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ABSTRACT. We investigate the number of prime factors of individual entries
for matrices in the special linear group over the integers. We show that, when
properly normalised, it satisfies a central limit theorem of Erdős–Kac-type. To
do so, we employ a sieve-theoretic set-up due to Granville and Soundararajan.
We also make use of an estimate coming from homogeneous dynamics due to
Gorodnik and Nevo.

1. INTRODUCTION

The celebrated Erdős–Kac theorem is a central limit theorem for the number of
(distinct) prime factors $\omega$ of a “random” integer, in the following sense: for every
$x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \# \left\{ 1 \leq m \leq n : \frac{\omega(m) - \log \log n}{\sqrt{\log \log n}} \leq x \right\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-t^2} dt.$$ 

There is an abundant number of results of this type for the number of prime
factors of various sequences of integers: shifted primes [8], values of integer poly-
nomials [9] and friable numbers [10, 1, 11] to cite but a few examples.

In this note, we study the number of prime factors of the entries in integer
matrices of unit determinant. More precisely, we define, for $n \geq 2$ and $T > 0$,

$$(1) \quad V_T(\mathbb{Z}) = \{ g \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) : \|g\| \leq T \},$$

where for $g \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, $\|g\| = \sqrt{\text{Tr}(g^t g)}$ is the Frobenius norm.

For an integer $n \geq 1$, we let $\omega(n)$ be the number of distinct prime factors of $n$.
We extend $\omega$ to $\mathbb{Z}$ by defining $\omega(0) = 0$ and for $n \geq 1$, $\omega(-n) = \omega(n)$. Our main
theorem can now be stated.

**Theorem 1.1.** For every $n \geq 2$, every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and for each pair $(i, j) \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^2$, we have

$$(2) \quad \lim_{T \to +\infty} \frac{\# \{ g \in V_T(\mathbb{Z}) : \frac{\omega(g_{i,j}) - \log \log T}{\sqrt{\log \log T}} \leq x \}}{\# V_T(\mathbb{Z})} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt.$$ 

Our proof uses the sieve-theoretic framework unravelled by Granville and Soundarara-
jan in 2006 [7], which we recall in subsection 3.1 so as to be self-contained. To obtain
the necessary estimates to feed into the sieve, we apply a deep result of Gorodnik
and Nevo from 2010 [6].

We note that since this effective congruence counting is the key input, one can
also apply such arguments to thin linear groups, thanks to the work of Bourgain,
Gamburd and Sarnak [3]. Indeed, this was done in the case of Apollonian circle
packings with integral curvatures by Djanković in [4].
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2. Counting with congruences

We need the following special case of [6, Corollary 5.2]. For \( n \geq 2 \) and a positive integer \( Q \), define the following — principal congruence subgroup of \( \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \):

\[
\Gamma(Q) = \{g \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) : g \equiv I_n \pmod{Q}\}.
\]

Claim 2.1 (Gorodnik–Nevo). For every \( n \geq 2 \), there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that for every \( M \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) and every integer \( Q \geq 1 \),

\[
\#\{A \in \Gamma(Q)M : \|A\| \leq T\} = \frac{\#V_T(\mathbb{Z})}{[\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma(Q)]} + O(\#V_T(\mathbb{Z})^{1-\delta}),
\]

where the implied constant is independent of \( Q \).

In fact, we shall require the following consequence.

Corollary 2.1. For every \( n \geq 2 \), there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that for every square-free integer \( q \) and every \( (i,j) \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^2 \),

\[
\#\{g \in V_T(\mathbb{Z}) : q \mid g_{i,j}\} = \prod_{\substack{p|q \in P \\, \, \, p\nmid q}} \frac{p^{n-1} - 1}{p^n-1} #V_T(\mathbb{Z}) + O\left(q^{n^2-2} #V_T(\mathbb{Z})^{1-\delta}\right)
\]

Proof. Fix \( n \geq 2 \) and \( (i,j) \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^2 \).

The group \( \Gamma(q) \) acts on the set \( \{g \in V_T(\mathbb{Z}) : q \mid g_{i,j}\} \), which we may therefore view as a disjoint union of finitely (a priori, at most \( q^{n^2-1} \)) \( \Gamma(q) \)-orbits. Each orbit has the same number of points, given by the formula in [Claim 2.1].

\[
\frac{\#V_T(\mathbb{Z})}{[\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma(q)]} + O(\#V_T(\mathbb{Z})^{1-\delta}).
\]

First, \( \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})/\Gamma(q) \cong \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) \) — a manifestation of “strong approximation” — so that

\[
[\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma(q)] = \#\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})
\]

\[
= \prod_{\substack{p\in P \\, \, \, p|q}} \#\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})
\]

\[
= \prod_{\substack{p\in P \\, \, \, p|q}} \frac{(p^n - 1)(p^n - p)(p^n - p^2) \ldots (p^n - p^{n-1})}{p - 1}
\]

where the second equality follows from the Chinese remainder theorem.

Next, the number of orbits is precisely

\[
\#\{g \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) : q \mid g_{i,j}\}.
\]
By the Chinese remainder theorem we simply need to compute, for $p$ prime,

$$
\text{(11)} \quad \#\{g \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p) : q \mid g_{i,j}\}.
$$

We estimate the number of such matrices in $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and then divide by $\#\mathbb{F}_p^n = p - 1$ to get the desired count. We have $p^n - 1$ choices for the $j$th column, then $p^n - p$ choices for another column to be linearly independent from that column, $p^n - p^2$ choices for a third column to be linearly independent from the span of those two columns, etc.

Therefore

$$
\text{(12)} \quad \#\{g \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p) : q \mid g_{i,j}\} = \frac{(p^{n-1} - 1)(p^n - p)(p^n - p^2) \cdots (p^n - p^{n-1})}{p - 1}
$$

and

$$
\text{(13)} \quad \#\{g \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) : q \mid g_{i,j}\} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}, \ p \mid q} \frac{(p^{n-1} - 1)(p^n - p)(p^n - p^2) \cdots (p^n - p^{n-1})}{p - 1}.
$$

It thus follows from (9) and (13) that

$$
\text{(14)} \quad \#\{g \in V_T(\mathbb{Z}) : q \mid g_{i,j}\} = \frac{\#\{g \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) : q \mid g_{i,j}\}}{\left|\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma(q)\right|} \#V_T(\mathbb{Z}) + O\left(\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}, \ p \mid q} p^{n^2 - 2} #V_T(\mathbb{Z})^{1 - \delta}\right)
$$

$$
= \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}, \ p \mid q} \frac{p^{n-1} - 1}{p^n - 1} #V_T(\mathbb{Z}) + O\left(q^{n^2 - 2} #V_T(\mathbb{Z})^{1 - \delta}\right),
$$

as claimed. \hfill \Box

3. Sieving

3.1. The Granville–Soundararajan framework. For a multiset $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_x\}$ and a positive integer $d$, define

$$
\mathcal{A}_d = \#\{n \leq x : d \mid a_n\}.
$$

Suppose that, for square-free $d$, $\mathcal{A}_d$ can be written in the form $\frac{\mu(d)}{d} x + r_d$ for some non-negative multiplicative function $h$ with $0 \leq b(d) \leq d$ for all square-free $d$.

For a set of primes $\mathcal{P}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, define $\omega_p(a) = \#\{p \in \mathcal{P} : p \mid a\}$. Define $\mu_{\mathcal{P}} = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{h(p)}{p}$ and $\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}^2 = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{h(p)}{p} \left(1 - \frac{h(p)}{p}\right)$. Define $\mathcal{D}_k(\mathcal{P})$ to be the set of square-free integers which are the products of at most $k$ elements of $\mathcal{P}$. Finally, define $C_k = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{2^{k/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} + 1\right)}$.

The following is [17 Proposition 3].

Claim 3.1 (Granville–Soundararajan). Uniformly for all natural numbers $k \leq \sigma_{\mathcal{P}}^{2/3}$, we have

$$
\text{(17)} \quad \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} (\omega_{\mathcal{P}}(a) - \mu_{\mathcal{P}}) k \ll C_k x \sigma_{\mathcal{P}}^k \left(1 + O\left(\frac{k^3}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}^2}\right)\right) + O\left(\mu_{\mathcal{P}}^2 \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_k(\mathcal{P})} |r_d|\right).
$$
if $k$ is even and

$$\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} (\omega_P(a) - \mu_P)^k \ll C_k x \sigma_P^k \frac{k^{3/2}}{\sigma_P} + \mu_P^k \sum_{d \in D_k(P)} |r_d|$$

if $k$ is odd.

3.2. **Proof of the main theorem.** In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1.

Fix $n \geq 2$ and $(i, j) \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^2$.

Adopting the notation in [7], we define

$$\mathcal{A} = \{g_{i,j} : g \in V_T(\mathbb{Z})\},$$

a multiset of size $x := \#V_T(\mathbb{Z})$.

Note that

$$x \sim c_n T^{n^2-n}$$

by a special case of equation (1.12) in [5, Example 1.6], with the explicit formula

for $c_n$ given by $c_n = \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{n}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{n^2-n+2}{2} \right) \zeta(2) \ldots \zeta(n)}{\pi^{n^2/2}}$.

For our application, we take

$$\mathcal{P} = \{\text{all primes} \leq T^{\varepsilon(T)}\}$$

where $\varepsilon$ is a real function which tends to 0 at infinity that we shall choose later. More precisely, we shall choose $\varepsilon(T)$ to be of the form

$$\varepsilon(T) := \frac{1}{(\log \log T)^\psi},$$

where $\psi$ is a fixed positive constant to be chosen later.

Finally, we define the multiplicative function $h: \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ by

$$h(q) = \mu^2(q)q \prod_{\substack{p^s \mid q \\ p \mid q}} \frac{p^{n-1} - 1}{p^n - 1}.$$ 

In particular, for $p$ prime,

$$\frac{h(p)}{p} = \frac{p^{n-1} - 1}{p^n - 1} = 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{p^n} \right).$$

Therefore, using Mertens' theorem we have

$$\mu_P = \log \log T + O(\log \log \log T)$$

and likewise

$$\sigma_P^2 = \log \log T + O(\log \log \log T).$$

Now Corollary 2.1 can be restated as follows: there exists a positive $\delta$ such that for every square-free positive integer $q$,

$$\mathcal{A}_q = \frac{h(q)}{q} x + r_q$$

where $r_q = O(q^{n^2-2} x^{1-\delta})$. 
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We will now apply Claim 3.1. To apply this result we first need to show that the error term
\[ R_k(T) := \sum_{p | q \in \mathcal{P}} \mu(q)^2 |r_q| \]
in Claim 3.1 is sufficiently small. To do this we first observe that every \( q \) in \( R_k(T) \) satisfies
\[ q \leq (\max\{p : p \in \mathcal{P}\})^k \leq T^{k \varepsilon(T)}. \]
Using this with (26) we obtain
\[ R_k(T) \ll x^{1-\delta} \sum_{q \leq T^{k \varepsilon(T)}} q^{n^2 - 2} \leq T^{(n^2 - 1)k \varepsilon(T)} x^{1-\delta} \ll x^{1-\delta} + \frac{n^2 - 1}{x^2} x^{\varepsilon(\gamma_n x^{1/(n^2 - n)})}, \]
where in the last inequality we recalled (20) and let \( \gamma_n = \left( \frac{1}{c_n} \right)^{1/(n^2 - n)} \).

Since \( \varepsilon(x) = o(1) \) we obtain that
\[ R_k(T) \ll x^{1-\frac{1}{2}}. \]
Injecting this estimate and (24) and (25) into Claim 3.1 we obtain that for every even \( k \), we have
\[ \sum_{c \in \mathcal{A}} (\omega_P(c) - \log \log x)^k = C_k x^{(\log \log x)^{k/2}} + O_k\left( x^{(\log \log x)^{k-1}} \right), \]
where the implied constants are allowed to depend on \( k \) and we recall that \( C_k \) is the \( k \)th moment of the standard normal distribution. The last estimate is obviously equivalent to
\[ \sum_{c \in \mathcal{A}} (\omega_P(c) - \log \log T)^k = C_k x^{(\log \log T)^{k/2}} + O_k\left( x^{(\log \log x)^{\frac{k}{2}}} \right), \]
and alluding to (20), this is equivalent to
\[ (27) \quad \frac{1}{\# V_T(Z)} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{A}} (\omega_P(c) - \log \log T)^k \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \log T}} + (\log \log x)^{k/2} x^{1-\frac{k}{2}} \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \log T}}. \]

Furthermore, in an identical manner, Claim 3.1 shows that for odd integers \( k \), one has
\[ (28) \quad \frac{1}{\# V_T(Z)} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{A}} (\omega_P(c) - \log \log T)^k \ll k \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \log T}} + (\log \log x)^{k/2} x^{1-\frac{k}{2}} \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \log T}}. \]

Now equations (27) and (28) show that the even and the odd moments of
\[ \frac{\omega_P(c) - \log \log T}{(\log \log T)^{1/2}} \]
agree with the analogous moments of the standard normal distribution, therefore by a standard argument (see [2, Remark 2] for example),
\[ (29) \quad \frac{\omega_P(c) - \log \log T}{(\log \log T)^{1/2}} \]
obey the analogue of Erdős–Kac. We have
\[ c \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, z > 1 \Rightarrow \# \{ p | c : p > z \} \leq \frac{\log |c|}{\log z}, \]
where the primes are counted with multiplicities. Therefore if $0 < \left| c \right| \leq T$ and choosing $z = T^{\varepsilon(T)}$, then

$$\omega(c) - \omega_p(c) \leq \frac{\log T}{\log T^{\varepsilon(T)}} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon(T)}.$$  

Observe that if we can choose the function $\varepsilon$ such that

$$(30) \quad \frac{1}{\varepsilon(T)} = o\left(\sqrt{\log \log T}\right),$$

then by [2] Remark 1 our equation (29) is equivalent to stating that

$$\frac{\omega(c) - \log \log T}{(\log \log T)^{1/2}}, c \in A.$$ 

obeys the analogue of Erdős–Kac. We can simply pick any $\psi \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ to have our truncation function $\varepsilon$ satisfy (30), which completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
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