

**A Q-ANALOGUE AND A SYMMETRIC FUNCTION ANALOGUE
OF A RESULT BY CARLITZ, SCOVILLE AND VAUGHAN**

YIFEI LI

ABSTRACT. We derive an equation that is analogous to a well-known symmetric function identity: $\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i e_i h_{n-i} = 0$. Here the elementary symmetric function e_i is the Frobenius characteristic of the representation of \mathcal{S}_i on the top homology of the subset lattice B_i , whereas our identity involves the representation of $\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_n$ on the Segre product of B_n with itself. We then obtain a q -analogue of a polynomial identity given by Carlitz, Scoville and Vaughan through examining the Segre product of the subspace lattice $B_n(q)$ with itself. We recognize the connection between the Euler characteristic of the Segre product of $B_n(q)$ with itself and the representation on the Segre product of B_n with itself by recovering our polynomial identity from specializing the identity on the representation of $\mathcal{S}_i \times \mathcal{S}_i$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the power series $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{z^n}{n!n!}$ and define the numbers $\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots$ by $\frac{1}{f(z)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_n \frac{z^n}{n!n!}$. It follows quickly from the definition that

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k}^2 \omega_k = 0.$$

Given $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ a permutation of $[n]$. A number $i \in [n-1]$ is called an *ascent* of σ if $\sigma(i) < \sigma(i+1)$. Carlitz, Scoville and Vaughan [5] proved that the number ω_k in equation (1.1) is the number of pairs of permutations of \mathcal{S}_k with no common ascent. For example, $\omega_2 = 3$: (12, 21), (21, 12), (21, 21). The Bessel function $J_0(z)$ is essentially $f(z^2)$. Carlitz, Scoville and Vaughan's result provided a combinatorial interpretation of the coefficient ω_k in the reciprocal Bessel function.

Recall that $[n]_q := q^{n-1} + q^{n-2} + \dots + 1$ is the q -analogue of the natural number n and $[n]_q! := \prod_{i=1}^n [i]_q$. Then the q -analogue of $\binom{n}{k}$ is $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q! [n-k]_q!}$. For a permutation $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$, the *inversion statistic* is defined by

$$\text{inv}(\sigma) := |\{(i, j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n \text{ and } \sigma(i) > \sigma(j)\}|.$$

In the paper we will prove the following q -analogue of Carlitz, Scoville and Vaughan's result. Let \mathcal{D}_n denote the set $\{(\sigma, \omega) \in \mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_n \mid \sigma \text{ and } \omega \text{ have no common ascent}\}$. Then

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{i=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q^2 (-1)^i W_i(q) = 0$$

and $W_i(q) = \sum_{(\sigma, \omega) \in \mathcal{D}_i} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma) + \text{inv}(\omega)}$. Put $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{z^n}{[n]_q! [n]_q!}$. The function $F\left(\left(\frac{z}{2(1-q)}\right)^2\right)$ is the q -Bessel function $J_0^{(1)}(z; q)$. The q -Bessel functions were first introduced by F. H. Jackson in 1905 and can be found in later literature (see Gasper and Rahman [6]). The number of descending maximal chains $W_n(q)$ satisfies $\frac{1}{F(z)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} W_n(q) \frac{z^n}{[n]_q! [n]_q!}$, giving the coefficients of the reciprocal q -Bessel function a combinatorial meaning. We obtained our results through examining the Segre product of the subspace lattice $B_n(q)$ with itself and the representation of $\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_n$ on top homology of Segre product of the subset lattice B_n with itself. Let us review the definition of the Segre product poset.

Definition 1.1. (Björner and Welker, [4]) Segre products of posets: Let $f : P \rightarrow S$ and $g : Q \rightarrow S$ be poset maps. Let $P \circ_{f,g} Q$ be the induced subposet of the product poset $P \times Q$ consisting of the pairs $(p, q) \in P \times Q$ such that $f(p) = g(q)$. Let $S = \mathbb{N}$, the set of the natural numbers. When P is a pure poset with a rank function $f = \rho$, $P \circ_{\rho,g} Q$ is the Segre product of P and Q with respect to g , and we denote it by $P \circ_g Q$.

One important fact about the Segre product poset, due to Björner and Welker [4, Theorem 1], is the following: $P \circ_g Q$ is Cohen-Macaulay over field k provided that both P and Q are Cohen-Macaulay over k and $g(Q) \subset \rho(P)$ with g being a strict poset map. For our purpose, P and Q are the same poset (either B_n or $B_n(q)$), which is pure with a rank function ρ . Because of the Cohen-Macaulayness of $B_n \circ_{\rho} B_n$ and $B_n(q) \circ_{\rho} B_n(q)$, those posets are well behaved, which motivated us to investigate the representation of $\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_n$ on the homology of $B_n \circ_{\rho} B_n$ and related Whitney homology groups.

We observed that $W_n(q)$ is in fact the Euler characteristic of the Segre product poset $B_n(q) \circ_{\rho} B_n(q)$. Equation (1.2) gave us the first hint to an analogue of a well-known symmetric function identity: for $n \geq 1$, $\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i e_i h_{n-i} = 0$. In section 2 we defined the product Frobenius characteristic map to serve as a useful tool in studying representations on $\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_n$ and proved a few properties of the map. Then using this tool we derived our symmetric function analogue in section 3 Theorem 3.1. In section 4, we present our initial finding, Theorem 4.7, the q -analogue to Carlitz, Scoville, and Vaughan's result. We show the relation of the Euler characteristic $W_n(q)$ with the representation of $\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_n$ on top homology of $B_n \circ_{\rho} B_n$ in Theorem 4.9. We recognize that, combined with Theorem 4.9, specializing the symmetric function analogue will recover the q -analogue to Carlitz, Scoville, and Vaughan's result. Finally in section 5, we provide an alternative proof for that result.

2. THE PRODUCT FROBENIUS CHARACTERISTIC MAP

The Frobenius characteristic map is often used to study a representation of the symmetric group. Here we define a product Frobenius characteristic map to help understand representations of $\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_n$. Let us consider two sets of variables $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$. Let \mathcal{R}^n be the space of class functions on \mathcal{S}_n and $\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_n \mathcal{R}^n$. Also $\Lambda(x) = \bigoplus_n \Lambda^n(x)$ and $\Lambda(y) = \bigoplus_n \Lambda^n(y)$ denote the rings of symmetric functions in variables (x_1, x_2, \dots) and (y_1, y_2, \dots) respectively.

Definition 2.1. Let χ be a class function on $\mathcal{S}_m \times \mathcal{S}_n$. The product Frobenius characteristic map $ch : \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \Lambda(x) \times \Lambda(y)$ is defined as:

$$(2.1) \quad ch(\chi) = \sum_{(\mu, \lambda) \vdash (m, n)} z_\mu^{-1} z_\lambda^{-1} \chi_{(\mu, \lambda)} p_\mu(x) p_\lambda(y),$$

where $\chi(\mu, \lambda)$ is the value of χ on the class (μ, λ) and p_μ, p_λ are power sum symmetric functions. The class (μ, λ) is indexed by a partition μ of m and a partition λ of n that tell us the cycle shapes of elements of \mathcal{S}_m and \mathcal{S}_n respectively.

The irreducible representations of $\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_m$ are of the form $A^{(i)} \otimes B^{(j)}$, where $A^{(i)}$ and $B^{(j)}$ are each irreducibles of \mathcal{S}_n and \mathcal{S}_m respectively (Sagan [7, Theorem 1.11.3]). A representation V of $\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_m$ can then be decomposed into a sum of irreducibles of $\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_m$.

Proposition 2.2. Let V , a representation of $\mathcal{S}_m \times \mathcal{S}_n$, have the following decomposition: $V = \bigoplus_{i,j} c_{ij} A^{(i)} \otimes B^{(j)}$, where $A^{(i)}$'s and $B^{(j)}$'s are irreducible representations of \mathcal{S}_m and \mathcal{S}_n respectively and $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the product Frobenius characteristic of V is

$$ch(V) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} ch^m(A^{(i)})(x) ch^n(B^{(j)})(y).$$

Here $ch^m(A^{(i)})(x)$ is the usual Frobenius characteristic of $A^{(i)}$ in the variable x and $ch^n(B^{(j)})(y)$ is defined similarly.

Proof. Let χ denote the character of V . Let χ^{A^i} and χ^{B^j} be the characters of $A^{(i)}$ and $B^{(j)}$ respectively. Then $\chi = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \chi^{A^i} \otimes \chi^{B^j}$. By Proposition 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} ch(V) &= \sum_{(\mu, \lambda) \vdash (m, n)} z_\mu^{-1} z_\lambda^{-1} \chi(\mu, \lambda) p_\mu(x) p_\lambda(y) \\ &= \sum_{(\mu, \lambda) \vdash (m, n)} z_\mu^{-1} z_\lambda^{-1} \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \chi_\mu^{A^i} \chi_\lambda^{B^j} p_\mu(x) p_\lambda(y) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} \left(\sum_{\mu \vdash m} z_\mu^{-1} \chi_\mu^{A^i} p_\mu(x) \right) \left(\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} z_\lambda^{-1} \chi_\lambda^{B^j} p_\lambda(y) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} ch^m(A^{(i)})(x) ch^n(B^{(j)})(y). \end{aligned}$$

The second equality comes from [7, Corollary 1.9.4]. □

Because the product Frobenius characteristic map is basically an extension of the usual characteristic map, we keep the notation ch for product Frobenius characteristic map even though ch was previously defined to be $\oplus_n ch^n$ in various literature (Sagan [7], Stanley [10]). The meaning of ch will be clear in the given context.

Let V and W be representations of \mathcal{S}_m and \mathcal{S}_n with characters f and g . $f \otimes g$ is the character of $V \otimes W$. Recall that the induction product $f \circ g$ is the induction of $f \otimes g$ from $\mathcal{S}_m \times \mathcal{S}_n$ to \mathcal{S}_{m+n} . A fundamental property of the usual characteristic map is the following:

Proposition 2.3. (Stanley [10, Proposition 7.18.2]) *The Frobenius characteristic map $ch : R \rightarrow \Lambda$ is a bijective ring homomorphism, i.e., ch is one-to-one and onto, and satisfies*

$$ch(f \circ g) = ch(f)ch(g).$$

Remark 2.4. Given V a representation of \mathcal{S}_m with character f and W a representation of \mathcal{S}_n with character g , let $V = \oplus_i a_i A^{(i)}$ and $W = \oplus_j b_j B^{(j)}$ be their decompositions of into irreducibles. It can be easily verified that the product Frobenius characteristic $ch(f \otimes g) = ch(f)(x)ch(g)(y)$. It is a symmetric function in $\Lambda^m \times \Lambda^n$, while the usual Frobenius characteristic $ch(f \circ g) = ch(f)(x)ch(g)(x)$ is a symmetric function in Λ^{m+n} .

We would like the product Frobenius characteristic map to be a homomorphism of rings as well. Given a $\mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_l$ -module V with its character ψ and a $\mathcal{S}_m \times \mathcal{S}_n$ -module W with its character ϕ , $\psi \otimes \phi$ is the character of $V \otimes W$, which is a representation of $(\mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_l) \times (\mathcal{S}_m \times \mathcal{S}_n)$. We want to produce a character of $\mathcal{S}_{k+m} \times \mathcal{S}_{l+n}$.

Definition 2.5. For ψ and ϕ as given above, we define the *induction product* $\psi \circ \phi$ to be $\psi \otimes \phi \uparrow_{(\mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_l) \times (\mathcal{S}_m \times \mathcal{S}_n)}^{\mathcal{S}_{k+m} \times \mathcal{S}_{l+n}}$.

Proposition 2.6. *Assume given ψ a class function on $\mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_l$, and ϕ a class function on $\mathcal{S}_m \times \mathcal{S}_n$. The product Frobenius characteristic map $ch : R \times R \rightarrow \Lambda(x) \times \Lambda(y)$ is a bijective ring homomorphism, i.e., ch is one-to-one and onto, and satisfies*

$$ch(\psi \circ \phi) = ch(\psi)ch(\phi).$$

Before proving this proposition, we need to first establish a lemma:

Lemma 2.7. *If f is the character of a representation of $\mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_m$ and g is the character of a representation of $\mathcal{S}_l \times \mathcal{S}_n$, then*

$$f \otimes g \uparrow_{(\mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_m) \times (\mathcal{S}_l \times \mathcal{S}_n)}^{\mathcal{S}_{k+m} \times \mathcal{S}_{l+n}} = f \uparrow_{\mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_m}^{\mathcal{S}_{k+m}} \otimes g \uparrow_{\mathcal{S}_l \times \mathcal{S}_n}^{\mathcal{S}_{l+n}}.$$

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_m < \mathcal{S}_{k+m}$ has coset representatives $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_q\}$, $q = (k+m)!/(k!m!)$, and $\mathcal{S}_l \times \mathcal{S}_n < \mathcal{S}_{l+n}$ has coset representatives $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r\}$, $r = (l+n)!/(l!n!)$. Then $\{(s_i, t_j)\}$, $i \in [q]$, $j \in [r]$, is a set of coset representatives for $(\mathcal{S}_k \times$

$\mathcal{S}_m) \times (\mathcal{S}_l \times \mathcal{S}_n) < \mathcal{S}_{k+m} \times \mathcal{S}_{l+n}$. For $(\sigma_{k+m}, \sigma_{l+n}) \in \mathcal{S}_{k+m} \times \mathcal{S}_{l+n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f \otimes g \uparrow_{(\mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_m) \times (\mathcal{S}_l \times \mathcal{S}_n)}^{\mathcal{S}_{k+m} \times \mathcal{S}_{l+n}}((\sigma_{k+m}, \sigma_{l+n})) &= \sum_{i,j} f \otimes g((s_i^{-1}, t_j^{-1})(\sigma_{k+m}, \sigma_{l+n})(s_i, t_j)) \\ &= \sum_i f(s_i^{-1} \sigma_{k+m} s_i) \sum_j g(t_j^{-1} \sigma_{l+n} t_j) \\ &= f \uparrow_{\mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_m}^{\mathcal{S}_{k+m}}(\sigma_{k+m}) g \uparrow_{\mathcal{S}_l \times \mathcal{S}_n}^{\mathcal{S}_{l+n}}(\sigma_{l+n}) \\ &= f \uparrow_{\mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_m}^{\mathcal{S}_{k+m}} \otimes g \uparrow_{\mathcal{S}_l \times \mathcal{S}_n}^{\mathcal{S}_{l+n}}((\sigma_{k+m}, \sigma_{l+n})). \end{aligned}$$

The second and fourth equalities come from [7, Theorem 1.11.2]. \square

proof of Proposition 2.6. Suppose $\psi = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \psi_k^{(i)} \otimes \psi_l^{(j)}$ with $\psi_k^{(i)}$'s and $\psi_l^{(j)}$'s are irreducible characters of representations of \mathcal{S}_k and \mathcal{S}_l respectively. Similarly, $\phi = \sum_{u,v} b_{uv} \phi_m^{(u)} \otimes \phi_n^{(v)}$. For any $\sigma_k \in \mathcal{S}_k$, $\sigma_l \in \mathcal{S}_l$, $\omega_m \in \mathcal{S}_m$, and $\omega_n \in \mathcal{S}_n$, by Theorem 1.11.2 in *the Symmetric Group* (Sagan [7]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \otimes \phi((\sigma_k, \sigma_l), (\omega_m, \omega_n)) &= \left(\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \psi_k^{(i)}(\sigma_k) \psi_l^{(j)}(\sigma_l) \right) \left(\sum_{u,v} b_{uv} \phi_m^{(u)}(\omega_m) \phi_n^{(v)}(\omega_n) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,u,v} a_{ij} b_{uv} \psi_k^{(i)}(\sigma_k) \phi_m^{(u)}(\omega_m) \psi_l^{(j)}(\sigma_l) \phi_n^{(v)}(\omega_n) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,u,v} a_{ij} b_{uv} (\psi_k^{(i)} \otimes \phi_m^{(u)}) \otimes (\psi_l^{(j)} \otimes \phi_n^{(v)})(\sigma_k, \omega_m, \sigma_l, \omega_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\psi \otimes \phi = \sum_{i,j,u,v} a_{ij} b_{uv} (\psi_k^{(i)} \otimes \phi_m^{(u)}) \otimes (\psi_l^{(j)} \otimes \phi_n^{(v)})$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \circ \phi &= \psi \otimes \phi \uparrow_{(\mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_l) \times (\mathcal{S}_m \times \mathcal{S}_n)}^{\mathcal{S}_{k+m} \times \mathcal{S}_{l+n}} \\ &= \sum_{i,j,u,v} a_{ij} b_{uv} (\psi_k^{(i)} \otimes \phi_m^{(u)}) \otimes (\psi_l^{(j)} \otimes \phi_n^{(v)}) \uparrow_{\mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_m \times \mathcal{S}_l \times \mathcal{S}_n}^{\mathcal{S}_{k+m} \times \mathcal{S}_{l+n}} \\ &= \sum_{i,j,u,v} a_{ij} b_{uv} (\psi_k^{(i)} \otimes \phi_m^{(u)}) \uparrow_{\mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_m}^{\mathcal{S}_{k+m}} \otimes (\psi_l^{(j)} \otimes \phi_n^{(v)}) \uparrow_{\mathcal{S}_l \times \mathcal{S}_n}^{\mathcal{S}_{l+n}} \\ &= \sum_{i,j,u,v} a_{ij} b_{uv} (\psi_k^{(i)} \circ \phi_m^{(u)}) \otimes (\psi_l^{(j)} \circ \phi_n^{(v)}) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.7. Now take the product Frobenius characteristic of both sides of the above equation. For clarity, we keep tracks of variables x and y . By Proposition 2.3

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
ch(\psi \circ \phi)(x, y) &= \sum_{i,j,u,v} a_{ij} b_{uv} ch(\psi_k^{(i)} \circ \phi_m^{(u)})(x) ch(\psi_l^{(j)} \circ \phi_n^{(v)})(y) \\
&= \sum_{i,j,u,v} a_{ij} b_{uv} ch(\psi_k^{(i)})(x) ch(\phi_m^{(u)})(x) ch(\psi_l^{(j)})(y) ch(\phi_n^{(v)})(y) \\
&= \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} ch(\psi_k^{(i)})(x) ch(\psi_l^{(j)})(y) \sum_{u,v} b_{uv} ch(\phi_m^{(u)})(x) ch(\phi_n^{(v)})(y) \\
&= ch(\psi)(x, y) ch(\phi)(x, y)
\end{aligned}$$

□

3. A SYMMETRIC FUNCTION ANALOGUE

Using the product Frobenius characteristic map, we arrive at our main result regarding a representation of $\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_n$ on the Segre product of the subset lattice B_n with itself. We derived an equation that is analogous to a well-known symmetric function identity, see Stanley [10, equation (7.13)]:

for $n \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i e_i h_{n-i} = 0.$$

The thing to note is that the elementary symmetric function e_i is the Frobenius characteristic of the representation of \mathcal{S}_i on the top homology of B_i . Our theorem will give the Segre product $B_n \circ_{\rho_n} B_n$ version of this identity.

Theorem 3.1. *For the subset lattice B_n with rank function ρ_n , let P_n be the proper part of the Segre product poset $B_n \circ_{\rho_n} B_n$. Write \mathcal{S}_n for the symmetric group on $[n]$. The action of $\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_n$ induces a representation on the reduced top homology of P_n . Let $ch(\tilde{H}_{n-2}(P_n))$ be the product Frobenius characteristic of this representation. Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i h_{n-i}(x) h_{n-i}(y) ch(\tilde{H}_{i-2}(P_i)) = 0,$$

where h_k 's are the complete homogeneous symmetric functions.

Proof. Let Q be $P_n \cup \hat{0}$, which is Cohen-Macaulay. We consider the Whitney homology of Q . The action of $\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_n$ on Q induces a representation of $\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_n$ on the reduced top homology of Q and its Whitney homology groups. From the work of Sundaram on Whitney homology (Sundaram [11, 12], Wachs [13]), we know that

$$\tilde{H}_{n-2}(P_n) \cong_{\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_n} \bigoplus_{r=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1+r} \text{WH}_r(Q).$$

Let x be a rank r element of Q . Then the stabilizer of x is the young subgroup $(\mathcal{S}_r \times \mathcal{S}_{n-r}) \times (\mathcal{S}_r \times \mathcal{S}_{n-r})$. Viewing the Whitney homology groups as representations,

$$\text{WH}_r(Q) = \bigoplus_{x \in Q_r / (\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_n)} \tilde{H}_{r-2}(\hat{0}, x) \uparrow_{(\mathcal{S}_r \times \mathcal{S}_{n-r}) \times (\mathcal{S}_r \times \mathcal{S}_{n-r})}^{\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_n},$$

where Q_r is the set of rank r elements in Q and $Q_r/(S_n \times S_n)$ is a set of orbit representatives (see Lecture 4.4 in Wachs' *Poset Topology* [13]). The action of $S_n \times S_n$ on Q_r is transitive. So the contribution of the r th Whitney homology to $\tilde{H}_{n-2}(P_n)$ is the induced representation $\tilde{H}_{r-2}(\hat{0}, x) \uparrow_{(S_r \times S_{n-r}) \times (S_r \times S_{n-r})}^{S_n \times S_n}$ for any x in Q_r . The open interval $(\hat{0}, x)$ is isomorphic to the poset P_r . We then have

$$\tilde{H}_{n-2}(P_n) \cong_{S_n \times S_n} \bigoplus_{r=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1+r} \tilde{H}_{r-2}(P_r) \uparrow_{(S_r \times S_{n-r}) \times (S_r \times S_{n-r})}^{S_n \times S_n}.$$

Hence,

$$(3.2) \quad ch(\tilde{H}_{n-2}(P_n)) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1+r} ch(\tilde{H}_{r-2}(P_r) \uparrow_{(S_r \times S_{n-r}) \times (S_r \times S_{n-r})}^{S_n \times S_n}).$$

Now we would like to relate $ch(\tilde{H}_{r-2}(P_r))$ with the product Frobenius characteristic of the representation induced to $S_n \times S_n$. Let ψ_r be the character of the $(S_r \times S_r)$ -module $\tilde{H}_{r-2}(P_r)$. Write $1_{S_{n-r} \times S_{n-r}}$ for the character of the trivial representation of $S_{n-r} \times S_{n-r}$. When viewing $\tilde{H}_{r-2}(P_r)$ as a $(S_r \times S_{n-r}) \times (S_r \times S_{n-r})$ -module, its character equals $\psi_r \otimes 1_{S_{n-r} \times S_{n-r}}$ (Sagan, [7, Theorem 1.11.2]). Let $\psi_r \circ 1_{S_{n-r} \times S_{n-r}}$ denote the induction product of ψ_r and $1_{S_{n-r} \times S_{n-r}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{r-2}(P_r) \uparrow_{(S_r \times S_{n-r}) \times (S_r \times S_{n-r})}^{S_n \times S_n} &= \psi_r \otimes 1_{S_{n-r} \times S_{n-r}} \uparrow_{(S_r \times S_{n-r}) \times (S_r \times S_{n-r})}^{S_n \times S_n} \\ &= \psi_r \circ 1_{S_{n-r} \times S_{n-r}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Proposition 2.6 that the product Frobenius characteristic

$$ch(\psi_r \circ 1_{S_{n-r} \times S_{n-r}}) = ch(\psi_r) ch(1_{S_{n-r} \times S_{n-r}}).$$

Thus, equation (3.2) becomes

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} ch(\tilde{H}_{n-2}(P_n)) &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1+r} ch(\tilde{H}_{r-2}(P_r)) ch(1_{S_{n-r} \times S_{n-r}}) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1+r} ch(\tilde{H}_{r-2}(P_r)) ch(1_{S_{n-r}})(x) ch(1_{S_{n-r}})(y). \end{aligned}$$

It is known that the Frobenius characteristic of the trivial representation of S_n is h_n (Stanley [10]). Multiplying both sides of equation (3.3) by $(-1)^{n-1}$, we get

$$(-1)^{n-1} ch(\tilde{H}_{n-2}(P_n)) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (-1)^r ch(\tilde{H}_{r-2}(P_r)) h_{n-r}(x) h_{n-r}(y).$$

Finally, we conclude that

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i h_{n-i}(x) h_{n-i}(y) ch(\tilde{H}_{i-2}(P_i)) = 0.$$

□

Theorem 3.1 was motivated by our initial findings regarding the q -analogue of equation (1.1). Once we formulated the specialization of $ch(\tilde{H}_{i-2}(P_i))$, the q -analogue can be retrieved by taking the stable principal specialization of equation (3.1), suggesting the truth of Theorem 3.1.

4. THE q -ANALOGUE OF A CARLITZ, SCOVILLE, AND VAUGHAN'S RESULT

Let $ps : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[q]$ be the stable principal specialization. For a symmetric function f , $ps(f)$ is defined to be $f(1, q, q^2, \dots)$. A summary of the specializations of different bases for the symmetric functions can be found in Stanley's *Enumerative Combinatorics vol. 2* [10, proposition 7.8.3]. Consider a symmetric function f in two sets of variables (x_1, x_2, \dots) and (y_1, y_2, \dots) . We take the stable principal specialization of f in each set of variables, that is substituting $(1, q, q^2, \dots)$ for both (x_1, x_2, \dots) and (y_1, y_2, \dots) . The product Frobenius characteristic of the $\mathcal{S}_i \times \mathcal{S}_i$ -modules $\tilde{H}_{i-2}(P_i)$ are symmetric functions in two sets of variables. Then it is natural to ask what we can say about their specializations. It turns out that $ps(ch(\tilde{H}_{n-2}(P_n)))$ has interesting relations with the Euler characteristic of the Segre product of the subspace lattice $B_n(q)$ with itself. Recall the definition of $B_n(q)$. Let q be a prime power and \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field of q elements. Consider the n -dimensional linear vector space \mathbb{F}_q^n and its subspaces, then $B_n(q)$ is the lattice of those subspaces ordered by inclusion.

$B_n(q)$ is a geometric lattice whose every subspace is a span of its atoms (Stanley [9, Example 3.10.2]). It is graded with a rank function $\rho(W) =$ the dimension of the subspace W . Recall that an *edge labeling* of a bounded poset P is a map $\lambda : \mathcal{E}(P) \rightarrow \Lambda$, where $\mathcal{E}(P)$ is the set of edges of the covering relations $x < y$ of P and Λ is some poset. We can define a labeling of $B_n(q)$ in the following steps:

1. For a 1-dimensional subspace X of \mathbb{F}_q^n (an atom of $B_n(q)$), let x be a basis element of X . Let A denote the set of all atoms of the subspace lattice $B_n(q)$. We define a map $f : A \rightarrow [n]$, $f(X) =$ the index of the right most non-zero coordinate of x . For example, in $B_3(3)$, if $X = \text{span of } \{< 1, 0, 1 >\}$, $Y = \text{span of } \{< 2, 1, 0 >\}$, $f(X) = 3$ and $f(Y) = 2$.

2. For X any subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^n , let $A(X)$ denote the set of atoms whose span is X . Let Y be an element of $B_n(q)$ that covers X , then $A(Y) \supset A(X)$. Denote the set $f(A(Y)) \setminus f(A(X))$ by \mathcal{L} . Let ρ be the rank function of $B_n(q)$, which is defined by the dimensions of the subspaces. Since $\rho(Y) - \rho(X) = 1$, the set \mathcal{L} is a subset of $[n]$ and has exactly one element. This element will be the label of the edge (X, Y) .

A maximal chain $c = (\hat{0} < x_1 < \dots < x_t < \hat{1})$ is *increasing* if $\lambda(\hat{0}, x_1) < \lambda(x_1, x_2) < \dots < \lambda(x_t, \hat{1})$. A chain c is then associated with a word

$$\lambda(c) = \lambda(\hat{0}, x_1)\lambda(x_1, x_2) \cdots \lambda(x_t, \hat{1}).$$

If $\lambda(c_1)$ lexicographically precedes $\lambda(c_2)$, we say that c_1 lexicographically precedes c_2 and we denote this by $c_1 <_L c_2$. Next we recall the definition of an EL-labeling of a poset:

Definition 4.1. (Björner and Wachs [2, Definition 2.1]) An edge labeling is called an *EL-labeling* (edge lexicographical labeling) if for every interval $[x, y]$ in P ,

- (1) there is a unique increasing maximal chain c in $[x, y]$, and
- (2) $c <_L c'$ for all other maximal chains c' in $[x, y]$.

Proposition 4.2. *The labeling method described above is an EL-labeling on the subspace lattice $B_n(q)$.*

Proof. Edges in the same chain cannot take duplicate labels since \mathbb{F}_q^n is n -dimensional and any maximal chain must take all labels in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let $[X, Y]$ be a closed interval in $B_n(q)$. All maximal chains of $[X, Y]$ will take labels from the set $\mathcal{L} = f(A(Y)) \setminus f(A(X))$. Let $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_l \leq n$ be all the elements of \mathcal{L} . For each i , $1 \leq i \leq l$, there is a 1-dimensional subspace V_i of \mathbb{F}_q^n with $f(V_i) = a_i$ and $V_i \vee X$, the join of V_i and X , is in $[X, Y]$. The chain $c = (X < X \vee V_1 < \dots < X \vee V_1 \vee V_2 \vee \dots \vee V_l = Y)$ is an increasing maximal chain of $[X, Y]$. Any other 1-dimensional subspace V'_i satisfying $f(V'_i) = a_i$ and $X \vee V_1 \vee \dots \vee V_{i-1} \vee V'_i \in [X, Y]$ must equal $X \vee V_1 \vee \dots \vee V_i$. Since there is only one way to arrange the a_i 's increasingly, c satisfies definition 4.1 condition (1).

Suppose there is another maximal chain $c' = (X = W_0 < W_1 < \dots < W_l = Y)$. Let $f(A(W_i)) \setminus f(A(W_{i-1})) = b_i$ for all $i \in [l]$. Let k , $1 \leq k \leq l$, be the smallest integer such that $b_k \neq a_k$. We know that b_k must be in \mathcal{L} and $b_k \neq a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$. Also a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k are the smallest k elements of \mathcal{L} arranged increasingly. It follows immediately that $b_k > a_k$. Therefore condition (2) in the above definition is also satisfied. \square

Under this EL-labeling, each maximal chain of the subspace lattice $B_n(q)$ can then be identified with a permutation σ of S_n . See section 1 for the definition of inversion statistic $inv(\sigma)$.

Lemma 4.3. *The number of maximal chains of $B_n(q)$ assigned label $\sigma \in S_n$ is $q^{inv(\sigma)}$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma \in S_n$, for each 1-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^n , we can take the vector whose right most non-zero coordinate is 1 as its basis element. For each $i \in [n-1]$, let $inv(\sigma(i))$ denote the number of pairs (i, j) such that $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$. The number of ways to choose an atom W_1 such that the edge $(0, W_1)$ takes label $\sigma(1)$ is clearly $q^{inv(\sigma(1))}$. Let $k \in [n]$, assume the chain $0 < W_1 < \dots < W_{k-1}$, has label $\sigma(1)\sigma(2)\dots\sigma(k-1)$. For each $i \in [k-1]$, pick an atom $V_i \in A(W_{k-1})$ with $f(V_i) = \sigma(i)$ and v_i the basis element of V_i . The vectors v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1} are linearly independent hence form a basis of W_{k-1} . In order for the edge (W_{k-1}, W_k) to take label $\sigma(k)$, W_k needs to be the join of W_{k-1} and an atom whose basis element, call it v_k , has 1 on the $\sigma(k)$ th coordinate and all 0's after the $\sigma(k)$ th coordinate. Then v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k will form a basis for W_k . So we need to find the number of ways to choose a v_k that each results in a distinct W_k .

The vector $e_k = \langle 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$ who has 1 on the $\sigma(k)$ th coordinate and 0 everywhere else certainly is a choice for v_k . For each j , such that $1 \leq k < j \leq n$ and $\sigma(k) > \sigma(j)$, the $\sigma(j)$ th coordinate appears before the $\sigma(k)$ th. W_{k-1} has no vectors whose right most non-zero coordinate is the $\sigma(j)$ th, so varying the $\sigma(j)$ th coordinate of e_k will produce new vectors that are not in the span of $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, e_k\}$. There are $inv(\sigma(k))$ choices for j , and for each j , there are q choices for the value of the j th coordinate. Each choice will produce a different v_k thus a different W_k . Therefore for any given chain $0 < W_1 < \dots < W_{k-1}$ assigned label $\sigma(1)\sigma(2)\dots\sigma(k-1)$, there are $q^{inv(\sigma(k))}$ choices for W_k such that the edge (W_{k-1}, W_k) takes label $\sigma(k)$. Hence the number of maximal chains assigned label σ is $\prod_{i=1}^{i=n} q^{inv(\sigma(i))} = q^{\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} inv(\sigma(i))} = q^{inv(\sigma)}$. \square

The following theorem from Björner and Wachs is essential to connecting the permutations of \mathcal{S}_n with the Segre product poset $B_n(q) \circ_\rho B_n(q)$:

Theorem 4.4. (Björner and Wachs [3, Theorem 4.1], see also Wachs [13, Theorem 3.2.4]). *Suppose P is a poset for which \hat{P} admits an EL-labeling. Then P has the homotopy type of a wedge of spheres, where the number of i -spheres is the number of decreasing maximal $(i+2)$ -chains of \hat{P} . The decreasing maximal $(i+2)$ -chains, with $\hat{0}$ and $\hat{1}$ removed, form a basis for homology $\tilde{H}_i(P; \mathbb{Z})$.*

Now consider the Segre product of $B_n(q)$ with itself. Denote the proper part of the Segre product by $P_n(q)$. Using the labeling of $B_n(q)$ described right before definition 4.1, the Segre product of $B_n(q)$ with itself admits an edge-labeling in which the labels are ordered pairs from the poset $[n] \times [n]$. A label $(i, j) \in [n] \times [n] \leq (k, l)$ if and only if $i \leq k$ and $j \leq l$. It is easy to verify that this labeling of $B_n(q) \circ_\rho B_n(q)$ is an EL-labeling. The descending chains are labeled with pairs of permutations with no common ascent. Given a pair of permutations (σ, ω) , the number of descending maximal chains assigned label (σ, ω) is $q^{\text{inv}(\sigma)} \cdot q^{\text{inv}(\omega)}$ from Lemma 4.3. Recall that \mathcal{D}_n denotes the set of pairs of permutations $(\sigma, \omega) \in \mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_n$ with no common ascent. Then we immediately arrive at the following proposition:

Proposition 4.5. *Let $W_n(q)$ be the total number of descending maximal chains of $P_n(q)$. Then*

$$W_n(q) = \sum_{(\sigma, \omega) \in \mathcal{D}_n} q^{(\text{inv}(\sigma) + \text{inv}(\omega))}.$$

Remark 4.6. The Segre product poset $B_n(q) \circ B_n(q)$ is the q -analogue of the Segre product poset $B_n \circ B_n$, agreeing with the formal definition of a q -analogue in R. Simion's paper [8]. She showed that the q -analogue of an EL-shellable poset is also EL-shellable. This particular EL-labeling of $B_n(q) \circ B_n(q)$ provided intuition and a combinatorial interpretation for $W_n(q)$.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $P_n(q)$ be the proper part of the Segre product poset $B_n(q) \circ_\rho B_n(q)$. Let $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ i \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q$ be the q -analogue of $\binom{n}{i}$ and $W_n(q)$ be the total number of descending maximal chains of $P_n(q)$. Then*

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{i=n} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ i \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q^2 (-1)^i W_i(q) = 0.$$

Proof. The poset $P_n(q)$ is pure. By Theorem 4.4, $P_n(q)$ has the homotopy type of a wedge of $(n-2)$ -spheres, and its decreasing maximal $(n-2)$ -chains form a basis of the reduced $(n-2)$ -nd homology. Now we have $W_n(q)$ is the n th betti number of $P_n(q)$. The Euler-Poincaré formula [13, Theorem 1.2.8] gives us

$$(4.2) \quad \tilde{\chi}(\Delta(P_n(q))) = \sum_i (-1)^i b_i(P_n(q))$$

where $b_i(P_n(q))$ is the i th betti number of $P_n(q)$. We know that the mobius number of a poset is the same as its reduced Euler Characteristic by the Philip Hall's theorem (Stanley [9, Proposition 3.8.6]), so equation 4.2 now becomes

$$\mu_{\widehat{P_n(q)}}(\hat{0}, \hat{1}) = \sum_i (-1)^i b_i(P_n(q)).$$

However, $P_n(q)$ is Cohen-Macaulay which means all reduced homology groups other than the top one vanish (Björner [1]). Thus $\mu_{\widehat{P_n(q)}}$ simplifies to $(-1)^n b_n(P_n(q))$, which is $(-1)^n W_n(q)$ in our set up. We then have

$$(4.3) \quad \mu_{\widehat{P_n(q)}} = (-1)^n W_n(q) = \tilde{\chi}(\Delta(P_n(q))).$$

On the other hand, by the definition of the möbius function,

$$\mu(\hat{0}, \hat{1}) = - \sum_{\hat{0} \leq x < \hat{1}} \mu(\hat{0}, x).$$

Each x in $P_n(q)$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^n \times \mathbb{F}_q^n$, which is the product of two k -dimensional subspaces X_1, X_2 of \mathbb{F}_q^n for some k with $0 \leq k < n$. But the intervals $[\hat{0}, X_1]$ and $[\hat{0}, X_2]$ are isomorphic to the poset $B_k(q)$, hence $\mu(\hat{0}, x)$ is just $\mu_{\widehat{P_k(q)}}(\hat{0}, \hat{1})$, where $P_k(q) = B_k(q) \circ_\rho B_k(q) \setminus \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}$. The number of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n is $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$ (Stanley [9, Proposition 1.7.2]), the q -analogue of $\binom{n}{k}$. Thus the number of distinct $x = (X_1, X_2)$ where X_1 and X_2 are k -dimensional subspaces is $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^2$. Therefore we have

$$\mu_{\widehat{P_n(q)}}(\hat{0}, \hat{1}) = - \sum_{i=0}^{i=n-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q^2 \mu_{\widehat{P_i(q)}}(\hat{0}, \hat{1}) = - \sum_{i=0}^{i=n-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q^2 (-1)^i W_i(q),$$

where $W_i(q) = \sum_{(\sigma, \omega) \in \mathcal{S}_i \times \mathcal{S}_i} q^{inv(\sigma) + inv(\omega)}$, which is summed over all pairs of permutations with no common ascent, is the number of descending maximal chains of the Segre product poset $B_i(q) \circ_{\rho_i} B_i(q)$. \square

Corollary 4.8. *The Euler characteristic of the Segre product of the subspace lattice $B_n(q) \circ_\rho B_n(q)$ is $(-1)^n W_n(q)$.*

Proof. See equation (4.3) in the proof of theorem 4.7. \square

Recall that P_n is the proper part of the Segre product of the subset lattice B_n with itself. The product Frobenius characteristic of the $\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_n$ -module $\tilde{H}_{n-2}(P_n)$ has an innate connection with $W_n(q)$. The following theorem provides an equation that connects the stable principal specialization of $ch(\tilde{H}_{n-2}(P_n))$ and the Euler characteristic $W_n(q)$.

Theorem 4.9. *Let P_n be the proper part of Segre product of B_n with itself and \mathcal{S}_n the symmetric group. The action of $\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_n$ induces a representation on the reduced top homology of P_n . Let $W_n(q)$ be the number of descending maximal chains of the Segre product of $B_n(q)$ with itself. For a symmetric function f in two sets of variables $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$, the stable principal specialization $ps(f)$ specializes both x_i and y_i to q^{i-1} . Then*

$$ps(ch(\tilde{H}_{n-2}(P_n))) = \frac{W_n(q)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^i)^2},$$

where $ch(V)$ is the product Frobenius characteristic of a $\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_n$ -module V .

Proof. We will prove the proposition by induction. The base cases $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ can be verified by hand.

$$ps(ch(\tilde{H}_0(P_2))) = \frac{q^2 + 2q}{(1-q)^2(1-q^2)^2} = \frac{W_2(q)}{(1-q)^2(1-q^2)^2}$$

and

$$ps(ch(\tilde{H}_1(P_3))) = \frac{q^6 + 4q^5 + 6q^4 + 6q^3 + 2q^2}{(1-q)^2(1-q^2)^2(1-q^3)^2} = \frac{W_3(q)}{(1-q)^2(1-q^2)^2(1-q^3)^2}.$$

Assume that the statement is true for $P_i, i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Now let us consider the reduced top homology of P_n . Equation (3.1) gives us a way to express $ch(\tilde{H}_{n-2}(P_n))$ in terms of Frobenius characteristic of smaller posets. That is

$$(4.4) \quad ch(\tilde{H}_{n-2}(P_n)) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1+i} h_{n-i}(x) h_{n-i}(y) ch(\tilde{H}_{i-2}(P_i))$$

Then we take the stable principal specialization of both sides of equation (4.4). We know from Stanley's *Enumerative Combinatorics vol. 2* that $ps(h_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1-q^i}$ [10]. It follows from our induction hypothesis that

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} ps(ch(\tilde{H}_{n-2}(P_n))) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1+i} ps(ch(\tilde{H}_{i-2}(P_i))) \prod_{j=1}^{n-i} \frac{1}{(1-q^j)^2} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1+i} \frac{W_i(q)}{\prod_{k=1}^i (1-q^k)^2} \prod_{j=1}^{n-i} \frac{1}{(1-q^j)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^n (1-q^k)^2} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1+i} W_i(q) \frac{\prod_{j=i+1}^n (1-q^j)^2}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-i} (1-q^j)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^n (1-q^k)^2} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1+i} W_i(q) \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the identity involving the Euler characteristic $W_n(q)$ given in theorem 4.7, we obtain

$$ps(ch(\tilde{H}_n(P_n))) = \frac{W_n(q)}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1-q^j)^2}.$$

□

5. CARLITZ, SCOVILLE AND VAUGHAN'S RESULT AND ITS ALTERNATIVE PROOF

In Carlitz, Scoville and Vaughan's paper 'Enumeration of pairs of permutations' [5], they gave the coefficients ω_k of the reciprocal of the Bessel function $J_0(z)$ a combinatorial explanation. They showed that ω_k is the number of pairs of k -permutations with no common ascent. When letting $q = 1$ in our q -analogue (4.1), the subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n simply become subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and $W_n(1) = \sum_{(\sigma, \omega) \in \mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_n} 1^{inv(\sigma) + inv(\omega)}$, where (σ, ω) is a pair of permutations with no common ascent, is in fact ω_n . Hence we obtained the above result from Carlitz, Scoville and Vaughan.

The proof of theorem 4.7 can also be easily adapted to an alternative proof of Carlitz, Scoville and Vaughan's result (1.1) by changing $B_n(q)$ to B_n , using P_n instead of $P_n(q)$ to denote the Segre product, and recognizing that the intervals in the alternating sum for the Möbius number of \widehat{P}_n are isomorphic to smaller subset lattices B_i 's. Carlitz, Scoville and Vaughan's proof in [5] included general cases where occurrences of common ascent are allowed. Our proof provides a less technical approach by utilizing Björner and Wach's work on shellability and poset homology [3].

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Washington University in St. Louis Department of Mathematics and Statistics for their support. She is especially grateful to John Shareshian for his insightful advice and valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Björner, *Shellable and Cohen-Macaulay partially ordered sets*, Trans. AMS **260** (1980), 159–183.
- [2] A. Björner and M. L. Wachs, *On lexicographically shellable posets*, Trans. AMS **277** (1983), 323–341.
- [3] ———, *Shellable nonpure complexes and posets I*, Trans. AMS **348** (1996), 1299–1327.
- [4] A. Björner and V. Welker, *Segre and Rees products of posets, with ring-theoretic applications*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **198** (2005), 43–55.
- [5] L. Carlitz, R. Scoville, and T. Vaughan, *Enumeration of pairs of permutations*, Discrete Mathematics **14** (1976), 215–239.
- [6] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **96** (2nd ed.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [7] B. E. Sagan, *The Symmetric Group. Representations, Combinatorial Algorithms, and Symmetric Functions*, second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **203**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [8] Rodica Simion, *On q -analogues of partially ordered sets*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **72** (1995), no. 1, 135–183.
- [9] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 1*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **49**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [10] ———, *Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 2*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **62**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [11] S. Sundaram, *Applications of the Hopf trace formula to computing homology representations*, Jerusalem combinatorics '93, 277–309, Contemp. Math., **178**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [12] ———, *The homology representations of the symmetric group on Cohen-Macaulay subposets of the partition lattice*, Advances in Math. **104** (1994), 225–296.
- [13] M. L. Wachs, *Poset Topology: Tools and Applications*, Geometric combinatorics, IAS/PCMI Lecture Notes Series, vol. 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 497–615.