Layer dependent antiferromagnetism in the Sr₄Ru₃O₁₀ ruthenate at the metamagnetic-like transition
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Abstract
We have investigated the nature of the metamagnetic-like transition in the triple layer ruthenate Sr₄Ru₃O₁₀ by means of neutron diffraction from single crystals. The magnetic structure of the compound is likely to be determined in a complex way by the two sublattices of inequivalent ruthenium ions. At Tₗ=105K the system has a sharp transition into a ferromagnetic state along z driven by the ruthenia in the central octahedra of the triple layers whereas the sublattice of the outer ruthenium tend to align in the ab plane achieving an antiferromagnetic order at the metamagnetic transition T*≈50K. Below T* the strong anisotropy along c prevails, the outer ruthenium align along the c-axis and the in plane antiferromagnetic contribution disappears. This finding confirms the delicate balance between antiferro and ferromagnetic couplings in the (Sr,Ca)ₙ₊₁RuₙO₃n₊₁ family of compounds, and proves the layer dependence of the magnetic anisotropy in Sr₄Ru₃O₁₀.

PACS numbers 74.70Pq, 75.25.j, 61.05.F-

1. Introduction

The triple layer Sr₄Ru₃O₁₀ belongs to the Ruddlesden-Popper perovskite ruthenates (Sr,Ca)ₙ₊₁RuₙO₃n₊₁, a family of 4d transition-metal oxides whose magnetic and electronic properties are sensitively dependent on the layer number n and on the structural distortions induced by substitution of Ca for Sr.

For instance, the single-layer Sr₂RuO₄ (n = 1) shows an unconventional superconducting state [1], whereas the ground state of the double-layer Sr₃Ru₂O₇ (n = 2) is a Fermi liquid close to a ferromagnetic instability [2]. The three-dimensional SrRuO₃ (n = ∞) is a ferromagnetic metal with a Curie temperature Tc = 160 K [3,4].

On the other hand, the calcium ruthenate Ca₂RuO₄ (n = 1) is an antiferromagnetic Mott insulator with a Neel temperature Tₙ ~ 110 K [5], while Ca₃Ru₂O₇ (n = 2) exhibits a quasi-two-dimensional metallic behavior and becomes antiferromagnetic below Tₙ ~ 56 K [6,7]. Last, CaRuO₃ is a paramagnetic metal [8,9].

The triple layer Sr₄Ru₃O₁₀, the least studied member of the family, is attracting an increasing interest. Magnetic susceptibility measurements reveal that Sr₄Ru₃O₁₀ undergoes a paramagnetic-ferromagnetic transition at Tc = 105 K, below which the easy axis is along the c direction [10]; a minor transition is observed at around 50K et it is referred to as the metamagnetic transition because below this temperature the magnetisation shows a sudden increase at a magnetic field of about 2 T. The low temperature magnetic structure (T=1.5K) as resolved by neutron diffraction has a magnetic propagation vector K=000 with all magnetic moments aligned along the c axis [11]. A clear anomaly at T*≈50 K is also observed with neutrons
in the temperature dependence of the Bragg peaks [11]. Intriguingly, while this minor transition is a well pronounced feature in the magnetic susceptibility at $T^* = 50$ K [10,12] and it shows as a dip in the temperature dependence of the neutron diffraction reflections [11], it does not appear in the specific heat curves [13]. Although several distinct scenarios have been proposed to account for the anomaly at $T^*$, its intrinsic character remains an open question. The hypothesis of a ferromagnetic axis slightly tilted in the $ab$-plane at around $T^*$ has recently been put forward to explain the occurrence of magnetic scattering observed with neutron diffraction in the $ab$ plane [14]; however such a model does not fit entirely the experimental observations and considerations that we report in this paper. On the basis of symmetry analysis and neutron measurements we propose a different scenario in which the metamagnetic transition would stem from an antiferromagnetic ordering in the sublattice of the ruthenia in the outer octahedra of the triple layers.

1. Results and discussion

The experiments were carried out at the Institut Laue Langevin in Grenoble on the crystal diffractometer D10 which is equiped with a bidimensional detector. A wavelength of 2.36 Å was chosen in order to better measure the magnetic reflections. The single crystal of dimensions $\sim 3 \times 2 \times 0.5$ mm$^3$ was grown with the floating zone technique as described elsewhere [15]. The system crystallizes in the primitive Pbam space group [10] with a rather long $c$ axis~28 Å. The magnetically active part of the unit cell consists of four blocks of trilayers of ruthenia which are octahedrally coordinated with oxygens: two of such blocks are centred in the basal plane, at $z=0$ with the outer ruthenia (i.e. the ruthenia in the external octahedra of the triple layer) situated at the position $\pm z_2$ along the $c$ axis; the two other trilayers are located at $z=0.5$ (with the outer ruthenia at $\pm z_3$). The inner ruthenia (i.e. the ruthenia in the central octahedra of the triple layers) are therefore at $z_1=0$ and $z_4=0.5$.

Each cell contains four formula units with a total of 12 ruthenia which are inequivalent for crystallographic and physical reasons; first they occupy four different Wyckoff sites, the two inner ruthenia (Ru_in) being in more symmetric sites with molteplicity 2 and the two outer ruthenia (Ru_out) having each molteplicity 4. Moreover at room temperature the outer octahedra are slightly elongated contrary to the inner ones which are regular octahedra [10]. Finally, the inner octahedra are more rotated than the external ones, with an angle of rotation above the critical angle for ferromagnetism [16]. As a consequence, the Ru_in are supposed to be more prone to ferromagnetism than the Ru_out. This is reflected in the value of the ferromagnetic moment along the $z$ axis on the two sites, which has been measured with neutron scattering at low temperature (1.5K) yielding 1.59 $\mu_B$ on the inner ruthenia and 0.92 $\mu_B$ on the outer ones [11]. The magnetic structure at low temperature is a ferromagnetic state with all ruthenia in the $\Gamma_4$ irreducible representation, with modes $f_z$ and $F_z$ on the inner and outer ruthenia respectively [11]. However, the magnetic structure at the metamagnetic temperature $T^* = 50$K is still not entirely clear. Given the diversity of the inner and outer ruthenia, it can be speculated that the different sites are likely responsible for the two observed transitions at $T_C = 105$ K and at $T^* = 50$K. In a recent report [14], neutron scattering experiments have been able to ascertain the presence of a
magnetic signal in the ab plane about T* based on the observation of additional intensity in the (002), (006) and (008) reflections. The hypothesis of a ferromagnetic axis slightly tilted in the ab-plane at around T* has been put forward to explain the occurrence of magnetism in the ab plane but no explanation has been provided for the absence of the (004) reflection. We believe instead that the lack of intensity in the (004) be an important key to solving the puzzle. Indeed, if the magnetic coupling in the ab plane was of ferromagnetic nature, then the magnetic contribution to the Bragg reflections would be comparable for the (004) and for the (002) reflection because for ferromagnetic modes the magnetic structure factor would be proportional to \(\cos[\pi(z_2+z_3)]\cos[\pi(z_2-z_3)]\). Our measurements (Figure 1) show however that this is not the case, on the contrary at around T* the reflection (002) is much more intense than the (004), and this difference is well beyond the attenuation of the magnetic scattering by the magnetic form factor at these two close scattering vectors [17].

We argue that an antiferromagnetic ordering of the type A_xG_y on exclusively the outer ruthenia (corresponding to the irreducible representation \(\Gamma_8\) [11] can account for the great difference in the intensity of the two reflections. In the irreducible representation \(\Gamma_8\) the inner ruthenia do not carry a magnetic moment whereas the outer ruthenia have a A_xG_y ordering, i.e. an A mode along x, a G mode along y and zero moment along z: +M_x,M_y, 0; -M_x,-M_y, 0; +M_x,-M_y, 0; -M_x,+M_y, 0). The square magnetic structure factor for the (00l) reflections, (assuming that the ruthenia at \(z_2\) and \(z_3\) be in phase) would write:

\[|F(00l)|^2 = 16M_y^2_{\text{out}} \cos^2[\pi(z_2+z_3)] \sin^2[\pi(z_2-z_3)] \sim 16M_y^2_{\text{out}} \sin^2[0.22\pi]\]

where \(M_y_{\text{out}}\) is the component along the y axis of the moment on the outer ruthenia.

In this model the ratio of the measured intensities is well described, particularly for the (002) and the (004) for which we have a better signal to noise ratio but also for the (006), (008) and (0010) (Figure 2). In reflections with higher \(l\) the small antiferromagnetic contribution is strongly attenuated by the ruthenium magnetic form factor.

We have mainly focussed on the (00l) reflections because they are sensitive only to the components of the magnetic moments in the ab plane and do not probe the components along z. As a consequence, the additional intensity at T* adds to a signal which is purely nuclear. It is worth stressing that this additional intensity peaks at T* and vanishes as the temperature is lowered to T=1.5 K. This behaviour rules out the possibility of a canted structure [18] (ferromagnetic modes along z but antiferromagnetically canted in the ab plane ) at T=1.5 K because the signal at T* does not persist down to 1.5K. In Table 2 we compare the intensities of the main magnetic reflections as calculated with the program Fullprof [19] in the case of the only two candidate irreducible representations that contain in plane magnetism: the \(\Gamma_2\) which involves also ferromagnetism in the ab plane and the \(\Gamma_8\) which has antiferromagnetism in the ab plane but does not allow any contribution from the inner ruthenia. All the other irreducible representation had to be discarded since they yield calculated intensities I(hkl) at odds with the experimental observations, for instance the \(\Gamma_5\) would give I(002)<I(004), whilst the \(\Gamma_6\) and \(\Gamma_7\) would yield vanishing intensities for all the (00l) reflections. The
quality of our data which have been collected on a rather small crystal is not sufficient to solve quantitatively the magnetic structure at T* but we have nonetheless a clear indication that at T* an antiferromagnetic order sets in being triggered either by temperature or by some other effect. As previously reported [11, 18], in Sr₄Ru₃O₁₀ there is a clear correlation between magnetic and structural effects, in particular the metamagnetic transition occurs at a temperature T*=50K for which the cell volume has a sharp minimum. Whilst the a parameter has a monotonic dependence on temperature, the c axis decreases down to 50K [11] and is clearly much more affected by temperature and or magnetism. Theoretical calculations have pointed out that in the regime of c-axis elongated, the spin–orbit coupling in the RuO₆ octahedra would tend to favour magnetic correlations along the c-direction, while for in-plane elongated octahedra the orbital occupation would cooperate with the spin–orbit for inducing local spin moments in the ab-plane [20,21].

Table 1 Intensities in arbitrary units of the main magnetic reflections in Sr₄Ru₄O₁₀ as calculated with the program Fullprof for the two models corresponding to the irreducible representations Γ₂ and Γ₈. As already reported [11], the IrRep Γ₈ does not allow a magnetic moment on the inner ruthenia, Ru1 and Ru4 (Ru_in) but only on the outer ruthenia, Ru2 and Ru3 (Ru_out).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I(hkl)/Γ</th>
<th>Ru1 Ru4</th>
<th>Ru2 Ru3</th>
<th>002</th>
<th>004</th>
<th>006</th>
<th>008</th>
<th>110</th>
<th>111</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Γ₂</td>
<td>aₓ fᵧ -</td>
<td>Fₓ Cᵧ -</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Γ₈</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Aₓ Gᵧ -</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consistently, the temperature dependence of the (220) reflection (see Figure 3) shows a clear deep at around T* indicating that the total Mₓ component of the magnetic moments is sensibly reduced at the temperature where the Mᵧ component is maximised and the c axis shorter.

On the basis of these considerations, one can envisage a scenario in which the two sublattices of inner and outer ruthenia act partly as independent players in determining the magnetic order in Sr₄Ru₃O₁₀. At T_c=105K the sublattice of the inner ruthenia orders ferromagnetically along z whilst the outer ruthenia would tend to align antiferromagnetically in the ab plane. This ab antiferromagnetic ordering is achieved at T* where the c axis has a minimum [11] and the anisotropy along c is reduced. At around T* the irreducible representation
\( \Gamma_4 \) (ferromagnetism along \( z \)) coexists thus with the \( \Gamma_8 \) describing an antiferromagnetic order of the type \( A_xG_y \) uniquely in the sublattice of the outer ruthenia. In other words, below \( T_C \) the antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic interactions are in competition, with the inner ruthenium more constraint along \( z \) and the outer ruthenium more free to bend away from the easy axis. As the temperature is lowered to 2K, the \( c \) axis becomes longer and the stronger anisotropy along \( z \) forces all ruthenium to line up along \( c \). Such a scenario is further supported by polarised neutron measurements [20] conducted with an external field applied in the \( ab \) plane. In the magnetisation maps [20], the outer ruthenium appear to be sensibly more magnetised at 65K than at 2K whereas the inner ruthenium stayed unchanged throughout the metamagnetic temperature \( T^* \). The (004) reflection is again a good test of what happens at \( T^* \): unlike the other reflections, it goes from a negative to a positive value on going throughout \( T^* \) [20] which, in a regime of in plane polarisation, indicate a stronger anisotropy along \( c \) for \( T<T^* \).

Furthermore, with unpolarised neutrons we also see that if a magnetic field is applied in the \( ab \) plane (Figure 4) the magnetic moment in the [1-1 \( z \)] plane, as probed by the (220) reflection, is almost unaffected by the field at low temperature (1.5K) whilst it is significantly reduced at 70K just above the metamagnetic transition \( T^* \) suggesting that at this temperature some magnetic moments are intrinsically more prone to lean away from the \( c \)-axis and to bend into the \( ab \) plane.

Antiferromagnetic correlations at intermediate temperatures are not unusual in ruthenates. As an example, in the bilayered compound \( \text{Sr}_3\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_7 \) magnetic fluctuations have been detected and shown to evolve from a ferromagnetic position to an incommensurate antiferromagnetic vector as the the temperature decreases from 115K to 15 K [22]. Similarly in the superconducting single layer \( \text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4 \), antiferromagnetic fluctuations were likewise observed at low temperature at an incommensurate position in the hh0 direction [23]. In the triple layer \( \text{Sr}_4\text{Ru}_3\text{O}_{10} \) the anomaly observed in the specific heat at \( T_C=105K \) [13] is an order of magnitude smaller than expected for a complete spin ordering corroborating a picture in which only the inner ruthenium contribute initially to the ferromagnetic transition whilst the outer ones tend to be antiferromagnetically ordered. There exists therefore a delicate balance between ferro and antiferromagnetic interactions in ruthenates, and this balance can be altered by temperature or external pressure as recently shown in \( \text{Sr}_4\text{Ru}_3\text{O}_{10} \) with resistivity measurements [24].

Unlike \( \text{Sr}_3\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_7, \text{Sr}_4\text{Ru}_3\text{O}_{10} \) orders at a relatively high Curie temperature but the step observed in the \( ab \) magnetisation [10] in the triple-layer is reminiscent of a similar feature observed in the paramagnetic bilayered material \( \text{Sr}_3\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_7 \) [25] so that the presence of antiferromagnetic interactions and eventually long range order is a very plausible picture (Figure 5) and agrees with the anisotropic behaviour of the susceptibility which, below \( T^* \), depends strongly on the direction of the applied field [10].
Figure 1 The intensity of the (002) Bragg reflections (left scale) and the (004) (right scale) as a function of temperature, as measured on the D10 diffractometer at ILL. Unlike the (002), the (004) does not show much additional intensity at the metamagnetic transition $T^* \approx 50$K.

Figure 2 The intensity of the (006) Bragg reflections (left scale) and the (0010) (right scale) as a function of temperature, as measured on the D10 diffractometer at ILL. The small size of the crystal explains the noise in the measured intensity of the weak magnetic signal of the (006) reflection.

Figure 3 The intensity of the Bragg reflections (220) as a function of temperature, as measured on the D10 diffractometer at ILL.

Figure 4 The intensity of the Bragg reflections (220) as a function of an $ab$ applied magnetic field, as measured on the D10 diffractometer at ILL. The upper curve was taken below the metamagnetic transition at $T^*$ and the lower curve above $T^*$. 
Figure 5a: Magnetic structure at $T=2K$ [11]. Both sublattices of ruthenia, inner and outer, are in the $\Gamma_4$ irreducible representations for the magnetic modes. The $c$ axis is the long one.

Figure 5b: Proposed magnetic structure at $T^*=50K$. The sublattice of inner ruthenia is in the $\Gamma_4$ irreducible representations. The outer ruthenia are in the $\Gamma_8$. In the inset, the cell volume as a function of temperature shows a sharp minimum at the metamagnetic transition at $T^*=50K$.

Conclusions

Using neutron diffraction we have tried to clarify the origin of the metamagnetic transition in the triple layer ruthenate $\text{Sr}_4\text{Ru}_3\text{O}_{10}$. The two sublattices consisting of the inner and outer ruthenia of the trilayeres seem to have a different temperature evolution around the metamagnetic temperature, in particular the antiferromagnetic order appearing around $T^*$ would seem to be driven only by the outer ruthenia which order in the $GxAy$ mode with the trilayers at the face centre in phase with the blocks located in the basal plane. The sublattice of the inner ruthenia does not contribute to the antiferromagnetic order but are ferromagnetically ordered along the $c$-axis.
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