PUSH-FORWARDS OF CHOW GROUPS OF SMOOTH AMPLE DIVISORS.
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ABSTRACT. We introduce a homological Lefschetz conjecture on (rational) Chow groups, which can be deduced from some well known conjectures, and illustrate it by a series of key examples. We then prove the injectivity of the push-forward morphism on Chow groups, induced by the closed embedding of the Theta divisor in its Jacobian \( J(C) \). Here \( C \) is a smooth irreducible complex projective curve.
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1. Introduction

Suppose \( X \) is a smooth projective variety defined over the field of complex numbers. Let \( D \subset X \) be an ample smooth divisor on \( X \). Denote the closed embedding, \( j : D \hookrightarrow X \). Consider the push-forward homomorphism on Chow groups induced by \( j \):

\[
j_* : \text{CH}_k(D) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \text{CH}_k(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q},
\]

for \( k \geq 0 \). In this paper, we investigate the kernel of the morphism \( j_* \). This question is motivated by the following results and conjectures. When Chow groups are replaced by the singular homology of a smooth projective variety over \( \mathbb{C} \), the (dual of the) Lefschetz hyperplane theorem gives an isomorphism of the pushforward map:

\[
j_* : H_k(D, \mathbb{Z}) \to H_k(X, \mathbb{Z})
\]

for \( k \leq \dim D \), and surjectivity when \( k = \dim D \).

---

\( ^0 \)Mathematics Classification Number: 14C15, 14C20, 15C25, 14C35, 14F42

\( ^0 \)Keywords: Abel-Jacobi map, Theta divisor, Jacobian varieties, (higher) Chow groups.
M. Nori [No, Conjecture 7.2.5] gave improved bounds on the degrees of singular cohomology for the standard Lefschetz restriction maps, and when $D$ is a very general ample divisor of large degree on $X$. Furthermore, he conjectured the following on the restriction maps on the rational Chow groups:

**Conjecture 1.1.** Suppose $D$ is a very general smooth ample divisor on $X$, of sufficiently large degree. Then the restriction map:

$$j^*: \text{CH}^p(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \text{CH}^p(D) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

is an isomorphism, for $p < \dim D$ and is injective, for $p = \dim D$.

More generally, we have (see [Pa, Conjecture 1.5]):

**Conjecture 1.2.** Let $D$ be a smooth ample divisor on $X$. Then the restriction map for the inclusion of $D$ in $X$:

$$\text{CH}^p(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \text{CH}^p(D) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

is an isomorphism, for $p \leq \frac{\dim D - 1}{2}$.

It seems reasonable to pose the following dual of above Chow Lefschetz questions:

**Conjecture 1.3.** The pushforward map on the rational Chow groups, for a very general ample divisor $D \subset X$ of sufficiently large degree:

$$j_*: \text{CH}_k(D) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \text{CH}_k(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

is injective, whenever $k > 0$.

Similarly, we could pose the dual version of Conjecture 1.2:

**Conjecture 1.4.** Let $D$ be a smooth ample divisor on $X$. The pushforward map on the rational Chow groups,

$$j_*: \text{CH}_k(D) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \text{CH}_k(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

is injective, whenever $k > \frac{\dim D}{2}$.

In §2 we provide a motivic interpretation of Conjectures 1.2 and 1.4. If the Hodge conjecture and Bloch-Beilinson conjecture (based on the injectivity of the Abel-Jacobi map for for smooth projective varieties over $\mathbb{Q}$) holds, then both Conjectures 1.2 and 1.4 hold. Concerning Conjecture 1.4, we prove the following generalization:

**Theorem 1.5** (Vague form). Assume the Hodge and Bloch-Beilinson conjectures hold. Then:

$$k > \frac{\dim D - \nu}{2} \Rightarrow j_*: F^\nu \text{CH}_k(D; \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow F^\nu \text{CH}_k(X; \mathbb{Q}),$$

where $\{F^\nu \text{CH}^r(X; \mathbb{Q})\}_{\nu \geq 0}$ is the Bloch-Beilinson filtration on $\text{CH}^r(X; \mathbb{Q})$. (The case $\nu = 0$ yields the statement of Conjecture 1.4.)
In §3, our aim is to verify the bounds given in Theorem 1.5 when \( D \) is the Theta divisor, on the Jacobian of a smooth projective curve. It is well-known that \( \Theta \) is an ample divisor on \( J(C) \).

Let \( C \) be a smooth projective curve of genus \( g \) and let \( \Theta \) denote a Theta divisor inside the Jacobian \( J(C) \) of \( C \). Denote the inclusion \( j : \Theta \hookrightarrow J(C) \).

**Theorem 1.6.** Assume \( C \) is a non-hyperelliptic smooth projective curve over \( \mathbb{C} \). The pushforward morphisms

\[
j_* : F^1\text{CH}_{g-2}(\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) \to F^1\text{CH}_{g-2}(J(C); \mathbb{Q})
\]

and

\[
j_* : F^2\text{CH}_{g-3}(\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) \to F^2\text{CH}_{g-3}(J(C); \mathbb{Q})
\]

are injective.

In general, the Theta divisor is a singular variety with singular locus \( B \), of dimension at least \( g - 4 \). Equality holds if \( C \) is non-hyperelliptic. Hence if \( g \leq 3 \) then \( \Theta \) is smooth, and fulfills the above conjectural bound in Theorem 1.5. Furthermore, when \( g = 4 \) and \( C \) is non-hyperelliptic (this is the generic situation), then \( \Theta \) is singular and \( B \) is a finite set of points. The Chow groups of \( \Theta \) are taken as the usual Chow (homology) groups \( \text{CH}_1(\Theta) = \text{CH}_1(\Theta - B) \) (by localization). In this situation, there is an Abel-Jacobi map defined on the cycles homologous to zero on this group, and we define \( F^2\text{CH}_1(\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) \) as the kernel of this map. When \( g > 4 \), the same convention is used for \( F^2\text{CH}_{g-3}(\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) \), i.e.,

\[
F^2\text{CH}_{g-3}(\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) := F^2\text{CH}_{g-3}(\Theta - B; \mathbb{Q})
\]

Here the right term is the kernel of Abel-Jacobi map, see §4.6.

We remark that it is possible to produce divisors in the linear system of multiples of the theta divisor, which also fulfill Theorem 1.6 by taking ramified coverings of the curve.
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**Notation:** For the most part we are dealing with complex varieties. Let \( k \geq 0 \) be an integer. Denote

\[
\text{CH}_k(X; \mathbb{Q}) := \text{CH}_k(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.
\]

Here \( X \) is a variety of pure dimension \( n \), and \( \text{CH}_k(X) \) denotes the Chow group of \( k \)-dimensional cycles modulo rational equivalence.

We write

\[
\text{CH}_k(X, m)_\mathbb{Q} := \text{CH}^{\dim X + m - k}(X, m) \otimes \mathbb{Q} =: \text{CH}_k(X, m; \mathbb{Q}),
\]

to be the Bloch’s higher Chow groups with \( \mathbb{Q} \)-coefficients. By using the subscript notation, we are treating (higher) Chow groups as a homology theory.
2. Motivic interpretations

We wish to provide a motivic interpretation of Conjecture 1.4. But first some terminology, and background material, which is specific to this section only. Let \( \mathbb{Q}(r) \) be the Tate twist and consider the category of mixed Hodge structures over \( \mathbb{Q} \) (MHS). For a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-MHS \( V \), we put

\[
\Gamma(V) = \text{hom}_{\text{MHS}}(\mathbb{Q}(0), V),
\]

\[
J(V) = \text{Ext}_{\text{MHS}}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}(0), V).
\]

For instance, if \( X = X/\mathbb{C} \) is smooth and projective, then \( \Gamma(H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Q}(r))) \) can be identified with \( \mathbb{Q} \)-betti cohomology classes of Hodge type \((r, r)\), and \( J(H^{2r-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}(r))) \) can be identified (via J. Carlson) with the Griffiths jacobian (tensored with \( \mathbb{Q} \)). There is the cycle class map \( \text{CH}^{r}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \Gamma(H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Q}(r))) \), conjecturally surjective under the classical Hodge conjecture (HC), with kernel \( \text{CH}_{\text{hom}}^{r}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \). Accordingly there is the Griffiths Abel-Jacobi map \( AJ \otimes \mathbb{Q} : \text{CH}^{r}_{\text{hom}}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow J(H^{2r-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}(r))) \). Beilinson and Bloch have independently conjectured the following:

**Conjecture 2.1 (BBC).** Let \( W/\mathbb{Q} \) be smooth and projective, and assume given an integer \( r \geq 0 \). Then the Abel-Jacobi map

\[
AJ \otimes \mathbb{Q} : \text{CH}^{r}_{\text{hom}}(W/\mathbb{Q}; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow J(H^{2r-1}(W(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}(r))),
\]

is injective.

**Remark 2.2.** If one assumes the HC + BBC, then \( W/\mathbb{Q} \) can be replaced by a smooth quasi-projective variety.

Next, we need to inform the reader of the conjectured Bloch-Beilinson (BB) filtration. First conceived by Bloch and later fortified by Beilinson in terms of motivic extension datum, the idea is to measure the complexity of \( \text{CH}^{r}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \) in terms of a conjectural descending filtration. Rather than defining it here, we provide an explicit candidate which will define a Bloch-Beilinson filtration in the event that the HC and BBC holds.

2.3. A candidate BB filtration. We begin with the following result, by recalling:

**Theorem 2.4 ([Le]).** Let \( X/\mathbb{C} \) be smooth and projective, of dimension \( d \). Then for all \( r \geq 0 \), there is a descending filtration,

\[
\text{CH}^{r}(X; \mathbb{Q}) = F^{0} \supset F^{1} \supset \cdots \supset F^{r} \supset F^{r+1} \supset \cdots \supset F^{r+1} \supset F^{r+2} = \cdots,
\]

which satisfies the following:

(i) \( F^{1} = \text{CH}^{r}_{\text{hom}}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \).

(ii) \( F^{2} \subseteq \ker AJ \otimes \mathbb{Q} : \text{CH}^{r}_{\text{hom}}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow J(H^{2r-1}(X(\mathbb{Q}(r)))) \).\(^1\)

\(^1\)There is strong evidence that this inclusion is an equality \([\text{Le2}]\). In this paper we will tacitly assume this.
(iii) \( F^{v_1} \text{CH}^r(X; \mathbb{Q}) \bullet F^{v_2} \text{CH}^r(X; \mathbb{Q}) \subset F^{v_1+v_2} \text{CH}^{r_1+r_2}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \), where \( \bullet \) is the intersection product.

(iv) \( F^v \) is preserved under the action of correspondences between smooth projective varieties over \( \mathbb{C} \).

(v) Let \( \text{Gr}^v_F := F^v/F^{v+1} \) and assume that the Künneth components of the diagonal class \( [\Delta_X] = \bigoplus_{p+q=2d}[\Delta_X(p,q)] \in H^{2d}(X \times X, Q(d)) \) are algebraic. Then

\[
\Delta_X(2d - 2r + \ell, 2r - \ell)_{|_{\text{Gr}^v_F \text{CH}^r(X; \mathbb{Q})}} = \delta_{\ell,v} \cdot \text{Identity}.
\]

[If we assume the conjecture that homological and numerical equivalence coincide, then (v) says that \( \text{Gr}^v_F \) factors through the Grothendieck motive.]

(vi) Let \( D^r(X) := \bigcap_v F^v \). If the HC, and the Bloch-Beilinson conjecture (BBC) on the injectivity of the Abel-Jacobi map \( (\otimes \mathbb{Q}) \) holds for smooth projective varieties defined over \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \), then \( D^r(X) = 0 \).

It is essential to briefly explain how this filtration comes about. Consider a \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \)-spread \( \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S} \), where \( \rho \) is smooth and proper. Let \( \eta \) be the generic point of \( \mathcal{S} \), and put \( K := \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(\eta) \). Write \( X_K := X_\rho \). We introduced a decreasing filtration \( F^v \text{CH}^r(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q}) \), with the property that \( \text{Gr}^v_F \text{CH}^r(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow E^v_{\infty} \text{CH}^{2r-\nu}(\rho) \), where \( E^v_{\infty} \text{CH}^{2r-\nu}(\rho) \) is the \( \nu \)-th graded piece of the Leray filtration on the lowest weight part \( H^2_{\text{H}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}(r)) \) of Beilinson’s absolute Hodge cohomology \( H^2_{\text{H}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}(r)) \) associated to \( \rho \). That lowest weight part \( H^2_{\text{H}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}(r)) \subset H^2_{\text{H}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}(r)) \) is given by the image \( H^2_{\text{H}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}(r)) \to H^2_{\text{H}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}(r)) \), where \( \mathcal{X} \) is a smooth compactification of \( \mathcal{X} \). There is a cycle class map \( \text{CH}^{r}(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q}) := \text{CH}^{r}(\mathcal{X}/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^2_{\text{H}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}(r)) \), which is conjecturally injective under the BBC + HC conjectures, using the fact that there is a short exact sequence:

\[
0 \to J(H^{2r-1}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}(r))) \to H^2_{\text{H}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}(r)) \to \Gamma(H^{2r}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}(r))) \to 0.
\]

(Injectivity would imply \( D^r(X) = 0 \).) Regardless of whether or not injectivity holds, the filtration \( F^v \text{CH}^r(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q}) \) is given by the pullback of the Leray filtration on \( H^2_{\text{H}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}(r)) \) to \( \text{CH}^{r}(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q}) \). The term \( E^v_{\infty} \text{CH}^{2r-\nu}(\rho) \) fits in a short exact sequence:

\[
0 \to E^v_{\infty} \text{CH}^{2r-\nu}(\rho) \to E^v_{\infty} \text{CH}^{2r-\nu}(\rho) \to E^v_{\infty} \text{CH}^{2r-\nu}(\rho) \to 0,
\]

where

\[
E^v_{\infty} \text{CH}^{2r-\nu}(\rho) = \Gamma\left(H^v(\mathcal{S}, R^{2r-\nu} \rho_\ast \mathbb{Q}(r))\right),
\]

\[
E^v_{\infty} \text{CH}^{2r-\nu}(\rho) = J\left(W_{-1} H^{v-1}(\mathcal{S}, R^{2r-\nu} \rho_\ast \mathbb{Q}(r))\right) / \Gamma\left(G_{1w} H^{v-1}(\mathcal{S}, R^{2r-\nu} \rho_\ast \mathbb{Q}(r))\right) \subset J\left(H^{v-1}(\mathcal{S}, R^{2r-\nu} \rho_\ast \mathbb{Q}(r))\right).
\]

[Here the latter inclusion is a result of the short exact sequence:

\[
W_{-1} H^{v-1}(\mathcal{S}, R^{2r-\nu} \rho_\ast \mathbb{Q}(r)) \to W_0 H^{v-1}(\mathcal{S}, R^{2r-\nu} \rho_\ast \mathbb{Q}(r)) \to G_{1w} H^{v-1}(\mathcal{S}, R^{2r-\nu} \rho_\ast \mathbb{Q}(r)).
\]
One then has (by definition)

\[ F^\nu \text{CH}^r(X_K; \mathbb{Q}) = \lim_{U \subset S/\mathbb{Q}} F^\nu \text{CH}^r(X_U; \mathbb{Q}), \quad X_U := \rho^{-1}(U) \]

Further, since direct limits preserve exactness,

\[ Gr^\nu F^\nu \text{CH}^r(X_K; \mathbb{Q}) = \lim_{K \subset C} Gr^\nu F^\nu \text{CH}^r(X_K; \mathbb{Q}) \]

2.5. Now let \( j : D \hookrightarrow X \) be an inclusion of smooth irreducible projective varieties, with \( D \) ample and of codimension 1. The weak Lefschetz theorem implies that \( j^*: H^i(X, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^i(D, \mathbb{Z}) \) is an isomorphism if \( i < \dim D \) and injective for \( i = \dim D \). If we set \( i = 2r - \nu \), then the statement \( 2r < \dim D \) implies that \( 2r - \nu \leq \dim D - 1 \) for \( 0 \leq \nu \leq r \). Then by Theorem 2.4

\[ r \leq \left[ \frac{\dim D - 1}{2} \right] \Rightarrow j^*: Gr^\nu F^\nu \text{CH}^r(X; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} Gr^\nu F^\nu \text{CH}^r(D; \mathbb{Q}), \forall \nu = 0, ..., r \]

\[ \Rightarrow j^*: \text{CH}^r(X; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{CH}^r(D; \mathbb{Q}), \]

by downward induction, (under the assumption of the HC and BBC). This incidentally, provides the motivic interpretation of Conjecture 1.2.

Let \((j^*)^{-1}: \text{CH}^r(D; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{CH}^r(X; \mathbb{Q})\) be the inverse map. It is clearly cycle induced by the HC applied to the isomorphism of Hodge structures:

\[ [j^*]^{-1}: \bigoplus_{\nu=0}^{r} H^{2r-\nu}(D, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\nu=0}^{r} H^{2r-\nu}(X, \mathbb{Q}). \]

[Explicit: Apply the Hodge conjecture to

\[ \Gamma \left( \bigoplus_{\nu=0}^{r} H^{2\dim D - 2r+\nu}(D, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^{2r-\nu}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \right). \]

2We also remark in passing that under the same conjectural assumptions and argument, we have

\[ r \leq \left[ \frac{\dim D - 1 + \nu}{2} \right] \Rightarrow j^*: Gr^\nu F^\nu \text{CH}^r(X; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} Gr^\nu F^\nu \text{CH}^r(D; \mathbb{Q}), \forall \ell = \nu, ..., r \]

\[ \Rightarrow j^*: F^\nu \text{CH}^r(X; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} F^\nu \text{CH}^r(D; \mathbb{Q}). \]
One clearly has a commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{CH}^r(D; \mathbb{Q}) & \overset{(j^*)^{-1}}{\sim} & \text{CH}^r(X; \mathbb{Q}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{CH}^{r+1}(X) & & \text{CH}^{r+1}(X)
\end{array}
\]

(1)

\( j_* \) \( \triangleright \) \( \vee \) \( j_* \circ j^* \)

\( \text{CH}^{r+1}(X) \)

Moreover \( j_* \circ j^* = \cup \{D\} \). Since \( j : D \hookrightarrow X \) is ample, it follows that for \( 2r < \dim X \), \( j_* \circ j^* : H^{2r-\nu}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{2(r+1)-\nu}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \) is injective. Now working with the diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rightarrow & F^{\nu+1}\text{CH}^r(X; \mathbb{Q}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & F^\nu\text{CH}^{r+1}(X; \mathbb{Q})
\end{array}
\]

(2)

\( j_* \circ j^* \)

\( 0 \rightarrow F^{\nu+1}\text{CH}^{r+1}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow F^\nu\text{CH}^{r+1}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_\nu^r\text{CH}^{r+1}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow 0 \)

It follows that if the left and right vertical arrows in diagram (2) are injective, then so is the middle. By downward induction on \( \nu \), we deduce from the BB filtration that \( j_* \circ j^* \) in diagram (1) is injective, \textit{a fortiori} \( j_* \) is injective in (1). Now let \( k = d - 1 - r = \dim D - r \). Then we have \( j_* : \text{CH}_k(D; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{CH}_k(X; \mathbb{Q}) \) injective, provided \( k > \dim D/2 \). Quite generally, one can show the following:

\textbf{Theorem 2.6.} Assume the Hodge (HC) and Bloch-Beilinson (BBC) conjectures. Then:

\[ k > \frac{\dim D - \nu}{2} \Rightarrow j_* : F^\nu\text{CH}_k(D; \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow F^\nu\text{CH}_k(X; \mathbb{Q}). \]

Now if we allow the injective statement \( j^* : H^{d-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow H^{d-1}(D, \mathbb{Q}) \), then in diagram (1), \( j^* \) is injective with left inverse \( (j^*)^{-1} \). Then \( 2k = 2\dim D - 2r \geq \dim D > \dim D - 1 \), i.e. \( k > \frac{\dim D - 1}{2} \), but a caveat is in order here as \( (j^*)^{-1} \) is not injective. We can get around this by restricting to null-homologous cycles, via the above theorem for \( \nu = 1 \).

The next 3 examples illustrate what can happen if

\[ \frac{\dim D - 1}{2} < k \leq \frac{\dim D}{2}, \]

thus indicating that the inequality in Conjecture [1.4] is effective.

\textbf{Example 2.7.} Let \( j : D \hookrightarrow X \) be a finite set of points defining an ample divisor on a smooth curve \( X \). We assume that \( D \) supports a zero cycle that is rationally equivalent to zero on \( X \). Obviously \( j_* : \text{CH}_0(D; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0(X; \mathbb{Q}) \) is not injective, and yet \( k := 0 > \frac{\dim D - 1}{2} = -1/2 \).

\textbf{Example 2.8.} Let \( j : D \hookrightarrow X := \mathbb{P}^3 \) be a smooth cubic surface. Note that \( \text{CH}_1(D; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}^7 \) and \( \text{CH}_1(X; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \). Thus \( j_* : \text{CH}_1(D; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{CH}_1(X; \mathbb{Q}) \) is not injective. Here \( k := 1 > \frac{2-1}{2} = 1/2 \). Similar story if \( D \) is a quadric.
Example 2.9. Let $D = \text{Fermat quintic in } \mathbb{P}^5 =: X$. Let $\xi = L_1 - L_2 \in \text{CH}_2(D; \mathbb{Q})$, a difference of two nonhomologous planes in $D$. Then $j_* (\xi) = 0$. Here $k = 2 > (\dim D - 1)/2$.

Regarding Conjecture 1.1, if $n = \dim X$ then we require $p < n - 1$ for an isomorphism and $p = n - 1$ for an injection. [Consider the fact that $\text{CH}^n(D) = 0$, and yet $\text{CH}^n(X)$ can be highly nontrivial.]

2.10. **Higher Chow analogues.** From the works of M. Saito and M. Asakura (see [AS]), Theorem 2.4 naturally extends to the higher Chow groups. In particular, if one assumes the HC, together with a generalized version of the BBC, viz.,

**Conjecture 2.11.** Let $W/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a smooth projective variety. Then the Abel-Jacobi map

$$\text{CH}_n^{\text{hom}}(W/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}, m; \mathbb{Q}) \to J(H^{2r-m-1}(W, \mathbb{Q}(r)))$$

is injective;

then for $X/\mathbb{C}$ smooth projective of dimension $d$, there is a (unique) BB filtration

$$\{ F^\nu \text{CH}^r(X, m; \mathbb{Q}) \}_{\nu=0}^r,$$

for which the $\nu$-th graded piece

$$\text{Gr}_\nu \text{CH}^r(X, m; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \Delta_X(2d - 2r + m + \nu, 2r - m - \nu) \text{CH}^r(X, m; \mathbb{Q}).$$

**Theorem 2.12.** Let us assume Conjecture 2.11 and the HC. Then

$$j^* : \text{CH}^r(X, m; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{CH}^r(D, m; \mathbb{Q}),$$

for

$$r \leq \frac{\dim D + m - 1}{2}; \quad \text{moreover,}$$

$$k > \frac{\dim D - \nu + m}{2} \Rightarrow j_* : F^\nu \text{CH}_k(D, m; \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow F^\nu \text{CH}_k(X, m; \mathbb{Q}).$$

**Proof.** (Sketch.) Using the theory of mixed Hodge modules [AS], the idea of proof is virtually the same as when $m = 0$, with a modification of indices. For instance, one is now dealing with a short exact sequence

$$0 \to E_{\infty}^{\nu, 2r-\nu-m}(\rho) \to E_{\infty}^{\nu, 2r-\nu-m}(\rho) \to \underbrace{E_{\infty}^{\nu, 2r-\nu-m}(\rho)}_{\text{injective}} \to 0,$$

where

$$\overbrace{E_{\infty}^{\nu, 2r-\nu-m}(\rho)} = \frac{J(W_1 H^{\nu-1}(S, R^{2r-\nu-m}_{j_* Q}(r)))}{\Gamma(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\nu-1}(S, R^{2r-\nu-m}_{j_* Q}(r)))} \subset J(H^{\nu-1}(S, R^{2r-\nu-m}_{j_* Q}(r))).$$

The statement $j^* : H^{2r-\nu-m}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{2r-\nu-m}(D, \mathbb{Q})$ holds for all $\nu = 0, \ldots, r$ provided that $2r - m \leq \dim D - 1$, i.e. $r \leq \frac{\dim D + m - 1}{2}$. Quite generally

$$r \leq \frac{m + \nu + \dim D - 1}{2} \Rightarrow j^* : F^\nu \text{CH}^r(X, m; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} F^\nu \text{CH}^r(D, m; \mathbb{Q}).$$
For the latter part of the theorem, observe that $\text{CH}^r(D, m) = \text{CH}_k(D, m)$, where $k = \dim D + m - r$. Then

$$r \leq \frac{m + \nu + \dim D - 1}{2} \iff k \geq \frac{\dim D + m - \nu + 1}{2} \iff k > \frac{\dim D + m - \nu}{2}.$$ 

One then argues, as in the case $m = 0$, that

$$k > \frac{\dim D + m - \nu}{2} \Rightarrow j_* : F^r\text{CH}_k(D, m; \mathbb{Q}) \leftrightarrow F^r\text{CH}_k(X, m; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Example 2.13. Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ and $j : D \hookrightarrow X$ an elliptic curve. We consider the map $j_* : \text{CH}_1(D, 2; \mathbb{Q}) \to \text{CH}_1(\mathbb{P}^2, 2; \mathbb{Q})$. In this case $k = 1$ is almost, but not quite in the range of the above theorem, even in the event that $\nu = 1$, where it is well-known that for $m \geq 1$ that $F^0\text{CH}^1(X, m; \mathbb{Q}) = F^1\text{CH}^1(X, m; \mathbb{Q})$, as $\Gamma H^{2r-m}(W, \mathbb{Q}(r)) = 0$, for any projective algebraic manifold $W$. Note that $\text{CH}_1(D, 2; \mathbb{Q}) = \text{CH}^2(D, 2; \mathbb{Q})$ and $\text{CH}_1(\mathbb{P}^2, 2; \mathbb{Q}) = \text{CH}^2(\mathbb{P}^2, 2; \mathbb{Q})$. We need the following terminology. Given a variety $Y/\mathbb{C}$, we denote by $\pi_Y : Y \to \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ the structure map, and where appropriate, $L_Y$ the operation of taking the intersection product with a hyperplane section of $Y$. Note that by a slight generalization of the Bloch-Quillen formula, $\text{CH}^1(Y, 2) = 0$ for smooth $Y$, and for dimension reasons, $\text{CH}^3(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}), 2) = 0$. Thus by the projective bundle formula, $\text{CH}^3(\mathbb{P}^2, 2) = L_{\mathbb{P}^2} \cup \pi_{\mathbb{P}^2}^* \text{CH}^2(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}), 2) \simeq \text{CH}^2(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}), 2)$. Note that $\pi_D^* : \text{CH}^2(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}), 2; \mathbb{Q}) \to \text{CH}^2(D, 2; \mathbb{Q})$ is injective. This is because, up to multiplication by some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the left inverse is given by $\pi_D^* \circ L_D$. There is a commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \uparrow & \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
cok & \downarrow & cok \\
\text{CH}^2(D, 2; \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & \text{CH}^3(\mathbb{P}^2, 2; \mathbb{Q}) \\
\uparrow \pi_D^* & & \uparrow \\
\text{CH}^2(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}), 2; \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{} & \text{CH}^2(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}), 2; \mathbb{Q})
\end{array}
$$

It is obvious that $\text{cok} \neq 0$ is the obstruction to $j_*$ being injective, and yet that is the case if $D$ is an elliptic curve. Note that if we accommodate the situation where $k = 2$, then we are looking at $j_* : 0 = \text{CH}^1(D, 2) = \text{CH}_2(D, 2) \to \text{CH}_2(\mathbb{P}^2, 2) = \text{CH}^2(\mathbb{P}^2, 2) \simeq \text{CH}_2(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}), 2) = K_2(\mathbb{C})$, which is clearly injective, albeit not surjective.

Example 2.14. Let $X = \mathbb{P}^3$, and $j : D \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ a general $K3$ surface. The map $j_* : \text{CH}^2(D, 1; \mathbb{Q}) = \text{CH}_1(D, 1; \mathbb{Q}) \to \text{CH}^3(\mathbb{P}^3, 1; \mathbb{Q})$ is not injective, due to the presence of “indecomposables” in $\text{CH}^2(D, 1; \mathbb{Q})$ [C-Le]. Notice that $k = 1 \leq \frac{\dim D - \nu + m}{2} = \frac{3 - \nu}{2}$, for $\nu = 0, 1$. If we consider a $k = 2$ example, then we are looking at $j_* : \text{CH}^1(D, 1) = \mathbb{C}^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}^\times \simeq \text{CH}^2(\mathbb{P}^3, 1)$, which is an isomorphism in this case, a fortiori $j_*$ is injective.
3. Inclusion of Theta divisor into the Jacobian

In this section we investigate the kernel of the push-forward homomorphism, induced by the closed embedding $j$ of the Theta divisor inside the Jacobian of a smooth projective curve $C$ of genus $g$. Recall that $\Theta$ is an ample divisor on $J(C)$. Consider the induced pushforward map on the rational Chow groups:

$$j_* : \text{CH}_k(\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) \to \text{CH}_k(J(C); \mathbb{Q})$$

for $k \geq 0$.

To investigate the map $j_*$, we use a similar comparison theorem ([Co]) on symmetric products of the curve $C$.

Fix a point $P$ in $C$. Consider the inclusion

$$j_C : \text{Sym}^{g-1}C \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}^gC$$

defined by $P_1 + \cdots + P_{g-1} \mapsto P_1 + \cdots + P_{g-1} + P$.

Here the sum denotes the unordered set of points of lengths $(g-1)$ and $(g)$.

Then the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Sym}^{g-1}C & \xrightarrow{j_C} & \text{Sym}^g(C) \\
\downarrow q_\Theta & & \downarrow q \\
\Theta & \xrightarrow{j} & \text{Pic}^g(C)
\end{array}$$

We recall the structure of the birational morphisms $q_\Theta$ and $q$.

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose $C$ is a smooth projective curve over the complex numbers.

1) The morphism $q$ is a blow-up along the subvariety

$$W^1_g := \{l \in \text{Pic}^g(C) : h^0(l) \geq 2\}.$$  

Furthermore, the singular locus of $W^1_g$ is

$$W^2_g = \{l \in \text{Pic}^g(C) : h^0(l) \geq 3\}.$$  

This is a Cohen-Macaulay and a normal variety. Hence codimension of $W^2_g$ in $W^1_g$ is at least two.

Denote $B = \text{Sing}(\Theta)$, the singular locus of $\Theta$.

2) Then $\dim(B) = g - 4$, when $C$ is non-hyperelliptic and is equal to $g - 3$ if $C$ is hyperelliptic.

3) We have the equality:

$$B = W^1_{g-1} = \{l \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C) : h^0(l) \geq 2\}$$

Furthermore, $B$ is a Cohen-Maculay, and a normal variety.
4) The morphism $q$ is an isomorphism on $\text{Sym}^g(C) - q^{-1}(W_1)$ onto $J(C) - W_1$. The fibres over $W_1$ are projective spaces.

5) The morphism $q_{\Theta}$ is an isomorphism on $\text{Sym}^{g-1}(C) - q_{\Theta}^{-1}(W_{g-1})$. The fibres over $W_{g-1}$ are projective spaces.

**Proof.** See [ACGH, p.190, Proposition 4.4, Corollary 4.5] and [Mu, Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 1.2]. □

We identify $\text{Pic}^r(C)$ with $\text{Pic}^0(C)$ via the map $l \mapsto l \otimes O_C(-r.p)$. Apply this to $r = g-1, g$, and we obtain the commutative diagram on the rational Chow groups:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{CH}_k(\text{Sym}^{g-1}C; \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & \text{CH}_k(\text{Sym}^gC; \mathbb{Q}) \\
\downarrow{q_{\Theta}^*} & & \downarrow{q^*} \\
\text{CH}_k(\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & \text{CH}_k(J(C); \mathbb{Q})
\end{array}
$$

(3)

3.2. $k = 0$. We start by looking at the case when $k = 0$.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve of genus $g$. Let $\Theta$ be a Theta divisor embedded inside $J(C)$ and let $j$ denote the embedding. Then the push-forward homomorphism

$$j_* : \text{CH}_0(\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) \to \text{CH}_0(J(C); \mathbb{Q})$$

is injective.

**Proof.** Refer to the commutative diagram (3). Consider the pushforward map:

$$j_* : \text{CH}_0(\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) \to \text{CH}_0(J(C); \mathbb{Q}).$$

By Collino’s theorem [Co, Theorem 1], the map $(j_C)_*$ is injective. Since the morphisms $q$ and $q_{\Theta}$ are birational morphisms (see [Mu]), and $\text{CH}_0$ is a birational invariant for smooth varieties, we have the equality:

$$\text{CH}_0(J(C); \mathbb{Q}) = \text{CH}_0(\text{Sym}^g(C); \mathbb{Q}).$$

We refer to Lemma 3.1 and consider $B := \text{Sing}(\Theta)$, the singular locus of $\Theta$ and $U := \Theta - B$. Now $q_{\Theta}$ is an isomorphism outside $B$. Consider the localization maps:

$$\text{CH}_0(q_{\Theta}^{-1}B) \to \text{CH}_0(\text{Sym}^{g-1}(C); \mathbb{Q}) \to \text{CH}_0(U; \mathbb{Q}) \to 0$$

and

$$\text{CH}_0(B) \to \text{CH}_0(\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) \to \text{CH}_0(U; \mathbb{Q}) \to 0.$$

By projective bundle formula, $\text{CH}_0(q_{\Theta}^{-1}(B); \mathbb{Q}) = \text{CH}_0(B; \mathbb{Q})$.

Hence we conclude the injectivity of $j_*$. □
3.4. **Case** $g = 3$, $k = 1$. Suppose genus($C$) = 3 and $C$ is non-hyperelliptic. Here $Sym^3(C)$ is the blow-up of $J(C)$ along the curve $C$, i.e.

$$C = \{ L \in \text{Pic}^4(C) : h^0(L) \geq 2 \}.$$ 

Furthermore, $\Theta = Sym^2(C)$. See Lemma 3.1. Here $C \hookrightarrow J(C) \cong \text{Pic}^3(C)$, via $O(x) \mapsto K_C \otimes O_C(-x)$, where $K_C$ is the canonical line bundle of $C$.

Hence we can write

$$q : \text{Sym}^3(C) = \text{Bl}_C(J(C)) \rightarrow J(C).$$

Let $E_C$ denote the exceptional surface inside $\text{Sym}^3(C)$. By blow-up formula:

$$\text{CH}^1(\text{Sym}^3(C); \mathbb{Q}) = \text{CH}^1(J(C); \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \text{CH}^1(E_C; \mathbb{Q}).$$

**Proposition 3.5.** The pushforward map

$$j_* : F^1\text{CH}^1(\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow F^1\text{CH}^1(J(C); \mathbb{Q})$$

is injective.

**Proof.** Let $\Theta$ be denoted by $H := [\Theta] \in \text{CH}^1(J(C))$. Consider the maps given by intersection with the $\Theta$, in $J(C)$:

$$\text{CH}^1(J(C); \mathbb{Q}) \cap H \rightarrow \text{CH}^1(\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{CH}^2(J(C); \mathbb{Q}).$$

This map restricts on the $F^1$-piece, and is compatible with the Abel-Jacobi maps. Hence we get a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F^1\text{CH}^1(J(C); \mathbb{Q}) & \rightarrow & F^1\text{CH}^1(J(C); \mathbb{Q}) \\
\downarrow AJ^1_\Theta & & \downarrow AJ^1_\Theta \\
IJ(H^1(J(C); \mathbb{Q})) & \rightarrow & IJ(H^1(\Theta; \mathbb{Q})) \\
\downarrow AJ^2_\Theta & & \downarrow AJ^2_\Theta \\
IJ(H^3(J(C); \mathbb{Q})) & \rightarrow & IJ(H^3(J(C); \mathbb{Q}))
\end{array}$$

Now $AJ^1_\Theta$ and $AJ^2_\Theta$ are isomorphisms. Since $H$ is an ample divisor, $h \circ (\cap H)$ is injective by hard Lefschetz theorem, and $\cap H$ on $IJ(H^1(J(C); \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism, by the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem. This implies that $h$ is injective. Hence $j_*$ is injective.

\[\square\]

4. **ABEL-JACOBI MAPS ON $F^1\text{CH}_r(\Theta; \mathbb{Q})$**

When $g \geq 4$, the Theta divisor is singular and the singular locus $B$ has dimension at least $g - 4$. When $C$ is non-hyperelliptic the dimension is equal to $g - 4$. See Lemma 3.1. We will consider a non-hyperelliptic curve $C$, which is the generic situation.

Consider the localization sequence:

$$\text{CH}_k(B; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{CH}_k(\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{CH}_k(\Theta - B) \rightarrow 0.$$
We would like to know how $F^1$ behaves with localization and associate Abel-Jacobi maps to the $F^1$-terms.

4.1. **General Abel-Jacobi maps.** Suppose $X$ is a smooth quasi projective variety defined over the complex numbers. Let $\overline{X} \subset X$ be a smooth compactification of $X$. Let MHS denote the category of $\mathbb{Q}$-mixed Hodge structures. There is the Abel-Jacobi map:

$$\text{CH}^m_{\text{hom}}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \to \text{Ext}^1_{\text{MHS}}(\mathbb{Q}(0), H^{2m-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}(m))) = \text{Ext}^1_{\text{MHS}}(\mathbb{Q}(0), W_0 H^{2m-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}(m)))$$

We are interested in the Abel-Jacobi map restricted to the image:

$$\text{CH}^m_{\text{hom}}(X; \mathbb{Q})^\circ := \text{Im}(\text{CH}^m_{\text{hom}}(\overline{X}; \mathbb{Q}) \to \text{CH}^m_{\text{hom}}(X; \mathbb{Q})).$$

The conjectured equality

$$\text{CH}^m_{\text{hom}}(X; \mathbb{Q})^\circ = \text{CH}^m_{\text{hom}}(X; \mathbb{Q}),$$

is a consequence of the Hodge conjecture. In fact, we have:

**Proposition 4.2.** Let $Y \subset X$ be a subvariety, where $X$ is smooth projective. For $m \leq 2$ and $m \geq n-1$ (and more generally for all $m$ if one assumes the Hodge Conjecture), there is an exact sequence:

$$\text{CH}^m_Y(X; \mathbb{Q})^\circ \to \text{CH}^m_{\text{hom}}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \to \text{CH}^m_{\text{hom}}(X - Y; \mathbb{Q}) \to 0,$$

where

$$\text{CH}^m_Y(X; \mathbb{Q})^\circ := \{ \xi \in \text{CH}^m_Y(X; \mathbb{Q}) | j(\xi) \in \text{CH}^m_{\text{hom}}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \}.$$ 

Here $j$ is the map

$$\text{CH}^m_Y(X; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{j} \text{CH}^m(X; \mathbb{Q}) \to \text{CH}^m(X - Y; \mathbb{Q}) \to 0.$$

**Proof.** Left to the reader. \hfill \Box

Thus we get a map $\text{CH}^m(X; \mathbb{Q})^\circ_{\text{hom}} \to$

$$\text{Im}(\text{Ext}^1_{\text{MHS}}(\mathbb{Q}(0), W_{-1} H^{2m-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}(m))) \to \text{Ext}^1_{\text{MHS}}(\mathbb{Q}(0), W_0 H^{2m-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}(m))).$$

Here the latter term can be identified with

$$\frac{\text{Ext}^1_{\text{MHS}}(\mathbb{Q}(0), W_{-1} H^{2m-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}(m)))}{\delta_{\text{homMHS}}(\mathbb{Q}(0), G_{0}^W H^{2m-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}(m)))},$$

where $\delta$ is the connecting homomorphism in the long exact sequence associated to

$$0 \to W_{-1} H^{2m-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}(m)) \to W_0 H^{2m-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}(m)) \to G_{0}^W H^{2m-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}(m)) \to 0.$$

In case, $G_{0}^W H^{2m-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}(m)) = 0$ then the target of the Abel-Jacobi map is the group $\text{Ext}^1_{\text{MHS}}(\mathbb{Q}(0), W_{-1} H^{2m-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}(m)))$. 
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4.3. **F^2-term of CH_k(Θ; Q)**. In this subsection, we consider the situation \( B \subset Θ \). Denote the complement \( U := Θ - B \).

Recall from Lemma 3.1 that the morphism \( q_Θ : \text{Sym}^{g-1}C \to Θ \) is a birational morphism and is a smooth resolution of \( Θ \) ([Mu]).

Denote \( U_{sym} := q_Θ^{-1}(U) \) and \( Y := \text{Sym}^{g-1}(C) - U_{sym} \). Note \( U_{sym} \simeq U \).

**Lemma 4.4.** The restriction map

\[
F^1\text{CH}_k(\text{Sym}^{g-1}(C); Q) \to F^1\text{CH}_k(U_{sym}; Q)
\]

is surjective, when \( k = g - 2, g - 3 \).

**Proof.** Apply the localization sequence and Proposition 4.2 to the triple

\((Y \subset \text{Sym}^{g-1}(C) \supset U_{sym})\).

This gives a surjective map, when \( k = g - 2, g - 3 \):

\[
F^1\text{CH}_k(\text{Sym}^{g-1}(C); Q) \to F^1\text{CH}_k(U_{sym}; Q) \to 0.
\]

\(\square\)

Consider the pushforward \( q_{Θ*} : \text{CH}_k(\text{Sym}^{g-1}(C); Q) \to \text{CH}_k(Θ; Q) \).

**Lemma 4.5.** For \( k = g - 2, g - 3 \), the restriction map in (4) induces a map

\[
\frac{F^1\text{CH}_k(\text{Sym}^{g-1}(C); Q)}{F^1 \cap \ker(q_{Θ*})} \to F^1\text{CH}_k(U_{sym}; Q)
\]

which is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** Since \( C \) is a non-hyperelliptic curve, \( \dim(B) = g - 4 \). Hence if \( k > g - 4 \), the restriction

\( h_* : \text{CH}_k(Θ; Q) \to \text{CH}_k(U; Q) \)

is an isomorphism. Consider the commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{CH}_k(\text{Sym}^{g-1}(C); Q) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & \text{CH}_k(U_{sym}; Q) \\
\downarrow q_{Θ*} & & \downarrow q_{u*} \\
\text{CH}_k(Θ; Q) & \xrightarrow{h_*} & \text{CH}_k(U; Q)
\end{array}
\]

This induces a corresponding diagram on the \( F^1 \)-terms. Note that \( q_{u*} \) is an isomorphism, since \( q_Θ \) is an isomorphism outside \( B \). Hence, we obtain an isomorphism:

\[
\frac{F^1\text{CH}_k(\text{Sym}^{g-1}(C); Q)}{F^1 \cap \ker(q_{Θ*})} \to F^1\text{CH}_k(U_{sym}; Q) = F^1\text{CH}_k(Θ; Q)
\]

\(\square\)
4.6. **Abel-Jacobi maps on $F^1$-terms.** When $k = g - 2$, $g - 3$, denote $l = 1, 2$ the corresponding codimension. Using §4.1 and purity of Hodge structures (here dim($B) = g - 4$), there is an Abel-Jacobi map:

$$AJ_\Theta : F^1CH_k(\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) = F^1CH_k(U; \mathbb{Q}) \to IJ(H^1(U); \mathbb{Q})$$

In the spirit of Proposition 3.5, we will assume

$$F^2CH_k(\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) = F^2CH_k(U; \mathbb{Q}) = \ker(AJ_\Theta).$$

We recall the following, which will be used in the next section.

**Lemma 4.7.** The Abel-Jacobi map

$$AJ : F^1CH^1(U, \mathbb{Q}) \to IJ(H^1(U, \mathbb{Q}))$$

is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** See [Le Proposition 2.5].

5. **Main Theorem**

Now we can state our main theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** Assume $C$ is a non-hyperelliptic smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$. The pushforward morphisms

$$j_* : F^1CH_{g-2}(\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) \to F^1CH_{g-2}(J(C); \mathbb{Q})$$

and

$$j_* : F^2CH_{g-3}(\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) \to F^2CH_{g-3}(J(C); \mathbb{Q})$$

are injective.

Note that the first injectivity statement generalizes Proposition 3.5.

**Proof.** Consider the birational morphisms

$$q_\Theta : \text{Sym}^{g-1}(C) \to \Theta$$

and

$$q : \text{Sym}^g(C) \to J(C).$$

These maps induce the commutative diagram on the $F^1$-terms of the rational Chow groups:
Denote \( l = g - 1 - k \). The above diagram is compatible via Abel-Jacobi maps to the corresponding commutative diagram of intermediate Jacobians:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
F^1\text{CH}^k(\text{Sym}^{g-1}(C); \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{j^*} F^1\text{CH}^k(\text{Sym}^g(C); \mathbb{Q}) \\
\downarrow q_\Theta \hspace{1cm} \downarrow q_* \\
F^1\text{CH}^k(\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{j_*} F^1\text{CH}^k(J(C); \mathbb{Q})
\end{array}
\]

In the above diagram, \( l = 1, 2 \). Since \( \text{codim}(B) \geq 2 \) in \( \Theta \), without any confusion, we write \( IJ(H^l(\Theta; \mathbb{Q})) = IJ(H^l(U; \mathbb{Q})) \).

**Case 1**) \( l = 1 \).

Denote \( H \) the ample divisor \( \Theta \) on \( J(C) \), Consider the diagram obtained by intersecting with \( H \) in \( CH^*(J(C)) \) (resp. in \( H^*(J(C), \mathbb{Q}) \)).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
IJ(H^l(\text{Sym}^{g-1}(C); \mathbb{Q})) \xrightarrow{j^*} IJ(H^{l+2}(\text{Sym}^g(C); \mathbb{Q})) \\
\downarrow q_\Theta \hspace{1cm} \downarrow q_* \\
IJ(H^l(\Theta; \mathbb{Q})) \xrightarrow{h} IJ(H^{l+2}(J(C); \mathbb{Q}))
\end{array}
\]

Now \( AJ^1_\Theta \) and \( AJ_\Theta \) are isomorphisms. In particular \( AJ_\Theta \) is defined in terms of \( U := \Theta - B \) (see Lemma \[4.7\]). Since \( H \) is an ample class, \( h \circ (\cap H) \) is injective by hard Lefschetz theorem, and \( \cap H \) on \( IJ(H^1(J(C); \mathbb{Q})) \) is an isomorphism, by Lefschetz hyperplane theorem. This implies that \( h \) is injective. Hence \( j_* \) is injective.

**Case 2)**: \( l = 2 \).

Now \( q : \text{Sym}^g(C) \to J(C) \) is a blow-up morphism along a codimension two subvariety

\[
W = \{ L \in \text{Pic}^g(C) : h^0(L) \geq 2 \}.
\]

(See Lemma \[3.1\]). Denote \( E_W \subset \text{Sym}^g(C) \) the exceptional locus of the blow-up morphism \( q \). In particular, we can write a decomposition:

\[
\text{CH}_k(\text{Sym}^g(C); \mathbb{Q}) = \text{CH}_k(J(C); \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \text{CH}_k(E_W; \mathbb{Q})
\]
Denote \( H \) the ample divisor \( \text{Sym}^{g-1}(C) \) on \( \text{Sym}^g(C) \), in \( \text{CH}^*(\text{Sym}^g(C); \mathbb{Q}) \) (resp. in \( H^*(\text{Sym}^g(C), \mathbb{Q}) \)).

Consider the Abel-Jacobi maps on the \( F^1 \)-terms of the Chow groups of the Symmetric products, which are compatible with the intersection \( \cap H \):

\[
\begin{align*}
F^1\text{CH}^2(\text{Sym}^g(C); \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\cap H} F^1\text{CH}^2(\text{Sym}^{g-1}(C); \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{j_*} F^1\text{CH}^3(\text{Sym}^g(C); \mathbb{Q}) \\
\text{AJ}_{\text{sym}}^1 & \quad \text{AJ}_{\text{sym}}^1 \\
I.J(\text{H}^3(\text{Sym}^g(C); \mathbb{Q})) & \xrightarrow{\cap H} I.J(\text{H}^3(\text{Sym}^{g-1}(C); \mathbb{Q})) \xrightarrow{h} I.J(\text{H}^5(\text{Sym}^g(C); \mathbb{Q}))
\end{align*}
\]

By [Co, Theorem 1], the Chow restriction map \( \cap H \) is surjective and \( j_* \) is injective. This implies that using the decomposition in [7], we can write the above commutative diagram as

\[
\begin{align*}
F^1\text{CH}^2(J(C); \mathbb{Q}) \oplus F^1\text{CH}^1(E_W; \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\cap H} H.F^1\text{CH}^2(\text{Sym}^g(C); \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H.F^1\text{CH}^1(E_W; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{j_*} F^1\text{CH}^3(\text{Sym}^g(C); \mathbb{Q}) \\
\text{AJ}_{\text{sym}}^J & \quad \text{AJ}_{\text{sym}}^J \\
I.J(\text{H}^3(\text{Sym}^g(C); \mathbb{Q})) & \xrightarrow{\cap H} I.J(\text{H}^3(\text{Sym}^{g-1}(C); \mathbb{Q})) \xrightarrow{h} I.J(\text{H}^5(\text{Sym}^g(C); \mathbb{Q}))
\end{align*}
\]

A similar decomposition exists for the intermediate Jacobians. This implies that we have the equality

\[
\text{Kernel}(\text{AJ}_{\text{sym}}) = \text{Kernel}(\text{AJ}_{\text{sym}}^J) \oplus \text{Kernel}(\text{AJ}_{\text{sym}}^W).
\]

Here \( \text{AJ}_{\text{sym}}^J \) is the restriction of \( \text{AJ}_{\text{sym}} \) on the first summand and \( \text{AJ}_{\text{sym}}^W \) is the restriction of \( \text{AJ}_{\text{sym}} \) on the second summand.

However,

\[
\text{AJ}_{\text{sym}}^W : H.F^1\text{CH}^1(E_W; \mathbb{Q}) \to H.I.J(H^1(E_W))
\]

has no kernel.

Hence,

\[
\text{Kernel}(\text{AJ}_{\text{sym}}) = \text{Kernel}(\text{AJ}_{\text{sym}}^J)
\]

In other words, if we consider the composed map

\[
F^1\text{CH}^2(\text{Sym}^{g-1}(C); \mathbb{Q}) \to F^1\text{CH}^2(\text{Sym}^g(C); \mathbb{Q}) \to F^1\text{CH}^3(J(C); \mathbb{Q})
\]

(the second map is the projection to its first summand), then it induces an injective map

\[
F^2\text{CH}^2(\text{Sym}^{g-1}(C); \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow F^2\text{CH}^3(J(C); \mathbb{Q})
\]

Now observe that

\[
F^2\text{CH}^2(\text{Sym}^{g-1}(C); \mathbb{Q}) = F^2(\frac{\text{CH}^2(\text{Sym}^{g-1}(C); \mathbb{Q})}{\ker(\eta_0^*)}).
\]
This is because \( \text{ker}(q_{\Theta}) \) is supported on \( \Theta - B \), and
\[
q_{\Theta} : \text{CH}^2(\text{Sym}^{g-1}(C); \mathbb{Q}) \to \text{CH}^2(\Theta; \mathbb{Q})
\]
is injective on the first summand \( H.F^1 \text{CH}^2(\text{Sym}^g(C); \mathbb{Q}) \).
It now suffices to show that \( F^2(H.\text{CH}^1(E_W; \mathbb{Q})) = 0 \), to conclude
\[
F^2 \text{CH}^2(\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow F^2 \text{CH}^2(J(C); \mathbb{Q})
\]
is injective, for \( g \geq 4 \) and \( C \) non-hyperelliptic.

\[\square\]

**Lemma 5.2.**

\[
F^2 \text{CH}_{g-2}(E_W; \mathbb{Q}) = 0
\]

**Proof.** Now \( \dim(E_W) = g - 1 \), which is a bundle of projective spaces over \( W \).
Using Lemma 3.1 and codim(\( W \)) = 2 in \( J(C) \), (for a hyperplane class \( h \) on \( E_W \)) we can write:
\[
\text{CH}_{g-2}(E_W; \mathbb{Q}) = \text{CH}_{g-2}(W; \mathbb{Q})h \oplus \text{CH}_{g-3}(W; \mathbb{Q}).
\]
Restricting to \( F^1 \)-terms gives:
\[
F^1 \text{CH}_{g-2}(E_W; \mathbb{Q}) = F^1 \text{CH}_{g-3}(W) = F^1 \text{CH}^1(W; \mathbb{Q}).
\]
Furthermore, codim(\( \text{Sing}(W) \)) \( \geq 2 \) (see Lemma 3.11).
Now we are reduced to the case 1) situation when \( l = 1 \). Namely, there is an Abel-Jacobi map \( W - \text{Sing}(W) \), which is an isomorphism onto \( IJ(H^1(W - \text{Sing}(W))) \) (see Lemma 4.7). This suffices to conclude the proof.

\[\square\]
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