

ON EULER-RAMANUJAN FORMULA, DIRICHLET SERIES AND MINIMAL SURFACES

RUKMINI DEY¹, RISHABH SARMA², RAHUL KUMAR SINGH³

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we rewrite two forms of an Euler-Ramanujan identity in terms of certain Dirichlet series and derive functional equation of the latter. We also use the Weierstrass-Enneper representation of minimal surfaces to obtain some identities involving these Dirichlet series and one complex parameter.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we explore two different forms of the Euler-Ramanujan identities and their relationship to certain Dirichlet series and minimal surfaces. The use of Weierstrass-Enneper representation of minimal surfaces in E-R identities first appeared in [2]. When we rewrite the identities in terms of sums of Dirichlet series we get relationships between the latter and sometimes using the W-E representation of minimal surfaces the relationship is in terms of one complex parameter. The minimal surfaces which are involved are a family of Scherk's surfaces and helicoids which are minimal surfaces of translation. In section 2 of this paper we devote ourselves to relationships between sums of Dirichlet series. In section 3 we study the properties of one of the Dirichlet series and derive a functional equation of the latter.

2. REWRITING A FORM OF THE EULER-RAMANUJAN IDENTITY IN TERMS OF DIRICHLET SERIES

We have Euler-Ramanujan's identity, [6], Example (1) page 38, where X, A are complex variables, A is not an odd multiple of $\pi/2$:

$$\frac{\cos(X+A)}{\cos A} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{X}{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi - A}\right) \left(1 + \frac{X}{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi + A}\right).$$

We take complex logarithm on both sides, to get:

$$\log\left(\frac{\cos(X+A)}{\cos A}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log\left\{\left(\frac{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi - (X+A)}{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi - A}\right) \left(\frac{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi + (X+A)}{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi + A}\right)\right\}.$$

Let $c_k = (k - \frac{1}{2})\pi$. Replace $X + A$ by y and A by x in the identity where x (not an odd multiple of $\frac{\pi}{2}$) and y are real parameters, we have,

$$\log\left(\frac{\cos y}{\cos x}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log\left(\frac{c_k - y}{c_k - x}\right) \left(\frac{c_k + y}{c_k + x}\right) \quad (1)$$

or,

$$\log\left(\frac{\cos y}{\cos x}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\log\left(1 + \frac{x^2}{c_k^2 - x^2}\right) + \log\left(1 - \frac{y^2}{c_k^2}\right) \right].$$

Let us take the special case when $|\frac{x^2}{c_k^2 - x^2}| < 1$ and $|\frac{y^2}{c_k^2}| < 1$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \log\left(\frac{\cos y}{\cos x}\right) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\log\left(1 + \frac{x^2}{c_k^2 - x^2}\right) + \log\left(1 - \frac{y^2}{c_k^2}\right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\left(\frac{x^2}{c_k^2 - x^2} - \frac{x^4}{2(c_k^2 - x^2)^2} + \frac{x^6}{3(c_k^2 - x^2)^3} - \dots \right) + \left(-\frac{y^2}{c_k^2} - \frac{y^4}{2c_k^4} - \frac{y^6}{3c_k^6} \dots \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[L_k\left(1, \frac{x^2}{c_k^2 - x^2}\right) - M_k\left(1, \frac{y^2}{c_k^2}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

where $L_k(s, a) = \sum_n ((-1)^{n+1} (\frac{x^2}{c_k^2 - x^2})^n / n^s)$ is a Dirichlet series with a real parameter $a = \frac{x^2}{c_k^2 - x^2}$ and an integer k , $M_k(s, b) = \sum_n (\frac{y^2}{c_k^2})^n / n^s$ is a Dirichlet series with a real parameter $b = \frac{y^2}{c_k^2}$ and an integer k and $L_k(1, \frac{x^2}{c_k^2 - x^2})$ and $M_k(1, \frac{y^2}{c_k^2})$ are these Dirichlet series evaluated at $s = 1$. We note that $|\frac{x^2}{c_k^2 - x^2}| < 1$ and $|\frac{y^2}{c_k^2}| < 1$ for all k is equivalent to $-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}} < x < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}$ and $-\sqrt{\pi/2} < y < \sqrt{\pi/2}$.

Thus we have the following proposition:

Proposition 2.1. $\log\left(\frac{\cos y}{\cos x}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [L_k(1, \frac{x^2}{c_k^2 - x^2}) - M_k(1, \frac{y^2}{c_k^2})]$ for $-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}} < x < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}$ and $-\sqrt{\pi/2} < y < \sqrt{\pi/2}$.

In [2], using the Weierstrass-Enneper representation of minimal surfaces we derived the following way of writing the equation $z = \log\left(\frac{\cos y}{\cos x}\right)$ (Scherk's minimal surface) in parametric form (in terms of a complex parameter ζ), $x(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = 2\text{Re} \tan^{-1}(\zeta)$, $y(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = -\text{Im} \log\left(\frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta}\right)$ and $z(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = \text{Re} \log\left(\frac{1+\zeta^2}{1-\zeta^2}\right)$. This parametrization fails precisely at $\zeta = \pm 1, \pm i$.

Using the log version of the E-R identity, for $\zeta \neq \pm 1, \pm i$ and belonging to a small domain in \mathbb{C} , the expression in terms of Dirichlet series look as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Re} \log\left(\frac{1 + \zeta^2}{1 - \zeta^2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\log\left(1 + \frac{(2\text{Re} \tan^{-1}(\zeta))^2}{c_k^2 - (2\text{Re} \tan^{-1}(\zeta))^2}\right) + \log\left(1 - \frac{(-\text{Im} \log\left(\frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta}\right))^2}{c_k^2}\right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[L_k\left(1, \frac{(2\text{Re} \tan^{-1}(\zeta))^2}{c_k^2 - (2\text{Re} \tan^{-1}(\zeta))^2}\right) - M_k\left(1, \frac{(-\text{Im} \log\left(\frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta}\right))^2}{c_k^2}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The condition that $|\frac{x^2}{c_k^2 - x^2}| < 1$ and $|\frac{y^2}{c_k^2}| < 1$ for all k is satisfied if $|\zeta| < \frac{1}{2}$. This can be seen as follows. For $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\zeta| < \frac{1}{2}$, we have $-2 < 2\frac{|\zeta| \cos \theta}{1 - |\zeta|^2} < 2$, which implies $-\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}\right) < 2\frac{|\zeta| \cos \theta}{1 - |\zeta|^2} < \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}\right)$. In other words, $-\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}\right) <$

$\frac{\zeta + \bar{\zeta}}{1 - |\zeta|^2} < \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}\right)$ and hence $-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}} < \tan^{-1}(\zeta) + \tan^{-1}(\bar{\zeta}) < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}$, or $-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}} < 2\operatorname{Re} \tan^{-1}(\zeta) < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}$. This implies $|\frac{x^2}{c_k^2 - x^2}| < 1$ where $x = 2\operatorname{Re} \tan^{-1}(\zeta)$. From this it follows that $|\frac{x^2}{c_k^2 - x^2}| < 1$ for all k , $y^2/c_k^2 < 1$ does not give any additional constraint on ζ .

Thus, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 2.2. *For $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\zeta| < \frac{1}{2}$,*

$$\operatorname{Re} \log \left(\frac{1 + \zeta^2}{1 - \zeta^2} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[L_k \left(1, \frac{(2\operatorname{Re} \tan^{-1}(\zeta))^2}{c_k^2 - (2\operatorname{Re} \tan^{-1}(\zeta))^2} \right) - M_k \left(1, \frac{(-\operatorname{Im} \log \left(\frac{1 + \zeta}{1 - \zeta} \right))^2}{c_k^2} \right) \right].$$

2.1. More examples of minimal surfaces and their relation to Dirichlet series. In this section, we will revisit the *Scherk's* surface, [7], and the *helicoid*, [4, 5]. In fact, we consider a one parameter family of *Scherk's* type surface. We show that all these surfaces will give rise to a Dirichlet series decomposition. We consider the case of *helicoid* separately.

We consider a one parameter family of minimal translation surfaces (*Scherk's* type surfaces),

$$X_{\theta}(u, v) = (u + v \cos \theta, v \sin \theta, \log \frac{\cos v}{\cos u}),$$

where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, for a fixed θ , $X_{\theta}(u, v) = \alpha(u) + \beta_{\theta}(v)$, where $\alpha(u) = (u, 0, -\log \cos u)$ and $\beta_{\theta}(v) = (v \cos \theta, v \sin \theta, \log \cos v)$ and hence it is a minimal surface of translation (see remark at the end of this section).

Remark 2.3. Observe that $\theta = 0$ corresponds to a *plane* in the above family and $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ corresponds to the classical *Scherk's* surface, i.e., $X_{\frac{\pi}{2}}(u, v) = (u, v, \log \frac{\cos v}{\cos u})$ (discussed in the previous section).

The θ -family of minimal translation surfaces gives us a family of Euler-Ramanujan's identities. For $\theta \neq \pm \frac{(2n+1)\pi}{2}$, the corresponding identity we call it a *twisted* Euler-Ramanujan's identity. Indeed, put $u + v \cos \theta = x$ and $v \sin \theta = y$, then using the Euler-Ramanujan's identity we obtain

$$\frac{\cos\left(\frac{y}{\sin \theta}\right)}{\cos(x - y \cot \theta)} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c_k^2 - \frac{y^2}{\sin^2 \theta}}{c_k^2 - (x - y \cot \theta)^2} \right),$$

where $x - y \cot \theta$ is not an odd multiple of $\frac{\pi}{2}$. Taking log on both the sides, we get

$$\log \left(\frac{\cos\left(\frac{y}{\sin \theta}\right)}{\cos(x - y \cot \theta)} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log \left(\frac{c_k^2 - \frac{y^2}{\sin^2 \theta}}{c_k^2 - (x - y \cot \theta)^2} \right).$$

When we take θ as an odd multiple of $\frac{\pi}{2}$ in the above identity, we get back the identity (1). Following the same idea (as in Scherk's surface case), we have a Dirichlet series decomposition in this case as well, which is as follows:

Proposition 2.4.

$$\log \left(\frac{\cos\left(\frac{y}{\sin \theta}\right)}{\cos(x - y \cot \theta)} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[L_k \left(1, \frac{(x - y \cot \theta)^2}{c_k^2 - (x - y \cot \theta)^2} \right) - M_k \left(1, \left(\frac{y}{c_k \sin \theta} \right)^2 \right) \right]$$

where $L_k(1, \frac{(x-y \cot \theta)^2}{c_k^2 - (x-y \cot \theta)^2})$ is a Dirichlet series (evaluated at $s = 1$) with a real parameter $a = \frac{(x-y \cot \theta)^2}{c_k^2 - (x-y \cot \theta)^2}$ for a fixed θ and an integer k , $M_k(s, -(\frac{y}{c_k \sin \theta})^2)$, (θ -fixed), is a Dirichlet series (evaluated at $s = 1$) with a real parameter $b = -(\frac{y}{c_k \sin \theta})^2$ and an integer k as before.

Now, let us consider the non parametric representation of helicoid which is given by $z = \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x}$ and also recall the identity

$$\tan^{-1} \omega = \frac{i}{2} (\log(1 - i\omega) - \log(1 + i\omega))$$

where ω is a complex number. Now if we put $\omega = \frac{y}{x}$ in the above identity, we get

$$\tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x} = \frac{i}{2} \left\{ \log \left(1 - i \frac{y}{x} \right) - \log \left(1 + i \frac{y}{x} \right) \right\} \quad (2)$$

The above expression helps us to write helicoid as a sum of two Dirichlet series evaluated at a specific value. When $|y| < |x|$ we can express the identity (2) as

$$\begin{aligned} \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x} &= \frac{i}{2} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{2k-1} \frac{i^k}{k} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)^k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{i^k}{k} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)^k \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^{k+1}}{k} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{i^{k+1}}{k} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)^k \right\} \\ &= \frac{-1}{2} [L(1, \frac{y}{x}) - M(1, -\frac{y}{x})] \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $L(s, \frac{y}{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^{k+1}}{k^s} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)^k$ and $M(s, -\frac{y}{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^{k+1}}{k^s} \left(-\frac{y}{x} \right)^k$ are Dirichlet series which are in turn evaluated at $s = 1$. Thus we have the following proposition:

Proposition 2.5. When $|y| < |x|$, $\tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x} = \frac{1}{2} [L(1, \frac{y}{x}) - M(1, -\frac{y}{x})]$.

The Weierstrass-Enneper representation of the helicoid in terms of the complex parameter is given by (see for instance [2]), $x(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Im} \left(\zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta} \right)$, $y(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \left(\zeta - \frac{1}{\zeta} \right)$, and $z(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = -\frac{\pi}{2} + \text{Im}(\log \zeta)$. The condition $|y| < |x|$ translates to $|\text{Re}(\zeta - \frac{1}{\zeta})| < |\text{Im}(\zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta})|$ which is satisfied if $|\zeta| < 1$. Now it is easy to see that we have

Proposition 2.6. For $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $|\zeta| < 1$

$$-\frac{\pi}{2} + \text{Im}(\log \zeta) = \frac{-1}{2} \left\{ L \left(1, \frac{\text{Re} \left(\zeta - \frac{1}{\zeta} \right)}{-\text{Im} \left(\zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta} \right)} \right) - M \left(1, -\frac{\text{Re} \left(\zeta - \frac{1}{\zeta} \right)}{-\text{Im} \left(\zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta} \right)} \right) \right\}.$$

2.2. Another Euler-Ramanujan identity. Next we look at another identity. For X and A real, we have (see entry 11 in [6])

$$\tan^{-1}(\tanh X \cot A) = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{X}{A} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{X}{k\pi + A} \right) - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{X}{k\pi - A} \right) \right). \quad (4)$$

When $A = \frac{\pi}{2}$ the identity (4) reduces to the following identity

$$\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2X}{\pi}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{X}{c_k}\right) - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{X}{d_k}\right) \right), \quad (5)$$

where $c_k = (k - \frac{1}{2})\pi$ and $d_k = (k + \frac{1}{2})\pi$. Next we put $\frac{2X}{\pi} = \frac{y}{x}$ in (5) to obtain

$$\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{e_k y}{x}\right) - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{f_k y}{x}\right) \right), \quad (6)$$

where $e_k = \frac{\pi}{2c_k}$ and $f_k = \frac{\pi}{2d_k}$. Thus we have

Proposition 2.7.

$$L\left(1, \frac{y}{x}\right) - M\left(1, -\frac{y}{x}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(L_k\left(1, \frac{e_k y}{x}\right) - M_k\left(1, -\frac{e_k y}{x}\right) \right) - \left(L_k\left(1, \frac{f_k y}{x}\right) - M_k\left(1, -\frac{f_k y}{x}\right) \right)$$

where $L_k\left(1, \frac{e_k y}{x}\right) = L\left(1, \frac{e_k y}{x}\right)$ and $M_k\left(1, -\frac{e_k y}{x}\right) = M\left(1, -\frac{e_k y}{x}\right)$.

Remark 2.8. Our objects of interest here happen to be minimal surfaces of translation. In the past, there has been a good amount of interest in knowing what are all the minimal translation surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 . See for instance Lopez and Hasanis, [3]. It would be interesting to see if number-theoretical identities like the E-R identities are available for all minimal surfaces of translation.

3. PROPERTIES OF THE DIRICHLET SERIES

We consider the general form of the Dirichlet series defined in the previous section

$$L_k(s, a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{a^n}{n^s}, \text{ where } a = \frac{x^2}{c_k^2 - x^2}, 0 < a < 1, s \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$M_k(s, a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{a^n}{n^s}, \text{ where } a = \frac{y^2}{c_k^2}, 0 < a < 1, s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

3.1. Convergence of the series. We show that the series is convergent for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ by showing its absolute convergence.

$$L_k(s, a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{a^n}{n^s}, 0 < a < 1, s = \sigma + ib, \sigma, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now, $|n^s| = |n^\sigma| |n^{ib}| = n^\sigma |e^{ib \ln n}| = n^\sigma$. The absolute series then is

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a|^n}{n^\sigma} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|c|^{n\sigma}}, a = 1/c, c > 1.$$

Then the ratio test gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|c|^{n\sigma}}{|c|^{(n+1)\sigma}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|c|} \frac{1}{(1 + 1/n)^\sigma} = \frac{1}{c} < 1.$$

Thus $L_k(s, a)$ is convergent on $0 < a < 1$, for each k . Similar is the case for $M_k(s, a)$.

3.2. Functional equation. In this section, we follow a technique of Hardy, expounded in [1].

Our general Dirichlet series is $\gamma(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{a^n}{n^s}$, where, $0 < a < 1$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Then, defining $F(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} (-1)^{n-1} = \frac{1 - (-1)^m}{2}$, $m < x < m + 1$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{a^n}{n^s} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [F(n) - F(n-1)] \frac{a^n}{n^s} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F(n) \frac{a^n}{n^s} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F(n) \frac{a^{n+1}}{(n+1)^s} - F(0).a \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F(n) \int_n^{n+1} \left(\frac{a^x \ln a}{x^s} - \frac{sa^x}{x^{s+1}} \right) dx \\ &= \ln a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_n^{n+1} \frac{a^x F(x)}{x^s} - s \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_n^{n+1} \frac{a^x F(x)}{x^{s+1}} dx \\ &= \ln a \int_1^{\infty} \frac{a^x F(x)}{x^s} - s \int_1^{\infty} \frac{a^x F(x)}{x^{s+1}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } f(x) &= \ln a \int_1^{\infty} \frac{a^x F(x)}{x^s} \\ &= \ln a \int_1^{\infty} \frac{a^x (F(x) - 1/2)}{x^s} dx + \frac{\ln a}{2} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{a^x}{x^s} dx \\ &= I_1(s) + I_2(s) \text{ (say), and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= s \int_1^{\infty} \frac{a^x F(x)}{x^{s+1}} dx \\ &= s \int_1^{\infty} \frac{a^x (F(x) - 1/2)}{x^{s+1}} dx + \frac{s}{2} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{a^x}{x^{s+1}} dx \\ &= I_3(s) + I_4(s) \text{ (say).} \end{aligned}$$

The integrals can all be seen to be convergent for all s .

$F(x) - \frac{1}{2}$ is bounded, say by M . Then, taking $a = \frac{1}{c}$, $c > 1$ we can see that $\int_1^{\infty} \left| \frac{a^x (F(x) - \frac{1}{2})}{x^s} \right| dx = \int_1^{\infty} \left| \frac{(F(x) - \frac{1}{2})}{|c|^x x^s} \right| dx \leq M \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{c^x x^s} dx$ (for $\sigma > 0$), which is convergent. I_1 and similarly I_2 are thus absolutely convergent since c^x is exponential growth and x^s has only polynomial growth. I_3 and I_4 can similarly be concluded to be convergent.

We try to evaluate I_4 first. Let $x \ln a = u \Rightarrow du = \ln a dx$. Then u ranges from $\ln a$ to $-\infty$ as x ranges from 1 to ∞ .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4(s) &= \frac{s}{2} \int_1^\infty \frac{a^x}{x^{s+1}} dx \\
&= \frac{s}{2} \int_1^\infty x^{-s-1} e^{x \ln a} dx \\
&= \frac{s}{2 \ln a} \int_{\ln a}^{-\infty} \left(\frac{u}{\ln a}\right)^{-s-1} e^u du \\
&= \frac{s(\ln a)^s}{2} \int_{\ln a}^{-\infty} u^{-s-1} e^u du \\
&= \frac{-s(\ln a)^s}{2} \int_{-\ln a}^\infty (-1)^{-s-1} u^{-s-1} e^{-u} du \\
&= \frac{s}{2} (-\ln a)^s \Gamma(-s, -\ln a)
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to $I_4(s)$, $I_2(s)$ will then be $-\frac{(-\ln(a))^s}{2} \Gamma(-s-1, -\ln a)$, where Γ is the incomplete gamma function.

We now calculate I_3 . Since the function $F(x)$ is piecewise continuous of period 2, we calculate its Fourier expansion. Then, $F(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^\infty (a_n \cos \frac{n\pi x}{L} + b_n \sin \frac{n\pi x}{L})$, where,

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^{2L} F(x) \cos \frac{0\pi x}{L} dx = \int_0^2 F(x) dx = \int_1^2 dx = 1$$

$$a_m = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^{2L} F(x) \cos \frac{m\pi x}{L} dx = 0$$

$$b_n = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^{2L} F(x) \sin \frac{n\pi x}{L} dx = \frac{-2}{n\pi} \text{ for } n \text{ odd.}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } F(x) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{-2 \sin(2k\pi x + \pi x)}{(2k+1)\pi}.$$

Substituting $F(x) - \frac{1}{2}$ by its Fourier expansion, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3(s) &= s \int_1^\infty \frac{a^x (F(x) - 1/2)}{x^{s+1}} dx \\
&= \frac{-2s}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{2k+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{a^x \sin(2k\pi x + \pi x)}{x^{s+1}} dx \\
&= \frac{-2s}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{2k+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{a^{\frac{t}{\pi(2k+1)}} \sin(t)}{\left(\frac{t}{\pi(2k+1)}\right)^{s+1}} \frac{dt}{(2k+1)\pi} \quad (\text{Taking } 2k\pi x + \pi x = t) \\
&= \frac{-2s}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\pi^s}{(2k+1)^{1-s}} \int_0^\infty \frac{a^{\frac{t}{\pi(2k+1)}} \sin(t)}{t^{s+1}} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

We now try to evaluate $I_{5k}(s) = \int_0^\infty \frac{a^{\frac{t}{\pi(2k+1)}} \sin(t)}{t^{s+1}} dt$.

Let $\text{Re}(s) < 0$.

I_{5k} can be evaluated in terms of a complex gamma function as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{5k}(s) &= \int_0^\infty t^{-s-1} a^{\frac{t}{\pi(2k+1)}} \sin(t) dt = \int_0^\infty t^{-s-1} a^{\frac{t}{\pi(2k+1)}} (e^{it} - e^{-it})/2i dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty t^{-s-1} (e^{C_+t} - e^{C_-t})/2i dt
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_\pm = \frac{\ln(a)}{\pi(2k+1)} \pm i$.

Let $u = C_+t$ and $w = C_-t$. Then making change to these complex variables and multiplying by $(-1)^{-s-1}$ when needed, we get

$$I_{5k}(s) = \frac{1}{2iC_+} \int_{\gamma_1} u^{-s-1} e^{-u} du - \frac{1}{2iC_-} \int_{\gamma_2} w^{-s-1} e^{-w} dw$$

where γ_1 is from 0 to $C_+\infty$ along the line $y = \frac{(2k+1)\pi}{\ln(a)}x$ and γ_2 is from 0 to $C_-\infty$ along the line $y = \frac{-(2k+1)\pi}{\ln(a)}x$. Take contours shown in the diagrams below (with $\gamma_1 = e$ in the first diagram and $\gamma_2 = e$ in the second diagram) and let the radius of the circular arc grow bigger. It can be shown that the first integral evaluates to $\int_0^\infty u^{-s-1} e^{-u} du = -\Gamma(-s)$ and so does the second one, if $\text{Re}(s) < 0$. Here $\Gamma(-s) \equiv \int_0^\infty u^{-s-1} e^{-u} du$ and has poles along non-positive integers for $\text{Re}(s) < 0$. It is easy to check that $I_{5k}(s) = -\Gamma(-s)/((\frac{\ln(a)}{(2k+1)\pi})^2 + 1)$.




FIGURE 1. Contour for the first integral

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{In this case, } I_3(s) &= \frac{-2s}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\pi^s}{(2k+1)^{1-s}} I_{5k}(s) = \frac{2s}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\pi^s}{(2k+1)^{1-s} ((\frac{\ln(a)}{(2k+1)\pi})^2 + 1)} \Gamma(-s). \\
I_1(s) &= \frac{\ln(a)}{s-1} I_3(s-1) = \frac{2\ln(a)}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\pi^{s-1}}{(2k+1)^{2-s} ((\frac{\ln(a)}{(2k+1)\pi})^2 + 1)} \Gamma(-s+1)
\end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 2. Contour for the second integral

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma(s) &= I_1(s) + I_2(s) + I_3(s) + I_4(s) \\
&= \frac{2 \ln(a)}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^{s-1}}{(2k+1)^{2-s} \left(\left(\frac{\ln(a)}{(2k+1)\pi} \right)^2 + 1 \right)} \Gamma(-s+1) \\
&\quad + \frac{(-\ln(a))^s}{2} \Gamma(-s-1, -\ln a) \\
&\quad + \frac{2s}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^s}{(2k+1)^{1-s} \left(\left(\frac{\ln(a)}{(2k+1)\pi} \right)^2 + 1 \right)} \Gamma(-s) \\
&\quad + \frac{s}{2} (-\ln a)^s \Gamma(-s, -\ln a)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $N_k = (2k+1)$ and $A = \frac{-\ln(a)}{\pi}$. Let us restrict ourselves to $e^{-\pi} < a < 1$ such that $0 < \frac{A}{N_k} < 1$ for all k . Let $\tilde{\zeta}(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^s}$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^{2-s} \left(\left(\frac{\ln(a)}{(2k+1)\pi} \right)^2 + 1 \right)} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N_k^{2-s} \left(\frac{A^2}{N_k^2} + 1 \right)} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N_k^{2-s}} \left[1 - \frac{A^2}{N_k^2} + \frac{A^4}{N_k^4} - \frac{A^6}{N_k^6} \dots \right] \\
&= \tilde{\zeta}(2-s) - A^2 \tilde{\zeta}(4-s) + \dots \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\zeta}((2n+2-s)(-1)^n A^{2n}).
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^{1-s} \left(\left(\frac{\ln(a)}{(2k+1)\pi} \right)^2 + 1 \right)} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N_k^{1-s} \left(\frac{A^2}{N_k^2} + 1 \right)} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N_k^{1-s}} \left[1 - \frac{A^2}{N_k^2} + \frac{A^6}{N_k^6} - \frac{A^8}{N_k^8} \dots \right] \\
&= \tilde{\zeta}(1-s) - A^2 \tilde{\zeta}(3-s) + \dots \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\zeta}(2n+1-s) (-1)^n A^{2n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the following proposition gives us a functional relationship between the Dirichlet series and $\tilde{\zeta}$ function.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $A = \frac{-\ln(a)}{\pi}$ and $\tilde{\zeta}(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^s}$. For $\operatorname{Re}(s) < 0$ and $e^{-\pi} < a < 1$, we have the following functional equation.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma(s) &= \frac{2 \ln(a) \pi^{s-1} \Gamma(-s+1)}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\zeta}((2n+2-s)(-1)^n A^{2n}) \\
&\quad - \frac{(-\ln(a))^s}{2} \Gamma(-(s-1), -\ln a) \\
&\quad + \frac{2s\pi^s}{\pi} \Gamma(-s) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\zeta}((2n+1-s)(-1)^n A^{2n}) \\
&\quad + \frac{s}{2} (-\ln a)^s \Gamma(-s, -\ln a)
\end{aligned}$$

The infinite sums converge since $0 < A < 1$.

3.3. Essential singularity at ∞ . We consider the limit of our function as $s \rightarrow \infty$ along two directions. $L_k(s, a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{a^n}{n^s}$, where $a = \frac{x^2}{c_k^2 - x^2}$, $0 < a < 1$, $s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C}$.

When $\operatorname{Im}(s)$ is fixed and $\operatorname{Re}(s) \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{a^n}{n^s} \right| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^\sigma} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\sigma-c+c}} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\sigma-c}} \frac{1}{n^c} \leq \frac{1}{2^{\sigma-c}} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^c} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \sigma \rightarrow \infty$$

Therefore, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{a^n}{n^s} \rightarrow a$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$.

When $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ is fixed and $\operatorname{Im}(s) \rightarrow \infty$:

If possible, let $L_k(\frac{1}{2} + it, a) \rightarrow a$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then given $\epsilon > 0, \exists t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such

that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{a^n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+it}} - a \right| \leq \epsilon \quad \forall t \geq t_0 \\ \text{or } & \left| \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{a^n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+it}} \right| - |a| \right| \leq \epsilon \quad \forall t \geq t_0 \\ \text{or } & |a| - \epsilon \leq \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{a^n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+it}} \right| \quad \forall t \geq t_0 \\ \text{or } & |a| - \epsilon \leq \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ \text{or } & |a| \leq -1.46.. + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

which is not true for sufficiently small ϵ .

Thus we arrive at a contradiction. The limit of $L_k(s, a)$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ does not exist and the series has an essential singularity at infinity.

4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:

The first author would like to thank Professor Sanoli Gun of IMSC, Chennai, India for interesting discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Carraro, *Analytic continuation and functional equation of the Dirichlet eta function*, www.academia.edu.
- [2] R. Dey: *Ramanujan's identities, minimal surfaces and solitons*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.), 126, No. 3, (2016), 421–431.
- [3] R. López and T. Hasanis: *Classification and construction of minimal translation surfaces in Euclidean space*, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1809.02759.pdf>
- [4] J.C.C. Nitsche: *Lectures on Minimal surfaces* (English edition), Cambridge University Press (1989).
- [5] R. Osserman: *Survey of minimal surfaces*, Dover Publications, New York (1986).
- [6] S. Ramanujan: *Ramanujan's Notebooks* (edited by Bruce C. Berndt) (2nd ed.), Part I, Chapter 2.
- [7] H. F. Scherk, *Bemerkungen über die kleinste Fläche innerhalb gegebener Grenzen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 13 (1835), 185–208.

1. I.C.T.S.-T.I.F.R., BANGALORE, INDIA, RUKMINI@ICTS.RES.IN, RUKMINI.DEY@GMAIL.COM, 2. I.C.T.S.-T.I.F.R., BANGALORE, INDIA, 3. SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, BHUBANESWAR (HBN1), ODISHA 752050, INDIA, RHLSNGH498@GMAIL.COM