

# Symmetry Type Graphs on 4-Orbit Maps

JOHN A. ARREDONDO  
CAMILO RAMÍREZ MALUENDAS  
LUZ EDITH SANTOS GUERRERO

It is well known that there exist twenty two symmetry type graphs associated to 4-orbit maps. For this ones we give the feasible values taken by the degree of the vertices and the number appropriate of edges in the boundary of each face of the map, by introducing the concepts of vertex type graph, face type graph and characteristic system.

[05C30](#); [05C25](#), [52B15](#), [05C07](#)

## 1 Introduction

The concept of map on a surface  $S$ , comes from the ancient idea of a map of the Earth. The surface  $S$  is decomposed into countries “faces” where every border “edge” belongs to exactly two countries. The points where three or more countries are incident correspond to the vertices of the map. For this, we fix a point in the interior of each face, called the center of the face. Thus, in each face, we draw a line segments with nodes the center and the vertices, which are on the boundary of each one of them, respectively. Likewise, on each edge in the boundary of each face we mark the middle point and, we draw the line segments from the center of each face to the middle points on each one its edges, respectively. This process defines a triangulation of the surface  $S$ , and each topological triangle is called a flag of the map.

Every map has associated its automorphism group and a pregraph, called “symmetry type graph”, built as the quotient of its flag graph under the action of the automorphism group, this object has been investigated by Cunningham *at all* [[CDRFHT15](#)], Kovič [[Kov11](#)], Del Rio [[Fra17](#)], and Hubard [[Hub07](#)]. As the automorphism group of the map acts freely on the set conformed by all flags of the map, if the action defines  $k$  classes, then the map is said to be a  $k$ -orbit map. In [[CM80](#), Chapter 8] H. S. M. Coxeter and W. O. J. Moser called to the 1-orbit maps, regular maps and a class of 2-orbit maps, irreflexible maps, also known as chiral maps. Regular and irreflexible maps have been studied widely by several authors as *e.g.*, Wilson [[Wil02](#)], D’Azevedo,

Jones and Schulte [DJS11], and the first and third author jointly with Valdez [AMV17] among others, because regular and chiral maps are the most symmetric ones. Mostly, the  $k$ -orbit maps on surfaces are interesting for their large number of implications and because in this subject converge topics as algebraic geometric, combinatorics and topology, reason for which it has attracted the attention of numerous researchers, see *e.g.*, the work by Del Rio [DRF14], Helfand [Hel13], Cunningham and Pellicer [CP18]. In this paper we focus on 4-orbit maps. Specifically, from the symmetry type graph associated to a 4-orbit map, we give the feasible values taken by the degree of the vertices and the number appropriate of edges in the boundary of each face of the map.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some elements of the theory of maps as their flags,  $k$ -orbit map and automorphism and monodromy groups. In Section 3 we explore the concept of a symmetry type graph associated to a map, and we present the twenty two pregraphs, which could be symmetry type graph of any 4-orbit map. Moreover, we describe the dual and petrial of a map. Finally, in Section 4 we introduce the concept of characteristic system of a vertex and a face. Also, we associate suitably to each vertex and each face of any 4-orbit map a pregraph. From these elements we summarize through a table, the feasible values taken by the degree of the vertices and the number appropriate of edges in the boundary of each face of 4-orbit maps.

## 2 Some review on maps

Along this paper, the term **surface** means a connected 2-dimensional topological real manifold with empty boundary, and it will be denoted as  $S$ . In particular, the transition functions of the corresponding atlas are only required to be continuous. It is important to remark that we require  $S$  to be a compact topological space.

In this text the object **map**  $\mathcal{M}$  **on a surface**  $S$  means a finite 2-cell embedding  $i : G \hookrightarrow S$  of a locally finite simple graph  $G^1$  into  $S$ . In other words, only a finite number of edges are incident in each vertex of  $G$ , the vertices of each edge are in different vertices and the function  $i$  is a topological embedding, such that each connected component of  $S \setminus i(G)$  is homeomorphic to an open disk, whose boundary is the image under  $i$  of a closed finite path in  $G$ .

Each connected component of  $S \setminus i(G)$  is called a **face** of the map  $\mathcal{M}$ . A **vertex**  $i(v)$  **of the map** is the image under  $i$  of a vertex  $v$  in  $G$ . Likewise, an **edge**  $i(e)$  **of the map** is

---

<sup>1</sup>For us  $G$  will be the geometric realization of an abstract graph.

the image under  $i$  of an edge  $e$  in  $G$ . The **degree** of  $i(v)$  with  $v \in V(G)$  is the degree of  $v$ . The **size** of a face  $f$  of the map  $\mathcal{M}$  is the number of edges conforming its boundary.

A **flag**  $\Phi$  of the map  $\mathcal{M}$  is a triangle on the surface  $S$  whose vertices are: a vertex  $i(v)$ , the “midpoint” of an edge  $i(e)$  incident to  $i(v)$ , and an interior point of a face  $f \in S \setminus i(G)$  whose boundary contains  $i(e)$ . All flags contained in the closure of the face  $f$  share the same vertex, “the interior point”. Hence, by the construction described in the introduction, each map  $\mathcal{M}$  induces a triangulation of the surface  $S$ . From a combinatoric point of view, one can identify each flag  $\Phi$  of the map  $\mathcal{M}$  with an **ordered incident triplet** conformed by a vertex, an edge and a face mutually incident in the map  $\mathcal{M}$ , it means  $\Phi = (i(v), i(e), f)$ , where the vertex  $i(v)$  is incident with the edge  $i(e)$ , which is belong to the boundary of the face  $f$ . To each flag  $\Phi$  of the map  $\mathcal{M}$ , there exists a unique adjacent flag  $\Phi^0$  of the map  $\mathcal{M}$  that differs from  $\Phi$  only on the vertex, and in the same manner, there exist unique adjacent flags  $\Phi^1$  and  $\Phi^2$  that differ from  $\Phi$  on the edge and on the face, respectively. The flag  $\Phi^j$  will be called the  **$j$ -adjacent flag of  $\Phi$** , with  $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . We shall denote by  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$  the set conformed by all flags of the map  $\mathcal{M}$ . In Figure 1, we show an example of a map on the torus with some flags marked with an arbitrary base flag  $\Phi$  and its three  $i$ -adjacent flags.




Figure 1: A map on the torus divided into flags.

## 2.1 Automorphism and monodromy groups

An **automorphism**  $h$  of a map  $\mathcal{M}$  is an automorphism of the graph  $G$ , such that it can be extended to a homeomorphism  $\widehat{h}$  of the surface  $S$  to itself, this is  $i \circ h = \widehat{h} \circ i$ . The automorphism set of a map  $\mathcal{M}$ , which will be denoted by  $Aut(\mathcal{M})$  has a group

structure with the composition operation. Hence, the automorphism group of the map  $\mathcal{M}$  is a subgroup of the group of automorphism of the graph  $G$ ,  $Aut(\mathcal{M}) \leq Aut(G)$ . The automorphism group  $Aut(\mathcal{M})$  acts on the set of flags  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ . Namely, this action is *free*; that is, each element of  $Aut(\mathcal{M})$  is completely determined by the image of a given flag (see [GW97, Lemma 3.1]). Hence,  $\mathcal{O}_\Phi$  will denote the orbit of each flag  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$  under the action of the automorphism group  $Aut(\mathcal{M})$ , and we denote by

$$(1) \quad Orb(\mathcal{M}) := \{\mathcal{O}_\Phi \mid \Phi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})\}$$

the set conformed by the orbits defined by the action of  $Aut(\mathcal{M})$  on  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ .

A map  $\mathcal{M}$  is called  **$k$ -orbit map** if the action of its automorphism group  $Aut(\mathcal{M})$  induces  $k$  orbits on the set of flags  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  (see [OPW10, Section 3]). In the literature, a map  $\mathcal{M}$  is called **regular**, if the action of  $Aut(\mathcal{M})$  on  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$  induces one orbit on the set of flags. And a map  $\mathcal{M}$  is called **chiral**, if the action of  $Aut(\mathcal{M})$  on  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$  induces two orbits on the set of flags, with the property that all adjacent flags belong to different orbits (see *e.g.*, [MS02]).

We denote as  $s_j : \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ , for every  $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , the permutation on the set of flags  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$  of the map  $\mathcal{M}$ , which sends each flag  $\Phi$  to its  $j$ -adjacent flag  $\Phi^j$ ,

$$(2) \quad \Phi \rightarrow \Phi \cdot s_j := \Phi^j.$$

The permutation  $s_j$  is an involution, it means

$$(\Phi \cdot s_j) \cdot s_j = \Phi^j \cdot s_j = (\Phi^j)^j = \Phi, \text{ for each flag in } \mathcal{M}.$$

Moreover,  $s_j$  is not an automorphism of the map  $\mathcal{M}$  because it does not induce a homeomorphism of the surface  $S$ , it is merely a bijection in the set of flags (see *e.g.* [CPR<sup>+</sup>15, Section 2]).

The **monodromy group**<sup>2</sup>  $Mon(\mathcal{M})$  of the map  $\mathcal{M}$  is the subgroup of the permutation group of the set of flags  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ , which is generated by the elements  $s_0$ ,  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ , *i.e.*,

$$(3) \quad Mon(\mathcal{M}) := \langle s_0, s_1, s_2 \rangle,$$

Let  $\Phi$  be a flag of the map  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $j_0$  and  $j_1$  be index in the set  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ , then by equation (2) we introduce the following notation

$$(4) \quad (\Phi \cdot s_{j_0}) \cdot s_{j_1} = (\Phi^{j_0}) \cdot s_{j_1} := \Phi^{j_0 j_1}.$$

<sup>2</sup>There are some other authors that now prefer to refer to this group as the connection group. Stephen E. Wilson was the one introducing the subject like this.

Hence, one can naturally define the right action of  $Mon(\mathcal{M})$  on  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$  as follows

$$(5) \quad \alpha(w, \Phi) := \Phi \cdot w,$$

for each  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$  and each  $w \in Mon(\mathcal{M})$ . Where, for each  $w \in Mon(\mathcal{M})$  there are integers  $j_0, j_1, \dots, j_k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $w = s_{j_0} \circ s_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ s_{j_k}$ , then the equation (5) can be written as

$$\Phi \cdot w = \Phi \cdot (s_{j_0} \circ s_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ s_{j_k}) = \Phi^{j_0 j_1 \dots j_k}.$$

In fact, this group satisfies the following properties (see *e.g.*, [CDRFHT15], [HOIW09]).

- (1) Its only defined relations are  $s_i^2 = Id$  for each  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  and,  $(s_i \circ s_j)^2 = Id$  whenever  $|i - j| \geq 2$  such that  $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . In other words, The elements  $s_0, s_1, s_2$  and  $s_0 \circ s_2$  are fixed-point free involutions.
- (2) The group  $Mon(\mathcal{M})$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ .

### 3 Pregraph and Symmetry type graph

Given a graph  $G$ , we consider an edge colouring  $C$  of  $G$  and a partition  $\mathcal{B}$  of the vertex set  $V(G)$  of the graph. The coloured quotient with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $G_{\mathcal{B}}$ , is defined as the pregraph with vertex set  $\mathcal{B}$ , such that for any two vertices  $B, C \in \mathcal{B}$ , there is an edge of colour  $a$  from  $B$  to  $C$  if and only if there exist two classes  $[u], [v] \in \mathcal{B}$  define an edge with colour  $k$ , if and only if, there exist  $\hat{u} \in [u]$  and  $\hat{v} \in [v]$  such that there is an edge with colour  $k$  from  $\hat{u}$  to  $\hat{v}$ . It could be that an edge with colour  $k$  of the pregraph  $G_{\mathcal{B}}$  has the same vertices *i.e.*,  $[u] = [v]$ , in this case the edge “loop” will be called **semi-edge** with colour  $k$  and it will be thought as is shown in Figure 2. For more details, we refer the reader to [CDRFHT15, Section 3]. We will denote an edge of  $G_{\mathcal{B}}$




Figure 2: An edge of the pregraph  $G_{\mathcal{B}}$  with the same vertices.

having colour  $k$ , and vertices the class  $[v]$  and  $[u]$  as  $\{[u], [v]\}_k$ . Similarly, we will denote an semi-edge of  $G_{\mathcal{B}}$  having colour  $k$ , and vertices the class  $[v]$  as  $\{[v]\}_k$ .

Given a map  $\mathcal{M}$ , then the **flag graph**  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$  **corresponding to**  $\mathcal{M}$  is the graph whose set of vertices is conformed by the flags of the map  $\mathcal{M}$ , and two flags  $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$  define an edge if they are adjacent. The flag graph  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$  is 3-regular *i.e.*, each vertex of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$  has degree three, because each flag  $\Phi$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  is only adjacent to three flags:  $\Phi^0$ ,  $\Phi^1$  and  $\Phi^2$ . Thus, we consider the three different colours  $k_1, k_2$  and  $k_3$ , and define the edge colouring of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$

$$(6) \quad C : E(G_{\mathcal{M}}) \rightarrow \{k_0, k_1, k_2\},$$

which sends the edge with vertices on the flag  $\Phi, \Psi$  to the colour  $k_0, k_1$  or  $k_2$ , if they differ by a vertex, an edge or a face, respectively.

The function  $C$  sends the edges of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$  with vertices  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi^j$  to the colour  $k_j$ , with  $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , for each  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ . Moreover, the colour preserving automorphism group of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$  is isomorphic to the automorphism group of  $\mathcal{M}$  (see [BVCP13, Subsection 1.4]).

Given a map  $\mathcal{M}$ , let  $C$  be the edge colouring of the flag graph  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$  defined in equation (6), and  $Orb(\mathcal{M})$  the set of orbits defined in equation (1). Then **the symmetry type graph**  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$  **associated to**  $\mathcal{M}$  is the pregraph of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$  with respect to  $Orb(\mathcal{M})$ . The graph  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$  is such that its set of vertices is  $Orb(\mathcal{M})$ , and two orbits  $O_{\Phi}, O_{\Psi} \in Orb(\mathcal{M})$  define an edge with colour  $k_j$ , with  $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , if and only if, there exist  $\hat{\Phi} \in O_{\Phi}$  and  $\hat{\Psi} \in O_{\Psi}$  such that there is an edge with colour  $k_j$  from  $\hat{\Phi}$  to  $\hat{\Psi}$ . We note that if  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $k$ -orbit map, then  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$  has exactly  $k$  vertices.

There are twenty two symmetry type graphs associated to 4-orbit maps (see [OPW10]). It means, the symmetry type graph of any 4-orbit map is isomorphic to one of those pregraph shown in Figure 3.

If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a map and  $C$  is the edge colouring of the flag graph  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$ , then the set of edges of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$  with colour  $j$  forms a perfect matching, for  $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  (see [HdRFOP13, Section 2]). Hence, the graph  $G_{\mathcal{M}}^{j,i}$  conformed by the edges of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$  with colours  $j$  and  $i$ , such that  $j \neq i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , is a subgraph of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$  whose connected components are even cycles. The graph  $G_{\mathcal{M}}^{j,i}$  is called a **2-factor of**  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$ .

Given that the permutation  $s_0 \circ s_2$  of the monodromy group  $Mon(\mathcal{M})$  is fixed-point free involution, then the cycles of the subgraph  $G_{\mathcal{M}}^{0,2} \subset G_{\mathcal{M}}$  are colourable alternantly with colours  $k_0$  and  $k_2$ , and all them have length four. Hence, if  $\Phi$  is a flag of  $\mathcal{M}$ , then the elements in the sequence of flags  $\Phi, \Phi^0, \Phi^{0,2}, \Phi^{0,2,0}$  are the vertices of a cycle of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}^{0,2}$ , where the second coordinate of the flags  $\Phi, \Phi^0, \Phi^{0,2}, \Phi^{0,2,0}$  are the same. Therefore, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of edges of  $\mathcal{M}$  and the cycles




Figure 3: Symmetry type graphs associated to the 4-orbit maps, with edges and semi-edges with colours  $k_j$  for  $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ .

of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}^{0,2}$ . This correspondence is given by the orbits of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$  under the action of the subgroup of  $Mon(\mathcal{M})$  generated by  $s_0$  and  $s_1$ , i.e.,

$$(7) \quad i(e) \rightarrow \{\Phi, \Phi^0, \Phi^{0,2}, \Phi^{0,2,0}\} := \mathcal{O}_{\Phi}^{(s_0, s_2)} = \{\Phi \cdot w : w \in \langle s_0, s_2 \rangle\},$$

being  $\Phi$  a flag of  $\mathcal{M}$ , such that its second coordinate is  $i(e)$ . We will say that **the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\Phi}^{(s_0, s_2)}$  is around the edge  $i(e)$**  (see Figure 4). Therefore, the cycle of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}^{0,2}$  such that its vertices are the flags belong to  $\mathcal{O}_{\Phi}^{(s_0, s_2)}$  will be denoted as  $C_{i(e)}$ .




Figure 4: Orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\Phi}^{(s_0, s_2)}$  around the edge  $i(e)$ .

Analogously, the permutation  $s_1 \circ s_2$  of the monodromy group  $Mon(\mathcal{M})$  is fixed-point free, and it has finite order, then the cycles of the subgraph  $G_{\mathcal{M}}^{1,2} \subset G_{\mathcal{M}}$  are colourable

alternately with colours  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , and all them have even length. Hence, if  $\Phi$  is a flag of  $\mathcal{M}$ , then the elements in the finite sequence of flags  $\Phi, \Phi^1, \Phi^{1,2}, \dots, \Phi^{1,2,\dots,1}$  are the vertices of a cycle of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}^{1,2}$ , where the first coordinate of the flags  $\Phi, \Phi^1, \Phi^{1,2}, \dots, \Phi^{1,2,\dots,1}$  are the same. Therefore, there is a biunique correspondence between the set of vertices of  $\mathcal{M}$  and the cycles of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}^{1,2}$ . This correspondence is given by the orbits of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$  under the action of the subgroup of  $Mon(\mathcal{M})$  generated by  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ , *i.e.*,

$$(8) \quad i(v) \rightarrow \{\Phi, \Phi^1, \Phi^{1,2}, \dots, \Phi^{1,2,\dots,1}\} := \mathcal{O}_{\Phi}^{\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle} = \{\Phi \cdot w : w \in \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle\},$$

being  $\Phi$  a flag of  $\mathcal{M}$ , such that its first coordinate is  $i(v)$ . We will say that **the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\Phi}^{\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle}$  is around the vertex  $i(v)$**  (see Figure 5). Therefore, the cycle of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}^{1,2}$  such that its vertices are the flags belong to  $\mathcal{O}_{\Phi}^{\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle}$  will be denoted as  $C_{i(v)}$ .





Figure 5: Orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\Phi}^{\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle}$  around the vertex  $i(v)$ .

Likewise, the permutation  $s_0 \circ s_1$  of the monodromy group  $Mon(\mathcal{M})$  is fixed-point free, and it has finite order, then the cycles of the subgraph  $G_{\mathcal{M}}^{0,1} \subset G_{\mathcal{M}}$  are colourable alternately with colours  $k_0$  and  $k_1$ , and all them have even length. Hence, if  $\Phi$  is a flag of  $\mathcal{M}$ , then the elements in the finite sequence of flags  $\Phi, \Phi^0, \Phi^{0,1}, \dots, \Phi^{0,1,\dots,0}$  are the vertices of a cycle of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}^{0,1}$ , where the third coordinate of the flags  $\Phi, \Phi^0, \Phi^{0,1}, \dots, \Phi^{0,1,\dots,0}$  are the same. Therefore, there is a biunique correspondence between the set of faces of  $\mathcal{M}$  and the cycles of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}^{0,1}$ . This correspondence is given by the orbits of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$  under the action of the subgroup of  $Mon(\mathcal{M})$  generated by  $s_0$  and  $s_1$ , *i.e.*,

$$(9) \quad f \rightarrow \{\Phi, \Phi^0, \Phi^{0,1}, \dots, \Phi^{0,1,\dots,0}\} := \mathcal{O}_{\Phi}^{\langle s_0, s_1 \rangle} = \{\Phi \cdot w : w \in \langle s_0, s_1 \rangle\},$$

being  $\Phi$  a flag of  $\mathcal{M}$ , such that its third coordinate is  $f$ . We will say that **the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\Phi}^{\langle s_0, s_1 \rangle}$  is around the face  $f$** , (see Figure 6). Therefore, the cycle of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}^{0,1}$  such that its vertices are the flags belong to  $\mathcal{O}_{\Phi}^{\langle s_0, s_1 \rangle}$  will be denoted as  $C_f$ .

Figure 6: Orbit  $O_{\Phi}^{(s_0, s_1)}$  around the face  $f$ .

### 3.1 Dual and petrie-dual maps

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be two maps and let  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{N})$  be their respectively set of flags, a **duality**  $\delta$  from  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $\mathcal{N}$  is a bijection function  $\delta : \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{N})$ , satisfying  $\Phi^i \delta = (\Phi \delta)^i$  for each flag  $\Phi$  of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$  and each  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . The map  $\mathcal{N}$  is called the **dual map** of the map  $\mathcal{M}$ , if there is a duality from  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $\mathcal{N}$ , and we shall denote it as  $\mathcal{M}^*$ . If there exists a duality from the map  $\mathcal{M}$  to itself, then the map  $\mathcal{M}$  will called **self-dual**. Note that the duality  $\delta$  defines a bijection from the vertices of the symmetry type graph  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$  to the vertices of the symmetry type graph  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M}^*)$ , which sends the edge of color  $i$  of  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$  onto the edge of color  $2 - i$  of  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M}^*)$ , for each  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ .

A **Petrie polygon** in a map  $\mathcal{M}$  is defined as a zig-zag path in the map. More precisely, we start at a vertex, then go along an edge to an adjacent vertex, the turn left and go to the next vertex and then turn right, and so on, (or interchange left and right.) We have a path in which two consecutive edges belong to the same face but no three consecutive edges belong to the same face [CM80]. Note that each edge of a Petrie polygon appears either just once in exactly two different Petrie polygons of the map  $\mathcal{M}$ , or twice in the same Petrie polygon of the map  $\mathcal{M}$ . Hence we can define a map with the same set of vertices and edges of  $\mathcal{M}$ , but with the Petrie polygons as faces. This map is known as the **Petrie-dual** or **Petrie** map of  $\mathcal{M}$ , which will be denoted by  $\mathcal{M}^P$ . If the map  $\mathcal{M}$  is isomorphic to its respective Petrie-dual map  $\mathcal{M}^P$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  is said to be **self-Petrie**.

We have the following result for the dual and petrie dual maps.

**Proposition 3.1** ([HdRFOP13]) *If a map  $\mathcal{M}$  has symmetry type graph  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$ , then*

- (1) *Its dual map  $\mathcal{M}^*$  has the dual of  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$  as symmetry type graph.*

(2) Its Petrie-dual  $\mathcal{M}^P$  has the petrie-dual of  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$  as symmetry type graph.

In the Table 1 is shown the dual and the petrial of each symmetry type graph associated to the 4-orbit maps (see [OPW10]).

Symmetry type graph	Dual	Petrial	Symmetry type graph	Dual	Petrial
$4_A$	$4_{Ad}$	$4_{Ap}$	$4_{Ad}$	$4_A$	$4_{Ad}$
$4_{Ap}$	$4_{Ap}$	$4_A$	$4_B$	$4_{Bd}$	$4_{Bp}$
$4_{Bd}$	$4_B$	$4_{Bd}$	$4_{Bp}$	$4_{Bp}$	$4_B$
$4_C$	$4_{Cd}$	$4_{Cp}$	$4_{Cd}$	$4_C$	$4_{Cd}$
$4_{Cp}$	$4_{Cp}$	$4_C$	$4_D$	$4_{Dd}$	$4_{Dp}$
$4_{Dd}$	$4_D$	$4_{Dd}$	$4_{Dp}$	$4_{Dp}$	$4_D$
$4_E$	$4_{Ed}$	$4_{Ep}$	$4_{Ed}$	$4_E$	$4_{Ed}$
$4_{Ep}$	$4_{Ep}$	$4_E$	$4_F$	$4_F$	$4_F$
$4_G$	$4_{Gd}$	$4_{Gp}$	$4_{Gd}$	$4_G$	$4_{Gd}$
$4_{Gp}$	$4_{Gp}$	$4_G$	$4_H$	$4_{Hd}$	$4_{Hp}$
$4_{Hd}$	$4_H$	$4_{Hd}$	$4_{Hp}$	$4_{Hp}$	$4_H$

Table 1: The dual and the petrial of each symmetry type graph associated to the 4-orbit maps.

## 4 Characteristic system of vertices and faces

In this section we discuss about the local combinatorial nature of 4-orbit maps, from the point of view of their symmetry type graph, characteristic system of a vertex and characteristic system of a face.

### 4.1 Characteristic system of a vertex

Consider a 4-orbit map  $\mathcal{M}$ , let  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$  be the flag graph associated to  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $C$  the edge colouring defined in equation (6). If  $i(v)$  is a vertex of the map  $\mathcal{M}$ , then there is a cycle  $C_{i(v)}$  of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$  around  $i(v)$  (see equation (8)), having even length and being two colourable alternating the colours  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ . From this properties is motivated the following definition.

**Definition 4.1** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a 4-orbit map, and  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$  its symmetry type graph. If  $i(v)$  is a vertex of  $\mathcal{M}$ , then the ordered triplet

$$(2m_v, k_1, k_2)$$

associated to  $i(v)$  is called the **characteristic system of the vertex**  $i(v)$ , where  $2m_v$  is the length of the two colourable alternately cycle  $C_{i(v)}$ , with colours  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , for some  $m_v \in \mathbb{N}$ . The positive integer  $m_v$  corresponds to the degree of the vertex  $i(v)$ .

Given that the characteristic system of the vertex  $i(v)$  is determined by three parameters, if we consider a symmetry type graph  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$  described in Figure 3, and we remove the edges and semi-edges having colour  $k_0$ , then we hold a new pregraph  $\mathcal{T}_0(\mathcal{M})$  isomorphic to one of those twenty two pregraphs shown in Figure 7.





Figure 7: Pregraphs  $\mathcal{T}_0(\mathcal{M})$  associated to the 4-orbit maps without the edges and semi-edges with colour  $k_0$ .

**Remark 4.1** The pregraph  $\mathcal{T}_0(\mathcal{M})$  is conformed by at most three connected components. Moreover, each connected component of  $\mathcal{T}_0(\mathcal{M})$  is isomorphic to one of those eight pregraphs shown in Figure 8, which we denote as  $v_x$ , for some  $x$  in the set of index  $\{1a, 2a, 2b, 2c, 3a, 4a, 4b, 4c\}$ .

Figure 8: Pregraphs  $v_x$ .

If we fix a vertex  $i(v)$  of the map  $\mathcal{M}$ , we hold the cycle  $C_{i(v)}$  of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$  around  $i(v)$ , and remember that  $C_{i(v)}$  is a two colourable alternately cycle, then we can introduce the set of orbits  $Orb(C_{i(v)})$  defined by the action of  $Aut(\mathcal{M})$  on  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$  restricted to the flags that conformed the vertices of  $C_{i(v)}$ . Using the definition of a pregraph from Section 3 we hold that the pregraph  $\overline{C}_{i(v)}$  of the cycle  $C_{i(v)}$  with respect to  $Orb(C_{i(v)})$  induces the following definition.

**Definition 4.2** Consider the pregraph  $\overline{C}_{i(v)}$  which is contained into a connected component of  $\mathcal{T}_0(\mathcal{M})$ , by construction,  $\overline{C}_{i(v)}$  is a pregraph of type  $v_x$ , for some  $x$  in the set of index  $\{1a, 2a, 2b, 2c, 3a, 4a, 4b, 4c\}$ . This connected component is called **the vertex type graph  $\mathcal{T}(i(v))$  of the vertex  $i(v)$** .

**Theorem 4.1** Let us consider a 4-orbit map and let  $i(v)$  be a vertex of the map. If  $v_{2a}$  is the vertex type graph of  $i(v)$ , then the degree of the vertex is even. Moreover, the characteristic system of the vertex is  $(4n, k_1, k_2)$  for some  $n \geq 2$ .

**Proof** Let us consider an edge  $i(e)$  incident to  $i(v)$  and  $f$  a face of the map  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $i(e)$  belongs to its boundary. We denote as  $\Phi$  the flag of the map  $\mathcal{M}$  conformed by the triplet

$$\Phi := (i(v), i(e), f).$$

Suppose that there is a flag  $\Psi$  on the map, such that the classes  $O_{\Phi}, O_{\Psi} \in Orb(\mathcal{M})$  are vertices of the pregraph  $v_{2a}$  (see Figure 9-a). We will count the number of elements in the set  $O_{\Phi}^{\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle}$  (see equation 8) using the vertex type graph  $v_{2a}$ .

Considering the action of  $\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle$  on the set of flags, by equation (8), it holds that the class

$$O_{\Phi}^{\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle} = \{\Phi \cdot w : w \in \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle\}$$

contains all the flags around the vertex  $i(v)$ , it means that

$$O_{\Phi}^{\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle} = \{\Phi, \Phi^1, \Phi^{1,2}, \Phi^{1,2,1}, \Phi^{1,2,1,2}, \dots\}.$$

Given that  $\{O_\Phi\}_1$  is an edge of the pregraph  $v_{2a}$ , then the flag  $\Phi^1$  belongs to the orbit  $O_\Phi$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $\Phi = \Phi_1$  y  $\Phi^1 = \Phi_2$ . Analogously, as the sets  $\{O_\Phi, O_\Psi\}_2$ ,  $\{O_\Psi\}_1$  and  $\{O_\Psi, O_\Phi\}_2$  are edges of the pregraph  $v_{2a}$ , then the flags  $\Phi^{1,2}$ ,  $\Phi^{1,2,1}$  and  $\Phi^{1,2,1,2}$  belong to the orbit  $O_\Psi$ ,  $O_\Psi$  and  $O_\Phi$ . Hence, we can rewrite  $\Phi^{1,2} = \Psi_1$ ,  $\Phi^{1,2,1} = \Psi_2$  and  $\Phi^{1,2,1,2} = \Phi_3$ . Following with this construction we obtain the finite sequence  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Psi_1, \Psi_2, \Phi_3, \dots, \Phi_{l-1}, \Phi_l, \Psi_{l-1}, \Psi_l$  (see Figure 9-b), where  $\Phi_l \in O_\Phi$  and  $\Psi_l \in O_\Psi$  for  $l \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ . From this it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} O_\Phi^{(s_1, s_2)} &= \{\Phi, \Phi^1, \Phi^{1,2}, \Phi^{1,2,1}, \Phi^{1,2,1,2}, \dots\} \\ &= \{\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Psi_1, \Psi_2, \Phi_3, \dots, \Phi_{l-1}, \Phi_l, \Psi_{l-1}, \Psi_l\}. \end{aligned}$$




Figure 9: Sequence follow by the flags around a vertex  $i(v)$  from its vertex type graph of  $v_{2a}$ .

If  $\Phi \in O_\Phi^{(s_1, s_2)}$ , as the pregraph  $v_{2a}$  has a semi-edge in  $O_\Phi$ , then the 1-adjacent flag  $\Phi^1 \in O_\Phi^{(s_1, s_2)}$ . Now, we define the following equivalence relation  $\sim$  in  $O_\Phi^{(s_1, s_2)}$ , the flags  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$  of  $O_\Phi^{(s_1, s_2)}$  are equivalent if they are 1-adjacent flags. We remark that each equivalent class  $[\Gamma]$  of the quotient set  $O_\Phi^{(s_1, s_2)} / \sim$  is conformed by exactly two flags of  $O_\Phi^{(s_1, s_2)}$ . This fact implies that the numbers of flags in  $O_\Phi^{(s_1, s_2)}$  is twice the number of equivalent classes in the quotient set  $O_\Phi^{(s_1, s_2)} / \sim$ , it means  $\text{card}(O_\Phi^{(s_1, s_2)}) = 2\text{card}(O_\Phi^{(s_1, s_2)} / \sim)$ . Given that there are at least four flags  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Psi_1, \Psi_2$  in  $O_\Phi^{(s_1, s_2)}$ , then by definition 4.1 it follows that  $m_v = 2n$  for any positive integer  $n \geq 2$ . Thus, we conclude that the characteristic system of the vertex  $i(v)$  is  $(4n, k_1, k_2)$ .

□

If we consider any vertex  $i(v)$  of the 4-orbit map  $\mathcal{M}$  and we suppose that its vertex type graph  $\mathcal{T}(i(v))$  associated is  $v_x$ , for any  $x$  in the set of index  $\{1a, 2a, 2b, 2c, 3a, 4a, 4b, 4c\}$ , then following the same ideas in the proof of the Theorem 4.1 it is easy to find the

Vertex type graph of the vertex $i(v)$	Degree of the vertex $i(v)$		Characteristic System of the vertex $i(v)$
$v_{1a}$	$n$	$n \geq 3$	$(2n, k_1, k_2)$
$v_{2a}$	$2n$	$n \geq 2$	$(4n, k_1, k_2)$
$v_{2b}$	$2n$	$n \geq 2$	$(4n, k_1, k_2)$
$v_{2c}$	$n$	$n \geq 3$	$(2n, k_1, k_2)$
$v_{3a}$	$3n$	$n \geq 1$	$(6n, k_1, k_2)$
$v_{4a}$	$2n$	$n \geq 2$	$(4n, k_1, k_2)$
$v_{4b}$	$4n$	$n \geq 1$	$(8n, k_1, k_2)$
$v_{4c}$	$4n$	$n \geq 1$	$(8n, k_1, k_2)$

Table 2: Degree and characteristic system of a vertex  $i(v)$  from its vertex type graph.

degree of  $i(v)$  and the characteristic system of  $i(v)$ . These results are collected in Table 2. In Figure 10 are represented the eight different vertex type graphs.

From the Table 2 we hold the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.1** *If a 4-orbit map  $\mathcal{M}$  has a vertex of odd degree, then its symmetry type graph  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$  is either:  $4_{Bd}$ ,  $4_D$ ,  $4_{Dp}$ ,  $4_{Gd}$ , or  $4_{Hd}$ .*

From the Proposition 3.1 and Table 1 follows that

**Corollary 4.2** *If  $\mathcal{M}$  is one 4-orbit map with symmetry type graph  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$  as given in corollary 4.1, then*

- (1) *Its dual map  $\mathcal{M}^*$  has symmetry type graph either:  $4_B$ ,  $4_{Dd}$ ,  $4_{Dp}$ ,  $4_G$ , or  $4_H$ , respectively.*
- (2) *Its Petrie-dual  $\mathcal{M}^P$  has symmetry type graph either:  $4_{Bd}$ ,  $4_{Dp}$ ,  $4_D$ ,  $4_{Gd}$ , or  $4_{Hd}$ , respectively.*

**Remark 4.2** *Let  $i(v_1), i(v_2)$  be vertices of a 4-orbit map  $\mathcal{M}$ , and let  $C_{i(v_1)}, C_{i(v_2)}$  be the cycles of the flag graph  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$  associated to the vertices  $i(v_1)$  and  $i(v_2)$ , respectively. Suppose that the pregraphs  $\overline{C}_{i(v_1)}$  and  $\overline{C}_{i(v_2)}$ , are contained into some connected components of  $\mathcal{T}_0(\mathcal{M})$ . Given that the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$  acts freely on the set of flags  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ , then the vertices  $i(v_1)$  and  $i(v_2)$  have the same degree, and their characteristic systems are the same*

$$(2m_{v_1}, k_1, k_2) = (2m_{v_2}, k_1, k_2).$$




Figure 10: Sequence follow by the flags around a vertex  $i(v)$  from its vertex type graph.

However, if the pregraphs  $\bar{C}_{i(v_1)}$  and  $\bar{C}_{i(v_2)}$  belong to different connected component of  $\mathcal{T}_0(\mathcal{M})$  but they are isomorphic, then the degree of the vertices  $i(v_1)$  and  $i(v_2)$  are multiples of  $s$ , for any  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $l$  is the number of connected components of  $\mathcal{T}_0(\mathcal{M})$ , for any  $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , then there are  $l$  values to the degree of the vertices of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Hence, there are  $n_i$  positive integers, with  $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$  such that the characteristic system of any vertex of the map is either  $(2n_1, k_1, k_2), \dots, (2n_l, k_1, k_2)$ .

## 4.2 Characteristic system of a face

Let  $f$  be a face of the 4-orbit map, let  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$  be the flag graph associated to  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $C$  be the edge colouring defined in equation (9). If  $f$  is a face of the map  $\mathcal{M}$ , then there is a cycle  $C_f$  of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$  around  $f$  (see equation (9)), having length even and being two colourable alternately with colours  $k_0$  and  $k_1$ . From this properties is motivated the following definition.

**Definition 4.3** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a 4-orbit map, and  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$  its symmetry type graph. If  $f$  is a face of  $\mathcal{M}$ , then the ordered triplet

$$(2m_f, k_0, k_1)$$

associated to  $f$  is called the **characteristic system of the face**  $f$ , where  $2m_f$  is the length of the two colourable alternately cycle  $C_f$ , with colours  $k_0$  and  $k_1$ , for some  $m_f \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The positive integer  $m_f$  corresponds to the number of edges of the map  $\mathcal{M}$  in the boundary of the face  $f$ . This number will be called **the size of the face**  $f$ .

Given that the characteristic system of the face  $f$  is determined by three parameters, if we consider a symmetry type graph  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$  described in Figure 3, and we remove the edges and semi-edges having colour  $k_2$ , then we hold a new pregraph  $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathcal{M})$  isomorphic to one of those twenty two pregraphs shown in Figure 11.





Figure 11: Pregraphs  $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathcal{M})$  associated to the 4-orbit maps without the edges and semi-edges with colour  $k_2$ .

**Remark 4.3** The pregraph  $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathcal{M})$  is conformed by at most three connected components. Each connected component of  $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathcal{M})$ , is isomorphic to of one these eight pregraphs shown in Figure 14, which we denote as  $f_x$ , for some  $x$  in the set of index  $\{1a, 2a, 2b, 2c, 3a, 4a, 4b, 4c\}$ .

If we fix a face  $f$  of the map  $\mathcal{M}$ , we hold the cycle  $C_f$  of  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$  such that its vertices are all flags having a vertex in the interior of  $f$ , and remember that  $C_f$  is a two colourable alternately cycle, then we can introduce the set of orbits  $Orb(C_f)$  defined by the action of  $Aut(\mathcal{M})$  on  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$  restricted to the flags that conformed the vertices of  $C_f$ . Using

Figure 12: Pregraphs  $f_x$ .

the pregraph definition in Section 3 we hold that the  $\overline{C}_f$  is the pregraph of the cycle  $C_f$  with respect to  $Orb(C_f)$  induces the following definition.

**Definition 4.4** Consider the pregraph  $\overline{C}_f$  which is contained into a connected component of  $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathcal{M})$ , by construction  $\overline{C}_f$  is a pregraph of type  $f_x$ , for some  $x$  in the set of index  $\{1a, 2a, 2b, 2c, 3a, 4a, 4b, 4c\}$ . This connected component is called **the face type graph  $\mathcal{T}(f)$  of the face  $f$** .

**Theorem 4.2** Let us consider a 4-orbit map and let  $f$  be a face of the map. If  $f_{3a}$  is the face type graph of  $f$  then the boundary of  $f$  is conformed by  $3n$  edges, for any  $n \geq 1$ . In other words,  $f$  has size  $3n$ . Moreover, the characteristic system of the face  $f$  is  $(6n, k_0, k_1)$ .

**Proof** We consider the vertex  $i(v)$  and the edge  $i(e)$  of the 4-orbit map  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $i(e)$  incidents to  $i(v)$  and  $i(e)$  belongs to the boundary of the face  $f$ . Then we denote as  $\Phi$  the flag of the map  $\mathcal{M}$  conformed by the triplet

$$\Phi := (i(v), i(e), f).$$

Suppose that there are flags  $\Upsilon, \Omega$  on the map such that the classes  $\mathcal{O}_\Phi, \mathcal{O}_\Upsilon$  and  $\mathcal{O}_\Omega$  are the vertices of the pregraph  $f_{3a}$  (see Figure 13-a). We will count the number of elements in the set  $\mathcal{O}_\Phi^{(s_0, s_1)}$  using the face type graph  $f_{3a}$ .

Let us consider the action of  $\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle$  on the flags set, by equation (9), the class

$$\mathcal{O}_\Phi^{(s_0, s_1)} = \{\Phi \cdot w : w \in \langle s_0, s_1 \rangle\}$$

contains all the flags having a vertex in the interior of the face  $f$ , it means

$$\mathcal{O}_\Phi^{(s_0, s_1)} = \{\Phi, \Phi^0, \Phi^1, \Phi^{0,1}, \Phi^{1,0}, \Phi^{0,1,0}, \Phi^{1,0,1}, \Phi^{1,0,1,0}, \dots\}$$

Given that the  $\{\mathcal{O}_\Phi\}_0$  is a semi-edge of the pregraph  $f_{3a}$ , then the 0-adjacent flag  $\Phi^0$  belongs to the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_\Phi$ . Then without lost of generality we can rewrite that  $\Phi = \Phi_1$

y  $\Phi^0 = \Phi_2$ . Analogously, as the sets  $\{O_\Phi, O_\Upsilon\}_1$ ,  $\{O_\Upsilon, O_\Omega\}_0$ ,  $\{O_\Omega\}_1$ ,  $\{O_\Omega, O_\Upsilon\}_0$  and  $\{O_\Upsilon, O_\Phi\}_1$  are edges of the pregraph  $f_{3a}$ , then the flags  $\Phi^{0,1}$ ,  $\Phi^{0,1,0}$ ,  $\Phi^{0,1,0,1}$ ,  $\Phi^{0,1,0,1,0}$  and  $\Phi^{0,1,0,1,0,1}$  are belonged to in the orbit  $O_\Upsilon$ ,  $O_\Omega$ ,  $O_\Omega$ ,  $O_\Upsilon$  y  $O_\Phi$ , respectively. Then we can assume that  $\Phi^{0,1} = \Upsilon_1$ ,  $\Phi^{0,1,0} = \Omega_1$ ,  $\Phi^{0,1,0,1} = \Omega_2$ ,  $\Phi^{0,1,0,1,0} = \Upsilon_2$  y  $\Phi^{0,1,0,1,0,1} = \Phi_3$ . Following with this construction we obtain the finite sequence  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Upsilon_1, \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Upsilon_2, \Phi_3, \dots, \Phi_{l-1}, \Phi_l, \Upsilon_{l-1}, \Omega_{l-1}, \Omega_l, \Upsilon_l$  (see Figure 13-b), where  $\Phi_l \in O_\Phi$ ,  $\Upsilon_l \in O_\Upsilon$  and  $\Omega_l \in O_\Omega$  for  $l \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ . From this it holds

$$\begin{aligned} O_\Phi^{(s_0, s_1)} &= \{\Phi, \Phi^0, \Phi^{0,1}, \Phi^{0,1,0}, \Phi^{0,1,0,1}, \Phi^{0,1,0,1,0}, \Phi^{0,1,0,1,0,1}, \dots\} \\ &= \{\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Upsilon_1, \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Upsilon_2, \Phi_3, \dots, \Phi_{l-1}, \Phi_l, \Upsilon_{l-1}, \Omega_{l-1}, \Omega_l, \Upsilon_l\}. \end{aligned}$$




Figure 13: Sequence follow by the flags conforming the boundary of a face  $f$ , from its face type graph of type  $f_{3a}$ .

Let us consider that the face  $f$  has  $m$  edges on its boundary, we will prove that  $m = 3n$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Let us consider two flags  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in O_\Phi^{(s_0, s_1)}$ , such that they are adjacent by an edge  $e$ , then we label all edges in the boundary of  $f$  in clockwise and with this rewrite  $e = e_1$ . By the construction of  $O_\Phi^{(s_0, s_1)}$ , if  $\Delta$  is a flag in  $f$  with an edge on  $e_l$  such that 1 is congruent to  $l$  modulo 3, then the flag  $\Delta$  is in the class  $O_\Phi$ , where  $l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . But if 1 is not congruent to  $l$  modulo 3, then the flag  $\Delta$  is in the class  $O_\Omega$  or  $O_\Upsilon$ . By division theorem there are two positive integers  $n$  and  $r$  such that  $m = 2n + r$  and  $r$  taking values on  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ . If  $r = 1$ , the two flags with edge  $e_m$  are belong to the class  $O_\Phi$ , it means the flags with edges  $e_1$  and  $e_m$  are in the class  $O_\Phi$ . However, one flag with edge  $e_m$  must be in the class  $O_\Omega$  and the other flag with edge  $e_m$  must be on the class  $O_\Upsilon$ . Thus  $r \neq 1$ . Now, if  $r = 2$ , in the edge  $e_m$  there is a flag in the class  $O_\Upsilon$  and the other in the class  $O_\Omega$ . Given that flags in the edge  $e_{3n+1}$  are in the class  $O_\Phi$ , then one flag with edge  $e_1$  must be in the class  $O_\Omega$  and the other flag with edge  $e_1$  must be in the class  $O_\Upsilon$ . However, the both flags with edge  $e_1$  are in the class  $O_\Phi$ . Thus  $r \neq 2$  and we conclude that  $r = 0$ . This implies that the number of

edges conforming the boundary of  $f$  is  $3n$ , for  $n \geq 1$ . Given that there are at least six flags  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Upsilon_1, \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Upsilon_2$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{\Phi}^{(s_0, s_1)}$ , then it follows that the number of flags in the class  $\mathcal{O}_{\Phi}^{(s_0, s_1)}$  is  $6n$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . From this, it holds that the characteristic system of the face  $f$  is  $(6n, k_0, k_1)$ .

□

If we consider any face  $f$  of the 4-orbit map  $\mathcal{M}$  and we suppose that its face type graph  $\mathcal{T}(f)$  associated to  $f$  is  $f_x$ , for any  $x$  in the set of index  $\{1a, 2a, 2b, 2c, 3a, 4a, 4b, 4c\}$ , then following the same ideas in the proof of Theorem 4.2, it is easy to find the number of edges conforming the boundary of  $f$ . These results are collected in Table 3. In Figure 14 are represented the face type graphs.

Face type graph of the face $f$	Size of $f$		Characteristic System of the face $f$
$f_{1a}$	$n$	$n \geq 3$	$(2n, k_0, k_1)$
$f_{2a}$	$2n$	$n \geq 2$	$(4n, k_0, k_1)$
$f_{2b}$	$2n$	$n \geq 2$	$(4n, k_0, k_1)$
$f_{2c}$	$n$	$n \geq 3$	$(2n, k_0, k_1)$
$f_{3a}$	$3n$	$n \geq 1$	$(6n, k_0, k_1)$
$f_{4a}$	$2n$	$n \geq 2$	$(4n, k_0, k_1)$
$f_{4b}$	$4n$	$n \geq 1$	$(8n, k_0, k_1)$
$f_{4c}$	$4n$	$n \geq 1$	$(8n, k_0, k_1)$

Table 3: Size and characteristic system of a face  $f$  from its face type graph.

**Remark 4.4** Let  $f_1, f_2$  be faces of a 4-orbit map  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $C_{f_1}, C_{f_2}$  be the cycles of the flag graph  $G_{\mathcal{M}}$ , associated to the faces  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , respectively. Suppose that the pregraphs  $\overline{C}_{f_1}$  and  $\overline{C}_{f_2}$  are contained into some connected component of  $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathcal{M})$ . Given that the automorphism group  $Aut(\mathcal{M})$  acts freely on the set of flags  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ , then the faces  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  have the same number of edges in its boundary, and their characteristic systems is

$$(2m_{f_1}, k_0, k_1) = (2m_{f_2}, k_0, k_1).$$

However, if the pregraphs  $\overline{C}_{f_1}$  and  $\overline{C}_{f_2}$  belong to different connected component of  $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathcal{M})$  but they are isomorphic, then the size of the faces  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are multiples of  $s$ , for any  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $l$  is number of connected component of  $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathcal{M})$ , for any  $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , then there are  $l$  values to the size of the faces of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Hence, there are  $n_i$  positive




Figure 14: Sequence follow by the flags in the boundary of a face  $f$ , from its face type graph of type.

integers, with  $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$  such that the characteristic system of any face of the map is either  $(2n_1, k_0, k_1), \dots, (2n_l, k_0, k_1)$ .

### 4.3 Main consequence

With the elements introduced until this point, we shall study the 4-orbit maps having symmetry type graph  $4_A$ , and we shall summarize through a table the feasible values taken by the degree of the vertices and the appropriate number of edges in the boundary of each face of the 4-orbit map.

**Theorem 4.3** *If the symmetry type graph of a 4-orbit map  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $4_A$ , then*

- (1) *The pregraph  $\mathcal{T}_0(\mathcal{M})$  has only one connected component isomorphic to  $v_{4b}$ . If  $i(v)$  is a vertex of  $\mathcal{M}$ , then there is a positive integer  $n$  such that the degree of  $i(v)$  is  $4n$ , the characteristic system of  $i(v)$  is  $(8n, k_1, k_2)$ , and its vertex type graph is  $v_{4b}$ .*
- (2) *The pregraph  $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathcal{M})$  is conformed by two connected components isomorphic to  $f_{2b}$ . If  $f$  is a face of  $\mathcal{M}$ , then there are positive integers  $m, n$  such that the number*

of edges in the boundary of  $f$  is either  $2n$  or  $2m$ , the characteristic system of  $f$  is either  $(4n, k_0, k_1)$  or  $(4m, k_0, k_1)$ , and its face type pregraph is  $f_{2b}$ .

**Proof** If we remove the edges and semi-edges of  $4_A$  having colour  $k_0$ , then the new pregraph  $\mathcal{T}_0(\mathcal{M})$  is conformed by a connected component isomorphic to  $v_{4b}$  (see Figures 7 and 8). This implies that for each vertex  $i(v)$  of the map  $\mathcal{M}$  it has vertex type graph  $v_{4b}$  and characteristic system  $(8n, k_1, k)$  (see Table 2). This properties are summarized in Table 4.

Analogously, if we remove the edges and semi-edges of  $4_A$  having colour  $k_2$ , then the new pregraph  $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathcal{M})$  is conformed by two connected components isomorphic to  $f_{2a}$  (see Figures 11 and 12). This implies that for each face  $f$  of the map  $\mathcal{M}$  it has face type graph  $f_{2a}$  and there are positive integers  $m_1, m_2$  such that its characteristic system is either  $(4m_1, k_0, k, 1)$  or  $(4m_2, k_0, k, 1)$  (see Table 3). This properties are summarized in Table 4.  $\square$

Pregraph $\mathcal{T}_0(\mathcal{M})$	Number of connected component of $\mathcal{T}_0(\mathcal{M})$	Vertex type graph of the vertex $i(v)$	Degree of the vertex $i(v)$		Characteristic System of the vertex $i(v)$
$4_{(A)_0}$	1	$v_{4b}$	$4n$	$n \geq 1$	$(8n, k_1, k_2)$
Pregraph $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathcal{M})$	Number of connected component of $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathcal{M})$	Face type graph of the face $f$	Size of the face $f$		Characteristic System of the face $f$
$4_{(A)_2}$	2	$f_{2b}$	$2m_1$	$m_1 \geq 2$	$(4m_1, k_0, k_1)$
		$f_{2b}$	$2m_2$	$m_2 \geq 2$	$(4m_2, k_0, k_1)$

Table 4: Properties for 4-orbit maps with symmetry type graph  $4_A$ .

Following the same ideas that in the proof of the Theorem 4.3 for any other of the twenty one possibles symmetry type graphs associated to the 4-orbit maps, the characterization in terms of number of connected components of  $\mathcal{T}_0(\mathcal{M})$ , vertex type graph, degree of a vertex and characteristic system of a vertex are given in the Table 5. Respectively, the characterization in terms of number of connected components of  $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathcal{M})$ , face type graph, size of a face and characteristic system of a face are given in the Table 6. From the definition of dual map and the Proposition 3.1 it follows that:

**Corollary 4.3** *If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a 4-orbit map with symmetry type graph  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$  then*

- (1) *The pregraph  $\mathcal{T}_0(\mathcal{M})$  is isomorphic to the pregraph  $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathcal{M}^*)$ .*
- (2) *The pregraph  $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathcal{M})$  is isomorphic to the pregraph  $\mathcal{T}_0(\mathcal{M}^*)$ .*

Pregraph $\mathcal{T}_0(\mathcal{M})$	Number of connected component of $\mathcal{T}_0(\mathcal{M})$	Vertex type graph of the vertex $i(v)$	Degree of the vertex $i(v)$		Characteristic System of the vertex $i(v)$
$4_{(A)_0}$	1	$v_{4b}$	$4n$	$n \geq 1$	$(8n, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(Ad)_0}$	2	$v_{2b}$	$2n_1$	$n_1 \geq 2$	$(4n_1, k_1, k_2)$
		$v_{2b}$	$2n_2$	$n_2 \geq 2$	$(4n_2, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(Ap)_0}$	1	$v_{4b}$	$4n$	$n \geq 1$	$(8n, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(B)_0}$	1	$v_{4c}$	$4n$	$n \geq 1$	$(8n, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(Bd)_0}$	3	$v_{2b}$	$2n_1$	$n_1 \geq 2$	$(4n_1, k_1, k_2)$
		$v_{1a}$	$n_2$	$n_2 \geq 3$	$(2n_2, k_1, k_2)$
		$v_{1a}$	$n_3$	$n_3 \geq 3$	$(2n_3, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(Bp)_0}$	1	$v_{4c}$	$4n$	$n \geq 1$	$(8n, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(C)_0}$	1	$v_{4a}$	$2n$	$n \geq 2$	$(4n, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(Cd)_0}$	2	$v_{2b}$	$2n_1$	$n_1 \geq 2$	$(4n_1, k_1, k_2)$
		$v_{2b}$	$2n_2$	$n_2 \geq 2$	$(4n_2, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(Cp)_0}$	1	$v_{4a}$	$2n$	$n \geq 2$	$(4n, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(D)_0}$	2	$v_{3a}$	$3n_1$	$n_1 \geq 1$	$(6n_1, k_1, k_2)$
		$v_{1a}$	$n_2$	$n_2 \geq 3$	$(2n_2, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(Dd)_0}$	1	$v_{4c}$	$4n$	$n \geq 1$	$(8n, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(Dp)_0}$	2	$v_{3a}$	$3n_1$	$n_1 \geq 1$	$(6n_1, k_1, k_2)$
		$v_{1a}$	$n_2$	$n_2 \geq 3$	$(2n_2, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(E)_0}$	1	$v_{4b}$	$4n$	$n \geq 1$	$(8n, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(Ed)_0}$	1	$v_{4a}$	$2n$	$n \geq 2$	$(4n, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(Ep)_0}$	1	$v_{4b}$	$4n$	$n \geq 1$	$(8n, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(F)_0}$	2	$v_{2a}$	$2n_1$	$n_1 \geq 2$	$(4n_1, k_1, k_2)$
		$v_{2a}$	$2n_2$	$n_2 \geq 2$	$(4n_2, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(G)_0}$	1	$v_{4a}$	$2n$	$n \geq 2$	$(4n, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(Gd)_0}$	2	$v_{2c}$	$n_1$	$n_1 \geq 3$	$(2n_1, k_1, k_2)$
		$v_{2c}$	$n_2$	$n_2 \geq 3$	$(2n_2, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(Gp)_0}$	1	$v_{4a}$	$2n$	$n \geq 2$	$(4n, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(H)_0}$	1	$v_{4c}$	$4n$	$n \geq 1$	$(8n, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(Hd)_0}$	2	$v_{2c}$	$n_1$	$n_1 \geq 3$	$(2n_1, k_1, k_2)$
		$v_{2a}$	$2n_2$	$n_2 \geq 2$	$(4n_2, k_1, k_2)$
$4_{(Hp)_0}$	1	$v_{4c}$	$4n$	$n \geq 1$	$(8n, k_1, k_2)$

Table 5: Properties for 4-orbit maps from its pregraph  $\mathcal{T}_0(\mathcal{M})$ .

Pregraph $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathcal{M})$	Number of connected component of $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathcal{M})$	Face type graph of the face $f$	Size of the face $f$		Characteristic System of the face $f$
$4_{(A)_2}$	2	$f_{2b}$	$2m_1$	$m_1 \geq 2$	$(4m_1, k_0, k_1)$
		$f_{2b}$	$2m_2$	$m_2 \geq 2$	$(4m_2, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(Ad)_2}$	1	$f_{4b}$	$4m$	$m \geq 1$	$(8m, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(Ap)_2}$	1	$f_{4b}$	$4m$	$m \geq 1$	$(8m, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(B)_2}$	3	$f_{2b}$	$2m_1$	$m_1 \geq 2$	$(4m_1, k_0, k_1)$
		$f_{1a}$	$m_2$	$m_2 \geq 3$	$(2m_2, k_0, k_1)$
		$f_{1a}$	$m_3$	$m_3 \geq 3$	$(2m_3, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(Bd)_2}$	1	$f_{4c}$	$4m$	$m \geq 1$	$(8m, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(Bp)_2}$	1	$f_{4c}$	$4m$	$m \geq 1$	$(8m, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(C)_2}$	2	$f_{2b}$	$2m_1$	$m_1 \geq 2$	$(4m_1, k_0, k_1)$
		$f_{2b}$	$2m_2$	$m_2 \geq 2$	$(4m_2, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(Cd)_2}$	1	$f_{4a}$	$2m$	$m \geq 2$	$(4m, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(Cp)_2}$	1	$f_{4a}$	$2m$	$m \geq 2$	$(4m, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(D)_2}$	1	$f_{4c}$	$4m$	$m \geq 1$	$(8m, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(Dd)_2}$	2	$f_{3a}$	$3m_1$	$m_1 \geq 1$	$(6m_1, k_0, k_1)$
		$f_{1a}$	$m_2$	$m_2 \geq 3$	$(2m_2, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(Dp)_2}$	2	$f_{3a}$	$3m_1$	$m_1 \geq 1$	$(6m_1, k_0, k_1)$
		$f_{1a}$	$m_2$	$m_2 \geq 3$	$(2m_2, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(E)_2}$	1	$f_{4a}$	$2m$	$m \geq 2$	$(4m, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(Ed)_2}$	1	$f_{4b}$	$4m$	$m \geq 1$	$(8m, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(Ep)_2}$	1	$f_{4b}$	$4m$	$m \geq 1$	$(8m, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(F)_2}$	2	$f_{2a}$	$2m_1$	$m_1 \geq 2$	$(4m_1, k_0, k_1)$
		$f_{2a}$	$2m_2$	$m_2 \geq 2$	$(4m_2, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(G)_2}$	2	$f_{2c}$	$m_1$	$m_1 \geq 3$	$(2m_1, k_0, k_1)$
		$f_{2c}$	$m_2$	$m_2 \geq 3$	$(2m_2, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(Gd)_2}$	1	$f_{4a}$	$2m$	$m \geq 2$	$(4m, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(Gp)_2}$	1	$f_{4a}$	$2m$	$m \geq 2$	$(4m, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(H)_2}$	2	$f_{2c}$	$m_1$	$m_1 \geq 3$	$(2m_1, k_0, k_1)$
		$f_{2a}$	$2m_2$	$m_2 \geq 2$	$(4m_2, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(Hd)_2}$	1	$f_{4c}$	$4m$	$m \geq 1$	$(8m, k_0, k_1)$
$4_{(Hp)_2}$	1	$f_{4c}$	$4m$	$m \geq 1$	$(8m, k_0, k_1)$

Table 6: Properties for 4-orbit maps from its pregraph  $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathcal{M})$ .

## Acknowledgements

The second author was partially supported by UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA, SEDE MANIZALES. Camilo Ramírez Maluendas has dedicated this work to his beautiful family: Marbella and Emilio, in appreciation of their love and support.

The third author wishes to thank her colleagues Alexander and Camilo for their valuable teachings, dedication and support. In addition, the author thanks to the Fundación Universitaria Konrad Lorenz for the support.

## References

- [AMV17] John A. Arredondo, Camilo Ramírez Maluendas, and Ferrán Valdez, *On the topology of infinite regular and chiral maps*, *Discrete Math.* **340** (2017), no. 6, 1180–1186.
- [DJS11] Antonio Breda D’Azevedo, Gareth A. Jones, and Egon Schulte, *Constructions of chiral polytopes of small rank*, *Canad. J. Math.* **63** (2011), no. 6, 1254–1283.
- [BVCP13] Gunnar Brinkmann, Nico Van Cleemput, and Tomaž Pisanski, *Generation of various classes of trivalent graphs*, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **502** (2013), 16–29.
- [CPR<sup>+</sup>15] Thierry Coulbois, Daniel Pellicer, Miguel Raggi, Camilo Ramírez, and Ferrán Valdez, *The topology of the minimal regular covers of the Archimedean tessellations*, *Adv. Geom.* **15** (2015), no. 1, 77–91.
- [CM80] H. S. M. Coxeter and W. O. J. Moser, *Generators and relations for discrete groups*, 4th ed., *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas]*, vol. 14, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980.
- [CP18] Gabe Cunningham and Daniel Pellicer, *Open problems on  $k$ -orbit polytopes*, *Discrete Math.* **341** (2018), no. 6, 1645–1661.
- [CDRFHT15] Gabe Cunningham, María Del Río-Francos, Isabel Hubard, and Micael Toledo, *Symmetry type graphs of polytopes and maniplexes*, *Ann. Comb.* **19** (2015), no. 2, 243–268.
- [Fra17] Maria Del Rio Francos, *Truncation symmetry type graphs*, *Ars Combin.* **134** (2017), 135–167.
- [DRF14] María Del Río Francos, *Chamfering operation on  $k$ -orbit maps*, *Ars Math. Contemp.* **7** (2014), no. 2, 507–524.
- [GW97] Jack E. Graver and Mark E. Watkins, *Locally finite, planar, edge-transitive graphs*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (1997), no. 601, vi+75.
- [Hel13] Ilanit Helfand, *Constructions of  $k$ -orbit Abstract Polytopes*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2013. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Northeastern University.
- [Hub07] Isabel A. Hubard, *From Geometry to Groups and back: The study of highly Symmetric Polytopes* (2007), 167. Doctoral Thesis Dissertation–York University.
- [HdRFOP13] Isabel Hubard, María del Río Francos, Alen Orbanić, and Tomaž Pisanski, *Medial symmetry type graphs*, *Electron. J. Combin.* **20** (2013), no. 3, Paper 29, 28.

- [HOIW09] Isabel Hubbard, Alen Orbanić, and Asia Ivić Weiss, *Monodromy groups and self-invariance*, *Canad. J. Math.* **61** (2009), no. 6, 1300–1324.
- [Kov11] Jurij Kovič, *Symmetry-type graphs of Platonic and Archimedean solids*, *Math. Commun.* **16** (2011), no. 2, 491–507.
- [MS02] Peter McMullen and Egon Schulte, *Abstract regular polytopes*, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, vol. 92, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [OPW10] Alen Orbanić, Daniel Pellicer, and Asia Ivić Weiss, *Map operations and  $k$ -orbit maps*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **117** (2010), no. 4, 411–429.
- [Wil94] Stephen E. Wilson, *Parallel products in groups and maps*, *J. Algebra* **167** (1994), no. 3, 539–546.
- [Wil02] Steve Wilson, *Families of regular graphs in regular maps*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **85** (2002), no. 2, 269–289.

*John A. Arredondo*

*Fundación Universitaria Konrad Lorenz*

*CP. 110231, Bogotá, Colombia.*

*Camilo Ramírez Maluendas*

*Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Sede Manizales*

*Manizales, Colombia.*

*Luz Edith Santos Guerrero*

*Fundación Universitaria Konrad Lorenz*

*CP. 110231, Bogotá, Colombia.*

[alexander.arredondo@konradlorenz.edu.co](mailto:alexander.arredondo@konradlorenz.edu.co),

[camramirezma@unal.edu.co](mailto:camramirezma@unal.edu.co), [luze.santosg@konradlorenz.edu.co](mailto:luze.santosg@konradlorenz.edu.co)