

Double Shuffle relations for Arborified Zeta Values.

Pierre J. Clavier¹

¹ *Institute of Mathematics,
University of Potsdam,
D-14476 Potsdam, Germany*

email: clavier@math.uni-potsdam.de

Abstract

Arborified zeta values are defined as iterated series and integrals using the universal properties of rooted trees. This approach allows to study their convergence domain and to relate them to multiple zeta values. Generalisations to rooted trees of the stuffle and shuffle products are defined and studied. It is further shown that arborified zeta values are algebra morphisms for these new products on trees.

Keywords: Rooted trees, multiple zeta values, shuffle products, Rota-Baxter algebras.

Math. subject classification: 13M32, 05C05.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Aims of the paper	2
1.2	State of the art	2
1.3	Content of the paper	3
2	Trees, words and universal properties	5
2.1	Generalities on trees and words	5
2.2	Branching procedures	6
2.3	From trees to words	7
2.4	Flattening and Rota-Baxter maps	8
3	Stuffle arborified zeta values	10
3.1	Log-polyhomogeneous symbols	10
3.2	Euler-MacLaurin formula	12
3.3	Arborified zeta values as series	14
3.4	Stuffle arborified zetas and multiple zetas	16
4	Shuffle arborified zeta values	18
4.1	Chen integrals and arborification	18
4.2	Arborified polylogarithms	19
4.3	Arborified zeta values as integrals	20
5	Shuffles and arborified zetas	21
5.1	Shuffles on trees	21
5.2	Shuffles of trees and Rota-Baxter maps	23
5.3	Applications to arborified zetas	24
	Appendix: Further relations amongst arborified zetas	26
A.1	Branched binarisation map	26
A.2	Hoffman's relations for branched zetas	28

arXiv:1812.00777v2 [math.NT] 18 Oct 2019

1 Introduction

1.1 Aims of the paper

Arborified zeta values is a generalisation of multiple zeta values that have not yet been fully explored. This paper aims at closing this gap. More precisely, the aims of this paper are threefold:

- To rigorously define arborified zeta values and prove their domain of convergence.
- To relate arborified zeta values to multiple zeta values.
- To study relations obeyed by the arborified zeta values.

The approach adopted here is inspired by [CGPZ1] and [MP] where the focus was on renormalised values yet the algebraic and analytic tools used in those papers can also be implemented in the convergent case studied here. The new input of the present work is

- i.* The application of the analytic tools of [MP] and [Pa] to arborified zeta values to characterise their convergence domain.
- ii.* The application of the algebraic tools of [CGPZ1] and [CGPZ2] to study the relations obeyed by arborified zeta values.
- iii.* The construction of new (to the author’s knowledge) algebraic structures on trees relevant to arborified zeta values.
- iv.* A relation between the two versions of arborified zeta values present in [M].

The first two results above are obtained in Sections 3 (for arborified zeta values defined as iterated series) and 4 (for arborified zeta values defined as iterated integrals). The third result is achieved in Section 5, where the shuffles of trees are defined and their relations to arborified zeta values explored. The last achievement is presented in the Appendix 5.3 where Hoffman’s relations are studied in the context of arborified zeta values.

1.2 State of the art

Multiple zeta values (MZVs)¹ have by now a substantial history as they can be traced back to Euler [Eu]. Over the following two centuries, they were nearly forgotten although they would appear here and there in various independent works. In the 80s, MZVs have arisen in Écalle’s [Ec] theory of mould calculus. A systematic study of MZVs was later initiated by Hoffman [Ho1] and Zagier [Za].

MZVs are nowadays a well-established subject, with many known results concerning their algebraic and number-theoretic properties, and ambitious conjectures. For a more detailed account of the historical background and recent developments, we refer the reader to one of the many available very good introductions to MZVs, for example [Wa]. Yet let us list known results on MZVs which we will generalise to trees.

Let Ω be a set. In the following, we write \mathcal{W}_Ω for the algebra freely generated over \mathbb{R} by words written in the alphabet Ω . This algebra can also be seen as the algebra of noncommutative polynomials with variables in Ω over \mathbb{R} . **Stuffle MZVs** can be seen as a map

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\llbracket \perp \rrbracket} : \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}^*} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (s_1 \cdots s_k) &\mapsto \sum_{n_1 > \cdots > n_k > 0} \prod_{i=1}^k n_i^{-s_i} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}^*}$ on which the series in (1) are well-defined. **Shuffle MZVs** on the other hand, are given by a map

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\llbracket \sqcup \rrbracket} : \mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_k) &\mapsto \int_{1 \geq t_1 \geq \cdots \geq t_k \geq 0} \prod_{i=1}^k \omega_{\epsilon_i}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

¹also called “multizeta numbers” by Écalle, “multiple harmonic sums” by Hoffman, “Euler-Zagier numbers” by the Borwein brothers and “polyzeta numbers” (in order to respect Weil’s principles) by Cartier. We will follow the referee’s suggestion and Zagier’s denomination of multiple zeta values as it seems to be the most widespread nowadays.

with $\omega_x(t) = dt/t$, $\omega_y(t) = dt/(1-t)$ and where $\mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}}$ ensuring convergence of the integral in (2). More precise definitions of stuffle and shuffle MZVs will be given in Sections 3 and 4 respectively. The terminology comes from the simple, yet crucial, observation that stuffle (resp. shuffle) MZVs are algebra morphisms for the stuffle² (resp. shuffle) product:

$$\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}(w\sqcup\sqcup w') = \zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}(w)\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}(w'), \quad \zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}(w\sqcup\sqcup w') = \zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}(w)\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}(w'). \quad (3)$$

The stuffle $\sqcup\sqcup$ and shuffle $\sqcup\sqcup$ products are rigorously defined in Definition 2.12.

Stuffle and shuffle MZVs are linked through what we call the **binarisation map**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{s} : \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}^*} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}} \\ (n_1 \cdots n_k) &\longrightarrow \underbrace{(x \cdots x)}_{n_1-1} \cdots \underbrace{(x \cdots x y)}_{n_k-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

This result is based on an observation of Kontsevitch, as reported in [Za, section 9]. Indeed, a fundamental result of the theory of MZVs is that, for any convergent word $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}^*}$, one has

$$\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}(\mathfrak{s}(w)) = \zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}(w). \quad (5)$$

This, together with the fact that $\mathfrak{s}(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}) = \mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$ implies that $\text{Im}(\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}) = \text{Im}(\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup})$ and justifies the name “multiple zeta *values*”; i.e. that we identify these maps and the elements of their image.

The third set of relations that MZVs obey are **Hoffman’s regularisation relations** [Ho1, Ho2]: for any convergent word w , $\mathfrak{s}((1)\sqcup\sqcup w) - (y)\sqcup\sqcup\mathfrak{s}(w)$ is a convergent word and

$$\mathfrak{s}((1)\sqcup\sqcup w) - (y)\sqcup\sqcup\mathfrak{s}(w) \in \text{Ker}(\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}). \quad (6)$$

The shuffle, stuffle and Hoffman’s regularisation relations are merged under the common denomination **regularised double shuffle relations**. While other relations among MZVs are known (e.g. the duality relation) it is conjectured that regularised double shuffle relations generate every algebraic relations between MZVs.

MZVs have many generalisations, conical zeta values [GPZ], Hurwitz MZVs [Bo], elliptic MZVs [En], Witten’s MZVs [Wi] among others. **Arborified zeta values (AZVs)**³ are one generalisation to trees of MZVs. While these objects are also present in Écalle’s work [Ec], they were not, by far, as extensively studied as MZVs. A systematic study of arborified MZVs started only of 2016 with the work of D. Manchon [M]. They did, however, appear two years earlier in the work of Yamamoto [Y].

In [M], the stuffle and shuffle⁴ versions of AZVs are presented and some of their properties are shown. Furthermore the question of lifting the map \mathfrak{s} to trees is raised in that same paper.

Finally, let us mention that (divergent) AZVs were defined and studied in [CGPZ1] as a testing ground for multivariate renormalisation techniques.

1.3 Content of the paper

Section 2 introduces the concepts used in the rest of the paper. In particular, the branching procedures of [CGPZ1] are recalled in Definitions 2.8 and 2.10. We give in Section 2 a purely combinatorial proof of Theorem 2.20 which links Rota-Baxter operators and the branching procedure of Definition 2.8. The original proof of this result can be found in [CGPZ1, Theorem 2.13].

A procedure was presented in [CGPZ1] which takes advantage of the universal property of rooted forest (Theorem 2.7) to lift a map $\phi : A \longrightarrow A$ to a morphism of operated algebras $\widehat{\phi} : \mathcal{F}_A \longrightarrow A$. $\widehat{\phi}$ is called the **branching** of ϕ . In Section 3 we apply this procedure to build arborified zeta values as iterated series.

Following [MP, CGPZ1], we use branching procedures on the Euler-MacLaurin operator on classical symbols. These techniques allow us to keep track of the order of symbols, see Proposition 3.13. Stuffle arborified zeta values are then defined (and a convergence criterion given) in Definition-Proposition 3.15. Stuffle AZVs are then shown to be algebra morphisms for the concatenation product of trees in Proposition 3.16.

²also called “quasi-shuffle” and “sticky shuffle”, shortened in “stuffle”.

³also called “branched zeta values” in [CGPZ1]

⁴respectively called “contracting arborification” and “simple arborification” in [M], thus following in that the names given by Écalle.

Later in Section 3, the same techniques are further applied to words to build MZVs. The order of symbols obtained after the iteration of the Euler-MacLaurin operator is given in Theorem 3.19, and the definition of MZVs (also with a convergence criterion) in Definition-Proposition 3.22. This allows to prove the following first main result of this paper (Theorem 3.25):

Theorem 1. For any convergent forest F , the convergent arborified zeta value $\zeta_{\sqcup}^T(F)$ (resp. $\zeta_{\sqcup}^{T,*}(F)$) is a finite linear combination of convergent multiple zeta values $\zeta_{\sqcup}(w)$ (resp. $\zeta_{\sqcup}^*(w)$) with rational coefficients. More precisely, it can be written as a finite linear combination of multiple zeta values with integer coefficients.

The two statements of this result, which differ only by the words rational/integer is a consequence from the fact that one can choose with which MZVs we write the $\zeta_{\sqcup}^T(F)$. We claim that there is one particular choice for which the rational coefficients are all integers. Another choice would lead to a linear combination of MZVs with rational coefficients rather than integer (under the standard conjecture that the shuffle, stuffle and Hoffman's regularisation relations are the only rational relations between MZVs). This observation will also hold for the next results relating arborified zeta and arborified polylogarithms to their non-arborified counterparts.

The techniques of Section 2 are used in Section 4 to build arborified zeta values as iterated integrals in a different fashion from that of Section 3. Indeed, in Section 3, branching procedures were used in a given ambient space (the algebra of classical symbols). In Section 3, we relate the arborified versions of iterated integrals to their unbranched counterparts at each steps. This is done for practical purpose, yet it illustrates the flexibility of branching procedures.

Since shuffle MZVs are defined by Chen's iterated integral, we recall the definition of Chen's iterated integrals in Definition-Proposition 4.1. This allows us to define arborified Chen integrals in Definition 4.2. The relation between these two objects is given in Proposition 4.4. In a special case, arborified Chen integrals are arborified polylogarithms, whose definition (together with a convergence criterion) is given in Definition-Proposition 4.8. Similar techniques are used to defined the usual multiple polylogarithms in Definition 4.7. A second important result of this paper is then Theorem 4.10 which states

Theorem 2. For any semiconvergent forest F , the arborified polylogarithm associated to F enjoys the following properties

- i.* it is a \mathbb{Q} -linear sum of multiple polylogarithms;
- ii.* it is a smooth map on $[0, 1[$;
- iii.* The arborified polylogarithm map $Li^T : F \mapsto Li_F^T$ is an algebra morphism for the concatenation of trees and the pointwise product of functions.

Shuffle AZVs (resp. MZVs) are then introduced in Definition 4.14 (resp 4.12). These two objects are related in Theorem 4.15, which reads

Theorem 3. For any convergent forest $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$, $\zeta_{\sqcup}^T(F)$ is a \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of multiple zeta values that can be written as a finite linear combination of multiple zeta values with integer coefficients. Furthermore the map $\zeta_{\sqcup}^T : \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an algebra morphism for the concatenation product of trees.

Let us emphasize that the techniques used to build arborified objects also allow to prove results about the usual, unbranched objects; for example MZVs and polylogarithms. Beside the convergence criteria already mentioned, we have been able to provide new (to the author's knowledge) proofs that stuffle zeta are stuffle morphism (see Proposition 3.23) and new proofs that multiple polylogarithms and shuffle MZVs are algebra morphisms for the shuffle product in Propositions 4.11 and 4.17 respectively.

In Section 5 we define (Definition 5.1) λ -shuffle products on trees. We show in Proposition 5.4 that these products equip rooted forests with nonassociative algebra structures. Theorem 5.8 states that branchings of Rota-Baxter operators are algebra morphisms for these λ -shuffle products on trees. This result is applied in Theorem 5.11 to show that the various versions of the AZVs are algebra morphisms for specific λ -shuffle products on trees:

Theorem 4. The map $\zeta_{\sqcup}^T : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\zeta_{\sqcup}^{T,*} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, resp. $\zeta_{\sqcup}^T : \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$) is an algebra morphism for the stuffle (resp. anti-stuffle, resp. shuffle) product on trees.

This induces relations amongst AZVs that have no direct equivalent for MZVs (Corollary 5.13).

We finish this article with an Appendix, whose purpose is the arborification of other properties of MZVs, namely the binarisation map (4) and Hoffman's regularisation relations (6). The branched version of the binarisation map is given in Definition A.1. The main result of this Appendix is Theorem A.4:

Theorem 5. For any convergent forest $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$ we have

$$\zeta_{\sqcup}^T(\mathfrak{s}^T(F)) \leq \zeta_{\sqcup}^T(F).$$

Furthermore, the inequality is an equality if and only if F has no branching vertex (i.e. F is the empty tree or $F = l_1 \cdots l_k$ with l_i being ladder trees).

Finally, Propositions A.5 and A.6 give two arborified versions of Hoffman's regularisation relations.

While completing the tasks set for this paper, new structures have been unraveled (in particular in Section 5). It leads to further natural questions, such as their links to known structures on trees, in particular Connes-Kreimer's coproduct. Moreover it is pointed out in the Appendix that Hoffman's regularisation relations do not naturally lift to trees. We feel that the quantities arising in this discrepancy are worth studying. Another possible approach could be to study another generalisation ζ^t of MZVs to trees, for which the relation $\zeta_{\sqcup}^t(\mathfrak{s}^T(F)) = \zeta_{\sqcup}^t(F)$ holds.

2 Trees, words and universal properties

Unless otherwise specified, the word algebra will stand in this paper for a unital, associative algebra over \mathbb{R} . We also set $\mathbb{N} := \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathbb{N}^* := \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

2.1 Generalities on trees and words

We recall here some well-known definitions and results on trees, see for example [Fo].

Definition 2.1. A **tree** T is a finite connected loopless graph: $T = (V(T); E(T))$. We use the short-hand notation \emptyset for the **empty graph** (\emptyset, \emptyset) . A **rooted tree** is a tree together with a partial order \geq on the set of vertices such that this partial order has a minimum element, called **the root**. A **rooted forest** is a finite disjoint union of rooted trees. The partial orders on the vertices of each tree induce a partial order on the vertices of the forest. We write \mathcal{F} the commutative algebra freely generated by rooted forests.

A vertex that is maximal for the partial order on the vertices is called a **leaf**. If for two vertices v and v' are such that $v' \geq v$, $v' \neq v$ and for any vertex v'' , $v' \geq v'' \geq v$ implies $v' = v''$ or $v = v''$, then v' is called a **direct successor** to v . A vertex that has strictly more than one direct successor is called a **branching vertex**. A tree with non branching vertex is a **ladder tree**.

Let Ω be a set. A **Ω -decorated rooted forest** is a rooted forest F together with a **decoration map** $d : V(F) \mapsto \Omega$. When there is no need to specify the decoration map we simply write F for a decorated forest (F, d) . Let \mathcal{F}_{Ω} be the commutative algebra freely generated by Ω -decorated rooted trees.

We now fix our notation for words.

Definition 2.2. For a set Ω , we write \mathcal{W}_{Ω} the linear span (over \mathbb{R}) of **words** written in the alphabet Ω . \mathcal{W}_{Ω} is therefore the algebra over \mathbb{R} of non-commutative polynomials with variables in Ω . We also write \emptyset for the empty word. Furthermore we write $\iota_{\Omega} : \mathcal{W}_{\Omega} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Omega}$ the canonical injection which sends the empty word to the empty tree and non empty words to ladder trees:

$$\iota_{\Omega}(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_k) := B_+^{\omega_1} \circ \cdots \circ B_+^{\omega_k}(\emptyset)$$

with B_+ the branching operator on forests, to be defined below.

Finally, let us define some gradings on trees and words.

Definition 2.3. • Let w be a word written in the alphabet Ω . We define its **length** $|w|$ to be 0 if w is the empty tree and $|\omega_1 \cdots \omega_k| := k$ otherwise.

• Similarly, let $F = (V(F), E(F))$ be a rooted forest. We set $|F| := |V(F)|$.

- For any $\omega \in \Omega$ and F a forest decorated by Ω , let $\sharp_\omega F$ the number of vertices of F decorated by ω :

$$\sharp_\omega F := |\{v \in V(F) : d(v) = \omega\}|.$$

- Similarly, we write $\sharp_\omega w$ the number of times that the letter ω appears in a word w .
- Let (Ω, \bullet) be a commutative semigroup. We define the **weight with respect to the product** $\bullet \|w\|_\bullet$ of a word $w \in \mathcal{W}_\Omega$ to be 0 if $w = \emptyset$ and $\|\omega_1 \cdots \omega_k\|_\bullet := \omega_1 \bullet \cdots \bullet \omega_k$. If the product on Ω is clear from context, we will speak of the weight of w and write $\|w\|$.
- Similarly, let (Ω, \bullet) be a commutative semigroup and $(F, d) = ((V(F), E(F)), d) \in \mathcal{F}_\Omega$ be a rooted forest. We set $\|F\|_\bullet := \sum_{v \in V(F)} d(v)$; where the sum is for the product \bullet .

2.2 Branching procedures

We start by recalling the definition of operated structures [G1], as written in [CGPZ2].

Definition 2.4. Let Ω be a set. An Ω -operated set (resp. **semigroup, monoid, vector space, algebra**) is a set (resp. semigroup, monoid, vector space, algebra) U together with a map $\beta : \Omega \times U \mapsto U$.

Let Ω be a set and (U, β) be an Ω -operated set (resp. semigroup, monoid, vector space, algebra). For any ω in Ω we write $\beta^\omega : U \mapsto U$ the map defined by $\beta^\omega(u) := \beta(\omega, u)$. Notice that we do not require these maps β^ω to fulfill any compatibility conditions with the semigroup (resp. monoid, vector space, algebra) structure of the operated set U .

Example 2.5. Let Ω be a set and $B_+ : \Omega \times \mathcal{F}_\Omega \mapsto \mathcal{F}_\Omega$ be the operation defined through the grafting operator which, to a doublet $(\omega, F = T_1 \cdots T_k)$ associates the decorated tree obtained from F by adding a root decorated by ω linked to each root of T_i for i going from 1 to k as shown for some small trees⁵ below:

$$B_+^\omega(\emptyset) = \bullet_\omega \quad B_+^\omega(\bullet_\omega) = \uparrow_\omega' \quad B_+^\omega(\bullet_{\omega'} \bullet_{\omega''}) = \uparrow_\omega^{\omega'} \bullet_{\omega''}.$$

Then $(\mathcal{F}_\Omega, B_+)$ is an Ω -operated (commutative) algebra.

This example enjoys a universal property as will be recalled below. This universal property was originally shown in [KP], and formulated in the present form in [G1] and an alternative proof of this result can be found in [CGPZ2]. In order to state this property and derive some of its consequences we need to define the notion of morphism between operated structures.

Definition 2.6. Let Ω be a set, (U, β_U) and (V, β_V) be two Ω -operated sets (resp. semigroups, monoids, vector spaces, algebras). A **morphism of Ω -operated sets** (resp. semigroups, monoids, vector spaces, algebras) between U and V is a map (resp. a semigroup morphism, a monoid morphism, a linear map, an algebra morphism) $\phi : U \mapsto V$ such that, for any ω in Ω and u in U

$$\phi(\beta_U(\omega, u)) = \beta_V(\omega, \phi(u)).$$

In other words, ϕ is such that diagram 1 commutes.

Theorem 2.7. [KP, G1] *Let Ω be a set. Then \mathcal{F}_Ω is the initial object in the category of commutative Ω -operated algebras, i.e. for any commutative Ω -operated algebra (A, β) , there exists a unique algebra morphism $\Phi : \mathcal{F}_\Omega \mapsto A$ such that diagram 2 commutes for every ω in Ω .*

These universal properties allow to lift maps on the decorating sets to maps on forests; using the original map to define an operation. This can be carried out in various ways, and we introduce here those that will be used later on. Such branchings were introduced in [CGPZ1] and further used in [CGPZ2].

Definition 2.8. Let Ω be a commutative algebra and $\phi : \Omega \mapsto \Omega$ be a map. Let $\beta_\phi : \Omega \times \Omega \mapsto \Omega$ be the operation of Ω on itself defined by $\beta_\phi(\omega_1, \omega_2) := \phi(\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2)$. The **branched ϕ -map** (or branching of ϕ) is the morphism of commutative Ω -operated algebras $\hat{\phi} : (\mathcal{F}_\Omega, B_+) \mapsto (\Omega, \beta_\phi)$ whose existence and unicity is given by Theorem 2.7.

⁵ the code to generate these trees was written by Loïc Foissy.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Omega \times U & \xrightarrow{\beta_U} & U \\
I_\Omega \times \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\
\Omega \times V & \xrightarrow{\beta_V} & V
\end{array}$$

Figure 1: morphism of operated structures.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{F}_\Omega & \xrightarrow{B_+^\omega} & \mathcal{F}_\Omega \\
\Phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi \\
A & \xrightarrow{\beta^\omega} & A
\end{array}$$

Figure 2: Universal property of forests.

Notice that the map $\widehat{\phi}$ is entirely determined by the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\phi}(\emptyset) &= 1_\Omega, \\
\widehat{\phi}(F_1 F_2) &= \widehat{\phi}(F_1) \widehat{\phi}(F_2), \\
\widehat{\phi}(B_+^\omega(F)) &= \phi(\omega \widehat{\phi}(F)).
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Example 2.9. Let Ω and ϕ be as in the above Definition. We give some examples of the action of $\widehat{\phi}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\phi}(\emptyset) &= 1_\Omega; & \widehat{\phi}(\bullet_\omega) &= \phi(\omega); & \widehat{\phi}(\mathbf{1}_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2}) &= \phi(\omega_1 \phi(\omega_2)); \\
\widehat{\phi}\left(\mathbb{V}_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2}\right) &= \phi(\omega_1 \phi(\omega_2) \phi(\omega_3)).
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.10. Let Ω_1, Ω_2 be two commutative algebras and $\phi : \Omega_1 \mapsto \Omega_2$ be a map. Let $\tilde{\beta}_\phi : \Omega_1 \times \mathcal{F}_{\Omega_2} \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{\Omega_2}$ be the operation of Ω_1 on \mathcal{F}_{Ω_2} defined by $\tilde{\beta}_\phi(\omega_1, F) := B_+(\phi(\omega_1), F)$. The **lifted ϕ -map** (or lifting of ϕ) is the morphism of commutative Ω_1 -operated algebras $\phi^\sharp : (\mathcal{F}_{\Omega_1}, B_+) \mapsto (\mathcal{F}_{\Omega_2}, \tilde{\beta}_\phi)$ whose existence and unicity is given by Theorem 2.7.

Example 2.11. Let Ω_1, Ω_2 and ϕ be as in the above Definition. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi^\sharp(\emptyset) &= \emptyset; & \phi^\sharp(\bullet_\omega) &= \bullet_{\phi(\omega)}; & \phi^\sharp(\mathbf{1}_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2}) &= \mathbf{1}_{\phi(\omega_1)}^{\phi(\omega_2)}; \\
\phi^\sharp\left(\mathbb{V}_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2}\right) &= \mathbb{V}_{\phi(\omega_1)}^{\phi(\omega_2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

2.3 From trees to words

We recall here some well-known structures that one can build on the set of words written in a given alphabet, referring the reader to one of the many introductions of the combinatorics of words (e.g. [G2]) for a more detailed exposition.

Definition 2.12. *i.* The **concatenation product** $\sqcup : \mathcal{W}_\Omega \times \mathcal{W}_\Omega \mapsto \mathcal{W}_\Omega$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\emptyset \sqcup w &= w \sqcup \emptyset = w, \\
(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_k) \sqcup (\omega'_1 \cdots \omega'_n) &= (\omega_1 \cdots \omega_k \omega'_1 \cdots \omega'_n)
\end{aligned}$$

for any word w in \mathcal{W}_Ω and letters $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k, \omega'_1, \dots, \omega'_n$ in Ω .

ii. Let Ω (resp. (Ω, \bullet)) be a set (resp. a commutative semigroup). The **shuffle product** (resp. the **λ -shuffle product**) is recursively defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset \sqcup w &= w \sqcup \emptyset = w, \\ ((\omega) \sqcup w) \sqcup ((\omega') \sqcup w') &= (\omega) \sqcup [w \sqcup ((\omega') \sqcup w')] + (\omega') \sqcup [((\omega) \sqcup w) \sqcup w'] \end{aligned}$$

(resp. by

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset \sqcup_{\lambda} w &= w \sqcup_{\lambda} \emptyset = w, \\ ((\omega) \sqcup w) \sqcup_{\lambda} ((\omega') \sqcup w') &= (\omega) \sqcup [w \sqcup_{\lambda} ((\omega') \sqcup w')] + (\omega') \sqcup [((\omega) \sqcup w) \sqcup_{\lambda} w'] \\ &\quad + \lambda(\omega \bullet \omega') \sqcup [w \sqcup_{\lambda} w'] \end{aligned}$$

for any λ in \mathbb{R} extended by bilinearity to products $\sqcup, \sqcup_{\lambda} : \mathcal{W}_{\Omega} \times \mathcal{W}_{\Omega} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\Omega}$.

Remark 2.13. • We notice that $\sqcup = \sqcup_0$. Therefore we will not distinguish the shuffle and λ -shuffle and always implies that the stated results will hold for Ω a set without a semigroup structure when $\lambda = 0$.

- It is well-known that \sqcup_{λ} is associative and commutative for any λ in \mathbb{R} (see e.g. [Ho3]).
- For $\lambda = 1$, one finds back the **stuffle product** (or sticky shuffle) written \sqcup_{\perp} .
- For $\lambda = -1$, we call the product \sqcup_{-1} the **anti-stuffle product**.

Following [CGPZ2], we can now define a map from forests to words. It follows from the observation that $(\mathcal{W}_{\Omega}, \emptyset, \sqcup_{\lambda})$ is a commutative algebra operated by Ω through the natural map

$$\begin{aligned} C_+ : \Omega \times \mathcal{W}_{\Omega} &\mapsto \mathcal{W}_{\Omega} \\ (\omega, w) &\mapsto C_+^{\omega}(w) := (\omega) \sqcup w. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Definition 2.14. Let Ω be a commutative semigroup and λ a real number. The **flattening map of weight λ** is the morphism of Ω -operated algebras $fl_{\lambda} : (\mathcal{F}_{\Omega}, B_+) \mapsto (\mathcal{W}_{\Omega}, C_+)$ whose existence and unicity is given by Theorem 2.7.

Notice that this map is entirely determined by the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} fl_{\lambda}(\emptyset) &= \emptyset \\ fl_{\lambda}(F_1 F_2) &= fl_{\lambda}(F_1) \sqcup_{\lambda} fl_{\lambda}(F_2) \\ fl_{\lambda}(B_+^{\omega}(F)) &= (\omega) \sqcup fl_{\lambda}(F). \end{aligned}$$

Before we move on, let us state a simple yet important property of this flattening map.

Proposition 2.15. *Let Ω be a commutative semigroup and λ a rational (resp. integer) number. For any finite forest F in \mathcal{F}_{Ω} , $fl_{\lambda}(F)$ is a finite sum of finite words with rational (resp. integer) coefficients.*

Proof. This result is easily shown by induction on the number of vertices of F . It follows from the fact that the flattening of the empty forest is trivially a finite sum of finite words with integer coefficients; that (provided λ is rational; resp. integer) the λ -shuffle of two finite sums of finite words with rational (resp. integer) coefficients is a finite sum of finite words with rational (resp. integer) coefficients since \mathbb{Q} (resp. \mathbb{Z}) is stable under multiplication and addition; and finally that the concatenation by one letter of a finite sum of finite words with rational (resp. integer) coefficients is a finite sum of finite words with rational (resp. integer) coefficients. \square

2.4 Flattening and Rota-Baxter maps

As in [CGPZ2], one builds counterparts of Definitions 2.8 and 2.10 in the context of words.

Definition 2.16. Let Ω be a commutative algebra, Ω_1 and Ω_2 be two sets. Let ι_{Ω} (resp. ι_{Ω_1} , ι_{Ω_2}) be the canonical inclusion of words into trees, which to any word associate a ladder tree. Let $\phi : \Omega \mapsto \Omega$ and $\psi : \Omega_1 \mapsto \Omega_2$ be two maps. The **W-branched ϕ map** $\widehat{\phi}_{\mathcal{W}} : \mathcal{W}_{\Omega} \mapsto \Omega$ is defined by $\widehat{\phi}_{\mathcal{W}} := \widehat{\phi} \circ \iota_{\Omega}$; and the **W-lifted ϕ map** $\phi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\#} : \mathcal{W}_{\Omega} \mapsto \Omega$ by $\phi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\#} := \iota_{\Omega_2}^{-1} \circ \phi^{\#} \circ \iota_{\Omega_1}$.

Remark 2.17. • The W-lifted ϕ map is well defined since ϕ^\sharp maps ladder trees to ladder trees, thus the image of $\phi^\sharp \circ \iota_{\Omega_1}$ is included in the image of ι_{Ω_2} .

- The maps $\widehat{\phi}_{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\phi_{\mathcal{W}}^\sharp$ can be recursively defined by the relations:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\phi}_{\mathcal{W}}(\emptyset) &:= 1_\Omega \\ \widehat{\phi}_{\mathcal{W}}((\omega) \sqcup w) &:= \phi\left(\omega \widehat{\phi}_{\mathcal{W}}(w)\right)\end{aligned}$$

for $\widehat{\phi}_{\mathcal{W}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{\mathcal{W}}^\sharp(\emptyset) &:= \emptyset \\ \phi_{\mathcal{W}}^\sharp((\omega) \sqcup w) &:= \phi(\omega) \sqcup \phi_{\mathcal{W}}^\sharp(w)\end{aligned}$$

for $\phi_{\mathcal{W}}^\sharp$.

The various structures described above are linked through the notion of Rota-Baxter map. A gentle introduction to this rich subject can be found in [G2].

Definition 2.18. Let Ω be a commutative algebra and λ a real number. A map $P : \Omega \mapsto \Omega$ is a **Rota-Baxter map of weight λ** if

$$P(a)P(b) = P(aP(b)) + P(P(a)b) + \lambda P(ab) \quad (9)$$

for any a and b in Ω .

Let us give standard examples of Rota-Baxter maps, which will be of importance in the next Sections.

Example 2.19. *i.* Let $X \subseteq L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ be an algebra of locally integrable functions on \mathbb{R} equipped with the pointwise product of functions stable under the integration map $f \mapsto (x \rightarrow \int_a^x f(x)dx)$. For any a, b in \mathbb{R} , the integration map is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight 0.

ii. Let $l(\mathbb{R})$ be the algebra of sequences on \mathbb{R} equipped with the pointwise product of sequences. The summation operator $\Sigma : l(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto l(\mathbb{R})$ defined by $\Sigma(u_n)(N) := \sum_{n=0}^N u_n$ is a Rota-Baxter map of weight -1 .

iii. Let $t_{-1} : \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto \mathbb{N}$ be the translation map by -1 and t_{-1}^* be its pull-back to $l(\mathbb{R})$. Then $t_{-1}^* \Sigma$ is a Rota-Baxter map of weight $+1$.

We state a result of [CGPZ1] for which we provide an original, straightforward proof.

Theorem 2.20. [CGPZ1, Theorem 2.13] *Let Ω be a commutative algebra and $P : \Omega \mapsto \Omega$ a linear map. For any real number λ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- i.* P is a Rota-Baxter map of weight λ .
- ii.* The P -branched map factorises through words: $\widehat{P} = \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}} \circ fl_\lambda$.
- iii.* $\widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is a morphism for the λ -shuffle product, namely $\widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(w \sqcup \sqcup_\lambda w') = \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(w) \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(w')$ for any w, w' in \mathcal{W}_Ω .

Remark 2.21. • This statement was proven in [CGPZ2] in the more general framework of locality structures. This Theorem can be seen as the case where the independence relation is complete: $\top = \Omega \times \Omega$.

- The proof of this result was obtained in [CGPZ2] by using a locality version of universal properties of words. We propose here a more straightforward proof, which also holds in the locality framework.

Proof. (ii) \Rightarrow (i): For any a, b in Ω , applying \widehat{P} to the forest $\bullet_a \bullet_b$ gives $\widehat{P}(\bullet_a \bullet_b) = P(a)P(b)$. On the other hand, if $\widehat{P} = \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}} \circ fl_\lambda$ holds one has

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{P}(\bullet_a \bullet_b) &= \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(fl_\lambda(\bullet_a \bullet_b)) = \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}((a) \sqcup \sqcup_\lambda (b)) = \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}((a) \sqcup (b)) + \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}((b) \sqcup (a)) + \lambda \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}((ab)) \\ &= P(aP(b)) + P(P(a)b) + \lambda P(ab);\end{aligned}$$

thus P is a Rota-Baxter map of weight λ .

(i) \Rightarrow (iii): Assuming that (i) holds, we prove this result by induction on $p = |w| + |w'|$. Let P be a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ .

- If $p = 0$, then $w = w' = \emptyset$ and the result trivially holds as both sides are equal to 1.
- For p a natural number, let us assume that $\widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(w \sqcup \sqcup_{\lambda} w') = \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(w) \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(w')$ for any words w and w' such that $|w| + |w'| \leq p$. Let w and w' be two words such that $|w| + |w'| = p + 1$. If $w = \emptyset$ or $w' = \emptyset$ the result once again trivially holds. Otherwise there exist two words \tilde{w} and \tilde{w}' (eventually empty) and ω, ω' in Ω such that $w = (\omega) \sqcup \tilde{w}$ and $w' = (\omega') \sqcup \tilde{w}'$. Then by definition of $\widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(w) \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(w') &= P\left(\omega \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w})\right) P\left(\omega' \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w}')\right) \\ &= P\left(\omega \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w}) P\left(\omega' \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w}')\right)\right) + P\left(P\left(\omega \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w})\right) \omega' \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w}')\right) + \lambda P\left(\omega \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w}) \omega' \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w}')\right) \\ &= P\left(\omega \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w}) \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(w')\right) + P\left(\widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(w) \omega' \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w}')\right) + \lambda P\left(\omega \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w}) \omega' \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w}')\right) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that P is a Rota-Baxter map of weight λ .

On the other hand we have by definition of $\sqcup \sqcup_{\lambda}$ and from the definition of $\widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(w \sqcup \sqcup_{\lambda} w') &= \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}((\omega) \sqcup (\tilde{w} \sqcup \sqcup_{\lambda} w')) + \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}((\omega') \sqcup (w \sqcup \sqcup_{\lambda} \tilde{w}')) + \lambda \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}((\omega \omega') \sqcup (\tilde{w} \sqcup \sqcup_{\lambda} \tilde{w}')) \\ &= P\left(\omega \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w} \sqcup \sqcup_{\lambda} w')\right) + P\left(\omega' \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(w \sqcup \sqcup_{\lambda} \tilde{w}')\right) + \lambda P\left(\omega \omega' \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w} \sqcup \sqcup_{\lambda} \tilde{w}')\right) \\ &= P\left(\omega \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w}) \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(w')\right) + P\left(\omega' \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(w) \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w}')\right) + \lambda P\left(\omega \omega' \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w}) \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w}')\right) \\ &\hspace{20em} \text{by the induction hypothesis} \\ &= P\left(\omega \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w}) \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(w')\right) + P\left(\widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(w) \omega' \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w}')\right) + \lambda P\left(\omega \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w}) \omega' \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{w}')\right) \end{aligned}$$

by commutativity of Ω . This concludes this part of the proof.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): Assuming that (iii) holds, we once again prove this result by induction, this time on $p = |F|$.

- If $p = 0$ then $F = \emptyset$ and the result trivially holds.
- For any p a natural number, let us assume that $\widehat{P}(F) = \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(fl_{\lambda}(F))$ for any forest F such that $|F| \leq p$. Let F be a forest with exactly $p + 1$ vertices. Therefore F is nonempty and we have either $F = T = B_{+}^{\omega}(\tilde{F})$ for some (eventually empty) forest \tilde{F} or $F = F_1 F_2$ with F_1 and F_2 nonempty forests. In the first case we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{P}(F = T = B_{+}^{\omega}(\tilde{F})) &= P\left(\omega \widehat{P}(\tilde{F})\right) \quad \text{by definition of } \widehat{P} \\ &= P\left(\omega \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(fl_{\lambda}(\tilde{F}))\right) \quad \text{by the induction hypothesis} \\ &= \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}\left((\omega) \sqcup fl_{\lambda}(\tilde{F})\right) \quad \text{by definition of } \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}} \\ &= \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(fl_{\lambda}(F)) \quad \text{by definition of } fl_{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

In the second case we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{P}(F = F_1 F_2) &= \widehat{P}(F_1) \widehat{P}(F_2) \quad \text{by definition of } \widehat{P} \\ &= \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(fl_{\lambda}(F_1)) \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(fl_{\lambda}(F_2)) \quad \text{by the induction hypothesis} \\ &= \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(fl_{\lambda}(F_1) \sqcup \sqcup_{\lambda} fl_{\lambda}(F_2)) \quad \text{since (iii) holds by assumption} \\ &= \widehat{P}_{\mathcal{W}}(fl_{\lambda}(F_1 F_2)) \quad \text{by definition of } fl_{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes this induction.

Hence we have proven three implications, thus the theorem. \square

3 Stuffle arborified zeta values

3.1 Log-polyhomogeneous symbols

We follow the presentation of [MP] and [Pa] to introduce log-polyhomogeneous symbols.

Definition 3.1. *i.* Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$. A smooth function $\sigma : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a **symbol** (with constant coefficients) of order $r \in \mathbb{R}$ on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ if

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists C_k : \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}, |\partial_x^k \sigma(x)| \leq C_k x^{r-k}. \quad (10)$$

The set of such symbols of order r on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ (with constant coefficients) is written $\mathcal{S}^r(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$, which is a real vector space since $r \leq r' \implies \mathcal{S}^r(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{r'}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$.

ii. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. A symbol $\sigma : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a **classical symbol** also called **poly-homogeneous symbol** on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ if it lies in $\mathcal{S}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$ and if there exists a sequence $a_{\alpha-j} \in \mathbb{R}, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sigma_{(N)}(x) := \sigma(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} a_{\alpha-j} x^{\alpha-j} \quad (11)$$

lies in $\mathcal{S}^{\alpha-N}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$. We shall write

$$\sigma \sim \sum \sigma_{\alpha-j}$$

The set of classical symbols on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ of order α is written $CS^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$, and the set of symbols of order less or equal than α is a real vector space. Notice that one needs to be careful, as the difference of two symbols of order α can be of order $\alpha - 1$, and we set

$$CS(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}} CS^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$$

the linear span of classical symbols of all orders.

iii. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. A **log-polyhomogeneous symbol of order (α, k)** is a function $f : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$

$$f(x) = \sum_{l=0}^k f_l(x) \log^l(x) \quad (12)$$

with $f_l \in CS^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$. We write $\mathcal{P}^{\alpha;k}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$ the real vector space spanned by log-polyhomogeneous symbol of order (α, k) . We also define

$$\mathcal{P}^{\alpha;*}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}) := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}^{\alpha;k}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}); \quad \mathcal{P}^{*;*}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}) := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}^{*;k}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}).$$

with $\mathcal{P}^{*;k}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$ the linear span of \mathbb{R} of all $\mathcal{P}^{\alpha;k}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 3.2. • We choose to restrict our discussion to the case $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Complex-valued symbols (resp. classical, log-polyhomogeneous) can be introduced in a similar fashion, however we will not require this level of generality for the construction and study of stuffle arborified zeta values.

- We further choose to take our symbols on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ rather than on \mathbb{R}^n or $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, once again in order to simplify the presentation.
- Besides these restrictions, our definition of log-polyhomogeneous symbols differs of the one of [MP] as we require the coefficients functions f_l to be classical symbols rather than symbols. This is because these objects appear more naturally in the subsequent developments.

For any connected subset I of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ we write $\mathcal{P}^{\alpha,k}(I)$ for sets of log-polyhomogeneous symbols over I . These objects have the same definition as that of $\mathcal{P}^{\alpha;k}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ replaced by $x \in I$. Notice that for two connected subsets I, J of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$, $I \subseteq J \implies \mathcal{P}^{\alpha,k}(J) \subseteq \mathcal{P}^{\alpha,k}(I)$.

The following Lemma is a direct consequence of the definition of log-polyhomogeneous symbols and standard results of real analysis:

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}^{\alpha;k}(I)$ be a log-polyhomogeneous symbol over $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$. If $\alpha < 0$, then σ admits a finite limit in $+\infty$ for any k . If $\alpha = 0$ then σ admits a finite limit in $+\infty$ if and only if $k = 0$.*

In the following sections we will focus on the cases $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is the reason why we need to introduce log-polyhomogeneous symbols rather than working only with classical symbols, as the space of classical symbols with integer orders is not stable under taking primitives.

Let us recall important properties of log-polyhomogeneous symbols:

Proposition 3.4. ([Pa, Proposition 2.55]) *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any real number $a < 0$, the pull-back translation map \mathfrak{t}_a^* sends $\mathcal{P}^{\alpha;k}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$ to $\mathcal{P}^{\alpha;k}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1-a})$ i.e. for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}^{\alpha;k}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$*

$$\mathfrak{t}_a^* \sigma := (x \mapsto \sigma(x+a))$$

is an element of $\mathcal{P}^{\alpha;k}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1-a})$.

Furthermore, one easily checks the following property:

Proposition 3.5. *The space $\mathcal{P}^{*;*}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$ is a bifiltration, i.e. for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \leq \beta \wedge \alpha - \beta \in \mathbb{Z} &\implies \mathcal{P}^{\alpha;k}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}) \subseteq \mathcal{P}^{\beta;k}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}); \\ k \leq l &\implies \mathcal{P}^{\alpha;k}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}) \subseteq \mathcal{P}^{\alpha;l}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}); \\ \mathcal{P}^{\alpha;k}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}) \cdot \mathcal{P}^{\beta;l}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}) &\subseteq \mathcal{P}^{\alpha+\beta;k+l}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}). \end{aligned}$$

As pointed out, our definition of $\mathcal{P}^{\alpha;k}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$ slightly differs from the one in [Pa] however the same proofs still hold.

Finally, in order to lighten the notations, the spaces $\mathcal{P}^{\alpha;k}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}^{\alpha;*}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$; $\mathcal{P}^{*;k}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$ and $\mathcal{P}^{*;*}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$) will be written $\mathcal{P}^{\alpha;k}$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}^{\alpha;*}$; $\mathcal{P}^{*;k}$ and $\mathcal{P}^{*;*}$).

3.2 Euler-MacLaurin formula

Following the notations of [CGPZ1] we want to define iterated sums of log-polyhomogeneous symbols:

$$S(\sigma)(N) := \sum_{n=1}^N \sigma(n).$$

The Euler-MacLaurin formula (see [H], formula (13.1.1)) relates such a sum with integral and derivatives of the summed function:

$$\begin{aligned} S(\sigma)(N) &= \int_1^N \sigma(x) dx + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma(N) + \sigma(1)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^K \frac{B_k}{k!} \left(\sigma^{(k-1)}(N) - \sigma^{(k-1)}(1) \right) + \frac{(-1)^{K+1}}{K!} \int_1^N \overline{B}_K(x) \sigma^{(K)}(x) dx \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $\overline{B}_k(x) = B_k(x - [x])$ with $[x]$ the integer part of the real number x , and $B_k(x)$ the k -th Bernoulli polynomial. Notice that (13) is independent of the choice of $K \geq 2$.

Following once more [MP] and [CGPZ2] we define an operator P acting on smooth functions by

$$\begin{aligned} P(\sigma)(x) &= \int_1^x \sigma(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma(t) + \sigma(1)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^K \frac{B_k}{k!} \left(\sigma^{(k-1)}(t) - \sigma^{(k-1)}(1) \right) + \frac{(-1)^{K+1}}{K!} \int_1^x \overline{B}_K(t) \sigma^{(K)}(t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Notice that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ we have $P(\sigma)(N) = S(\sigma)(N)$: P interpolates the discrete sum $S(\sigma)$.

Lemma 3.6. *For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\int : \mathcal{P}^{\alpha;l} \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\alpha+1;l} + \mathcal{P}^{0;0}.$$

Furthermore

$$\int : \mathcal{P}^{-1;l} \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{0;l+1} + \mathcal{P}^{0;0}.$$

In both cases, the operator \int is defined by $(\int f)(x) := \int_1^x \sigma(t) dt$.

Proof. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$ we have for $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}^{\alpha;l}$

$$\int_1^x \sigma(t) dt = \sum_{l=0}^k \left[\sigma_{j=0}^{N_l} a_{\alpha-j}^{(l)} \int_1^x t^{\alpha-j} \log^l(t) dt + \int_1^x \sigma_{(N_l),l}(t) \log^l(t) dt \right]$$

where we used (11) with obvious notations.

- Using that, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$ then $\alpha - j \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we prove by induction on l that

$$x \mapsto \int_1^x t^\alpha \log^l(t) dt \in \mathcal{P}^{\alpha+1;l} + \mathcal{P}^{0;0}.$$

The case $l = 0$ is shown by direct integration.

Assuming that this result holds for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we have, by integration by part

$$\int_1^x t^\alpha \log^{l+1}(t) dt = \frac{x^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} \log^l(x) + \frac{l}{\alpha+1} \int_1^x t^\alpha \log^l(t) dt.$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have $(x \mapsto \int_1^x t^\alpha \log^l(t) dt) \in \mathcal{P}^{\alpha+1;l} + \mathcal{P}^{0;0}$; then Proposition 3.5 allows to conclude this induction.

- To end this proof, it is now enough to show that, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\tau \in \mathcal{S}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$ then it exists $\rho \in \mathcal{S}^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$ and $K \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_1^x \tau(t) \log^l(t) dt = \rho(x) \log^l(x) + K.$$

Clearly, \int maps smooth functions of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ to smooth functions of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$. Moreover, using the bound (10) we have

$$\left| \int_1^x \tau(t) \log^l(t) dt \right| \leq C \int_1^x t^\alpha \log^l(t) dt$$

For some $C \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the induction of the previous point allows us to conclude.

In the case of $\mathcal{P}^{-1;l}$ the same arguments hold but the integration (resp. integration by parts) will add one logarithm. \square

We further recall a classical Lemma of the theory of log-polyhomogeneous symbols

Lemma 3.7. *The differentiation operator $\partial : \sigma \mapsto \sigma'$ sends $\mathcal{P}^{\alpha;l}$ to $\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1;l}$. We also have, for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$ that $\partial(\sigma \log^l) = \tau \log^l$ for some $\tau \in \mathcal{S}^{\alpha-1}$.*

We can now state and prove the main Proposition of this subsection.

Proposition 3.8. *For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} P : \mathcal{P}^{\alpha;k} &\longmapsto \mathcal{P}^{\alpha+1;k} + \mathcal{P}^{0;0}; \\ P : \mathcal{P}^{-1;k} &\longmapsto \mathcal{P}^{0;k+1} + \mathcal{P}^{0;0}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.9. This result is a refinement of [MP, Proposition 8]; which therefore also holds in the slightly smaller space \mathcal{P}^{**} of the present paper.

Proof. *i.* Let us start with the case $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$. Applying Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 in the Euler-MacLaurin formula (14) we see that it is enough to show that it exists $\tau \in \mathcal{S}^{\alpha+1-N}$ so that, for a big enough K

$$\int_1^x \overline{B_K}(t) \sigma^{(K)}(t) dt = \tau(x) \log^k(x).$$

Using that $\overline{B_K}(t)$ is bounded and twice Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.6 we obtain that such a $\tau \in \mathcal{S}^{\alpha-K+1}$ exists. Therefore we obtain the desired bound by taking $K \geq N$.

ii. The case $\alpha = -1$ is exactly similar, using the second part of Lemma 3.6. \square

3.3 Arborified zeta values as series

Definition 3.10. Let $\mathcal{R} : \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{*,*}$ be the map defined by

$$\mathcal{R}(\alpha) := (x \mapsto x^{-\alpha})$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

We lift \mathcal{R} as detailed in Definition 2.10 to obtain the lifted \mathcal{R} -map $\mathcal{R}^\sharp : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*} \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^{*,*}}$.

Definition 3.11. For $\lambda \in \{0, -1\}$, we define the operator \mathfrak{S}_λ as $\mathfrak{t}_\lambda^* P$. We further define $\mathcal{Z}_\lambda := \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_\lambda \circ \mathcal{R}^\sharp : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*} \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{*,*}$

The simple, yet important subsequent Lemma is a consequence of Propositions 3.8 and 3.4

Lemma 3.12. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \in \{0, -1\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_\lambda : \mathcal{P}^{\alpha;k} &\mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\alpha+1;k}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1-\lambda}) + \mathcal{P}^{0;0}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1-\lambda}) \\ \mathfrak{S}_\lambda : \mathcal{P}^{-1;k} &\mapsto \mathcal{P}^{0;k+1}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1-\lambda}) + \mathcal{P}^{0;0}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1-\lambda}). \end{aligned}$$

Before stating the main analytic property of \mathcal{Z}_λ , let us recall some useful notations for forests decorated by \mathbb{N}^* : for any \mathbb{N}^* -decorated forest (F, d) , we write

- i. $|F| := |V(F)|$ as usual,
- ii. $\sharp_n F$ the number of vertices of F decorated by $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$:

$$\sharp_n F := |\{v \in V(F) : d(v) = n\}|.$$

Proposition 3.13. For any nonempty \mathbb{N}^* -decorated tree (T, d) of root r we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_\lambda(T) \in \mathcal{P}^{1-d(r), \sharp_1 T}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1-\lambda|F|}) + \mathcal{P}^{0;0}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1-\lambda|F|}).$$

For any nonempty \mathbb{N}^* -decorated tree (F, d) with $F = T_1 \cdots T_k$, with T_i nonempty and of root r_i for any $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_\lambda(F) \in \mathcal{P}^{1-r_{\min}(F), \sharp_1 F}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1-\lambda|F|}) + \mathcal{P}^{0;0}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1-\lambda|F|})$$

with $r_{\min}(F) := \min_{i=1, \dots, k} d(r_i)$.

Proof. We prove this result by induction on the number $n = |F|$ of vertices of the forest.

For the case $n = 1$ we have, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$\mathcal{Z}_\lambda(\bullet_\alpha) = \mathfrak{S}_\lambda(t \rightarrow t^{-\alpha}).$$

Since $(t \rightarrow t^{-\alpha})$ lies in $\mathcal{P}^{-\alpha, 0}$, the result follows from Lemma 3.12.

Let us assume this result to hold for any nonempty forests of n or fewer vertices. Let F be a forest of $n + 1$ vertices. We distinguish two cases.

- If $F = F_1 F_2$ with F_1 and F_2 non empty then we can write

$$\mathcal{Z}_\lambda(F) = \mathcal{Z}_\lambda(F_1) \mathcal{Z}_\lambda(F_2).$$

Indeed \mathcal{Z}_λ being the composition of two algebra morphisms, it is an algebra morphism. Then, using the induction hypothesis, the filtration properties of Proposition 3.5 (which can be applied since $\mathcal{Z}_\lambda(F_i)$ has integer order) and the remark that $I \subseteq J \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\alpha, k}(J) \subseteq \mathcal{P}^{\alpha, k}(I)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_\lambda(F) &\in \mathcal{P}^{2-r_{\min}(F_1)-r_{\min}(F_2), \sharp_1 F}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1-\lambda|F|}) + \mathcal{P}^{1-r_{\min}(F_1), \sharp_1 F_1}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1-\lambda|F|}) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{P}^{1-r_{\min}(F_2), \sharp_1 F_2}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1-\lambda|F|}) + \mathcal{P}^{0;0}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1-\lambda|F|}) \end{aligned}$$

Which, by Proposition 3.5 implies the result for F since $1 - r_{\min}(F_1) \leq 0$; $1 - r_{\min}(F_2) \leq 0$ and since each of the orders are integers.

- In the case $F = T$ a tree, it exists a nonempty forest \tilde{F} and a positive natural number α such that $T = B_+^\alpha(\tilde{F})$ since $|T| = n + 1 \geq 2$. We then have

$$\mathcal{Z}(T) = \mathfrak{S} \left(t \rightarrow t^{-\alpha} \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{F})(t) \right).$$

Using the induction hypothesis on \tilde{F} and the bifiltration property we then obtain

$$t \rightarrow t^{-\alpha} \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{F})(t) \in \mathcal{P}^{1-d_{\min}-\alpha, \#_1 \tilde{F}}(\mathbb{R}_{1-\lambda n}) + \mathcal{P}^{-\alpha, 0}(\mathbb{R}_{1-\lambda n}).$$

In the case $\alpha \geq 2$, from Lemma 3.12 and the observation that $1 - d_{\min} \leq 0$, we obtain the desired result, once again using Proposition 3.5 (since in this case $\#_1 T = \#_1 \tilde{F}$), which can be used for the first and the second indices as well.

In the case $\alpha = 1$, the same argument holds if one notices that $\#_1 T = \#_1 \tilde{F} + 1$.

These two cases allow to conclude the proof of the Theorem. \square

Definition 3.14. A \mathbb{N}^* -decorated tree (T, d) is called **convergent** if it is empty or if it has root r and $d(r) \geq 2$, i.e. if the decoration of its root is strictly greater than one. A \mathbb{N}^* -decorated forest $(F = T_1 \cdots T_k, d)$ is called **convergent** if T_i is convergent for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$ be the subalgebra of convergent forests.

It is clear that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}$ since by definition $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$ is stable by concatenation of forests.

Definition-Proposition 3.15. For any convergent \mathbb{N}^* -decorated forest F and $\lambda \in \{0, -1\}$, $\mathcal{Z}_\lambda(F)(x)$ admits a finite limit as x goes to infinity. We define the maps $\zeta_{\lfloor \pm \rfloor}^T, \zeta_{\lfloor \pm \rfloor}^{T,*} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\zeta_{\lfloor \pm \rfloor}^T(F) := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{Z}_{-1}(F)(x), \quad \zeta_{\lfloor \pm \rfloor}^{T,*}(F) := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{Z}_0(F)(x)$$

for any $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$.

Proof. For any convergent forest F we have $1 - d_{\min} \leq -1$. Therefore by Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.13 the limits at $+\infty$ of $\mathcal{Z}_\lambda(F)$ are well-defined and finite for $\lambda \in \{0, -1\}$ provided that F is convergent. \square

The definition of AZVs is illustrated by diagram 3.

Let us notice that the arborified zeta values defined here coincide with the branched zeta values of [CGPZ1], in the convergent case where the renormalisation scheme reduces to a simple evaluation at 0 of the regularisation parameters. We could therefore have defined AZVs through the regularised branched zeta values of [CGPZ1]. We have not opted for this in order to obtain a self-contained presentation of AZVs. Furthermore, the question of renormalisation brings more involved analytic objects, such as meromorphic families of classical symbols, which are unnecessary in the convergent case.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}} & \xrightarrow{\zeta_{\lfloor \pm \rfloor}^T, \zeta_{\lfloor \pm \rfloor}^{T,*}} & \mathbb{R} \\
 \mathcal{R}^\sharp \downarrow & \searrow \mathcal{Z}_\lambda & \uparrow \text{ev}_\infty \\
 \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^{*,*}} & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_\lambda} & \mathcal{P}^{*,*}
 \end{array}$$

Figure 3: Definition of arborified zeta values.

Using the fact that \mathcal{Z}_λ and ev_∞ are both algebra morphisms, we obtain the simple, yet important subsequent Proposition

Proposition 3.16. *The maps $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T$ and $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^{T,*}$ are algebra morphisms for the concatenation product of trees.*

Remark 3.17. • Using conical summation techniques, Zerbini [Ze] was able to relate the values taken by $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T$ and $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^{T,*}$ (private communication).

- Finally, let us notice that the techniques used above can also be used to define branched Euler sums which correspond (in the words case) to convergent MZVs with some negative arguments. These objects are an active area of research, see for example [WX] for some recent results.

3.4 Stuffle arborified zetas and multiple zetas

The construction of the previous subsection can be adapted to build multiple zeta values instead of arborified zetas simply by replacing \mathcal{R}^\sharp by $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}^\sharp$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_\lambda$ by $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda,\mathcal{W}}$.

Definition 3.18. For $\lambda \in \{0, -1\}$, let $\mathcal{Z}_{\lambda,\mathcal{W}} : \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}^*} \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{*,*}$ be the operator defined by $\mathcal{Z}_{\lambda,\mathcal{W}} := \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}^\sharp \circ \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_{\mathcal{W}}$.

Theorem 3.19. *For any nonempty word $w = (\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n)$, we have*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\lambda,\mathcal{W}}(w) \in \mathcal{P}^{1-\omega_1, \sharp_1 w}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1-\lambda|w|}) + \mathcal{P}^{0;0}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1-\lambda|w|}).$$

Proof. The proof is carried out in the same fashion as the proof of Proposition 3.13, with only the case $w = C_+^\omega(\tilde{w})$ to be considered. \square

Definition 3.20. A word w written in the alphabet \mathbb{N}^* is called **convergent** if it is empty or if its first letter on the left is greater or equal to 2. Let $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$ be the linear span of convergent words.

As the terminology suggests, convergent words (resp. trees) will lie in the convergence domain of MZVs (resp. AZVs).

Lemma 3.21. *For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}, \emptyset, \sqcup\sqcup_\lambda)$ is a subalgebra of $(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}^*}, \emptyset, \sqcup\sqcup_\lambda)$.*

Proof. By definition $\emptyset \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$. The rest of the proof is easily carried out by induction on $|w| + |w'|$ for two convergent words w and w' , using the induction definition 2.12 of the product $\sqcup\sqcup_\lambda$. \square

Definition-Proposition 3.22. *For any convergent word written in the alphabet \mathbb{N}^* and $\lambda \in \{0, -1\}$, $\mathcal{Z}_{\lambda,\mathcal{W}}(w)(x)$ admits a finite limit as x goes to infinity. We define the maps $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}, \zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^* : \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ by*

$$\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}(F) := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{Z}_{-1,\mathcal{W}}(F)(x), \quad \zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^*(F) := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{Z}_{0,\mathcal{W}}(F)(x)$$

for any $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$.

Proof. The proof of the statement is similar to the proof of Definition-Proposition 3.15. \square

Notice that this approach to multiple zeta values easily yields back well-known (see for example [Wa]) results for these numbers:

Proposition 3.23. *The map $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}$ is a algebra morphism for the stuffle product $\sqcup\sqcup = \sqcup\sqcup_1$. Furthermore the map $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^*$ is an algebra morphism for the anti-stuffle product $\sqcup\sqcup_{-1}$.*

Proof. By Examples 2.19, *iii* (resp. 2.19, *ii*) we know that \mathfrak{S}_{-1} (resp. \mathfrak{S}_0), when restricted to \mathbb{N}^* , is a Rota-Baxter map of weight +1 (resp. -1). One can then apply Theorem 2.20 *iii* to get that $N \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_{-1,\mathcal{W}}(N)$ (resp. $N \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_{0,\mathcal{W}}(N)$), is an algebra morphism for the stuffle (resp. anti-stuffle) product. Taking the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ gives to the result. \square

Before stating the main result of this subsection, let us state a simple Lemma.

Lemma 3.24. *For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the flattening map fl_λ maps convergent forests to convergent words.*

Proof. One can perform an easy proof by induction on the number of vertices of the convergent forest. For the empty forest, we have $fl_\lambda(\emptyset) \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$. For a nonempty convergent tree $T = B_+^{n \geq 2}(F)$ for some forest F . Then $fl_\lambda(T) = C_+^{n \geq 2}(fl_\lambda(F)) \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$. Finally for the case $F = F_1 F_2$, we have that F_1 and F_2 are convergent if F is and therefore $fl_\lambda(F) = fl_\lambda(F_1) \sqcup\sqcup_\lambda fl_\lambda(F_2) \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$ by the induction hypothesis and Lemma 3.21. \square

We can now relate these two constructions.

Theorem 3.25. *For any convergent forest F , the convergent arborified zeta value $\zeta_{\sqcup}^T(F)$ (resp. $\zeta_{\sqcup}^{T,*}(F)$) is a finite linear combination of convergent multiple zeta values $\zeta_{\sqcup}(w)$ (resp. $\zeta_{\sqcup}^*(w)$) with rational coefficients and can be written as a finite linear combination of multiple zeta values with integer coefficients.*

Proof. Let F be any convergent forest.

Once again, by Examples 2.19, *iii* (resp. 2.19, *ii*) we know that \mathfrak{S}_{-1} (resp. \mathfrak{S}_0), when restricted to \mathbb{N}^* , is a Rota-Baxter map of weight $+1$ (resp. -1). Applying Theorem 2.20 *ii* we get that $N \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_{-1}(F)(N)$ (resp. $N \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_0(F)(N)$), when restricted to \mathbb{N}^* , factorises through words, i.e. that $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_\lambda(F)(N) = \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_{\lambda, \mathcal{W}} \circ fl_\lambda(F)(N)$ for any N in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq |F|+1}$ and $\lambda \in \{0, -1\}$.

Then by Lemma 3.24 we can take the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ in both sides which gives the result. We obtain a finite sum with integer coefficients thanks to Proposition 2.15. \square

To summarize the main results of this Section, the definitions and relations between branched zetas and multiple zetas can be summarized as the commutativity of diagram 4.




Figure 4: Multiple zetas and arborified zetas.

Furthermore, the relationships between convergent ordinary zeta values, arborified convergent zeta values and the flattening map is illustrated in the commutative diagram 5. The same diagram




Figure 5: Convergent zetas and flattening.

holds with ζ_{\sqcup} (resp. fl_1 and ζ_{\sqcup}^T) replaced by ζ_{\sqcup}^* (resp. fl_{-1} and $\zeta_{\sqcup}^{T,*}$).

Remark 3.26. Before moving on to shuffle arborified zeta values, let us mention that an integral representation of stuffle zeta values was proposed in [M], but it does not preserve the structure of trees. Such an integral representation was also presented in [Ze].

4 Shuffle arborified zeta values

4.1 Chen integrals and arborification

In [Ch] iterated integrals are recursively defined. One way to define them is as a map $Ch : \mathcal{W}_X \mapsto \mathcal{I}(I)$; where $I = [a, b]$ is a closed interval, $\mathcal{I}(I)$ is the set of continuous, integrable functions over I and $X = \{f_1, \dots, f_N\}$ is a finite subset of $\mathcal{I}(I)$. In [Ch] this recursive definition goes as follows:

Definition-Proposition 4.1. *Let $I = [a, b]$ be a closed interval in \mathbb{R} and $X = \{f_1, \dots, f_N\}$ be a finite set with $f_i : I \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ smooth, continuous functions over I . $Ch : \mathcal{W}_X \mapsto \mathcal{I}(I)$ is the linear map, whose action on the basis elements of \mathcal{W}_X is recursively defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} Ch(\emptyset) &:= (x \mapsto 1 \ \forall x \in I) =: \mathbf{1}, \\ Ch((f_i) \sqcup w) &:= \left(x \mapsto \int_a^x f_i(t) Ch(w)(t) dt \ \forall x \in I \right) \end{aligned}$$

for any f_i in X and w in \mathcal{W}_X .

Proof. In order to prove that this definition is consistent, one has to prove that $Ch(w)$ lies in $\mathcal{I}(I)$ for any word w in \mathcal{W}_X . We show by induction that it exists $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any x in I and w in \mathcal{W}_X , one has

$$|Ch(w)(x)| \leq (M|b-a|)^{|w|}.$$

This can easily be done by induction on the length of the word w . For a word of length 0, it is trivially true. Now, since each f_i in X is continuous over the closed interval I , f_i is bounded by some constant M_i . Let $M := \max_{i=1, \dots, n} M_i$. If $|Ch(w)(x)| \leq (M|b-a|)^{|w|}$ holds for every word w of length $n \geq 1$, then one has for any f_i in X

$$|Ch((f_i) \sqcup w)(x)| \leq \int_a^x |f_i(t) Ch(w)(t)| dt \leq (M|b-a|)^{|w|+1}.$$

This shows that $|Ch(w)(x)| \leq (M|b-a|)^{|w|}$ for any x in I and w in \mathcal{W}_X . Continuity of $Ch(w)$ follows from the standard theorems of integration theory. \square

We denote by $Ch_X(I)$ the algebra (for the pointwise product) freely generated by the image of Ch . It admits $\mathbf{1} = Ch(\emptyset)$ as a unit.

This approach shows that Chen integrals are the elements of the image of a map $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}_{a\mathcal{W}}^b$ going from \mathcal{W}_X to a subset of $\mathcal{I}(I)$, where \mathcal{I}_a^b is the integration map defined by $\mathcal{I}_a^b(f)(x) := \int_a^x f(t) dt$ for x in $[a, b]$. Indeed, standard results of integration theory states that, since the interval I is closed, the image of $\mathcal{I}(I)$ under the map \mathcal{I}_a^b lies in $\mathcal{I}(I)$. This suggest a natural generalisation of Chen integrals to arborified Chen integrals.

First, observe that if $X \subseteq Y$, then \mathcal{F}_X forms a subalgebra of \mathcal{F}_Y (since \emptyset is an element of \mathcal{F}_X for any set X).

Definition 4.2. Let $I = [a, b]$ and $\mathcal{I}(I)$ be as above. Let $\mathcal{I}_a^b : \mathcal{I}(I) \mapsto \mathcal{I}(I)$ be the integration map defined as above by $\mathcal{I}_a^b(f)(x) := \int_a^x f(t) dt$. Let $X = \{f_1, \dots, f_N\}$ is a finite subset of $\mathcal{I}(I)$. **arborified Chen integrals** are elements of $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}_a^b : \mathcal{F}_X \mapsto \mathcal{I}(I)$.

Remark 4.3. One could recursively prove that $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}_a^b$ is well-defined as in the case of words, that is that the image of $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}_a^b$ is indeed in $\mathcal{I}(I)$. However by Definition 2.8 we already have this result since $\mathcal{I}_a^b(\mathcal{I}(I)) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(I)$.

Proposition 4.4. *Any arborified Chen integral is a finite sum of Chen iterated integrals with rational coefficients.*

Proof. This follows from the observation above that Chen iterated integrals are elements of the image of $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}_{a\mathcal{W}}^b$. Then by Example 2.19 *i* we can apply Theorem 2.20 to $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}_a^b$ which gives $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}_a^b = \widehat{\mathcal{I}}_{a\mathcal{W}}^b \circ fl_0$. For any finite forest F , $fl_0(F)$ is a finite sum of words with rational coefficients by Proposition 2.15, thus we obtain the result. \square

4.2 Arborified polylogarithms

We specialise the construction of the previous subsection to the case $X = \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y\}$ with $\sigma_x(t) := 1/t$ and $\sigma_y(t) := 1/(1-t)$. Furthermore the above construction is carried out on $I = [\epsilon, z]$ with $0 < \epsilon < z < 1$.

However arborified polylogarithms (resp. arborified shuffle zeta values) should be defined (up to convergence issues) as a map $Li^T : \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}} \mapsto \Omega$ (resp. $\zeta_{\sqcup}^T : \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$) for a suitable space Ω of functions. In order to build such a map, we follow the same strategy as for stuffle zeta values. Let $\mathcal{R}_{\{x,y\}} : \{x, y\} \mapsto \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y\}$ defined by $\mathcal{R}_{\{x,y\}}(\epsilon) = \sigma_\epsilon$ for ϵ in $\{x, y\}$.

Definition 4.5. A forest F in $\mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}$ is called **semiconvergent** if each of its leaves and branching vertices are decorated by y and **convergent** if it is semiconvergent and each of its roots are decorated by x . The linear span of semiconvergent forests is denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{semi}}$ and the linear span of convergent forests is denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$.

Similarly, a word w in $\mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}}$ is called **semiconvergent** if it is empty or if it ends by y and **convergent** if it is empty or if it ends by y and starts by x . We write $\mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{semi}}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$ the linear span of semiconvergent and convergent words respectively.

Lemma 4.6. $\mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{semi}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$ are subalgebras of $\mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}$ for the concatenation of forests; $\mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{semi}}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$ are subalgebras of $\mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}}$ for the shuffle product \sqcup .

Proof. As before, the result trivially holds for forests. For words the result follows from the fact that for any two words w and w' , then we can write $w \sqcup w' = \sum_i w_i$ and the first (resp. last) letter of each w_i is the first (resp. last) letter of w or w' . \square

In order to state an important result of this Section, let us recall the definition of multiple polylogarithms.

Definition 4.7. Let $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{semi}}$ be a word either empty or whose last letter is y . The **single variable multiple polylogarithm** (shortened in multiple polylogarithm in what follows) attached to w is defined by

$$Li_w(z) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } z = 0, \\ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \widehat{\mathcal{I}}_\epsilon^z \mathcal{W} \left(\mathcal{R}_{\{x,y\}}^\sharp(w) \right) & \text{for } z \in]0, 1[. \end{cases}$$

We write $Li : \mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{semi}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1])$ the map which, to such a word, associates the map $z \mapsto Li_w(z)$.

The existence of the limit for semiconvergent words and the fact that Li_w is a smooth map are well-known results of polylogarithms theory, see for example [Br].

Definition-Proposition 4.8. For any $z \in]0, 1[$ and semiconvergent F the limit

$$Li_F^T(z) := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \widehat{\mathcal{I}}_\epsilon^z \left(\mathcal{R}_{\{x,y\}}^\sharp(F) \right)$$

exists. Setting $Li_F^T(z) = 0$ we obtain a map

$$Li_F^T : [0, 1[\mapsto \mathbb{R} \\ z \mapsto Li_F^T(z)$$

is called the **arborified polylogarithm** associated to the semiconvergent forest F . The **arborified polylogarithm map** is defined by its action $Li^T : F \mapsto Li_F^T$ on any semiconvergent forest F .

Proof. One needs to prove the existence of the limit for any $z \in [0, 1[$. It follows from Example 2.19, *i* that we can apply Theorem 2.20 with $\lambda = 0$. Furthermore, one easily shows by induction that the image of a semiconvergent forest under the map fl_0 is a finite sum of words, each ending with y . As stated above, it is a well-known fact (see for example [Br]) that for such a word w , the limit

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \widehat{\mathcal{I}}_\epsilon^z \mathcal{W} \left(\mathcal{R}_{\{x,y\}}^\sharp(w) \right)$$

exists. The result then follows. \square

Lemma 4.9. The flattening map of weight 0 maps $\mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{semi}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$) to $\mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{semi}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$).

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.24. \square

Theorem 4.10. *For any semiconvergent forest F , the arborified polylogarithm associated to F enjoys the following properties*

- i. it is a finite sum of multiple polylogarithms with rational coefficients that can be written as a finite linear combination of multiple polylogarithms with integer coefficients;*
- ii. it is a smooth map on $[0, 1[$;*
- iii. The arborified polylogarithm map $Li^T : F \mapsto Li_F^T$ is an algebra morphism for the concatenation of trees and the pointwise product of functions.*

Proof. *i.* The proof of this result is the same as the proof of Theorem 3.25, using Example 2.19, *i.* The limits in the definition of Li_w are well-defined by Lemma 4.9.

ii. The second point follows from the first, since multiple polylogarithms are smooth maps of $[0, 1[$.

iii. This follows from the fact that Li^T is the composition of $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}_\epsilon^z$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\{x,y\}}^{\#, \mathcal{W}}$, which are both algebra morphisms. Furthermore, if FF' is a semiconvergent forest, then F and F' are two semiconvergent forests. Then $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \widehat{\mathcal{I}}_\epsilon^z \left(\mathcal{R}_{\{x,y\}}^{\#}(F) \right)$ and $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \widehat{\mathcal{I}}_\epsilon^z \left(\mathcal{R}_{\{x,y\}}^{\#}(F') \right)$ exist and their product is equal to

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\widehat{\mathcal{I}}_\epsilon^z \left(\mathcal{R}_{\{x,y\}}^{\#}(F) \right) \widehat{\mathcal{I}}_\epsilon^z \left(\mathcal{R}_{\{x,y\}}^{\#}(F') \right) \right]$$

Thus the limit in the definition of Li^T is also an algebra morphism and Li^T is an algebra morphism as stated. \square

As in the case of the stuffle branched zeta values, one can use this framework to provide a new proof that multiple polylogarithms are algebra morphisms for the shuffle product.

Proposition 4.11. *The map $Li : \mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{semi}} \mapsto C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ is an algebra morphism for the shuffle product.*

Proof. The proof follows the same steps as the proof of Proposition 3.23. \square

4.3 Arborified zeta values as integrals

It is well-known (see for example [Br] or [Wa]) that for a convergent word w the limit $\lim_{z \rightarrow 1} Li_w(z)$ exists. This allows the following definition.

Definition 4.12. Let $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}}$ be a word starting with x and ending with y , then the **shuffle multiple zeta value** associated to w is the real number

$$\zeta_{\sqcup}(w) := \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} Li_w(z).$$

We write ζ_{\sqcup} the map defined by

$$\zeta_{\sqcup} : \{\emptyset\} \cup ((x) \sqcup \mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}} \sqcup (y)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$w \longmapsto \zeta_{\sqcup}(w).$$

This definition can easily be generalised to trees, thanks to the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.13. *For any convergent forest $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$, the limit $\lim_{z \rightarrow 1} Li_F^T(z)$ exists.*

Proof. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$. If $F = \emptyset$, then $fl_0(F) = \emptyset$ and the result trivially holds. Otherwise $fl_0(F) \in (x) \sqcup \mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}} \sqcup (y)$. Indeed, we can write $fl_0(F) = \sum_{i \in I} w_i$ for some finite set I . Then each w_i has the decoration of a root of F (thus a x) as its first letter; and the decoration of a leaf of F (thus a y) as its last letter. This is shown ad absurdum: if we have $w_i = (y) \sqcup \tilde{w}$, then a vertex decorated by y was not above all roots of F , since every roots of F is decorated by x . This is a contradiction. The same argument shows that no w_i cannot end with an x . The result then follows from Theorem 4.10 and the observation above that $\lim_{z \rightarrow 1} Li_w(z)$ exists for any $w \in (x) \sqcup \mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}} \sqcup (y)$. \square

This allows the following definition.

Definition 4.14. For any convergent forest $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$ the corresponding **shuffle arborified zeta values** is defined as

$$\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T(F) := \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} Li_F^T(z).$$

We write $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T$ the map defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T : \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ F &\longmapsto \zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T(F). \end{aligned}$$

Shuffle arborified zeta values enjoy the following properties.

Theorem 4.15. *For any convergent forest $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$, the shuffle arborified zeta values $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T(F)$ is a finite sum of multiple zeta values with rational coefficients that can be written as a finite sum of multiple zeta values with integer coefficients. Furthermore the map $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T : \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an algebra morphism for the concatenation product of trees.*

Proof. This Theorem is a consequence of Theorem 4.10 applied to convergent forests, for which one can take the limit $z \rightarrow 1$ according to Lemma 4.13. \square

Remark 4.16. One could prove this theorem along the steps of the proof of Theorem 3.25. This is true in many occurrences throughout this section. Hence Sections 3 and 4 present two different (however equivalent) ways of building branched objects.

We conclude this section by pointing out that, as a consequence of our previous results, we also have shown that multiple zetas as iterated integrals are algebra morphism for the shuffle product.

Proposition 4.17. *The map $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup} : \{\emptyset\} \cup ((x) \sqcup \mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}} \sqcup (y)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an algebra morphism for the shuffle product.*

Proof. The proof follows by using Proposition 4.11 on words in $\{\emptyset\} \cup ((x) \sqcup \mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}} \sqcup (y))$ and taking the limit $z \rightarrow 1$. \square

5 Shuffles and arborified zetas

5.1 Shuffles on trees

We have seen that Theorems 3.25 and 4.15, together with the fact that the maps $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T$ and $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T$ are algebra morphisms for the concatenation product of trees, allow to prove that the maps $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}$ and $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}$ are morphisms for the stuffle and shuffle product respectively. One can therefore interpret these theorems as the generalisation to trees of the stuffle and shuffle products. However, this interpretation is not entirely satisfactory as the flattening maps (2.14) appearing in Theorems 3.25 and 4.15 obfuscates the tree structure.

Another issue with seeing the concatenation product as the generalisation to trees of the shuffle and stuffle products is that it does not impose relations of the AZVs. This is due to the fact that the AZV associated to a non connected forest $F_1 F_2$ can be *defined* as the product of the AZVs associated to F_1 and F_2 (see Equation (7)). On the contrary, the shuffle and stuffle product do impose relation among MZVs.

Hence, in order to rightfully claim to have generalised the shuffle and stuffle products to trees, one should find suitable products $\star : \mathcal{F}_\Omega \otimes \mathcal{F}_\Omega \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_\Omega$ for which the maps $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T$ and $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T$ are non trivial algebra morphisms. This is achieved in Theorem 5.11. Furthermore, Corollary 5.13 gives relations among the AZVs which have no equivalent among MZVs. We build these products using the same recursive recipe as for the shuffle products of Definition 2.12, with the concatenation of words replaced by the grafting.

Definition 5.1. Let Ω be a set (resp. (Ω, \cdot) be a commutative semigroup and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$). The **shuffle product on trees** $\sqcup\sqcup$ (resp. the **λ -shuffle product on trees** $\sqcup\sqcup_\lambda$) of two forests F and F' is defined recursively on $|F| + |F'|$.

If $|F| + |F'| = 0$ (and thus $F = F' = \emptyset$), we set $\emptyset \sqcup\sqcup \emptyset = \emptyset \sqcup\sqcup_\lambda \emptyset = \emptyset$.

For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, assume the shuffle (resp. λ -shuffle) products of forests has been defined on every forests f, f' such that $|f| + |f'| \leq N$. Then for any two forests F, F' such that $|F| + |F'| = N + 1$;

- (Unit) if $F' = \emptyset$, set $\emptyset \sqcup F = F \sqcup \emptyset = F$; and $F \sqcup_\lambda \emptyset = \emptyset \sqcup_\lambda F = F$.
- (Compatibility with the concatenation product) if F or F' is not a tree, then we can write F and f uniquely as a concatenation of trees: $F = T_1 \cdots T_k$ and $F' = t_1 \cdots t_n$ with the T_i s and t_j s nonempty, $k + n \geq 3$ and set

$$F \sqcup F' = \frac{1}{kn} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^n \left((T_i \sqcup t_j) T_1 \cdots \widehat{T}_i \cdots T_n t_1 \cdots \widehat{t}_j \cdots t_k \right)$$

(resp.

$$F \sqcup_\lambda F' = \frac{1}{kn} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^n \left((T_i \sqcup_\lambda t_j) T_1 \cdots \widehat{T}_i \cdots T_n t_1 \cdots \widehat{t}_j \cdots t_k \right),$$

where $T_1 \cdots \widehat{T}_i \cdots T_n$ stands for the concatenation of the trees T_1, \dots, T_n without the tree T_i .

- (Compatibility with the grafting) if $F = T = B_+^a(f)$ and $F' = T' = B_+^{a'}(f')$ are two nonempty trees, we set

$$T \sqcup T' = B_+^a(f \sqcup T') + B_+^{a'}(T \sqcup f')$$

(resp.

$$T \sqcup_\lambda T' = B_+^a(f \sqcup_\lambda T') + B_+^{a'}(T \sqcup_\lambda f') + \lambda B_+^{a, a'}(f \sqcup_\lambda f').$$

Remark 5.2. • The well-definedness of the products \sqcup , \sqcup_λ follows from the fact that any forest can be uniquely written (up to permutation) as an iteration of concatenations and graftings.

- As before (Remark 2.13), we notice that $\sqcup_0 = \sqcup$. We nevertheless make a distinction between the cases $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda \neq 0$ as in the former case, the set Ω is not required to have a semigroup structure. We will however treat the \sqcup product as a special case of \sqcup_λ , keeping in mind that when dealing with $\lambda = 0$ (so with the shuffle product), we will always implicitly allow the decoration set to not have a semigroup structure.
- We use the same symbols for shuffles on trees and shuffles and words, as whether we are working with words or with trees shall be clear from context. So, as for words, we write $\sqcup := \sqcup_1$ the **stuffle product on trees** and \sqcup_{-1} the **anti-stuffle product on trees**.

Example 5.3. Here are examples of stuffle of trees with $(\Omega, \cdot) = (\mathbb{N}, +)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet_n \bullet_m \sqcup_\lambda \bullet_p &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\bullet_n (\mathbf{I}_m^p + \mathbf{I}_p^m + \lambda \bullet_{m+p}) + \bullet_m (\mathbf{I}_n^p + \mathbf{I}_p^n + \lambda \bullet_{n+p}) \right) \\ \mathbf{V}_q^m \sqcup_\lambda \bullet_p &= \mathbf{Y}_p^n + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{V}_q^p + \mathbf{V}_q^m + \lambda \mathbf{V}_q^{m+p} + \mathbf{Y}_q^n + \mathbf{V}_q^p + \mathbf{V}_q^n + \lambda \mathbf{V}_q^{n+p} \right) + \lambda \mathbf{V}_{q+p}^m \end{aligned}$$

On the ground of these intermediate computations, one can compute more involved shuffles of trees. However due to their length, we will not write down the result here. For example the shuffle $\mathbf{V}_r^n \sqcup_\lambda \mathbf{V}_s^p$ is a sum of forty-four trees, of which twenty have six vertices, twenty have five vertices, and four have four vertices.

We turn now our attention to the structures inherited by \mathcal{F}_Ω from these products.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ and (Ω, \cdot) be a commutative semigroup; or $\lambda = 0$ and Ω a set. Then $(\mathcal{F}, \sqcup_\lambda, \emptyset)$ is a nonassociative, commutative, unital algebra.*

Proof. The case $\lambda = 0$ is a consequence of the more general case as every undefined product in Ω disappear if λ is set to 0. Therefore we will only explicitly work out the case $\lambda \neq 0$.

Let (Ω, \cdot) be a commutative semigroup and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

- By definition of \sqcup_λ , $\emptyset \sqcup_\lambda F = F \sqcup_\lambda \emptyset = F$ for any $F \in \mathcal{F}_\Omega$. Therefore \emptyset is the unit for \sqcup_λ as claimed.
- We prove the commutativity of \sqcup_λ by induction on $|F| + |F'|$. If $|F| + |F'| = 0$ then $F = F' = \emptyset$ and $F \sqcup_\lambda F' = \emptyset = F' \sqcup_\lambda F$ by definition. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that, for any pair of forest f, f' such that $|f| + |f'| \leq N$ we have $f \sqcup_\lambda f' = f' \sqcup_\lambda f$. Let F, F' be two forests such that $|F| + |F'| = N + 1$. We then distinguish three cases:

- If $F = \emptyset$ or $F' = \emptyset$, then $F \sqcup_{\lambda} F' = F' \sqcup_{\lambda} F$ since \emptyset is the unit of \sqcup_{λ} .
- If $F = T = B_+^a(f)$ and $F' = T' = B_+^{a'}(f')$ are two nonempty trees, then

$$T \sqcup_{\lambda} T' - T' \sqcup_{\lambda} T = \lambda B_+^{a \cdot a'}(f \sqcup_{\lambda} f') - \lambda B_+^{a' \cdot a}(f' \sqcup_{\lambda} f).$$

The R.H.S. vanishes by commutativity of (Ω, \cdot) and the induction hypothesis.

- If F or F' is not a tree, we write $F = T_1 \cdots T_k$ and $F' = t_1 \cdots t_n$ with $k + n \geq 3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F \sqcup_{\lambda} F' &= \frac{1}{kn} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^n \left((T_i \sqcup_{\lambda} t_j) T_1 \cdots \widehat{T}_i \cdots T_n t_1 \cdots \widehat{t}_j \cdots t_n \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{kn} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^n \left((t_j \sqcup_{\lambda} T_i) t_1 \cdots \widehat{t}_j \cdots t_n T_1 \cdots \widehat{T}_i \cdots T_n \right) \end{aligned}$$

by the induction hypothesis and the commutativity of the concatenation product of trees. Exchanging the order of the summations we indeed find $F \sqcup_{\lambda} F' = F' \sqcup_{\lambda} F$.

We have treated the three possible cases. Thus \sqcup_{λ} is indeed commutative. □

Remark 5.5. We will focus here on the applications of these new shuffle products of trees and their nonassociativity to the study of AZVs. Linked question, such that the existence of a coproduct associated to these shuffles and the eventual existence of a comodule-bialgebra structure [E-FFM] are interesting questions, but away from the scope of the present work. As such, they are left for further investigations.

Remark 5.6. One can see⁶ that the coefficient $1/kn$ arising in the compatibility with the concatenation product of trees equation of the definition of the shuffle products on trees will prevent associativity. However, neither is the same product defined without these factors associative. If one computes $((T_1 \dots T_n) \sqcup_{\lambda} T) \sqcup_{\lambda} (t_1 \cdots t_m)$, we will see terms containing the forests $(T_i \sqcup_{\lambda} T)(T_j \sqcup_{\lambda} t_k)$ appear. Such terms will not be present in products $(T_1 \dots T_n) \sqcup_{\lambda} (T \sqcup_{\lambda} (t_1 \cdots t_m))$.

Let us end this subsection by an illustration of the nonassociativity of \sqcup_{λ} , with λ set to 0 in order to have simpler expressions to handle.

Counterexample 5.7. Let Ω be a set. Then an easy computation gives, for any $(a, b, c, d) \in \Omega^4$

$$(\cdot_a \cdot_b \sqcup \cdot_c) \sqcup \cdot_d = \cdot_a \cdot_b \sqcup (\cdot_c \sqcup \cdot_d) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathfrak{I}_a^d + \mathfrak{I}_d^a) (\mathfrak{I}_b^c + \mathfrak{I}_c^b) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathfrak{I}_b^d + \mathfrak{I}_d^b) (\mathfrak{I}_c^a + \mathfrak{I}_a^c).$$

5.2 Shuffles of trees and Rota-Baxter maps

Shuffle products on trees are also linked to Rota-Baxter operators, as stated in the following theorem. It is a generalisation to the case of trees of Theorem 2.9 of [CGPZ1]. However its proof differs from the proof of the theorem below in two ways. First, the proof in [CGPZ1] uses the universal property of words while purely combinatorial techniques are used here (note that purely combinatorial techniques could also have been used in [CGPZ1]). Moreover, the compatibility of the shuffle products on trees with the concatenation product of trees have no equivalence for words. Therefore, the last part of the current proof does not have a counterpart in [CGPZ1].

Theorem 5.8. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ and (Ω, \cdot) be a commutative algebra. Let $P : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be a linear map. The following two statements are equivalent:*

- i. P is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ ;
- ii. \widehat{P} is an algebra morphism for the λ shuffle product on trees:

$$\widehat{P}(F \sqcup_{\lambda} F') = \widehat{P}(F) \widehat{P}(F').$$

⁶I thank Dominique Manchon for his very useful comments on this point.

Proof. \Leftarrow : as in the proof of Theorem 2.20, writing $\widehat{P}(F \sqcup_{\lambda} F') = \widehat{P}(F)\widehat{P}(F')$ for two forests F and F' having only one vertex leads to the Rota-Baxter equation (9).

\Rightarrow : We prove the result by induction on $|F| + |F'|$. For $|F| + |F'| = 0$ we have $F = F' = \emptyset$. Then the result holds by definition of \widehat{P} .

Assuming the result holds for any f, f' such that $|f| + |f'| \leq n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let F, F' be two forests such that $|F| + |F'| = n$. We separate three cases:

- If F or F' is empty, then the result holds since \emptyset is the unit of \sqcup_{λ} and $\widehat{P}(\emptyset) := 1_{\Omega}$.
- If F and F' are two nonempty trees, we write $F = B_+^a(f)$ and $F' = B_+^b(f')$. Then by definition of \sqcup_{λ} and linearity of \widehat{P} we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{P}(B_+^a(f) \sqcup_{\lambda} B_+^b(f')) &= \widehat{P}(B_+^a(f) \sqcup_{\lambda} B_+^b(f')) + \widehat{P}(B_+^b(B_+^a(f) \sqcup_{\lambda} f')) + \lambda \widehat{P}(B_+^{ab}(f \sqcup_{\lambda} f')) \\ &= P\left(a\widehat{P}(f)\widehat{P}(B_+^b(f'))\right) + P\left(b\widehat{P}(B_+^a(f))\widehat{P}(f')\right) + \lambda P\left(ab\widehat{P}(f)\widehat{P}(f')\right) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the definition of \widehat{P} and the induction hypothesis. To increase readability, let us write $A := a\widehat{P}(f)$ and $B := b\widehat{P}(f')$. Then using the commutativity of Ω we can write

$$\widehat{P}(B_+^a(f) \sqcup_{\lambda} B_+^b(f')) = P(AP(B)) + P(P(A)B) + \lambda P(AB) = P(A)P(B)$$

since P is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ . Observing that $P(A) = \widehat{P}(F)$ and $P(B) = \widehat{P}(F')$ we obtain the result.

- Finally, if F or F' is non-tree forest, we write $F = T_1 \cdots T_k$ and $F' = t_1 \cdots t_n$ with $k+n \geq 3$. Then, using the definitions of \sqcup_{λ} and \widehat{P} (with the convention that an empty product $\prod_{n \in \emptyset}$ is one) we got

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{P}(F \sqcup_{\lambda} F') &= \frac{1}{kn} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^n \widehat{P}(T_i \sqcup_{\lambda} t_j) \prod_{\substack{p=1 \\ p \neq i}}^k \widehat{P}(T_p) \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^n \widehat{P}(t_q) \\ &= \frac{1}{kn} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^n \widehat{P}(T_i)\widehat{P}(t_j) \prod_{\substack{p=1 \\ p \neq i}}^k \widehat{P}(T_p) \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^n \widehat{P}(t_q) \quad \text{by induction hypothesis} \\ &= \frac{1}{kn} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^n \prod_{p=1}^k \widehat{P}(T_p) \prod_{q=1}^n \widehat{P}(t_q) \\ &= \widehat{P}(F)\widehat{P}(F') \end{aligned}$$

since \widehat{P} is an algebra morphism for the concatenation product of trees.

This concludes the induction step and the proof of the Theorem. \square

5.3 Applications to arborified zetas

In order to apply Theorem 5.8 to the case of AZVs we first need the following Lemmas.

Lemma 5.9. $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}, \sqcup)$ (resp. $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}, \sqcup_{-1})$, resp. $(\mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}, \sqcup)$) is a subalgebra of $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}, \sqcup)$ (resp. $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}, \sqcup_{-1})$, resp. $(\mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}, \sqcup)$).

Proof. Using the fact that $F \sqcup_{\lambda} F' = \sum_i f_i$ implies $|f_i| \leq |F| + |F'|$, we can easily prove the Lemma by induction on $|F| + |F'|$, which then holds by definition of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$. \square

Lemma 5.10. For any algebras morphism $P : \Omega_1 \longrightarrow \Omega_2$ between two commutative algebras, the lifted map $P^{\sharp} : \mathcal{F}_{\Omega_1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Omega_2}$ is an algebra morphism for the λ -shuffles of trees, for any value of $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. This result clearly holds from the definition of P^{\sharp} and the fact that P is an algebra morphism. However, it is easily proven, once again by induction on the number of vertices of forests, using the fact that P^{\sharp} is a morphism of operated algebras. \square

We can now prove the main result of this Section.

Theorem 5.11. *The map $\zeta_{\sqcup}^T : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\zeta_{\sqcup}^{T,*} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, resp. $\zeta_{\sqcup}^T : \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$) is an algebra morphism for the stuffle (resp. anti-stuffle, resp. shuffle) product on trees.*

Proof. Recall that $\zeta_{\sqcup}^T = \text{ev}_\infty \circ \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_{-1} \circ \mathcal{R}^\sharp$ and $\zeta_{\sqcup}^{T,*} = \text{ev}_\infty \circ \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_0 \circ \mathcal{R}^\sharp$. By Lemma 5.10 and Theorem 5.8 (which can be used since $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_{-1}$ is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight +1 and $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_{+1}$ is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight -1, as stated in Example 2.19), the maps $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_{-1} \circ \mathcal{R}^\sharp$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_0 \circ \mathcal{R}^\sharp$ are a morphism for the stuffle product on trees and the anti-stuffle product respectively. The result then follows from Lemma 5.9 and the fact that ev_∞ is an algebra morphism.

The case of $\zeta_{\sqcup}^T = \text{ev}_1 \circ Li^T \circ \mathcal{R}_{\{x,y\}}^\sharp$ is proven exactly in the same fashion, using the fact that the map Li^T is obtained from the branching of the integration map \mathcal{I} , which is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight 0. \square

Remark 5.12. Since $\iota_\Omega(w \sqcup \lambda w') = \iota_\Omega(w) \sqcup \lambda \iota_\Omega(w')$ and since AZVs restricted to ladder trees coincide with MZVs, this result also implies that ζ_{\sqcup} (resp. ζ_{\sqcup}^* , resp. ζ_{\sqcup}) is an algebra morphism for the stuffle (resp. anti-stuffle, resp. shuffle) product.

As a concluding observation for this section, let us show that Theorem 5.11 induces relations amongst AZVs, namely that the images of the associators of the shuffle products on trees lie in the kernels of the AZVs.

Recall that the **associator** $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\sqcup}$ of the stuffle product is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\sqcup} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*} \times \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*} \times \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*} &\mapsto \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*} \\ (F_1, F_2, F_3) &\longrightarrow (F_1 \sqcup F_2) \sqcup F_3 - F_1 \sqcup (F_2 \sqcup F_3). \end{aligned}$$

We similarly define associators for the shuffle and anti-stuffle products.

Corollary 5.13. *For any $\lambda \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$,*

$$\text{Im}([\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\sqcup, \lambda}) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\zeta_{\sqcup, \lambda}^T).$$

More specifically, for any $(F_1, F_2, F_3) \in (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^}^{\text{conv}})^3$ and $(f_1, f_2, f_3) \in (\mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}})^3$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (F_1 \sqcup F_2) \sqcup F_3 - F_1 \sqcup (F_2 \sqcup F_3) &\in \text{Ker}(\zeta_{\sqcup}^T) \\ (F_1 \sqcup_{-1} F_2) \sqcup_{-1} F_3 - F_1 \sqcup_{-1} (F_2 \sqcup_{-1} F_3) &\in \text{Ker}(\zeta_{\sqcup}^{T,*}) \\ (f_1 \sqcup f_2) \sqcup f_3 - f_1 \sqcup (f_2 \sqcup f_3) &\in \text{Ker}(\zeta_{\sqcup}^T). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This result is a direct consequence of Theorem 5.11 together with the associativity of the usual product on \mathbb{R} . \square

Remark 5.14. These relations between AZVs have non equivalent among MZVs. However, it shall not be expected that it will induce relations among MZVs that cannot be obtained from the usual regularised double shuffle relations. Indeed, there are more AZVs of a given weight than MZVs of the same weight, but all AZVs can be written as MZVs, according to Theorems 3.25 and 4.15. Therefore we should indeed find more relations amongst AZVs. This indicates that the nonassociativity of the shuffle products of trees encodes the nonlinearity⁷ of trees.

Example 5.15. We illustrate the above Remark by a simple example. Computing $(\bullet_2 \bullet_2 \sqcup \bullet_2) \sqcup \bullet_2$ and $\bullet_2 \bullet_2 \sqcup (\bullet_2 \sqcup \bullet_2)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\sqcup}^T \left((\bullet_2 \bullet_2 \sqcup \bullet_2) \sqcup \bullet_2 - \bullet_2 \bullet_2 \sqcup (\bullet_2 \sqcup \bullet_2) \right) &= 0 \\ \iff \left[6\zeta(2, 2, 2) + 3\zeta(2, 4) + 3\zeta(4, 2) + \zeta(6) \right] \zeta(2) &= \left[2\zeta(2, 2) + \zeta(4) \right]^2; \end{aligned}$$

which can indeed be shown using the stuffle product on words and checked using e.g. the online calculator [BLI].

To conclude this Section, let us emphasize that the shuffle products on trees, while relevant to the study of AZVs, also lead to new and exciting questions, some of which were pointed out in Remark 5.5. We have opted to not treat them here, as they would carry us away from AZVs that are the main concern of this paper and are left for future work.

⁷in the sense that not all trees are ladder trees

Appendix: Further relations amongst arborified zetas

A.1 Branched binarisation map

We aim to generalise the map (4) to trees, that is to build a map $\mathfrak{s}^T : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}$ which coincide with $\iota_{\{x,y\}} \circ \mathfrak{s}$ when restricted to ladder trees. In order to do so, once again we use the universal property of trees given by 2.7.

Definition A.1. Let $\beta : \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(1, F) &:= B_+^y(F) \\ \beta(n, F) &:= (B_+^x)^{n-1} (B_+^y(F))\end{aligned}$$

for any $n \geq 2$. The **branched binarisation map** is the morphism of operated algebras $\mathfrak{s}^T : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}$ whose existence and uniqueness is given by Theorem 2.7.

Example A.2. Here are some example of the action of the binarisation map:

$$\mathfrak{s}^T(\bullet_1) = \bullet_y \quad \mathfrak{s}^T(\bullet_2) = \mathbf{l}_x^y \quad \mathfrak{s}^T\left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \mathbf{l}_1^x \quad \mathbf{l}_1^y \end{array}\right) = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \mathbf{l}_x^y \end{array} \quad \mathfrak{s}^T\left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \mathbf{l}_2^x \quad \mathbf{l}_2^y \end{array}\right) = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \mathbf{l}_x^y \end{array}$$

Now we state a simple lemma relating convergent forests in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}$ and in $\mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}$.

Lemma A.3. *The branched binarisation map maps convergent forests to convergent forests:*

$$\mathfrak{s}^T(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}) = \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}.$$

Furthermore, \mathfrak{s}^T is a bijection.

Proof. By definition of the operation β , if $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}$ is in the image of β , then F is semiconvergent. Therefore $\mathfrak{s}^T(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{semi}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}$. Thus we only need to prove that the image of a convergent forest has its roots decorated by x s only.

Let $T \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$ be a convergent tree. If $T = \emptyset$ then $\mathfrak{s}^T(\emptyset) = \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$ by definition of $\mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$.

If $T \neq \emptyset$ then it exists a forest F such that $T = B_+^p(F)$ with $p \geq 2$. Then by definition of \mathfrak{s}^T we have

$$\mathfrak{s}^T(T) = (B_+^x)^{p-1} (B_+^y(\mathfrak{s}^T(F)))$$

which lies in $\mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$ since $p-1 \geq 1$.

Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$ be a convergent forest. Then we have $F = T_1 \cdots T_k$ with $T_i \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$ by definition of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{s}^T(F) = \mathfrak{s}^T(T_1) \cdots \mathfrak{s}^T(T_k) \in \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$$

by definition of $\mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{s}^T(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$.

The bijectivity of \mathfrak{s}^T is also shown by induction, using $|\mathfrak{s}^T(F)| = \|F\|$. The same argument on $(\mathfrak{s}^T)^{-1}$ allows to show that $(\mathfrak{s}^T)^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$; concluding the proof. \square

Recall that a branching vertex is a vertex that has strictly more than one direct successor. This concept will be of importance when relating shuffle and stuffle arborified zeta values through the branched binarisation map.

Furthermore, in order to lighten the notations, we will write

$$B_+^{\omega_1 \cdots \omega_k} := B_+^{\omega_1} \circ \cdots \circ B_+^{\omega_k}.$$

Theorem A.4. *For any convergent forest $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$ we have*

$$\zeta_{\sqcup}^T(\mathfrak{s}^T(F)) \leq \zeta_{\sqcup}^T(F).$$

Furthermore, the inequality is an equality if, and only if, F has no branching vertex (i.e. F is the empty tree or $F = l_1 \cdots l_k$ with l_i being ladder trees).

Proof. \bullet If $F = \emptyset$, then $\mathfrak{s}^T(F) = \emptyset$ and the result holds by construction.

- If $F = l_1 \cdots l_k$ with l_i being ladder trees, then the result follows from the classical property (5) of the binarisation map together with the facts that ζ_{\sqcup}^T and $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T$ are algebra morphisms for the concatenation product of trees, $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T(w) = \zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T(\iota_{\mathbb{N}^*}(w))$ and $\zeta_{\sqcup}^T(w) = \zeta_{\sqcup}^T(\iota_{\{x,y\}}(w))$.
- We will work out explicitly that for a tree T with exactly one branching vertex, one has

$$\zeta_{\sqcup}^T(\mathfrak{s}^T(T)) < \zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T(T).$$

Let T be a tree with l leaves but only one branching vertex. We have

$$T = B_+^{p_1 \cdots p_k} \left(B_+^{p_1^1 \cdots p_{k_1}^1}(\emptyset) \cdots B_+^{p_1^l \cdots p_{k_l}^l}(\emptyset) \right)$$

with $p_1 \geq 2$. Then

$$\mathfrak{s}^T(T) = B_+^{\mathfrak{s}(p_1 \cdots p_k)} \left(B_+^{\mathfrak{s}(p_1^1 \cdots p_{k_1}^1)}(\emptyset) \cdots B_+^{\mathfrak{s}(p_1^l \cdots p_{k_l}^l)}(\emptyset) \right).$$

For any $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, let $b_i := B_+^{\mathfrak{s}(p_1^i \cdots p_{k_i}^i)}(\emptyset)$. The b_i s are ladder trees in $\mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}$. Then, by definition we have

$$\zeta_{\sqcup}^T(\mathfrak{s}^T(T)) = \int_{1 \geq t_1 \geq \dots \geq t_{|p_1| + \dots + |p_k| - 1} \geq 0} \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\prod_{j=1}^{p_i-1} \frac{dt_{p_1 + \dots + p_{i-1} + j}}{t_{p_1 + \dots + p_{i-1} + j}} \right) \frac{dt_{p_1 + \dots + p_i}}{1 - t_{p_1 + \dots + p_i}} \prod_{r=1}^l \int_0^{t_{|p_1| + \dots + |p_k| - 1}} Li_{b_r}^T(z_r) dz_r.$$

Using the standard trick of expanding in series

$$\frac{1}{1-t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n$$

and exchanging series and integral, we can write the last integrations as series:

$$\int_0^{t_{|p_1| + \dots + |p_k| - 1}} Li_{b_r}^T(z_r) dz_r = \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_{k_r} > 0} \frac{(t_{|p_1| + \dots + |p_k| - 1})^{n_1}}{(n_1)^{p_1^1} \cdots (n_{k_r})^{p_{k_r}^r}}.$$

Thus we have

$$\prod_{r=1}^l \left(\int_0^{t_{|p_1| + \dots + |p_k| - 1}} Li_{b_r}^T(z_r) dz_r \right) = \sum_{\substack{n_1^1 > \dots > n_{k_1}^1 > 0 \\ \dots \\ n_1^l > \dots > n_{k_l}^l > 0}} \frac{(t_{|p_1| + \dots + |p_k| - 1})^{\sum_{r=1}^l n_1^r}}{(n_1^1)^{p_1^1} \cdots (n_{k_1}^1)^{p_{k_1}^1} \cdots (n_1^l)^{p_1^l} \cdots (n_{k_l}^l)^{p_{k_l}^l}}.$$

Using the series expansion and exchanging series and integrals allow to perform the next p_k integrations. They produce a new series, for a parameter $n > \sum_{r=1}^l n_1^r$. This series is associated to the branching vertex of $T \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$.

On the other hand, the series associated to the branching vertex of $T \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$ in $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T(T)$ is over a parameter $n > \min\{n_1^1, \dots, n_1^l\}$. We therefore obtain that $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T(T) - \zeta_{\sqcup}^T(\mathfrak{s}^T(T))$ is a convergent series of positive terms, therefore a positive number.

This shows that a branching vertex in a tree T induces a loss when writing $\zeta_{\sqcup}^T(\mathfrak{s}^T(T))$ in terms of series. The same argument holds for the more general case of a tree with an arbitrary number of branching vertices. We therefore obtain $\zeta_{\sqcup}^T(\mathfrak{s}^T(T)) < \zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T(T)$ for any non-ladder tree T .

- The result for a forest follows from the previous point and the fact that ζ_{\sqcup}^T and $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T$ are algebra morphisms for the concatenation of trees (Proposition 3.16 and Theorem 4.15). \square

A.2 Hoffman's relations for branched zetas

In order to find the branched equivalent of Hoffman's regularisation relations, it is useful to recall that the concatenation product of trees can be seen as lift to trees of both the shuffle and stuffle products. The latter only differ in the way one goes back to words, that is to say by a choice of the flattening map. Therefore the most naive candidate for this relations is that $\mathfrak{s}^T(\bullet_1 F) - \mathfrak{s}^T(\bullet_1) \mathfrak{s}^T(F)$ is convergent for any convergent forest F and lies in the kernel of $\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}^T$.

However, this statement is trivially true and does not impose any new relation on the algebra spanned by branched zetas. Indeed, since \mathfrak{s}^T is an algebra morphism we have $\mathfrak{s}^T(\bullet_1 F) - \mathfrak{s}^T(\bullet_1) \mathfrak{s}^T(F) = 0^8$. This observation is a consequence of the fact that the distinction between the stuffle and shuffle products can only be made at the level of words, reached through flattening maps. Consequently, a more relevant quantity to study is

$$\mathfrak{s}(fl_1(\bullet_1 F)) - fl_0(\mathfrak{s}^T(\bullet_1 F))$$

for any convergent forest F .

Proposition A.5. *For any convergent forest $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$,*

$$\mathfrak{s}(fl_1(\bullet_1 F)) - fl_0(\mathfrak{s}^T(\bullet_1 F))$$

lies in the algebra of convergent words if, and only if, F is empty or $F = l_1 \cdots l_k$ with the l_i ladder trees. In this case

$$\mathfrak{s}(fl_1(\bullet_1 l_1 \cdots l_k)) - fl_0(\mathfrak{s}^T(\bullet_1 l_1 \cdots l_k)) \in \text{Ker}(\zeta_{\sqcup\sqcup}).$$

Proof. • If F is empty, then $\mathfrak{s}(fl_1(\bullet_1 F)) - fl_0(\mathfrak{s}^T(\bullet_1 F)) = 0$ and the result trivially holds.

- If $F = l_1 \cdots l_k$ with the l_i ladder trees then, by definition of the flattening maps we have

$$\mathfrak{s}(fl_1(\bullet_1 F)) - fl_0(\mathfrak{s}^T(\bullet_1 F)) = \mathfrak{s}((y) \sqcup\sqcup w_1 \sqcup\sqcup \cdots \sqcup\sqcup w_k) - (y) \sqcup\sqcup \mathfrak{s}(w_1) \sqcup\sqcup \cdots \sqcup\sqcup \mathfrak{s}(w_k)$$

with $w_i := \iota_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{-1}(l_i)$. Then the result holds by Hoffman's regularisation relations (6).

- Let T be a non ladder tree, and v a branching vertex of T with decoration p_1 . Let v' and v'' be two direct successors of V with decorations p_2 and p_3 respectively. Then it exists two eventually empty words w and w' such that

$$fl_1(\bullet_1 T) = (1) \sqcup w \sqcup (p_1[p_2 + p_3]) \sqcup w' + X$$

with X a finite linear combination of words written in the alphabet \mathbb{N}^* .

By definition of fl_0 , $\mathfrak{s}((1) \sqcup w \sqcup (p_1[p_2 + p_3]) \sqcup w')$ will not show up in $fl_0(\mathfrak{s}^T(\bullet_1 F))$. Thus we obtain

$$\mathfrak{s}(fl_1(\bullet_1 F)) - fl_0(\mathfrak{s}^T(\bullet_1 F)) = \mathfrak{s}((1) \sqcup w \sqcup (p_1[p_2 + p_3]) \sqcup w') + Y$$

with Y a finite linear combination of words written in the alphabet $\{x, y\}$ without the divergent tree $\mathfrak{s}((1) \sqcup w \sqcup (p_1[p_2 + p_3]) \sqcup w')$. This implies the result for any non-ladder tree.

For a forest with at least one branching point, the result follows from the fact that \mathfrak{s}^T and the flattening maps are algebras morphisms and the previous discussion on non ladder trees. \square

This result was derived from the picture that the shuffle and stuffle products of words are lifted to trees to the concatenation of trees. We have seen in Section 5 that one can instead define shuffle and stuffle products on trees. This leads us to an alternative possible generalisation of Hoffman's relations (6); namely that

$$\bullet_1 \sqcup\sqcup T - (\mathfrak{s}^T)^{-1}(\bullet_y \sqcup\sqcup \mathfrak{s}^T(T)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{s}^T(\bullet_1 \sqcup\sqcup T) - \bullet_y \sqcup\sqcup \mathfrak{s}^T(T)$$

lie in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$ for any convergent tree $T \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$. And indeed

Proposition A.6. *For any convergent forest $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet_1 \sqcup\sqcup F - (\mathfrak{s}^T)^{-1}(\bullet_y \sqcup\sqcup \mathfrak{s}^T(F)) &\in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}; \\ \mathfrak{s}^T(\bullet_1 \sqcup\sqcup F) - \bullet_y \sqcup\sqcup \mathfrak{s}^T(F) &\in \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}. \end{aligned}$$

⁸notice that 0 and \emptyset are distinct elements of our algebra

Proof. First, notice that by Lemma A.3 the two statements are equivalent. We therefore only prove the second one.

For a convergent forest $F = T_1 \cdots T_k$, we have $\mathfrak{s}^T(F) = \mathfrak{s}^T(T_1) \cdots \mathfrak{s}^T(T_k)$. Then we have, with obvious notations:

$$\bullet_1 \sqcup F = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k (B_+^1(T_i) + X_{i,F}) F \setminus T_i$$

for some finite sum of trees $X_{i,F} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$. Therefore we have

$$\mathfrak{s}^T(\bullet_1 \sqcup F) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k (B_+^y(\mathfrak{s}^T(T_i)) + \mathfrak{s}^T(X_{i,F})) \mathfrak{s}^T(F \setminus T_i).$$

On the other hand we have

$$\bullet_y \sqcup \mathfrak{s}^T(F) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k (B_+^y(\mathfrak{s}^T(T_i)) + Y_{i,F}) \mathfrak{s}^T(F \setminus T_i)$$

for some $Y_{i,F} \in \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$. Taking the difference of these two quantities, one obtain the result, since by Lemma A.3 the branched binarisation map \mathfrak{s}^T maps convergent forests to convergent forests. \square

While this result might give us hope, one should expect Theorem A.4 to prevent the quantities $\mathfrak{s}^T(\bullet_1 \sqcup F) - \bullet_y \sqcup \mathfrak{s}^T(F)$ from lying in the kernel of ζ_{\sqcup}^T . And indeed, one finds, after a long yet straightforward computation, that

$$\zeta_{\sqcup}^T \left(\mathfrak{s}^T \left(\bullet_1 \sqcup \mathbb{V}_2^1 \right) - \bullet_y \sqcup \mathbb{Y}_x^y \right) = \zeta(2, 3) + \zeta(3, 2) > 0.$$

The precise characterisation of quantities of the form $\mathfrak{s}^T(\bullet_1 \sqcup F) - \bullet_y \sqcup \mathfrak{s}^T(F)$ are left for future investigations. For now, let us write that the conclusion of this Section is that branching vertices, at least with the current definitions of branched zeta values, induce an important change when lifting the properties of multiple zeta values to arborified zeta values.

Acknowledgments: The author thanks Dominique Manchon for initial discussions that kick-started this project and further fruitful interactions. I also thank Loïc Foissy and Li Guo for helpful suggestions regarding the literature and Sylvie Paycha for support, encouragements and advice. Finally, many thanks Dominique Manchon, Sylvie Paycha and Li Guo for comments on preliminary versions of this paper.

References

- [BLI] J. Borwein, P. Lisonek, and P. Irvine; EZ-Face; <http://wayback.cecm.sfu.ca/cgi-bin/EZFace/zetaform.cgi>.
- [Bo] O. Bouillot; On Hurwitz Multizeta Functions; Adv. Appl. Math. 71 (2015).
- [Br] F.C.S. Brown; Multiple zeta values and periods of moduli spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\mathbb{R})$; Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure, Série 4, Volume 42 (2009) no. 3, pp. 371-489, arXiv:math/0606419 [math.AG].
- [Ch] K.-T. Chen; Iterated path integrals; Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1977), 831-879.
- [CGPZ1] P. Clavier, L. Guo, S. Paycha and B. Zhang; Renormalisation and locality: Branched Zeta Values; arXiv:1807.07630 [math-ph].
- [CGPZ2] P. Clavier, L. Guo, S. Paycha and B. Zhang; Locality and renormalisation: Universal properties and integrals on trees; arXiv:1811.01215 [math-ph].
- [E-FFM] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, F. Fauvet, D. Manchon; A comodule-bialgebra structure for word-series substitution and mould composition; Jour. of Alg., Vol. 489, (2017) 552-581; arXiv:1609.03549v2 [math.CO].

- [Ec] J. Écalle; Les fonctions résurgentes; 3 vol. Prépublications mathématiques d'Orsay, 1981, 1982, 1985.
- [En] B. Enriquez; Analogues elliptiques des nombres multizétas; Bull. Soc. Math. France 144, 395 (2016), arXiv:1301.3042.
- [Eu] L. Euler; Introductio in analysin infinitorum; 2 volums, 1796.
- [Fo] L. Foissy; Les algèbres de Hopf des arbres enracinés décorés; PhD thesis, arXiv:math/0105212 [math.QA].
- [G1] L. Guo; Operated semi-groups, Motzkin paths and rooted trees; Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics, **29**, 1 (2007) 35-62, arXiv:0710.0429 [math.RA].
- [G2] L. Guo; An introduction to Rota-Baxter algebra; SMM4, Surveys of Modern Mathematics, 2012.
- [GPZ] L. Guo, S. Paycha and B. Zhang; Conical zeta values and their double subdivision relations; Advances in Mathematics 252:343-381, DOI: 10.1016, arXiv:1301.3370 [math.NT].
- [H] G. Hardy; Divergent series; Oxford University Press (1967)
- [Ho1] M. E. Hoffman; Multiple Harmonic series; Pacific J. Math. **152** (1992), pp 275-290.
- [Ho2] M. E. Hoffman; The algebra of multiple harmonic series; J. Algebra, **194** (1997), pp 477-495.
- [Ho3] M. E. Hoffman; Quasi-shuffle products; J. Algebraic Combin. 11 (2000), 49-68, arXiv:math/9907173.
- [KP] D. Kreimer, E. Panzer; Renormalization and Mellin Transforms in Computer Algebra in Quantum Field Theory: Integration, Summation and Special Functions; Text and Monographs in symbolic computation, Ed. C. Schneider, J. Blümlein, Springer Verlag (2013) 195-223.
- [M] D. Manchon; Arborified multiple zeta values; Proceedings of "New approaches to Multiple Zeta Values", ICMAT, Madrid, 2013. ArXiv: 1603.01498 [math.CO].
- [MP] D. Manchon, S. Paycha; Nested Sums of Symbols and Renormalized Multiple Zeta Values; Int. Math. Res. Notices (2010) 4628-4697. ArXiv: 0702135v3 [math.NT].
- [Pa] S. Paycha; Regularised integrals, sums and traces. An analytic point of view; AMS Lecture notes (2012), Volume 59, DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/lect/059>.
- [Wa] M. Waldschmidt; Lectures on Multiple Zeta Values; IMSC 2011.
- [Wi] E. Witten; On quantum gauge theories in two dimensions; Comm. Math. Phys. Volume 141, Number 1 (1991), 153-209.
- [WX] C. Xu, W. Wang; Explicit formulas of Euler sums via multiple zeta values; arXiv:1805.08056v2 [math.NT].
- [Y] S. Yamamoto; Multiple zeta-star values and multiple integrals; arXiv: 1405.6499 [math.NT].
- [Za] D. Zagier; Values of Zeta functions and their applications; First European Congress of Mathematics, vol. II pp 497-512, Birkhäuser (1994).
- [Ze] F. Zerbini; Elliptic multiple zeta values, modular graph functions and genus 1 superstring scattering amplitudes; PhD thesis (2017), arXiv:1804.07989 [math..