

Complex Structures for Klein-Gordon Theory on Globally Hyperbolic Spacetimes

Albert Much*¹ and Robert Oeckl†²

¹Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Leipzig, D-04103 Leipzig

²Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, C.P. 58190,
Morelia, Michoacán, Mexico

29 November 2018 13 June 2019 (v2) 20 January 2021 (v3)
22 July 2021 (v4)
UNAM-CCM-2018-3

Abstract

We develop a rigorous method to parametrize complex structures for Klein-Gordon theory in globally hyperbolic spacetimes that satisfy a completeness condition. The complex structures are conserved under time-evolution and implement unitary quantizations. They can be interpreted as corresponding to global choices of vacuum. The main ingredient in our construction is a system of operator differential equations. We provide a number of theorems ensuring that all ingredients and steps in the construction are well-defined. We apply the method to exhibit natural quantizations for certain classes of globally hyperbolic spacetimes. In particular, we consider static, expanding and Friedmann-Robertson-Walker spacetimes. Moreover, for a huge class of spacetimes we prove that the differential equation for the complex structure is given by the Gelfand-Dikki equation.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Klein-Gordon Theory on Globally Hyperbolic Spacetimes	3
3	Complex Structures and Unitarity	4
4	Domains, Images and all that	7
4.1	Adjointness of the Complex Structure	7
4.2	Complex Structure as an Automorphism	8
4.3	Similar Complex Structures	11
5	Natural Complex Structures	11
5.1	The Static Case	11
5.2	The Expanding Spacetimes	13
5.3	FRW-type Spacetimes and Conformal Classes	14
5.4	QFT in FRW-type Spacetimes with Mass Term	16
5.5	Complex Structures and the Gelfand-Dikki Equation	19
A	Proofs	19
	References	29

*much@itp.uni-leipzig.de

†robert@matmor.unam.mx

1 Introduction

The first mathematically rigorous work to formulate quantum field theory (QFT) on globally hyperbolic and stationary spacetimes, that admit non-compact Cauchy surfaces, used the notion of a one-particle-structure [1]. Developing this further leads to the notion of *complex structure*.¹ A complex structure is a symplectic transformation, i.e., a map on phase space, that leaves the symplectic structure of the system invariant. Moreover, the square of this transformation is minus the identity. A complex structure determines completely the Fock representation of the canonical commutation relations. In particular, a construction of a complex structure induces a one-particle Hilbert space with which one builds, by the symmetrized tensor product, the Fock space (many-particle Hilbert space). The choice of complex structure also has the interpretation of determining the vacuum as it is equivalent to a way of distinguishing between annihilation and creation modes of the field.

For globally hyperbolic spacetimes that are not static nor stationary (nor conformally related to such spacetimes) the complex structure appears to be time-dependent [3, Page7]. In particular, if the complex structure is viewed as acting on a fixed space of initial data this frequently leads to a failure of unitary implementability of the dynamics in the quantum theory. This problem has even been framed in terms of a no-go theorem [4]. On the other hand, in more recent work, a complex structure is associated instead with each hypersurface, in a coherent fashion [5, 6]. This idea is natural considering the analogy to the symplectic structure. The latter, even though constant in the usual construction of a fixed initial data space, originates from an integral over the second variation of the Lagrangian on a spacelike hypersurface [7]. In the present work we consider the strongest condition for the coherence of the complex structures (see Equation (3.7)), leading to the notion of *conserved complex structure*, corresponding physically to particle number conservation. Unitary implementability of the dynamics in the quantum theory is then automatic. (In [6] this is termed *generalized unitarity*. For more details, see Section 3.) In the present work we take advantage of this more flexible approach to address the quantization problem for Klein-Gordon theory in globally hyperbolic spacetime. To this end we associate a phase space to each leaf of a temporal foliation of spacetime. There, we consider the complex structure as a two by two matrix of operators with respect to a canonical decomposition [3, 8, 9, 6]. We then formulate the coherence condition for the complex structures in terms of operator differential equations that we term the *conservation equations*. We provide quantizations of Klein-Gordon theory on various classes of globally hyperbolic spacetimes by exhibiting natural solutions of the conservation equations. In particular, we generalize and make rigorous previous results for static spacetimes and provide new quantizations for expanding and Friedman-Robertson-Walker (FRW) spacetimes. For the (well known) static and the expanding spacetimes the resulting complex structures do not depend on the time (see Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 5.5). However, for FRW spacetimes there is an explicit time dependence in the complex structure (see Theorem 5.8) that however agrees by construction, as mentioned before, with the unitarity of time-evolution.

We prove that our constructed complex structures are rigorously defined. The proof is two-fold. First, we have to prove that the given complex structures are anti-self-adjoint operators. This is done by using the recent proof of essential self-adjointness of the spatial part of the Klein-Gordon operator [10]. Secondly, we have to prove that the constructed complex structures supply automorphisms of the solution spaces of the the Klein-Gordon equation. To assure this automorphism property we construct the aforementioned spaces as Sobolev spaces by using the spatial part of the Klein-Gordon operator.

We anticipate that the methods developed in the present work could be used to find and verify complex structures for other interesting classes of globally hyperbolic spacetimes, such as for example Bianchi, or Kasner-like, universes. We also recall that the traditional approach (and its failure of a unitary description [11]) relies heavily on the Hamiltonian. In contrast, in our present framework we eliminate the reference to a particular Hamiltonian for constructing the complex structure. Rather, we build the time evolution by means of an embedding that relies on the global hyperbolicity. Therefore, we expect our approach to be more generally applicable. However, we emphasize that the methods presented here only allow for particle-number conserving quantizations. We hope to address the more general case involving particle creation in future work.

¹A rigorous construction of complex structures for globally hyperbolic and stationary spacetimes, that admit compact Cauchy surfaces was done in [2].

In Section 2 we give a short introduction to Klein-Gordon theory on globally hyperbolic spacetimes. The unitarity condition and the conservation equations that determine the complex structure are given in Section 3. We dedicate Section 4 to the study of the domains and the images of the complex structure in a rigorous fashion. The reader interested only in the physical results may skip this section. Using the results of the previous sections, we give well-defined conserved complex structure for a variety of spacetimes in Section 5. Finally, we comment on the emergence of the Gelfand-Dikki equation as underlying a large class of examples. In order to ease readability we collect all lengthy proofs in the Appendix A.

2 Klein-Gordon Theory on Globally Hyperbolic Spacetimes

A *globally hyperbolic spacetime* is a manifold (M, \mathbf{g}) that is homeomorphic [12] and even diffeomorphic [13] to the split manifold $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ with Cauchy-surfaces $\{t\} \times \Sigma$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The authors in [14] solved a long-standing conjecture by proving that any globally hyperbolic spacetime admits a *smooth* foliation into Cauchy surfaces [14, Theorem 1.1]. Moreover, the induced metric of a globally hyperbolic spacetime admits a specific form [13, Theorem 1.1].

THEOREM 2.1. *Let (M, \mathbf{g}) be a globally hyperbolic spacetime. Then, it is isometric to the smooth product manifold $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ with a metric g , i.e.,*

$$g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = -N^2 dt^2 + h_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad (2.1)$$

where Σ is a smooth 3-manifold, $t : \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is the natural projection, $N : \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \mapsto (0, \infty)$ a smooth function, and \mathbf{h} a 2-covariant symmetric tensor field on $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$, satisfying the following condition: Each hypersurface Σ_t at constant t is a Cauchy surface, and the restriction $\mathbf{h}(t)$ of \mathbf{h} to such a Σ_t is a Riemannian metric (i.e. Σ_t is spacelike).

Next, we give for a spin zero scalar field in a globally hyperbolic spacetime the equation of motion and the corresponding symplectic structure. These are the classical ingredients for a subsequent quantization. In the following sections those structures form the basis for finding a well-defined natural complex structure. This in turn allows to build the Hilbert space of states of the quantum theory. The Klein-Gordon equation is,

$$(\square_g - m^2 - V(x))\phi = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where \square_g is the Laplace-Beltrami operator for the metric \mathbf{g} , i.e. $\square_g = (\sqrt{|g|})^{-1} \partial_a (\sqrt{|g|} g^{ab} \partial_b)$ with $|g|$ denoting the absolute value of the determinant of the metric \mathbf{g} .

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let the operator w^2 be given by*

$$\begin{aligned} w^2 &= -\frac{N}{\sqrt{|h|}} \partial_i (\sqrt{|h|} N h^{ij} \partial_j) + N^2 m^2 + N^2 V \\ &= -N^2 (\Delta_h - m^2 - V) - N h^{ij} \partial_i N \partial_j. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Δ_h is the Laplace-Beltrami operator for the associated metric \mathbf{h} on Σ_t . Moreover, let f be defined as $f := -N^{-1} (\partial_t N) + (\sqrt{|h|})^{-1} (\partial_t \sqrt{|h|})$. Then, for the explicit form (2.1) of a globally hyperbolic metric we have the following Klein-Gordon equation,

$$(\partial_t^2 + f \partial_t + w^2) \phi = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. See Appendix A.2.2. □

Conditions and a domain for which the operator w^2 is essentially self-adjoint, positive and invertible are given in [10]. See [1] for a proof for stationary spacetimes and [15] for a proof for cases of FRW-spacetimes.

Next, we denote the space of smooth initial data of compact support for each given Cauchy surface Σ by $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$.² A vector $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ of the initial data space and a time t_0 defines a unique solution (due to Leray's Theorem [16]) $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and takes the form

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \pi \end{pmatrix} = \left(\sqrt{|h|} n^a \nabla_a \phi \right) \Big|_{t=t_0} = \left(\sqrt{|h|} N^{-1} \partial_t \phi \right) \Big|_{t=t_0}, \quad (2.5)$$

²In Section 4 we specify (construct) the explicit form of the solution space $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$.

where n^a is an arbitrary timelike hypersurface-orthogonal vector field and ∇_a the corresponding covariant derivative. Furthermore, the *symplectic form* corresponding to the defined initial data space $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ is the map $\Omega_\Sigma : \mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \times \mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ given by,

$$\Omega_\Sigma(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = \int_\Sigma (\pi_1 \varphi_2 - \pi_2 \varphi_1) d^3x. \quad (2.6)$$

The collection $(\mathcal{S}(\Sigma), \Omega_\Sigma)$ is a *symplectic vector space*.

For the forthcoming sections we adopt the definition of an isometry on Riemannian Manifolds, see for example [17]. In case \mathbf{g}_1 and \mathbf{g}_2 are the chosen Lorentzian metrics on M_1 and M_2 and $\chi^* \mathbf{g}_1 = \mathbf{g}_2$, we call χ an *isometry*; if $\chi^* \mathbf{g}_1 = \Lambda^2 \mathbf{g}_2$ with a strictly positive smooth function Λ , χ is called a *conformal isometry* and $\Lambda^2 \mathbf{g}_2$ a conformal transformation of \mathbf{g}_1 .

3 Complex Structures and Unitarity

We may construct a Hilbert space of states by adding to the classical data a *complex structure*. That is, we equip the initial data space $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ with an operator $J : \mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ that is a complex structure, i.e., satisfies $J^2 = -1$. Moreover, J is required to be compatible with the symplectic structure, i.e., it must be a *symplectic transformation* $\Omega_\Sigma(J\Phi_1, J\Phi_2) = \Omega_\Sigma(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$. Finally, we require J to *tame* the symplectic structure, i.e., the hermitian sesquilinear form

$$\{\Phi_1, \Phi_2\} = 2\Omega_\Sigma(\Phi_1, J\Phi_2) + 2i\Omega_\Sigma(\Phi_1, \Phi_2), \quad (3.1)$$

has to be positive-definite and thus define a complex inner product. After completion this yields a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_J . The Hilbert space of states of the quantum theory is constructed as the *Fock space* over this inner product space.

A more traditional, but equivalent way to construct a quantization [18] is to start with the following non-degenerate sesquilinear and hermitian form on the space of complexified solutions,

$$(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = 4i\Omega_\Sigma(\overline{\Phi_1}, \Phi_2). \quad (3.2)$$

Then choose a complete set $\{u_k\}_{k \in I}$ of ‘‘positive energy’’ solutions satisfying,

$$(u_k, u_l) = \delta_{k,l}, \quad (\overline{u}_k, \overline{u}_l) = -\delta_{k,l}, \quad (u_k, \overline{u}_l) = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

The relation between this approach and that using a complex structure is as follows. Given a complete set of solutions $\{u_k\}_{k \in I}$ with the specified properties, we define J as the operator with eigenvalue i on the space spanned by the solutions $\{u_k\}_{k \in I}$ and with eigenvalue $-i$ on the space spanned by the solutions $\{\overline{u}_k\}_{k \in I}$. Conversely, given J we choose an orthonormal basis $\{u_k\}_{k \in I}$ of the eigenspace with eigenvalue i of J with respect to the inner product (3.2). The advantage of using the complex structure over the explicit solutions is that we do not need to make an explicit choice of basis (on which the quantization does not depend).

In order to have explicit expressions for the complex structure we use operators that are naturally induced by the Klein-Gordon equation (as for example in [3, 1, 11]). On the symplectic vector space $(\mathcal{S}(\Sigma), \Omega_\Sigma)$ with coordinates $(\phi, \pi)_\Sigma$, the complex structure J may be parametrized as [3, 8],

$$-J(\varphi, \pi) = (A\varphi + B\pi, C\pi + D\varphi), \quad (3.4)$$

where since $J^2 = -1$ the linear operators A, B, C, D satisfy the following relations,

$$A^2 + BD = -1, \quad C^2 + DB = -1, \quad AB + BC = 0, \quad DA + CD = 0,$$

which leads to

$$D = -B^{-1}(1 + A^2) \quad C = -B^{-1}AB. \quad (3.5)$$

Due to the symmetry and positive definiteness of the inner product $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ the linear operators A, B, C, D have to satisfy the following conditions,

$$\int_\Sigma \Psi B \Psi' = \int_\Sigma \Psi' B \Psi, \quad \int_\Sigma \chi D \chi' = \int_\Sigma \chi' D \chi, \quad \int_\Sigma \Psi A \chi = - \int_\Sigma \chi C \Psi, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\int_{\Sigma} \Psi B \Psi > 0, \quad \int_{\Sigma} \chi D \chi < 0,$$

where χ, χ' are scalars that are elements in the space $C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$ and $\Psi, \Psi' \in C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$ scalar densities of weight one.

Different complex structures may give rise to inequivalent quantizations. In the sense of representation theory, two complex structures J_1, J_2 on the same phase space give rise to equivalent quantizations if and only if their difference $J_1 - J_2$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on the Hilbert space determined by one of them via (3.1) [19]. On the other hand, the physical role of the complex structure is usually tied to a notion of time-evolution and energy. Very briefly, if we look at Equation (2.4) and consider the simple case that f vanishes we want to have w^2 positive definite and ∂_t^2 consequently negative definite. (The eigenvalues of ∂_t^2 are then minus the square of the energy.) In particular, the spectrum of ∂_t is imaginary and the standard complex structure is then chosen to distinguish the positive imaginary (“positive energy”) part from the negative imaginary (“negative energy”) part. In general curved spacetimes the situation is more complicated and the choice of complex structure becomes less straightforward.

We limit ourselves in this context to remark that the construction of a complex structure along such lines would in general depend on time for globally hyperbolic spacetimes that are non-stationary [3, 11]. Proofs for a variety of time-dependent spacetimes examples exist that natural fixed choices of complex structures are not compatible with unitary time evolution [20] in the following sense: For candidate time evolution maps $U : \mathcal{H}_J \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_J$, the operator $J - UJU^{-1}$ is not Hilbert-Schmidt in \mathcal{H}_J .

To resolve this tension we use techniques and ideas developed in [5, 6]. Let us recap some of the most important results that we use. In the mentioned works one defines a phase space of initial data for each leaf of the foliation. Since the leaves are labeled by a time coordinate $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote the corresponding phase spaces by Γ_t . There is also the phase space Γ_V of global solutions of the equations of motion. Since we have a well posed initial value problem we have isomorphisms $\mathcal{I}_t : \Gamma_t \rightarrow \Gamma_V$. What is more, each phase space Γ_t is naturally identified with $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ due to the embeddings given in Theorem 2.1 of the manifold Σ into M . For a time t we denote this embedding by $T_t : \Sigma \rightarrow M$. With the identification between Γ_t and $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ implicit we read off the isomorphism $\mathcal{I}_{t_0}^{-1} : \Gamma_V \rightarrow \Gamma_{t_0}$ from Expression (2.5).

As objects naturally associated with the phase space Γ_t for each leaf of the foliation, we denote the symplectic structure by Ω_t and the complex structure by J_t . Indeed, the symplectic structure Ω_t arises as a second variation of the action on the hypersurface $T_t(\Sigma)$ [7]. However, due to a conservation law that it satisfies, combined with our special choice of coordinates on momentum space (compare Equation (2.5)) this symplectic form viewed on $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ takes the same form of Equation (2.6) for any time t . Thus we use the notation Ω_Σ interchangeably with Ω_t . There is no reason to expect the same to happen for the complex structure. That is, there is no reason to expect the complex structures J_t to lead to the same complex structure J on $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ for all times t as we have simply assumed above. Indeed, it is easy to see that such a requirement of “constancy” of the symplectic structure is dependent on the choice of coordinate system and Cauchy hypersurface embeddings and thus generically unphysical.

The most general condition for a family of complex structures $\{J_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ to admit unitary maps that could be candidates for the quantum time-evolution is (as implied by our previous remarks) that,

$$J_{t_1} - E_{t_2, t_1}^{-1} J_{t_2} E_{t_2, t_1} \quad \text{is Hilbert-Schmidt in } \mathcal{H}_{J_{t_1}}. \quad (3.7)$$

Here the (classical) time evolution map $E_{t_2, t_1} : \Gamma_{t_1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{t_2}$ defined by

$$E_{t_2, t_1} = \mathcal{I}_{t_2}^{-1} \mathcal{I}_{t_1}, \quad (3.8)$$

is a map on the canonical phase space that evolves states from time t_1 to time t_2 . In particular, it takes Cauchy data defined on the Cauchy surface Σ_1 (which is the embedding of the Cauchy surface Σ that results from the foliation at time t_1 , i.e. $T_{t_1}(\Sigma) = \Sigma_{t_1}$) evolves it to a global solution and induces the Cauchy data on the surface Σ_{t_2} .

If Expression (3.7) even vanishes for any pair of times t_1 and t_2 , then the complex structures J_t arise from a complex structure J_V on the global phase space Γ_V . That is, we have for all times t ,

$$J_t = \mathcal{I}_t^{-1} J_V \mathcal{I}_t. \quad (3.9)$$

Note that this condition is not the same as the ‘‘constancy’’ condition mentioned previously, but rather means that the complex structure is *conserved* under time-evolution. In all of the remainder of this paper we restrict ourselves to this case. In the standard quantization, this guarantees particle number conservation from the outset as well as unitarity.

Since the complex structure viewed as an operator on $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ is time dependent, so are the operators A, B, C, D that encode it in Equation (3.4). We thus write $A(t)$ etc. when we need to make this explicit. Suppose we are given a family of complex structures $\{J_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ specified in terms of a corresponding family of operators $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ according to Relation (3.4). ($C(t)$ and $D(t)$ are redundant because of Relations (3.5).) Given a global solution ϕ we obtain initial data $(\varphi(t), \pi(t))$ for each time $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Applying at each time t the corresponding complex structure J_t (in terms of the operators $A(t)$ and $B(t)$) leads to new initial data $(\varphi'(t), \pi'(t))$. The family $\{J_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ arises from a conserved complex structure, i.e., there exists a corresponding complex structure J_V on the global solution space if and only if the new initial data family $\{(\varphi'(t), \pi'(t))\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ assembles to a new global solution ϕ' for any choice of ϕ . This condition can be formulated as a condition on the family of operators $\{(A(t), B(t))\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. This is how we will characterize conserved complex structures throughout this work: As families $\{(A(t), B(t))\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ that define an admissible complex structure at each time t and in addition satisfy this conservation condition.

We may break the condition down into two parts. After assembling the data $\{\varphi'(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ into a global configuration ϕ' we check:

- The Klein-Gordon Equation: $(\partial_t^2 - N^{-1}(\partial_t N)\partial_t + (\sqrt{|h|})^{-1}(\partial_t \sqrt{|h|})\partial_t + w^2)\phi' = 0$.
- The derivative relation: $\pi'(t) = \sqrt{h}N^{-1}\partial_t \phi'|_t$.

These conditions are equivalent to the Hamilton equation,

$$\dot{J} = [H, J],$$

where the Hamiltonian is given by,

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{h}N^{-1} \\ -(\sqrt{h})^{-1}Nw^2 & -f \end{pmatrix}.$$

The equivalence can be seen by taking the time derivative of the term $J\Phi$, i.e.

$$\frac{d}{dt}(J\Phi) = H(J\Phi)$$

and using the Klein-Gordon equation for the initial data Φ , i.e. $\dot{\Phi} = H\Phi$. If we use the non-weighted initial data³, i.e. $\Psi = (\varphi, \dot{\varphi})$, the Hamiltonian H reads,

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -w^2 & -f \end{pmatrix}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Demanding that the field ϕ' is a solution to the Klein-Gordon equation and that the derivative relation $\pi'(t) = \sqrt{h}N^{-1}\partial_t \phi'|_t$ holds equivalent to the equation of motion $\frac{d}{dt}(J\Phi) = h(J\Phi)$ induces the two **Conservation Equations**,*

$$(I) \quad \partial_t Z = -Yw^2 + Y^{-1}(1 + Z^2),$$

$$(II) \quad \partial_t Y = Y^{-1}ZY + Yf + Z,$$

where we defined $Y := B N^{-1}(\sqrt{h})$.

Proof. See Appendix A.3.1. □

³The matrix T in Lemma 4.2 gives the similarity transformation from weighted to non-weighted initial data.

4 Domains, Images and all that

Besides the mentioned requirements on the complex structure, i.e., $J^2 = -1$ and the symmetry properties (that give us the tameness property, see Equations (3.6)), we have to demand from the operator-valued matrix $J : \mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ to be an anti-self-adjoint operator $J^* = -J$ on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_J . This insures that the constructed complex inner product (see Equation (3.1)) defined by the use of a complex structure is well-defined. The symmetry properties expressed in Equations (3.6) are not enough for the operator J to be anti-self-adjoint. Thus we need a more rigorous investigation of the domains, for the respective operators that build the object J . Moreover, the complex structure has to have the automorphism property w.r.t. the solution space $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$. From the general static solutions in Section 5 and from the static case in [1] we know that the complex structure depends on the square root of the spatial part of the Klein-Gordon operator (see Equation (2.3)). Hence, to prove that the complex structure has an automorphism property on solution spaces, we need to expand the space of smooth functions with compact support, since the square root of a (weighted) Laplacian (plus a potential) is not an automorphism on those spaces, see [21]. The reader that is more interested in the physical results may skip this entire section.

4.1 Adjointness of the Complex Structure

In this subsection we study the properties of the explicit domains of the operators that build the complex structure. The investigation allows us to make statements about minimal requirements on the domains to guarantee the anti-self-adjointness of the complex structure. We introduce an auxiliary inner product, denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. This inner product is written in terms of the 2×2 -matrix

$$\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the symplectic form, i.e.,

$$\Omega(\Phi, \Psi) = \langle \Phi, \varepsilon \Psi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad \Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\Sigma). \quad (4.1)$$

This allows to translate the compatibility condition with the symplectic structure into an adjointness condition (as in [1, Equation (2.2)]),

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(\Phi, J\Psi) &= \langle \Phi, \varepsilon J\Psi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &= \langle J^\dagger \varepsilon^T \Phi, \Psi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &= -\langle J^\dagger \varepsilon^T \Phi, \varepsilon^2 \Psi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &= -\langle \varepsilon^T J^\dagger \varepsilon^T \Phi, \varepsilon \Psi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &= \langle J^* \Phi, \varepsilon \Psi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &= \Omega(J^* \Phi, \Psi), \end{aligned}$$

where the adjoint of the operator-valued matrix J is

$$J^* = \varepsilon J^\dagger \varepsilon^T. \quad (4.2)$$

By using the auxiliary scalar product (see Equation (4.1)) we are able to define anti-self-adjointness of the complex structure J . Since the complex structure consists of the operators A and B we elaborate in what follows the restrictions on the respective operators for anti-self-adjointness of the operator-valued matrix J to hold. Yet, from the results in the next section we know that the complex structure is a function of the operator w^2 (see Equation (2.3)). Since essential self-adjointness of the spatial part of the Klein Gordon operator is proven for the measure $d\mu = N^{-1}(\sqrt{\hbar})d^3x$ (see for example [10, 1, 15]), we give in the following the transformation that changes the measure. First, we introduce the notion of weighted Hilbert spaces [22, Chapter 3.6, Definition 3.17].

DEFINITION 4.1. A triple $(\Sigma, \mathbf{h}, \mu)$ is called a *weighted manifold*, if (Σ, \mathbf{h}) is a Riemannian manifold and ρ is a smooth positive density function such that the corresponding measure (volume element on Σ) μ is given by $d\mu = \rho d\Sigma$. Equipped with the measure μ the *weighted Hilbert space*, denoted as $L^2(\Sigma, \mu)$, is given as the space of all square-integrable functions w.r.t. the measure μ .

In the following we transform the complex structure w.r.t. the measure μ . Afterwards, we give necessary conditions for complex structure to be anti-self-adjoint.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $J : \mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ denote the complex structure w.r.t. the measure on Σ . Denote the complex structure w.r.t. the weighted measure $d\mu = N^{-1}(\sqrt{h})d^3x$ on Σ , which is obtained by transforming all functions to scalars (of density zero), by $J_Y : \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, \mu)$. Then, the operator-valued matrix J_Y is given by the following similarity transformation to J ,*

$$J_Y = T J T^{-1}, \quad (4.3)$$

where the transformation matrix $T : \mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, \mu)$ is

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N\sqrt{|h|}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.4)$$

The explicit form of the complex structure is thus given by

$$J_Y = \begin{pmatrix} A & Y \\ -Y^{-1}(1 + A^2) & -Y^{-1}AY \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.5)$$

where we defined $Y := B N^{-1}(\sqrt{h})$ and $A := -Z$.

Proof. One proves this by writing out $\Omega(\Phi_1, J\Phi_2)$ explicitly, changing the measure and substituting for the conjugate momenta $\pi_a = (\sqrt{h})N^{-1}\partial_t\phi_a$, with the vector $\Phi_a = (\phi_a, \pi_a)$ for $a = 1, 2$. The end result (Y) acts on the time derivative of the field, i.e. $\partial_t\phi_a$. \square

For the complex structure to be anti-self adjoint the next assumption is essential.

Assumption 4.3. Let the operators A and Y given in the previous section have the following properties w.r.t. their adjoint,

$$Y = Y^*, \quad D(Y) = D(Y^*), \quad A^* = Y^{-1}AY, \quad D(A^*) = D(Y^{-1}AY).$$

In particular this means that the symmetric operator Y is self-adjoint and that the operator A is self-adjoint if it commutes with Y . Moreover, we assume that the operators Y and A and their respective adjoints all have a common dense and stable domain of (self-)adjointness, denoted by $\mathcal{D}(\Sigma, \mu)$. It satisfies $\mathcal{D}(\Sigma, \mu) = D(Y) = D(Y^*) = D(A^*) = D(Y^{-1}AY)$ and moreover has the inclusion properties $C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \subset \mathcal{D}(\Sigma, \mu) \subset L^2(\Sigma, \mu)$. Furthermore, we make the assumption that the operator Y is invertible on the domain $\mathcal{D}(\Sigma, \mu)$. The domain is also stable under the action of the inverse of the operator Y .

In the following section where we discuss solutions for the complex structure on different globally hyperbolic spacetimes we have to prove for every solution of A and Y that the assumption holds.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Let the complex structure $J_Y : \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, \mu)$ be given by the operator-valued matrix*

$$J_Y = \begin{pmatrix} A & Y \\ -Y^{-1}(1 + A^2) & -Y^{-1}AY \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.6)$$

and let the operators A and Y satisfy Assumption 4.3. Then, the complex structure J_Y is anti-self-adjoint on the domain $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, \mu) := \mathcal{D}(\Sigma, \mu) \oplus \mathcal{D}(\Sigma, \mu)$.

Proof. See Appendix A.4.4. \square

4.2 Complex Structure as an Automorphism

Besides the proof of anti-self-adjointness of the complex structure we have to guarantee that the map (induced by the complex structure) is a topological linear automorphism of the solution space $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, \mu)$. The solutions for the complex structure, given in Section 5, depend on the spatial part of the Klein-Gordon equation. Hence, in the following we construct Sobolev spaces w.r.t. to the aforementioned operator to have automorphisms w.r.t. these spaces. To make this idea more precise we introduce in this section the notion of weighted Laplace operators [22, Chapter 3.6, Definition 3.17].

DEFINITION 4.5. The respective Laplace-Beltrami operator on the weighted Manifold $(\Sigma, \mathbf{h}, \nu)$ is called the *weighted Laplace-Beltrami operator* and it is denoted by Δ_ν and given by

$$\Delta_\nu = \frac{1}{\rho\sqrt{|h|}} \partial_i (\rho\sqrt{|h|} h^{ij} \partial_j).$$

Next, we define a new metric $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}$ and measure μ by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}} = N^{-2} \mathbf{h}, \quad \text{and} \quad d\mu = N^{-2} d\nu = N^{-1} d\Sigma$$

and we have the following result (see a special case of [10, Theorem 4.1]).

THEOREM 4.6. *Let the Riemannian manifold $(\Sigma, \tilde{\mathbf{h}})$ be complete and let the potential $V \in L^2_{loc}(\Sigma, \mu)$ be such that it can be written as $V = V_+ + V_-$, where $V_+ \in L^2_{loc}(\Sigma, \mu) \geq 0$ and $V_- \in L^2_{loc}(\Sigma, \mu) \leq 0$ point-wise. Then, the operator w^2 (from Equation 2.3), has the form,*

$$w^2 = -\tilde{\Delta}_\mu + N^2 V.$$

If the potential is strictly positive, i.e. $V > \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, then the operator w^2 is essentially self-adjoint on $C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \subset L^2(\Sigma, \mu)$ and its closure is strictly positive and invertible.

Since the operator w^2 is essentially self-adjoint the domain of the respective maximal operator i.e.,

$$W_V^2(\Sigma, \mu) := \mathcal{D}(w_{max}^2) = \{\Psi \in L^2(\Sigma, \mu) : w^2 \Psi \in L^2(\Sigma, \mu)\},$$

is equivalent to the domain obtained by the closure of w^2 in $L^2(\Sigma, \mu)$ from the initial domain $C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$. Since the closure of the operator w^2 which we denote by

$$H_V := \overline{w^2}, \tag{4.7}$$

is a non-negative definite self-adjoint operator it follows (see [23, Section VIII.6], [23, Theorem X.23] and [22, A.13]) that the domain of H_V is a Hilbert space with the scalar product given by,

$$\langle \Psi, \Phi \rangle_{H_V} = \langle H_V \Psi, H_V \Phi \rangle_{L^2(\Sigma, \mu)} + \langle \Psi, \Phi \rangle_{L^2(\Sigma, \mu)}. \tag{4.8}$$

Hence we have the norm

$$\|\Psi\|_{H_V}^2 = \|H_V \Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mu)}^2 + \|\Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mu)}^2. \tag{4.9}$$

LEMMA 4.7. *The norms given by $\|\cdot\|_{H_V}$ and by*

$$\|\Psi\|_{W_V^2(\Sigma, \mu)}^2 = \|H_V \Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mu)}^2, \tag{4.10}$$

are equivalent. Moreover, the following inclusions hold

$$C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \subset W_V^2(\Sigma, \mu) \subset L^2(\Sigma, \mu),$$

and $C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$ is dense in $W_V^2(\Sigma, \mu)$.

Proof. See Appendix A.4.7. □

Next we define the space W_V^{2s} for all real⁴ $s > 0$ in an analogous fashion as we did for the case $s = 1$. That is, we first define the Hilbert space

$$W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu) := \{\Psi \in L^2(\Sigma, \mu) : H_V^s \Psi \in L^2(\Sigma, \mu)\}. \tag{4.11}$$

The domain of the operator H_V^s (which is equal to the domain of the operator $(H_V + \rho)^s$, [22, Exercise 4.26]) is equivalent to the closure of the operator H_V^s in L^2 from the initial domain C_0^∞ [22, Chapter 7.1]. Another way to see this is using the spectral theorem [25, Theorem VIII.6]. In particular, we know [10] that the operator H_V is a unique strictly positive self-adjoint operator.

⁴The operator H_V with $V \in C^\infty$ is an elliptic differential operator and thus enjoys the locality property (i.e. it maps $C_0^\infty \rightarrow C_0^\infty$, see [21, Chapter 1, Equation (2.22)]) and hence we can use [24, Lemma 2.1] to prove that any integer power of H_V is essentially self-adjoint.

Thus any power of the respective operator is self-adjoint as well. Thus, we use the same arguments to conclude that the domain of H_V^s is the Hilbert space W_V^{2s} . This space is equal to the domain of the closure of the operator which is (due to self-adjointness) the collection of all vectors that are generated by the closure of the operator H_V^s in L^2 from the initial domain C_0^∞ . The Hilbert space W_V^{2s} has the scalar product,

$$\langle \Psi, \Phi \rangle_{H_V^s(\Sigma, \mu)}^2 = \langle H_V^s \Psi, H_V^s \Phi \rangle_{L^2(\Sigma, \mu)} + \langle \Psi, \Phi \rangle_{L^2(\Sigma, \mu)}, \quad (4.12)$$

and hence we have the norm

$$\|\Psi\|_{H_V^s(\Sigma, \mu)}^2 = \|H_V^s \Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mu)}^2 + \|\Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mu)}^2. \quad (4.13)$$

Note that the space $W_V^0(\Sigma, \mu)$ is simply the Hilbert space $L^2(\Sigma, \mu)$.

LEMMA 4.8. *For the Hilbert space W_V^{2s} the following inclusions*

$$C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \subset W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu) \subset W_V^{2k}(\Sigma, \mu) \subset L^2(\Sigma, \mu),$$

hold if $s > k$.

Proof. See Appendix A.4.8. □

THEOREM 4.9. *The operator H_V is a linear continuous map $W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow W_V^{2s-2}(\Sigma, \mu)$ and its inverse is a linear continuous map $W_V^{2s-2}(\Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)$. Hence, the operator H_V is an isomorphism between $W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)$ and $W_V^{2s-2}(\Sigma, \mu)$.*

Proof. To prove continuity the following has to hold,

$$\|H_V \Psi\|_{W_V^{2s-2}(\Sigma, \mu)} \leq C \|\Psi\|_{W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)}.$$

This inequality follows from the definition of the Hilbert space $W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)$. The continuity of the inverse are proven by Inequality (A.3) and the inclusions given in Lemma 4.8. □

COROLLARY 4.10. *Since the operator H_V is an invertible operator of positive order (order 2) and its resolvent satisfies Inequality (A.3) its complex powers, i.e. H_V^l for $l \in \mathbb{C}$ exist and the operator H_V^l is a topological linear isomorphism between $W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)$ and $W_V^{2s-2\operatorname{Re}(l)}(\Sigma, \mu)$.*

Proof. For proof of this corollary see [26, 2] and references therein. □

Using these results we can define the expression

$$\langle \langle \Psi_1, \Psi_2 \rangle, \langle \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle \rangle_{W_V^{2s+1}, W_V^{2s}} = \langle \Psi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle_{W_V^{2s+1}} + \langle \Psi_2, \Phi_2 \rangle_{W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)}, \quad (4.14)$$

where $\Psi_1, \Phi_1 \in W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu)$ and $\Psi_2, \Phi_2 \in W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)$. This is a scalar product in the space $W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu) \times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)$. To prove that the complex structure is an isomorphism on the Hilbert space $W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu) \times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)$ we have to prove

$$\|J_Y \Psi\|_{W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu) \times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)} \leq C \|\Psi\|_{W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu) \times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)}, \quad (4.15)$$

for the vector $\Psi \in W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu) \times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)$ and a real positive constant C . If the potential of the operator H_V is equal to zero or a smooth function with compact support, the constructed Hilbert space $W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)$ reduces to the Sobolev space defined for the weighted Laplace operator, see [22, Chapter 4.2, Chapter 7.1].

4.3 Similar Complex Structures

Let us assume that two complex structures, $J : \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, \mu)$ and $\bar{J} : \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, \bar{\mu}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, \bar{\mu})$, are similar, i.e., there exists an invertible matrix $X : \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, \bar{\mu})$ such that

$$J = X^{-1}\bar{J}X.$$

Moreover, let us assume that one of those structures is anti-self-adjoint. Does that imply anti-self-adjointness of the similar structure as well? The answer is the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.11. *Let two complex structures, $J : \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, \mu)$ and $\bar{J} : \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, \bar{\mu}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, \bar{\mu})$, be similar, i.e., $J = X^{-1}\bar{J}X$ such that the operator valued matrix $X : \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, \bar{\mu})$ fulfills,*

$$\epsilon X^\dagger \epsilon^T = \pm(X^{-1}).$$

Moreover, let the complex structure \bar{J} be anti-self-adjoint on $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{J}}$. Then, the complex structure J is anti-self-adjoint on \mathcal{H}_J .

Proof. See Appendix A.4.11. □

5 Natural Complex Structures

In the present section we use the machinery developed in the previous sections to study conserved complex structures for certain classes of globally hyperbolic spacetimes. Some of the obtained structures are novel, thus permitting a quantization in cases where none was previously known. In other cases of previously defined or even well-known complex structures our treatment is more general and rigorous (compare Section 4).

In general there is an infinite number of conserved complex structures that can be defined on a given globally hyperbolic spacetime. For example, applying a diffeomorphism will in general lead to a new complex structure. If a timelike Killing vector field exists it can be used to select a complex structure (see for example [1] or [3], [11]). However, generically such a vector field will not exist. We thus refrain here from attempting to introduce any precise criterion for choosing a complex structure. Instead, we consider proposals that appear simple in terms of the mathematical structures that we use to describe the problem, including our choice of coordinates inherent in the decomposition (2.1). We use the term *natural complex structure* to denote the complex structures that we obtain as solutions to the Conservation Equations.⁵

5.1 The Static Case

We start by considering static spacetimes. While a suitable complex structure was rigorously given in [1] (see also a less rigorous treatment in [3]) we show how it fits into the present framework. Moreover, we generalized the original theorem ([1, Theorem 7.2]) of essential self-adjointness of the operator w^2 (see [10, Theorem 4.1], Theorem 4.6) to all globally hyperbolic stationary spacetimes (with metric of the form Equation 2.1). Before moving forward we recall the precise notion of a static spacetime [27, Chapter 6, Page 128].

DEFINITION 5.1. A metric is *static* if, in appropriate coordinates with $t \equiv x^0$ time-like,

1. $g_{\mu\nu}(\vec{x})$ is independent of t , and
2. $g_{0j}(\vec{x}) = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$.

If the first condition holds but not necessarily the second, then the metric or geometry is called *stationary*.

REMARK 5.2. In this section we use throughout the notation $\underline{\mathbf{h}}$ to distinguish a purely space dependent spatial metric from a general one, \mathbf{h} . Next, we recall the explicit form of the scalar

⁵This is the same use of language as that of the authors of [3, Page 3].

curvature for all the metrics in this section. The scalar curvature quantity $\frac{1}{6}N^2 R$ of the metric $g = -N^2(t) dt^2 + a^2(t)\underline{h}_{ij}(\vec{x}) d\vec{x}^2$ is given by,

$$\frac{1}{6}N^2 R = a^{-2}(\partial_t a)^2 - a^{-1}N^{-1}(\partial_t a)(\partial_t N) + a^{-1}\partial_t^2 a + \frac{1}{6}a^{-2}N^2 \underline{R}.$$

Here, we denote the scalar curvature of the spatial part with $\underline{R} = \underline{h}^{ij}\underline{R}_{ij}$, where the underline over the Ricci tensor indicates that it is the curvature tensor for the spatial metric \underline{h} .

REMARK 5.3. In the following subsections we look at spacetimes where the function f (see Equation (2.4)) is independent of the spatial components. Hence, the commutator

$$[Z, Y^2] = 0,$$

is equal to zero, and therefore the operator Z commutes with Y .⁶ Next, we use Conservation equation (II) and we have for Z ,

$$Z = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t Y - f Y).$$

Hence, in all the following spacetimes the Conservation equations reduce to solving a differential equation for the operator Y . By the simple equation $A = -Z$ a solution for Y is sufficient to obtain A and therefore the complete complex structure.

Equipped with these definitions we obtain our first theorem.

THEOREM 5.4. *Let a static globally hyperbolic spacetime be given by a metric \mathbf{g} of the form*

$$\mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} -N^2(\vec{x}) & \vec{0}^T \\ \vec{0} & \underline{h}_{ij}(\vec{x}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.1)$$

and the Klein Gordon equation be

$$(\square_{\mathbf{g}} - \xi R - m^2)\phi = 0,$$

where R denotes the scalar curvature. If the scalar curvature fulfills the condition $\xi R > -m^2 + \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, then we have the following solution for the Conservation equations⁷

$$Y = H_V^{-1/2},$$

where the self-adjoint operator H_V (see Equation (4.7)) is

$$H_V = -\frac{N}{\sqrt{|h|}}\partial_i(\sqrt{|h|}Nh^{ij}\partial_j) + N^2m^2 + \xi N^2 R.$$

The complex structure (see Equation (4.5)) defined by the solution given by Y is an anti-self-adjoint operator and an automorphism on the Hilbert space $W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu) \times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)$. This space is a product of Sobolev spaces defined by powers of the operator H_V .

Proof. Since $f = 0$ Conservation equation (I) reduces to

$$0 = Y \partial_t^2 Y - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t Y)^2 + 2w^2 Y^2 - 2.$$

To solve this non-linear and non-homogeneous differential equation we take the time-derivative thereof and we get,

$$\partial_t^3 Y + 4w^2 \partial_t Y = 0.$$

⁶Since the operator Y^2 is a bounded self-adjoint operator its unique square root Y commutes with all operators that commute with the respective operator Y^2 [28, Chapter VII, Theorem 2]. For a generalization of this important theorem to the unbounded case see [29].

⁷In the following we denote the closure of the operator w^2 by the symbol H_V .

Since the operator w^2 does not depend on the time, we solve the second differential equation by standard methods,

$$Y(t) = c_1(w)^{-1}e^{2iwt} + c_2(w)^{-1}e^{-2iwt} + c_3.$$

After inserting the solution in the first differential equation and by demanding the operator Y to be symmetric and positive (due to the inner product, see Equations (3.6)) we obtain the following relations for the constants,

$$c_3 = +\sqrt{1 + 4c_1c_2}(w^{-1}), \quad c_1 = c_2^*.$$

For $c_1 = 0$, we prove anti-self-adjointness of the complex structure by proving that Assumption 4.3 (see Theorem 4.6 and Proposition 4.4) is satisfied. That Assumption 4.3 holds follows from the fact that the operator $H_V^{1/2}$ is self-adjoint (see Theorem 4.6). Thus any power thereof is self-adjoint as well (due to the spectral theorem) and hence the operators Y and Y^{-1} are self-adjoint. Next, we prove the property of the complex structure being an automorphism by using the construction of Section 4.2, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_Y\Psi\|_{W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma,\mu)\times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma,\mu)}^2 &= \|H_V^{-1/2}\Psi_2\|_{W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma,\mu)}^2 + \|H_V^{1/2}\Psi_1\|_{W_V^{2s}(\Sigma,\mu)}^2 \\ &\leq c_4\|\Psi_2\|_{W_V^{2s}(\Sigma,\mu)}^2 + c_5\|\Psi_1\|_{W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma,\mu)}^2 \\ &\leq c\|\Psi\|_{W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma,\mu)\times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma,\mu)}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where we used the fact that the vector $\Psi = (\Psi_1, \Psi_2) \in W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu) \times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)$ and we used Theorem 4.9 and Corollary 4.10. \square

There are several examples of static spacetimes that are interesting in their own right. One example is the Schwarzschild spacetime [30]. Another interesting example is the case of the ultra-static spacetimes (see for instance [31]). Ultra-static spacetimes are globally hyperbolic if and only if the manifold (Σ, \mathbf{h}) is complete [1]. Hence, essential self-adjointness (Theorem 4.6, [10, Theorem 4.1]) of the operator w^2 (see Equation (2.3)) holds for all globally hyperbolic ultra-static spacetimes and all spacetimes that are respectively conformally isometric. In particular, that includes static spacetimes. Thus, for the case of static globally hyperbolic spacetimes (M, \mathbf{g}) there always exists a foliation $(M \cong \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma)$ such that the resulting Cauchy surface Σ and the induced metric $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}$, considered as a Riemannian manifold $(\Sigma, \tilde{\mathbf{h}})$ is a complete metric space. In turn this means that for all such spacetimes (and conformal transformations thereof) that fulfill the condition $\xi R > -m^2 + \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, we gave a well-defined complex structure.⁸ To compare our results with [3] and [1] see [32, Section VI.B] and [2].

5.2 The Expanding Spacetimes

In this section we focus on the case where the spatial part of the metric does not depend on time, but the g_{00} -component of the metric does. Such globally hyperbolic spacetimes can emerge from stationary spacetimes that differ from the representation of the metric (see Equation (2.1)) by the existence of a so called shift vector \vec{N} , i.e.,

$$ds^2 = -(N^2 - N_i N^i)dt^2 + 2N_i dt dx^i + h_{ij} dx^i dx^j,$$

where all functions, vectors and matrices (i.e. N, \vec{N}, \mathbf{h}) depend on the spatial coordinates. Yet, all stationary globally hyperbolic spacetimes admit a form such as Equation (2.1). This follows from Theorem 2.1. The coordinate transformation that performs this change will, however, induce time dependencies in the respective coefficients of the metric. Hence, given a stationary globally hyperbolic spacetime (where the metric does not depend on the time) and transforming it into the form studied here (see Equation (2.1)) will induce time dependencies. In some cases the time dependencies are of the form of the metric studied in this section.

⁸In [1] the author constructed a well-defined complex structure for static spacetimes under some boundedness requirements on the coefficients of the metric. However, in this work (and in [10]) we remove these restrictions.

THEOREM 5.5. *Let the metric \mathbf{g} and the Klein-Gordon equation be given by*

$$\mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} -N^2(t) & \vec{0}^T \\ \vec{0} & \underline{h}_{ij}(\vec{x}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\square_{\mathbf{g}} - \xi R - m^2) \phi = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

where R denotes the scalar curvature. Let the curvature scalar fulfill the positivity condition $\xi R > -m^2 + \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Then, a solution for the Conservation equations is,

$$Y = H_V^{-1/2},$$

where the operator H_V is given by

$$H_V = N^2 (-\Delta_{\underline{h}} + \xi R + m^2).$$

The complex structure (see Equation (4.5)) defined by the solution of Y is an anti self-adjoint operator and an automorphism on the Hilbert space $W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu) \times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)$. This space is a product of Sobolev spaces defined by powers of the operator H_V .

Proof. See Appendix A.5.4. □

5.3 FRW-type Spacetimes and Conformal Classes

In this section we investigate FRW-type cosmologies⁹. In these models the Klein-Gordon equation can be solved by separation of variables. However, for some of the models, e.g. QFT in FRW spacetime with $m \neq 0$ and $\xi \neq 1/6$, there exists no natural choice of basis for the space of solutions analogous to the positive- and negative-frequency exponentials in the conformally trivial case ([27, Chapter 7]). Nevertheless, in the following we supply examples where we construct complex structures for these spacetimes on each Cauchy surface such that there exists a non-trivial splitting into positive- and negative-frequencies (on each hypersurface). All the above mentioned spacetimes are such that the function $f = -N^{-1}(\partial_t N) + (\sqrt{|h|})^{-1}(\partial_t \sqrt{|h|})$ (see Equation (2.4)) is independent of the spatial directions and hence the equations that define a conserved complex structure (see Proposition 3.1) simplify. Friedmann-Robertson-Walker type spacetimes are represented by a metric of the form

$$\mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} -N^2(t) & \vec{0}^T \\ \vec{0} & a^2(t)\underline{h}_{ij}(\vec{x}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.4)$$

These spacetimes have applications in astrophysics, in the description of the universe and in astroparticle physics (see the excellent reference [17]). In this section the metric (Equation (5.4)) is conformally isometric to the previously studied metric (see Equation (5.3)). Instead of solving the Conservation equations for the FRW-case explicitly, we first construct transformations that take us from the former objects (\mathbf{g}, J) to the latter objects $(\overline{\mathbf{g}}, \overline{J})$. By using these constructed transformations we obtain solutions for the case at hand. We denote a conformal transformation of the metric by

$$\mathbf{g} \mapsto \overline{\mathbf{g}} = \Lambda^2 \mathbf{g}. \quad (5.5)$$

Using this transformation one has for the massless Klein-Gordon equation (in four dimensions) with the potential being $V = \frac{1}{6}R$ the following (see [34, Equation (3.5)]),

$$\left(\square_{\mathbf{g}} - \frac{1}{6}R \right) \phi = 0 \longrightarrow \left(\square_{\overline{\mathbf{g}}} - \frac{1}{6}\overline{R} \right) \overline{\phi} = \Lambda^{-3} \left(\square_{\mathbf{g}} - \frac{1}{6}R \right) \phi = 0,$$

where

$$\overline{\phi} = \Lambda^{-1} \phi, \quad (5.6)$$

and \overline{R} is the scalar curvature of the metric $\overline{\mathbf{g}}$. The following lemmas give explicit transformations for the complex structures corresponding to the metrics that are conformally isometric.

⁹Homogeneous and isotropic cases, conventionally called FRW spacetimes (see Metric (5.4)), imply constant curvature, [33, Chapter 5.1]. In the following sections we call FRW-type spacetimes, spacetimes with metric of the form as in Equation (5.4) where the spatial curvature is not necessarily constant.

LEMMA 5.6. *Let the metric \mathbf{g} be given by*

$$\mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} -N^2(t) & \vec{0}^T \\ \vec{0} & a^2(t)\underline{h}_{ij}(\vec{x}) \end{pmatrix},$$

and let the conformal transformation be explicitly given by $\Lambda^2 = a^{-2}$, i.e.

$$\mathbf{g} \longrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{g}} = \begin{pmatrix} -N^2(t)a^{-2}(t) & \vec{0}^T \\ \vec{0} & \underline{h}_{ij}(\vec{x}) \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{N}^2(t) & \vec{0}^T \\ \vec{0} & \underline{h}_{ij}(\vec{x}) \end{pmatrix},$$

Then, the conformal transformation (see Equations (5.5), (5.6)) acts on vectors of the canonical phase space as follows,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \pi \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\varphi} \\ \bar{\pi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ \bar{N}^{-1} \sqrt{\underline{h}}(\partial_t a) & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \pi \end{pmatrix} =: X \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \pi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.7)$$

Moreover, the conformal transformation leaves the symplectic structure invariant,

$$\Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = \Omega(\bar{\Phi}_1, \bar{\Phi}_2).$$

Proof. See Appendix A.5.5. □

LEMMA 5.7. *Let us denote the complex structure w.r.t. to the metric \mathbf{g} by J and the complex structure of the conformally related metric $\bar{\mathbf{g}}$ by \bar{J} . Then, the complex structures J and \bar{J} are similar, i.e.,*

$$J = X^{-1}\bar{J}X,$$

where the matrix X is given in the previous Lemma (see Equation (5.7)). Moreover, the complex structures w.r.t. the measure space (Σ, μ) acting on scalar functions are given by,

$$J_Y = X_T^{-1}\bar{J}_Y X_T,$$

with the operator-valued matrix $X_T := T X T^{-1}$, where the transformation matrix T is given in Equation (4.4).

Proof. See Appendix A.5.6. □

Next, we use these lemmas to obtain the solutions for the Conservation equations for the space-time at hand.

THEOREM 5.8. *Let the metric \mathbf{g} and the Klein Gordon equation read*

$$\mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} -N^2(t) & \vec{0}^T \\ \vec{0} & a^2(t)\underline{h}_{ij}(\vec{x}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \left(\square_{\mathbf{g}} - \frac{1}{6}R \right) \phi = 0. \quad (5.8)$$

where R denotes the scalar curvature. Let the scalar curvature of the spatial metric \underline{h} be denoted by \underline{R} . If the spatial scalar curvature is positive, i.e. $\underline{R} > \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, then we have the following solution for the Conservation equations

$$Y = H_V^{-1/2}.$$

The complex structure defined by the solutions, denoted as J_Y , is similar to the complex structure \bar{J}_Y obtained in Theorem 5.5 with similarity matrix,

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ N^{-1} \sqrt{\underline{h}} a(\partial_t a) & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the operator H_V is given by

$$H_V = \left(-\Delta_{\underline{h}} + \frac{1}{6}\underline{R} \right) N^2 a^{-2}.$$

Moreover, the complex structure J_Y is anti-self-adjoint and an automorphism on the Hilbert space $W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu) \times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)$. This space is a product of Sobolev spaces defined by powers of the operator H_V .

Proof. See Appendix A.5.7. □

5.4 QFT in FRW-type Spacetimes with Mass Term

In this subsection we find solutions of the complex structure for different FRW-models of the massive Klein-Gordon equation.

THEOREM 5.9. *Let the metric be $\mathbf{g} = -N^2(t) dt^2 + a^2(t) \underline{h}_{ij}(\vec{x}) dx^i dx^j$ and let the Klein-Gordon equation be given with the time dependent mass term $M^2(t) = a^{-2}(t)m^2$, i.e.*

$$\left(\square_{\mathbf{g}} - \frac{1}{6}R - M^2(t) \right) \phi = 0.$$

Let the scalar curvature of the spatial metric \underline{h} be denoted by \underline{R} and let it fulfill the positivity condition $\frac{1}{6}\underline{R} > -m^2 + \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Then, a solution for the Conservation equations is,

$$Y = H_V^{-1/2},$$

where the operator H_V is

$$H_V = \left(-\Delta_{\underline{h}} + \frac{1}{6}\underline{R} + m^2 \right) N^2 a^{-2}.$$

Moreover, the complex structure J_Y (see Equation (4.5)) is anti-self-adjoint and an automorphism on the Hilbert space $W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu) \times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)$. This space is a product of Sobolev spaces defined by powers of the operator H_V .

Proof. See Appendix A.5.8. □

The physical interpretation of the time-dependent mass term becomes clear by conformally transforming the Klein-Gordon equation,

$$\left(\square_{\mathbf{g}} - \frac{1}{6}R \right) \phi \longrightarrow \left(\square_{\bar{\mathbf{g}}} - \frac{1}{6}\bar{R} \right) \bar{\phi} = \Lambda^{-3} \left(\square_{\mathbf{g}} - \frac{1}{6}R \right) \phi,$$

where for the Klein-Gordon equation with time dependent mass term we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\square_{\bar{\mathbf{g}}} - \frac{1}{6}\bar{R} - m^2 \right) \bar{\phi} &= \Lambda^{-3} \left(\square_{\mathbf{g}} - \frac{1}{6}R \right) \phi - m^2 \bar{\phi} \\ &= \Lambda^{-3} \left(\square_{\mathbf{g}} - \frac{1}{6}R - \Lambda^2 m^2 \right) \phi. \end{aligned}$$

This equation makes clear (the well-known fact) that a mass term breaks conformal invariance of the Klein-Gordon equation. Conformal invariance was used in the previous results to get complex structures for a class of conformally isometric spacetimes. Hence, if we conformally transform the metric g , the Klein Gordon equation transforms such that the time dependent mass term becomes independent of time. Therefore we obtain the usual Klein-Gordon equation with the potential $\frac{1}{6}\bar{R}$. In the following two examples the same interpretation for the solutions holds.

The next result resembles well studied examples in [35] and references therein.

THEOREM 5.10. *Let the metric be $\mathbf{g} = -a^2(t) dt^2 + a^2(t) \underline{h}_{ij}(\vec{x}) dx^i dx^j$ and let the Klein-Gordon equation be given with the time dependent mass term $M^2(t) = a^{-2}m^2 + (1 - 6\xi)a^{-3}a''$, i.e.*

$$\left(\square_{\mathbf{g}} - \xi R - M^2(t) \right) \phi = 0.$$

Let the scalar curvature of the spatial metric \underline{h} be denoted by \underline{R} and let it fulfill the positivity condition $\xi \underline{R} > -m^2 + \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Then, a solution for the Conservation equations is,

$$Y = H_V^{-1/2},$$

where the operator H_V is

$$H_V = -\Delta_{\underline{h}} + \xi \underline{R} + m^2.$$

Moreover, the complex structure J_Y (see Equation (4.5)) is anti-self-adjoint and an automorphism on the Hilbert space $W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu) \times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)$. This space is a product of Sobolev spaces defined by powers of the operator H_V .

Proof. See Appendix A.5.9. □

For the following examples we insert concrete functions for the scale factor, namely those corresponding to the scenarios of a radiation-dominated universe ($a = t^{1/2}$) and to inflation ($a = e^{Ht}$), see [33, Chapter 5 and 6], [27, Chapter 7] and in a QFT context see also [36] and [37]. The inflation example can also be considered as flat slicing in de Sitter space.

EXAMPLE 5.11. Let the metric be $\mathbf{g} = -dt^2 + a^2(t)\underline{h}_{ij}(\vec{x}) dx^i dx^j$ and let the scale factor be $a = t^{1/2}$ with $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Moreover, let the Klein-Gordon equation be given by

$$(\square_{\mathbf{g}} - \xi R - m^2) \phi = 0.$$

Then, a solution for the Conservation equations is,

$$Y(t) = c_1 M_{\alpha, 1/4}(z(t))^2 + c_2 M_{\alpha, 1/4}(z(t)) W_{\alpha, 1/4}(z(t)) + c_3 W_{\alpha, 1/4}(z(t))^2$$

where we define the variables $\alpha := -iH_V/(2m)$, $z(t) := 2imt$. Moreover, $M_{\alpha, \mathbb{R}}$ and $W_{\alpha, \mathbb{R}}$ are standard Whittaker functions, c_1, c_2, c_3 are real valued constants and the operator H_V is given by,

$$H_V = (-\Delta_{\underline{h}} + \xi R).$$

Proof. See Appendix A.5.12. □

EXAMPLE 5.12. Let the metric be $\mathbf{g} = -a^2(t)dt^2 + a^2(t)\underline{h}_{ij}(\vec{x}) dx^i dx^j$. Moreover, let the Klein-Gordon equation be given by

$$(\square_{\mathbf{g}} - \xi R - m^2) \phi = 0.$$

For scale factor $a = e^{Ht}$, with H being a parameter and scalar curvature \underline{R} , fulfilling the positivity condition $\xi \underline{R} > -(6\xi - 1)H^2 + \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ we have the following solution for the Conservation equations,

$$Y(t) = c_1 \Gamma(1 - \alpha) \Gamma(1 + \alpha) J_{\alpha}(z(t)) J_{-\alpha}(z(t)) \\ + c_2 4^{-\alpha} \Gamma(1 - \alpha)^2 J_{-\alpha}(z(t))^2 + c_3 4^{\alpha} \Gamma(1 + \alpha)^2 J_{\alpha}(z(t))^2,$$

where we defined the variables $\alpha := iH_V^{1/2}/H$ and $-z^2(t) := -e^{2Ht}m^2/(H^2)$. Furthermore, the symbol $J_{\nu}(\tau)$ denotes the Bessel function of first order, c_1, c_2, c_3 are real valued constants and the operator H_V is given by,

$$H_V = (-\Delta_{\underline{h}} + \xi \underline{R} + (6\xi - 1)H^2).$$

Proof. See Appendix A.5.10. □

EXAMPLE 5.13. Let the metric be $\mathbf{g} = -dt^2 + a^2(t)\underline{h}_{ij}(\vec{x}) dx^i dx^j$ and let $a = e^{Ht}$, with H being a parameter. Moreover, let the Klein-Gordon equation be given by

$$(\square_{\mathbf{g}} - \xi R - m^2) \phi = 0.$$

Let the mass of the particle fulfill the positivity condition $m^2 > -2(6\xi - \frac{9}{8})H^2 + \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Then, a solution for the Conservation equations is,

$$Y(t) = c_1 \Gamma(1 - \alpha) \Gamma(1 + \alpha) J_{\alpha}(z(t)) J_{-\alpha}(z(t)) \\ + c_2 4^{-\alpha} \Gamma(1 - \alpha)^2 J_{-\alpha}(z(t))^2 + c_3 4^{\alpha} \Gamma(1 + \alpha)^2 J_{\alpha}(z(t))^2$$

where we defined the variables $M^2 := m^2 + 2(6\xi - \frac{9}{8})H^2$, $\alpha := -iM/H$ and $-z^2(t) := -e^{-2Ht}H_V/(H^2)$. Moreover, $J_{\nu}(\tau)$ is a Bessel function of first order, c_1, c_2, c_3 are real valued constants and the operator H_V is given by,

$$H_V = (-\Delta_{\underline{h}} + \xi R).$$

Proof. See Appendix A.5.11. □

EXAMPLE 5.14. Let the metric be $\mathbf{g} = -a^2(t)dt^2 + a^2(t)\underline{h}_{ij}(\vec{x}) dx^i dx^j$. Moreover, let the Klein-Gordon equation be given by

$$(\square_{\mathbf{g}} - \xi R - m^2)\phi = 0,$$

with scale factor $a(t) = r/t$, $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $t \in (0, \infty)$ and scalar curvature \underline{R} fulfilling the positivity condition $\xi \underline{R} > \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ we have the following solution for the Conservation equations,

$$Y(t) = c_1 t J_\alpha(H_V^{1/2} t)^2 + c_3 t Y_\alpha(H_V^{1/2} t)^2 + c_2 t J_\alpha(H_V^{1/2} t) Y_\alpha(H_V^{1/2} t)$$

where we defined the variables $\alpha := \sqrt{9(1 - 6\xi)/4 - (rm)^2}$. Furthermore, the symbols $J_\nu(\tau)$ and $Y_\nu(\tau)$ denote the Bessel functions of first and second order respectively, c_1, c_2, c_3 are constants and the operator H_V is

$$H_V = (-\Delta_{\underline{h}} + \xi \underline{R}).$$

Proof. See Appendix A.5.13. □

From these examples the physical meaning of the operator Y (in the context of the FRW and de Sitter spacetimes) becomes clear. First note that the examples discussed in this section can be solved by separation of variables in terms of space and time. In order to focus the discussion let us specialize to a spatially and conformally flat Robertson-Walker spacetime with metric,

$$g = -a^2(t) dt^2 + a^2(t) \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j.$$

Then the solutions of the field equation can be expanded in terms of momentum modes [27, Page 136] as,

$$\phi(t, x) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} a(t)^{-1} \int d^3\mathbf{k} [\phi(\mathbf{k}) \chi_{\mathbf{k}}(t) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + h.c.],$$

where $\chi_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ is the generalization of the momentum plane wave $e^{i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}t}$ in Minkowski spacetime. It is the solution of the differential equation,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \chi_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t^2} + \left(\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}(t)^2 + \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) R a^2(t) \right) \chi_{\mathbf{k}} = 0, \quad (5.9)$$

where $\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}(t)^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 + m^2 a(t)^2$.

Next, we restrict to the particular case of Example 5.14. Then, we can take $\chi_{\mathbf{k}} = t^{1/2} H_\alpha(\mathbf{k}t)$, where $H_\alpha(\mathbf{k}t)$ is the Hankel function of order α . The eigenmodes of the operator H_V are the momentum eigenmodes that we denote $\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}$. We fix the constant c_1 arbitrarily and choose c_2, c_3 as follows

$$c_2 = 2i c_1, \quad c_3 = -c_1.$$

Then, the operator Y is the square of $\chi_{\mathbf{k}}$ when acting upon eigenfunctions of the operator H_V , i.e.,

$$Y(t)\Psi_{\mathbf{k}} = c_1 \chi_{\mathbf{k}}^2(t)\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

Hence, one can interpret the operator Y as the operator representation of (the square of) the function $\chi_{\mathbf{k}}$. In the present case it turns out, moreover, that restricting to minimal coupling ($\xi = 0$) the complex structure corresponding to this choice of Y (and A) encodes precisely the well known Bunch-Davis vacuum [38]. The present considerations also illustrate one advantage of our approach: We can construct and describe a vacuum (in terms of the operators Y and A or J itself) without prior recurrence to a specific mode expansion.

REMARK 5.15. Although we demonstrate it for a specific scale factor $a(t)$ the relation $(Y(t)\Psi_{\mathbf{k}} = c_1 \chi_{\mathbf{k}}^2(t)\Psi_{\mathbf{k}})$ holds for an arbitrary scale factor $a(t)$. This can be seen in general since the differential Equation (A.6) for Y is equivalent to the differential Equation (5.9) when acting upon eigenfunctions of the operator H_V .

5.5 Complex Structures and the Gelfand-Dikii Equation

From all the former (mostly well-known) examples a general thread emerges. Namely, if the operator w^2 and the function f commute (on some dense domain of w^2) we have the differential equation

$$Y \partial_t^2 Y - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t Y)^2 - Y^2 \left(\partial_t f + \frac{1}{2}f^2 - 2w^2 \right) = 2. \quad (5.10)$$

The solution of Y completely determines, in this case, the form of the complex structure. It is interesting to point out that this is the Gelfand-Dikii equation (see [39, Chapter 2, Equation (6)])¹⁰. This equation is an important part in the Sturm-Liouville theory and it is connected to the Riccati Equation, see [39, Footnote 1, Page 97 and Equations (11)-(13), Page 98] as well. To see the equivalence to the Gelfand-Dikii equation we apply the former equality to eigenfunctions Ψ_k of the self-adjoint operator w^2 (i.e. $w^2 \Psi_k = w_k^2 \Psi_k$), define the variables

$$2(u(t) + \xi) := \partial_t f + \frac{1}{2}f^2 - 2w^2, \quad Y = \pm 2iG \quad (5.11)$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and denote the time-derivative with the prime symbol

$$-2GG'' + (G')^2 + 4(u(t) + \xi)G^2 = 1.$$

Taking the time-derivative of this equation we obtain (as in our various proofs)

$$-G''' + 4(u(t) + \xi)G' + 2u'(t)G = 0.$$

This, rather complicated, differential equation is solved by a linear combination of φ_1^2 , φ_2^2 and $\varphi_1\varphi_2$, where φ_1 and φ_2 are fundamental solutions of the differential equation,

$$-\varphi'' + (u(t) + \xi)\varphi = 0.$$

Note that in general there exists an asymptotic expansion of the function G given by

$$G(x; \xi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_l[u]}{\xi^{l+1/2}}$$

as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$. The expansion coefficients G_l are polynomials of the function u and its derivatives and are given by a recurrence relation, see [39, Equation 9, Page 97].

Therefore, at least recursively one can solve the complex structures for a general class of Klein-Gordon theories for which the operator w^2 and the function f commute.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Alejandro Corichi for pointing out important references and for various discussions. Moreover, one of the authors (AM) would like to thank Bernard Kay for enlightening discussions on quantum field theory in curved spacetimes and related functional analytical questions. This work was partially supported by CONACYT project grant 259258.

A Proofs

A.2 Proofs of Section 2

A.2.2 Proof of Observation 2.2

Proof. In order to see the explicit form of the Klein Gordon Equation we first use the splitting of a globally hyperbolic spacetime provided by Theorem 2.1, i.e.

$$\mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} -N^2 & \vec{0}^T \\ \vec{0} & h_{ij} \end{pmatrix}, \quad |g| = N^2|h|, \quad \sqrt{|g|} = N \sqrt{|h|},$$

¹⁰We are indebted to Christian Schubert for pointing this out.

and then a straightforward calculation yields,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left((\sqrt{|g|})^{-1} \partial_a (\sqrt{|g|} g^{ab} \partial_b) - m^2(x) \right) \phi \\ &= \left((N \sqrt{|h|})^{-1} \partial_0 (N \sqrt{|h|} g^{00} \partial_0) + (N \sqrt{|h|})^{-1} \partial_i (N \sqrt{|h|} h^{ij} \partial_j) - m^2(x) \right) \phi \\ &= \left(-(N^2 \sqrt{|h|})^{-1} (-N^{-1} (\partial_0 N) \sqrt{|h|} \partial_0 + (\partial_0 \sqrt{|h|}) \partial_0 + \sqrt{|h|} \partial_t^2) - N^{-2} w^2 \right) \phi = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which in turn leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left((\sqrt{|h|})^{-1} (-N^{-1} (\partial_0 N) \sqrt{|h|} \partial_0 + (\partial_0 \sqrt{|h|}) \partial_0 + \sqrt{|h|} \partial_t^2) + w^2 \right) \phi \\ &= \left(\partial_t^2 - N^{-1} (\partial_0 N) \partial_0 + (\sqrt{|h|})^{-1} (\partial_0 \sqrt{|h|}) \partial_0 + w^2 \right) \phi = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the operator w is given by

$$w^2 = -\frac{N}{\sqrt{|h|}} \partial_i (\sqrt{|h|} N h^{ij} \partial_j) + N^2 m^2(x) = -N^2 (\Delta_h - m^2(x)) - N h^{ij} \partial_i N \partial_j,$$

with Δ_h being the Laplace-Beltrami operator for the associated 3-metric h_{ij} . □

A.3 Proofs of Section 3

A.3.1 Proof of Proposition 3.1

Proof. In order to prove the conservation equation we explicitly calculate the expression $\dot{J} = [h, J]$. Hence, we have the matrix equality

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\dot{Z} & \dot{Y} \\ \dot{D} & \dot{C} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D + Y w^2 & C + Z + Y f \\ w^2 Z - f D + C w^2 & -w^2 Y + D + [C, f] \end{pmatrix},$$

where $C = Y^{-1} Z Y$ and $D = -Y^{-1} (1 + Z^2)$, where $Y := B N^{-1} \sqrt{h}$ and $Z = -A$. The first two matrix entries are the first two conservation equations. To prove the other two we write out the other two entries and use the conservation equations

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{D} &= Y^{-1} \dot{Y} Y^{-1} (1 + Z^2) - Y^{-1} (Z \dot{Z} + \dot{Z} Z) \\ &= w^2 Z + f Y^{-1} (1 + Z^2) + Y^{-1} Z Y w^2 \end{aligned}$$

By inserting the time derivatives we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & Y^{-1} (Y^{-1} Z Y + Y f + Z) Y^{-1} (1 + Z^2) - Y^{-1} (Z (-Y w^2 + Y^{-1} (1 + Z^2))) + (-Y w^2 + Y^{-1} (1 + Z^2)) Z \\ &= w^2 Z + f Y^{-1} (1 + Z^2) + Y^{-1} Z Y w^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{C} &= -Y^{-1} \dot{Y} Y^{-1} Z Y + Y^{-1} \dot{Z} Y + Y^{-1} Z \dot{Y} \\ &= -w^2 Y - Y^{-1} (1 + Z^2) + [Y^{-1} Z Y, f] \end{aligned}$$

inserting the time derivatives we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & -Y^{-1} (Y^{-1} Z Y + Y f + Z) Y^{-1} Z Y + Y^{-1} (-Y w^2 + Y^{-1} (1 + Z^2)) Y + Y^{-1} Z (Y^{-1} Z Y + Y f + Z) \\ &= -w^2 Y - Y^{-1} (1 + Z^2) + [Y^{-1} Z Y, f] \end{aligned}$$

Both equations are trivially satisfied and supply no further information. □

A.4 Proofs of Section 4

A.4.4 Proof of Proposition 4.4

Proof. First we calculate the adjoint of the complex structure J by using Equation (4.2) (which holds for the changed measure as well), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
J_Y^* &= \varepsilon J_Y^\dagger \varepsilon^T \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^* & -(Y^{-1}(1+A^2))^* \\ Y^* & -(Y^{-1}AY)^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -(Y^{-1}(1+A^2))^* & -A^* \\ -(Y^{-1}AY)^* & -Y^* \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -(Y^{-1}AY)^* & -Y^* \\ (Y^{-1}(1+A^2))^* & A^* \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -(Y^{-1}AY)^* & -Y \\ (Y^{-1}(1+A^2))^* & Y^{-1}AY \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last lines we used Assumption 4.3. Next, we take a closer look at the remaining adjoint operators,

$$\begin{aligned}
(Y^{-1}AY)^* &= Y^* A^* (Y^{-1})^* \\
&= Y(Y^{-1}AY)(Y^*)^{-1} \\
&= Y(Y^{-1}AY)(Y)^{-1} \\
&= A,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that Y is invertible and self-adjoint (i.e. $Y = Y^* = Y^{**}$) and hence we can interchange the conjugation and the inverse operation since any power of a self-adjoint operator is by the spectral theorem self-adjoint (i.e. $(Y^{-1})^* = Y^{-1} = (Y^*)^{-1}$). The next adjoint term is,

$$\begin{aligned}
(Y^{-1}(1+A^2))^* &= (1+A^2)^*(Y^{-1})^* \\
&= (1+(A^*)^2)(Y^*)^{-1} \\
&= (1+(Y^{-1}AY)^2)(Y)^{-1} \\
&= (1+Y^{-1}(A^2)Y)Y^{-1} \\
&= Y^{-1}(1+A^2),
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore the equality $J_Y^* = -J_Y$ is proven. \square

A.4.7 Proof of Lemma 4.7

Proof. To prove the equivalence of the norms we have to prove

$$c_1 \|\Psi\|_{W_V^2(\Sigma, \mu)}^2 \leq \|\Psi\|_{H_V^2}^2 \leq c_2 \|\Psi\|_{W_V^2(\Sigma, \mu)}^2,$$

for positive real constants c_1, c_2 and vectors $\Psi \in W_V^2$. The first inequality is easily proven by setting $c_1 = 1$. The second holds as well since the operator H_V is strictly positive i.e. we have

$$\|H_V \Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mu)}^2 \geq \epsilon \|\Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mu)}^2. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Hence, by setting the constant c_2 equal to $1 + 1/\epsilon$ we conclude the proof.

The inclusion and density of $C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$ in $W_V^2(\Sigma, \mu)$ holds since in [40] it was proven that the domain W_V^2 is equivalent to the closure of the operator H_V in L^2 from the initial domain C_0^∞ . The next inclusion follows from the definition of the norm (see Equation (4.9)) of W_V^2 , i.e. we have,

$$\|\Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mu)} \leq \|\Psi\|_{W_V^2(\Sigma, \mu)}.$$

\square

A.4.8 Proof of Lemma 4.8

Proof. Since the domain W_V^{2s} is equivalent to the closure of the operator H_V in L^2 from the initial domain C_0^∞ , density follows and therefore the first inclusion. For a different proof see also [41, Proof of Theorem 4.2], which holds in our case since the proofs in [41] have been kept general enough to hold for a positive operator. In order to prove the next inclusion we first prove that the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H_V^s(\Sigma,\mu)}$ is equivalent to the following norms

$$\|\Psi\|_{W_V^{2s}(\Sigma,\mu)} = \|H_V^s \Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)}, \quad \|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{W}_V^{2s}(\Sigma,\mu)} = \|(H_V + \rho)^s \Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where $\rho \geq 1$. The first equivalence is proven along the same lines as in the proof of Proposition 4.7, i.e. let us first prove

$$c_1 \|\Psi\|_{W_V^{2s}(\Sigma,\mu)}^2 \leq \|\Psi\|_{H_V^{2s}(\Sigma,\mu)}^2 \leq c_2 \|\Psi\|_{W_V^{2s}(\Sigma,\mu)}^2,$$

for positive real constants c_1, c_2 and vectors $\Psi \in W_V^{2s}$. The first inequality holds by simply setting $c_1 = 1$ and hence we are left with proving,

$$\|H_V^s \Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)}^2 + \|\Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)}^2 \leq c_2 \|H_V^s \Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)}^2.$$

Since the operator H_V^s is strictly positive we have

$$\|H_V^s \Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)}^2 \geq \epsilon_s \|\Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)}^2,$$

for some finite positive constant ϵ_s . Hence, by setting $c_2 = 1 + 1/\epsilon_s$ the inequality is satisfied. Next, we prove the equivalence of the norms $\|\cdot\|_{W_V^{2s}(\Sigma,\mu)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}_V^{2s}(\Sigma,\mu)}$ from which by transitivity the equivalence of norms $\|\cdot\|_{H_V^s(\Sigma,\mu)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}_V^{2s}(\Sigma,\mu)}$ follows. The proof, as before, is done by means of the following equalities,

$$c_1 \|\Psi\|_{W_V^{2s}(\Sigma,\mu)} \leq \|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{W}_V^{2s}(\Sigma,\mu)} \leq c_2 \|\Psi\|_{W_V^{2s}(\Sigma,\mu)}.$$

The first inequality is proven to hold by substitution, i.e.

$$c_1 \|H_V^{2s} \Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)} \leq \|(H_V + \rho)^{2s} \Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)},$$

we substitute $\Phi = (H_V + \rho)^{2s} \Psi$ which in turn gives us,

$$c_1 \|H_V^{2s} (H_V + \rho)^{-2s} \Phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)} \leq \|\Phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)}.$$

By using the fact ([23, Proof of Theorem X.12] and [41, Section 4]) that $\|H_V^{2s} (H_V + \rho)^{-2s} \Phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)} \leq \|\Phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)}$, for all $s > 0$, and by setting $c_1 = 1$ the inequality is satisfied. The next inequality is proven in an analogous manner, i.e.

$$\|\Phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)} \leq c_2 \|H_V^{2s} (H_V + \rho)^{-2s} \Phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)},$$

which reads

$$\|H\Phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)} \geq 1/c_2 \|\Phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)},$$

where the operator $H = (H_V (H_V + \rho)^{-1})^{2s}$ is a positive operator and hence by definition

$$\|H\Phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)} \geq \epsilon_c \|\Phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)},$$

for some $\epsilon_c > 0$ and hence by choosing $c_2 \geq 1/\epsilon_c + 1$ the norm equivalence is concluded. Equipped with the equivalence of the norms we next prove the remaining inclusions. For the second inclusion¹¹ to hold we have to prove the following inequality,

$$\|\Psi\|_{W_V^{2k}(\Sigma,\mu)}^2 \leq \|\Psi\|_{W_V^{2s}(\Sigma,\mu)}^2,$$

¹¹It can also be proven by the use of the spectral theorem. We are indebted to Elmar Wagner for this remark.

since the norms $\|\cdot\|_{W_V^{2k}(\Sigma,\mu)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{W_V^{2k}(\Sigma,\mu)}$ are equivalent we can prove the easier version

$$\|\Psi\|_{W_V^{2k}(\Sigma,\mu)}^2 \leq \|\Psi\|_{W_V^{2s}(\Sigma,\mu)}^2.$$

First note that since the operator H_V is strictly positive and self-adjoint we have for all positive s

$$\|(H_V + \rho)^{-s}\| \leq 1. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Next, we write $s = k + l$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi\|_{W_V^{2k}(\Sigma,\mu)}^2 &= \|(H_V + \rho)^k \Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)}^2 \\ &= \|(H_V + \rho)^k (H_V + \rho)^{-s} (H_V + \rho)^s \Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)}^2 \\ &= \|(H_V + \rho)^{-s+k} (H_V + \rho)^s \Psi\|^2 \\ &\leq \|(H_V + \rho)^s \Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)}^2 = \|\Psi\|_{W_V^{2s}(\Sigma,\mu)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last lines we used properties of a semigroup that is generated by $(H_V + \rho)^{-s}$. The semigroup behavior comes from the positivity of the operator H_V and from the boundedness of its respective resolvent, see [41, Section 4] and [23, Proof of Theorem X.31]. Hence, in the first line we used the existence of the identity and in the third line we used the semigroup property $(H_V + \rho)^k (H_V + \rho)^{-s} = (H_V + \rho)^{k-s}$, if $s \geq k$ for positive s and k .

The second inclusion, $W_V^{2k}(\Sigma,\mu) \subset L^2(\Sigma,\mu)$, is easily proven and follows from the norm $\|\Psi\|_{H_V^s(\Sigma,\mu)}$, i.e.

$$\|\Psi\|_{H_V^s(\Sigma,\mu)}^2 \geq \|\Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)}^2 \Rightarrow \|\Psi\|_{H_V^s(\Sigma,\mu)} \geq \|\Psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma,\mu)}.$$

□

A.4.11 Proof of Lemma 4.11

Proof. Anti self-adjointness of J means that we have to prove the relation $J^* = -J$ on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_J . Due to Equation (4.2) we write the adjoint as,

$$\begin{aligned} J^* &= \epsilon J^\dagger \epsilon^T \\ &= \epsilon (X^{-1} \bar{J} X)^\dagger \epsilon^T \\ &= \epsilon X^\dagger \bar{J}^\dagger (X^{-1})^\dagger \epsilon^T \\ &= \epsilon X^\dagger \epsilon^T \epsilon \bar{J}^\dagger \epsilon^T \epsilon (X^{-1})^\dagger \epsilon^T \\ &= \epsilon X^\dagger \epsilon^T \bar{J}^* \epsilon (X^{-1})^\dagger \epsilon^T \\ &= X^{-1} \bar{J}^* X \\ &= -X^{-1} \bar{J} X = -J, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last lines we used the fact that $\epsilon \bar{J}^\dagger \epsilon^T = \bar{J}^* = -\bar{J}$ and that $\epsilon X^\dagger \epsilon^T = \pm X^{-1}$ implies $\epsilon (X^{-1})^\dagger \epsilon^T = \pm X$. □

A.5 Proofs of Section 5

A.5.4 Proof of Theorem 5.5

Proof. The function $f = -N^{-1} \partial_t N$ (see Equation (2.4)) reduces Conservation equation (I) to

$$\partial_t^2 Y - (\partial_t Y) f - Y (\partial_t f) = -2Y w^2 + 2Y^{-1} (1 + \frac{1}{4} (\partial_t Y - Y f)^2),$$

which reads

$$\partial_t^2 Y - (\partial_t Y) f - Y (\partial_t f) = -2Y w^2 + \frac{1}{2} Y^{-1} (4 + (\partial_t Y)^2 - 2(\partial_t Y) Y f + Y^2 f^2),$$

which reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= Y \partial_t^2 Y - Y^2 (\partial_t f) + 2Y^2 w^2 - \frac{1}{2} (4 + (\partial_t Y)^2 + Y^2 f^2) \\
&= Y \partial_t^2 Y - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_t Y)^2 - Y^2 (\partial_t f) + 2Y^2 w^2 - \frac{1}{2} (4 + Y^2 f^2) \\
&= Y \partial_t^2 Y - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_t Y)^2 - Y^2 \left(\partial_t f + \frac{1}{2} f^2 - 2w^2 \right) - 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we perform the variable substitution $Y = B_N N^{-1}$ and the previous non-linear and non-homogeneous differential equation reads

$$\left((N^{-1}) \partial_t^2 (N^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_t (N^{-1}))^2 - (N^{-1})^2 \left(\partial_t f + \frac{1}{2} f^2 - 2w^2 \right) \right) B_N^2 - 2 = 2b(\partial_t B_N, \partial_t^2 B_N),$$

which after inserting the explicit expression of the term $\partial_t f + \frac{1}{2} f^2$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t f + \frac{1}{2} f^2 &= N^{-2} (\partial_t N)^2 - N^{-1} \partial_t^2 N + \frac{1}{2} N^{-2} (\partial_t N)^2 \\
&= \frac{3}{2} N^{-2} (\partial_t N)^2 - N^{-1} \partial_t^2 N.
\end{aligned}$$

reads

$$\begin{aligned}
b(\partial_t B_N, \partial_t^2 B_N) &= -2B_N^2 N^{-2} (w^2) + 2 \\
&= -2B_N^2 \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\underline{h}|}} \partial_i (\sqrt{|\underline{h}|} h^{ij} \partial_j) + \xi R + m^2 \right) + 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the right-hand side, after the cancellations, does not depend on the time we obtain the following solution

$$B_N = (-\Delta_{\underline{h}} + \xi R + m^2)^{-1/2}.$$

The proof of anti-self-adjointness and the isomorphism of solution spaces of the complex structure is equivalent to the proof in Theorem 5.4. \square

A.5.5 Proof of Lemma 5.6

Proof. To see that the conformal transformation leaves the symplectic structure invariant we use Transformation (5.5) and Transformation (5.6) and we denote by h the determinant of the spatial part of the metric \mathbf{g} and by \underline{h} the determinant of the spatial part of the metric $\bar{\mathbf{g}}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega(\bar{\Phi}_1, \bar{\Phi}_2) &= \int_{\Sigma} (\bar{\pi}_1 \bar{\varphi}_2 - \bar{\pi}_2 \bar{\varphi}_1) d^3 x \\
&= \int_{\Sigma} \left(\pi_1 \varphi_2 + N^{-1} a^2 \partial_t a \sqrt{|\underline{h}|} \varphi_1 \varphi_2 - \pi_2 \varphi_1 - N^{-1} a^2 \partial_t a \sqrt{|\underline{h}|} \varphi_2 \varphi_1 \right) d^3 x \\
&= \Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_2),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last lines we used the explicit expressions of the Cauchy data and took into account their conformal transformation, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\pi} &= \bar{N}^{-1} \sqrt{\underline{h}} \partial_t \bar{\phi} \\
&= \bar{N}^{-1} \sqrt{\underline{h}} \partial_t (a \phi) \\
&= \bar{N}^{-1} \sqrt{\underline{h}} (\partial_t a) \phi + \bar{N}^{-1} \sqrt{\underline{h}} a \partial_t \phi \\
&= \bar{N}^{-1} \sqrt{\underline{h}} (\partial_t a) \phi + a^{-1} \pi \\
&= \bar{N}^{-1} \sqrt{\underline{h}} (\partial_t a) \phi + a^{-1} \pi.
\end{aligned}$$

By using this equality, transformations of vectors of the canonical phase space Γ_t are straightforwardly obtained,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\varphi} \\ \overline{\pi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a\varphi \\ \overline{N}^{-1}\sqrt{\underline{h}}(\partial_t a)\varphi + a^{-1}\pi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ \overline{N}^{-1}\sqrt{\underline{h}}(\partial_t a) & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \pi \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

A.5.6 Proof of Lemma 5.7

Proof. The similarity of the complex structures is proven by using the symplectic structures and in particular the auxiliary scalar product defined in Equation (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(\overline{\Phi}_1, \overline{J}\overline{\Phi}_2) &= \langle \overline{\Phi}_1, \varepsilon \overline{J}\overline{\Phi}_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle X\overline{\Phi}_1, \varepsilon \overline{J}X\overline{\Phi}_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \overline{\Phi}_1, X^T \varepsilon \overline{J}X\overline{\Phi}_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \overline{\Phi}_1, \varepsilon \varepsilon^T X^T \varepsilon \overline{J}X\overline{\Phi}_2 \rangle \\ &= \Omega(\overline{\Phi}_1, \varepsilon^T X^T \varepsilon \overline{J}X\overline{\Phi}_2) \\ &= \Omega(\overline{\Phi}_1, X^{-1} \overline{J}X\overline{\Phi}_2) \\ &= \Omega(\overline{\Phi}_1, J\overline{\Phi}_2), \end{aligned}$$

where we deduced from a simple calculation that $\varepsilon^T X^T \varepsilon = X^{-1}$. Next, we prove the similarity of the complex structures w.r.t. the measure μ ,

$$\begin{aligned} J_Y &= T J T_Y^{-1} \\ &= T X \overline{J} X^{-1} T^{-1} \\ &= T X T^{-1} T \overline{J} T^{-1} T X^{-1} T^{-1} \\ &= T X T^{-1} \overline{J}_Y T X^{-1} T^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last lines we used Lemma 4.2 and Equation (4.3). □

A.5.7 Proof of Theorem 5.8

Proof. The function f , given by $f = -N^{-1}(\partial_t N) + 3a^{-1}(\partial_t a)$ reduces Conservation equation (I) to

$$\partial_t^2 Y - (\partial_t Y)f - Y(\partial_t f) = -2Yw^2 + 2Y^{-1}\left(1 + \frac{1}{4}(\partial_t Y - Yf)^2\right),$$

which in turn reduces, as before (see Proof A.5.4) to

$$Y \partial_t^2 Y - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t Y)^2 - Y^2 \left(\partial_t f + \frac{1}{2}f^2 - 2w^2 \right) - 2 = 0. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Next, we perform the substitution $Y = B_N N^{-1} a$

$$\left((N^{-1}a)\partial_t^2(N^{-1}a) - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t(N^{-1}a))^2 - (N^{-1}a)^2 \left(\partial_t f + \frac{1}{2}f^2 - 2w^2 \right) \right) B_N^2 - 2 = 2b(\partial_t B_N, \partial_t^2 B_N),$$

and obtain the differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} b(\partial_t B_N, \partial_t^2 B_N) &= 2B_N^2 N^{-2} a^2 \left(\underbrace{a^{-2}(\partial_t a)^2 - (N^{-1}\partial_t N)(a^{-1}\partial_t a) + a^{-1}\partial_t^2 a - w^2}_{\frac{1}{6}N^2 R - \frac{1}{6}N^2 a^{-2} \underline{R}} \right) + 2 \\ &= 2B_N^2 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\underline{h}|}} \partial_i (\sqrt{|\underline{h}|} \underline{h}^{ij} \partial_j) - \frac{1}{6} \underline{R} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2B_N^2 \left(\Delta_{\underline{h}} - \frac{1}{6} \underline{h}^{ij} \underline{R}_{ij} \right) + 2,$$

where the curvature scalar of the metric under consideration is given by (see Remark 5.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{6} N^2 R &= \frac{1}{6} N^2 R_{00} g^{00} + \frac{1}{6} N^2 R_{ij} g^{ij} \\ &= a^{-2} (\partial_t a)^2 - a^{-1} N^{-1} (\partial_t a) (\partial_t N) + a^{-1} \partial_t^2 a + \frac{1}{6} N^2 \underline{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the remaining curvature term does not depend on the time and the solution for B_N is given as,

$$B_N = \left(-\Delta_{\underline{h}} + \frac{1}{6} \underline{R} \right)^{-1/2},$$

and therefore $Y = \left(-\Delta_{\underline{h}} + \frac{1}{6} \underline{R} \right)^{-1/2} N^{-1} a$.

Next, we prove that the complex structure is anti-self-adjoint and an automorphism. Due to the similarity of the complex structure J_μ to the one evaluated in Theorem 5.5 we only need to prove that

$$\epsilon X_T^\dagger \epsilon^T = X_T^{-1},$$

where $X_T := T X T^{-1}$. If this holds, the proof of anti self-adjointness follows by Lemma 4.11 and Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 5.7. A simple matrix calculation proves that this equality holds. We turn next to the automorphism property of the constructed complex structure, i.e. we have to prove that

$$\|J_Y \Psi\|_{W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu) \times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)}^2 \leq c \|\Psi\|_{W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu) \times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)}^2.$$

By using the similarity property we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_Y \Psi\|_{W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu) \times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)}^2 &= \|X_T^{-1} \bar{J}_Y X_T \Psi\|_{W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu) \times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)}^2 \\ &= \|T X^{-1} T^{-1} \bar{J}_Y T X T^{-1} \Psi\|_{W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu) \times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the explicit form of the matrix T is given in Equation (4.4). The transformed similarity matrices are given by,

$$T X^{-1} T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ -(\partial_t a) & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad T X T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ (\partial_t a) & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence they only depend on time, which gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_Y \Psi\|_{W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu) \times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)}^2 &= \|T X^{-1} T^{-1} \bar{J}_Y T X T^{-1} \Psi\|_{W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu) \times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)}^2 \\ &= \|\bar{J}_Y \Psi\|_{W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu) \times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)}^2 \\ &= \|H_V^{-1/2} \bar{\mu}^{-1} \mu \Psi_2\|_{W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu)}^2 + \|\mu^{-1} \bar{\mu} H_V^{1/2} \Psi_1\|_{W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)}^2 \\ &= \|H_V^{-1/2} a^2 \Psi_2\|_{W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu)}^2 + \|a^{-2} H_V^{1/2} \Psi_1\|_{W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)}^2 \\ &\leq c_4 \|\Psi_2\|_{W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)}^2 + c_5 \|\Psi_1\|_{W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu)}^2 \\ &\leq c \|\Psi\|_{W_V^{2s+1}(\Sigma, \mu) \times W_V^{2s}(\Sigma, \mu)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that the transformed complex structure \bar{J}_Y and the matrix $T X T^{-1}$ commute and Inequality (5.2) holds. Moreover, the scalar product was taken w.r.t. the measure μ which is related to the measure $\bar{\mu}$, i.e. the measure w.r.t. the metric \bar{g} , by a factor $\mu = a^2 \bar{\mu}$. Since a is purely time dependent the measure changes merely correspond to constant factors. \square

A.5.8 Proof of Theorem 5.9

Proof. We have by using the transformation $Y = B_N N^{-1} a$ the following differential equation that follows from Conservation equation (I),

$$\begin{aligned} b(\partial_t B_N, \partial_t^2 B_N) &= 2B_N^2 N^{-2} a^2 \left(\underbrace{a^{-2}(\partial_t a)^2 - (N^{-1} \partial_t N) (a^{-1} \partial_t a) + a^{-1} \partial_t^2 a - w^2}_{\frac{1}{6} N^2 \underline{R} - \frac{1}{6} a^{-2} N^2 \underline{R}} \right) + 2 \\ &= 2B_N^2 a^2 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\underline{h}|}} \partial_i (\sqrt{|\underline{h}|} h^{ij} \partial_j) - \frac{1}{6} a^{-2} \underline{R} - a^{-2} m^2 \right) \\ &= 2B_N^2 \left(\Delta_{\underline{h}} - \frac{1}{6} \underline{h}^{ij} \underline{R}_{ij} - m^2 \right) + 2, \end{aligned}$$

and the solution for B_N is given as,

$$B_N = \left(-\Delta_{\underline{h}} + \frac{1}{6} \underline{h}^{ij} \underline{R}_{ij} + m^2 \right)^{-1/2},$$

and therefore $Y = \left(-\Delta_{\underline{h}} + \frac{1}{6} \underline{R} + m^2 \right)^{-1/2} N^{-1} a$. The proof of the anti-self-adjointness and the isomorphism of the complex structure is equivalent to the proof of Theorem 5.8. \square

A.5.9 Proof of Theorem 5.10

Proof. As before, if f is independent of the spatial directions the Conservation equation (I) reduces to a single differential equation¹²

$$Y \partial_t^2 Y - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_t Y)^2 - Y^2 \left(\partial_t f + \frac{1}{2} f^2 - 2w^2 \right) = 2, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where the explicit form of the term $\partial_t f + \frac{1}{2} f^2 - 2w^2$ is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f + \frac{1}{2} f^2 - 2w^2 &= \frac{3}{2} N^{-2} (\partial_t N)^2 - N^{-1} \partial_t^2 N + 2N^2 a^{-2} \Delta_{\underline{h}} - 2N^2 m^2 - 2\xi a^{-2} N^2 \underline{R} \\ &\quad + 3(1/2 - 4\xi) a^{-2} (\partial_t a)^2 + 3(1 - 4\xi) a^{-1} (\partial_t^2 a) \\ &\quad - 3(1 - 4\xi) (N^{-1} \partial_t N) (a^{-1} \partial_t a). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we insert the explicit form of the former term and substitute $Y = \Lambda B_N$ in order to obtain the equation

$$\left(\Lambda \partial_t^2 \Lambda - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_t \Lambda)^2 - \Lambda^2 \left(\partial_t f + \frac{1}{2} f^2 - 2w^2 \right) \right) B_N^2 - 2 = 2b(\partial_t B_N, \partial_t^2 B_N),$$

by setting $\Lambda = N^{-1} a$, we have for the left side

$$\left((1 - 6\xi) N^{-3} a \partial_t N (\partial_t a) - (1 - 6\xi) N^{-2} (\partial_t a)^2 - (1 - 6\xi) N^{-2} a \partial_t^2 a + k^2 + a^2 M^2 \right) B_N^2 - 1,$$

where $k^2 = -\Delta_{\underline{h}} + \xi \underline{R}$. \square

A.5.10 Proof of Example 5.12

Proof. First we insert the metric $g = -a^2(t) dt^2 + a^2(t) \underline{h}_{ij}(\vec{x}) dx^i dx^j$, with $a(t) = e^{Ht}$, to obtain the explicit term,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f + \frac{1}{2} f^2 - 2w^2 &= \frac{3}{2} a^{-2} (\partial_t a)^2 - a^{-1} \partial_t^2 a + 2\Delta_{\underline{h}} - 2a^2 m^2 - 2\xi \underline{R} \\ &\quad + 3(1/2 - 4\xi) a^{-2} (\partial_t a)^2 + 3(1 - 4\xi) a^{-1} (\partial_t^2 a) \end{aligned}$$

¹²It is interesting to point out that this is the Gelfand-Dikii equation (see [39, Equation (6)]).

$$\begin{aligned}
& -3(1-4\xi)(a^{-2}(\partial_t a)^2) \\
& = +2\Delta_{\underline{h}} - 2\xi \underline{R} - 2a^2 m^2 + 2(1-6\xi)a^{-1}(\partial_t^2 a) \\
& = -2(H_V + a^2 m^2).
\end{aligned}$$

with operator $H_V := (-\Delta_{\underline{h}} + \xi \underline{R} + (6\xi - 1)H^2)$. By differentiating the Differential Equation (A.4), i.e.

$$\partial_t^3 Y - 2(\partial_t Y) \left(\partial_t f + \frac{1}{2} f^2 - 2w^2 \right) - Y (\partial_t^2 f + f \partial_t f - 2\partial_t(w^2)) = 0, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

and inserting the explicit term, calculated above, we obtain a general solution for Y

$$\begin{aligned}
Y(t) &= c_1 {}_1F_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}; 1 - \frac{iH_V^{1/2}}{H}, \frac{iH_V^{1/2}}{H} + 1; -H^{-2}m^2 e^{-2Ht} \right) \\
&+ c_2 (H^{-2}m^2 e^{-2Ht})^{-iH_V^{1/2}/H} {}_1F_2 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{iH_V^{1/2}}{H}; 1 - \frac{2iH_V^{1/2}}{H}, 1 - \frac{iH_V^{1/2}}{H}; -H^{-2}m^2 e^{-2Ht} \right) \\
&+ c_3 (H^{-2}m^2 e^{2Ht})^{iH_V^{1/2}/H} {}_1F_2 \left(\frac{iH_V^{1/2}}{H} + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{iH_V^{1/2}}{H} + 1, \frac{2iH_V^{1/2}}{H} + 1; -H^{-2}m^2 e^{-2Ht} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where ${}_1F_2$ is a generalized hypergeometric function and c_1, c_2, c_3 are real valued constants. Next, we use the following special values of the hypergeometric functions

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_1F_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}; \beta, 2 - \beta; z \right) &= \pi(1 - \beta) \csc(\pi\beta) I_{1-\beta}(\sqrt{z}) I_{\beta-1}(\sqrt{z}) \\
{}_1F_2 \left(\beta; 2\beta, \beta + \frac{1}{2}; z \right) &= 2^{2\beta-1} z^{1/2-\beta} \Gamma(\beta + 1/2)^2 I_{\beta-1/2}(\sqrt{z})^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $I_\nu(\tau)$ denotes the modified Bessel functions of the first kind. In order to ease the following calculations we define the variables $\alpha := iH_V^{1/2}/H$ and $-z^2 := -e^{2Ht}m^2/(H^2)$ which turns the solution into,

$$\begin{aligned}
Y &= c_1 \pi \alpha \csc(\pi(1 - \alpha)) I_\alpha(iz) I_{-\alpha}(iz) \\
&+ c_2 2^{-2\alpha} \Gamma(1 - \alpha)^2 I_{-\alpha}(iz)^2 + c_3 2^{2\alpha} \Gamma(1 + \alpha)^2 I_\alpha(iz)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

by using Euler's reflection formula, i.e. $\pi \csc(\pi x) = \Gamma(x) \Gamma(1 - x)$ (for $x \in \mathbb{C} - \mathbb{Z}$), we rewrite the former solution as,

$$\begin{aligned}
Y &= c_1 \alpha \Gamma(1 - \alpha) \Gamma(\alpha) I_\alpha(iz) I_{-\alpha}(iz) \\
&+ c_2 2^{-2\alpha} \Gamma(1 - \alpha)^2 I_{-\alpha}(iz)^2 + c_3 2^{2\alpha} \Gamma(1 + \alpha)^2 I_\alpha(iz)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

Since the variable z is strictly negative we use the identity $I_\alpha(i\gamma) = i^\alpha J_\alpha(\gamma)$ and $\Gamma(\alpha + 1) = \alpha \Gamma(\alpha)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
Y &= c_1 \Gamma(1 - \alpha) \Gamma(1 + \alpha) J_\alpha(z) J_{-\alpha}(z) \\
&+ c_2 e^{-i\pi\alpha} 4^{-\alpha} \Gamma(1 - \alpha)^2 J_{-\alpha}(z)^2 + c_3 4^\alpha e^{i\pi\alpha} \Gamma(1 + \alpha)^2 J_\alpha(z)^2
\end{aligned}$$

and due to the positivity condition on Y (see Equations 3.6) we redefine the constants c_2 and c_3 in order to eliminate the exponential factors. \square

A.5.11 Proof of Example 5.13

Proof. The proof is done analogous to the one of Proposition 5.12. As before, we first calculate explicitly the term $\partial_t f + \frac{1}{2} f^2 - 2w^2$ for the metric $g = -dt^2 + a^2(t) \underline{h}_{ij}(\vec{x}) dx^i dx^j$, with $a(t) = e^{Ht}$,

$$\partial_t f + \frac{1}{2} f^2 - 2w^2 = +2a^{-2} \Delta_{\underline{h}} - 2\xi a^{-2} \underline{R} - 2m^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 3(1/2 - 4\xi)a^{-2}(\partial_t a)^2 + 3(1 - 4\xi)a^{-1}(\partial_t^2 a) \\
& = +2a^{-2}\Delta_{\underline{h}} - 2\xi a^{-2}\underline{R} - 2m^2 + 3(3/2 - 8\xi)H^2 \\
& = -2(a^{-2}H_V^2 + M^2)
\end{aligned}$$

where we defined the operator $H_V := -\Delta_{\underline{h}} + \xi \underline{R}$ and the shifted mass variable $M^2 := m^2 + 2(6\xi - \frac{9}{8})H^2$. By solving Differential Equation A.6 and performing analogous steps as in Proof A.5.10, we obtain the general solution

$$\begin{aligned}
Y & = c_1 \Gamma(1 - \alpha)\Gamma(1 + \alpha)J_\alpha(z)J_{-\alpha}(z) \\
& \quad + c_2 4^{-\alpha} \Gamma(1 - \alpha)^2 J_{-\alpha}(z)^2 + c_3 4^\alpha \Gamma(1 + \alpha)^2 J_\alpha(z)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

with variables $\alpha := -iM/H$ and $-z^2 := -e^{-2Ht}H_V/(H^2)$. \square

A.5.12 Proof of Example 5.11

Proof. The proof is done analogous to the one of Example 5.12. As before, we first calculate explicitly the term $\partial_t f + \frac{1}{2}f^2 - 2w^2$ for the metric $g = -dt^2 + a^2(t)\underline{h}_{ij}(\vec{x}) dx^i dx^j$, with $a = t^{1/2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t f + \frac{1}{2}f^2 - 2w^2 & = +2a^{-2}\Delta_{\underline{h}} - 2\xi a^{-2}\underline{R} - 2m^2 + 3(1/2 - 4\xi)a^{-2}(\partial_t a)^2 + 3(1 - 4\xi)a^{-1}(\partial_t^2 a) \\
& = -2\left(m^2 + t^{-1}H_V + \frac{3}{16}t^{-2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

where we defined the operator $H_V := -\Delta_{\underline{h}} + \xi \underline{R}$. As in the previous proofs, we solve Differential Equation A.6 by standard methods. \square

A.5.13 Proof of Example 5.14

Proof. First we insert the metric $g = -a^2(t)dt^2 + a^2(t)\underline{h}_{ij}(\vec{x}) dx^i dx^j$, with $a(t) = r/t$, to obtain the explicit term,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t f + \frac{1}{2}f^2 - 2w^2 & = +2\Delta_{\underline{h}} - 2\xi \underline{R} - 2a^2 m^2 + 2(1 - 6\xi)a^{-1}(\partial_t^2 a) \\
& = -2(H_V^2 + t^{-2}(r^2 m^2 - 2(1 - 6\xi))).
\end{aligned}$$

with operator $H_V := (-\Delta_{\underline{h}} + \xi \underline{R})$. Analogous to the previous proofs, we solve Differential Equation A.6 by standard methods. \square

References

- [1] B. S. Kay, *Linear Spin 0 Quantum Fields in External Gravitational and Scalar Fields. 1. A One Particle Structure for the Stationary Case*, Commun. Math. Phys. **62** (1978) 55–70.
- [2] C. Moreno, *Spaces of positive and negative frequency solutions of field equations in curved space-times. I. The Klein-Gordon equation in stationary space-times*, J. Math. Phys. **18** (1977) 2153–2161.
- [3] A. Ashtekar, A. Magnon, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space-Times*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **346** (1975) 375–394.
- [4] C. G. Torre, M. Varadarajan, *Functional evolution of free quantum fields*, Class. Quantum Grav. **16** (1999) 2651–2666, [hep-th/9811222](#).
- [5] R. Oeckl, *Holomorphic Quantization of Linear Field Theory in the General Boundary Formulation*, SIGMA **8** (2012) 050, 31 pages, [1009.5615](#).
- [6] I. Agullo, A. Ashtekar, *Unitarity and ultraviolet regularity in cosmology*, Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) 124010, [1503.03407](#).

- [7] N. M. J. Woodhouse, *Geometric Quantization*, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [8] A. Corichi, J. Cortez, H. Quevedo, *Schrödinger and Fock representation for a field theory on curved spacetime*, Ann. Physics **313** (2004) 446–478, [hep-th/0202070](#).
- [9] R. Oeckl, *The Schrödinger representation and its relation to the holomorphic representation in linear and affine field theory*, J. Math. Phys. **53** (2012) 072301, [1109.5215](#).
- [10] A. Much, R. Oeckl, *Self-Adjointness in Klein-Gordon Theory on Globally Hyperbolic Spacetimes*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. **24** (2021) 5, [1804.07782v3](#).
- [11] B. S. Kay, *Generally Covariant Perturbation Theory: Linear Spin 0 Quantum Fields in External Gravitational and Scalar Fields. 2.*, Commun. Math. Phys. **71** (1980) 29.
- [12] R. Geroch, *Domain of Dependence*, J. Math. Phys. **11** (1970) 437–449.
- [13] A. N. Bernal, M. Sánchez, *Smoothness of Time Functions and the Metric Splitting of Globally Hyperbolic Spacetimes*, Commun. Math. Phys. **257** (2005) 43–50, [gr-qc/0401112](#).
- [14] A. N. Bernal, M. Sánchez, *On Smooth Cauchy hypersurfaces and Geroch’s splitting theorem*, Commun. Math. Phys. **243** (2003) 461–470, [gr-qc/0306108](#).
- [15] M. Brum, K. Fredenhagen, *‘Vacuum-like’ Hadamard states for quantum fields on curved spacetimes*, Class. Quantum Grav. **31** (2014) 025024, [1307.0482](#).
- [16] J. Leray, *Hyperbolic partial differential equations*, Princeton lecture notes., Princeton University, Princeton, 1952.
- [17] T. Hack, *Cosmological Applications of Algebraic Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetimes*, Springer Briefs in Mathematical Physics, Springer, 2015.
- [18] N. D. Birrell, P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982.
- [19] D. Shale, *Linear symmetries of free boson fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1962) 149–167.
- [20] A. D. Helfer, *The Hamiltonians of linear quantum fields. 1. Existence theory* (1999), [hep-th/9908011](#).
- [21] M. Shubin, S. Andersson, *Pseudodifferential Operators and Spectral Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 2001.
- [22] A. Grigor’yan, *Heat Kernel and Analysis on Manifolds*, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics 47, American Mathematical Society, 2009.
- [23] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. 2. Fourier Analysis, Selfadjointness*, Gulf Professional Publishing, 1975.
- [24] P. R. Chernoff, *Essential self-adjointness of powers of generators of hyperbolic equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **12** (1973) 401–414.
- [25] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. 1. Functional Analysis*, Gulf Professional Publishing, 1975.
- [26] R. T. Seeley, *Complex powers of an elliptic operator*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **10** (1967) 288–307.
- [27] S. A. Fulling, *Aspects of Quantum Field Theory in Curved Space-time*, London Math. Soc. Student Texts **17** (1989) 1–315.
- [28] F. Riesz, B. Nagy, *Functional analysis*, Dover Publications, 1990.

- [29] Z. Sebestyén, Z. Tarczay, *On the square root of a positive selfadjoint operator*, Period Math. Hung. **75** (2017) 268–272.
- [30] K. Fredenhagen, K. Rejzner, *Quantum field theory on curved spacetimes: Axiomatic framework and examples*, J. Math. Phys. **57** (2016) 031101, [1412.5125](#).
- [31] S. Sonego, *Ultrastatic spacetimes*, J. Math. Phys. **51** (2010) 092502, [1004.1714](#).
- [32] A. Corichi, J. Cortez, H. Quevedo, *On the relation between Fock and Schrödinger representations for a scalar field*, Annals Phys. **313** (2004) 446–478, [hep-th/0202070](#).
- [33] R. Wald, *General relativity*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984.
- [34] N. D. Birrell, P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- [35] J. Cortez, G. A. Mena Marugán, J. Olmedo, J. M. Velhinho, *Uniqueness of the Fock quantization of fields with unitary dynamics in nonstationary spacetimes*, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011).
- [36] H. Olbermann, *States of low energy on Robertson-Walker spacetimes*, Class. Quant. Grav. **24** (2007) 5011–5030, [0704.2986](#).
- [37] K. Them, M. Brum, *States of Low Energy in Homogeneous and Inhomogeneous, Expanding Spacetimes*, Class. Quant. Grav. **30** (2013) 235035, [1302.3174](#).
- [38] N. A. Chernikov, E. A. Tagirov, *Quantum theory of scalar field in de Sitter space-time*, Annales de l’I.H.P. Physique théorique **9** (1968) 109–141.
- [39] I. M. Gelfand, L. A. Dikii, *Asymptotic Behaviour of the resolvent of Sturm-Liouville Equations and the algebra of the Korteweg-de Vries equations*, Russian Math. Surveys **30** (1975) 77–113.
- [40] M. Shubin, *Essential Self-Adjointness for Semi-bounded Magnetic Schrödinger Operators on Non-compact Manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **186** (2001) 92–116, [math/0007019](#).
- [41] R. S. Strichartz, *Analysis of the Laplacian on the complete Riemannian manifold*, J. Funct. Anal. **52** (1983) 48–79.