

Energy-Efficient Mobile-Edge Computation Offloading for Applications with Shared Data

Xiangyu He, Hong Xing, Yue Chen, Arumugam Nallanathan
School of Electronic Engineering and Computer Science
Queen Mary University of London, London, UK
Email: {xiangyu.he, h.xing, yue.chen, a.nallanathan}@qmul.ac.uk

Abstract—Mobile-edge computation offloading (MECO) has been recognized as a promising solution to alleviate the burden of resource-limited Internet of Thing (IoT) devices by offloading computation tasks to the edge of cellular networks (also known as *cloudlet*). Specifically, latency-critical applications such as virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) have inherent collaborative properties since part of the input/output data are shared by different users in proximity. In this paper, we consider a multi-user fog computing system, in which multiple single-antenna mobile users running applications featuring shared data can choose between (partially) offloading their individual tasks to a nearby single-antenna cloudlet for remote execution and performing pure local computation. The mobile users' energy minimization is formulated as a convex problem, subject to the total computing latency constraint, the total energy constraints for individual data downloading, and the computing frequency constraints for local computing, for which classical Lagrangian duality can be applied to find the optimal solution. Based upon the semi-closed form solution, the shared data proves to be transmitted by only one of the mobile users instead of multiple ones. Besides, compared to those baseline algorithms without considering the shared data property or the mobile users' local computing capabilities, the proposed joint computation offloading and communications resource allocation provides significant energy saving.

I. INTRODUCTION

With the advent of the era of Internet of Things (IoT), the unprecedented growth of latency-critical applications are nevertheless hardly satisfied by *mobile cloud computing* (MCC) alone. To cater for the low-latency requirements while alleviating the burden over backhaul networks, *mobile-edge computing* (MEC), also interchangeably known as *fog computing* has aroused a paradigm shift by extending cloud capabilities to the very edge within the radio access network (RAN) (see [1] and the references therein).

Both industry and academia have devoted constant effort to providing the next generation mobile networks with ultra-reliable low latency communications (uRLLC). Among pioneering industrialization on fog computing, Cisco has proposed fog computing as a promising candidate for IoT architecture [2]. In academics, [3]–[6] focused on one-to-one offloading scheme where there is one mobile user and one corresponding cloudlet, [7] [8] presented multiple-user cases where there are multiple edge servers, while [9] related to multiple-to-one scenarios where multiple mobile users offload computing to one edge server.

Recently, the intrinsic collaborative properties of the input data for computation offloading was investigated for augmented reality (AR) in [10]. In fact, in many mobile applications such as augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR), multiple mobile devices share parts of computing input/output in common, thus making it possible for further reducing computing latency at the edge. In [11], some important insights on the interplay among the social interactions in the VR mobile social network was revealed, and a significant reduce on the end-to-end latency was achieved through stochastic optimization technique. [12] investigated potential spatial data correlation for VR applications to minimize the delay of accomplishing computation.

On another front, joint optimization of computation offloading with communications resources (such as power, bandwidth, and rate) proves to improve the performance of fog computing by explicitly taking channel conditions and communications constraints into account. In an early research [13], the offloading decision making was examined through the estimation of bandwidth data without considering the allocation of communication resources and channel conditions. For communications-aware computation offloading, [14] minimized the local user's computation latency in a multi-user cooperative scenario, while [15] minimized the energy consumption of remote fog computing nodes. However, these line of work have not taken the shared data feature aforementioned into account, thus failing to fully reap the advantage of fog computing.

In this paper, we consider a multi-user fog computing system, in which multiple single-antenna mobile users running applications featuring shared data can choose between (partially) offloading their computing tasks to a nearby single-antenna cloudlet and executing them locally, and then download the results from the cloudlet. Mobile users' overall energy consumption is minimized via joint optimization of computation offloading and communications resource allocation. Compared with existing literature, e.g., [10], although it investigated the energy minimization problem of shared-data featured offloading, it did not find the optimal solution. Moreover, it did not draw explicit conclusion regarding the channel condition's influence in the computation offloading. From this point of view, our work provides in-depth understanding of the shared-data featured offloading in MEC systems.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

We consider a mobile-edge system that consists of U mobile users running AR applications, denoted as $\mathcal{U} = \{1, \dots, U\}$, and one base station (BS) equipped with computing facilities working as a cloudlet. All of the mobile users and the BS are assumed to be equipped with single antenna.

The input data size for user u is denoted by D_u^I , $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}$, in which one fraction data size of D_S^I bits are the shared data that is the same across all U mobile users and the other fraction of D_u^L bits are the data executed locally by user u . The shared data can be transmitted from each user by part, denoted by $D_{u,S}^I$, $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}$, such that $\sum_{u=1}^U D_{u,S}^I = D_S^I$. The amount of input data that is exclusively transmitted by u is thus given by $\bar{D}_u^I = D_u^I - D_{u,S}^I - D_u^L$, $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}$.




Fig. 1. Timing illustration for the considered multi-user MEC system.

It can be seen from Fig. 1 that there are two consecutive sub-phases for both input data offloading and results downloading phases: the shared and the individual data transmission. The transmission duration for offloading the shared input data is denoted by $t_{u,S}^{ul}$, $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}$; the offloading duration for the individual data is denoted as t_u^{ul} , $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}$; and the durations for downloading the shared and the individual output data are $t_{u,S}^{dl}$ and t_u^{dl} , $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}$ respectively. The remote computation time are also illustrated in Fig. 1, where t_S^C and t_u^C , $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}$, denote that for the shared and the individual data transmitted to the cloudlet, respectively. Similarly, F and f_u , $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}$, denote the computational frequency (in cycles/s) allocated to the shared and the individual tasks, respectively, by the cloudlet. In addition, the local computation time is denoted by $t_{u,L}^C$, $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}$.

A. Uplink Transmission

As observed from Fig. 1, there are two consecutive uplink transmission sub-phases: the shared data and the individual data offloading [10]. Each mobile user offloads its computation task to the cloudlet server via frequency division multiple access (FDMA). The channel coefficient from user u is given by h_u , $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}$, which is assumed to remain unchanged during the uplink transmission duration. With the

transmission power given by $p_{u,S}^{ul}$, the achievable individual data rate for offloading the shared data is expressed as:

$$R_{u,S}^{ul} = W_u^{ul} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{p_{u,S}^{ul} |h_u|^2}{N_0} \right), \forall u \in \mathcal{U}, \quad (1)$$

where $W_u^{ul} = \frac{W^{ul}}{U}$ with W^{ul} denoting the overall bandwidth available for the uplink transmission, and N_0 is the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) power. Accordingly, $t_{u,S}^{ul} = D_{u,S}^I / R_{u,S}^{ul}$, and the energy consumed by the u -th user in the shared data offloading sub-phase is given as

$$E_{u,S}^{ul} = t_{u,S}^{ul} p_{u,S}^{ul} = \frac{t_{u,S}^{ul}}{|h_u|^2} f \left(\frac{D_{u,S}^I}{t_{u,S}^{ul}} \right), \forall u \in \mathcal{U}, \quad (2)$$

where the function $f(x)$ is defined as $f(x) = N_0 (2^{\frac{x}{W_u^{ul}}} - 1)$.

Similarly, the energy consumption for the u -th user in the individual data offloading sub-phase is expressed as:

$$E_u^{ul} = t_u^{ul} p_u^{ul} = \frac{t_u^{ul}}{|h_u|^2} f \left(\frac{D_u^I - D_S^I - D_u^L}{t_u^{ul}} \right), \forall u \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (3)$$

B. Computation Model

Based on the energy model in [9], given the local computing bits D_u^L , the energy consumption for executing local computation is given by:

$$E_u^C = \kappa_0 \frac{(\lambda_0 D_u^L)^3}{t_{u,L}^C}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}, \quad (4)$$

where λ_0 (in cycles/bit) denotes the number of CPU cycles needed for processing one bit of input data, and κ_0 is the energy consumption capacitance coefficient.

C. Downlink Transmission

Similar to the uplink transmission, the downlink transmission phase also has two separate sub-phases: the shared and the individual results downloading. The shared output data are multicasted to the mobile users by the cloudlet at its maximum transmitting power P_{\max} . The achievable individual rate for the shared data downloading is thus given by

$$R_{u,S}^{dl} = W_u^{dl} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{P_{\max} |g_u|^2}{N_0} \right), \forall u \in \mathcal{U}, \quad (5)$$

where $W_u^{dl} = \frac{W^{dl}}{U}$ with W^{dl} denotes the overall bandwidth available for downlink transmission. The downlink channel coefficient is given by g_u , $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}$. The relation between the shared output data and the input data is given by $D_S^O = a_0 D_S^I$, where a_0 is the factor representing the number of output bits for executing one bit of input data. Accordingly, $t_{u,S}^{dl} = D_S^O / R_{u,S}^{dl}$, $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}$, and thus the latency for transmitting the shared output data to all mobile users is given by

$$t_S^{dl} = \max_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{t_{u,S}^{dl}\}. \quad (6)$$

This is because the individual results downloading cannot be initiated until the shared data has finished transmission.

After the multicasting transmission, the individual output data is sent to each mobile user via FDMA. Denoting the

downlink transmitting power for the u -th individual data by p_u^{dl} , the achievable rate for individual data downloading is thus expressed as:

$$R_u^{dl} = W_u^{dl} \log_2(1 + \frac{p_u^{dl} |g_u|^2}{N_0}), \forall u \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (7)$$

Similarly, denoting the individual output data size by D_u^O , $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}$, $D_u^O = a_0 \bar{D}_u^I = a_0(D_u^I - D_S^I - D_u^L)$, and $t_u^{dl} = D_u^O / R_u^{dl}$.

For energy consumption, the overall energy consumed for decoding the result sent back by the cloudlet at the u -th mobile user is given by [10]

$$E_u^{dl} = (t_{u,S}^{dl} + t_u^{dl}) \rho_u^{dl}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}, \quad (8)$$

where ρ_u^{dl} (in Joules/second) captures the energy expenditure per second.

In addition, the total energy consumed by the BS for results transmission is given by,

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \frac{t_u^{dl}}{|g_u|^2} f\left(\frac{a_0(D_u^I - D_S^I - D_u^L)}{t_u^{dl}}\right), \forall u \in \mathcal{U}, \quad (9)$$

which is required not to exceed E_{\max} by the BS operator.

D. Total Latency

Next, we consider the overall computing latency. As illustrated in Fig. 1, it is observed the individual data downloading in Phase II cannot start until the cloudlet completes individual data computing, and the BS finishes the shared data transmission over the downlink. Moreover, for the individual data computing, it cannot start before either the corresponding individual data finishes offloading or the cloudlet completes the shared data computing, i.e., $\max\{t_{u,S}^{ul} + t_u^{ul}, \max_{u \in \mathcal{U}}\{t_{u,S}^{ul}\} + t_S^C\}$. Furthermore, also seen from Fig. 1, for the shared data results, it can only start being transmitted in the downlink after the cloudlet completes the shared data computing and all the individual data finishes offloading in the uplink, i.e., $\max\left\{\max_{u \in \mathcal{U}}\{t_{u,S}^{ul}\} + t_S^C, \max_{u \in \mathcal{U}}\{t_{u,S}^{ul} + t_u^{ul}\}\right\}$. Combining the above facts, the total computing latency is expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_u = \max & \left\{ \max\{t_{u,S}^{ul} + t_u^{ul}, \max_{u \in \mathcal{U}}\{t_{u,S}^{ul}\} + t_S^C\} + t_u^C, \right. \\ & \left. \max\left\{ \max_{u \in \mathcal{U}}\{t_{u,S}^{ul}\} + t_S^C, \max_{u \in \mathcal{U}}\{t_{u,S}^{ul} + t_u^{ul}\} \right\} + t_S^{dl} \right\} + t_u^{dl}, \\ & \forall u \in \mathcal{U}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

III. PROBLEM FORMULATION

The overall energy consumption at the mobile users consists of three parts: data offloading over the uplink (c.f.

(2) and (3)), local computing (c.f. (4)), and results retrieving (c.f. (8)), which is thus given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_{total} = & \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \kappa_0 \frac{(\lambda_0 D_u^L)^3}{t_{u,L}^C} + \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \frac{t_{u,S}^{ul}}{|h_u|^2} f\left(\frac{D_{u,S}^I}{t_{u,S}^{ul}}\right) \\ & + \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \frac{t_u^{ul}}{|h_u|^2} f\left(\frac{D_u^I - D_S^I - D_u^L}{t_u^{ul}}\right) + \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} (t_{u,S}^{dl} + t_u^{dl}) \rho_u^{dl}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The objective is to minimize the overall energy consumption given by E_{total} , subject to the computing latency constraints, the maximum local computing frequencies, and the total energy consumption on the individual data at the BS. Specifically, the optimization problem is formulated as below:

$$(P1) : \min_{\{t_{u,S}^{ul}, t_u^{ul}, t_{u,L}^C, t_u^{dl}, D_u^L, D_{u,S}^I\}} E_{total} \quad (12a)$$

s.t.

$$\tau_u \leq T_{max}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}, \quad (12b)$$

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \frac{t_u^{dl}}{|g_u|^2} f\left(\frac{a_0(D_u^I - D_S^I - D_u^L)}{t_u^{dl}}\right) \leq E_{max}, \quad (12c)$$

$$0 \leq t_{u,L}^C \leq T_{max}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}, \quad (12d)$$

$$\lambda_0 D_u^L \leq t_{u,L}^C f_{u,max}, \quad (12e)$$

$$0 \leq D_u^L \leq D_u^I - D_S^I, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}, \quad (12f)$$

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} D_{u,S}^I = D_S^I, D_{u,S}^I \geq 0, \quad (12g)$$

$$t_{u,S}^{ul} \geq 0, t_u^{ul} \geq 0, t_{u,L}^C \geq 0, t_u^{dl} \geq 0, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (12h)$$

Constraint (12b) and (12d) gives the latency constraints that the time taken for accomplishing computing tasks cannot exceed the maximum allowed length, both for offloading and local computing. (12c) tells that the available energy for downlink transmission of remote computing node should be lower than a maximum level. (12e) restricts the number of allowable local computing bits imposed by local computing capabilities. Besides, (12g) puts that adding all the shared data bits offloaded by all mobile users respectively, the value should be equal to the exact amount of shared bits existing in the same user group.

IV. OPTIMAL SCHEME FOR JOINT OFFLOADING AND COMMUNICATION RESOURCE ALLOCATION

A. Problem Reformulation

Although the latency expression (10) looks complex in its form, (12b) is still a convex constraint. For the ease of exposition, we assume herein that the cloudlet executes the shared and the individual computing within the duration of the individual data offloading and the shared results downloading, respectively, i.e., $t_S^C \ll t_u^{ul}$, and $t_u^c \ll t_{u,S}^{dl}$, $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}^1$. As a result, (12b) can be simplified as below:

$$\max\{t_{u,S}^{ul} + t_u^{ul}\} + t_S^{dl} + t_u^{dl} \leq T_{max}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (13)$$

¹We assume herein that the computation capacities at the cloudlet is relatively much higher than those at the mobile users, and thus the computing time taken is much shorter than the data transmission time.

by introducing the auxiliary variable t^{dl} , which satisfies $t_u^{dl} \leq t^{dl}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}$, (13) reduces to

$$t_{u,S}^{ul} + t_u^{ul} \leq T_{max} - t_S^{dl} - t^{dl}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (14)$$

Notice that E_u^C 's (c.f. (4)) is monotonically decreases with respect to the local computing time $t_{u,L}^C$ for each mobile user. To obtain the minimal energy consumption, it is obvious that $t_{u,L}^C = T_{max}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}$. Then the optimization problem to be solved is reformulated as:

$$(P1') : \min_{\{t_{u,S}^{ul}, t_u^{ul}, t_u^{dl}, t^{dl}, D_u^L, D_{u,S}^I\}} E_{total} \quad (15a)$$

s.t.

$$(12c - 12h), (14). \quad (15b)$$

$$t_u^{dl} \leq t^{dl}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (15c)$$

B. Joint offloading and communication resource allocation

Introducing dual variables $\beta, \omega, \sigma, \nu$, the Lagrangian of problem (P1') is presented as:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\beta, \omega, \sigma, \nu, t_{u,S}^{ul}, t_u^{ul}, t_u^{dl}, t^{dl}, D_u^L, D_{u,S}^I) = & \\ \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \frac{t_{u,S}^{ul}}{|h_u|^2} f\left(\frac{D_{u,S}^I}{t_{u,S}^{ul}}\right) + \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \frac{t_u^{ul}}{|h_u|^2} f\left(\frac{D_u^I - D_S^I - D_u^L}{t_u^{ul}}\right) & \\ + \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \kappa_0 \frac{(\lambda_0 D_u^L)^3}{t_{u,L}^C} + \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} (t_{u,S}^{ul} + t_u^{ul}) \rho_u^{dl} + \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \beta_u (t_{u,S}^{ul} & \\ + t_u^{ul} - T_{max} + t_S^{dl} + t^{dl}) + \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \omega_u (\lambda_0 D_u^L & \\ - t_{u,L}^C f_{u,max}) + \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \sigma_u (t_u^{dl} - t^{dl}) & \\ + \nu \left[\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \frac{t_u^{dl}}{|g_u|^2} f\left(\frac{a_0(D_u^I - D_S^I - D_u^L)}{t_u^{dl}}\right) - E_{max} \right], & \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $\beta = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_U\}$ are dual variables associated with the latency constraint (14), $\omega = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_U\}$ are associated with local computing bits constraint (12e), $\sigma = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_U\}$ are connected with the constraint for auxiliary variable t^{dl} , and ν catches the downlink transmission energy constraint (12c). Hence, we have the Lagrangian dual function expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} g(\beta, \omega, \sigma, \nu) & \\ = \min_{\{D_u^L, \hat{D}_{u,S}^I, t_{u,S}^{ul}, t_u^{ul}, t_u^{dl}, t^{dl}, D_{u,S}^I, D_{u,S}^I\}} L(\beta, \omega, \sigma, \nu, t_{u,S}^{ul}, t_u^{ul}, t_u^{dl}, t^{dl}, & \\ D_u^L, \hat{D}_{u,S}^I, t_{u,S}^{ul}, t_u^{ul}, t_u^{dl}, t^{dl}, D_{u,S}^I, D_{u,S}^I) & \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

s.t. (12f-12h).

Consequently, the corresponding dual problem is formulated as:

$$\max_{\{\beta, \omega, \sigma, \nu\}} g(\beta, \omega, \sigma, \nu) \quad (18)$$

s.t.

$$\beta \succeq 0, \omega \succeq 0, \sigma \succeq 0, \nu \geq 0.$$

Proposition 1. Given a determined set of dual variables $\beta, \omega, \sigma, \nu$, the optimal solution to the Lagrangian dual problem (16) can be determined as follows.

The optimal primal variables $t_{u,S}^{ul}$, t_u^{ul} , and t^{dl} , are given by

$$\hat{t}_{u,S}^{ul} = \frac{\hat{D}_{u,S}^I}{\frac{W_u^{ul}}{\ln 2} [W_0(\frac{1}{e}(\frac{\beta_u |h_u|^2}{N_0} - 1)) + 1]}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (19)$$

$$\hat{t}_u^{ul} = \frac{D_u^I - D_S^I - \hat{D}_u^L}{\frac{W_u^{ul}}{\ln 2} [W_0(\frac{1}{e}(\frac{\beta_u |h_u|^2}{N_0} - 1)) + 1]}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (20)$$

$$\hat{t}_u^{dl} = \frac{a_0(D_u^I - D_S^I - \hat{D}_u^L)}{\frac{W_u^{dl}}{a_0 \ln 2} [W_0(\frac{1}{e}(\frac{(\rho_u^{dl} + \sigma_u) |g_u|^2}{\nu N_0} - 1)) + 1]}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (21)$$

where $W_0(x)$ is the principle branch of the Lambert W function defined as the solution for $W_0(x)e^{W_0(x)} = x$ [15], e is the base of the natural logarithm; the optimal auxiliary variable t^{dl} is given by:

$$\hat{t}^{dl} = \begin{cases} 0, & \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \beta_u - \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \sigma_u > 0, \\ T_{max} - t_S^{dl}, & \text{otherwise}; \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

and the optimal local computing data size is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{D}_u^L = & \\ \min \left\{ T_{max} \sqrt{\left[\frac{N_0 \ln 2}{3\kappa_0 \lambda_0^3} \left(\frac{2\hat{r}_u^{ul}}{W_u^{ul} |h_u|^2} + \frac{\nu a_0 \cdot 2\hat{r}_u^{dl}}{W_u^{dl} |g_u|^2} \right) - \frac{\omega_u}{3\kappa_0 \lambda_0^2} \right]^+}, \right. & \\ \left. D_u^I - D_S^I \right\}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}, & \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{r}_u^{ul} = \frac{W_u^{ul}}{\ln 2} [W_0(\frac{1}{e}(\frac{\beta_u |h_u|^2}{N_0} - 1)) + 1]$ and $\hat{r}_u^{dl} = \frac{W_u^{dl}}{a_0 \ln 2} [W_0(\frac{1}{e}(\frac{(\rho_u^{dl} + \sigma_u) |g_u|^2}{\nu N_0} - 1)) + 1], \forall u \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof: Please refer to Appendix A. ■

In fact, on one hand, \hat{r}_u^{ul} 's and \hat{r}_u^{dl} 's can be interpreted as the optimum transmission rate for the shared/individual data offloading and the individual data downloading, respectively, given the dual variables. On the other hand, for each user u , the optimal transmission rate for the shared data is seen to be identical to that of the individual data over the uplink, given that the uplink channel gains remain unchanged during the whole offloading phase.

Next, to obtain the optimal offloading bits of the shared data for each user, i.e., $\hat{D}_{u,S}^I$, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. The optimal offloaded shared data for user u is expressed as,

$$\hat{D}_{u,S}^I = \begin{cases} D_S^I, & \hat{u} = \arg \min_{1 \leq u \leq U} \Delta_u, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

where $\Delta_u = \frac{f(\hat{r}_{u,S}^{ul})}{\hat{r}_{u,S}^{ul} |h_u|^2} + \frac{\beta_u}{\hat{r}_{u,S}^{ul}}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof: Please refer to Appendix B. ■

Notable, it is easily observed from Lemma 1 that the shared data is optimally offloaded by one specific user instead of multiple ones.

Based on Proposition 1, the dual problem can thus be iteratively solved according to ellipsoid method (with constraints), the detail of which can be referred to [16]. The algorithm for solving (P1') is summarized in Table I.

TABLE I
ALGORITHM I FOR SOLVING (P1')

Require: $(\beta^{(0)}, \omega^{(0)}, \sigma^{(0)}, \nu^{(0)})$

1: **repeat**

2: Solve (17) given $(\beta^{(i)}, \omega^{(i)}, \sigma^{(i)}, \nu^{(i)})$ according to Proposition 1 and obtain $\{\hat{t}_{u,S}^{ul}, \hat{t}_u^{ul}, \hat{t}_u^{dl}, \hat{t}_{dl}, \hat{D}_u^L, \hat{D}_{u,S}^I\}$;

3: update the subgradient of $\beta, \omega, \sigma, \nu$ respectively, i.e., $t_{u,S}^{ul} + t_u^{ul} - T_{max} + \max_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{t_{u,S}^{dl}\} + t^{dl}, \lambda_0 D_u^L - t_{u,L}^C f_{u,max}, t_u^{dl} - t^{dl}, \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \frac{t_u^{dl}}{|g_u|^2} f(\frac{a_0(D_u^I - D_S^I - D_u^L)}{t_u^{dl}}) - E_{max}$ in accordance with the ellipsoid method [16];

4: **until** the predefined accuracy threshold is satisfied.

Ensure: The optimal dual variables to the dual problem (18) $(\beta^*, \omega^*, \sigma^*, \nu^*)$

5: Solve (17) again with $(\beta^*, \omega^*, \sigma^*, \nu^*)$

Ensure: $\{t_{u,S}^{ul*}, t_u^{ul*}, t_u^{dl*}, t_{dl}^*, D_u^{L*}, D_{u,S}^{I*}\}$

V. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, the numerical results of the proposed algorithm together with other baseline algorithms are presented. Except for the local computing only scheme where users execute all the data bits locally, there are three other offloading schemes presented as baseline algorithms: 1) *Offloading without considering the shared data*: the collaborative properties are ignored, every user makes the offloading decision without coordination among other users; 2) *Full offloading only*: the shared data is taken into consideration, but the whole chunks of input data of every user are forced to be offloaded to the edge computing node, excluding the local computing capability from participating in the computation tasks; 3) *Offloading with equal time length*: taking the correlated data into consideration, the data offloading and downloading are performed for each user with equal time length, with optimal solutions obtained through CVX.

In the simulation, the bandwidth available is assumed to be $W^{ul} = W^{dl} = 10\text{MHz}$, the maximum downlink transmit power $P_{max} = 1\text{W}$, and the input data size $D_u^I = 10\text{kbits}$ for all users. The spectral density of the (AWGN) power is -169dBm/Hz . The mobile energy expenditure per second in the downlink is $\rho_u^{dl} = 0.625\text{J/s}$ [10], the maximum local computing capability $f_{u,max} = 1\text{GHz}$. Besides, $\lambda_0 = 1 \times 10^3\text{ cycle/bit}$, $a_0 = 1$, $\kappa_0 = 10^{-26}$. The pathloss model is $PL = 128.1 + 37.6\log_{10}(d_u)$, where d_u represents the distance between user u and edge computing node in kilometers.

Fig.2 depicts how the energy consumption changes with different latency constraints. The energy consumption are becoming lower as the latency requirement gets longer for all listed offloading algorithms. Only the proposed offloading scheme can give the lowest energy consuming performance.




Fig. 2. Energy consumption versus different latency constraints

The best energy saving improvement can only be achieved through the joint participation of local computing and shared data coordination. Besides, even though the equal time length offloading has lower complexity than the proposed algorithm, it cannot compete with the proposed one in terms of energy saving. Recalling our conclusion that the best way to achieve the energy saving is to let these correlated bits transmitted by one specific user, the reason is that forcing offloading time duration to be equal makes the shared data to be transmitted by all users simultaneously.

The energy consumed for computing one data bit increases exponentially as the latency constraint diminishes. Hence for the local computing only scheme, when latency constraint comes to 0.01 second the energy taken to finish the computation tasks, which is 1000 mJoules, can reach up to nearly 100 times more than those of all the offloading algorithms. Then it drops exponentially to 10 mJoules when the latency constraint goes to 0.1 second. As a result, the curve representing local computing only is not added in Fig.2, otherwise the comparison of the offloading schemes will not be clear.

In Fig.3, the energy consumption changes with the percentage of shared data is demonstrated. Apparently, as long as we take the shared data into consideration when making offloading decisions, the lower overall energy consumption is achieved when the proportion of shared data gets higher. More energy will be saved when the percentage of shared data gets higher for proposed offloading scheme compared to the scheme without considering the existence of shared data. This trend applies to the full offloading only algorithm as well, because it also cares about the existence of shared data when making offloading decisions. The energy consumptions for full offloading only do not always go under that of offloading without considering shared data. That is because when given specific latency constraint, the importance of local computing capabilities diminishes in saving mobile users' energy consumption as the share of common data increases. Since most of the data will be offloaded to the edge node, few input bits would remain local for computing. Then the energy consumption of the full offloading only scenario represents that it get closer to that of the proposed algorithm when the percentage of shared data increases. Similar trend applies to the equal time length offloading as well.

$$t_S^C = \lambda_0 D_S^I / F \quad (24)$$




Fig. 3. Energy consumption versus different percentage of shared data

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a multi-user fog computing system was considered, in which multiple single-antenna mobile users running applications featuring shared data can partially offload their individual computation tasks to a nearby single-antenna cloudlet and then download the results from it. The mobile users' energy consumption minimization problem subject to the total latency, the total downlink transmission energy and the local computing constraints was formulated as a convex problem with the optimal solution obtained by classical Lagrangian duality method. Based upon the semi-closed form solution, it was proved that the shared data is optimally transmitted by only one of the mobile users instead of multiple ones collaboratively. The proposed joint computation offloading and communications resource allocation was verified by simulations against other baseline algorithms that ignore the shared data property or the mobile users' own computing capabilities..

APPENDIX A

In order to find the optimal solutions of the primary problem, we need to examine the related partial derivatives $\frac{\partial L}{\partial D_{u,S}^I}$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial D_{u,S}^I}$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{u,S}^{ul}}$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{u,S}^{ul}}$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{u,S}^{ul}}$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{u,S}^{ul}}$, $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}$. After obtaining these partial derivatives, the KKT conditions can be applied to find the optimal solutions. For example, let $\frac{\partial L}{\partial D_{u,S}^I}$ and $\frac{\partial L}{\partial D_{u,S}^I}$ be equal to 0. The inverse function of $y = f(x) - xf'(x)$ for $x > 0$ is given by $x = \frac{W_u^{ul}}{\ln 2} [W_0(-\frac{y}{eN_0} - \frac{1}{e}) + 1]$. Then it follows that $f(\hat{r}_{u,S}^{ul}) - \hat{r}_{u,S}^{ul} f'(\hat{r}_{u,S}^{ul}) = f(\hat{r}_u^{ul}) - \hat{r}_u^{ul} f'(\hat{r}_u^{ul}) = -\beta_u |h_u|^2$, and the optimal uplink transmission rate of the shared data $\hat{r}_{u,S}^{ul}$ and that of the exclusively offloaded data \hat{r}_u^{ul} are thus derived. Then the expressions of the optimal primary variables are readily obtained as shown in (19), (20), (21), (22), (23), and (23).

APPENDIX B

To obtain how the shared input data offloading $\hat{D}_{u,S}^I$ are distributed among users, we need to examine the partial Lagrangian regarding $D_{u,S}^I$ and $t_{u,S}^{ul}$. Replacing the shared data offloading time

$t_{u,S}^{ul}$ with $\frac{D_{u,S}^I}{\hat{r}_{u,S}^{ul}}$, the partial Lagrangian is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\{D_{u,S}^I\}} \bar{L} &= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} [\frac{t_{u,S}^{ul}}{|h_u|^2} f(\frac{D_{u,S}^I}{t_{u,S}^{ul}}) + \beta_u t_{u,S}^{ul}] \\ &= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} [\frac{D_{u,S}^I}{\hat{r}_{u,S}^{ul} |h_u|^2} f(\hat{r}_{u,S}^{ul}) + \beta_u \frac{D_{u,S}^I}{\hat{r}_{u,S}^{ul}}] \\ &= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \Delta_u \cdot D_{u,S}^I \end{aligned} \quad (24a)$$

s.t.

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} D_{u,S}^I = D_S^I, D_{u,S}^I \geq 0, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}, \quad (24b)$$

where we define $\Delta_u = \frac{f(\hat{r}_{u,S}^{ul})}{\hat{r}_{u,S}^{ul} |h_u|^2} + \frac{\beta_u}{\hat{r}_{u,S}^{ul}}$ as a constant given the dual variable β_u 's. As a result, the optimal solution to the linear programming (LP) (24) is easily obtained as shown in (23).

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Mao, C. You, J. Zhang, K. Huang, and K. B. Letaief, "A survey on mobile edge computing: The communication perspective," *Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 19, pp. 2322–2358, Fourth Quarter 2017.
- [2] F. Bonomi, R. Milito, J. Zhu, and S. Addepalli, "Fog computing and its role in Internet of Things," in *Proc. ACM SIGCOMM Workshop on Mobile Cloud Computing (MCC)*, (Helsinki, Finland), Aug. 2012.
- [3] W. Zhang, Y. Wen, K. Guan, D. Kilper, H. Luo, and D. O. Wu, "Energy-optimal mobile cloud computing under stochastic wireless channel," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 12, pp. 4569–4581, September 2013.
- [4] J. Kwak, Y. Kim, J. Lee, and S. Chong, "Dream: Dynamic resource and task allocation for energy minimization in mobile cloud systems," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 33, pp. 2510–2523, Dec 2015.
- [5] C. You, K. Huang, and H. Chae, "Energy efficient mobile cloud computing powered by wireless energy transfer," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 34, pp. 1757–1771, May 2016.
- [6] Y. D. Lin, E. T. H. Chu, Y. C. Lai, and T. J. Huang, "Time-and-energy-aware computation offloading in handheld devices to coprocessors and clouds," *IEEE Syst. J.*, vol. 9, pp. 393–405, June 2015.
- [7] R. Kaewpuang, D. Niyato, P. Wang, and E. Hossain, "A framework for cooperative resource management in mobile cloud computing," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 31, pp. 2685–2700, December 2013.
- [8] J. Cheng, Y. Shi, B. Bai, and W. Chen, "Computation offloading in cloud-RAN based mobile cloud computing system," in *2016 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*, pp. 1–6, May 2016.
- [9] X. Chen, "Decentralized computation offloading game for mobile cloud computing," *IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst.*, vol. 26, pp. 974–983, April 2015.
- [10] A. Al-Shuwaili and O. Simeone, "Energy-efficient resource allocation for mobile edge computing-based augmented reality applications," *IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett.*, vol. 6, pp. 398–401, June 2017.
- [11] J. Park, P. Popovski, and O. Simeone, "Minimizing latency to support VR social interactions over wireless cellular systems via bandwidth allocation," *IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett.*, pp. 1–1, 2018.
- [12] M. Chen, W. Saad, C. Yin, and M. Debbah, "Echo state transfer learning for data correlation aware resource allocation in wireless virtual reality," in *2017 51st Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems, and Computers*, pp. 1852–1856, Oct 2017.
- [13] R. Wolski, S. Gurun, C. Krintz, and D. Nurmi, "Using bandwidth data to make computation offloading decisions," in *2008 IEEE International Symposium on Parallel and Distributed Processing*, pp. 1–8, April 2008.
- [14] H. King, L. Liu, J. Xu, and A. Nallanathan, "Joint task assignment and wireless resource allocation for cooperative mobile-edge computing," *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*, May 2018.
- [15] F. Wang, J. Xu, X. Wang, and S. Cui, "Joint offloading and computing optimization in wireless powered mobile-edge computing systems," *IEEE Trans. on Wireless Commun.*, vol. 17, pp. 1784–1797, March 2018.
- [16] S. Boyd, "Lecture notes for EE364b: Convex Optimization II."