We study $d$-wave superconductivity in twisted bilayer graphene and reveal new phenomena that arise due to the moiré superlattice. In the $d$-wave pairing, the relative motion (RM) of two electrons in a Cooper pair can have either $d_+$ or $d_-$ symmetry, which carry opposite angular momenta. Due to the enlarged moiré superlattice, the center-of-mass motion (COMM) can also carry a finite angular momentum while preserving the moiré periodicity. By matching the total angular momentum, which has contributions from both the RM and the COMM, Cooper pairs with $d_+$ and $d_-$ RMs are intrinsically coupled in a way such that the COMM associated with one of the RMs has a spontaneous vortex-antivortex lattice configuration. Another new phenomenon is that the chiral $d$-wave state carries spontaneous circulating supercurrent in the bulk. The chiral $d$-wave superconductors are gapped and also topological as characterized by quantized Chern number. Nematic $d$-wave superconductors, which could be stabilized, for example, by uniaxial strain, are gapless with point nodes.

I. INTRODUCTION

The twist angle in van der Waals bilayers emerges as a new tuning knob to control electronic properties.\cite{1,2} Theory has predicted that the Dirac velocity of twisted bilayer graphene (TBLG) vanishes at a set of magic twist angles\cite{8,9}, near which the low-energy moiré bands are extremely flat and electron interaction effects are therefore magnified. Correlated insulating states and superconductivity have recently been experimentally observed in TBLG near the largest magic angle ($\sim 1^\circ$).\cite{10,11} These discoveries have aroused a great interest in moiré pattern physics.\cite{12,13} A recent new experiment has demonstrated that superconductivity in TBLG can be further tuned by pressure.\cite{14} In theory, various aspects of TBLG are being actively studied, including single-particle band structure theory,\cite{15,16} many-body theory on the low-temperature superconducting and correlated insulating states, and transport theory in the high-temperature metallic regime.\cite{17,18,19}

In this work, we study $d$-wave superconductivity in TBLG, and reveal new phenomena that arise due to the enlarged moiré superlattice. $d$-wave has been proposed to be a candidate pairing symmetry for TBLG in Coulomb repulsion mechanism\cite{20,21,22,23} as well as phonon mechanism.\cite{24} Theory presented in this paper builds upon the theoretical framework developed in our previous work\cite{25} where phonon mediates pairing, while our qualitative results for $d$-wave channel should be largely independent of the exact pairing mechanisms. The continuum model that we employ captures the sublattice and layer dependence of the moiré electronic wave function, which is crucial for our findings.

We demonstrate two new phenomena for chiral $d$-wave states in moiré superlattice, i.e., spontaneous vortices in the pairing order parameters and spontaneous bulk supercurrent. The presence of spontaneous vortices can be anticipated by examining the angular momentum of a Cooper pair, as explained in the following. In the $d$-wave pairing, the relative motion (RM) of two electrons in a Cooper pair has either $d_+$ or $d_-$ symmetry, which carry opposite angular momenta under the transformation of a three-fold rotation. The total angular momentum of a Cooper pair has contributions from both the RM and the center-of-mass motion (COMM). Due to the enlarged moiré superlattice, COMM can also carry a finite angular momentum without breaking the moiré periodicity. By matching the total angular momentum, Cooper pairs with $d_+$ and $d_-$ RMs are intrinsically coupled. Overall, there are still two independent chiral pairing channels, which we respectively label as $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$. In $\Gamma_1$, COMM associated with $d_+$ RM has an $s$-wave symmetry, while COMM for $d_-$ RM has a spontaneous vortex-antivortex lattice configuration. The other channel $\Gamma_2$ is the time-reversal counterpart of $\Gamma_1$. The above order parameter structures are illustrated in Fig. 2. We note that Ref. 25 also reported spontaneous vortices in the superconductivity order parameters, but for a mixed $d$ and $p$ wave pairing state. Our physical picture for the origin of vortices based on the angular momentum of Cooper pairs has not been discussed previously.

The chiral $d$-wave ground state carries spontaneous circulating supercurrent in the bulk. This is possible because each moiré unit cell contains a large number of atomic sites that support current flow. We find that supercurrent has one component circulating around $\hat{z}$ axis (perpendicular to TBLG) and another component circulating between the two graphene layers in TBLG. The supercurrent distribution pattern is characterized by both a magnetic dipole moment and a magnetic toroidal dipole moment.

We also discuss the gap structure in the superconducting states. The chiral $d$-wave states are gapped and also topological as characterized by quantized Chern num-
ber. The two-component $d$-wave pairing channels can also lead to nematic states, which break rotation symmetry but preserve time-reversal symmetry. Nematic $d$-wave superconductors are gapless with point nodes. Within weak-coupling mean-field theory, chiral $d$-wave states are energetically more favored. However, nematic $d$-wave states could be stabilized near the critical temperature when the six-fold rotational symmetry of the TBLG is broken, for example by uniaxial strain.

This paper is organized as follows. Sec. II sets up the single-particle moiré Hamiltonian. In Sec. III we study $d$-wave pairing within mean-field theory, present the critical temperature, and discuss the superconductivity order parameters, including the spontaneous vortices. In Sec. IV, we illustrate the spontaneous supercurrent in the chiral $d$-wave state. Sec. V and Sec. VI respectively present the gap structure of chiral and nematic $d$-wave states. In Sec. VII we also show that the chiral $d$-wave state is topological by computing the Berry curvature and the Chern number. Finally, a brief discussion and summary is given in Sec. VII.

II. MOİRÉ BANDS

We construct TBLG with point group $D_6$ by starting from AA stacked bilayer graphene, and then rotate the bottom and top layers by angles $-\theta/2$ and $+\theta/2$ around one of the hexagon center, as illustrated in Fig. 1(a). The origin of coordinates is chosen to be on this rotation axis and half-way between layers. The $D_6$ point group symmetry with respect to this origin is generated by a sixfold rotation $C_6$ around the $\hat{z}$ axis, and twofold rotations $M_x$ and $M_y$ respectively around the $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$ axes. The operations $M_{x,y}$ swap the two layers. Because spin-orbit interactions are negligible in graphene, electrons have accurate spin SU(2) symmetry. Therefore, superconductivity can be classified as spin singlet and triplet.

At a small twist angle $\theta$, TBLG has a triangular moiré pattern with a long period $a_M = a_0/[2 \sin(\theta/2)]$, where $a_0$ is the lattice constant of monolayer graphene. In the moiré pattern, there are three notable regions, where the local inter-layer coordinations are of AA, AB and BA types, as highlighted in Fig. 1(a).

The single-particle physics of TBLG with small $\theta$ can be described using a continuum moiré Hamiltonian, in which the atomic-scale commensurability plays no role. The moiré Hamiltonian is spin-independent and is given in valley $\tau K$ by:

$$H_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} h_{\tau b}(k) & T_{\tau b}(r) \\ T_{\tau t}(r) & h_{\tau t}(k) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\tau = \pm$ is the valley index. $h_{\tau b}$ and $h_{\tau t}$ are the Dirac Hamiltonians of the bottom (b) and top (t) layers:

$$h_{\tau \ell}(k) = e^{-i r \ell z} \sigma_z [h_F (k - \tau \kappa_\ell) \cdot (\tau \sigma_x, \sigma_y)] e^{i r \ell z} \sigma_z,$$

where $\ell$ is +1 (−1) for the b (t) layer, $v_F$ is the bare Dirac velocity ($\sim 10^6$ m/s), and $\sigma_{x,y}$ are Pauli matrices that act in the sublattice space. Because of the rotation, the Dirac cone position in layer $\ell$ and valley $\tau$ is shifted to $\tau \kappa_\ell$. We choose a moiré Brillouin zone (MBZ) in which the $\kappa_\ell$ is located at the corners, and refer to the MBZ center below as the $\gamma$ point. $\kappa_\ell$ is then given by $[4\pi/(3a_M)](-\sqrt{3}/2, -\ell/2)$. The interlayer

![FIG. 1. (a) Moiré pattern in TBLG. (b) The small black hexagon represents the moiré Brillouin zone, while the gray and yellow hexagons show the Brillouin zone associated with bottom and top layers.](image-url)
tunneling terms are sublattice-dependent and vary periodically with the real space position \( r \):
\[
T_r(r) = T_r^{(3)} + e^{-irb_-r}T_r^{(+1)} + e^{irb_-r}T_r^{(-1)}
\]
where \( b_\pm \) are moiré reciprocal lattice vectors given by \([4\pi/\sqrt{3}a_M]((\pm1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)\) and \( T_r^{(3)} = w_0\sigma_0 + w_1\cos(2\pi j/3)\sigma_x + \tau\sigma_1\sin(2\pi j/3)\sigma_y\). Here \( w_0 \) and \( w_1 \) are two parameters that respectively determine the tunneling in AA and AB/BA regions. \( w_0 \) and \( w_1 \) are different because the interlayer distance in AA region is larger than that in AB/BA regions, and therefore, \( |w_0| < |w_1| \) is expected. We take \( w_0 = 90 \) meV and \( w_1 = 117 \) meV from Ref. [22]. For this choice of parameters, the largest magic angle, at which the Dirac velocity reaches a minimum value, is about 1.025°. Near this magic angle, the two nearly flat bands close to zero energy (set by the Dirac feature in the band structure, inter-valley electron pair-point group symmetry and also the time-reversal symmetry) mediates the following inter-valley electron pairing interaction and in-plane optical phonon modes associated with each valley has strong trigonal warping as demonstrated in Fig. 2, and therefore, \( \epsilon_{\tau\ell} \) are expected. We take \( \epsilon_{\tau\ell} \) as \( \epsilon \) and \( |\epsilon| \) is the real space position \( \tau \).

We note that the moiré Hamiltonian builds in the periodicity and can be expressed using harmonic expansion \( \Delta \) and \( \hat{T} \) are generated by both \( \Gamma \) and \( \ell \) channels are given by:
\[
H_{BCS} = -4 \int d^2r \left\{ g_E \left[ \hat{\psi}_{+\Delta s}^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}_{-\Delta s}^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}_{-B\ell s} \hat{\psi}_{+B\ell s} + \text{h.c.} \right] + g_A \left[ \hat{\psi}_{+\Delta s}^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}_{-\Delta s}^{\dagger} + \hat{\psi}_{-B\ell s} \hat{\psi}_{+B\ell s} + \text{h.c.} \right] + g_A \left[ \hat{\psi}_{+\Delta s}^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}_{-\Delta s}^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}_{-B\ell s} \hat{\psi}_{+B\ell s} + (A \leftrightarrow B) \right] \right\},
\]
where the operators \( \hat{\psi}_{+\Delta s} \) and \( \hat{\psi}_{-\Delta s} \) are at the same coarse-grained position \( r \). The subscript \( \pm \) distinguishes the two valley, \( A \) and \( B \) label sublattices, \( \ell \) refers to layers, and \( s \) and \( s' \) are spin indices. In Eq. (4), interactions in the first line are induced by phonon modes near \( \Gamma \) point, and those in the second and third lines are generated by phonon modes near \( \pm K \) points. The coupling constants \( g_E \) and \( g_A \) are respectively about 52 and 69 meV nm^2. In \( H_{BCS} \), there are two distinct spin-singlet pairing channels: (i) intra-sublattice pairing, e.g., \( \epsilon_{ss'} \hat{\psi}_{+\Delta s}^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}_{-\Delta s'}^{\dagger} \) and (ii) inter-sublattice pairing, e.g., \( \epsilon_{ss'} \hat{\psi}_{+\Delta s}^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}_{-\Delta s}^{\dagger} \) where \( \epsilon \) is the fully antisymmetric tensor with \( \epsilon_{\pm \pm} = 1 \). While the intra-sublattice pairing channels are generated by both \( \Gamma \) and \( \pm K \) phonons, only the \( \pm K \) phonons contribute to inter-sublattice pairing. The intra- and inter-sublattice pairings respectively have \( s \)-wave and \( d \)-wave symmetries because electrons at different sublattices and opposite valleys share the same angular momentum under the threefold rotation \( C_3 \) and \( \hat{\psi}_r^{(R_3 \tau \ell)} = \exp[i2\pi\tau\ell R_3] \hat{\psi}_r \) where \( R_3 \) is the real-space three-fold rotational matrix. Therefore, inter-sublattice pairings \( \hat{\psi}_r^{(+)} = \epsilon_{ss'} \hat{\psi}_{+\Delta s}^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}_{-\Delta s}^{\dagger} \) and \( \hat{\psi}_r^{(-)} = \epsilon_{ss'} \hat{\psi}_{+\Delta s}^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}_{-\Delta s}^{\dagger} \) carry finite but opposite angular momenta.

\[
\hat{C}_3 \hat{\psi}_r^{(+)} \hat{C}_3^{-1} = e^{+i\frac{2\pi}{3}} \hat{\psi}_r \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{C}_3 \hat{\psi}_r^{(-)} \hat{C}_3^{-1} = e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{3}} \hat{\psi}_r.
\]

We refer \( \hat{\psi}_r^{(+)} \) and \( \hat{\psi}_r^{(-)} \) to as chiral \( d_+ \) and \( d_- \) pairings respectively. At the atomic scale, chiral \( d \)-wave pairings are realized by forming nearest-neighbor spin-singlet Cooper pairs with bond-dependent phase factors, as illustrated in Figs. 3a, b. The opposite angular momenta associated with \( \hat{\psi}_r^{(+)} \) arise from the relative motion between two electrons in one Cooper pair.

We focus on the \( d \)-wave pairing, assuming that the \( s \)-wave pairing is suppressed by Coulomb repulsion effects. In Ref. [23] the \( d_+ \) and \( d_- \) pairings are considered to be independent, which is a good approximation when estimating the critical temperature. Here we present the full theory and show that \( d_+ \) and \( d_- \) pairings are coupled in the linearized gap equation, although only weakly.

We perform mean-field theory, and the local pair amplitude is given by:
\[
\Delta_\ell^{(+)}(r) = \langle \hat{\psi}_{-B\ell\tau}(r) \hat{\psi}_{+\Delta \ell\tau}(r) \rangle = -\langle \hat{\psi}_{-\Delta \ell\tau}(r) \hat{\psi}_{+B\ell\tau}(r) \rangle = \Delta_\ell^{(-)}(r)
\]

We further assume that the pair amplitude has the moiré periodicity and can be expressed using harmonic expansion \( \Delta_\ell^{(d)}(r) = \sum_{\ell' \neq \ell} e^{i\ell'\ell} \Delta_\ell^{(d)} \), where the superscript \( \pm \) represents the two \( d \)-wave pairings and \( \ell \) is the moiré reciprocal lattice vectors. The linearized gap equation is given by:
\[
\Delta_\ell^{(d)} = \sum_{\ell' \neq \ell} \lambda^{(bd)}(\ell' \ell') \Delta_{\ell'}^{(d)}
\]
where \( \lambda^{(bd)}(\ell' \ell') = 4g_{A1} A \sum_{q,n_{1,2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}} \left[ 1 - n_F[\varepsilon_{n_1}(q)] - n_F[\varepsilon_{n_2}(q)] \right] \right\}
\times \left[ \langle u_{n_1}(q) \sigma_d | u_{n_2}(q) \rangle_{b,\ell} \right]^* \times \langle u_{n_1}(q) \sigma_d | u_{n_2}(q) \rangle_{b',\ell'} \right\},
\]
where \( \mathcal{A} \) is the system area, \( q \) is a momentum within moiré Brillouin zone, \( n_{1,2} \) are moiré band labels in \( +K \)
FIG. 3. (a) $d_+$ and (b) $d_-$ pairings at the atomic scale, where electrons at nearest-neighbor sites are paired with the indicated bond-dependent phase factors. (c) and (d) respectively show the pair amplitudes $\Delta^{(1,+)}(r)$ and $\Delta^{(1,-)}(r)$ in $\Gamma_1$. In (c), $\Delta^{(1,+)}(r)$ normalized by its value at $r = 0$ (AA region center) is real. In (d), $\Delta^{(1,-)}(r)$ also normalized by $\Delta^{(1,+)}(0)$ is complex, and its magnitude and phase are indicated respectively by the color scale and the vectors. (e) Schematic plots of the $\Gamma_1$ pair amplitudes around $r = 0$. (f), (g) and (h) are corresponding plots for pair amplitudes in $\Gamma_2$. valley for one spin component, $\varepsilon_n$ and $|u_n\rangle$ are the corresponding energies and wave functions, $n_F(\varepsilon)$ is the Fermi-Dirac occupation function, and $\mu$ is the chemical potential. The band energy $\varepsilon_n$ is measured relative to the Dirac point. The overlap function $\langle \cdots \rangle_{bf}$ is the layer-resolved matrix element of the combined operator $\exp(i\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{r})\sigma_{\pm}$, where $\sigma_{\pm} = (\sigma_x \pm i\sigma_y)/2$. Note that the time-reversal symmetry of the moiré Hamiltonian has been employed to simplify Eq. 7.

The operator $\sigma_{\pm}$ is closely related to the velocity operator $\hbar\mathbf{v}_\pm = \partial H_\pm / \partial \mathbf{k}$. Near the magic angle, the velocity of the flat bands is strongly suppressed, but the layer counter-flow velocity, which is approximately given by operator $\ell\sigma_{\pm}$, remains large. As a result, the leading $d$-wave instability has pair amplitudes of opposite signs in the two layers: $\Delta^{(d)}_\pm(r) = -\Delta^{(d)}_\mp(r)$.

The superconductivity critical temperature $T_c$ is obtained by requiring that the largest eigenvalue of the pair susceptibility $\chi$ is equal to 1. In Fig. 4(a), we show the theoretical $T_c$ as a function of chemical potential $\mu$ for twist angle $\theta = 1.05^\circ$. The trend of $T_c(\mu)$ does not exactly follow the density of states shown in Fig. 4(b), because all states in the nearly flat band can effectively contribute to the pairing. $T_c$ vanishes at the Dirac point energy ($\mu = 0$), and peaks near the chemical potential at which the lower or upper flat band is half filled. These features of $T_c(\mu)$ are in qualitative agreement with experiments\cite{note}. The maximum $T_c$ in Fig. 4(a) is about 1.2 K, comparable to the experimental values. We note that theoretical $T_c$ depends on the flatness of the moiré bands, and therefore, on the model parameters, which are not known precisely. In the calculation, $\chi$ has been computed by including momenta $b$ up to the third moiré reciprocal lattice vector shell and by retaining only the two flat bands near zero energy because of their high density of states.

The largest eigenvalue of $\chi$ corresponds to two degenerate eigenvectors $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$, where the degeneracy is protected by the point group symmetries and also time-reversal symmetry. The pair amplitudes $[\Delta^{(j,+)}(r), \Delta^{(j,-)}(r)]$ associated with $\lambda_j$ ($j = 1$ and 2) lead to the following mean-field pair potential:

$$
\hat{\Gamma}_j = -4g_A, \int d\mathbf{r} \hat{\Gamma}_j(r),
$$
$$
\hat{\Gamma}_j(r) = \sum_\ell \Delta^{(j,+)}(r) \hat{P}_{\ell+}(r) + \Delta^{(j,-)}(r) \hat{P}_{\ell-}(r).
$$

We distinguish $\hat{\Gamma}_1$ and $\hat{\Gamma}_2$ by the $\tilde{C}_3$ rotational symmetry:

$$
\tilde{C}_3 \hat{\Gamma}_1 \tilde{C}_3^{-1} = e^{i4\pi/3} \hat{\Gamma}_1, \quad \tilde{C}_3 \hat{\Gamma}_2 \tilde{C}_3^{-1} = e^{-i4\pi/3} \hat{\Gamma}_2,
$$

which is realized by requiring that:

$$
\Delta^{(1,+)}(\mathbf{R}_3 r) = \Delta^{(1,+)}(r),
\Delta^{(1,-)}(\mathbf{R}_3 r) = e^{-i2\pi/3} \Delta^{(1,-)}(r),
\Delta^{(2,+)}(\mathbf{R}_3 r) = e^{i2\pi/3} \Delta^{(2,+)}(r),
\Delta^{(2,-)}(\mathbf{R}_3 r) = \Delta^{(2,-)}(r).
$$

\[\text{(10)}\]
We interpret the pair amplitude $\Delta^{(j,\pm)}$ as the envelope wave function for the COMM of the Cooper pair. In the linearized gap equation, Cooper pairs with relative motions $d_+$ and $d_-$ are coupled by adjusting their COMMs according to Eq. (10). The above pairing structures have not been discussed previously to our knowledge, and arise due to the enlarged moiré superlattice, in which the COMM can carry a finite angular momentum without breaking the moiré periodicity. The pair amplitudes $[\Delta^{(1,+)}(r), \Delta^{(1,-)}(r)]$ in $\hat{\Gamma}_1$ are shown in Figs. 3c, d, e). $\Delta^{(1,+)}(r)$ has an s-wave symmetry and peaks near AA regions following the electron density distribution, while $\Delta^{(1,-)}(r)$ has a vortex-antivortex lattice configuration, in which vortices centered around AA, AB and BA regions have vorticity +2, −1 and −1 correspondingly. Therefore, $\Delta^{(1,-)}(r)$ satisfies (10) and preserves the moiré periodicity because of the zero total vorticity. As shown in Figs. 3f, g, h, the pair amplitudes in $\hat{\Gamma}_2$, which is the time reversal partner of $\hat{\Gamma}_1$.

We also calculate $T_c$ by neglecting the coupling between $d_+$ and $d_-$ relative motions, and the maximum $T_c$ calculated in this way is slightly lower than that obtained from the full calculation [Fig. 4(a)], which is consistent with the fact that the vortices are only a perturbative effect.

The pair potentials $\hat{\Gamma}_1$ and $\hat{\Gamma}_2$ form a two-dimensional $E_2$ representation of the $D_6$ point group, and lead to chiral $d$-wave superconductivities, which are time-reversal symmetric breaking and fully gapped as discussed in Sec. V. Linear combinations of $\hat{\Gamma}_1$ and $\hat{\Gamma}_2$ can give rise to nematic $d$-wave superconductivities, which are time-reversal symmetric but break rotational symmetries. Nematic states are gapless as discussed in Sec. VI. Therefore, chiral $d$-wave superconductivities should be favored over nematic states within weak-coupling mean-field theory.

IV. SPONTANEOUS SUPERCURRENT

We demonstrate that the chiral $d$-wave states support spontaneous bulk supercurrent. We first present various current operators. The in-plane current density operator for layer $\ell$ is given by

$$j_{\ell}(r) = (-e_0) \sum_{\tau,s} \hat{\psi}^\dagger_{\tau t s}(r) \mathcal{J}_{\tau t} \hat{\psi}_{\tau t s}(r),$$

where $-e_0$ is the electron charge ($e_0 > 0$) and $\mathcal{J}_{\tau t}$ is the velocity operator that acts in the sublattice space:

$$\mathcal{J}_{\tau t} = \frac{\partial h_{\tau t}(k)}{\hbar \partial k} = v_F e^{-i \tau \tau'^{\sigma_2} (\sigma_2 \sigma_1, \sigma_1)} e^{i \tau \tau'^{\sigma_2} \sigma_1}.$$ (12)

Note that $\mathcal{J}_{\tau t}$ derived from the Dirac Hamiltonian is independent of momentum and position. $j_\ell(r)$ is a two-component vector representing the in-plane current flow in layer $\ell$, and has the unit of current per length. The total in-plane current $\hat{j}_\parallel$ is the sum of $j_\parallel$ and $j_\perp$.

The interlayer tunneling leads to the following out-of-plane current density operator:

$$j_z(r) = (-e_0) \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{\tau,s} \sum_{\tau',s'} \hat{\psi}^\dagger_{\tau t s}(r) T_{\tau}(r) \hat{\psi}_{\tau' t s'}(r) - h.c.$$

where $j_z$ has a unit of current per area.

We calculate the current density $\langle j_{\parallel}(r) \rangle$ in the chiral $d$-wave states using a perturbation theory by expanding the Green’s function in a power series of the pair amplitudes $\Delta$. We only retain the leading-order contributions, and $\langle j_{\parallel}(r) \rangle$ is then proportional to $\Delta^s \Delta$, as required by gauge invariance. The bulk current distribution calculated in this way for the $\hat{\Gamma}_1$ pair potential is illustrated in Fig. 5. Because the current is evaluated for the superconducting state, it is supercurrent without dissipation. The in-plane current in bottom and top layers flows respectively out-of and in-to the centers of AA regions, and nearly compensates each other. This current pattern is closely related to the layer counter-flow velocity in the nearly flat moiré bands. The total in-plane current $\langle j_\parallel(r) \rangle$ is finite and circulates around $\hat{z}$ axis. The out-of-plane current pattern is consistent with the in-plane current flow. Moreover, we numerically find that the current continuity is satisfied $\langle j_z(r) \rangle = -\nabla \cdot \langle j_\parallel(r) \rangle = \nabla \cdot \langle j_\parallel(r) \rangle$. Overall, the current flow can be decomposed into two components. One component is the circulation around the $\hat{z}$ axis as shown in Fig. 5(c), and another component is the current circulation between the two layers.

The current flow pattern is characterized by two different moments, the magnetic dipole moment $m$ and the

FIG. 4. (a) Critical temperature $T_c$ for $d$-wave pairing as a function of the chemical potential $\mu$. The solid and dashed lines are obtained with and without considering the coupling between $d_+$ and $d_-$ pairings. (b) Density of states per spin and per valley as a function of energy. The vertical dashed lines in (a) and (b) show the chemical potential at which the lower or upper flat band is half filled. The twist angle is 1.05°.
magnetic toroidal dipole moment $t$ as defined below\cite{33}.

\begin{align}
\mathbf{m} &= \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} [\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r})], \\
t &= \frac{1}{10} \int d\mathbf{r} [\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r})) - 2r^2 j(\mathbf{r})].
\end{align}

Because the total bulk current vanishes, both $\mathbf{m}$ and $t$ are extensive quantities proportional to the total number of moiré unit cells. For the current distribution in Fig. 5, $\mathbf{m}$ and $t$ per moiré unit cell are respectively about $8 \times 10^{-3} \mu_B \hat{z}$ and $-2Ad_z \mu_B \hat{z}$, where $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton and $d_z$ is the interlayer vertical distance of TBLG. $\mathbf{m}$ and $t$ scale as $\Delta^* \Delta$, and their exact values therefore depend on the pair amplitudes. The above numbers should be viewed as an order of magnitude estimation. The magnetic dipole moment $\mathbf{m}$ could be detected by magnetization measurement, while the toroidal dipole moment $t$ could lead to magnetoelastic effect\cite{33}.

Our calculation of the supercurrent has been initially motivated by the vortex structure in the pair amplitudes. However, even if the vortices in the pair amplitudes are neglected theoretically, for example by taking $\Delta_{\pm}^{(1,\pm)}$ in $\Gamma_1$ to be zero, we find that the bulk circulating supercurrent remains qualitatively the same. The supercurrent is therefore not directed tied to the vortices, although both are new phenomena that arise due to the enlarged moiré superlattice.

V. TOPOLOGICAL CHIRAL $d$-WAVE SUPERCONDUCTOR

We study the gap structure and topological characters of the $d$-wave superconducting states. The mean-field Hamiltonian for spin-singlet superconductivity can be generally written as:

$$H_{MF} = \int d\mathbf{r} [\hat{\xi}^\dagger H_{BdG} \hat{\xi} + \hat{\zeta}^\dagger H_{BdG} \hat{\zeta}],$$

where the subscripts $\pm$ of $\hat{\psi}$ again refer to valleys, and $\uparrow$ and $\downarrow$ still label spins, but the layer and sublattice indices are suppressed for conciseness. The Bogoliubov Gennes (BdG) Hamiltonians $H_{BdG}$ for $\hat{\xi}$ and $\hat{\zeta}$ are the same, which reflects the spin SU(2) symmetry of the spin singlet superconductivity.

In momentum space, $H_{BdG}$ can be organized as follows:

$$H_{BdG}(\mathbf{q}) = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & \Lambda^1 \\ \Lambda^\dagger & -H_0(\mathbf{q}) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{q}$ is the momentum within the moiré Brillouin zone, $H_0(\mathbf{q})$ is the moiré Hamiltonian (including chemical potential term) in valley $+K$, while the moiré Hamiltonian in valley $-K$ after performing the particle-hole transformation is given by $-H_0(\mathbf{q})$. The off-diagonal terms $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^1$ are matrix representation of the pair po-
tentials. In our case, \( \Lambda \) is independent of \( q \) because the pairing interaction in \( \hat{\mathcal{H}} \) is local in space.

It is instructive to project \( H_{BdG}(q) \) to states on the Fermi surface, leading to the following \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix:

\[
\hat{H}_{BdG}(q) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Delta(q) \\ \Delta^*(q) & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( \Delta(q) = \langle u(q)|\Delta|u(q)\rangle \), and \( |u(q)\rangle \) is a state with momentum \( q \) on the Fermi surface. \( \Delta(q) \) is generally referred to as the gap function. If the pair potential is time-reversal symmetric, then \( \Lambda = \Lambda^\dagger \) and therefore, \( \Delta(q) \) is real; otherwise, \( \Delta(q) \) is generally complex.

We apply the above discussion to chiral \( d \)-wave states. Fig. 6(a) shows the band structure of \( H_{BdG}(q) \) determined by using the chemical potential for half-filled lower flat band and the \( \Gamma_1 \) pair potential with pair amplitudes shown in Figs. 3(c, d); Fig. 6(b) illustrates the corresponding gap function \( \Delta(q) \) on the Fermi surface, which indicates that the chiral \( d \)-wave state is fully gapped and that the phase of \( \Delta(q) \) changes by \( 4\pi \) when \( q \) moves along the Fermi surface once. These features are generally expected for chiral \( d \)-wave states.

To characterize the topological property, we calculate the Berry curvature for all occupied bands in Fig. 6(c). The corresponding Berry curvature, as shown in Fig. 3(c), is strongly peaked near the Fermi surface of the normal state. The Chern number of \( H_{BdG}(q) \), obtained by integrating the Berry curvature in Fig. 6(c), is equal to \(-2\); the total Chern number is then \(-4\) after taking into account of the spin degeneracy. Table I summarizes the dependence of the total Chern number on the chemical potential and the pairing potential, which is reminiscent of the topological character of a model Hamiltonian for chiral \( d \)-wave superconductivity in monolayer graphene. At \( \mu = 0 \) (Dirac point energy), the energy spectrum of \( H_{BdG}(q) \) remains gapless at \( \kappa_\ell \) points even if the pair amplitudes in \( \hat{\Gamma}_1 \) or \( \hat{\Gamma}_2 \) are finite, which explains the abrupt change of the Chern number as \( \mu \) crosses 0.

VI. NEMATIC \( d \)-WAVE SUPERCONDUCTOR

Linear combinations of \( \hat{\Gamma}_1 \) and \( \hat{\Gamma}_2 \) can lead to nematic \( d \)-wave pairings, of which two independent basis functions are given by:

\[
\hat{\Gamma}_x = i(\hat{\Gamma}_1 - \hat{\Gamma}_2)/\sqrt{2}, \quad \hat{\Gamma}_y = (\hat{\Gamma}_1 + \hat{\Gamma}_2)/\sqrt{2}.
\]

\( \hat{\Gamma}_x \) and \( \hat{\Gamma}_y \) transform respectively as \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) and \( d_{xy} \) under \( \hat{C}_6 \) rotation. A generic nematic pair potential can be parametrized as:

\[
\hat{\Gamma}_\eta = \eta_x \hat{\Gamma}_x + \eta_y \hat{\Gamma}_y,
\]

where \( \eta = (\eta_x, \eta_y) \) is the nematic director. A superconductor with the nematic pair potential preserves time-reversal symmetry but breaks rotational symmetry, which is characterized by an nematic order parameter:

\[
\mathcal{N} = (|\eta_x|^2 - |\eta_y|^2, 2\eta_x \eta_y + \eta_y \eta_x).
\]

Because \( \hat{\Gamma}_\eta \) is time-reversal symmetric, there is no spontaneous bulk supercurrent and the corresponding gap function \( \Delta_\eta(q) \) is real. On the other hand, \( \Delta_\eta(q) \) integrated over the Fermi surface (FS) vanishes:

\[
\int_{q \in FS} dq \Delta_\eta(q) = 0.
\]

Table I. The dependence of the total Chern number on the chemical potential and the pairing potential. \( \varepsilon_{\gamma,+} \) is the upper (+) or lower (−) flat band energy at \( \gamma \) point.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \varepsilon_{\gamma,\pm} )</th>
<th>( \mu )</th>
<th>( \Delta_\eta(q) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_{\gamma,+} )</td>
<td>( \mu &lt; 0 )</td>
<td>( -4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_{\gamma,-} )</td>
<td>( 0 \leq \mu &lt; \varepsilon_{\gamma,+} )</td>
<td>( +4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \hat{\Gamma}_1 )</td>
<td>( -4 )</td>
<td>( +4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \hat{\Gamma}_2 )</td>
<td>( +4 )</td>
<td>( -4 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIG. 7. (a) Gap function $\Delta_y(q)$ (blue curve) for the nematic pair potential $\hat{\Gamma}_y$ on the Fermi surface (yellow curve). $\Delta_y(q)$ is real and crosses zeros at the four red points. (a) Gap function $\Delta_y(q)$ for the pair potential $\hat{\Gamma}_y$. $\Delta_y(q)$ has six point nodes. The point node 4 can be annihilated by node 3 or 5 when the nematic director $\eta$ is slightly away from $\hat{y}$ axis. Parameters used in the calculation are the same as those in Fig. 6.

Therefore, $\Delta_\eta(q)$ must have point nodes on the Fermi surface, as illustrated in Fig. 7. $\Delta_x(q)$ of the pair potential $\hat{\Gamma}_x$ has four point nodes. However, $\Delta_y(q)$ of the pair potential $\hat{\Gamma}_y$ has six point nodes, two of which annihilate each other when $\eta$ deviates slightly away from $\hat{y}$ axis.

Chiral and nematic superconducting order parameters have degenerate superconducting transition temperature $T_c$, as they belong to the same $E_2$ representation. Because of the different gap structure, chiral states are energetically more favored below $T_c$ in a weak-coupling mean-field theory that only takes into account superconductivity instability.

An external uniaxial strain breaks the six-fold rotational symmetry, and therefore, lifts the two-fold degeneracy between $\hat{\Gamma}_x$ and $\hat{\Gamma}_y$. The uniaxial strain tensor $\epsilon_{ij}$ couples linearly to the nematic order parameter $N$, and extrinsically stabilizes nematic superconductivity near $T_c$. A recent theoretical work has proposed that nematic superconductivity can be intrinsically stabilized by density wave fluctuations.

VII. DISCUSSION


Our work leads to a distinct yet concrete example, in which Kekulé distortion (the $\pm K$ optical phonon modes) induces $d$-wave pairing without the need of real spin-orbit coupling. On the other hand, phonon mechanism is not truly required to our findings on the properties of $d$-wave states, because other mechanisms could also lead to similar local pairing Hamiltonian as Eq. (4).

In summary, we have studied the transition temperature, pairing order parameters, gap structure and topological character of $d$-wave superconductivity in TBLG. The presence of spontaneous vortices and supercurrent could be a very generic effect for multicomponent superconductivity in a superlattice structure, and a theory that includes electron microscopic motion within the superlattice is crucial to capture this physics.
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