

# Note on Lagrangian-Eulerian Methods for Uniqueness in Hydrodynamic Systems

Peter Constantin <sup>\*1</sup> and Joonhyun La <sup>†1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of mathematics, Princeton University

March 3, 2022

## Abstract

We discuss the Lagrangian-Eulerian framework for hydrodynamic models and provide a proof of Lipschitz dependence of solutions on initial data in path space. The paper presents a corrected version of the result in [1].

## 1 Introduction

Many hydrodynamical systems consist of evolution equations for fluid velocities forced by external stresses, coupled to evolution equations for the external stresses. In the simplest cases, the Eulerian velocity  $u$  can be recovered from the stresses  $\sigma$  via a linear operator

$$u = \mathbb{U}(\sigma) \tag{1}$$

and the stress matrix  $\sigma$  obeys a transport and stretching equation of the form

$$\partial_t \sigma + u \cdot \nabla \sigma = F(\nabla u, \sigma),$$

where  $F$  is a nonlinear coupling depending on the model. The Eulerian velocity gradient is obtained in terms of the operator

$$\nabla_x u = \mathbb{G}(\sigma), \tag{2}$$

and, in many cases,  $\mathbb{G}$  is bounded in Hölder spaces of low regularity. Then, passing to Lagrangian variables,

$$\tau = \sigma \circ X$$

where  $X$  is the particle path transformation  $X(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , a volume preserving diffeomorphism, the system becomes

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t X = \mathcal{U}(X, \tau), \\ \partial_t \tau = \mathcal{T}(X, \tau). \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}(X, \tau) &= \mathbb{U}(\tau \circ X^{-1}) \circ X, \\ \mathcal{T}(X, \tau) &= F(\mathbb{G}(\tau \circ X^{-1}) \circ X, \tau). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

In particular,  $\tau$  solves an ODE

$$\frac{d}{dt} \tau = F(g, \tau) \tag{5}$$

where  $g = \nabla_x u \circ X$  is of the same order of magnitude as  $\tau$  in appropriate spaces, and so the size of  $\tau$  is readily estimated from the information provided by the ODE model, analysis of  $\mathbb{G}$  and of the operation of composition with  $X$ . The main additional observation that leads to Lipschitz dependence

---

<sup>\*</sup>const@math.princeton.edu

<sup>†</sup>joonhyun@math.princeton.edu

in path space is that derivatives with respect to parameters of expressions of the type encountered in the Lagrangian evolution (4),

$$\mathbb{U}(\tau \circ X^{-1}) \circ X, \quad \mathbb{G}(\tau \circ X^{-1}) \circ X,$$

introduce commutators, and these are well behaved in spaces of relatively low regularity. The Lagrangian-Eulerian method of [2] formalized these considerations leading to uniqueness and Lipschitz dependence on initial data in path space, with application to several examples including incompressible 2D and 3D Euler equations, the surface quasi-geostrophic equation (SQG), the incompressible porous medium equation, the incompressible Boussinesq system, and the Oldroyd-B system coupled with the steady Stokes system. In all these examples the operators  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $\mathbb{G}$  are time-independent.

The paper [1] considered time-dependent cases. When the operators  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $\mathbb{G}$  are time-dependent, in contrast to the time-independent cases studied in [2],  $\mathbb{G}$  is not necessarily bounded in  $L^\infty(0, T; C^\alpha)$ . This was addressed in [1] by using a Hölder continuity  $\sigma \in C^\beta(0, T; C^\alpha)$ . While this treated the Eulerian issue, it was tacitly used but never explicitly stated in [1] that this kind of Hölder continuity is transferred to  $\sigma$  from  $\tau$  by composition with a smooth time-depending diffeomorphism close to the identity. This is false. In fact, we can easily give examples of  $C^\alpha$  functions  $\tau$  which are time-independent (hence analytic in time with values in  $C^\alpha$ ) and diffeomorphisms  $X(t)(a) = a + vt$  with constant  $v$ , such that  $\sigma = \tau \circ X^{-1}$  is not continuous in  $C^\alpha$  as a function of time. In this paper we present a correct version of the results in [1]. Instead of relying on the time regularity of  $\tau$  alone, we also use the fact that  $\mathbb{G}$  is composed from a time-independent bounded operator and an operator whose kernel is smooth and rapidly decaying in space. Then the time singularity is resolved by using the Lipschitz dependence in  $L^1$  of Schwartz functions composed with smoothly varying diffeomorphisms near the identity.

A typical example of the systems we can treat is the Oldroyd-B system coupled with Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \nu \Delta u = \mathbb{H}(\operatorname{div}(\sigma - u \otimes u)), \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \\ \partial_t \sigma + u \cdot \nabla \sigma = (\nabla u) \sigma + \sigma (\nabla u)^T - 2k\sigma + 2\rho K((\nabla u) + (\nabla u)^T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \sigma(x, 0) = \sigma_0(x). \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Here  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, T)$ . The Leray-Hodge projector  $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{I} + R \otimes R$  is given in terms of the Riesz transforms  $R = (R_1, \dots, R_d)$ , and  $\nu, \rho K, k$  are fixed positive constants. This system is viscoelastic, and the behavior of the solution depends on the history of its deformation.

The non-resistive MHD system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \nu \Delta u = \mathbb{H}(\operatorname{div}(b \otimes b - u \otimes u)), \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot b = 0, \\ \partial_t b + u \cdot \nabla b = (\nabla u)b, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), b(x, 0) = b_0(x). \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

can also be treated by this method. The systems (6) and (7) have been studied extensively, and a review of the literature is beyond the scope of this paper.

## 2 The Lagrangian-Eulerian formulation

We show calculations for (6) in order to be explicit, and because the calculations for (7) are entirely similar. The solution map for  $u(x, t)$  of (6) is

$$u(x, t) = \mathbb{L}_\nu(u_0)(x, t) + \int_0^t g_{\nu(t-s)} * (\mathbb{H}(\operatorname{div}(\sigma - u \otimes u)))(x, s) ds. \quad (8)$$

where

$$\mathbb{L}_\nu(u_0)(x, t) = g_{\nu t} * u_0(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{(4\pi\nu t)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4\nu t}} u_0(y) dy. \quad (9)$$

Throughout the paper we use

$$g_{\nu t}(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi\nu t)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4\nu t}}.$$

The velocity gradient satisfies

$$(\nabla u)(x, t) = \mathbb{L}_\nu(\nabla u_0)(x, t) + \int_0^t (g_{\nu(t-s)} * (\mathbb{H}\nabla \operatorname{div}(\sigma - u \otimes u)))(x, s) ds. \quad (10)$$

We denote the Eulerian velocity and gradient operators

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{U}(f)(x, t) = \int_0^t (g_{\nu(t-s)} * \mathbb{H}\operatorname{div} f)(x, s) ds, \\ \mathbb{G}(f)(x, t) = \int_0^t (g_{\nu(t-s)} * \mathbb{H}\nabla \operatorname{div} f)(x, s) ds. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Note that for a second order tensor  $f$ ,  $\mathbb{G}(f) = \nabla_x \mathbb{U}(f) = R \otimes R(\mathbb{U}(\nabla_x f))$ . Let  $X$  be the Lagrangian path diffeomorphism,  $v$  the Lagrangian velocity, and  $\tau$  the Lagrangian added stress,

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = u \circ X, \\ \tau &= \sigma \circ X. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We also set

$$\begin{aligned} g(a, t) &= (\nabla u)(X(a, t), t) = \mathbb{L}_\nu(\nabla u_0) \circ X(a, t) \\ &+ \mathbb{G}(\tau \circ X^{-1}) \circ X(a, t) - \mathbb{U}(\nabla_x((v \otimes v) \circ X^{-1})) \circ X(a, t). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

In Lagrangian variables the system is

$$\begin{cases} X(a, t) = a + \int_0^t \mathcal{V}(X, \tau, a, s) ds, \\ \tau(a, t) = \sigma_0(a) + \int_0^t \mathcal{T}(X, \tau, a, s) ds, \\ v(a, t) = \mathcal{V}(X, \tau, t) \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

where the Lagrangian nonlinearities  $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T}$  are

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{V}(X, \tau, a, s) = \mathbb{L}_\nu(u_0) \circ X(a, s) + (\mathbb{U}((\tau - v \otimes v) \circ X^{-1})) \circ X(a, s), \\ \mathcal{T}(X, \tau, a, s) = (g\tau + \tau g^T - 2k\tau + 2\rho K(g + g^T))(a, s), \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

and  $g$  is defined above in (13). The main result of the paper is

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ , be given. Let also  $v_1(0) = u_1(0) \in C^{1+\alpha, p}$  and  $v_2(0) = u_2(0) \in C^{1+\alpha, p}$  be given divergence-free initial velocities, and  $\sigma_1(0), \sigma_2(0) \in C^{\alpha, p}$  be given initial stresses. Then there exists  $T_0 > 0$  and  $C > 0$  depending on the norms of the initial data such that  $(X_1, \tau_1, v_1), (X_2, \tau_2, v_2)$ , with initial data  $(Id, \sigma_1(0), u_1(0)), (Id, \sigma_2(0), u_2(0))$ , are bounded in  $Id + Lip(0, T_0; C^{1+\alpha, p}) \times Lip(0, T_0; C^{\alpha, p}) \times L^\infty(0, T_0; C^{1+\alpha, p})$  and solve the Lagrangian form (14) of (6). Moreover,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|X_2 - X_1\|_{Lip(0, T_0; C^{1+\alpha, p})} + \|\tau_2 - \tau_1\|_{Lip(0, T_0; C^{\alpha, p})} + \|v_2 - v_1\|_{L^\infty(0, T_0, C^{1+\alpha, p})} \\ &\leq C(\|u_2(0) - u_1(0)\|_{1+\alpha, p} + \|\tau_2(0) - \tau_1(0)\|_{\alpha, p}) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

**Remark 1.** *The solutions' Lagrangian stresses  $\tau$  are Lipschitz in time with values in  $C^\alpha$ . Their Lagrangian counterparts  $\sigma = \tau \circ X^{-1}$  are bounded in time with values in  $C^\alpha$  and space-time Hölder continuous with exponent  $\alpha$ . The Eulerian version of the equations (6) is satisfied in the sense of distributions, and solutions are unique in this class.*

The spaces  $C^{\alpha,p}$  are defined in the next section. The proof of the theorem occupies the rest of the paper. We start by considering variations of Lagrangian variables. We take a family  $(X_\epsilon, \tau_\epsilon)$  of flow maps depending smoothly on a parameter  $\epsilon \in [1, 2]$ , with initial data  $u_{\epsilon,0}$  and  $\sigma_{\epsilon,0}$ . Note that  $v_\epsilon = \partial_t X_\epsilon$ . We use the following notations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_\epsilon = \partial_t X_\epsilon \circ X_\epsilon^{-1}, g'_\epsilon = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} g_\epsilon, \\ X'_\epsilon = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} X_\epsilon, \eta_\epsilon = X'_\epsilon \circ X_\epsilon^{-1}, \\ v'_\epsilon = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} v_\epsilon, \\ \sigma_\epsilon = \tau_\epsilon \circ X_\epsilon^{-1}, \\ \tau'_\epsilon = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \tau_\epsilon, \delta_\epsilon = \tau'_\epsilon \circ X_\epsilon^{-1}, \end{array} \right. \quad (17)$$

and

$$u'_{\epsilon,0} = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} u_\epsilon(0), \sigma'_{\epsilon,0} = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \sigma_\epsilon(0). \quad (18)$$

We represent

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} X_2(a, t) - X_1(a, t) = \int_1^2 \mathcal{X}'_\epsilon d\epsilon, \\ \tau_2(a, t) - \tau_1(a, t) = \int_1^2 \pi_\epsilon d\epsilon, \\ v_2(a, t) - v_1(a, t) = \int_1^2 \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mathcal{V}_\epsilon d\epsilon, \end{array} \right. \quad (19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}'_\epsilon &= \int_0^t \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mathcal{V}_\epsilon ds, \quad \pi_\epsilon = \int_0^t \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mathcal{T}_\epsilon ds + \sigma'_{\epsilon,0}, \\ \mathcal{V}_\epsilon &= \mathcal{V}(X_\epsilon, \tau_\epsilon), \quad \mathcal{T}_\epsilon = \mathcal{T}(X_\epsilon, \tau_\epsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

We have the following commutator expressions arising by differentiating in  $\epsilon$  ([1], [2]):

$$\left( \frac{d}{d\epsilon} (\mathbb{U}(\tau_\epsilon \circ X_\epsilon^{-1}) \circ X_\epsilon) \right) \circ X_\epsilon^{-1} = [\eta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla_x, \mathbb{U}](\sigma_\epsilon) + \mathbb{U}(\delta_\epsilon), \quad (21)$$

where

$$[\eta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla_x, \mathbb{U}](\sigma_\epsilon) = \eta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla_x (\mathbb{U}(\sigma_\epsilon)) - \mathbb{U}(\eta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla_x \sigma_\epsilon) \quad (22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mathbb{U}(v_\epsilon \otimes v_\epsilon \circ X_\epsilon^{-1}) \circ X_\epsilon \right) \circ X_\epsilon^{-1} \\ &= [\eta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla_x, \mathbb{U}](u_\epsilon \otimes u_\epsilon) + \mathbb{U}((v'_\epsilon \otimes v_\epsilon + v_\epsilon \otimes v'_\epsilon) \circ X_\epsilon^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

We note, by the chain rule,

$$\nabla_a \mathcal{V} = (\nabla_a X) g. \quad (24)$$

Consequently, differentiating  $\mathcal{V}_\epsilon$ ,  $g_\epsilon$  and the relation (24) we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mathcal{V}_\epsilon \right) \circ X_\epsilon^{-1} = \eta_\epsilon \cdot (\mathbb{L}_\nu(\nabla_x u_{\epsilon,0})) + \mathbb{L}_\nu(u'_{\epsilon,0}) \\ + [\eta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla_x, \mathbb{U}](\sigma_\epsilon - u_\epsilon \otimes u_\epsilon) + \mathbb{U}(\delta_\epsilon - (v'_\epsilon \otimes v_\epsilon + v_\epsilon \otimes v'_\epsilon) \circ X_\epsilon^{-1}), \\ g_\epsilon = \mathbb{L}(\nabla_x u_{\epsilon,0}) \circ X_\epsilon + \mathbb{G}(\sigma_\epsilon) \circ X_\epsilon - \mathbb{U}(\nabla_x(u_\epsilon \otimes u_\epsilon)) \circ X_\epsilon, \\ g'_\epsilon \circ X_\epsilon^{-1} = \eta_\epsilon \cdot \mathbb{L}_\nu(\nabla_x \nabla_x u_{\epsilon,0}) + \mathbb{L}_\nu(\nabla_x u'_{\epsilon,0}) + [\eta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla_x, \mathbb{G}](\sigma_\epsilon) + \mathbb{G}(\delta_\epsilon) \\ - [\eta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla_x, \mathbb{U}](\nabla_x(u_\epsilon \otimes u_\epsilon)) - \mathbb{U}(\nabla_x((v'_\epsilon \otimes v_\epsilon + v_\epsilon \otimes v'_\epsilon) \circ X_\epsilon^{-1})), \\ \frac{d}{d\epsilon} (\nabla_a \mathcal{V}_\epsilon) = (\nabla_a X'_\epsilon) g_\epsilon + (\nabla_a X_\epsilon) g'_\epsilon, \\ \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mathcal{T}_\epsilon = g'_\epsilon \tau_\epsilon + g_\epsilon \tau'_\epsilon + \tau'_\epsilon g_\epsilon^T + \tau_\epsilon (g'_\epsilon)^T - 2k\tau'_\epsilon + 2\rho K(g'_\epsilon + (g'_\epsilon)^T). \end{array} \right. \quad (25)$$

### 3 Functions, operators, commutators

We consider function spaces

$$C^{\alpha,p} = C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad (26)$$

with norm

$$\|f\|_{\alpha,p} = \|f\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (27)$$

for  $\alpha \in (0, 1), p \in (1, \infty), C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with norm

$$\|f\|_{C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\nabla f\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad (28)$$

and

$$C^{1+\alpha,p} = C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad (29)$$

with norm

$$\|f\|_{1+\alpha,p} = \|f\|_{C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|f\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \quad (30)$$

We also use spaces of paths,  $L^\infty(0, T; Y)$  with the usual norm,

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;Y)} = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|f(t)\|_Y, \quad (31)$$

spaces  $Lip(0, T; Y)$  with norm

$$\|f\|_{Lip(0,T;Y)} = \sup_{t \neq s, t,s \in [0,T]} \frac{\|f(t) - f(s)\|_Y}{|t - s|} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;Y)} \quad (32)$$

where  $Y$  is  $C^{\alpha,p}$  or  $C^{1+\alpha,p}$  in the following. We use the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1** ([2]). *Let  $0 < \alpha < 1, 1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $\eta \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and let*

$$(\mathbb{K}\sigma)(x) = P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x-y)\sigma(y)dy \quad (33)$$

*be a classical Calderon-Zygmund operator with kernel  $k$  which is smooth away from the origin, homogeneous of degree  $-d$  and with mean zero on spheres about the origin. Then the commutator  $[\eta \cdot \nabla, \mathbb{K}]$  can be defined as a bounded linear operator in  $C^{\alpha,p}$  and*

$$\|[\eta \cdot \nabla, \mathbb{K}]\sigma\|_{C^{\alpha,p}} \leq C \|\eta\|_{C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\sigma\|_{C^{\alpha,p}}. \quad (34)$$

**Lemma 2** (Generalized Young's inequality). *Let  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$  and  $C > 0$ . Suppose  $K$  is a measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  such that*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |K(x,y)|dy \leq C, \quad \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |K(x,y)|dx \leq C. \quad (35)$$

*If  $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the function  $Tf$  defined by*

$$Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(x,y)f(y)dy \quad (36)$$

*is well defined almost everywhere and is in  $L^q$ , and  $\|Tf\|_{L^q} \leq C \|f\|_{L^q}$ .*

The proof of this lemma for  $1 < q < \infty$  is done using duality, a straightforward application of Young's inequality and changing order of integration. The extreme cases  $q = 1$  and  $q = \infty$  are proved directly by inspection.

For simplicity of notation, let us denote

$$M_X = 1 + \|X - \text{Id}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{1+\alpha})}. \quad (37)$$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < 1, 1 < p < \infty$  and let  $T > 0$ . Also let  $X$  be a volume preserving diffeomorphism such that  $X - \text{Id} \in \text{Lip}(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})$ . Then*

$$\|\tau \circ X^{-1}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})} \leq \|\tau\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})} M_X^\alpha. \quad (38)$$

If  $X' \in \text{Lip}(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})$ , then

$$\|X' \circ X^{-1}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \leq \|X'\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} M_X^{1+2\alpha}. \quad (39)$$

If  $v \in \text{Lip}(0, T; W^{1, p})$ , then

$$\|v \circ X^{-1}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; W^{1, p})} \leq \|v\|_{L^\infty(0, T; W^{1, p})} M_X. \quad (40)$$

If in addition  $\partial_t X', \partial_t X$  exist in  $L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})$ , then

$$\|X' \circ X^{-1}\|_{\text{Lip}(0, T; C^\alpha)} \leq \|X'\|_{\text{Lip}(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \|X - \text{Id}\|_{\text{Lip}(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} M_X^{1+3\alpha}. \quad (41)$$

*Proof.*

$$\|\tau \circ X^{-1}\|_{L^p \cap L^\infty} = \|\tau\|_{L^p \cap L^\infty}, \quad (42)$$

and, denoting the seminorm

$$[\tau]_\alpha = \sup_{a \neq b, a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\tau(a) - \tau(b)|}{|a - b|^\alpha}$$

we have

$$[\tau \circ X^{-1}(t)]_\alpha \leq [\tau(t)]_\alpha \|\nabla_x X^{-1}(t)\|_{L^\infty}^\alpha \leq [\tau(t)]_\alpha (1 + \|X - \text{Id}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})})^\alpha. \quad (43)$$

Note that this shows that the same bound holds when we replace  $X^{-1}$  by  $X$ . For the second and third part, it suffices to remark that

$$\nabla_x(X' \circ X^{-1}) = ((\nabla_a X) \circ X^{-1})^{-1} ((\nabla_a X') \circ X^{-1}) \quad (44)$$

and the previous part gives the bound in terms of Lagrangian variables. For the last part, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{t-s} (X'(X^{-1}(x, t), t) - X'(X^{-1}(x, s), s)) \\ &= \int_0^1 ((\partial_t X')(X^{-1}(x, \beta_\tau), \beta_\tau) + (\partial_t X^{-1})(x, \beta_\tau)(\nabla_a X')(X^{-1}(x, \beta_\tau), \beta_\tau)) d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where

$$\beta_\tau = \tau t + (1 - \tau)s. \quad (46)$$

Now noting that

$$\partial_t X^{-1} = -((\partial_t X) \circ X^{-1}) ((\nabla_a X)^{-1} \circ X^{-1}) \quad (47)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{t-s} \|X' \circ X^{-1}(t) - X' \circ X^{-1}(s)\|_{C^\alpha} \\ & \leq \left( \|\partial_t X'\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^\alpha)} + \|\partial_t X\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^\alpha)} \|X'\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \right) \left( 1 + \|X - \text{Id}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \right)^{1+3\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

so that

$$\|X' \circ X^{-1}\|_{\text{Lip}(0, T; C^\alpha)} \leq \|X'\|_{\text{Lip}(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \|X - \text{Id}\|_{\text{Lip}(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \left( 1 + \|X - \text{Id}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \right)^{1+3\alpha}. \quad (49)$$

□

**Theorem 3.** Let  $0 < \alpha < 1, 1 < p < \infty$  and let  $T > 0$ . There exists a constant  $C$  independent of  $T$  and  $\nu$  such that for any  $0 < t < T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{L}_\nu(u_0)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} &\leq C \|u_0\|_{\alpha,p}, \\ \|\mathbb{L}_\nu(u_0)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{1+\alpha,p})} &\leq C \|u_0\|_{1+\alpha,p}, \\ \|\mathbb{L}_\nu(\nabla u_0)(t)\|_{\alpha,p} &\leq \frac{C}{(\nu t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|u_0\|_{\alpha,p}, \\ \|\mathbb{L}_\nu(\nabla u_0)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} &\leq C \|u_0\|_{1+\alpha,p} \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

hold.

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{L}_\nu(u_0)(t)\|_{\alpha,p} &\leq \|g_{\nu t}\|_{L^1} \|u_0\|_{\alpha,p} = \|u_0\|_{\alpha,p}, \\ \|\mathbb{L}_\nu(u_0)(t)\|_{1+\alpha,p} &\leq \|g_{\nu t}\|_{L^1} \|u_0\|_{1+\alpha,p} = \|u_0\|_{1+\alpha,p}, \\ \|\mathbb{L}_\nu(\nabla u_0)(t)\|_{\alpha,p} &\leq \|\nabla g_{\nu t}\|_{L^1} \|u_0\|_{1+\alpha,p} = \frac{C}{(\nu t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|u_0\|_{\alpha,p}, \\ \|\mathbb{L}_\nu(\nabla u_0)(t)\|_{\alpha,p} &\leq \|g_{\nu t}\|_{L^1} \|\nabla u_0\|_{\alpha,p} \leq \|u_0\|_{1+\alpha,p}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

□

**Theorem 4.** Let  $0 < \alpha < 1, 1 < p < \infty$  and let  $T > 0$ . There exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\|\mathbb{U}(\sigma)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} \leq C \left(\frac{T}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})}. \quad (52)$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{U}(\sigma)(t)\|_{C^{\alpha,p}} &\leq C \int_0^t \|\nabla g_{\nu(t-s)}\|_{L^1} \|\sigma(s)\|_{\alpha,p} ds \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} ds \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} \leq \frac{C}{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sqrt{T} \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})}. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

□

**Theorem 5.** Let  $0 < \alpha < 1, 1 < p < \infty$  and let  $T > 0$ . There exist constants  $C_1, C_2$  depending only on  $\alpha$  and  $\nu$ , and  $C_3(T, X), C_4(T, X)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{G}(\tau \circ X^{-1})\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} &\leq C_1 \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{1+\alpha})}^\alpha \|\tau(0)\|_{\alpha,p} (1 + C_3(T, X)) \\ &\quad + C_2 \|\tau\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} C_4(T, X) \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where  $C_3(T, X)$  and  $C_4(T, X)$  are of the form  $CT^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{1+\alpha})}^\alpha + \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{1+\alpha})}^4 \right)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbb{G} = (R \otimes R)\mathbb{H}\Gamma$  where

$$\Gamma(\tau \circ X^{-1}) = \int_0^t \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * (\tau \circ X^{-1}(s)) ds, \quad (55)$$

we can replace  $\mathbb{G}$  by  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\Gamma(\tau \circ X^{-1})$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\tau \circ X^{-1})(t) &= \int_0^t \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * ((\tau \circ X^{-1})(s) - (\tau \circ X^{-1})(t)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * (\tau \circ X^{-1})(t) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

But

$$\int_0^t \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * (\tau \circ X^{-1})(t) ds = \tau \circ X^{-1}(t) - g_{\nu t} * (\tau \circ X^{-1})(t) \quad (57)$$

so the second term is bounded by  $2 \|\tau\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} M_X^\alpha$  by Theorem 2. Now we let

$$\tau \circ X^{-1}(x, s) - \tau \circ X^{-1}(x, t) = \Delta_1 \tau(x, s, t) + \Delta_2 \tau(x, s, t), \quad (58)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 \tau(x, s, t) &= \tau(X^{-1}(x, s), s) - \tau(X^{-1}(x, s), t), \\ \Delta_2 \tau(x, s, t) &= \tau(X^{-1}(x, s), t) - \tau(X^{-1}(x, t), t). \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

But since

$$\|\Delta_1 \tau(s, t)\|_{C^{\alpha,p}} \leq |t - s| M_X^\alpha \|\tau\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})}, \quad (60)$$

by the proof of Theorem 2 we get

$$\left\| \int_0^t \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * \Delta_1 \tau(s, t) ds \right\|_{\alpha,p} \leq \frac{Ct}{\nu} \|\tau\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} M_X^\alpha, \quad (61)$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_0^t \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * \Delta_2 \tau(s, t) ds = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(x, z, t, s) \tau(z, t) dz ds, \quad (62)$$

where

$$K(x, z, t, s) = \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)}(x - X(z, s)) - \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)}(x - X(z, t)). \quad (63)$$

We use the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.**  $K(x, z, t, s)$  is  $L^1$  in both the  $x$  variable and the  $z$  variable, and

$$\sup_z \|K(\cdot, z, t, s)\|_{L^1}, \sup_x \|K(x, \cdot, t, s)\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{C \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0,T;L^\infty)}}{|t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \quad (64)$$

*Proof.* We define

$$S(x) = 4\pi e^{-|x|^2} \left( |x|^2 - \frac{d}{2} \right) \quad (65)$$

so that

$$(\Delta g_{\nu(t-s)}) = (4\pi\nu(t-s))^{-(\frac{d}{2}+1)} S\left(\frac{x}{(4(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right). \quad (66)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int |K(x, z, t, s)| dz &= \int (4\pi\nu(t-s))^{-(\frac{d}{2}+1)} \left| S\left(\frac{x - X(z, s)}{(4\nu(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) - S\left(\frac{x - X(z, t)}{(4\nu(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \right| dz \\ &= \int (4\pi\nu(t-s))^{-(\frac{d}{2}+1)} \left| S\left(\frac{x - y}{(4\nu(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) - S\left(\frac{x - X(y, t-s)}{(4\nu(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \right| dy \\ &= (4\pi\nu(t-s))^{-1} \pi^{-(\frac{d}{2}+1)} \int \left| S(u) - S\left(u - \frac{(X - \text{Id})(x - (4(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}u, t-s)}{(4\nu(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \right| du. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

However, for each  $u$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| S(u) - S\left(u - \frac{(X - \text{Id})(x - (4\nu(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}u, t-s)}{(4\nu(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \right| &\leq \left| \frac{(X - \text{Id})(x - (4\nu(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}u, t-s)}{(4\nu(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right| \\ &\times \sup \left\{ |\nabla S(u - z)| : |z| \leq \left| \frac{(X - \text{Id})(x - (4\nu(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}u, t-s)}{(4\nu(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right| \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

and we have

$$\left| \frac{(X - \text{Id})(x - (4\nu(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}u, t-s)}{(4\nu(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right| \leq \|(X - \text{Id})\|_{Lip(0,T;L^\infty)} \frac{|t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq CT^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (69)$$



and obviously

$$\tilde{S}(u) = \sup_{z \leq CT^{\frac{1}{2}}} |(\nabla S)(u - z)| \quad (70)$$

is integrable in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ; because  $\nabla S$  is Schwartz,

$$|(\nabla S)(x)| \leq \frac{C_d}{(1 + 2C^2T + |x|^2)^d} \quad (71)$$

for some constant  $C_d$ , but if  $|z| \leq CT^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , then  $|u - z|^2 \geq |u|^2 - C^2T$  and

$$|(\nabla S)(u - z)| \leq \frac{C_d}{(1 + C^2T + |u|^2)^d} \quad (72)$$

and the right side of above is clearly integrable with bound depending only on  $d$  and  $T$ . Therefore, we have

$$\int |K(x, z, t, s)| dz \leq |t - s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nu^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|(X - \text{Id})\|_{Lip(0, T; L^\infty)} C(d, T). \quad (73)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \int |K(x, z, t, s)| dx &= \int (4\pi\nu(t-s))^{-(\frac{d}{2}+1)} \left| S\left(\frac{x - X(z, s)}{(4\nu(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) - S\left(\frac{x - X(z, t)}{(4\nu(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \right| dx \\ &= \int (4\pi\nu(t-s))^{-1} \pi^{-(\frac{d}{2}+1)} \left| S(y) - S\left(y + \frac{X(z, s) - X(z, t)}{(4\nu(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \right| dy \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

and again we have

$$\left| \frac{X(z, s) - X(z, t)}{(4\nu(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right| \leq \|(X - \text{Id})\|_{Lip(0, T; L^\infty)} |t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq CT^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (75)$$

Therefore, we have the bound

$$\int |K(x, z)| dx \leq |t - s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nu^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|(X - \text{Id})\|_{Lip(0, T; L^\infty)} C(d, T). \quad (76)$$

□

From Lemma 3 and generalized Young's inequality, we have

$$\left\| \int_0^t \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * \Delta_2 \tau(s, t) ds \right\|_{L^p \cap L^\infty} \leq \frac{C}{\nu} \left( \left( \frac{t}{\nu} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \right) \|\tau\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^p \cap L^\infty)}. \quad (77)$$

For the Hölder seminorm, we measure the finite difference. Let us denote  $\delta_h f(x, t) = f(x+h, t) - f(x, t)$ . If  $|h| < t$ , then

$$\delta_h \left( \int_0^t \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * \Delta_2 \tau(s, t) ds \right) = \int_0^t \delta_h (\Delta g_{\nu(t-s)}) * \Delta_2 \tau(s, t) ds. \quad (78)$$

If  $0 < t - s < |h|$ , then  $\|\delta_h \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)}\|_{L^1} \leq 2 \|\Delta g_{\nu(t-s)}\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{C}{\nu(t-s)}$  and since

$$\|\Delta_2 \tau(s, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq |t - s|^\alpha \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})}^\alpha \|\tau\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})} \quad (79)$$

we have

$$\left\| \int_{t-|h|}^t \delta_h (\Delta g_{\nu(t-s)}) * \Delta_2 \tau(s, t) ds \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{C}{\nu^\alpha} |h|^\alpha \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})}^\alpha \|\tau\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})}. \quad (80)$$

If  $|h| < t - s < t$ , then following lines of Lemma 3  $\delta_h (\Delta g_{\nu(t-s)})$  is a  $L^1$  function with

$$\|\delta_h (\Delta g_{\nu(t-s)})\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{C|h|}{(\nu(t-s))^{\frac{3}{2}}} \quad (81)$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^{t-|h|} \delta_h(\Delta g_\nu(t-s)) * \Delta_2 \tau(s, t) ds \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})}^\alpha \|\tau\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})} |h|^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}} & \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{C}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})}^\alpha \|\tau\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})} |h|^{\frac{t^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}}{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}} & \alpha > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

If  $|h| \geq t$ , then we only have the first term. Therefore, we have

$$\frac{1}{|h|^\alpha} \left\| \delta_h \left( \int_0^t \Delta g_\nu(t-s) * \Delta_2 \tau(s, t) ds \right) \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{C(\alpha)}{\nu} \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})}^\alpha \|\tau\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})}. \quad (83)$$

We note that

$$\|\tau(t)\|_{\alpha, p} \leq \|\tau(0)\|_{\alpha, p} + t \|\tau\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})}. \quad (84)$$

To summarize, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Gamma(\tau \circ X^{-1})\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})} \\ & \leq C(\alpha) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\nu}\right) \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})}^\alpha \|\tau(0)\|_{\alpha, p} + C(\alpha) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\nu}\right) \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})}^\alpha T \|\tau\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})} \\ & \quad + \frac{C(\alpha)}{\nu} \left(\frac{T}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \max\{\|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})}^\alpha, \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})}^4\} (\|\tau(0)\|_{\alpha, p} + T \|\tau\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})}), \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

and this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < 1, 1 < p < \infty$  and let  $T > 0$ . Let  $X' \in Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})$  with  $\partial_t X' \in L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})$ . There exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|[X' \circ X^{-1} \cdot \nabla, \mathbb{U}](\sigma)\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})} \\ & \leq C \left( \left(\frac{T}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{T}{\nu} \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \right) M_X^{1+3\alpha} \|X'\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})} \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

*Proof.* First, we denote

$$\eta = X' \circ X^{-1}. \quad (87)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\eta \cdot \nabla, \mathbb{U}](\sigma)(t) \\ & = \eta(t) \cdot \nabla \int_0^t g_\nu(t-s) * \mathbb{H} \text{div} \sigma(s) ds - \int_0^t g_\nu(t-s) * \mathbb{H} \text{div} (\eta(s) \cdot \nabla \sigma(s)) ds \\ & = [\eta(t) \cdot \nabla, \mathbb{H}] \int_0^t g_\nu(t-s) * \text{div} \sigma(s) ds + \mathbb{H} \int_0^t (\nabla g_\nu(t-s)) * (\nabla \cdot \eta(s) \sigma(s)) ds \\ & \quad - \mathbb{H} \int_0^t (\nabla \nabla g_\nu(t-s)) * (\eta(s) - \eta(t)) \sigma(s) ds \\ & \quad + \mathbb{H} \int_0^t (\eta(t) \cdot (\nabla \nabla g_\nu(t-s)) * \sigma(s) - (\nabla \nabla g_\nu(t-s)) * (\eta(t) \sigma(s))) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

where  $(\nabla \nabla g_\nu(t-s)) * (\eta(s) - \eta(t)) \sigma(s)$ ,  $\eta(t) \cdot (\nabla \nabla g_\nu(t-s)) * \sigma(s)$ , and  $(\nabla \nabla g_\nu(t-s)) * (\eta(s) \sigma(s))$  represent

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i, j} (\partial_i \partial_j g_\nu(t-s)) * (\eta_i(s) - \eta_i(t)) \sigma_{jk}(s), \\ & \sum_{i, j} \eta_i(t) (\partial_i \partial_j g_\nu(t-s)) * \sigma_{jk}(s), \text{ and respectively } \sum_{i, j} (\partial_i \partial_j g_\nu(t-s)) * (\eta_i(s) \sigma_{jk}(s)). \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

The first term is bounded by Lemma 1 and the second term is estimated directly

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| [\eta(t) \cdot \nabla, \mathbb{H}] \int_0^t g_{\nu(t-s)} * \operatorname{div} \sigma(s) ds \right\|_{\alpha, p} \leq C \|\eta(t)\|_{C^{1+\alpha}} \left(\frac{t}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})}, \\ & \left\| \mathbb{H} \int_0^t (\nabla g_{\nu(t-s)}) * (\nabla \cdot \eta(s) \sigma(s)) ds \right\|_{\alpha, p} \leq C \left(\frac{t}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})}. \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

The third term is bounded by

$$\frac{Ct}{\nu} \|\eta\|_{Lip(0, T; C^\alpha)} \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})} \quad (91)$$

by the virtue of Theorem 2. For the last term, note that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\eta(t) \cdot (\nabla \nabla g_{\nu(t-s)}) * \sigma(s) - (\nabla \nabla g_{\nu(t-s)}) * (\eta(t) \sigma(s)))(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla \nabla g_{\nu(t-s)}(z) z \cdot \left( \int_0^1 \nabla \eta(x - (1-\lambda)z, t) d\lambda \right) \sigma(x-z, s) dz \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

and note that  $\nabla \nabla g_{\nu(t-s)}(z) z$  is a  $L^1$  function with

$$\|\nabla \nabla g_{\nu(t-s)}(z) z\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{C}{(\nu(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (93)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (\eta(t) \cdot (\nabla \nabla g_{\nu(t-s)}) * \sigma(s) - (\nabla \nabla g_{\nu(t-s)}) * (\eta(t) \sigma(s))) \right\|_{\alpha, p} \\ & \leq \frac{C}{(\nu(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\eta(t)\|_{C^{1+\alpha}} \|\sigma(s)\|_{\alpha, p} \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

so that the last term is bounded by

$$C \left(\frac{t}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\eta(t)\|_{C^{1+\alpha}} \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})}. \quad (95)$$

We finish the proof by replacing  $\eta$  by  $X'$  using Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $T > 0$ . Let  $X' \in Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})$  with  $\partial_t X' \in L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})$ . There exists a constant  $C(\alpha)$  depending only on  $\alpha$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| [X' \circ X^{-1} \cdot \nabla, \mathbb{G}] (\tau \circ X^{-1}) \right\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})} \\ & \leq (\|X'\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} + \|X'\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} T^{\frac{1}{2}}) R \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

where  $R$  is a polynomial function on  $\|\tau\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})}$ ,  $\|X - \operatorname{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})}$ , whose coefficients depend on  $\alpha$ ,  $\nu$ , and  $T$ , and in particular it grows polynomially in  $T$  and bounded below.

*Proof.* Again we denote  $\eta = X' \circ X^{-1}$ . Also it suffices to bound

$$[\eta \cdot \nabla, \Gamma] (\tau \circ X^{-1}) = \eta(t) \cdot \nabla \Gamma (\tau \circ X^{-1}) - \Gamma (\eta \cdot \nabla (\tau \circ X^{-1})) \quad (97)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is as defined in (55), since

$$[\eta \cdot \nabla, \mathbb{G}] = (R \otimes R) \mathbb{H} [\eta \cdot \nabla, \Gamma] + [\eta(t) \cdot \nabla, (R \otimes R) \mathbb{H}] \Gamma \quad (98)$$

and the second term is bounded by Lemma 1. For the first term, we have

$$[\eta \cdot \nabla, \Gamma] (\tau \circ X^{-1})(t) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + I_6, \quad (99)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int_0^t \eta(t) \cdot (\nabla \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * (\tau \circ X^{-1}(t))) - \nabla \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * (\eta(t) \tau \circ X^{-1}(t)) ds, \\
I_2 &= \int_0^t \eta(t) \cdot (\nabla \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * (\tau \circ X^{-1}(s) - \tau \circ X^{-1}(t))) \\
&\quad - \nabla \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * (\eta(t) (\tau \circ X^{-1}(s) - \tau \circ X^{-1}(t))) ds, \\
I_3 &= - \int_0^t \nabla \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * ((\eta(s) - \eta(t)) (\tau \circ X^{-1}(s))) ds, \\
I_4 &= \int_0^t \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * (\nabla \cdot (\eta(s) - \eta(t)) \tau \circ X^{-1}(s)) ds, \\
I_5 &= \int_0^t \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * (\nabla \cdot \eta(t) (\tau \circ X^{-1}(s) - \tau \circ X^{-1}(t))) ds, \\
I_6 &= -\frac{1}{\nu} (\nabla \cdot \eta(t) \tau \circ X^{-1}(t) - g_{\nu t} * (\nabla \cdot \eta(t) \tau \circ X^{-1}(t))).
\end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

First,  $I_1 + I_6$  can be bounded:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 + I_6 &= \frac{1}{\nu} (\eta(t) \cdot \nabla (g_{\nu t} * (\tau \circ X^{-1}(t))) - \nabla (g_{\nu t} * (\eta(t) \tau \circ X^{-1}(t)))) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\nu} g_{\nu t} * (\nabla \cdot \eta(t) (\tau \circ X^{-1}(t)))
\end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

and the first term is treated in the same way as (92). Since the first term is

$$\frac{1}{\nu} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla g_{\nu t}(y) y \cdot \int_0^1 \nabla \eta(x - (1-\lambda)y, t) d\lambda (\tau \circ X^{-1})(x-y, t) dy \right) \tag{102}$$

and

$$\|\nabla g_{\nu t}(y) y\|_{L^1} \leq C, \tag{103}$$

the  $C^{\alpha,p}$ -norm of the first term is bounded by

$$\frac{C}{\nu} \|\eta(t)\|_{C^{1+\alpha}} \|\tau \circ X^{-1}(t)\|_{\alpha,p}. \tag{104}$$

The  $C^{\alpha,p}$ -norm of the second term is also bounded by the same bound. Therefore,

$$\|I_1 + I_6\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} \leq \frac{C}{\nu} M_X^{1+3\alpha} \|X'\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{1+\alpha})} \|\tau\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})}. \tag{105}$$

The term  $I_3$  is bounded due to Theorem 2. Since  $\eta \in Lip(0,T;C^\alpha)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_3\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} &\leq \frac{C}{\nu} \left(\frac{T}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} M_X^{1+4\alpha} \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{1+\alpha})} \\
&\quad \|X'\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{1+\alpha})} \|\tau\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

The terms  $I_4$ , and  $I_5$  are treated in the spirit of Theorem 5. We treat  $L^p \cap L^\infty$  norm and Hölder seminorm separately. For the term  $I_5$ , we have

$$I_5 = \int_0^t \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * (\nabla \cdot \eta(t) (\Delta_1 \tau(s,t) + \Delta_2 \tau(s,t))) ds \tag{107}$$

where  $\Delta_1 \tau$  and  $\Delta_2 \tau$  are the same as (59). From the same arguments from the above,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \int_0^t \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * (\nabla \cdot \eta(t) \Delta_1 \tau(s,t)) ds \right\|_{\alpha,p} \\
&\leq \frac{Ct}{\nu} \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{1+\alpha})} \|\tau\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} M_X^\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * (\nabla \cdot \eta(t) \Delta_2 \tau(s, t)) (x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (K(x, z, t, s) (\nabla \cdot \eta) (X(z, t), t) \\ &+ \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)}(x - X(z, t)) ((\nabla \cdot \eta) (X(z, s), t) - (\nabla \cdot \eta) (X(z, t), t))) dz, \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

where  $K$  is as in (63). Then as in the proof of Lemma 3, by the generalized Young's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * (\nabla \cdot \eta(t) \Delta_2 \tau(s, t)) ds \right\|_{L^p \cap L^\infty} &\leq C \|\tau(t)\|_{L^p \cap L^\infty} \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \\ \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} &\left( \frac{t^\alpha}{\nu^\alpha} + \left( \frac{t}{\nu} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{t^2}{\nu^3} \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})}^3 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

For the Hölder seminorm, we repeat the same argument in the proof of Theorem 5, using the bound (81). Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{|h|^\alpha} \left\| \delta_h \left( \int_0^t \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * \Delta_2 \tau(s, t) ds \right) \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq \frac{C(\alpha)}{\nu} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{t}{\nu} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \frac{t}{\nu} \right)^2 \right) \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})}^\alpha \|\tau\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})} \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})}. \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_5\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})} &\leq \frac{C(\alpha)}{\nu} \left( 1 + t + \left( \frac{t}{\nu} \right)^2 \right) \left( 1 + \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \right)^3 M_X^{1+2\alpha} \\ &\|X'\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \|\tau\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})}. \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

The term  $I_4(t)$  is treated in the exactly same way, by noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (\eta(s) - \eta(t)) &= \nabla_x X^{-1}(s) : (\Delta_1 \nabla_a X'(s, t)) + \nabla_x X^{-1}(s) : (\Delta_2 \nabla_a X'(s, t)) \\ &+ (\nabla_x X^{-1}(s) - \nabla_x X^{-1}(t)) : (\nabla_a X' \circ X^{-1})(t), \end{aligned} \quad (113)$$

where as in (59)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 \nabla_a X'(x, s, t) &= \nabla_a X'(X^{-1}(x, s), s) - \nabla_a X'(X^{-1}(x, s), t), \\ \Delta_2 \nabla_a X'(x, s, t) &= \nabla_a X'(X^{-1}(x, s), t) - \nabla_a X'(X^{-1}(x, t), t), \end{aligned} \quad (114)$$

and

$$\nabla_x (X^{-1}(x, s) - X^{-1}(x, t)) = (\nabla_a X \circ X^{-1})(x, t) (\nabla_a (X - \text{Id}))(X^{-1}(x, t), t - s) \quad (115)$$

so that

$$\|\nabla_x X^{-1}(s) - \nabla_x X^{-1}(t)\|_{C^\alpha} \leq |t - s| \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} M_X^{1+2\alpha}. \quad (116)$$

Also note that

$$\|\Delta_2 \nabla_a X'(s, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\nabla_a X'(t)\|_{C^\alpha} \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; L^\infty)}^\alpha |t - s|^\alpha \quad (117)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \int_0^t \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)} * (\nabla_x X^{-1}(s) : (\Delta_2 \nabla_a X'(s, t)) \tau \circ X^{-1}(s)) ds \right\|_{C^{\alpha, p}} \\ &\leq \frac{C(\alpha)}{\nu} \left( 1 + t^\alpha + \left( \frac{t}{\nu} \right)^2 \right) M_X^{1+2\alpha} \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})}^\alpha \\ &\|X'\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \|\tau\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})}. \end{aligned} \quad (118)$$

The final result is

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_4(t)\|_{\alpha, p} &\leq \frac{C(\alpha)}{\nu} \left( 1 + t + \left( \frac{t}{\nu} \right)^2 \right) M_X^{2+4\alpha} \|X'\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \|\tau\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})} \\ &+ C \frac{t}{\nu} M_X^{1+3\alpha} \|X'\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \|\tau\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})}. \end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

Finally,  $I_2$  can be bounded using the combination of the technique in Theorem 5 and Theorem 6. First, we have

$$I_2(x, t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)}(y) \cdot y \cdot \left( \int_0^1 \nabla \eta(x - (1-\lambda)y, t) d\lambda (\Delta_1 \tau(x-y, s, t)) \right) dy ds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla \Delta g_{\nu(t-s)}(x-z) \cdot (x-z) \cdot \left( \int_0^1 \nabla \eta(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)z, t) d\lambda (\Delta_2 \tau(z, s, t)) \right) dz ds. \quad (120)$$

Then applying the argument of the proof of Theorem 6, the first term is bounded by

$$\frac{C}{\nu} t M_X^\alpha \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \|\tau\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})}. \quad (121)$$

The second term is treated using the method used in Theorem 5. By changing variables to form a kernel similar to (63), and applying generalized Young's inequality, the  $L^p \cap L^\infty$  norm of the second term is bounded by

$$\frac{C(\alpha)}{\nu} \left( t^\alpha + \left( \frac{t}{\nu} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \frac{t}{\nu} \right)^2 \right) \left( 1 + \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \right)^4 \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \|\tau\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^p \cap L^\infty)}. \quad (122)$$

Finally, the Hölder seminorm of the second term is bounded by the same method as Theorem 5. The only additional point is the finite difference of  $\nabla \eta$  term, but this term is bounded by a straightforward estimate. The bound for the Hölder seminorm of the second term is

$$\frac{C(\alpha)}{\nu} \left( 1 + t^\alpha + \left( \frac{t}{\nu} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \frac{t}{\nu} \right)^2 \right) \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})}^\alpha \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \|\tau\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})}. \quad (123)$$

To sum up, we have

$$\|I_2(t)\|_{\alpha, p} \leq \frac{C(\alpha)}{\nu} \left( 1 + t + \left( \frac{t}{\nu} \right)^2 \right) \left( 1 + \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \right)^4 M_X^{1+3\alpha} \|X'\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} \|\tau\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})}. \quad (124)$$

If we put this together,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| [X' \circ X^{-1} \cdot \nabla, \mathbb{G}] (\tau \circ X^{-1}) \right\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})} \\ & \leq C \|X'\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} M_X^{1+2\alpha} \left\| \Gamma(\tau \circ X^{-1}) \right\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})} \\ & + (\|X'\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} + \|X'\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} T^{\frac{1}{2}}) F_1(\nu, \alpha, X, \|\tau\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})}, T) \end{aligned} \quad (125)$$

where  $F_1$  depends on the written variables and grows like polynomial in  $T$ ,  $\|\tau\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{\alpha, p})}$ , and  $\|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})}$ . The bound on  $\Gamma(\tau \circ X^{-1})$  is given by Theorem 5.  $\square$

## 4 Bounds on variations and variables

Using the results from the previous section we find bounds for variations and variables. For simplicity, we adopt the notation

$$M_\epsilon = 1 + \|X_\epsilon - \text{Id}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})}. \quad (126)$$

First, we bound  $\frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mathcal{V}_\epsilon$ . Note that  $X_\epsilon(0) = \text{Id}$ , so  $X'_\epsilon(0) = 0$  and by Theorem 2 and since  $X'_\epsilon \in Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha, p})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|X'_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; C^{1+\alpha})} & \leq T \|X'_\epsilon\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha, p})}, \\ \|\eta_\epsilon(t)\|_{C^\alpha} & \leq t \|X'\|_{Lip(0, T; C^{1+\alpha, p})} M_\epsilon^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (127)$$

Then by the Theorem 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta_\epsilon \cdot \mathbb{L}_\nu(\nabla_x u_{\epsilon,0})\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} &\leq C \left(\frac{T}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} M_\epsilon^\alpha \|X'_\epsilon\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{1+\alpha,p})} \|u_{\epsilon,0}\|_{1+\alpha,p}, \\ \|\mathbb{L}_\nu(u'_{\epsilon,0})\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} &\leq C \|u'_{\epsilon,0}\|_{\alpha,p}. \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

By Theorem 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\eta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla_x, \mathbb{U}](\sigma_\epsilon - u_\epsilon \otimes u_\epsilon)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} &\leq C \left( \left(\frac{T}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{T}{\nu}\right) \right) M_\epsilon^{2+4\alpha} \\ \|X'_\epsilon\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{1+\alpha})} \|\tau_\epsilon - v_\epsilon \otimes v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})}, \end{aligned} \quad (129)$$

and by Theorem 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{U}(\delta_\epsilon - (v'_\epsilon \otimes v_\epsilon + v_\epsilon \otimes v'_\epsilon) \circ X_\epsilon^{-1})\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} &\leq C \left(\frac{T}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} M_\epsilon^\alpha \\ \|\tau'_\epsilon - (v'_\epsilon \otimes v_\epsilon + v_\epsilon \otimes v'_\epsilon)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})}. \end{aligned} \quad (130)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mathcal{V}_\epsilon \right\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} &\leq C \|u'_{\epsilon,0}\|_{\alpha,p} \\ + S_1(T) (\|X'_\epsilon\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{1+\alpha,p})} + \|v'_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} + \|\sigma'_{\epsilon,0}\|_{\alpha,p} + \|\tau'_\epsilon\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})}) &Q_1 \end{aligned} \quad (131)$$

where  $S_1(T)$  vanishes as  $T^{\frac{1}{2}}$  as  $T \rightarrow 0$  and  $Q_1$  is a polynomial in  $\|u_{\epsilon,0}\|_{1+\alpha,p}$ ,  $\|X_\epsilon - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{1+\alpha,p})}$ ,  $\|\tau_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})}$ , and  $\|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})}$ , whose coefficients depend on  $\nu$ . Similarly,

$$\|g_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} \leq M_X^\alpha \|u_0\|_{1+\alpha,p} + C_1 \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{1+\alpha})}^\alpha \|\sigma_{\epsilon,0}\|_{\alpha,p} + S_2(T) Q_2, \quad (132)$$

where  $S_2(T)$  vanishes as  $T^{\frac{1}{2}}$  as  $T \rightarrow 0$  and  $Q_2$  is polynomial in  $\|\tau\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})}$  and  $\|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{1+\alpha})}$ , whose coefficients depend on  $\alpha$  and  $\nu$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned} \|g'_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} &\leq C (\|u'_{\epsilon,0}\|_{1+\alpha,p} + \|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{1+\alpha})}^\alpha \|\tau'_{\epsilon,0}\|_{\alpha,p}) \\ + S_3(T) (\|X'_\epsilon\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{1+\alpha,p})} + \|\sigma'_{\epsilon,0}\|_{\alpha,p} + \|\tau'_\epsilon\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} + \|v'_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{1+\alpha,p})}) &Q_3, \end{aligned} \quad (133)$$

where  $S_3(T)$  vanishes as  $T^{\frac{1}{2}}$  as  $T \rightarrow 0$  and  $Q_3$  is polynomial in  $\|u_{\epsilon,0}\|_{1+\alpha,p}$ ,  $\|X - \text{Id}\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{1+\alpha,p})}$ ,  $\|\tau\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})}$ , and  $\|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{1+\alpha,p})}$ , whose coefficients depend on  $\nu$  and  $\alpha$ . Then we have

$$\left\| \nabla_\alpha \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mathcal{V}_\epsilon \right\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} \leq T \|X'_\epsilon\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{1+\alpha})} \|g_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} + M_\epsilon \|g'_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} \quad (134)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mathcal{T}_\epsilon \right\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} &\leq 2 \|g'_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} \left( \|\tau_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} + 2\rho K \right) \\ + \|\tau'_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} &\left( \|g_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} + 2k \right). \end{aligned} \quad (135)$$

## 5 Local existence

We define the function space  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and the set  $\mathcal{I}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_1 &= Lip(0,T;C^{1+\alpha,p}) \times Lip(0,T;C^{\alpha,p}) \times L^\infty(0,T;C^{1+\alpha,p}) \\ \mathcal{I} &= \left\{ (X, \tau, v) : \|(X - \text{Id}, \tau, v)\|_{\mathcal{P}_1} \leq \Gamma, v = \frac{dX}{dt} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (136)$$

where  $\Gamma > 0$  and  $T > 0$  are to be determined. Now, for given  $u_0 \in C^{1+\alpha,p}$  divergence free and  $\sigma_0 \in C^{\alpha,p}$  we define the map

$$(X, \tau, v) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X, \tau, v) = (X^{new}, \tau^{new}, v^{new}) \quad (137)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} X^{new}(t) = \text{Id} + \int_0^t \mathcal{V}(X(s), \tau(s), v(s)) ds, \\ \tau^{new}(t) = \sigma_0 + \int_0^t \mathcal{T}(X(s), \tau(s), v(s)) ds, \\ v^{new}(t) = \mathcal{V}(X, \tau, v). \end{cases} \quad (138)$$

If  $(X - \text{Id}, \tau, v) \in \mathcal{P}_1$ , then  $(X^{new} - \text{Id}, \tau^{new}, v^{new}) \in \mathcal{P}_1$  for any choice of  $T > 0$ . Moreover, we have the following:

**Theorem 8.** *For given  $u_0 \in C^{1+\alpha,p}$  divergence free and  $\sigma_0 \in C^{\alpha,p}$ , there is a  $\Gamma > 0$  and  $T > 0$  such that the map  $\mathcal{S}$  of (138) maps  $\mathcal{I}$  to itself.*

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $\frac{d}{dt} X^{new} = v^{new}$ . For the size of  $\mathcal{S}(X, \tau, v)$ , first note that if  $(X - \text{Id}, \tau, v)_{\mathcal{P}_1} \leq \Gamma$ , then

$$M_X = 1 + \|X - \text{Id}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{1+\alpha})} \leq 1 + T\Gamma. \quad (139)$$

Applying Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, we know that

$$\|\mathcal{V}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} \leq \|u_0\|_{\alpha,p} + A_1(T)B_1(\Gamma, \|u_0\|_{\alpha,p}, \|\sigma_0\|_{\alpha,p}), \quad (140)$$

where  $A_1(T)$  vanishes like  $T^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for small  $T > 0$  and  $B_1$  is a polynomial in its arguments, and some coefficients depend on  $\nu$ . We estimate

$$\|g\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} \leq \|u_0\|_{1+\alpha,p} + C_1\Gamma^\alpha \|\sigma_0\|_{\alpha,p} + A_2(T)B_2(\Gamma, \|u_0\|_{1+\alpha,p}, \|\sigma_0\|_{\alpha,p}), \quad (141)$$

where  $C_1$  is as in Theorem 5, depending only on  $\alpha$  and  $\nu$ ,  $A_2(T)$  vanishes in the same order as  $A_1(T)$  as  $T \rightarrow 0$ , and  $B_2$  is a polynomial in its arguments, and some coefficients depend on  $\nu$  and  $\alpha$ . From (24) we conclude

$$\|\mathcal{V}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{1+\alpha,p})} \leq K_1(\|u_0\|_{1+\alpha,p} + \Gamma^\alpha \|\sigma_0\|_{\alpha,p}) + A_3(T)B_3(\Gamma, \|u_0\|_{1+\alpha,p}, \|\sigma_0\|_{\alpha,p}), \quad (142)$$

where  $K_1$  is a constant depending only on  $\nu$  and  $\alpha$ , and  $A_3$  and  $B_3$  have the same properties as previous  $A_i$ s and  $B_i$ s. Now we measure  $\mathcal{T}$ . From (84) and the previous estimate on  $g$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{T}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} &\leq K_2(\|u_0\|_{1+\alpha,p} (\rho K + \|\sigma_0\|_{\alpha,p}) + \|\sigma_0\|_{\alpha,p} (\Gamma^\alpha \|\sigma_0\|_{\alpha,p} + \rho K \Gamma^\alpha + k)) \\ &\quad + A_4 B_4, \end{aligned} \quad (143)$$

where  $K_2$  is a constant depending on  $\nu$  and  $\alpha$ , and  $A_4$  and  $B_4$  are as before. Since  $\alpha < 1$ , we can appropriately choose large  $\Gamma > \|\sigma_0\|_{\alpha,p} + \|u_0\|_{1+\alpha,p}$  and correspondingly small  $\frac{1}{6} > T > 0$  so that the right side of (142) and (143) are bounded by  $\frac{\Gamma}{6}$ . Then  $\|(X^{new} - \text{Id}, \tau^{new}, v^{new})\|_{\mathcal{P}_1} \leq \Gamma$ .  $\square$

We show now that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a contraction mapping on  $\mathcal{I}$  for a short time.

**Theorem 9.** *For given  $u_0 \in C^{1+\alpha,p}$  divergence free and  $\sigma_0 \in C^{\alpha,p}$ , there is a  $\Gamma$  and  $T > 0$ , depending only on  $\|u_0\|_{1+\alpha,p}$  and  $\|\sigma_0\|_{\alpha,p}$ , such that the map  $\mathcal{S}$  is a contraction mapping on  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}(\Gamma, T)$ , that is*

$$\|\mathcal{S}(X_2, \tau_2, v_2) - \mathcal{S}(X_1, \tau_1, v_1)\|_{\mathcal{P}_1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(X_2 - X_1, \tau_2 - \tau_1, v_2 - v_1)\|_{\mathcal{P}_1}. \quad (144)$$

*Proof.* First from Theorem 8 we can find a  $\Gamma$  and  $T_0 > 0$ , depending only on the size of initial data, say

$$N = \max\{\|u_0\|_{1+\alpha,p}, \|\sigma_0\|_{\alpha,p}\}, \quad (145)$$



which guarantees that  $\mathcal{S}$  maps  $\mathcal{I}$  to itself. This property still holds if we replace  $T_0$  by any smaller  $T > 0$ . In view of the fact that  $\mathcal{I}$  is convex, we put

$$\begin{aligned} X_\epsilon &= (2 - \epsilon)X_1 + (\epsilon - 1)X_2, \\ \tau_\epsilon &= (2 - \epsilon)\tau_1 + (\epsilon - 1)\tau_2, 1 \leq \epsilon \leq 2. \end{aligned} \tag{146}$$

Then  $(X_\epsilon, \tau_\epsilon, v_\epsilon) \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $v_\epsilon = (2 - \epsilon)v_1 + (\epsilon - 1)v_2$ ,  $u_{\epsilon,0} = u_0$ , and  $\sigma_{\epsilon,0} = \sigma_0$ . This means that

$$X'_\epsilon = X_2 - X_1, v'_\epsilon = v_2 - v_1, u'_{\epsilon,0} = 0, \sigma'_{\epsilon,0} = 0. \tag{147}$$

Then from the results of Section 4, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mathcal{V}_\epsilon \right\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{1+\alpha,p})} &\leq (\|X_2 - X_1\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{1+\alpha,p})} + \|v_2 - v_1\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} \\ &\quad + \|\tau_2 - \tau_1\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})}) S'_1(T) Q'_1(\Gamma), \\ \|\mathcal{X}'_\epsilon\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{1+\alpha,p})} &\leq (\|X_2 - X_1\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{1+\alpha,p})} + \|v_2 - v_1\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} \\ &\quad + \|\tau_2 - \tau_1\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})}) S'_2(T) Q'_2(\Gamma), \\ \|\pi_\epsilon\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} &\leq (\|X_2 - X_1\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{1+\alpha,p})} + \|v_2 - v_1\|_{L^\infty(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})} \\ &\quad + \|\tau_2 - \tau_1\|_{Lip(0,T;C^{\alpha,p})}) S'_3(T) Q'_3(\Gamma), \end{aligned} \tag{148}$$

where  $\mathcal{X}'_\epsilon$  and  $\pi_\epsilon$  are defined in (20),  $S'_1(T), S'_2(T), S'_3(T)$  vanish at the rate of  $T^{\frac{1}{2}}$  as  $T \rightarrow 0$ , and  $Q'_1(\Gamma), Q'_2(\Gamma), Q'_3(\Gamma)$  are polynomials in  $\Gamma$ , whose coefficients depend only on  $\nu$  and  $\alpha$ . By choosing  $0 < T < T_0$  small enough, depending on the size of  $Q'_i(\Gamma)$ s, we conclude the proof.  $\square$

We have obtained a solution to the system (6) in the path space  $\mathcal{P}_1$  for a short time, that is, we have  $(X, \tau, v)$  satisfying  $v = \frac{dX}{dt}$  and satisfying (14). We also have Lipschitz dependence on initial data, Theorem 1.

*Proof.* We repeat the calculation of the Theorem 9, but this time  $u'_{\epsilon,0} = u_1(0) - u_2(0)$  and  $\sigma'_{\epsilon,0} = \sigma_1(0) - \sigma_2(0)$ . Then we choose  $T_0$  small enough that  $S'_i(T_0)Q'_i(\Gamma) < \frac{1}{2}$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgements** The research of P.C. was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1713985. The research of J.L. was partially supported by a Samsung scholarship.

## References

- [1] P. Constantin. Lagrangian-Eulerian methods for uniqueness in hydrodynamic systems *Adv. Math.*, 278:67-102, 2015.
- [2] P. Constantin. *Analysis of hydrodynamic models*, volume 90 of *CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2017.