right\} \right) \cdot \|(y_i)_{i=1}^n\|_p \right\}$$

taking the infimum over all representations of the form (2).

It can be proved that d_p^{Lip} defines a reasonable crossnorm on $X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_n \otimes Y$. This definition generalizes the (right) Chevet-Saphar tensor norm d_p defined on spaces of the form $X \otimes Y$ (see [31, (6.5)]). The special form of the representations (2) of an arbitrary tensor will capture the Lipschitz character of the Σ -operator

associated to Lipschitz p -summing multilinear operators. The completion of the tensor space with respect to the norm d_p^{Lip} will be denoted $(X_1 \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} X_n \hat{\otimes} Y, d_p^{Lip})$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let p, p' be conjugate indexes, $1 < p \leq \infty$ and X_1, \dots, X_n, Y Banach spaces. Then*

$$(X_1 \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} X_n \hat{\otimes} Y, d_p^{Lip})^* = \Pi_{p'}^{Lip}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y^*).$$

This result can be proved by generalizing in a natural way the linear proof.

In the linear setting, the absolutely p -summability of a linear operator T is equivalent to the boundedness of the operator $1 \otimes T : \ell_p \otimes_\epsilon X \rightarrow \ell_p \otimes_{\Delta_p} Y$ where ϵ denotes the injective tensor norm and Δ_p is the norm on $\ell_p \otimes Y$ induced by the embedding $\ell_p \otimes Y \hookrightarrow \ell_p[Y]$ (see [10, 4.1, 7.1]). For multilinear operators, such characterization reads as follows:

Theorem 6.2. *Let X_1, \dots, X_n, Y be Banach spaces and $1 \leq p < \infty$. The following conditions are equivalent for a bounded multilinear mapping T :*

- (i) $T \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ is Lipschitz p -summing.
- (ii) There exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\Delta_p \left((1 \otimes \hat{T}) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k e_i \otimes (a_i - b_i) \right) \right) \leq C \cdot \epsilon \left(\sum_{i=1}^k e_i \otimes (a_i - b_i) \right)$$

where $a_i, b_i \in \Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n}$, $(e_i)_i$ denotes the canonical basis of ℓ_p and

$$1 \otimes \hat{T} : \ell_p \otimes (X_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \dots \hat{\otimes}_\pi X_n) \rightarrow \ell_p \otimes Y.$$

In this case $\pi_p^{Lip}(T) = \inf C$ in (ii).

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let f_T be the Σ -operator associated to T . Writing $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}(\Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_p \left(\sum e_i \otimes (f_T(a_i) - f_T(b_i)) \right) &= \left(\sum \|f_T(a_i) - f_T(b_i)\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \\ &= \pi_p^{Lip}(T) \sup_{\varphi \in B_{\mathcal{L}}} \left(\sum |\varphi(a_i) - \varphi(b_i)|^p \right)^{1/p} = \\ &= \pi_p^{Lip}(T) \sup_{\varphi \in B_{\mathcal{L}}} \sup_{\mu \in B_{\ell_{p'}}} \left| \sum \mu_i (\varphi(a_i) - \varphi(b_i)) \right| = \pi_p^{Lip}(T) \epsilon \left(\sum e_i \otimes (a_i - b_i) \right). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $(a_i), (b_i) \in \Sigma_{X_1, \dots, X_n}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum \|f_T(a_i) - f_T(b_i)\|^p \right)^{1/p} &= \Delta_p \left(\sum e_i \otimes (f_T(a_i) - f_T(b_i)) \right) \\ &\leq C \cdot \epsilon \left(\sum e_i \otimes (a_i - b_i) \right) = C \sup_{\varphi \in B_{\mathcal{L}}, \mu \in B_{\ell_{p'}}} \left| \sum \mu_i (\varphi(a_i) - \varphi(b_i)) \right| \\ &= C \sup_{\varphi \in B_{\mathcal{L}}} \left(\sum |\varphi(a_i) - \varphi(b_i)|^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $Id \otimes \hat{T}$ is bounded (or Lipschitz) on all of its domain exactly when \hat{T} is a linear p -summing operator.

7. OTHER NON-LINEAR NOTIONS OF p -SUMMABILITY

7.1. Relation with other multilinear generalizations of p -summability. In Proposition 3.5, we have already exposed the relation with two generalizations of absolutely p -summing operators that have appeared in the literature. Another class is that of the so called fully p -summing [23] or multiple p -summing [6] multilinear operators (both classes coincide). There is not a general containment relation with Lipschitz p -summing multilinear operators. As in the cases of the absolutely p -summing multilinear operators and the np -dominated operators introduced by A. Pietsch in [28], it happens that these classes do not admit a characterization in terms of a Pietsch-type factorization, since there are scalar valued multilinear operators that do not belong to such classes (see [27] and [28]). This fact makes these notions essentially different to the one introduced here (see Proposition 3.9 and Theorem 3.1).

7.2. Relation with the notion of p -summability for Lipschitz mappings between metric spaces. In [17], J.D. Farmer and W.B. Johnson introduced the notion of Lipschitz p -summability for mappings between metric spaces, in the following way: “The Lipschitz p -summing ($1 \leq p < \infty$) norm, $\pi_p^L(T)$, of a (possibly nonlinear) mapping $T : X \rightarrow Y$ between metric spaces is the smallest constant C so that for all $(x_i)_i, (y_i)_i$ in X and all positive reals a_i ,

$$\sum a_i \|Tx_i - Ty_i\|^p \leq C^p \sup_{f \in B_{X^\#}} \sum a_i \|fx_i - fy_i\|^p.$$

Here $B_{X^\#}$ is the unit ball of $X^\#$, the Lipschitz dual of X . As they noted, the definition is the same if one considers $a_i = 1$. In [17, Theorem 2] the authors prove that this notion coincides with the notion of absolutely p -summing operators when the mappings are linear operators. We have already mentioned that Σ -operators are Lipschitz mappings between metric spaces. Then, it is natural to ask if the Σ -operators that are p -summing mappings in the sense of [17] (i.e. those that satisfy the inequality above), are exactly the absolutely p -summing Σ -operators as defined in (1). Directly from the definitions we see that every absolutely p -summing Σ -operator is p -summing in the sense of [17]. So,

Question 7.1. *Do both notions coincide for Σ -operators?*

7.3. Lipschitz p -summing polynomials. A mapping $P : X \rightarrow Y$ between Banach spaces is a *homogeneous polynomial of degree n* if there exists a multilinear mapping $T_P : X \times \cdots \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that $P(x) = T_P(x, \dots, x)$ (see [16]). Let $\mathcal{P}(^n X, Y)$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}(^n X)$) denote the space of n -homogeneous bounded polynomials from X to Y (resp. from X to the scalar field), normed with the supremum norm. The mappings j_p, i_p and i_Y will denote the analogues to those described before Theorem 3.1. The mapping i_X^n is the n -homogeneous polynomial defined as $i_X^n(x) = x \otimes \dots \otimes x$. Adapting the arguments already used to prove Theorem 3.1, it is possible to prove the following result.

Theorem 7.2. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let $P \in \mathcal{P}(^n X, Y)$ be an n -homogeneous polynomial between Banach spaces. The following conditions for P are equivalent:*

(1) There exists $c > 0$ such that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $u_i, v_i \in X$,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \|P(u_i) - P(v_i)\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq c \cdot \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |\varphi(u_i) - \varphi(v_i)|^p \right)^{1/p} ; \varphi \in B_{\mathcal{P}(n_X)} \right\}.$$

(2) There is a constant $c \geq 0$ and a regular probability measure μ on $(B_{\mathcal{P}(n_X)}, w^*)$ such that for each $u, v \in X$ we have that

$$\|P(u) - P(v)\| \leq c \cdot \left(\int_{B_{\mathcal{P}(n_X)}} |\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)|^p d\mu(\varphi) \right)^{1/p}.$$

(3) There exist a regular Borel probability measure μ on the space $(B_{\mathcal{P}(n_X)}, w^*)$, a subset $X_p := (j_p \circ i_X^n)(X) \subset L_p(\mu)$ and a Lipschitz function $h_P : X_p \rightarrow Y$ such that $h_P \circ j_p \circ i_X^n = P$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{P} & Y \\ i_X^n \downarrow & & \uparrow h_P \\ i_X^n(X) & \xrightarrow{j_p|} & X_p \\ \cap & & \cap \\ C(B_{\mathcal{P}(n_X)}, w^*) & \xrightarrow{j_p} & L_p(\mu) \end{array}$$

(4) There exist a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) , a n -homogeneous polynomial $\nu : X \rightarrow L_\infty(\mu)$ and a Lipschitz function $h_P : L_p(\mu) \rightarrow \ell_\infty^{B_{Y^*}}$ such that $i_Y \circ P = \hat{h}_P \circ i_p \circ \nu$. the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{P} & Y \\ \nu \downarrow & & \searrow i_Y \\ L_\infty(\mu) & \xrightarrow{i_p} & L_p(\mu) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \ell_\infty^{B_{Y^*}} \\ & \nearrow \hat{h}_P & \\ & & \end{array}$$

If $\pi_p^{Lip}(P) := \inf\{c; (1) \text{ holds}\}$, then $\pi_p^{Lip}(P) = \inf\{c; (2) \text{ holds}\}$ and $\|\tilde{h}_P\|_{Lip} = \pi_p^{Lip}(P) = \|h_P\|_{Lip}$ when the spaces are real and $\pi_p^{Lip}(P) = \|h_P\|_{Lip} \leq \|\tilde{h}_P\|_{Lip} \leq \sqrt{2}\pi_p^{Lip}(P)$ when the spaces are complex.

Definition 7.3. An n -homogeneous polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(n_X; Y)$ is **Lipschitz p -summing** if and only if it satisfies any of the equivalent conditions in Theorem 7.2.

Important properties of these polynomials can be derived from Theorem 7.2. This is the case of the Inclusion Theorem and the fact that whenever the symmetric

projective tensor product contains no copies of ℓ_1 , then every Lipschitz p -summing polynomial is compact.

The following homogenous polynomials, which are analogues to some of the multilinear examples given in Section 3, are Lipschitz p -summing. This fact can be proved in like manner as the proof of the linear case $n = 1$ [12, Examples 2.9].

Let K be a compact Hausdorff space and μ a positive regular Borel measure on K . If $h \in L_p(\mu)$, the n -homogeneous polynomial $P_h \in \mathcal{P}(C(K); L_p(\mu))$ defined as $P_h(g) := h(w) \cdot (g(w))^n$ is Lipschitz p -summing.

Given a sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_k)_k \in \ell_p$, the diagonal polynomial $P_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\ell_\infty; \ell_p)$, defined as $P_\lambda((a_k)_k) := (\lambda_k \cdot a_k^n)_k$, is Lipschitz p -summing.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors want to thank Luisa F. Higuera-Montaño and Samuel García-Hernández for their contributions especially in Sections 5 and 6, respectively.

REFERENCES

- [1] Albuquerque, N.; Bayart, F.; Pellegrino, D.; Seoane-Sepúlveda, J. B. Optimal Hardy-Littlewood type inequalities for polynomials and multilinear operators, *Israel J. Math.* 211 (2016), no. 1, 197-220.
- [2] Arias, Alvaro; Farmer, Jeff D. On the structure of tensor products of ℓ_p -spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* 175 (1996), no. 1, 13-37.
- [3] Benyamini Y.; Lindenstrauss J. *Geometric Nonlinear Functional Analysis Volume 1*; American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 48, 2000.
- [4] Blasco, O.; Botelho, G.; Pellegrino, D.; Rueda, P. Summability of multilinear mappings: Littlewood, Orlicz and beyond, *Monatsh. Math.* 163 (2011), no. 2, 131-147.
- [5] Bombal, F.; On some properties of Banach spaces. *Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exact. Fís. Natur. Madrid*, 84 (1990), no. 1, 83-116.
- [6] Bombal F.; Pérez-García, D.; Villanueva I. Multilinear extensions of Grothendieck's Theorem, *Q. J. Math.* 55 (2004), no. 4, 441-450.
- [7] Botelho G.; Pellegrino D.; Rueda P. A unified Pietsch domination theorem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 365 (2010), no. 1, 269-276.
- [8] Çalişkan, E.; Pellegrino, D. On the multilinear generalizations of the concept of absolutely summing operators, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 37 (2007), no. 4, 1137-1154.
- [9] Carando D.; Dimant V. On summability of bilinear operators, *Math. Nachr.* 259 (2003), 3-11.
- [10] Defant, A.; Floret, K. *Tensor norms and operator ideals. North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, 176, Amsterdam, 1993.
- [11] Defant A.; Sevilla-Peris P. A new multilinear insight on Littlewood's $\frac{4}{3}$ -inequality. *J. Funct. Anal.* 256 (2009), no. 5, 1642-1664.
- [12] Diestel J.; Jarchow, H.; Tonge A. *Absolutely summing operators*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [13] Diestel, J.; Fourie, J. H.; Swart, J. The metric theory of tensor products. Grothendieck's résumé revisited. *American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI*, 2008.
- [14] Dimant V. Strongly p -summing multilinear operators; *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 278 (2003), 182-193.
- [15] Dimant, V.; Sevilla-Peris, P. Summation of coefficients of polynomials on ℓ_p spaces. *Publ. Mat.* 60 (2016), no. 2, 289-310.
- [16] Dineen, S. *Complex analysis on infinite-dimensional spaces*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 1999.
- [17] Farmer, J.D.; Johnson, W. B. Lipschitz p -summing operators. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 137 (2009), no. 9, 2989-2995.
- [18] Fernández-Unzueta, M. Dunford-Pettis and Dieudonné polynomials on Banach spaces, *Illinois J. Math.* 45 (2001), no. 1, 291-307.
- [19] Fernández-Unzueta, M. The Segre cone of Banach spaces and multilinear mappings, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 68 (2020), no. 3, 575-593.

- [20] Fernández-Unzueta, M.; García-Hernández, S. Multilinear operators factoring through Hilbert spaces. *Banach J. Math. Anal.* 13 (2019), no. 2, 234-254.
- [21] Fernández-Unzueta, M.; García-Hernández, S. (p, q) -dominated multilinear operators and Lapresté tensor norms. *J. Math. Anal. App.* 470 (2019), no. 2, 982-1003.
- [22] Lindenstrauss J.; Pełczyński A. Absolutely summing operators in \mathcal{L}_p and their applications. *Studia Math.* 29 (1968), 275-326.
- [23] Matos M.C. Fully absolutely summing and Hilbert-Schmidt multilinear mappings, *Collect. Math.* 54 (2003), no.2 111-136.
- [24] Pełczyński, A. A characterization of Hilbert-Schmidt operators. *Studia Math.* 28 (1966/67) 355-360.
- [25] Pellegrino D.; Ribeiro, J. On multi-ideals and polynomial ideals of Banach spaces: a new approach to coherence and compatibility, *Monatsh Math. Monatsh. Math.* 173 (2014), no. 3, 379-415.
- [26] Pérez-García D. Comparing different classes of absolutely summing multilinear operators; *Arch. Math.* 85 (2005), 258-267.
- [27] Pérez-García D.; Villanueva I. Multiple summing operators on Banach Spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 285 (2003) no. 1, 86-96.
- [28] Pietsch A. Ideals of multilinear functionals (designs of a theory), *Proceedings of the second international conference on operator algebras, ideals, and their applications in theoretical physics* (Leipzig, 1983), Teubner-Texte Math., vol. 67, Teubner, Leipzig, 1984, 185-199.
- [29] Pisier G. Factorization of linear operators and geometry of Banach spaces. *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*, 60. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
- [30] Pisier G. Non-commutative vector valued L_p -spaces and completely p -summing maps. *Astérisque* No. 247 (1998).
- [31] Ryan, R. Introduction to tensor products of Banach spaces. *Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London*, 2002.

UNIVERSIDAD ANÁHUAC MAYAB; CARRETERA MÉRIDA-PROGRESO KM 15.5 AP. 96 CORDE-MEX CP. 97310 MÉRIDA, YUCATÁN, MÉXICO
E-mail address: `jorge.angulo@anahuac.mx`

CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN MATEMÁTICAS (CIMAT), A.P. 402 GUANAJUATO, GTO., MÉXICO, ORCID 0000-0002-8321-4877
E-mail address: `maite@ciamat.mx`