

# On recovery of signals with single point spectrum degeneracy

Nikolai Dokuchaev

**Abstract**—The paper study recovery problem for discrete time signals with a finite number of missing values. The paper establishes recoverability of these missing values for signals with Z-transform vanishing with a certain rate at a single point. The transfer functions for the corresponding recovering kernels are presented explicitly. Some robustness of the recovery with respect to data truncation or noise contamination is established.

**Key words:** data recovery, spectrum degeneracy, Z-transform, transform functions, robustness.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The paper presents a modification of the approach developed in [1] for recovery of a finite set of missing values for discrete time signals. This important problem was widely studied; see the literature review in [1].

The result and the approach of the present paper are different from the result [1]. In particular, the conditions of recoverability and the recovering kernels obtained in the present paper are different from the ones in [1]. Overall, the result of the present paper has some advantages as well as some disadvantages comparing with [1]. In particular, it has the following advantages.

- (i) In [1], sufficient conditions of recoverability of  $M$  missing values require spectrum degeneracy of the underlying processes at  $M$  isolated points located periodically on the unit circle in  $\{e^{i\omega} : \omega \in (-\pi, \pi)\}$ . In the present paper, the spectrum degeneracy is required at a single point  $e^{i\pi} = -1$  only.
- (ii) In [1], the values can be missed for consequent times only. In the present paper, the times for missing values can be scattered arbitrarily. Moreover, the method developed in the present paper is more efficient for the case large distance between the times for missing values.

However, the result of the present result has the following disadvantages comparing with [1].

- (i) For the case of  $M > 1$ , the rate of spectrum degeneracy required in [1] at each of the points of degeneracy is lower comparing with the rate of degeneracy at  $|\omega| = \pi$  required in the present paper.
- (ii) The rate of the spectrum degeneracy required in [1] at each of the points of degeneracy does not depend

on the number  $M$  of missing points. The rate of the spectrum degeneracy at  $e^{i\pi} = -1$  required in the present paper is increasing with  $M$ .

- (iii) The rate of the spectrum degeneracy required in [1] is described explicitly. In the present paper, the rate of the spectrum degeneracy has to be calculated via a numerical procedure.

## II. DEFINITIONS AND BACKGROUND

Let  $\mathbb{T} \triangleq \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| = 1\}$ , and let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the set of all integers.

We denote by  $\ell_r$  the set of all sequences  $x = \{x(t)\} \subset \mathbf{C}$ ,  $t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ , such that  $\|x\|_{\ell_r} = (\sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} |x(t)|^r)^{1/r} < +\infty$  for  $r \in [1, \infty)$  or  $\|x\|_{\ell_\infty} = \sup_t |x(t)| < +\infty$  for  $r = +\infty$ .

For  $x \in \ell_1$  or  $x \in \ell_2$ , we denote by  $X = \mathcal{Z}x$  the Z-transform

$$X(z) = \sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} x(t)z^{-t}, \quad z \in \mathbf{C}.$$

Respectively, the inverse  $x = \mathcal{Z}^{-1}X$  is defined as

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} X(e^{i\omega}) e^{i\omega t} d\omega, \quad t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

We have that  $x \in \ell_2$  if and only if  $\|X(e^{i\omega})\|_{L_2(-\pi, \pi)} < +\infty$ . In addition,  $\|x\|_{\ell_\infty} \leq \|X(e^{i\omega})\|_{L_1(-\pi, \pi)}$ .

We use the sign  $\circ$  for convolution in  $\ell_2$ .

For a finite set  $S$ , we denote by  $|S|$  the number of its elements.

*The setting for the recovery problem*

Let a finite set  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathbb{Z}$  be given.

Let  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$  be the set of all  $h \in \ell_2$  such that, for all  $x \in \ell_2$ ,

$$(h \circ x)(t) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}: s \notin \mathcal{T}} h(t-s)x(s), \quad t \in \mathcal{T}. \quad (1)$$

We are interested in the problem of recovery values  $\{x(t)\}_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  from observations  $\{x(s)\}_{s: s \notin \mathcal{T}}$  for  $x \in \ell_2$ . More precisely, we consider calculation of estimates  $\{\hat{x}(t)\}_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  obtained as  $\hat{x} = h \circ x$  for some appropriate kernels  $h \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$ .

*Definition 1:* Let  $\mathcal{Y} \subset \ell_2$  be a class of sequences.

- (i) We say that this class is recoverable if there exists a sequence  $\{h_n(\cdot)\}_{n=1}^{+\infty} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$  and

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} |x(t) - \hat{x}_n(t)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow +\infty \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{Y},$$

where  $\hat{x}_n = h_n \circ x$ .

Submitted: September 24, 2018.

The author is with School of Electrical Engineering, Computing and Mathematical Sciences, Curtin University, GPO Box U1987, Perth, 6845 Western Australia.

- (ii) We say that the class  $\mathcal{Y}$  is uniformly recoverable if, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $h(\cdot) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$  such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} |x(t) - \widehat{x}(t)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{Y},$$

where  $\widehat{x} = h \circ x$ .

Since  $h \circ x = \mathcal{Z}(HX)$ , where  $H = \mathcal{Z}h$  and  $X = \mathcal{Z}x$ , then it follows that desired recovery operators should have the following properties.

- (a)  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ ;  
 (b)  $H(e^{i\omega}) \cdot X(e^{i\omega}) \approx X(e^{i\omega})$ .

We will show below that this can be satisfied for appropriate choice of  $h$  and for some wide enough classes of processes.

### III. THE MAIN RESULTS

We will establish recoverability for sequences with  $\mathcal{Z}$ -transforms vanishing at some isolated points of  $\mathbb{T}$  with certain rate.

#### A. Recoverability for some classes of processes

For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $D_n \triangleq (\pi - \pi/n, \pi)$  and  $\widetilde{D}_n \triangleq (-\pi, -\pi + \pi/n) \cup (\pi - \pi/n, \pi)$ .

For  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ , let  $S_t = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : k = t - s, s \in \mathcal{T}\}$ . Let  $S_{\mathcal{T}} = \cup_{t \in \mathcal{T}} S_t$ . It can be noted that  $0 \in S_{\mathcal{T}}$  for any choice of  $\mathcal{T}$ ; in addition, if  $s \in S_{\mathcal{T}}$  then  $-s \in S_{\mathcal{T}}$ .

*Proposition 1:* Let  $h \in \ell_2$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $h \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$  if and only if  $h(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in S_{\mathcal{T}}$ .

*Example 3.1:*

- (i) For  $\mathcal{T} = \{t = 1, 3, 4\}$ , we have that  $S_{\mathcal{T}} = \{-3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ .  
 (ii) Let an integer  $m \geq 0$  be given. For  $\mathcal{T} = \{t \in \mathbb{Z} : |t| \leq m\}$ , we have that  $S_{\mathcal{T}} = \{s \in \mathbb{Z} : |s| \leq 2m\}$ .  
 (iii) Let an integer  $m \geq 0$  be given. For  $\mathcal{T} = \{t = 0, 1, \dots, m\}$ , we have that  $S_{\mathcal{T}} = \{s \in \mathbb{Z} : |s| \leq m\}$ .

In this example, the ratio  $|S_{\mathcal{T}}|/|\mathcal{T}|$  is the same for the cases (ii) and (iii). This ratio is larger for the case where the set  $\mathcal{T}$  is non-periodic; in particular, this ratio is larger for the case (i) then for the case (ii) with  $m = 1$  or for the case (ii) with  $m = 2$  (however, the number of missing values is the same for all three case).

Let  $P_{\mathcal{T}} \triangleq \{s \in S_{\mathcal{T}} : s > 0\}$ .

For an integer  $n > 1$ , let  $P_{n,\mathcal{T}} \triangleq \{s \in P_{\mathcal{T}} : s/n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and let  $\bar{P}_{n,\mathcal{T}} \triangleq P_{\mathcal{T}} \setminus P_{n,\mathcal{T}}$ .

Let elements of  $P_{\mathcal{T}}$  be counted as  $\{t_1, \dots, t_p, t_{p+1}, \dots, t_q\}$ , where  $p = |P_{n,\mathcal{T}}|$  and  $q = |\bar{P}_{n,\mathcal{T}}| + p$ , such that  $P_{n,\mathcal{T}} = \{t_1, \dots, t_p\}$  and  $\bar{P}_{n,\mathcal{T}} = \{t_{p+1}, \dots, t_q\}$ .

The case where  $\bar{P}_{n,\mathcal{T}} = \emptyset$  is not excluded; in this case,  $q = p$ .

Let  $e_k(\omega) \triangleq \cos(t_k \omega)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, q$ , and let  $e_0(\omega) \equiv 1$ .

We consider  $L_2(D_n)$  as a real Hilbert space with the standard norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L_2(D_n)}$  and the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L_2(D_n)}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}(f_1, \dots, f_q)$  denote a linear span of a set of functions  $\{f_k\} \subset L_2(D_n)$ .

For  $n > 0$ , let a set  $\{v_n^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^q \subset \mathcal{L}(e_1, \dots, e_q)$  be constructed using the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation procedure such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_k\|_{L_2(D_n)} &= 1, \quad k = 1, \dots, q, \\ (v_k, v_n^{(l)})_{L_2(D_n)} &= 0, \quad k, l = 1, \dots, q, \quad k \neq l. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that this procedure is run consequently according to numbering for  $e_k$ ; this ensures that  $v_k \in \mathcal{L}(e_1, \dots, e_p)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, p$ .

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_n &\triangleq e_0 - \sum_{k=p+1}^q (e_0, v_k)_{L_2(D_n)} v_k, \\ w_n &\triangleq (\pi - \pi/n) \frac{\xi_n}{(\xi_n, e_0)_{L_2(D_n)}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proposition 2:*  $0 < (\xi_n, e_0)_{L_2(D_n)} = \|\xi_n\|_{L_2(D_n)}^2 \leq n^{-1}$  and  $\|w_n\|_{L_2(D_n)} \geq (\pi - \pi/n)\sqrt{n}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$  be the class of all sequences  $x \in \ell_2$  such that, for  $X = \mathcal{Z}x$ ,

$$\int_{\widetilde{D}_n} |w_n(\omega) X(e^{i\omega})| d\omega \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2)$$

By Proposition 2, requirement (2) implies that  $X(e^{i\omega}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|\omega| \rightarrow \pi$  for  $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$ . This holds for “degenerate” processes, with  $X(e^{i\omega})$  vanishing as  $|\omega| = \pi$  with certain rate of decay. We call this single point  $w_n$ -degeneracy.

*Example 3.2:* Let  $\mathcal{X}^{BL}$  be the set of all  $x \in \ell_2$  such that there exists  $n > 0$  such that  $X(e^{i\omega})|_{\omega \in \widetilde{D}_n} = 0$  (in particular, these processes are band-limited). Then  $\mathcal{X}^{BL} \subset \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$  for any  $\mathcal{T}$ .

*Example 3.3:* Assume that  $n$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  are such that  $t/n \in \mathbb{Z}$  for any  $t \in P_{n,\mathcal{T}}$ . Then  $\xi_n = e_0$  and  $w_n(\omega) \equiv (\pi - \pi/n)n$ .

*Definition 2:* Let  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$  be a class of sequences. We say that this class features single point  $w_n$ -degeneracy uniformly over  $\mathcal{U}$  if, for any  $x \in \mathcal{U}$ , (2) holds uniformly over  $x \in \mathcal{U}$  for  $X = \mathcal{Z}x$ .

*Theorem 1:* The following holds.

- (i) The class  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$  is recoverable in the sense of Definition 1(i).  
 (ii) Any class  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$  featuring uniform degeneracy in the sense of Definition 2 is recoverable uniformly on  $\mathcal{U}$  in the sense of Definition 1(ii).

#### B. The recovering kernels

We assume that  $w_n(\omega)$  is extended on  $\widetilde{D}_n$  from  $D_n$  such that  $w_n(\omega) = w_n(-\omega)$ .

*Lemma 1:* For  $n > 1$ , consider kernels  $\widetilde{h}_n(\cdot) = \mathcal{Z}^{-1} \widetilde{H}_n$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{H}_n(e^{i\omega}) &= 1, & |\omega| < \pi - \pi/n, \\ \widetilde{H}_n(e^{i\omega}) &= -w_n(\omega), & |\omega| \in [\pi - \pi/n, \pi). \end{aligned}$$

Then these  $\tilde{h}_n$  are real valued processes such that  $\tilde{h}_n(t) = \tilde{h}_n(-t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_n(0) &= 0, \\ \tilde{h}_n(t) &= \frac{1}{\pi t} \sin\left(\pi t - \frac{t\pi}{n}\right), \quad t \in S_{\mathcal{T}} \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

*Lemma 2:* For  $n > 1$ , consider kernels constructed as

$$h_n(t) = \tilde{h}_n(t) \mathbb{I}_{t \notin S_{\mathcal{T}}}.$$

Then  $h_n \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\|\tilde{h}_n - h_n\|_{\ell_p} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  for  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ .

Let  $H_n \triangleq \mathcal{Z}h_n$ .

Since  $\tilde{H}_n(e^{i\omega}) = 1$  and  $H_n(e^{i\omega}) \approx 1$  on a large part of  $(-\pi, \pi)$  for large  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , the kernels introduced in Lemma 2 are potential candidates for the role of recovering kernels presented in Definition 1 and required for recoverability claimed in Theorem 1. The following theorem shows that these kernels ensure required recoverability.

*Theorem 2:* The kernels  $h_n$  introduced in Lemma 2 ensure recovering required in Definition 1 (i)-(ii) as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

*Example 3.4:* Under the assumption of Example (3.3),  $\|H_n(e^{i\omega})\|_{L_1(-\pi, \pi)} \leq 4\pi$  and  $\sup_n \|h_n\|_{\ell_2} \leq 2$ .

*Remark 1:* It can be seen that, for a fixed  $n > 0$ , large  $|S_{\mathcal{T}}^+|$  (i.e. large  $|\mathcal{J}|$ ) leads to small  $\|\xi_n\|_{L_2(D_n)}$  and large  $\|w_n\|_{L_2(D_n)}$ . On the other hand, large  $t_1 = \min_{s, t \in \mathcal{T}: s \neq t} |s - t|$  leads to smaller  $\|w_n\|_{L_2(D_n)}$  (i.e. closer to  $(\pi - \pi/n)\sqrt{n}$ ).

*Remark 2:* Theorem 1 implies that, for a given  $\mathcal{J}$ , the recovery error can be made arbitrarily small via increasing  $n$ . On the other hand, Proposition 2 implies that  $\|H_n(e^{i\omega})\|_{L_2(-\pi, \pi)} \geq \|w_n\|_{L_2(D_n)} \rightarrow +\infty$  and hence  $\|h_n\|_{\ell_2} \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . This means that the values  $|h_n(t)|$  are decaying as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  slower for large  $n$  required for lesser recovery error. Therefore, more precise recovery would be more impacted by data truncation and would require more observations, especially for heavy tail inputs.

*Remark 3:* For  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ , the operators  $\hat{x} = h \circ x$  are such that for any  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the estimates  $\{\hat{x}(t+r)\}_{r \in \mathcal{T}}$  are calculated from the observations  $\{x(t+r)\}_{r \notin \mathcal{T}}$ . More precisely, for any  $x \in \ell_2$  and any  $h \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$ ,

$$(h \circ x)(t+r) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}: s \notin r + \mathcal{T}} h(t+r-s)x(s), \quad t \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Here  $r + \mathcal{T} = \{r+t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ . Therefore, the recovery kernels  $h_n$  also solve the recovery problem in related time-invariant setting.

#### IV. PROOFS

*Proof of Proposition 1* follows immediately from the fact that the equality

$$\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} h(t-s)x(s) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{T}} h(t-s)x(s).$$

holds for all  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $x \in \ell_2$  if and only if  $h(t-s) = 0$  for all  $t, s \in \mathcal{T}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 2.* The function  $v_{\mathcal{L}} \triangleq \sum_{k=1}^d (e_0, v_k)_{L_2(D_n)} v_k$  represents the projection of  $e_0$

on  $\mathcal{L}_n$ . Since  $e_0 \notin \mathcal{L}_n$ , it follows that  $\xi_n = e - v_{\mathcal{L}} \neq 0$ , i.e.  $\|\xi_n\|_{L_2(D_n)} \neq 0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi_n, e_0)_{L_2(D_n)} &= (\xi_n, \xi_n)_{L_2(D_n)} + (\xi_n, v_{\mathcal{L}})_{L_2(D_n)} \\ &= \|\xi_n\|_{L_2(D_n)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\xi_n\|_{L_2(D_n)} \leq \|e_0\|_{L_2(D_n)} = n^{-1/2}.$$

This implies Proposition 2.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 1.* Since  $\tilde{H}_n(e^{-i\omega}) = \overline{\tilde{H}_n(e^{i\omega})}$ , we have that  $\tilde{h}_n$  and  $h_n$  are real valued.

Since all values  $\tilde{H}_n(e^{-i\omega})$  are real, we have that  $h_n(t) = h_n(-t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

For  $k = 1, \dots, p$ , we have that  $t_k/n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (e_0, e_k)_{L_2(D_n)} &= \int_{\pi - \pi/n}^{\pi} \cos(t_k \omega) d\omega \\ &= -\frac{1}{t_k} \sin(t_k(\pi - \pi/n)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(e_0, v_k)_{L_2(D_n)} = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, p$$

and

$$(\xi_n, v_k)_{L_2(D_n)} = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, p.$$

By the choice of the  $\xi_n$ , it follows that

$$(\xi_n, v_k)_{L_2(D_n)} = 0, \quad k = p+1, \dots, q.$$

Hence

$$(\xi_n, e_k)_{L_2(D_n)} = 0 \quad k = p+1, \dots, q.$$

Finally, we obtain that

$$(\xi_n, e_k)_{L_2(D_n)} = 0 \quad k = 1, \dots, q.$$

By the definition of  $w_n$  and  $e_k$ , it gives that

$$\int_{D_n} w_n(\omega) \cos(t_k \omega) d\omega = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, q. \quad (4)$$

Furthermore, we have that

$$\int_{D_n} w_n(\omega) d\omega = (w_n, e_0)_{L_2(D_n)} = \pi - \pi/n$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{D}_n} w_n(\omega) d\omega &= 2(\pi - \pi/n), \\ \int_{\tilde{D}_n} \tilde{H}_n(e^{i\omega}) d\omega &= -2(\pi - \pi/n). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(-\pi, \pi] \setminus \tilde{D}_n} \tilde{H}_n(e^{i\omega}) d\omega &= \int_{-\pi + \pi/n}^{\pi - \pi/n} \tilde{H}_n(e^{i\omega}) d\omega \\ &= 2(\pi - \pi/n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\tilde{h}_n(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \tilde{H}_n(e^{i\omega}) d\omega = 0.$$

By (4), we have for  $t \in P_{\mathcal{T}}$  that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{h}_n(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \tilde{H}_n(e^{i\omega}) e^{i\omega t} d\omega \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \tilde{H}_n(e^{i\omega}) \cos(\omega t) d\omega \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi-\pi/n} \tilde{H}_n(e^{i\omega}) \cos(\omega t) d\omega \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{D_n} w_n(t) \cos(\omega t) d\omega \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi-\pi/n} \cos(\omega t) d\omega = \frac{1}{\pi t} \sin(t\pi - t\pi/n).\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tilde{h}_n(t) = \tilde{h}_n(-t)$ , this gives (3) and completes the proof of Lemma 2.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 2.* It suffices to observe that  $\|h_n - \tilde{h}_n\|_{\ell_p} = \|y\|_{\ell_p}$ , where  $y(t) \triangleq \frac{1}{\pi t} \sin(t\pi - t\pi/n) \mathbb{1}_{t \in S_{\mathcal{T}} \setminus \{0\}} \rightarrow 0$  for all  $t$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , and let  $\tilde{H}_n$  be as defined in Lemma 1. Let  $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$ ,  $X \triangleq \mathcal{Z}x$ ,  $\tilde{h}_n = \mathcal{Z}^{-1}\tilde{H}_n$ , and

$$\tilde{x}(t) \triangleq \sum_{s=-\infty}^t \tilde{h}_n(t-s)x(s).$$

By the definitions, it follows that  $\tilde{X}(e^{i\omega}) \triangleq \tilde{H}_n(e^{i\omega})X(e^{i\omega}) = (\mathcal{Z}\tilde{x})(e^{i\omega})$ .

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{X}(e^{i\omega}) - X(e^{i\omega})\|_{L_1(-\pi, \pi)} \\ &= \int_{\tilde{D}_n} |\tilde{X}(e^{i\omega}) - X(e^{i\omega})| d\omega \\ &= \int_{\tilde{D}_n} |(\tilde{H}_n(e^{i\omega}) - 1)X(e^{i\omega})| d\omega \leq \zeta_n + \psi_n,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_n &= \|w_n(\omega)X(e^{i\omega})\|_{L_1(\tilde{D}_n)}, \\ \psi_n &= \|X(e^{i\omega})\|_{L_2(\tilde{D}_n)}.\end{aligned}$$

By the assumptions on  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$ ,

$$\zeta_n \rightarrow 0, \quad \psi_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence

$$\|\tilde{x}_n - x\|_{\ell_\infty} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T}}. \quad (5)$$

Further, let  $h_n = \mathcal{Z}^{-1}\tilde{H}_n$  be defined in Lemma 2, and let  $\hat{x}_n = h_n \circ x$ . Let  $H_n = \mathcal{Z}h_n$ . By Lemma 2, we have that

$$\|h_n - \hat{h}\|_{\ell_1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence

$$\text{ess sup}_{\omega \in (-\pi, \pi]} |H_n(e^{i\omega}) - \tilde{H}_n(e^{i\omega})| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (6)$$

Let  $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$  and  $X \triangleq \mathcal{Z}x$ . We have that

$$\|x - \hat{x}_n\|_{\ell_\infty} \leq \|x - \tilde{x}_n\|_{\ell_\infty} + \|\hat{x} - \tilde{x}_n\|_{\ell_\infty}.$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\hat{x} - \tilde{x}_n\|_{\ell_\infty} \\ &= \sup_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \tilde{H}_n(e^{i\omega}) - H_n(e^{i\omega}) e^{i\omega t} X(e^{i\omega}) d\omega \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{ess sup}_{\omega \in (-\pi, \pi]} |H_n(e^{i\omega}) - \tilde{H}_n(e^{i\omega})| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |X(e^{i\omega})| d\omega.\end{aligned}$$

By (6),  $\|\hat{x} - \tilde{x}_n\|_{\ell_\infty} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Together with (5), this implies that  $\|x - \hat{x}_n\|_{\ell_\infty} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . This completes the proof of statement (i).

Let us prove statement (ii). By the assumptions on  $\mathcal{U}$ , it follows from the the proof above that

$$\|\tilde{X}(e^{i\omega}) - X(e^{i\omega})\|_{L_1(-\pi, \pi)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

uniformly over  $x \in \mathcal{U}$ . In particular, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one can select  $n$  such that  $\|\hat{x} - x\|_{\ell_\infty} \leq \varepsilon$ . This completes the proof of statement (ii). It follows from the proofs above that the recovering kernels  $\tilde{h}_n(\cdot) = \mathcal{Z}^{-1}\tilde{H}_n$  are such as required. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

## V. ON ROBUSTNESS WITH RESPECT TO NOISE CONTAMINATION

Let us discuss the impact of the presence of the noise contaminating recoverable sequences. Assume that the kernels  $\tilde{h}_n$  described in Theorem 1 and designed for recoverable sequences are applied to a sequence with a noise contamination. Let  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$  be a set such as described in Definition 2. Let us consider an input sequence  $x \in \ell_2$  such that  $x = x_0 + \eta$ , where  $x_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ , and where  $\eta \in \ell_2 \setminus \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$  represents a noise. Let  $X = \mathcal{Z}x$ ,  $X_0 = \mathcal{Z}x_0$ , and  $N = \mathcal{Z}\eta$ . We assume that  $\|N(e^{i\omega})\|_{L_1(-\pi, \pi)} = \sigma$ ; the parameter  $\sigma \geq 0$  represents the intensity of the noise.

In the proof of Theorem 1, we found that, for an arbitrarily small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |(H_n(e^{i\omega}) - 1)X_0(e^{i\omega})| d\omega \\ &\leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |(\tilde{H}_n(e^{i\omega}) - H_n(e^{i\omega}))X_0(e^{i\omega})| d\omega \\ &\quad + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |(\tilde{H}_n(e^{i\omega}) - 1)X_0(e^{i\omega})| d\omega \\ &\leq 2\pi\varepsilon \quad \forall x_0 \in \mathcal{U}, \forall n \geq n_0,\end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{H}_n = \mathcal{Z}\tilde{h}_n$ .

For  $\hat{x}_0 = \tilde{h}_n \circ x_0$ , this implies that

$$\|\hat{x}_0 - x_0\|_{\ell_\infty} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Let us estimate the recovery error for the case where  $\sigma > 0$ . For  $\hat{x} = \tilde{h}_n \circ x$ , we have that

$$\|\hat{x} - x\|_{\ell_\infty} \leq E_0 + E_\eta,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}E_0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \| (H_n(e^{i\omega}) - 1)X_0(e^{i\omega}) \|_{L_1(-\pi, \pi)} \leq \varepsilon, \\ E_\eta &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \| (H_n(e^{i\omega}) - 1)N(e^{i\omega}) \|_{L_1(-\pi, \pi)}.\end{aligned}$$

The value  $E_\eta$  represents the additional error caused by the presence of unexpected high-frequency noise (when  $\sigma > 0$ ). It follows that

$$\|\hat{x} - x\|_{\ell_\infty} \leq \varepsilon + \sigma(\kappa + 1), \quad (7)$$

where  $\kappa = \sup_{\omega \in [-\pi, \pi]} |H_n(e^{i\omega})|$ .

This means that the recovery is robust with respect to noise contamination for any given  $\varepsilon$ .

It can be noted that if  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  then  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\kappa \rightarrow +\infty$ . In this case, error (7) is increasing for any given  $\sigma > 0$ . This happens when the recovering procedure is targeting too small a size of the error for the sequences from  $\mathcal{X}_\mathcal{T}$ , i.e., under the assumption that  $\sigma = 0$ .

## VI. A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

We made some numerical experiments in the spirit of experiments from [1] but with signals with Z-transform  $X(e^{i\omega})$  vanishing at a neighbourhood of  $\omega = \pm\pi$  only and with recovering kernels  $h_n$  defined in Lemma 2 for  $\mathcal{T} = \{0, \tau\}$ , where  $\tau > 0$  is an integer. As was mentioned above, these kernels are real valued even functions on  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\|h_n\|_{\ell_2} \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . This means that, for large  $n$ , they may decay slow as  $|t| \rightarrow +\infty$ , which would lead to a large error caused by inevitable data truncation.

We considered input processes  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  obtained via the Monte-Carlo simulation as the following.

- (i) At each simulation, a Fourier polynomial  $f_1(\omega)$  defined on  $[-\pi, \pi]$  with  $\bar{N} > 0$  non-zero terms with independent random coefficients from normal distributions was created for a given  $\bar{N} > 0$ .
- (ii) A piecewise continuous function  $f_2(\omega) = \sum_{\omega \in I_k} \alpha_k f_1(\omega)$  was created for random  $\alpha_k = \xi_k + i\zeta_k$ , where  $\xi_k$  and  $\zeta_k$  were selected independently from the normal distribution, and where  $I_k = (-\pi + (k-1)\pi/\bar{N}, -\pi + k\pi/\bar{N})$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, \bar{N}$ .
- (iii) We defined  $X_1(e^{i\omega}) = \frac{f_2(\omega) + \overline{f_2(-\omega)}}{2}$ . This would ensure that  $X_1(e^{i\omega}) = \overline{X_1(e^{-i\omega})}$ ,  $\omega \in (-\pi, \pi]$ .
- (iv) A input process  $x \in \mathcal{X}^{BL}$  was obtained using  $X_1$  as  $x = \mathcal{Z}^{-1}(\mathbb{1}_{\omega \in (-\pi, \pi] \setminus \bar{D}_\varepsilon} X_1(e^{i\omega}))$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $\bar{D}_\varepsilon = (-\pi, -\pi + \varepsilon) \cup (\pi - \varepsilon, \pi)$ . More precisely, a finite set of values for input process  $\{x(t)\}_{t=-N}^N$  was calculated for a given  $N > 0$ . This represents a truncation of a process from  $\mathcal{X}^{BL} \subset \mathcal{X}_\mathcal{T}$ .

We have used R software; the command *integrate* was used for calculation of inverse Z-transforms for  $h_n$  and  $x$ . We have used  $\bar{N} = 10$  and  $\varepsilon = 0.4$ .

Figure 1 show example of the traces of  $h_n(t)$  for  $\tau = 3$  and  $n = 15$ . Figure 2 shows an example of the path for simulated  $x$ .

To test our algorithm for recovery of missing values  $\{x(t)\}_{t=0, \tau}$  from observations of  $\{x(s)\}_{s \neq 0, \tau}$ , we calculated their estimates  $\hat{x}(t)$  using convolution with the truncated input  $x$

$$\hat{x}(t) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}: |s| \leq N} h_n(t-s)x(s).$$

We calculated the relative error

$$E_{\tau, n}(N, \varepsilon) = \mathbb{E} \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0, \tau} |\hat{x}(k) - x(k)|^2}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=-N}^N x(t)^2}}.$$

Here  $\mathbb{E}$  means average over the Monte-Carlo simulations. In particular, we obtained that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{15, 15}(150) &= 0.04, & E_{15, 15}(300) &= 0.006, \\ E_{3, 15}(300) &= 0.2152, & E_{3, 15}(1500) &= 0.084. \end{aligned}$$

These examples show that, as expected, the error is decreasing as the truncation parameter  $N$  is increasing if the distance between times  $t = \tau$  and  $t = 0$  for missing values is decreasing.




Fig. 1. The kernel  $h_n(t)$  for  $\mathcal{T} = \{0, 3\}$  and  $n = 15$ , for  $t = -10, 1, \dots, 300$  and  $t = -10, 1, \dots, 50$  (below).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Dokuchaev, N. (2016). On recovering missing values in a pathwise setting. arXiv:1604.04967.




Fig. 2. A trace of  $x$  simulated with  $\varepsilon = 0.4$ .