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1 Notation

In this paper, a graph $G$ is finite, undirected, and simple with the vertex set $V$ and edge set $E$. We follow [1] for basic notation. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, the open neighborhood, denoted by $N_G(v)$, is $\{ u \in V(G) : uv \in E(G) \}$, and the closed neighborhood, denoted by $N_G[v]$, is $N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$, also for a set $W \subseteq V(G)$, let $N_G(W) := \bigcup_{v \in W} N_G(v)$ and $N_G[W] := N_G(W) \cup W$. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, let $N^2_G(v) := \{ w \in V(G) : d_G(v, w) = 2 \}$. A dominating set $X \subseteq V(G)$ is such that $N_G[X] = V(G)$. A dominating set $X$ is efficient if $X$ is independent and every vertex of $V(G) \setminus X$ is adjacent to exactly one vertex of $X$.

2 Efficient domination in regular graphs

Theorem 2.1. Let $G$ be a connected regular graph. Whether $G$ has efficient dominating sets is determined in polynomial time.

Proof. Let $i$ be an integer such that $i \geq 0$. Let $A_i \subseteq V(G)$. Let $X$ be an efficient dominating set of $G$ such that $X \subseteq A_i$ if there exists. Let $X_i$ be the set of all $X$. The next facts are easily verified from the definition of $X$.

Fact 2.1. Let $v \in A_i$. If $(N_G(c) \setminus N_G(v)) \cap A_i = \emptyset$ for some $c \in N^2_G(v) \neq \emptyset$, then $v \notin X$ for all $X \in X_i$. (We say that the vertex $v$ satisfies Fact 2.1.)

Proof. Let $v \in X$ for some $X \in X_i$. Suppose $(N_G(c) \setminus N_G(v)) \cap A_i = \emptyset$ for some $c \in N^2_G(v) \neq \emptyset$. Since $X$ is a dominating set and $X \in X_i$, $(N_G[c] \setminus (N_G(c) \setminus N_G(v))) \cap X \neq \emptyset$. It contradicts that $X$ is efficient. We obtain the statement. 

We say that $G$ is covered by paths sequentially if $G$ is covered by not necessarily disjoint paths but paths with overlap.
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Fact 2.2. If \( Y \subseteq V(G) \) is a dominating set of \( G \), then \( G \) is covered by paths that have vertices of \( Y \) at every three vertices sequentially; these paths are represented by \( y_1b_{1,1}b_{1,2}y_2b_{2,1}b_{2,2} \cdots y_h \) or \( y_1b_{1,1}b_{1,2}y_2b_{2,1}b_{2,2} \cdots y_h b_{h,1} \) such that \( y_1, \cdots , y_h \in Y \) and \( b_{1,1}, b_{1,2}, \cdots , b_{h,1}, b_{h,2} \in V(G) \setminus Y \) for some \( h \) such that \( h \geq 1 \).

Fact 2.3. If \( G \) is covered by paths that have labels at every three vertices sequentially; these paths are represented by \( y_1b_{1,1}b_{1,2}y_2b_{2,1}b_{2,2} \cdots y_h \) or \( y_1b_{1,1}b_{1,2}y_2b_{2,1}b_{2,2} \cdots y_h b_{h,1} \) such that \( y_1, \cdots , y_h \) are labeled and \( b_{1,1}, b_{1,2}, \cdots , b_{h,1}, b_{h,2} \) are not labeled for some \( h \) such that \( h \geq 1 \), then the set of all labeled vertices is a dominating set of \( G \).

Proposition 2.1. Suppose \( X_i \neq \emptyset \). For \( a \in A_i \), let \( A_{i+1} := A_i \setminus (N_G(a) \cup N_G^2(a)) \). Suppose that the following steps are applied to \( A_{i+1} \).

\((a-1)\) Drop a vertex \( v \in A_{i+1} \) that satisfies Fact 2.2 from \( A_{i+1} \), and let \( A_{i+2} := A_{i+1} \setminus \{v\} \).

\((a-2)\) Increment \( i \), and go to \((a-1)\).

For the final set \( A_j \ (j \geq i + 1) \), let \( B_i(a) := A_j \). Then \( a \notin X \) for all \( X \in X_i \) if and only if \( B_i(a) = \emptyset \).

Proof. Let \( a \in X \) for some \( X \in X_i \). Now, \( X \subseteq A_{i+1} \). By Fact 2.1 if \( B_i(a) = \emptyset \) then \( a \notin X \) for all \( X \in X_i \). Conversely, let \( a \notin X \) for all \( X \in X_i \) and \( B_i(a) \neq \emptyset \). By Fact 2.1, \( B_i(a) \) is a dominating set of \( G \). Set \( A_i = X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_l \cup F \) where \( X_l = \{X_1, \cdots , X_l\} \ (l \geq 1) \). If for some \( X \in X_i \), \( X \subseteq B_i(a) \), then \( a \in X \). That is, for all \( h \in \{1, 2, \cdots , l\} \), \( X_h \not\subseteq B_i(a) \).

Let \( y_1 := a \). Let \( x_1 \in N_G(y_1) \cap X_1 \). It suffices that \( |X_1| \geq 2 \). By Fact 2.1, if \( c \in N_G^2(y_1) \) then \( (N_G(c) \setminus N_G(y_1)) \cap B_i(a) \neq \emptyset \). Let \( y_2 \in (N_G(c) \setminus N_G(y_1)) \cap B_i(a) \) for some \( c \in N_G^2(y_1) \). By the same argument as Fact 2.1, we define recursively \( y_k \in B_i(a) \) for \( 2 \leq k \leq p \) \((p \geq 2) \). Let \( Y := \{y_k : 1 \leq k \leq p\} \). Here, \( y_{i_1}, \cdots , y_{i_h} \in Y \) for some \( i_1, \cdots , i_h \) such that \( 1 \leq i_1, \cdots , i_h \leq p, h \geq 1 \) are located at every three vertices on a path in \( G \), represented by \( y_{i_1}b_{1,1}b_{1,2}y_{i_2}b_{2,1}b_{2,2} \cdots y_{i_h} \) or \( y_{i_1}b_{1,1}b_{1,2}y_{i_2}b_{2,1}b_{2,2} \cdots y_{i_h}b_{h,1} \) such that \( b_{1,1}, b_{1,2}, \cdots , b_{h,1}, b_{h,2} \in V(G) \setminus Y \), and \( G \) is covered by such paths sequentially. (It is possible because \( B_i(a) \) is a dominating set and Fact 2.2) Set \( X_1 = \{x_1, x_2, \cdots , x_q\} \ (q > 1) \). More strictly, we prove that \( y_k \in N_G[x_k] \cap Y \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq q \) and \( p = q \) are also possible.

Claim 2.1. It is possible to take \( Y \) as \( y_k \in N_G[x_k] \cap Y \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq q \) and \( p = q \).
Proof. Suppose \( y_{j_1}, y_{j_2} \in N_G[x_k] \cap Y \) for some \( j_1 \) and \( j_2 \) such that \( 1 < j_1 < j_2 \leq p \) and for some \( k \) such that \( 1 < k \leq q \). It suffices that \( y_{j_2} \in N_G(x_k) \). Let \( P_i \) be a path such that vertices of \( Y \) and vertices of \( X_1 \) are adjacent and located at every three vertices on the sequence, and \( y_1, y_{j_2} \in V(P_i) \). Set \( y_{j_2} P_1 y_1 = y_{j_2} a_w x_w y_w \cdots a_1 x_1 y_1 \) for some \( w \) such that \( w \geq 1, y_1, \cdots, y_w \in Y, x_1, \cdots, x_w \in X_1 \setminus Y \), and \( a_1, \cdots, a_w \in V(G) \setminus (X_1 \cup Y) \). Case (I) \( y_{j_1} \in N_G(x_k) \).

Case (I-i) \( d_G(y_{j_1}, y_{j_2}) = 2 \). By Fact 2.1 it follows that \((N_G(y_{j_2}) \setminus N_G(y_{j_1})) \cap B_i(a) \neq \emptyset \). Let \( y_\alpha \in (N_G(y_{j_2}) \setminus N_G(y_{j_1})) \cap B_i(a) \). Case (I-i-1) \( d_G(a_w, y_\alpha) = 2 \). By Fact 2.1 it follows that \((N_G(a_w) \setminus N_G(y_\alpha)) \cap B_i(a) \neq \emptyset \). Now, we can take \( y_\beta \in (N_G(a_w) \setminus N_G(y_\alpha)) \cap B_i(a) \) and avoid \( y_{j_2} \); let \( Y := (Y \cup \{y_\beta\}) \setminus \{y_{j_2}\} \).

Case (I-2) \( d_G(a_w, y_\alpha) = 1 \). Now, we can take \( y_\alpha \) and avoid \( y_{j_2} \); let \( Y := (Y \cup \{y_\alpha\}) \setminus \{y_{j_2}\} \). Case (I-i-2) \( d_G(y_{j_1}, y_{j_2}) = 1 \) and \( d_G(a_w, y_{j_1}) = 2 \). By Fact 2.1 it follows that \((N_G(a_w) \setminus N_G(y_{j_1})) \cap B_i(a) \neq \emptyset \). Now, we can take \( y_\alpha \in (N_G(a_w) \setminus N_G(y_{j_1})) \cap B_i(a) \) and avoid \( y_{j_2} \); let \( Y := (Y \cup \{y_\alpha\}) \setminus \{y_{j_2}\} \).

Case (I-ii) \( d_G(y_{j_1}, y_{j_2}) = 1 \). Now, we can avoid \( y_{j_2} \) and \( Y := Y \setminus \{y_{j_2}\} \). Case (II) \( y_{j_1} = x_k \). By Fact 2.1 it follows that \((N_G(a_w) \setminus N_G(y_{j_1})) \cap B_i(a) \neq \emptyset \). Now, we can take \( y_\alpha \in (N_G(a_w) \setminus N_G(y_{j_1})) \cap B_i(a) \) and avoid \( y_{j_2} \); let \( Y := (Y \cup \{y_\alpha\}) \setminus \{y_{j_2}\} \). Case (II-a) While, for some \( a_{-1} \in N_G(y_{j_1}) \setminus \{y_{j_2}\} \), we also consider a path \( a_{-1} y_{j_1} y_{j_2} P_1 y_1 \). Case (II-a-i) \( d_G(y_{j_2}, a_{-1}) = 2 \). By Fact 2.1 it follows that \((N_G(a_{-1}) \setminus N_G(y_{j_2})) \cap B_i(a) \neq \emptyset \). Now, we can take \( y_\alpha \in (N_G(a_{-1}) \setminus N_G(y_{j_2})) \cap B_i(a) \) and avoid \( y_{j_1} \); let \( Y := (Y \cup \{y_\alpha\}) \setminus \{y_{j_1}\} \).

Case (II-a-ii) \( d_G(y_{j_1}, a_{-1}) = 1 \). Now, we can avoid \( y_{j_1} \) and \( Y := Y \setminus \{y_{j_1}\} \). That is, \( y_k \in N_G[x_k] \cap Y \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq q \) and \( p = q \) are possible.

By Fact 2.3 \( Y \) is a dominating set of \( G \). Since \( G \) is regular and \( p = q \), \( Y \) is an efficient dominating set of \( G \), where \( Y \subseteq B_i(a) \). It contradicts that for all \( h \in \{1, 2, \cdots, l\} \), \( X_h \not\subseteq B_i(a) \). The proof is complete. 

Let \( A_0 := V(G) \) and \( i := 0 \). We follow the next steps.

(1) Drop a vertex \( v \in A_i \) that satisfies Fact 2.1 from \( A_i \), and let \( A_{i+1} := A_i \setminus \{v\} \).

(2) Increment \( i \), and go to (1).

By these steps, we have the final set \( A_j \ (j \geq 0) \).

Let \( i := j \). We follow the next steps.

(3) Select a vertex \( a \in A_i \).

(4) Let \( l_1 := i \).
(5) Select a vertex $a' \in N_G[a] \cap A_i$, and drop $N_G(a') \cap A_i$ and $N^2_G(a') \cap A_i$ from $A_i$, and let $A_{i+1} := A_i \setminus (N_G(a') \cup N^2_G(a'))$.

(6) Drop a vertex $v \in A_{i+1}$ that satisfies Fact 2.1 from $A_{i+1}$, and let $A_{i+2} := A_{i+1} \setminus \{v\}$.

(7) Increment $i$, and go to (6).

(8) Let $l_2 := i + 2$. If the final set $A_{l_2} = \emptyset$, then let $i := l_1$ and go to (5).

By these steps, we have the final set $A_{k_1}$ $(k_1 \geq j)$. Let $i := k_1$ and follow the steps (3)-(8). By these steps, we have the final set $A_{k_2}$ $(k_2 \geq k_1)$. By repeating this, we have the final set $A_{k_m}$ $(k_m \geq \cdots \geq k_2 \geq k_1, m \geq 1)$.

**Proposition 2.2.** $\mathcal{X}_0 = \emptyset$ if and only if $A_{k_m} = \emptyset$. Here, $A_{k_m}$ is determined in polynomial time.

**Proof.** By step (1) - (2), we drop the vertices that satisfy Fact 2.1, that is, the vertices that are not contained in all $X \in \mathcal{X}_0$. If $A_j = \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{X}_0 = \emptyset$.

By step (3) - (7), if $A_{l_2} = \emptyset$, then by Proposition 2.1, $a' \not\in X$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}_0$. If $\mathcal{X}_0 \neq \emptyset$, then for some $a'$, $A_{l_2} \neq \emptyset$, and so $A_{l_2}$ is a dominating set. By repeating step (3) - (8), we have the final set $A_{k_m} = X$ for some $X \in \mathcal{X}_0$.

Obviously, if $\mathcal{X}_0 = \emptyset$ then $A_{k_m} = \emptyset$. All the steps are in polynomial time. □

By Proposition 2.2 we complete the proof of Theorem 2.1. □
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