

$\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius system, superintegrability, supersymmetry

Evgeny Ivanov,^{1,*} Armen Nersessian,^{2,†} and Hovhannes Shmavonyan^{2,‡}

¹*Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980, Dubna, Moscow Reg., Russia*

²*Yerevan Physics Institute, 2 Alikhanian Brothers St., Yerevan 0036 Armenia*

We propose new superintegrable mechanical system on the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ involving a potential term together with coupling to a constant magnetic fields. This system can be viewed as a $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -analog of both the flat singular oscillator and its spherical analog known as “Rosochatius system”. We find its constants of motion and calculate their (highly nonlinear) algebra. We also present its classical and quantum solutions. The system belongs to the class of “Kähler oscillators” admitting $SU(2|1)$ supersymmetric extension. We show that, in the absence of magnetic field and with the special choice of the characteristic parameters, one can construct $\mathcal{N} = 4, d = 1$ Poincaré supersymmetric extension of the system considered.

I. INTRODUCTION

The (D -dimensional) isotropic oscillator and the relevant Coulomb problem play a pivotal role among other textbook examples of D -dimensional integrable systems. They are distinguished by the “maximal superintegrability” property, which is the existence of $2D - 1$ functionally independent constants of motion [1]. The rational Calogero model with oscillator potential [2], being a nontrivial generalization of isotropic oscillator, is also maximally superintegrable [3]. Moreover, Calogero model with Coulomb potential is superintegrable too [4]. All these systems, being originally defined on a plane, admit the maximally superintegrable deformations to the spheres (see Ref. [5] for the spherical generalizations of the oscillator and Coulomb problem, and Ref. [4] for the Calogero-oscillator and Calogero-Coulomb ones). The integrable spherical generalizations of anisotropic oscillator [6], Stark-Coulomb and two-center Coulomb problems [7] are also known.

In contrast to the spherical extensions, the generalizations to other curved spaces have not attracted much attention so far. The only exception seems to be the isotropic oscillator on the complex/quaternionic spaces considered in Ref. [8, 9]. These systems reveal an important feature: they remain superintegrable after coupling to a constant magnetic/BPST instanton field, though cease to be maximally superintegrable. One may pose a question:

How to construct the superintegrable generalizations of Calogero-oscillator and Calogero-Coulomb models on complex and quaternionic projective spaces?

In this paper we make first steps toward the answer. Due to the complexity of the problem we restrict our attention to the simplest particular case. Namely, we construct the superintegrable $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -generalization of the N -dimensional singular oscillator (the simplest rational Calogero-oscillator model) which is defined by the Hamiltonian

$$H_{SW} = \sum_{a=1}^N \left(\frac{p_a^2}{2} + \frac{g_a^2}{2x_a^2} + \frac{\omega^2 x_a^2}{2} \right), \quad \{p_a, x_b\} = \delta_{ab}, \quad \{p_a, p_b\} = \{x_a, x_b\} = 0. \quad (1)$$

This model is less trivial than it looks at first sight: it has a variety of hidden constants of motion which form a nonlinear symmetry algebra and endow the system with the maximal superintegrability property. Its extensive studies were initiated more than fifty years ago by Smorodinsky with collaborators [10] and are continuing up to now (see, e.g., [11] and references therein). Sometimes this model is referred to as Smorodinsky-Winternitz system, though it was known for many years.

The maximally superintegrable spherical counterpart of the Smorodinsky-Winternitz system is defined by the Hamiltonian suggested by Rosochatius in 1877 [12]

*Electronic address: eivanov@theor.jinr.ru

†Electronic address: arneses@yerphi.am

‡Electronic address: hovhannes.shmavonyan@mail.yerphi.am

$$H_{Ros} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^N \left(\delta_{ab} - \frac{x_a x_b}{r_0^2} \right) p_a p_b + \sum_{a=1}^N \left(\frac{\omega_a^2 r_0^2}{x_a^2} + \frac{\omega^2 r_0^2 x_a^2}{2x_0^2} \right), \quad x_a^2 + x_0^2 = r_0^2. \quad (2)$$

It is a particular case of the integrable systems obtained by restricting the free particle and oscillator systems to a sphere. It was studied by many authors from different viewpoints, including its re-invention as a superintegrable spherical generalization of Smorodinsky-Winternitz system [13–15]. Rosochatius model, as well as its hybrid with the Neumann model suggested in 1859 [16], attract a stable interest for years due to their relevance to a wide circle of physical and mathematical problems. Recently, the Rosochatius-Neumann system was encountered, while studying strings [17], extreme black hole geodesics [15, 18] and Klein-Gordon equation in curved backgrounds [19].

In this paper we propose a superintegrable generalization of Rosochatius (and Smorodinsky-Winternitz) system on the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$. It is defined by the Hamiltonian ¹

$$\mathcal{H}_{Ros} = \frac{1}{r_0^2} (1 + z\bar{z}) (\delta^{a\bar{b}} + z^a \bar{z}^b) \pi_a \bar{\pi}_b + r_0^2 (1 + z\bar{z}) \left(\omega_0^2 + \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{\omega_a^2}{z^a \bar{z}^a} \right) - r_0^2 \sum_{i=0}^N \omega_i^2, \quad (3)$$

and by the Poisson brackets providing the interaction with a constant magnetic field of the magnitude B

$$\{\pi_a, z^b\} = \delta_a^b, \quad \{\bar{\pi}_a, \bar{z}^b\} = \delta_a^{\bar{b}}, \quad \{\pi_a, \bar{\pi}_b\} = \imath B r_0^2 \left(\frac{\delta_{a\bar{b}}}{1 + z\bar{z}} - \frac{\bar{z}^a z^b}{(1 + z\bar{z})^2} \right). \quad (4)$$

We will call it $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius system².

Reducing this $2N$ -dimensional system by the action of N manifest $U(1)$ symmetries, $z^a \rightarrow e^{\imath\kappa_a} z^a$, $\pi_a \rightarrow e^{-\imath\kappa_a} \pi_a$, we recover the N -dimensional Rosochatius system (2) (see Section 3).

On the other hand, rescaling the coordinates and momenta as $r_0 z^a \rightarrow z^a$, $\pi_a/r_0 \rightarrow \pi_a$ and taking the limit $r_0 \rightarrow \infty$, $\omega_a \rightarrow 0$ with $r_0^2 \omega_a = g_a$ kept finite, we arrive at the so-called “ \mathbb{C}^N -Smorodinsky-Winternitz system” [20]

$$\mathcal{H}_{SW} = \sum_{a=1}^N \left(\pi_a \bar{\pi}_a + \omega_0^2 z^a \bar{z}^a + \frac{g_a^2}{z^a \bar{z}^a} \right), \quad \{\pi_a, z^b\} = \delta_a^b, \quad \{\bar{\pi}_a, \bar{z}^b\} = \delta_a^{\bar{b}}, \quad \{\pi_a, \bar{\pi}_b\} = \imath B \delta_{a\bar{b}}. \quad (5)$$

Since the reductions of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius system yield superintegrable systems, it is quite natural that it proves to be superintegrable on its own.

We will show that $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius system belongs to the class of “Kähler oscillators” [8, 21] which admit $SU(2|1)$ supersymmetrization (or a ‘weak $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ’ supersymmetrization, in terminology of Smilga [22]). A few years ago it was found that these systems naturally arise within the appropriate $SU(2|1)$, $d = 1$ superspace formalism developed in a series of papers [23]. This research was partly motivated by the study of the field theories with curved rigid analogs of Poincaré supersymmetry [24]. In the absence of the background magnetic field and for the special choice of the parameters ω_i , the $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius system admits $\mathcal{N} = 4$, $d = 1$ Poincaré supersymmetric extension.

Finally, note that \mathbb{C}^N -Smorodinsky-Winternitz system (5) can be interpreted as a set of N two-dimensional ring-shaped oscillators interacting with a constant magnetic field orthogonal to the plane. As opposed to (5), the $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius system does not split into a set of N two-dimensional decoupled systems. Instead, it can be interpreted as describing *interacting* particles with a position-dependent mass in the two-dimensional quantum rings (along the lines of ref. [25–27]).

To summarize, the $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius system suggested is of interest from many points of view. Its study is the subject of the remainder of this paper. It is organized as follows.

In Section 2 we review the main properties of the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$, the simplest related systems like $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Landau problem and the $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -oscillator, and then derive the potential specifying the $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius system.

¹ Hereafter we use the notation $z\bar{z} \equiv \sum_{c=1}^N z^c \bar{z}^c$

² Despite the fact that $U(N)$ symmetry is explicitly broken in (3) (down to $U(1)^N$), hereafter we use the $U(N)$ covariant notation, such that π_a and $\bar{\pi}_b$ transform, respectively, as \bar{z}^a and z^b and there are three equivalent forms of the $U(N)$ invariant tensor, $\delta_{a\bar{b}}$, δ_a^b and $\delta_{\bar{b}}^{\bar{a}}$.

In *Section 3* we present classical $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius model in a constant magnetic field and find that, in addition to N manifest $U(1)$ symmetries, this system possesses additional $2N - 1$ functionally-independent second-order constants of motion. The latter property implies the (non-maximal) superintegrability of the model considered. We present the explicit expressions of the constants of motion and calculate their algebra. We also show that the reduction of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius model by manifest $U(1)$ symmetries reproduces the original N -dimensional (\mathbb{S}^N -) Rosochatius system.

In *Section 4* we separate the variables and find classical solutions of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius model.

In *Section 5* we study quantum $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius system and find its spectrum which depends on $N + 1$ quantum numbers, as well as the relevant wavefunctions.

In *Section 6* we construct $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric extensions of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius system.

In *Section 7* we give an account of open problems and possible generalizations.

In the subsequent consideration we put, for simplicity, $r_0 = 1$.

II. PRELIMINARIES: COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACES

In this Section we present the basic properties of complex projective space $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$, briefly describe the Landau problem and the oscillator on this space, and construct $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -analog of Rosochatius system.

The N -dimensional complex projective space is a space of complex rays in the $(N + 1)$ -dimensional complex Euclidian space $(\mathbb{C}^{N+1}, \sum_{i=0}^N du^i d\bar{u}^i)$, with u^i being homogeneous coordinates of the complex projective space. Equivalently, it can be defined as the quotient $\mathbb{S}^{2N+1}/U(1)$, where \mathbb{S}^{2N+1} is the $(2N + 1)$ -dimensional sphere embedded in \mathbb{C}^{N+1} by the constraint $\sum_{i=1}^N u^i \bar{u}^i = 1$. One can solve the latter by introducing locally ‘‘inhomogeneous’’ coordinates $z_{(i)}^a$

$$z_{(i)}^a = \frac{u^a}{u^i}, \quad \text{with } a \neq i, u^i \neq 0. \quad (6)$$

Hence, the full complex projective space can be covered by $N + 1$ charts marked by the indices $i = 0, \dots, N$, with the following transition functions on the intersection of i -th and j -th charts:

$$z_{(i)}^a = \frac{z_{(j)}^a}{z_{(j)}^i}. \quad (7)$$

Let us endow \mathbb{C}^{N+1} with the canonical Poisson brackets $\{u^i, \bar{u}^j\} = \iota \delta^{i\bar{j}}$, and define, with respect to them, the $u(N + 1)$ algebra formed by the generators

$$h_{i\bar{j}} = \bar{u}^i u^j. \quad (8)$$

Reducing the manifold \mathbb{C}^{N+1} by the action of the $U(1)$ group with the generator $h_0 = \sum_{i=0}^N u^i \bar{u}^i$, we arrive at the $SU(N + 1)$ -invariant Kähler structure defined by the Fubini-Study metrics

$$\sum_{a,b=1}^N g_{a\bar{b}} dz^a d\bar{z}^b = \sum_{a,b=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 \log(1 + z\bar{z})}{\partial z^a \partial \bar{z}^b} dz^a d\bar{z}^b = \sum_{a,b=1}^N \left(\frac{\delta_{a\bar{b}}}{1 + z\bar{z}} - \frac{\bar{z}^a z^b}{(1 + z\bar{z})^2} \right) dz^a d\bar{z}^b. \quad (9)$$

This metrics is obviously invariant under the passing from one chart to another. Hence, we can omit the indices marking charts and assume, without loss of generality, that we are dealing with 0-th chart, so that the indices a, b, c run from 1 to N .

Being Kähler manifold, the complex projective space is equipped with the Poisson brackets $\{\bar{z}^a, z^b\}_0 = -\iota g^{\bar{a}b}$, where $g^{\bar{a}b} = (1 + z\bar{z})(\delta^{\bar{a}b} + \bar{z}^a z^b)$ is the inverse Fubini-Study metrics. The $su(N + 1)$ isometry of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ is generated by the holomorphic Hamiltonian vector fields defined as the following momentum maps (Killing potentials)

$$h_{a\bar{b}} = \frac{\bar{z}^a z^b}{1 + z\bar{z}}, \quad h_a = \frac{2\bar{z}^a}{1 + z\bar{z}}. \quad (10)$$

Now, let us introduce, on the cotangent bundle of \mathbb{C}^{N+1} , the canonical Poisson brackets $\{p_i, u^j\} = \delta_{ij}$, and define the $su(N + 1)$ algebra with the generators

$$L_{i\bar{j}} = \iota(p_i u^j - \bar{p}_j \bar{u}^i) - \frac{\delta_{i\bar{j}}}{N} L_0, \quad \text{where } L_0 = \iota \sum_{i=0}^N (p_i u^i - \bar{p}_i \bar{u}^i). \quad (11)$$

Reducing this phase space by the action of generators $L_0, h_0 = \sum_i u^i \bar{u}^i$, and finally fixing their values as $L_0 = 2B, h_0 = 1$, we arrive at the Poisson brackets (4) (with $r_0 = 1$). They describe an electrically charged particle on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ interacting with a constant magnetic field of the magnitude B and set the corresponding twisted symplectic structure

$$\Omega_0 = \sum_{a=1}^N (dz^a \wedge d\pi_a + d\bar{z}^a \wedge d\bar{\pi}_a) + B \sum_{a,b=1}^N \iota_{g_{a\bar{b}}} dz^a \wedge d\bar{z}^b, \quad (12)$$

with $g_{a\bar{b}}$ being defined in (9).

The inhomogeneous coordinates and momenta z^a, π_a are related to the homogeneous ones p_i, u^i as [28]

$$z^a = \frac{u^a}{u^0}, \quad \pi_a = \sum_{b=1}^N g_{a\bar{b}} \left(\frac{p_b}{\bar{u}^0} - \bar{z}^b \frac{p_0}{\bar{u}^0} \right). \quad (13)$$

The $su(N+1)$ generators (11) are reduced to the following ones

$$J_{a\bar{b}} = \iota(z^b \pi_a - \bar{\pi}_b \bar{z}^a) - B \frac{\bar{z}^a z^b}{1+z\bar{z}}, \quad J_a = \pi_a + \bar{z}^a (\bar{z}\bar{\pi}) + \iota B \frac{\bar{z}^a}{1+z\bar{z}} : \quad (14)$$

$$\{J_{a\bar{b}}, J_{c\bar{d}}\} = i\delta_{ad} J_{bc} - i\delta_{cb} J_{ad}, \quad \{J_a, \bar{J}_b\} = -i(J_{a\bar{b}} + J_0 \delta_{a\bar{b}}), \quad \{J_a, J_{b\bar{c}}\} = iJ_b \delta_{a\bar{c}}, \quad (15)$$

where $J_0 \equiv \sum_{a=1}^N J_{a\bar{a}} + B$.

With these expressions at hand we can now consider some superintegrable systems on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$.

$\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Landau problem. The $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Landau problem is defined by the symplectic structure (12) and the free-particle Hamiltonian identified with a Casimir of $su(N+1)$ algebra

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \sum_{a,b=1}^N (1+z\bar{z})(\delta^{a\bar{b}} + z^a \bar{z}^b) \pi_a \bar{\pi}_b = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^N L_{i\bar{j}} L_{j\bar{i}} - \frac{B^2}{2} = \sum_{a=1}^N J_a \bar{J}_a + \frac{\sum_{a,b=1}^N J_{a\bar{b}} J_{b\bar{a}} + J_0^2 - B^2}{2} : \quad \{\mathcal{H}_0, L_{ij}\} = 0. \quad (16)$$

Its quantization was done, e.g., in [29].

$\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -oscillator. The $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -oscillator is defined by the symplectic structure (12) and the Hamiltonian [8]

$$\mathcal{H}_{osc} = \sum_{a,b=1}^N (1+z\bar{z})(\delta^{a\bar{b}} + z^a \bar{z}^b) \pi_a \bar{\pi}_b + \omega^2 \sum_{a=1}^N z^a \bar{z}^a. \quad (17)$$

It respects manifest $U(N)$ symmetry with the generators $J_{a\bar{b}}$ (14), and additional hidden symmetries given by the proper analog of ‘‘Fradkin tensor’’,

$$I_{a\bar{b}} = J_a \bar{J}_b + \omega^2 \bar{z}^a z^b. \quad (18)$$

The full symmetry algebra of this system reads

$$\{J_{a\bar{b}}, J_{c\bar{d}}\} = \iota \delta_{ad} J_{bc} - \iota \delta_{cb} J_{ad}, \quad \{I_{a\bar{b}}, J_{c\bar{d}}\} = \iota \delta_{ad} I_{c\bar{b}} - \iota \delta_{cb} I_{a\bar{d}} \quad (19)$$

$$\{I_{a\bar{b}}, I_{c\bar{d}}\} = \iota \omega^2 \delta_{ad} J_{c\bar{b}} - \iota \omega^2 \delta_{cb} J_{a\bar{d}} - \iota I_{c\bar{b}} (J_{a\bar{d}} + J_0 \delta_{a\bar{d}}) + \iota I_{a\bar{d}} (J_{c\bar{b}} + J_0 \delta_{c\bar{b}}), \quad (20)$$

where $J_0 = \iota \sum_{a=1}^N (z^a \pi_a - \bar{\pi}_a \bar{z}^a) + B \frac{1}{1+z\bar{z}}$.

The Hamiltonian (17) is expressed via the symmetry generators as follows

$$\mathcal{H}_{osc} = \sum_{a=1}^N I_{a\bar{a}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^N J_{a\bar{b}} J_{b\bar{a}} + \frac{J_0^2 - B^2}{2}. \quad (21)$$

The quantum mechanics associated with this Hamiltonian was considered in [30]. In the flat limit, the $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -oscillator goes over to the \mathbb{C}^N -oscillator interacting with a constant magnetic field.

\mathbb{CP}^N -Rosochatius system. The \mathbb{CP}^N -oscillator, being superintegrable system (for $N > 1$), has an obvious drawback: it lacks covariance under transition from one chart to another. This non-covariance becomes manifest after expressing the Hamiltonian (17) via the $SU(N+1)$ symmetry generators and the homogeneous coordinates u^i ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{osc} = \frac{\sum_{i,j=0}^N L_{i\bar{j}} L_{j\bar{i}} - B^2}{2} + \frac{\omega^2}{u^0 \bar{u}^0} - \omega^2. \quad (22)$$

This expression allows one to immediately construct $(N+1)$ -parameter deformation of the \mathbb{CP}^N -oscillator, such that it is manifestly form-invariant under passing from one chart to another accompanied by the appropriate change of the parameters ω_i . The relevant potential is

$$V_{Ros} = \sum_{i=0}^N \left(\frac{\omega_i^2}{u^i \bar{u}^i} - \omega_i^2 \right), \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{i=0}^N u^i \bar{u}^i = 1. \quad (23)$$

In the case when all parameters ω_i are equal, the system is globally defined on the complex projective space with the punctured points $u^i = 0$.

The system with the potential (23) is just the \mathbb{CP}^N -Rosochatius system mentioned in Introduction. Now we turn to its investigation as the main subject of the present paper.

III. \mathbb{CP}^N -ROSOCHATIUS SYSTEM

We consider the N -parameter deformation of the \mathbb{CP}^N -oscillator by the potential (23), in what follows referred to as the “ \mathbb{CP}^N -Rosochatius system”. It is defined by the Hamiltonian (3) and Poisson brackets (4) with $r_0 = 1$. Equivalently, this system can be defined by the symplectic structure (12) and the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{Ros} = \sum_{a,b=1}^N g^{\bar{a}b} \bar{\pi}_a \pi_b + (1 + z\bar{z}) \left(\omega_0^2 + \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{\omega_a^2}{z^a \bar{z}^a} \right) - \sum_{i=0}^N \omega_i^2, \quad (24)$$

where $g^{\bar{a}b} = (1 + z\bar{z})(\delta^{\bar{a}b} + \bar{z}^a z^b)$ is the inverse Fubini-Study metrics.

The model has N manifest (kinematical) $U(1)$ symmetries with the generators

$$J_{a\bar{a}} = i\pi_a z^a - i\bar{\pi}_a \bar{z}^a - B \frac{z^a \bar{z}^a}{1 + z\bar{z}} : \quad \{J_{a\bar{a}}, \mathcal{H}\} = 0, \quad (25)$$

and hidden symmetries with the second-order generators $I_{ij} = (I_{0a}, I_{ab})$ defined as

$$I_{0a} = J_{0a} \bar{J}_{0\bar{a}} + \omega_0^2 z^a \bar{z}^a + \frac{\omega_a^2}{\bar{z}^a z^a}, \quad I_{ab} = J_{a\bar{b}} J_{b\bar{a}} + \omega_a^2 \frac{z^b \bar{z}^b}{z^a \bar{z}^a} + \omega_b^2 \frac{z^a \bar{z}^a}{z^b \bar{z}^b} : \quad \{I_{i\bar{j}}, \mathcal{H}\} = 0. \quad (26)$$

In the homogeneous coordinates, the hidden symmetry generators can be cast in a more succinct form

$$I_{ij} = J_{i\bar{j}} J_{j\bar{i}} + \omega_i^2 \frac{u^j \bar{u}^j}{u^i \bar{u}^i} + \omega_j^2 \frac{u^i \bar{u}^i}{u^j \bar{u}^j}. \quad (27)$$

The relevant symmetry algebra is given by the brackets

$$\{J_{a\bar{a}}, I_{ij}\} = 0, \quad \{I_{ij}, I_{kl}\} = \delta_{jk} T_{ijl} + \delta_{ik} T_{jkl} - \delta_{jl} T_{ikl} - \delta_{il} T_{ijk}, \quad (28)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{ijk})^2 &= 2(I_{ij} - J_{i\bar{i}} J_{j\bar{j}})(I_{jk} - J_{j\bar{j}} J_{k\bar{k}})(I_{ik} - J_{i\bar{i}} J_{k\bar{k}}) + 2I_{ij} I_{ik} I_{jk} + J_{i\bar{i}}^2 J_{j\bar{j}}^2 J_{k\bar{k}}^2 - (I_{jk}^2 J_{i\bar{i}}^2 + I_{ij}^2 J_{k\bar{k}}^2 + I_{ik}^2 J_{j\bar{j}}^2) \\ &- 4(\omega_k^2 I_{ij}(I_{ij} - J_{i\bar{i}} J_{j\bar{j}}) + \omega_i^2 I_{jk}(I_{jk} - J_{j\bar{j}} J_{k\bar{k}}) + \omega_j^2 I_{ik}(I_{ik} - J_{i\bar{i}} J_{k\bar{k}})) + 4\omega_j^2 \omega_k^2 J_{i\bar{i}}^2 + 4\omega_i^2 \omega_k^2 J_{j\bar{j}}^2 + 4\omega_i^2 \omega_j^2 J_{k\bar{k}}^2 + 16\omega_i^2 \omega_j^2 \omega_k^2. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The Hamiltonian is expressed via these generators as follows

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} I_{ij} + \sum_{a=1}^N \omega_a^2 + \frac{J_0^2 - B^2}{2} = \sum_{a=1}^N I_{0a} + \sum_{a,b=1}^N \frac{I_{ab}}{2} + \sum_{a=1}^N \omega_a^2 + \frac{J_0^2 - B^2}{2}. \quad (30)$$

This consideration actually proves the superintegrability of the $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius system. The number of the functionally independent constants of motion will be counted in the end of this Section.

For sure, the symmetry algebra written above can be found by a direct calculation of the Poisson brackets between the symmetry generators. However, there is a more elegant and simple way to construct it. Namely, one has to consider the symmetry algebra of \mathbb{C}^{N+1} -Smorodinsky-Winternitz system [20] with *vanishing* magnetic field, and to reduce it, by action of the generators $\sum_{i=0}^N \iota(p_i u^i - \bar{p}_i \bar{u}^i)$, $\sum_{i=0}^N u^i \bar{u}^i$ (see the previous Section), to the symmetry algebra of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius system.

Reduction to (spherical) Rosochatius system

In order to understand the relationship with the standard Rosochatius system (defined on the sphere) let us pass to the real canonical variables $y_a, \varphi^a, p_a, p_{\varphi_a}$

$$z^a = y_a e^{i\varphi_a}, \quad \pi_a = \frac{1}{2} \left(p_a - i \left(\frac{p_{\varphi_a}}{y_a} + \frac{B y_a}{1 + y^2} \right) \right) e^{-i\varphi_a} : \quad \Omega = dp_a \wedge dy_a + dp_{\varphi_a} \wedge d\varphi_a. \quad (31)$$

In these variables the Hamiltonian (24) is rewritten as

$$\mathcal{H}_{Ros} = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \sum_{c=1}^N y_c^2 \right) \left[\sum_{a,b=1}^N (\delta_{ab} + y_a y_b) p_a p_b + 4\tilde{\omega}_0^2 + 4 \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{\tilde{\omega}_a^2}{y_a^2} \right] - E_0, \quad (32)$$

where

$$\tilde{\omega}_a^2 = \omega_a^2 + \frac{1}{4} p_{\varphi_a}^2, \quad \tilde{\omega}_0^2 = \omega_0^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left(B + \sum_{a=1}^N p_{\varphi_a} \right)^2, \quad E_0 = \frac{B^2}{4} + \sum_{i=0}^N \omega_i^2. \quad (33)$$

Then, performing the reduction by cyclic variables φ^a (*i.e.*, by fixing the momenta p_{φ^a}), we arrive at the Rosochatius system on the sphere with $y_a = x_a/x_0$, where (x_0, x_a) are ambient Cartesian coordinates, $\sum_{i=0}^N x_i^2 = 1$:

$$x_a = \frac{y_a}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{c=1}^N y_c^2}}, \quad x_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{c=1}^N y_c^2}}. \quad (34)$$

As was already noticed, the \mathbb{S}^N -Rosochatius system is maximally superintegrable, *i.e.* it has $2N - 1$ functionally independent constants of motion. From the above reduction we conclude that the $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius system has $2N - 1 + N = 3N - 1$ functionally independent integrals. Hence, it lacks N integrals needed for the maximal superintegrability.

IV. CLASSICAL SOLUTIONS

To obtain the classical solutions of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius system we introduce the spherical coordinates through the recursion

$$y_N = r \cos \theta_{N-1}, \quad y_\alpha = r \sin \theta_{N-1} u_\alpha, \quad \text{with } r = \tan \theta_N, \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} u_\alpha^2 = 1, \quad (35)$$

where y_a were defined by (31). In terms of these coordinates the Hamiltonian (32) takes the form

$$\mathcal{H}_{Ros} \equiv \mathcal{I}_N - E_0 = \frac{1}{4} (1 + r^2) \left((1 + r^2) p_r^2 + \frac{4\mathcal{I}_{N-1}(\theta)}{r^2} + 4\tilde{\omega}_0^2 \right) - E_0, \quad \mathcal{I}_a = \frac{p_{\theta_a}^2}{4} + \frac{\mathcal{I}_{a-1}}{\sin^2 \theta_a} + \frac{\tilde{\omega}_{a+1}^2}{\cos^2 \theta_a}, \quad (36)$$

with $E_0, \omega_N \equiv \tilde{\omega}_0$ defined in (33), $a = 1, \dots, N$ and $\mathcal{I}_0 = 0$.

Thus we singled out the complete set of Liouville integrals $(\mathcal{H}_{Ros}, \mathcal{I}_\alpha, p_{\varphi_a})$, and separated the variables. It is by no means the unique choice of Liouville integrals and of the coordinate frame in which the Hamiltonian admits the separation of variables. However, for our purposes it is enough to deal with any particular choice.

With the above expressions at hand, we can derive classical solutions of the system by solving the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\mathcal{H}(p_\mu = \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^\mu}, x^\mu) = E, \quad \text{with } x^\mu = (\theta_a, \varphi_a), \quad p_\mu = (p_a, p_{\varphi_a}). \quad (37)$$

To this end, we introduce the generating function of the form

$$S_{tot} = 2 \sum_{a=1}^N S_a(\theta_a) + \sum_{a=1}^N p_{\varphi_a} \varphi_a. \quad (38)$$

Substituting this ansatz in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, we immediately separate the variables and arrive at the set of ordinary differential equations:

$$\left(\frac{dS_a}{d\theta_a} \right)^2 + \frac{c_{a-1}}{\sin^2 \theta_a} + \frac{\tilde{\omega}_{a+1}^2}{\cos^2 \theta_a} = c_a, \quad a = 1, \dots, N, \quad c_N := E + E_0, \quad \tilde{\omega}_{N+1}^2 := \tilde{\omega}_0^2. \quad (39)$$

Solving these equations, we obtain

$$S_a = \int d\theta_a \sqrt{c_a - \frac{c_{a-1}}{\sin^2 \theta_a} - \frac{\tilde{\omega}_{a+1}^2}{\cos^2 \theta_a}}. \quad (40)$$

Thus we have found the general solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (*i.e.*, the solution depending on $2N$ integration constants c_a, p_{φ_a}).

In order to get the solutions of the classical equations of motion, we should differentiate the generating functions with respect to these integration constants and then equate the resulting functions to some constants t_0, κ_α , and φ_0^a ,

$$\frac{\partial S_{tot}}{\partial E} = t - t_0, \quad \frac{\partial S_{tot}}{\partial c_\alpha} = 2 \sum_{b=1}^N \frac{\partial S_b}{\partial c_\alpha} = \kappa_\alpha, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, N-1, \quad \frac{\partial S_{tot}}{\partial p_{\varphi_a}} = \varphi^a + \sum_{b=1}^N 2 \frac{\partial S_b}{\partial p_{\varphi_a}} = \varphi_0^a. \quad (41)$$

Introducing

$$\xi_a := \sin^2 \theta_a, \quad \mathcal{A}_a := \frac{c_a + c_{a-1} - \tilde{\omega}_{a+1}^2}{2c_a}, \quad (42)$$

we obtain from (41)

$$\xi_N - \mathcal{A}_N = \sqrt{\mathcal{A}_N^2 - \frac{c_{N-1}}{c_N}} \sin 2\sqrt{c_N}(t - t_0), \quad (43)$$

$$\xi_\alpha = \sqrt{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2 - \frac{c_{\alpha-1}}{c_\alpha}} \left(\frac{\sin \kappa_\alpha (\xi_{\alpha+1} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha+1} - \frac{c_\alpha}{c_{\alpha+1}}) + \cos \kappa_\alpha \sqrt{-\xi_{\alpha+1}^2 + 2\xi_{\alpha+1} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha+1} - \frac{c_\alpha}{c_{\alpha+1}}}}{\xi_{\alpha+1} \sqrt{\frac{c_{\alpha+1}}{c_\alpha} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha+1}^2 - 1}} \right) + \mathcal{A}_\alpha, \quad (44)$$

$$\varphi^a - \varphi_0^a = -\frac{p_{\varphi_a}}{4\tilde{\omega}_{a+1}} \arctan \frac{2\tilde{\omega}_{a+1} \sqrt{c_{a-1}(\xi_a - 1) - \xi_a(c_a(\xi_a - 1) + \tilde{\omega}_{a+1}^2)}}{-c_{a-1}(\xi_a - 1) + c_a(\xi_a - 1)\tilde{\omega}_{a+1}^2(\xi_a + 1)}. \quad (45)$$

Thereby we have derived the explicit classical solutions of our \mathbb{CP}^N -Rosochatius system.

V. QUANTIZATION

In order to quantize the \mathbb{CP}^N -Rosochatius system we replace the Poisson brackets (4) by the commutators (with $r_0 = 1$)

$$[\hat{\pi}_a, z^b] = -i\hbar \delta_a^b, \quad [\hat{\pi}_a, \hat{\pi}_b] = \hbar B \left(\frac{\delta_{a\bar{b}}}{1 + z\bar{z}} - \frac{\bar{z}^a z^b}{(1 + z\bar{z})^2} \right). \quad (46)$$

The appropriate quantum realization of the momenta operators reads

$$\widehat{\pi}_a = -i \left(\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial z^a} + \frac{B}{2} \frac{\bar{z}^a}{1 + z\bar{z}} \right), \quad \widehat{\bar{\pi}}_a = -i \left(\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^a} - \frac{B}{2} \frac{\bar{z}^a}{1 + z\bar{z}} \right). \quad (47)$$

Then we define the quantum Hamiltonian

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{Ros} = \frac{1}{2} g^{a\bar{b}} (\widehat{\pi}_a \widehat{\bar{\pi}}_b + \widehat{\bar{\pi}}_b \widehat{\pi}_a) + \hbar^2 (1 + z\bar{z}) \left(\omega_0^2 + \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{\omega_a^2}{z^a \bar{z}^a} \right) - \hbar^2 \sum_{i=0}^N \omega_i^2. \quad (48)$$

The kinetic term in this Hamiltonian is written as the Laplacian on Kähler manifold (coupled to a magnetic field) defined with respect to the volume element $dv_{\mathbb{C}P^N} = (1 + z\bar{z})^{-(1+N)} [dzd\bar{z}]$, while in the potential term we have made the replacement $\omega_i \rightarrow \hbar\omega_i$.

In terms of the real coordinates $z^a = y_a e^{i\varphi_a}$ this Hamiltonian reads (cf. (32))

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{Ros} = \left(1 + \sum_{c=1}^N y_c^2 \right) \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{4} \left(\sum_{a,b=1}^N (\delta_{ab} + y_a y_b) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_a \partial y_b} + \sum_{a=1}^N \left(y_a + \frac{1}{y_a} \right) \partial_{y_a} \right) + \widehat{\omega}_{N+1}^2 + \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{\widehat{\omega}_a^2}{4y_a^2} \right] - \tilde{E}_0. \quad (49)$$

Here we introduced the operators

$$\widehat{\omega}_{N+1}^2 = \left(\frac{B}{\hbar} + \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{a=1}^N \widehat{p}_{\varphi_a} \right)^2 + 4\omega_0^2, \quad \widehat{\omega}_a^2 = 4\omega_a^2 + \frac{\widehat{p}_{\varphi_a}^2}{\hbar^2} \quad (50)$$

with

$$\widehat{p}_{\varphi_a} = \widehat{J}_{a\bar{a}} = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^a} \quad \tilde{E}_0 = \frac{B^2}{4} + \hbar^2 \sum_{i=0}^N \omega_i^2. \quad (51)$$

Clearly, these operators are quantum analogs of the classical quantities (33). In the spherical coordinates (35) the Hamiltonian (49) takes the form

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{Ros} = \widehat{\mathcal{I}}_N - \tilde{E}_0, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{I}}_a = -\frac{\hbar^2}{4} \left((\sin \theta_a)^{1-a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_a} \left((\sin \theta_a)^{a-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_a} \right) + (a \cot \theta_a - \tan \theta_a) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_a} \right) + \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{I}}_{a-1}}{\sin^2 \theta_a} + \frac{\hbar^2 \widehat{\omega}_{a+1}^2}{4 \cos^2 \theta_a}, \quad (52)$$

where $a = 1, \dots, N$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}_0 = 0$.

This prompts us to consider the spectral problem ³

$$\widehat{J}_{a\bar{a}} \Psi = \hbar m_a \Psi, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{I}}_a \Psi = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} l_a (l_a + 2a) \Psi, \quad (53)$$

where l_a are the appropriate ‘‘spin’’ quantum numbers, and separate the variables by the choice of the wavefunction in such a way that it resolves first N equations in the above problem,

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N/2}} \prod_{a=1}^N \psi_a(\theta_a) e^{i m_a \varphi_a}, \quad m_a = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \quad (54)$$

Then, passing to the variables $\xi_a = \sin^2 \theta_a$, we transform the reduced spectral problem to the system of N ordinary differential equations

$$-\xi_a (1 - \xi_a) \psi_a'' + ((a+1)\xi - a) \psi_a' + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{l_{a-1}(l_{a-1} + 2a - 2)}{\xi_a} + \frac{\widehat{\omega}_{a+1}^2}{1 - \xi_a} - l_a(l_a + 2a) \right) \psi_a = 0. \quad (55)$$

³ In the classical limit, $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, $m_a, l_a \rightarrow \infty$, the eigenvalues $\hbar m_a$ yield p_{φ_a} and $\hbar l_a$ yield $\sqrt{c_a}$.

These equations can be cast in the form of a hypergeometric equation through the following substitution

$$\psi(\xi_a) = \xi_a^{\frac{l_{a-1}}{2}} (1 - \xi_a)^{\frac{\omega_{a+1}}{2}} f(\xi_a) : \quad (56)$$

$$\xi_a(1 - \xi_a)f'' + \left(l_{a-1} + a - \xi \left(l_{a-1} + a + \tilde{\omega}_{a+1} + 1 \right) \right) f' - \frac{1}{4} \left(l_{a-1} + \tilde{\omega}_{a+1} - l_a \right) \left(l_{a-1} + \tilde{\omega}_{a+1} + l_a + 2a \right) f = 0. \quad (57)$$

The regular solution of this equation is the hypergeometric function [31]

$$f_a(\xi) = C_0 F(-n_a; l_{a-1} + \tilde{\omega}_{a+1} + a + n_a; l_{a-1} + a; \xi_a), \quad l_a = 2n_a + l_{a-1} + \tilde{\omega}_{a+1}, \quad (58)$$

with

$$n_a = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad \tilde{\omega}_a = \sqrt{4\omega_a^2 + m_a^2}. \quad (59)$$

Therefore, $l_N = \sum_{a=1}^N (2n_a + \tilde{\omega}_a)$, so that the energy spectrum is given by the expressions

$$E_{n, \{m_a\}} = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \left(2n + N + \sqrt{\left(B/\hbar + \sum_{a=1}^N m_a \right)^2 + 4\omega_0^2 + \sum_{a=1}^N \sqrt{4\omega_a^2 + m_a^2}} \right)^2 - \frac{B^2 + \hbar^2 N^2}{4} - \hbar^2 \sum_{i=0}^N \omega_i^2, \quad (60)$$

where $n = \sum_{a=1}^N n_a = 0, 1, \dots$ ⁴.

Thus the spectrum of quantum $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius system depends on $N + 1$ quantum numbers. This is in full agreement with the fact that this system has $3N - 1$ functionally independent constants of motion (let us remind that the spectrum of D -dimensional quantum mechanics with $D + K$ independent integrals of motion depends on $D - K$ quantum numbers. E.g, the spectrum of maximally superintegrable system depends on the single (principal) quantum number).

Let us also write down the explicit expressions for the non-normalized wavefunctions and the $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ volume element

$$\Psi_{\{n_a\}, \{m_a\}} = \frac{C_0}{(2\pi)^{N/2}} \prod_{a=1}^N \xi_a^{\frac{l_{a-1}}{2}} (1 - \xi_a)^{\frac{\omega_{a+1}}{2}} e^{im_a \varphi_a} F(-n_a; l_{a-1}, +\tilde{\omega}_{a+1} + a + n_a; l_{a-1} + a; \xi_a)$$

$$dv_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N} = \frac{1}{(1 + y^2)^{N+1}} \prod_{a=1}^N y_a dy_a d\varphi_a, \quad (61)$$

where

$$\xi_a = \frac{y_a^2}{y_a^2 + y_{a+1}^2}. \quad (62)$$

Reduction to quantum (spherical) Rosochatius system

From the above consideration it is clear that, by fixing the eigenvalues of $\hat{J}_{a\bar{a}} = \hat{p}_{\varphi_a}$, we can reduce the Hamiltonians (48) and (49) to those of the quantum (spherical) Rosochatius system, the classical counterpart of which is defined by eq. (32).

However, the quantization of (32) through replacing the kinetic term by the Laplacian yields a slightly different expression for the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_{Ros} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{4} \left(1 + \sum_{c=1}^N y_c^2 \right) \left[\sum_{a,b=1}^N (\delta_{ab} + y_a y_b) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_a \partial y_b} + \sum_{a=1}^N \left(2y_a \partial_{y_a} + \frac{g_a^2}{y_a^2} \right) + g_0^2 \right]. \quad (63)$$

⁴ For the integer parameters n_a the hypergeometric function (58) is reduced to Jacobi polynomials. We thank Referee for this remark.

This is because the volume element on N -dimensional sphere is different from that reduced from \mathbb{CP}^N :

$$dv_{S^N} = \frac{1}{(1 + \sum_{c=1}^N y_c^2)^{(N+1)/2}} \prod_{a=1}^N dy_a, \quad (64)$$

and it gives rise to a different Laplacian as compared to that directly obtained by reduction of the Laplacian on \mathbb{CP}^N .

As a result, the relation between wavefunctions of the (spherical) Rosochatius system and those of \mathbb{CP}^N -Rosochatius system is as follows,

$$\Psi_{sph} = \sqrt{\frac{(1 + \sum_{c=1}^N y_c^2)^{(N+1)}}{\prod_{a=1}^N y_a}} \Psi. \quad (65)$$

So in order to transform the reduced \mathbb{CP}^N -Rosochatius Hamiltonian to the spherical one (63), we have to redefine the wavefunctions presented in (61) and perform the respective similarity transformation of the Hamiltonian.

VI. SUPERSYMMETRY

Let us briefly discuss the possibility of supersymmetrization of \mathbb{CP}^N -Rosochatius system, postponing the detailed analysis for a separate study [32]. The \mathbb{CP}^N -Rosochatius system belongs to the class of the so-called ‘‘Kähler oscillators’’ [8, 21] (up to a constant shift of the Hamiltonian), and therefore, admits $SU(2|1)$ (or, equivalently, ‘‘weak $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ’’) supersymmetric extension. Namely, its Hamiltonian (24) can be cast in the form

$$\mathcal{H}_{Ros} = \sum_{a,b=1}^N g^{\bar{a}b} (\bar{\pi}_a \pi_b + |\omega|^2 \partial_{\bar{a}} K \partial_b K) - \left| \sum_{i=0}^N \omega_i \right|^2 - \sum_{i=0}^N |\omega_i|^2, \quad (66)$$

with

$$K = \log(1 + z\bar{z}) - \frac{1}{|\omega|} \sum_{a=1}^N (\omega_a \log z^a + \bar{\omega}_a \log \bar{z}^a), \quad \omega = \omega_0 + \sum_{a=1}^N \omega_a. \quad (67)$$

Here, as opposed to the previous Sections, we assume that ω_i are complex numbers, *i.e.* we replaced

$$\omega_i \rightarrow \omega_i e^{\nu_i}, \quad (68)$$

with ν_i being arbitrary real constants.

The $SU(2|1)$ superextension just mentioned is reduced to that with $\mathcal{N} = 4, d = 1$ Poincaré supersymmetry under the conditions⁵

$$B = 0, \quad \omega = \sum_{i=0}^N \omega_i = 0. \quad (69)$$

One could expect that the second constraint corresponds to the vanishing potential. However, it is not the case: looking at the explicit expression for the Hamiltonian, one can see that the parameter ω does not appear in denominators anymore. Indeed, the second constraint above leads to the relation $|\omega_0|^2 = |\sum_{a=1}^N \omega_a|^2$, which allows to represent the Hamiltonian (24) in the following form

$$\mathcal{H}_{Ros} = \sum_{a,b=1}^N g^{\bar{a}b} (\bar{\pi}_a \pi_b + \partial_{\bar{a}} \bar{U} \partial_b U) - \sum_{i=0}^N |\omega_i|^2, \quad (70)$$

where $U(z)$ is the holomorphic function (‘‘superpotential’’)

$$U(z) = \sum_{a=1}^N \omega_a \log z^a. \quad (71)$$

⁵ From the viewpoint of $SU(2|1)$ mechanics, B is just the parameter of contraction to $\mathcal{N} = 4, d = 1$ supersymmetry [23].

It is well known that the systems with such a Hamiltonian admit the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric extension in the absence of magnetic field (see, e.g., [33]). Explicitly, it amounts to the following consideration.

Let us consider a $(2N, 4N)_C$ -dimensional phase space equipped with the symplectic structure (till the end of this section we assume the summation over repeating indices)

$$\Omega = d\pi_a \wedge dz^a + d\bar{\pi}_a \wedge d\bar{z}^a - \frac{1}{2}R_{a\bar{b}c\bar{d}}\eta_\alpha^c \bar{\eta}^{d\alpha} dz^a \wedge d\bar{z}^b + \frac{1}{2}g_{a\bar{b}}D\eta_\alpha^a \wedge D\bar{\eta}_\alpha^b, \quad (72)$$

where $D\eta_\alpha^a = d\eta_\alpha^a + \Gamma_{bc}^a \eta_\alpha^b dz^c$ with Γ_{bc}^a , $R_{a\bar{b}c\bar{d}}$ being respectively, the components of connection and curvature of the Kähler structure associated with the Fubini-Study metrics (9), $\eta_\alpha^a, \bar{\eta}_\alpha^a$ are Grassmann variables with additional $SU(2)$ indices $\alpha = 1, 2$. The lower- and upper-case $SU(2)$ indices are related by the antisymmetric matrix $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ and its inverse $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ ($\epsilon_{12} = \epsilon^{21} = 1$).

The Poisson brackets defined by (72) are given by the following non-zero relations and their complex conjugates:

$$\{\pi_a, z^b\} = \delta_a^b, \quad \{\pi_a, \eta_\alpha^b\} = -\Gamma_{ac}^b \eta_\alpha^c, \quad \{\pi_a, \bar{\pi}_b\} = -R_{a\bar{b}c\bar{d}}\eta_\alpha^c \bar{\eta}^{d\alpha}, \quad \{\eta_\alpha^a, \bar{\eta}^{b\beta}\} = g^{a\bar{b}}\delta_\alpha^\beta. \quad (73)$$

Straightforward calculations show that the following supercharges and Hamiltonian obey the $\mathcal{N} = 4, d = 1$ Poincaré superalgebra

$$Q^\alpha = \pi_a \eta^{a\alpha} + i\bar{U}_{,\bar{a}} \bar{\eta}^{a\alpha}, \quad \bar{Q}_\alpha = \bar{\pi}_a \bar{\eta}_\alpha^a + iU_{,a} \eta_\alpha^a, \quad (74)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{SUSY} = \mathcal{H}_{Ros} - \frac{1}{2}R_{a\bar{b}c\bar{d}}\eta^{a\alpha}\bar{\eta}_\alpha^b \eta^{c\beta}\bar{\eta}_\beta^d + \frac{1}{2}U_{,a;b}\eta^{a\alpha}\eta_\alpha^b + \frac{1}{2}\bar{U}_{,\bar{a};\bar{b}}\bar{\eta}^{a\alpha}\bar{\eta}_\alpha^b \quad : \quad (75)$$

$$\{Q^\alpha, \bar{Q}_\beta\} = \delta_\beta^\alpha \left(\mathcal{H}_{SUSY} + \sum_{i=0}^N |\omega_i|^2 \right), \quad \{Q^\alpha, Q^\beta\} = \{\bar{Q}_\alpha, \bar{Q}_\beta\} = \{Q^\alpha, \mathcal{H}_{SUSY}\} = \{\bar{Q}_\alpha, \mathcal{H}_{SUSY}\} = 0, \quad (76)$$

Hence, when the constraints (69) are imposed, we can construct $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric extension of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius system.

An interesting issue is the symmetries of the supersymmetric system constructed. Writing down the explicit expressions for the Hamiltonian and supercharges one can be convinced that they are explicitly invariant under $U(1)$ -transformations $z^a \rightarrow e^{i\kappa} z^a, \pi_a \rightarrow e^{-i\kappa} \pi_a, \eta^{a\alpha} \rightarrow e^{i\kappa} \eta^{a\alpha}$ which are obviously canonical transformations. Hence, one can easily construct the ‘‘supersymmetric counterpart’’ of $U(1)$ generators (25). However, it is still unclear whether hidden symmetries of the system one started with can be lifted to its supersymmetric extension. A more detailed analysis of these questions will be a subject of [32].

Let us emphasize that the restriction $\omega = 0$ can be graphically represented as a planar polygon with the edges $|\omega_i|$ (see Fig.1), which leads to the inequality

$$|\omega_i| \leq \sum_{j \neq i} |\omega_j|. \quad (77)$$




Fig.1

This implies that:

- For $N = 1$ the constraint $\omega = 0$ uniquely fixes the values of parameters in the case of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$: $\nu_0 = -\nu_1$ and $|\omega_0| = |\omega_1|$. The latter property leads to the appearance of discrete symmetry

$$z \rightarrow \frac{1}{z}. \quad (78)$$

- For $N = 2$ the above constraints amount to a triangle, which fixes the parameters ν_a as follows

$$\cos(\nu_2 - \nu_0) = \frac{|\omega_1|^2 - |\omega_0|^2 - |\omega_2|^2}{2|\omega_0||\omega_2|}, \quad \cos(\nu_1 - \nu_0) = \frac{|\omega_2|^2 - |\omega_0|^2 - |\omega_1|^2}{2|\omega_0||\omega_1|}. \quad (79)$$

- For $N > 2$ the parameters ν_a are not uniquely fixed, so that we obtain a family of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Hamiltonians depending on up to $N - 1$ parameters.

We observe that for any value of N at least one parameter ν_i remains unfixed. But this does not affect our consideration since such parameter can be absorbed into a redefinition of fermionic variables.

Finally, note that the constraint $\sum_{i=1}^N \omega_i = 0$ also appeared in constructing $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric extension of \mathbf{S}^N -Rosochatius system [34], but with ω_i being real numbers. The above trick with complexification of the parameters ω_i is seemingly applicable to the \mathbf{S}^N -Rosochatius system as well, hopefully giving rise to a less restrictive form of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superextension of the latter.

VII. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper we proposed the superintegrable $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -analog of Rosochatius and Smorodinsky-Winternitz systems which is specified by the presence of constant magnetic field and is form-invariant under transition from one chart of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ to others accompanied by the appropriate permutation of the characteristic parameters ω_i . We showed that the system possesses $3N - 1$ functionally independent constants of motion and explicitly constructed its classical and quantum solutions. In the generic case this model admits an extension with $SU(2|1)$ supersymmetry, which is reduced, under the special choice of the characteristic parameters and in the absence of magnetic field, to the “flat” $\mathcal{N} = 4, d = 1$ Poincaré’ supersymmetry.

When all constants ω_i are equal, the system is covariant under the above transitions between charts and so becomes globally defined on the whole $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ manifold. This covariance implies N discrete symmetries,

$$z^a \rightarrow \frac{1}{z^a}, \quad z^\alpha \rightarrow \frac{z^\alpha}{z^a}, \quad \text{with } \alpha \neq a. \quad (80)$$

Moreover, in this special case the model always admits (in the absence of magnetic field) $\mathcal{N} = 4, d = 1$ Poincaré’ supersymmetrization because the inequality (77) is automatically satisfied. The model with equal ω_i can be also interpreted as a model of N *interacting* particles with an effective position-dependent mass located in the quantum ring. This agrees with the property that, in the flat limit, the model under consideration can be interpreted as an ensemble of N free particles in a single quantum ring interacting with a constant magnetic field orthogonal to the plane (cf. [25–27]). Thus the property of the exact solvability/superintegrability of the suggested model in the presence of constant magnetic field (equally as of the superextended model implying the appropriate inclusion of spin) makes it interesting also from this point of view.

The obvious next tasks are the study of classical and quantum $SU(2|1)$ supersymmetric extension of the $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ Rosochatius system [32], as well as the construction of its Lax pair formulation.

Two important possible generalizations of the proposed system are the following ones:

- An analog of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius system on the quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^N$ in the presence of BPST instanton.

Presumably, it can be defined by the Hamiltonian (3) and the symplectic structure (12), in which π_a, z^a are replaced by quaternionic variables, and the last term in (12) by terms responsible for interaction with BPST instanton [35] (see also [36], [37] and [9]). The phase space of this system is expected to be $T^*\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^N \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$, due to the isospin nature of instanton. We can hope that this system is also superintegrable and that an interaction with BPST instanton preserves the superintegrability. On this way we can also expect intriguing links with the recently explored Quaternion-Kähler deformations of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ mechanics [38]. These models also admit homogeneous $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^N$ backgrounds.

- $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -analog of Coulomb problem.

Such an extension could be possible, keeping in mind the existence of superintegrable spherical analog of Coulomb problem with additional $\sum_i g_i^2/x_i^2$ potential, as well as the observation that the (spherical) Rosochatius system is a real section of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ -Rosochatius system.

One of the key motivations of the present study was to derive the superintegrable $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ - and \mathbb{C}^N - generalizations of rational Calogero model. Unfortunately, until now we succeeded in constructing only trivial extensions of such kind. We still hope to reach the general goal just mentioned in the future.

Acknowledgments

We thank Levon Mardoyan, George Pogosyan and Stepan Sidorov for useful comments and Tigran Hakobyan and Sergey Vinitzky for encouraging. E.I. acknowledges a partial support from the RFBR grant, project No 18-02-01046. The work of A.N. and H.S. was fulfilled within the ICTP Affiliated Center Program AF-04 and ICTP Network project NT-04 . They both acknowledge financial support from the grants 18RF-002 and 18T-1C106 of Armenian Committee of Science. H.S. acknowledges FAST-Foundation for Armenian Science and Technology, the Regional Doctoral Program on Theoretical and Experimental Particle Physics Program sponsored by VolkswagenStiftung and ANSEF-Armenian National Science and Education Fund based in New York for financial support.

We thank the anonymous Referee for carefully reading of the manuscript and pointing out many misprints, as well as for drawing our attention to the highly interesting series of papers by M.Plyushchay with co-authors on non-linear supersymmetric extensions of finite-gap systems (see, e.g., [39] and refs. therein). For sure, this topic, in the context of our present study, deserves a separate consideration.

-
- [1] A. M. Perelomov, *Integrable systems of classical mechanics and Lie algebras*, Birkhauser, 1990.
- [2] F. Calogero, *Solution of a three-body problem in one dimension*, J. Math. Phys. **10** (1969) 2191;
F. Calogero, *Solution of the one-dimensional N-body problems with quadratic and/or inversely quadratic pair potentials*, *ibid.* **12** (1971) 419.
- [3] S. Wojciechowski, *Superintegrability of the Calogero-Moser system*, Phys. Lett. A **95** (1983) 279.
- [4] T. Hakobyan, O. Lechtenfeld and A. Nersessian, *Superintegrability of generalized Calogero models with oscillator or Coulomb potential*, Phys. Rev. D **90** (2014) no.10, 101701, [arXiv:1409.8288 [hep-th]];
F. Correa, T. Hakobyan, O. Lechtenfeld and A. Nersessian, *Spherical Calogero model with oscillator/Coulomb potential: quantum case*, Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) no.12, 125009 [arXiv:1604.00027 [hep-th]];
F. Correa, T. Hakobyan, O. Lechtenfeld and A. Nersessian, *Spherical Calogero model with oscillator/Coulomb potential: classical case*, Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) no.12, 125008, [arXiv:1604.00026 [hep-th]].
- [5] P. W. Higgs, *Dynamical Symmetries in a Spherical Geometry. 1* J. Phys. A **12** (1979) 309;
H. I. Leemon, *Dynamical Symmetries in a Spherical Geometry. 2*, J. Phys. A **12** (1979) 489.
- [6] A. Nersessian and V. Yeghikyan, *Anisotropic inharmonic Higgs oscillator and related (MICZ-)Kepler-like systems*, J. Phys. A **41** (2008) 155203, [arXiv:0710.5001 [math-ph]];
S. Bellucci, F. Toppan and V. Yeghikyan, *Second Hopf map and Yang-Coulomb system on 5d (pseudo)sphere*, J. Phys. A **43** (2010) 045205, [arXiv:0905.3461 [hep-th]].
- [7] T. Hakobyan and A. Nersessian, *Integrability and separation of variables in Calogero-Coulomb-Stark and two-center Calogero-Coulomb systems*, Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) 045025, [arXiv:1509.01077 [math-ph]].
- [8] S. Bellucci and A. Nersessian, *(Super)oscillator on CP^N and constant magnetic field*, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 065013 (2003) Erratum: [Phys. Rev. D **71**, 089901 (2005)], [hep-th/0211070].
- [9] S. Bellucci, S. Krivonos, A. Nersessian and V. Yeghikyan, *Isospin particle systems on quaternionic projective spaces*, Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 045005, [arXiv:1212.1663 [hep-th]].
- [10] I. Fris, V. Mandrosov, Ya. A. Smorodinsky, M. Uhler and P. Winternitz, *On higher symmetries in quantum mechanics*, Phys. Lett. **16** (1965) 354;
P. Winternitz, Ya. A. Smorodinsky, M. Uhler and I. Fris, *Symmetry groups in classical and quantum mechanics*, Soviet J. Nuclear Phys. **4** (1967), 444;
A. A. Makarov, Ya. A. Smorodinsky, Kh. Valiev, and P. Winternitz, *A systematic search for non-relativistic system with dynamical symmetries*, Nuovo Cim. A **52** (1967) 1061.
- [11] Md Fazlul Hoque *Superintegrable systems, polynomial algebra structures and exact derivations of spectra*, [arXiv:1802.08410[math-ph]].
- [12] E. Rosochatius, *Über die Bewegung eines Punktes*, Doctoral dissertation, University of Göttingen, 1877.
- [13] A. J. Macfarlane, *The Quantum Neumann model with the potential of Rosochatius*, Nucl. Phys. B **386** (1992) 453;
R. Kubo, W. Ogura, T. Saito and Y. Yasui, *The Gauss-Knorrer map for the Rosochatius dynamical system*, Phys. Lett. A **251** (1999) 6.
- [14] C. Grosche, G. S. Pogosyan and A. N. Sissakian, *Path Integral Discussion for Smorodinsky-Winternitz Potentials: I. Two- and Three Dimensional Euclidean Sphere*, Fortschritte der Physik **43**(1995) 523-563;
J. Harnad and O. Yermolayeva, *Superintegrability, Lax matrices and separation of variables*, CRM Proc. Lect. Notes **37** (2004) 65, [nlin/0303009 [nlin.SI]].
- [15] A. Galajinsky, A. Nersessian and A. Saghatelian, *Superintegrable models related to near horizon extremal Myers-Perry black hole in arbitrary dimension*, JHEP **1306** (2013) 002, [arXiv:1303.4901 [hep-th]].
- [16] C. Neumann, *De problemate quodam mechanico, quod ad primam integralium ultraellipticorum classem revocatur*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **56** (1859) 46-63.
- [17] C. Ahn, P. Bozhilov and R. C. Rashkov, *Neumann-Rosochatius integrable system for strings on $AdS(4) \times CP^3$* , JHEP **0809** (2008) 017, [arXiv:0807.3134 [hep-th]];

- G. Arutyunov, M. Heinze and D. Medina-Rincon, *Integrability of the η -deformed Neumann-Rosochatius model*, J. Phys. A **50** (2017) no.3, 035401, [arXiv:1607.05190 [hep-th]];
- R. Hernandez and J. M. Nieto, *Spinning strings in the η -deformed Neumann-Rosochatius system*, Phys. Rev. D **96** (2017) no.8, 086010, [arXiv:1707.08032 [hep-th]].
- [18] T. Hakobyan, A. Nersessian and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, *Near horizon extremal MyersPerry black holes and integrability of associated conformal mechanics*, Phys. Lett. B **772** (2017) 586, [arXiv:1703.00713 [hep-th]];
- H. Demirchian, A. Nersessian, S. Sadeghian and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, *Integrability of geodesics in near-horizon extremal geometries: Case of Myers-Perry black holes in arbitrary dimensions*, Phys. Rev. D **97** (2018) no.10, 104004, [arXiv:1802.03551 [hep-th]].
- [19] O. Evnin, H. Demirchian and A. Nersessian, *Mapping superintegrable quantum mechanics to resonant spacetimes*, Phys. Rev. D **97** (2018) no.2, 025014, [arXiv:1711.03297 [hep-th]].
- [20] H. Shmavonyan, \mathbb{C}^N -Smorodinsky-Winternitz system in a constant magnetic field, Phys. Lett. A **383**, 1223 (2019) [arXiv:1804.03721 [hep-th]].
- [21] S. Bellucci and A. Nersessian, *Supersymmetric Kahler oscillator in a constant magnetic field*, Proc. of 5th Int. Workshop on Supersymmetries and Quantum Symmetries, Dubna, July 24 - 29, 2003, Ed. E.Ivanov and A. Pashnev, [hep-th/0401232].
- [22] A.V. Smilga, *Weak supersymmetry*, Phys. Lett. B **585** (2004) 173, [hep-th/0311023].
- [23] E. Ivanov and S. Sidorov, *Deformed Supersymmetric Mechanics*, Class. Quant. Grav. **31** (2014) 075013, [arXiv:1307.7690 [hep-th]];
- E. Ivanov and S. Sidorov, *Super Kähler oscillator from $SU(2|1)$ superspace*, J. Phys. A **47** (2014) 292002, [arXiv:1312.6821[hep-th]];
- E. Ivanov, S. Sidorov and F. Toppan, *Superconformal mechanics in $SU(2|1)$ superspace*, Phys. Rev. D **91**(2015)085032,[arXiv:1501.05622[hep-th]];
- E. Ivanov, O. Lechtenfeld and S. Sidorov, *$SU(2|2)$ supersymmetric mechanics*, JHEP **1611**(2016) 031,[arXiv:1609.00490[hep-th]];
- N. Kozyrev, S. Krivonos, O. Lechtenfeld and A. Sutulin, *$SU(2|1)$ supersymmetric mechanics on curved spaces*, JHEP **1805** (2018) 175, [arXiv:1712.09898 [hep-th]];
- E. Ivanov, O. Lechtenfeld and S. Sidorov, *Deformed $N=8$ mechanics of $(8,8,0)$ multiplets* JHEP **1808** (2018) 193, [arXiv:1807.11804[hep-th]].
- [24] G. Festuccia and N. Seiberg, *Rigid Supersymmetric Theories in Curved Superspace*, JHEP **1106** (2011) 114, [arXiv:1105.0689[hep-th]];
- T. T. Dumitrescu, G. Festuccia and N. Seiberg, *Exploring Curved Superspace*, JHEP **1208** (2012) 141, [arXiv:1205.1115[hep-th]].
- [25] T. Chakraborty, P. Pietilinen, *Electron-electron interaction and the persistent current in a quantum ring* Physical Review B **50**(1994)8460-8468;
- [26] W.-C.Tan, J.C.Inkson, *Electron states in a two-dimensional ring- an exactly soluble model* Semicond. Sci. Technology, **11**(1996) 1635-1641;
- [27] J. Simonin, C. R. Proetto, Z. Barticevic, G. Fuster, *Single-particle electronic spectra of quantum rings:A comparative study* Phys. Rev. B **70**(2004), 205305
- [28] A. Nersessian, *Elements of (super-)Hamiltonian formalism*, Lect. Notes Phys. **698** (2006) 139, [hep-th/0506170].
- [29] D. Karabali and V. P. Nair, *Quantum Hall effect in higher dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. B **641** (2002) 533, [hep-th/0203264].
- [30] S. Bellucci, A. Nersessian and A. Yeranyan, *Quantum oscillator on CP^n in a constant magnetic field*, Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 085013, [hep-th/0406184].
- [31] S. Flügge *Practical Quantum Mechanics*, Springer (1999).
- [32] E. Ivanov, A. Nersessian, H. Shmavonyan and S. Sidorov, work in progress.
- [33] S. Bellucci and A. Nersessian, *A Note on $N = 4$ supersymmetric mechanics on Kahler manifolds*, Phys. Rev. D **64** (2001) 021702, [hep-th/0101065].
- [34] N. Kozyrev, S. Krivonos, O. Lechtenfeld, A. Nersessian and A. Sutulin, *$\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetric mechanics on curved spaces*, Phys. Rev. D **97** (2018) 085015, [arXiv:1711.08734 [hep-th]].
- [35] C. Duval and P. Horvathy, *Particles With Internal Structure: The Geometry Of Classical Motions And Conservation Laws*, Annals Phys. **142** (1982) 10.
- [36] M. Konyushikhin and A.V. Smilga, *Self-duality and supersymmetry*, Phys. Lett. B **689** (2010) 95, [arXiv:0910.5162 [hep-th]].
- [37] E.A. Ivanov, M.A. Konyushikhin and A.V. Smilga, *SQM with Non-Abelian Self-Dual Fields: Harmonic Superspace Description*, JHEP **1005** (2010) 033, [arXiv:0912.3289 [hep-th]].
- [38] E. Ivanov and L. Mezincescu, *Quaternion-Kahler $N=4$ supersymmetric mechanics*, JHEP **1712** (2017) 016, [arXiv:1709.02286 [hep-th]].
- [39] J.M. Guilarte, M.S. Plyushchay, *Perfectly invisible \mathcal{PT} -symmetric zero-gap systems, conformal field theoretical kinks, and exotic nonlinear supersymmetry*, JHEP **1712** (2017) 061, [arXiv:1710.00356 [hep-th]].