

# Characterizing $(0, \pm 1)$ -matrices with only even-rank principal submatrices in terms of skew-symmetry

Robert Brijder\*

Hasselt University, Belgium

### Abstract

We show that every principal submatrix of a square matrix  $A$  with only entries in  $\{0, 1, -1\}$  has even rank if and only if  $A$  is skew-symmetric up to multiplication of rows/columns by  $-1$ .

We say that an  $n \times n$ -matrix  $A$  over some field  $\mathbb{F}$  is *skew-symmetric* if  $A^T = -A$  and every diagonal entry is zero (the latter condition is redundant if  $\mathbb{F}$  is not of characteristic two). The *principal submatrix* of  $A$  induced by  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$  is the matrix obtained from  $A$  by removing the rows and columns indexed by  $\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I$ . Since the rank of a skew-symmetric matrix is even, the rank is even of every principal submatrix of a matrix  $A$  that can be obtained from a skew-symmetric matrix by multiplying rows and columns by nonzero scalars. We show that the converse holds in case  $A$  has only entries in  $\{0, 1, -1\}$ .

We first recall the Schur complement. If a square matrix  $A$  is of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ A_3 & A_4 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $A_1$  invertible, then the *Schur complement* of  $A$  on  $A_1$  is the matrix  $A/A_1 := A_4 - A_3 A_1^{-1} A_2$ . The Guttman rank additivity formula says that  $r(A) = r(A_1) + r(A/A_1)$ , where  $r$  denotes the rank of a matrix (see, e.g., [2, Section 0.9]).

**Lemma.** *Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$ -matrix, with  $n \geq 3$ , over some field and of the form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c & -1 & & & & & & \\ c & 0 & 0 & -1 & & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \\ & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & -1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & -1 & & \\ & & & 1 & 0 & 0 & b & & \\ & & & & 1 & a & 0 & & \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $a, b, c \in \{-1, 1\}$  and the blank upper-right and lower-left regions have only zero entries. In particular, if  $n = 3$  or  $n = 4$ , then we have

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c & -1 \\ c & 0 & b \\ 1 & a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c & -1 & 0 \\ c & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 1 & a & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively.

*If the rank of  $A$  is even, then  $a = -b$  (i.e.,  $A$  is skew-symmetric).*

---

\*R.B. is a postdoctoral fellow of the Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO).

*Proof.* First assume that  $n \geq 5$ . Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/c \\ -1/c & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we observe that applying the Schur complement on the  $2 \times 2$  principal submatrix  $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  induced by  $\{1, 2\}$  (i.e., the first two rows/columns) obtains a matrix  $A/A_1$  that is of the same form as  $A$  above. By the above recalled Guttman rank additivity formula, it suffices to verify the cases where  $n = 3$  and  $n = 4$ .

In the case where  $n = 3$ , applying the Schur complement on the principal submatrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  induced by  $\{1, 2\}$  obtains the  $1 \times 1$ -matrix with entry  $(a + b)/c$  which is zero if and only if  $a = -b$ .

In the case where  $n = 4$ , applying the Schur complement in the same way as above obtains the  $2 \times 2$ -matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b + 1/c \\ a - 1/c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  which has rank 0 if and only if  $a = 1/c = -b$  and has rank 2 if and only if  $a \neq 1/c \neq -b$ . Since  $a, b, c \in \{-1, 1\}$ , we have  $a = -1/c = -b$  in the latter case.  $\square$

We are now ready to state the main result.

**Theorem.** *Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$ -matrix over some field with only entries in  $\{0, 1, -1\}$ . Then every principal submatrix of  $A$  has even rank if and only if  $A$  is skew-symmetric up to multiplication of rows/columns by  $-1$ .*

*Proof.* It is well-known that every skew-symmetric matrix has even rank, see, e.g., [1, Chapter XV, Theorem 8.1]. Therefore, the if implication holds.

Conversely, assume that every principal submatrix of  $A$  has even rank. Consequently, every diagonal entry of  $A$  is zero and entry  $A_{i,j} \neq 0$  if and only if  $A_{j,i} \neq 0$ . For every index  $i$ , we denote by  $m(i)$  the smallest index such that  $A_{m(i),i} \neq 0$  (or, equivalently,  $A_{i,m(i)} \neq 0$ ). If there is no such value, then we set  $m(i)$  to be equal to  $n + 1$ .

Without loss of generality, we may assume the rows and columns are simultaneously reordered such that if  $1 < i \leq j$  for indices  $i$  and  $j$ , then  $m(i) \leq m(j)$ . As a result, we have that if the row/column with index  $i \neq 1$  (i.e., not the first row/column) is nonzero, then  $m(i) < i$ .

Since  $1 < i \leq j$  implies  $m(i) \leq m(j)$ , by multiplying nonzero rows and columns with index  $i > 1$  by  $-1$  when  $A_{i,m(i)} = -1$  and  $A_{m(i),i} = 1$ , respectively, in the order of increasing  $i$ , we may assume that  $A_{i,m(i)} = -A_{m(i),i} = 1$  for all indices  $i$ .

We prove that  $A$  is now skew-symmetric. Let  $k > l$  be such that  $A_{k,l} \neq 0$ . Assume that for all  $(i, j) \neq (k, l)$  with  $i \leq k$  and  $j \leq l$  we have  $A_{i,j} = -A_{j,i}$ . We show that  $A_{k,l} = -A_{l,k}$ . Consider the sequence  $S = k, l, m(k), m(l), m^2(k), m^2(l), \dots$  (here,  $m^t$  denotes iteratively applying function  $m$   $t$  times). In other words,  $S$  is such that element  $s_i$  is equal to  $k$  if  $i = 1$ , to  $l$  if  $i = 2$ , and to  $m(s_{i-2})$  if  $i \geq 3$ . Since  $A_{k,l} \neq 0$ , we have  $m(k) \leq l$  because, by definition,  $m(k)$  is the smallest index such that  $A_{k,m(k)} \neq 0$ . Thus, we have  $k \geq l \geq m(k)$ . Also, recall that  $1 < i \leq j$  implies  $m(i) \leq m(j)$ . Hence,  $k \geq l > 1$  implies  $m(k) \geq m(l)$ , and  $l \geq m(k) > 1$  implies  $m(l) \geq m^2(k)$ , and so we obtain  $k \geq l \geq m(k) \geq m(l) \geq m^2(k)$  assuming  $l$  and  $m(k)$  are not equal to 1. By iteration, we observe that, for all  $x$ , we have  $s_x \geq s_{x+1}$  if  $s_y > 1$  for all  $y \in \{2, \dots, x-1\}$ .

Let  $s_1, \dots, s_q$  be the largest prefix of  $S$  that is strictly decreasing. Since  $k > l$ , we have  $q \geq 2$ . If  $q = 2$ , then  $l = m(k)$  and so  $A_{k,l} = A_{k,m(k)} = -A_{m(k),k} = A_{l,k}$  by the construction. Assume now that  $q \geq 3$ . Since  $s_{q-2} \geq s_{q-1} > 1$ , we have  $s_q = m(s_{q-2}) \geq m(s_{q-1}) = s_{q+1}$ . So,  $s_q = s_{q+1}$ . The principal submatrix induced

by  $s_1, \dots, s_q$  is of the following form.

$$\begin{array}{l}
s_{q+1} = s_q \\
s_{q-1} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
s_2 = l \\
s_1 = k
\end{array}
\begin{pmatrix}
s_{q+1} = s_q & s_{q-1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & s_2 = l & s_1 = k \\
0 & -1 & -1 & & & & \\
1 & 0 & -c_{q-3} & -1 & & & \\
1 & c_{q-3} & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\
& 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & -1 & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & -c_1 & -1 \\
& & & 1 & c_1 & 0 & A_{l,k} \\
& & & & 1 & A_{k,l} & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

Indeed, if  $i \geq 3$ , then  $s_i = m(s_{i-2})$  and so  $A_{s_i, s_{i-2}} = -1$ ,  $A_{s_{i-2}, s_i} = 1$ , and the blank upper-right and lower-left regions have only zero entries. If all  $c_i$ 's in this matrix are zero, then the matrix is of the form of the lemma and so  $A_{k,l} = -A_{l,k}$ . Otherwise, let  $t$  be the smallest value such that  $c_t \neq 0$ . Then the principal submatrix induced by  $s_1, \dots, s_{t+2}$  is of the form of the lemma and so also in this case we have  $A_{k,l} = -A_{l,k}$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** One may wonder whether or not the result still holds if we are dropping the assumption that all entries are in  $\{0, 1, -1\}$  and allowing multiplication of rows/columns by arbitrary nonzero scalars. This is not the case. Indeed, every strict principal submatrix of the matrix  $A$  of the form of the lemma with  $n = 4$ ,  $c = 1$ , and allowing  $b$  and  $c$  to be arbitrary nonzero values is skew-symmetric up to multiplication of rows/columns by nonzero scalars. Moreover, by the proof of the lemma,  $A$  is of even rank if and only if  $a = 1 = -b$  or  $a \neq 1 \neq -b$ . Taking, e.g.,  $a = -1$  and  $b \notin \{0, 1, -1\}$  (such  $b$  exists when the field is not  $\text{GF}(2)$  or  $\text{GF}(3)$ ) thus obtains a matrix where every principal submatrix is of even rank. However, it is easy to verify that this matrix is not skew-symmetric up to multiplication of rows/columns by nonzero scalars.

**Remark.** In the proof of the theorem it is important to first simultaneously reorder the rows/columns before multiplying rows and columns by  $-1$  in the way described in the proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

is a matrix  $A$  such that every entry  $A_{i,j}$  with  $i = m(j)$  or  $j = m(i)$  is equal to 1 if  $j \leq i$  and to  $-1$  otherwise. While  $A$  is not skew-symmetric, it can be transformed into a skew-symmetric matrix by multiplying rows and columns by  $-1$  (e.g., multiply the first column and the third row by  $-1$ ).

**Corollary.** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$ -matrix over  $\text{GF}(3)$ . Then every principal submatrix of  $A$  has even rank if and only if  $A$  is skew-symmetric up to multiplication of rows/columns by  $-1$ .

## References

- [1] S. Lang. *Algebra (rev. 3rd ed.)*, volume 211 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, 2002.
- [2] F. Zhang, editor. *The Schur Complement and Its Applications*, volume 4 of *Numerical Methods and Algorithms*. Springer, 2005.