

Avoiding Conjugacy Classes on the 5-letter Alphabet

Golnaz Badkobeh^{a,1}, Pascal Ochem^{b,2,*}

^aGoldsmiths, University of London

^bLIRMM, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, Montpellier, France

Abstract

We construct an infinite word w over the 5-letter alphabet such that for every factor f of w of length at least two, there exists a cyclic permutation of f that is not a factor of w . In other words, w does not contain a non-trivial conjugacy class. This proves the conjecture in Gamard et al. [TCS 2018]

Keywords: Combinatorics on words, Conjugacy classes

1. Introduction

We consider infinite words over a finite alphabet that do not contain all the conjugates of the same word w , with the necessary condition that $|w| \geq 2$. A recent interest in such words appeared in the context of pattern avoidance [1] and of iterative algebras [2]. Bell and Madill [2] obtained a pure morphic word with this property (and some additional properties) over the 12-letter alphabet. Gamard et al. [1] independently obtained a morphic word over the 6-letter alphabet. They also conjectured that the alphabet size can be lowered to 5, which is best possible. In this paper, we prove this conjecture using a morphic word.

Together with the construction of a morphic binary word avoiding every conjugacy class of length at least 5 and a morphic ternary word avoiding every conjugacy class of length at least 3 [1], this settles the topic of the smallest alphabet needed to avoid every conjugacy class of length at least k .

2. Main result

Let ε denote the empty word. We consider the morphic word $w_5 = G(F^\omega(0))$ defined by the following morphisms.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: g.badkobeh@gold.ac.uk (Golnaz Badkobeh), ochem@lirmm.fr (Pascal Ochem)

¹Golnaz Badkobeh is supported by the Leverhulme Trust on the Leverhulme Early Career Scheme.

²This work is supported by the ANR project CoCoGro (ANR-16-CE40-0005).

$$\begin{array}{ll}
F(0) = 01, & G(0) = abcd, \\
F(1) = 2, & G(1) = \varepsilon, \\
F(2) = 03, & G(2) = eacd, \\
F(3) = 24, & G(3) = becd, \\
F(4) = 23. & G(4) = be.
\end{array}$$

Theorem 2.1. *The morphic word $w_5 \in \Sigma_5^*$ avoids every conjugacy class of length at least 2.*

In order to prove this theorem, it is convenient to express w_5 with the larger morphisms $f = F^3$ and $g = G \circ F^2$ given below. Clearly, $w_5 = g(f^\omega(0))$.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
f(0) = 01203, & g(0) = abcdeacd, \\
f(1) = 0124, & g(1) = abcdbeacd, \\
f(2) = 0120323, & g(2) = abcdeacdbe, \\
f(3) = 01240324, & g(3) = abcdbeacdbeacd, \\
f(4) = 01240323. & g(4) = abcdbeacdbe.
\end{array}$$

2.1. Avoiding conjugacy classes in $F^\omega(0)$

Here we study the pure morphic word and the conjugacy classes it contains.

Lemma 2.2. *The infinite word $F^\omega(0)$ contains only the conjugacy classes listed in $C = \{F(2), F^2(2), F^d(4), f^d(0)\}$, for all $d \geq 1$.*

Proof. Notice that the factor 01 only occurs as the prefix of the f -image of every letter in $F^\omega(0)$. Moreover, every letter 1 only occurs in $F^\omega(0)$ as the suffix of the factor 01. Let us say that the *index* of a conjugacy class is the number of occurrences of 1 in any of its elements. An easy computation shows that the set of complete conjugacy classes in $F^\omega(0)$ with index at most one is $C_1 = \{F(2), F^2(2), F(4), F^2(4), f(4), f(0)\}$. Let us assume that $F^\omega(0)$ contains a conjugacy class c with index at least two. Let $w \in c$ be such that 01 is a prefix of w . We write $w = ps$ such that the leftmost occurrence of 01 in w is the prefix of s . Then the conjugate sp of w also belongs to c and thus is a factor of $F^\omega(0)$. This implies that the pre-image $v = f^{-1}(w)$ is a factor of $F^\omega(0)$, and so does every conjugate of v . Thus, $F^\omega(0)$ contains a conjugacy class c' such that the elements of c with prefix 01 are the f -images of the elements of c' . Moreover, the index of c' is strictly smaller than the index of c .

Using this argument recursively, we conclude that every complete conjugacy class in $F^\omega(0)$ has a member of the form $f^i(x)$ such that x is an element of a conjugacy class in C_1 .

Now we show that $F(2)$ does not generate larger conjugacy classes in $F^\omega(0)$. We thus have to exhibit a conjugate of $f(F(2)) = F^4(2) = 0120301240324$ that is not a factor of $F^\omega(0)$. A computer check shows that the conjugate 4012030124032 is not a factor of $F^\omega(0)$. Similarly, $F^2(2)$ does not generate larger conjugacy classes in $F^\omega(0)$ since the conjugate 301203012401203230124032 of $f(F^2(2)) = F^5(2) = 012030124012032301240323$ is not a factor of $F^\omega(0)$. \square

2.2. Avoiding conjugacy classes in w_5

We are ready to prove Theorem 2.1. Notice that \mathbf{ab} only appears in w_5 as the prefix of the g -image of every letter. A computer check shows that w_5 avoids every conjugacy class of length at most 100. Consider a word w with length at least 101 whose conjugacy class is complete in w_5 . So w contains at least two occurrences of \mathbf{ab} .

Let us assume that w_5 contains a conjugacy class c . Let $w \in c$ be such that \mathbf{ab} is a prefix of w . Since $|w| \geq 101$, w contains at least 2 occurrences of \mathbf{ab} and we write $w = ps$ such that the leftmost occurrence of \mathbf{ab} in w is the prefix of s . Then the conjugate sp of w also belongs to c and thus is a factor of w_5 . This implies that the pre-image $v = g^{-1}(w)$ is a factor of $F^\omega(0)$, and so does every conjugate of v . Thus, $F^\omega(0)$ contains a conjugacy class c' such that the elements of c with prefix \mathbf{ab} are the f -images of the elements of c' .

To finish the proof, it is thus sufficient to show that for every $c' \in C$, there exists a conjugate of $g(c')$ that is not a factor of w_5 . Recall that $C = \{F(2), F^2(2), F^d(4), f^d(0)\}$ for all $d \geq 1$. The computer check mentioned above settles the case of $F(2)$ and $F^2(2)$ since $|g(F(2))| < |g(F^2(2))| = 40 \leq 100$.

The next four lemmas handle the remaining cases:

- $g(f^d(F(4))) = g(f^d(23))$
- $g(f^d(F^2(4))) = g(f^d(0324))$
- $g(f^{d+1}(4)) = g(f^d(01240323))$
- $g(f^{d+1}(0)) = g(f^d(01203))$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $p_{23} = \mathbf{e.g(3f(3) \dots f^{d-1}(3).f^d(3))}$ and $s_{23} = g(f^{d-1}(01203).f^{d-2}(01203) \dots f(01203)01203).abcdeacdb$. For every $d \geq 0$, the word $T_{23} = p_{23}s_{23}$ is a conjugate of $g(f^d(23))$ that is not a factor of w_5 .*

Proof. Let us assume that T_{23} appears in w_5 .

The letter $\mathbf{3}$ in $f^\omega(0)$ appears after either $\mathbf{0}$ or $\mathbf{2}$. However $\mathbf{e.g(3)}$ is a suffix of $g(2)$ and not of $g(0)$. Therefore, $\mathbf{e.g(3)}$ is a suffix of $g(23)$ only. Since $\mathbf{23}$ is a suffix of $f(2)$ and not of $f(0)$, then $g(23f(3))$ is a suffix of $g(f(23))$ only. Using this argument recursively, p_{23} is a suffix of $g(f^d(23))$ only.

Now, the letter $\mathbf{3}$ in $f^\omega(0)$ appears before either $\mathbf{0}$ or $\mathbf{2}$, however $\mathbf{abcdeacdb}$ is a prefix of $g(2)$ and not of $g(0)$. Thus $g(01203).abcdeacdb$ is a prefix of $g(012032)$ only. Since $\mathbf{012032}$ is a prefix of $f(2)$ and not of $f(0)$, then $g(f(01203)012032)$ is a prefix of $g(f(012032))$ only. Using this argument recursively, s_{23} is a prefix of $g(f^{d-1}(012032))$ only. Thus T_{23} is a factor of $g(f^d(232))$ but $\mathbf{232}$ is not a factor of $f^\omega(0)$, contradiction. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let $p_{0324} = \mathbf{acdbecd.g(24f(24) \dots f^{d-1}(24).f^d(24))}$ and $s_{0324} = g(f^d(0).f^{d-1}(01240) \dots f(01240).01240).acdbecde$. For every $d \geq 0$, the word $T_{0324} = p_{0324}g(f^d(0))s_{0324}$ is a conjugate of $g(f^d(0324))$ that is not a factor of w_5 .*

Proof. Let us assume that T_{0324} appears in w_5 .

The letter 2 in $f^\omega(0)$ appears after either 1 or 3. However **acdbecd** is a suffix of $g(3)$ and not of $g(1)$. Therefore **acdbecd.g(24)** is a suffix of $g(324)$ only. Since **324** is a suffix of $f(3)$ and not of $f(1)$, then $g(324f(24))$ is a suffix of $g(f(324))$ only. Using this argument recursively, p_{3240} is a suffix of $g(f^d(324))$ only.

Now, the letter 0 in $f^\omega(0)$ appears before either 1 or 3. However **abcdbecde** is a prefix of $g(3)$ and not of $g(1)$. Thus $g(01240).abcdbecde$ is a prefix of $g(012403)$ only. Since **012403** is a prefix of $f(3)$ and not of $f(1)$, then $g(f(01240)012403)$ is a prefix of $g(f(012403))$ only. Using this argument recursively, s_{3240} is a prefix of $g(f^{d-1}(012403))$ only. Thus T_{3240} is a factor of $g(f^d(32403))$ but **32403** is not a factor of $f^\omega(0)$, contradiction. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let $p_{01240323} = ecdeacdbe.g(0323f(0323) \dots f^{d-1}(0323).f^d(0323))$ and $s_{01240323} = g(f^d(012)f^{d-1}(012) \dots f(012)012).abcdb$. For every $d \geq 0$, the word $T_{01240323} = p_{01240323}s_{01240323}$ is a conjugate of $g(f^d(0323))$ that is not a factor of w_5 .*

Proof. Let us assume that $T_{01240323}$ appears in w_5 .

The factor **03** in $f^\omega(0)$ appears after either 2 or 4. However **ecdeacdbe** is a suffix of $g(4)$ and not of $g(2)$. Therefore **ecdeacdbe.g(0323)** is a suffix of $g(40323)$ only. Since **40323** is a suffix of $f(4)$ and not of $f(2)$, then $g(40323f(0323))$ is a suffix of $g(f(40323))$, using this argument recursively, $p_{01240323}$ is a suffix of $g(f^d(40323))$ only.

Now, the factor **12** in $f^\omega(0)$ appears before either 0 or 4. However **abcdb** is a prefix of $g(4)$ and not of $g(0)$. Thus $g(012).abcdb$ must only be a prefix of $g(0124)$ and since **0323** is a prefix of $f(4)$ and not of $f(0)$ then $g(f(012)0124)$ is a prefix of $g(f(0124))$ only. Using this argument recursively, $s_{01240323}$ is a prefix of $g(f^d(0124))$ only. Thus $T_{01240323}$ is a factor of $g(f^d(403230124))$ but **403230124** is not a factor of $f^\omega(0)$, contradiction. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let $p_{01203} = d.g(3f(3) \dots f^{d-1}(3).f^d(3))$ and $s_{01203} = g(f^d(012)f^{d-1}(012) \dots f^{d-2}(012) \dots f(012)012).abcdeac$. For every $d \geq 0$, the word $T_{01203} = p_{01203}s_{01203}$ is a conjugate of $g(f^d(01203))$ that is not a factor of w_5 .*

Proof. Let us assume that T_{01203} appears in w_5 .

The letter **3** in $f^\omega(0)$ appears after either 0 or 2. however **d** is a suffix of $g(0)$ and not of $g(2)$. Therefore **d.g(2)** is a suffix of $g(12)$ only. Since **12** is a suffix of $f(1)$ and not of $f(3)$, then $g(12f(2))$ is a suffix of $g(f(12))$ only. Using this argument recursively, p_{01203} is a suffix of $g(f^d(12))$ only.

Now, **012** in $f^\omega(0)$ appears before either 1 or 4, however **abcdeac** is only a prefix of $g(1)$ and not of $g(4)$. Thus $g(012).abcdeac$ is a prefix of $g(0120)$ only. Since **0120** is a prefix of $f(1)$ and not of $f(4)$, then $g(f(012)0120)$ is a prefix of $g(f(0120))$ only. Using this argument recursively, s_{01203} is a prefix of $g(f^d(0120))$. Thus T_{01203} is a factor of $g(f^d(030120))$ but **030120** is not a factor of $f^\omega(0)$, contradiction. \square

References

- [1] G. Gamard, P. Ochem, G. Richomme, and P. Séebold. Avoidability of circular formulas. *Theoret. Comput. Sci* **726** (2018), 1–4.
- [2] J.P. Bell and B.W. Madill. Iterative Algebras. *Algebr. Represent. Theor.* **18(6)** (2015), 1533–1546.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10468-015-9550-y>