

RATIONAL POINTS ON CERTAIN HOMOGENEOUS VARIETIES

PENGYU YANG

ABSTRACT. Let L be a simply-connected simple connected algebraic group over a number field F , and H be a semisimple absolutely maximal connected F -subgroup of L . Let $\Delta(H)$ be the image of H diagonally embedded in L^n . Under a cohomological condition, we prove an asymptotic formula for the number of rational points of bounded height on projective equivariant compactifications of $\Delta(H)\backslash L^n$ with respect to a balanced line bundle, and hence confirm Manin's conjecture for this case.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth projective variety over a number field F . X is called Fano if the anticanonical divisor $-K_X$ is ample. Given an ample line bundle \mathcal{L} on X , and an adelic metrization \mathcal{L} of \mathcal{L} , we can define an associated height function

$$H_{\mathcal{L}}: X(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0} \quad (1.1)$$

on the set of F -rational functions (see [CLT10] Section 2). Take a suitable Zariski open $X^\circ \subset X$, Manin's conjecture [FMT89] predicts the asymptotic growth of the number of rational points with height at most T in $X^\circ(F)$, as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Consider the counting function

$$N(X^\circ(F), \mathcal{L}, T) = \#\{x \in X^\circ(F) : H_{\mathcal{L}}(x) \leq T\}, \quad (1.2)$$

and it is conjectured that

$$N(X^\circ(F), \mathcal{L}, T) \sim c(\mathcal{L})T^{a(\mathcal{L})}(\log T)^{b(\mathcal{L})-1}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $c(\mathcal{L}) > 0$, and $a(\mathcal{L})$, $b(\mathcal{L})$ are geometric constants attached to X and \mathcal{L} , which we define below.

Let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on X , and let $\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X)$ denote the pseudo-effective cone in the real Néron-Severi group $\text{NS}(X, \mathbb{R})$. We define

$$a(\mathcal{L}) = \inf \{t \in \mathbb{Q} : t[\mathcal{L}] + [K_X] \in \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X)\}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$b(\mathcal{L}) = \text{the maximal codimension of the face containing } a(\mathcal{L})[\mathcal{L}] + [K_X].$$

The property of these two constants was systematically studied in [HTT15], where the notion of *balanced* line bundle was defined.

Date: November 25, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14G05; 11G50.

Key words and phrases. Rational points, height, counting.

In the equivariant setting, let G be an algebraic group over F , and H be an F -subgroup of G . Take $X^\circ = H \backslash G$, and let X be a smooth G -equivariant compactification of X° . Let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on X . Several cases have been studied in recent years using ergodic-theoretical methods. Gorodnik, Maucourant and Oh [GMO08] proved Manin's conjecture for $G = H \times H$. Later Gorodnik and Oh [GO11] proved Manin's conjecture for G a connected semisimple F -group, and H a semisimple maximal connected F -subgroup of G , under certain cohomological condition. Gorodnik, Takloo-Bighash and Tschinkel [GTBT15] settled the case where H is a simple connected F -group diagonally embedded into $G = H^n$, and $\mathcal{L} = -K_X$ is the anticanonical bundle.

Definition 1.1. Let X be an equivariant compactification of $X^\circ = H \backslash G$ and $H' \subset G$ any closed proper subgroup containing the diagonal, i.e. $H \subset H'$. Let $X' \subsetneq X$ be the induced equivariant compactification of H' . A line bundle \mathcal{L} on X is called *balanced with respect to H'* if

$$(a(\mathcal{L}|_{X'}), b(\mathcal{L}|_{X'})) < (a(\mathcal{L}), b(\mathcal{L})), \quad (1.5)$$

in the lexicographic ordering. It is called *balanced* if this property holds for every such $H' \subset G$.

In this article we confirm the following case of Manin's conjecture.

Theorem 1.2. *Let L be a simply-connected absolutely-simple connected algebraic group over a number field F , and H be a semisimple absolutely maximal connected F -subgroup of L . Let $G = L^n$, and $\Delta(H)$ the image of the diagonal embedding of H into G . Let X be a G -equivariant compactification of $X^\circ = \Delta(H) \backslash G$. Let \mathcal{L} be a balanced line bundle on X , with a smooth adelic metrization \mathcal{L} . Suppose that for any completion F_v of F , the map of Galois cohomology $H^1(F_v, H) \rightarrow H^1(F_v, L)$ is injective. Then*

$$N(X^\circ(F), \mathcal{L}, T) \sim c_{\mathcal{L}} \cdot T^{a(\mathcal{L})} (\log T)^{b(\mathcal{L})-1}, \quad (1.6)$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$, for some $c_{\mathcal{L}} > 0$.

Our proof is based heavily on [GTBT15], and also combines techniques from [CLT10][GO11].

Example 1.3. Let $L = \mathrm{SL}_{2m}$ and $H = \mathrm{Sp}_{2m}$, where $m \geq 2$ is an integer. Let X be an equivariant compactification of $\Delta(H) \backslash L^n$, and we take \mathcal{L} to be its anticanonical bundle $-K_X$. By [HTT15, Theorem 1.3] and Proposition 2.1 below, $-K_X$ is balanced. Moreover, since $H^1(F_v, H)$ is trivial, we know that $H^1(F_v, H) \rightarrow H^1(F_v, L)$ is injective. Hence the conditions of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied, and the asymptotic formula (1.6) holds for this case.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank David Anderson and Alexander Gorodnik for helpful discussions.

2. INTERMEDIATE SUBGROUPS

Let F be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Let L be a simply-connected simple connected algebraic group defined over F , and H be a semisimple

maximal connected F -subgroup of L . $G = L^n$ is the n -fold direct product of L . Let $\Delta(H)$ denote the diagonal embedding of H into G . In this section we classify all the subgroups of G which contain $\Delta(H)$. For any group N and integer r , let $\Delta_r(N)$ denote the image of the diagonal embedding of N into N^r .

Proposition 2.1 (c.f. [GTBT15] Proposition 4.1). *Suppose M is a connected algebraic group such that $\Delta(H) \subset M \subset G$. Then there exists positive integers $n_1, \dots, n_k; m_1, \dots, m_l$ such that $n_1 + \dots + n_k + m_1 + \dots + m_l = n$, and that up to permutation of indices, M is the image of the morphism*

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \Delta_{n_i}(H) \prod_{j=1}^l \Delta_{m_j}(L) \longrightarrow L^n$$

$$(h_1, \dots, h_s, g_1, \dots, g_t) \mapsto (h_1, \dots, h_s, \rho_1(g_1), \dots, \rho_t(g_t)),$$

where $\rho_i : L \rightarrow L$ is an automorphism of L fixing each element in H .

Proof. We prove by induction on n . The case $n = 1$ follows from the maximality of H . Suppose the proposition holds for n . For $G = L^n$, let $p_1 : M \rightarrow L^{n-1}$ denote the projection onto the first $n - 1$ entries, and $p_2 : M \rightarrow L$ the projection onto the last entry. Without loss of generality we may assume $p_2(M) = L$. Otherwise M is contained in H^n and the conclusion holds by [GTBT15] Proposition 4.1.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M & \\ p_1 \swarrow & & \searrow p_2 \\ L^{n-1} & & L \end{array}$$

Now consider $N := p_2(\ker p_1)$. Since M contains $\Delta(H)$, it follows that N is normalized by H . If N is contained in H , then N is a normal subgroup of H . If N is not contained in H , then H is a proper subgroup of NH , but H is maximal, hence $NH = L$. Since L is simple and N is normal in L , we conclude that $N = L$. Now we discuss all the possible cases.

Case 1. $N = L$. In this case, $M = p_1(M) \times L$. By inductive hypothesis we know that $p_1(M)$ is of the form as in the proposition. Hence $M = p_1(M) \times L$ also satisfies the conclusion.

Case 2. N is an infinite normal subgroup of H . Let $N_L(N)$ denote the normalizer of N in L , then it is a proper subgroup of L containing H . Since $p_2(M) = L$, we can take $g \in p_2(M)$ such that $g \notin N_L(N)$. Then there exists $a \in L^{n-1}$ such that $(a, g) \in M$. It follows that $(a^{-1}, Ng^{-1}) \subset M$, and this implies $gNg^{-1} \subset N$. Therefore $g \in N_L(N)$, contradicting the choice of g .

Case 3. N is finite. Then p_1 is an isogeny. By inductive hypothesis we may assume that $p_1(M) = L^r H^s$. Since $p_2(M) = L$, we get a surjection $\bar{p}_2 : L^r H^s \rightarrow L/N$, whose kernel is denoted by K . Since L and H are both semisimple, by Lemma 2.2, the neutral component K^0 of K is of the form $L^{r-1} H^s$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
p_1(M) \simeq L^r H^s & & \\
\downarrow & \searrow \tilde{p}_2 & \\
p_1(M)/K_0 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & L \\
\downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\
p_1(M)/K & \xrightarrow{\psi} & L/N
\end{array}$$

Since ψ is an automorphism of L/N , by the following Theorem 2.3, ψ induces an isomorphism $\phi : p_1(M) \rightarrow L$. Further lifting to $p_1(M)$, we can say that \tilde{p}_2 induces $\tilde{p}_2 : p_1(M) \rightarrow L$, whose mapping graph M_0 is contained in M . Since M is connected, we know that $M_0 = M$. In other words, p_1 is surjective. Therefore \tilde{p}_2 induces an automorphism $\rho : L \rightarrow L$. Since $\Delta(H)$ is contained in M , we know that ρ fixes each element in H . □

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose G_i 's are simple algebraic groups, and H is a connected normal subgroup of $\prod_{i=1}^n G_i$. Then there exist $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ such that $H = \prod_{j=1}^k G_{i_j}$.*

Proof. We prove by induction on n . Without loss of generality, we may assume that the projection $p_2(H)$ of H onto the last summand is nontrivial. Since G_n is simple, and $p_2(H)$ is connected normal in G_n , we know that $p_2(H) = G_n$. Suppose $h = (h_1, h_2)$ where $h_1 \in \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} G_i$. Then for any $g \in G_n$ we have $(h_1, gh_2g^{-1}) \in H$, as H is normal. This implies that $gh_2g^{-1}h_2^{-1} \in p_2(\ker p_1)$. Hence $p_2(\ker p_1)$ contains $[G_n, G_n]$, the commutator group of G_n . Since G_n is simple, we know that $p_2(\ker p_1) = G_n$. Therefore $H = p_1(H) \times G_n$. And by inductive hypothesis $p_1(H)$ is already a direct product. □

We now see that the study of automorphism group plays an import role here. Hence let us recall the following result.

Theorem 2.3. ([PR94] Theorem 2.8) *For any simply connected semisimple group G , the automorphism group $\text{Aut } G$ is the semidirect product of $\text{Int } G \simeq \bar{G}$ by $\text{Sym}(R)$, where R is the Dynkin diagram. If G is an arbitrary semisimple group and $\tilde{G} \xrightarrow{\pi} G$ is a universal covering, then $\text{Aut } G$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\text{Aut } \tilde{G}$ fixing $\ker \pi$, the fundamental group.*

Here we also give a corollary of this theorem, which will be crucial in the next section.

Corollary 2.4. *Let G be a simply-connected semisimple group, and H is a maximal connected subgroup of G . Then the set*

$$\{\rho \in \text{Aut } G : \rho(h) = h, \forall h \in H\}$$

is finite.

Proof. By Theorem 2.3, it suffices to consider inner automorphisms only, as $\text{Sym } R$ is already finite. Suppose $\rho(g) = g_0 g g_0^{-1}$ for some $g_0 \in G$, then $g_0 \in Z_H(G)$. Since H is maximal and G is semisimple, we know that $Z_H(G)$ is finite. Hence we only have finitely many choices of such automorphisms. \square

3. EQUIDISTRIBUTION OF TRANSLATED MEASURES

Let F be a number field. Let L be a connected semisimple group defined over F , and H a semisimple maximal connected subgroup of L . Notice that in this section we drop the assumption that L is simply-connected. Let $\pi : \tilde{L} \rightarrow L$ be the universal cover of L and W a compact subgroup of $L(\mathbb{A})$ such that $W \cap L(\mathbb{A}_f)$ is open in $L(\mathbb{A}_f)$. Define

$$L_W := L(F)\pi(\tilde{L}(\mathbb{A}))W$$

and

$$Y_W := L(F)\backslash L_W.$$

Let $C_c(Y_W)^W$ denote the space of compactly supported W -invariant continuous functions on Y_W . Let $G = L^n$ be the n -fold direct product of L , and $V = W^n$ is an open subgroup of G . Set

$$G_V := G(F)\pi(\tilde{G}(\mathbb{A}))V = L_W \times \cdots \times L_W.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let L be a connected simple group defined over F . Let H be a simple maximal connected subgroup of L . Suppose*

$$\{(b_1^{(k)}, \dots, b_n^{(k)})\} \subset L_W \times \cdots \times L_W$$

is a sequence such that

(1) *For any $i \neq j$,*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (b_i^{(k)})^{-1} b_j^{(k)} = \infty.$$

(2) *For any i , $b_i^{(k)} \rightarrow \infty$ modulo $H(\mathbb{A})$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$.*

Then for all $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C_c(Y_W)^W$, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Y_W} f_1(y b_1^{(k)}) \cdots f_n(y b_n^{(k)}) d\nu(y) = \int_{Y_W} f_1 d\mu \cdots \int_{Y_W} f_n d\mu$$

where ν is the invariant probability measure supported on $H(F)\backslash(H(\mathbb{A}) \cap L_W)$ considered as a measure on Y_W via pushing forward by the natural injection, and μ is the probability Haar measure on Y_W .

Proof. Set

$$W^{(k)} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n b_i^{(k)} W (b_i^{(k)})^{-1}.$$

From the proof of Corollary 4.14 in [GO11], we know that $H(F)\pi(\tilde{H}(\mathbb{A}))(W^{(k)} \cap H(\mathbb{A}_f))$ is a normal subgroup of $H(\mathbb{A}) \cap L_W$ with finite index, for any k . Hence there exists a finite subset $\Delta^{(k)} \subset H(\mathbb{A}) \cap L_W$ such that

$$H(\mathbb{A}) \cap L_W = \bigcup_{x \in \Delta^{(k)}} H(F)\pi(\tilde{H}(\mathbb{A}))x(W^{(k)} \cap H(\mathbb{A}_f)),$$

where the union is a disjoint union. We note that by Corollary 4.10 in [GO11], $H(F)\pi(\tilde{H}(\mathbb{A}))$ is normal in $H(\mathbb{A}) \cap L_W$. Observe that the function

$$y \mapsto f_1(yb_1^{(k)}) \cdots f_n(yb_n^{(k)})$$

is right invariant under $W^{(k)}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Y_W} f_1(yb_1^{(k)}) \cdots f_n(yb_n^{(k)}) d\nu(y) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \Delta^{(k)}} \int_{W^{(k)}} \int_{x_0\pi(\tilde{H}(\mathbb{A}))x} f_1(usb_1^{(k)}) \cdots f_n(usb_n^{(k)}) d\mu_x^{(k)}(u) dw \\ &= \sum_{x \in \Delta^{(k)}} \frac{1}{\#\Delta^{(k)}} \int_{x_0\pi(\tilde{H}(\mathbb{A}))x} f_1(ub_1^{(k)}) \cdots f_n(ub_n^{(k)}) d\mu_x^{(k)}(u), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $\mu_x^{(k)}$ is the invariant probability measure supported on $x_0\pi(\tilde{H}(\mathbb{A}))x$, and dw is the normalized invariant measure on $W^{(k)}$.

Now for any k , choose $x^{(k)} \in \Delta^{(k)}$, and set

$$c^{(k)} = (x^{(k)}b_1^{(k)}, \dots, x^{(k)}b_n^{(k)}). \quad (3.2)$$

Since $(c_i^{(k)})^{-1}c_j^{(k)} = (b_i^{(k)})^{-1}b_j^{(k)}$ and $x^{(k)} \in H(\mathbb{A})$, we can see that the sequence $\{c^{(k)}\}$ still satisfies both conditions in the theorem. According to the definition we can rewrite the last integral in (3.1) as

$$\int_{x_0\pi(\tilde{H}(\mathbb{A}))x} f_1(ub_1^{(k)}) \cdots f_n(ub_n^{(k)}) d\mu_x^{(k)}(u) = \int_{G(F) \backslash G_V} f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n d(c^{(k)} \cdot \lambda_H) \quad (3.3)$$

Now it remains to determine the limit points of $c^{(k)} \cdot \lambda_H$, where λ_H is the invariant probability measure on $\pi(\Delta(\tilde{H})(\mathbb{A}))$.

Since H is maximal in L , the centralizer $Z_L(H)$ of H in L is anisotropic. Hence the centralizer of $\Delta(H)$ in $G = L^n$, which equals $Z_L(H) \times \cdots \times Z_L(H)$, is also anisotropic over F . Therefore, by [GO11] Theorem 1.7(1) we know that $\{c^{(k)} \cdot \lambda_H\}$ is relatively compact. Suppose μ is a limit point. By [GO11] Theorem 1.7(2) we get a connected F -subgroup M of G and a sequence $\delta^{(k)} \in G(F)$ such that

$$\Delta(H) \subset (\delta^{(k)})^{-1}M\delta^{(k)} \subset G.$$

Hence

$$M = \delta^{(k)}N_k(\delta^{(k)})^{-1}, \quad (3.4)$$

where N_k is an intermediate subgroup as described in Proposition 2.1. Now it suffices to show that $M = G$, and the theorem will follow by a similar argument to [GTBT15, Theorem 5.1].

We prove $M = G$ by contradiction. Suppose M is a proper subgroup of G . By Corollary 2.4, the number of intermediate subgroups is finite, and thus by passing to a subsequence we may assume that $N_k = N$ for all k . Here N is a proper subgroup of $G = L^n$.

Case 1. There is no $\Delta_{n_i}(H)$ part. Since N is a proper subgroup of G , we can find $i \neq j$ such that $\pi_{ij}(N) = \Delta_2(L)$. Set $\sigma^{(k)} = (\delta^{(1)})^{-1}\delta^{(k)}$, we see from Equation (3.4) that

$$z^{(k)} := (\sigma_i^{(k)})^{-1}\sigma_j^{(k)} \in Z(L)(F).$$

By [GO11] Theorem 1.7(2), there exists $h^{(n)} \in \pi(\Delta(\tilde{H})(\mathbb{A}))$ such that $\delta^{(k)}h^{(k)}c^{(k)}$ converges. In particular, $(z^{(k)})^{-1}(c_i^{(k)})^{-1}c_j^{(k)}$ converges. Since $Z(L)$ is finite, $\{z^{(k)}\}$ is a compact set. Hence $(c_i^{(k)})^{-1}c_j^{(k)}$ converges, but this contradicts to the fact that pairwise ratios diverge.

Case 2. There is a $\Delta_{n_i}(H)$ part. We may assume that $\pi_1(N) = H$. We see from (3.4) that $\sigma_1^{(k)}$ is in the normalizer $N_L(H)$ of H . But H has finite index in $N_L(H)$, hence $\{\sigma_1^{(k)}\}$ is bounded modulo H . Again by [GO11] Theorem 1.7, the sequence $\sigma_1^{(k)}h_1^{(k)}c_1^{(k)}$ converges. This contradicts to the facts that $\{c_1^{(k)}\}$ diverges modulo H .

Therefore, $M = G$, and still by [GO11] Theorem 1.7 we know that there exists a normal subgroup M_0 of $M(\mathbb{A}) = G(\mathbb{A})$ containing $G(F)\pi(G(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}))$ and $g \in \pi(G(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}))$ such that for any $f \in C_c(G(F)\backslash G_V)^V$, we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G(F)\backslash G_V} f \, d\mu &= \int_{G(F)\backslash G_V} f \, d(g \cdot \nu_{M_0}) = \int_{G(F)\backslash G_V} f \, d\nu_{M_0} \\ &= \int_{G(F)\backslash G_V} \int_V f(uv) \, dv \, d\nu_{M_0} = \int_{G(F)\backslash G_V} f \, dz, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $d\mu_{M_0}$ is the pushforward of the Haar measure on x_0M_0 , and dz is the Haar measure on $G(F)\backslash G_V$.

Finally, combining equations (3.1)(3.3)(3.5) we finish the proof of the theorem. \square

4. VOLUME COMPUTATION

In this section, let L be a simply-connected simple connected algebraic group over a number field F , and H be a simple maximal connected F -subgroup of L . Denote by G the n -fold direct product of L . We treat H as a subgroup of G via diagonal embedding. Let X be a smooth projective equivariant compactification of $X^\circ = H\backslash G$. Let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on X . By [GTBT15] Proposition 2.1, we can write

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \lambda_\alpha D_\alpha, \quad \lambda_\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}, \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$-K_X = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \kappa_\alpha D_\alpha, \quad (4.2)$$

where all $\kappa_\alpha \geq 1$.

Given a smooth metrization \mathcal{L} of \mathcal{L} , as in [CLT10] Section 2.1 we have a corresponding height function

$$H = H_{\mathcal{L}}: X^\circ(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}. \quad (4.3)$$

There exists a compact open subgroup V of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ such that the adelic height function H is invariant under V . By possibly replacing V with a smaller compact open subgroup, we may assume that $V = W \times \cdots \times W$ for a compact open subgroup W of $H(\mathbb{A}_f)$.

To compute the volume of the height ball via standard Tauberian argument, we need the following result.

Theorem 4.1 ([GTBT15] Theorem 3.3). *Let G be a connected semisimple algebraic group and $H \subset G$ a closed subgroup, defined over a number field F , such that the map $H^1(E, H) \rightarrow H^1(E, G)$ is injective, for E being either F or a completion of F . Let X be a smooth projective equivariant compactification of $X^\circ = H \backslash G$ with normal crossing boundary $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} D_\alpha$ and*

$$H: \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{A}} \times X^\circ(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

an adelic height system. Then there exists a function Φ , holomorphic and bounded in vertical strips for $\operatorname{Re} s_\alpha > \kappa_\alpha - \epsilon$, for some $\epsilon > 0$, such that for $\mathbf{s} = (s_\alpha)$ in this domain one has

$$\int_{X^\circ(\mathbb{A})} H(\mathbf{s}, x)^{-1} dx = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \zeta_F(s_\alpha - \kappa_\alpha + 1) \cdot \Phi(\mathbf{s}),$$

where ζ_F is the Dedekind zeta function.

Let B_T be the height ball in $X_V = X^\circ(\mathbb{A})$ defined by

$$B_T = B_{T, \mathcal{L}} = \{x \in X_V : H_{\mathcal{L}}(x) < T\}. \quad (4.4)$$

We have the following asymptotic formula for the volume of the height ball.

Lemma 4.2 ([GTBT15] Lemma 6.3). *Let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on X , and \mathcal{L} be a smooth metrization of \mathcal{L} . Then*

$$\operatorname{vol}(B_T) \sim c_{\mathcal{L}} \cdot T^{a_{\mathcal{L}}} (\log T)^{b_{\mathcal{L}} - 1} \quad (4.5)$$

with $a_{\mathcal{L}}, b_{\mathcal{L}}$ as in (1.4) and $c_{\mathcal{L}} > 0$.

The following lemma is a generalized version of [GTBT15] Lemma 6.6.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $H \subset M \subset G$ be semisimple connected algebraic groups defined over F , and \mathcal{L} be a balanced line bundle on $H \backslash G$. Let K be a compact subset of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that $k_1 k_2^{-1} \notin M(\mathbb{A})$ for all distinct $k_1, k_2 \in K$. Suppose $H^1(F_v, H) \rightarrow H^1(F_v, G)$*

is injective for any place v of F , then for any smooth adelic metrization of \mathcal{L} , we have

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(B_T \cap (H \backslash M)(\mathbb{A}) \cdot K)}{\text{vol}(B_T)} = 0 \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. Since we have injectivity of cohomology, we can apply Theorem 4.1 to $H \backslash M$ and $H \backslash G$. Now we know the poles of the height integral and their orders, and we can apply the standard Tauberian argument to obtain the volume asymptotics (see [CLT10] Appendix A). The proof of [GTBT15] Lemma 6.6 works with no changes needed. \square

Corollary 4.4. *Let $G = L^n$ and H embeds in G diagonally. Let the volume be given by the Tamagawa measure with respect to a balanced line bundle \mathcal{L} .*

(1) *Let K_1 be a compact subset of $L(\mathbb{A})$. For any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we have*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(B_T \cap \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (H \backslash G)(\mathbb{A}) : (x_i)^{-1}x_j \in K_1\})}{\text{vol}(B_T)} = 0$$

(2) *Let K_2 be a compact subset of $H \backslash L(\mathbb{A})$. Fix $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(B_T \cap \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (H \backslash G)(\mathbb{A}) : x_i \in K_2\})}{\text{vol}(B_T)} = 0$$

Proof. In (1) we take

$$M = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G : x_i = x_j\}, \quad (4.7)$$

and

$$K = \{(x_k) \in G(\mathbb{A}) : x_j \in K_1; x_k = e, \forall k \neq j\}. \quad (4.8)$$

In (2) we take

$$M = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G : x_i \in H\}, \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$K = \{(x_k) \in G(\mathbb{A}) : x_i \in K_2; x_k = e, \forall k \neq i\}. \quad (4.10)$$

Now it remains to apply Lemma 4.3. \square

We recall the definition of L_W , Y_W and G_V from Section 3. Since L is simply-connected, we have $L_W = L(F)\pi(\tilde{L}(\mathbb{A}))W = L(\mathbb{A})$, $G_V = (L_W)^n = G(\mathbb{A})$. Denote $Y = Y_W = L(F) \backslash L(\mathbb{A})$, and $Z = Z_V = G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$. Let ν be the invariant probability measure supported on $H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})$. Let dx denote the Tamagawa measures on $X^\circ(\mathbb{A})$, and dz denote the invariant probability measure on $G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$.

We recall the following result from [GTBT15].

Proposition 4.5 ([GTBT15] Corollary 6.8). *For any $f \in C_c(Z)$,*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\text{vol}(B_T)} \int_{B_T} dx \int_Y f(yx) d\nu(y) = \int_Z f dz.$$

Proof. We follow the proof of [GTBT15] Corollary 6.8. By the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, it suffices to consider functions of the form $f = f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n$ with $f_i \in C_c(Y)$, and it's shown that we may assume f_i to be W -invariant. In this case,

$$I(x) = \int_Y f(yx) d\nu(y) = \int_Y f_1(yx_1) \cdots f_n(yx_n) d\nu(y). \quad (4.11)$$

Given compact subsets K_1 of $L(\mathbb{A})$ and K_2 of $(H \backslash L)(\mathbb{A})$, we set

$$B_{T,K_1,K_2} = \{x \in B_T : (x_i)^{-1}x_j \notin K_1, i \neq j; x_i \notin K_2\}. \quad (4.12)$$

By Theorem 3.1, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists K_1 and K_2 such that for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in B_{T,K_1,K_2}$, we have

$$\left| I(x) - \int_Y f_1 d\mu \cdots \int_Y f_n d\mu \right| < \epsilon, \quad (4.13)$$

and

$$\int_{B_{T,K_1,K_2}} I(x) dx = \text{vol}(B_{T,K_1,K_2}) \int_Y f_1 d\mu \cdots \int_Y f_n d\mu + O(\epsilon \text{vol}(B_{T,K_1,K_2})). \quad (4.14)$$

One also has

$$\int_{B_T \setminus B_{T,K_1,K_2}} I(x) dx = O(\text{vol}(B_T \setminus B_{T,K_1,K_2})). \quad (4.15)$$

Since the line bundle \mathcal{L} is balanced, it follows from Lemma 4.3 that

$$\frac{\text{vol}(B_T \setminus B_{T,K_1,K_2})}{\text{vol}(B_T)} \rightarrow 0, \quad T \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.16)$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, combining (4.14) and (4.15) we finish the proof of the proposition. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By [GTBT15] Lemma 3.4, the height balls are well-rounded. Then Theorem 1.2 follows from Proposition 4.5 via the standard unfolding argument. See e.g. [GO11] Proposition 5.3 and [GTBT15] Theorem 6.9. \square

Availability of data and materials. Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

REFERENCES

- [CLT10] Antoine Chambert-Loir and Yuri Tschinkel. Igusa integrals and volume asymptotics in analytic and adelic geometry. *Confluentes Math.*, 2(3):351–429, 2010.
- [FMT89] Jens Franke, Yuri I. Manin, and Yuri Tschinkel. Rational points of bounded height on Fano varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 95(2):421–435, 1989.
- [GMO08] Alex Gorodnik, François Maucourant, and Hee Oh. Manin's and Peyre's conjectures on rational points and adelic mixing. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 41(3):383–435, 2008.
- [GO11] Alex Gorodnik and Hee Oh. Rational points on homogeneous varieties and equidistribution of adelic periods. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 21(2):319–392, 2011. With an appendix by Mikhail Borovoi.
- [GTBT15] Alexander Gorodnik, Ramin Takloo-Bighash, and Yuri Tschinkel. Multiple mixing for adelic groups and rational points. *Eur. J. Math.*, 1(3):441–461, 2015.

- [HTT15] Brendan Hassett, Sho Tanimoto, and Yuri Tschinkel. Balanced line bundles and equivariant compactifications of homogeneous spaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (15):6375–6410, 2015.
- [PR94] Vladimir Platonov and Andrei Rapinchuk. *Algebraic groups and number theory*, volume 139 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994. Translated from the 1991 Russian original by Rachel Rowen.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ETH ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND
Email address: pengyu.yang@math.ethz.ch