

SCHNEIDER-SIEGEL THEOREM FOR A FAMILY OF VALUES OF A HARMONIC WEAK MAASS FORM AT HECKE ORBITS

DOHOON CHOI AND SUBONG LIM

ABSTRACT. Let $j(z)$ be the modular j -invariant function. Let τ be an algebraic number in the complex upper half plane \mathbb{H} . It was proved by Schneider and Siegel that if τ is not a CM point, i.e., $[\mathbb{Q}(\tau) : \mathbb{Q}] \neq 2$, then $j(\tau)$ is transcendental. Let f be a harmonic weak Maass form of weight 0 on $\Gamma_0(N)$. In this paper, we consider an extension of the results of Schneider and Siegel to a family of values of f on Hecke orbits of τ .

For a positive integer m , let T_m denote the m -th Hecke operator. Suppose that the coefficients of the principal part of f at the cusp $i\infty$ are algebraic, and that f has its poles only at cusps equivalent to $i\infty$. We prove, under a mild assumption on f , that for any fixed τ , if N is a prime such that $N \geq 23$ and $N \notin \{23, 29, 31, 41, 47, 59, 71\}$, then $f(T_m.\tau)$ are transcendental for infinitely many positive integers m prime to N .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $j(z)$ be the modular j -invariant function on the complex upper half plane \mathbb{H} . Let τ be an algebraic number in \mathbb{H} . It was proved by Kronecker [11] and Weber [17] that if τ is a CM point, i.e., $[\mathbb{Q}(\tau) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$, then $j(\tau)$ is algebraic. Schneider [14] and Siegel [16] proved that if τ is not a CM point, then $j(\tau)$ is transcendental. By combining these two results, we state the following.

Theorem A (Kronecker, Schneider, Siegel, Weber). *Assume that τ is an algebraic number in \mathbb{H} . Then, τ is a CM point if and only if $j(\tau)$ is algebraic.*

Let m be a positive integer, and T_m denote the m -th Hecke operator. The operators T_m act on both of modular forms f and divisors D of a modular curve, and they are denoted by $f|T_m$ and $T_m.D$, respectively. Then, $j(T_m.\tau) = (j|T_m)(\tau)$, and $(j|T_m)(z)$ is a polynomial of $j(z)$ with rational coefficients. Thus, $j(T_m.\tau)$ is algebraic for every m if and only if $j(\tau)$ is algebraic. Therefore, Theorem A is equivalent to the following theorem.

Theorem B (Kronecker, Schneider, Siegel, Weber). *Assume that τ is an algebraic number in \mathbb{H} . Then, τ is a CM point if and only if $j(T_m.\tau)$ is algebraic for every positive integer m .*

In this vein, we consider an extension of the results of Kronecker, Schneider, Siegel, and Weber to a family of values of a harmonic weak Maass form f on Hecke orbits of τ . Let N be a positive integer, and f be a harmonic weak Maass form of weight 0 on $\Gamma_0(N)$. In contrast to the case for the j -invariant function, the value of f at a CM point τ is not algebraic in general. Thus, first we obtain the period of $f(\tau)$ for a CM point τ , which is expressed as the regularized Petersson inner product of a cusp form and a meromorphic modular form. Next, by using this result, we obtain

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F03, 11F25.

Keywords: harmonic weak Maass form, CM point, meromorphic differential.

an extension of the results of Kronecker, Schneider, Siegel, and Weber to a family of values of f on Hecke orbits of τ .

Let $Y_0(N)$ be the modular curve of level N defined by $\Gamma_0(N)\backslash\mathbb{H}$, and $X_0(N)$ denote the compactification of $Y_0(N)$ by adjoining the cusps. Let us note that $X_0(N)$ is a curve defined over \mathbb{Q} . We fix an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of \mathbb{Q} . Let K be a subfield of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ or the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers. Let C be a curve defined over K . For an extension E of K , we denote by $\text{Div}_C(E)$ the group of divisors of C defined over E . Let f be a function on $C(\mathbb{C}) \setminus S$ for a finite subset S of $C(\mathbb{C})$. If $D = \sum_{P \in C} n_P P \in \text{Div}_C(\mathbb{C})$ and the support of D does not contain any point in S , then we define

$$f(D) := \sum n_P f(P).$$

The m -th Hecke operator T_m acts on $\text{Div}_{X_0(N)}(\mathbb{C})$, and it is denoted by $T_m.D$ for $D \in \text{Div}_{X_0(N)}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let k be a non-negative even integer. Let $S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ denote the space of cusp forms of weight k on $\Gamma_0(N)$. We denote by $H_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ the space of harmonic weak Maass forms of weight k on $\Gamma_0(N)$. For the differential operator ξ_{-k} defined by $\xi_{-k}(f)(z) := 2iy^{-k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} f(z)$, the assignment $f(z) \mapsto \xi_{-k}(f)(z)$ gives an anti-linear mapping

$$(1.1) \quad \xi_{-k} : H_{-k}(\Gamma_0(N)) \rightarrow M_{k+2}^!(\Gamma_0(N)),$$

where $M_k^!(\Gamma_0(N))$ denotes the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight k on $\Gamma_0(N)$. Here, y denotes the imaginary part of $z \in \mathbb{H}$. Let $H_{-k}^*(\Gamma_0(N))$ be the inverse image of the space $S_{k+2}(\Gamma_0(N))$ of cusp forms under the mapping ξ_{-k} .

Definition 1.1. *Let f be a harmonic weak Maass form of weight 0 on $\Gamma_0(N)$. We say that f is arithmetic if f satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1) *the principal part of f at the cusp $i\infty$ belongs to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[q^{-1}]$, and its constant term is zero,*
- (2) *the principal part of f at each cusp not equivalent to $i\infty$ is constant.*

Here, $q := e^{2\pi iz}$ for a complex number $z \in \mathbb{H}$.

This definition is similar with that of being good in [6]; however, the conditions in this definition are weaker than those in the definition of being good. Furthermore, a harmonic weak Maass form f is called an *arithmetic Hecke eigenform* if f is arithmetic and $\xi_0(f)$ is a Hecke eigenform.

For $\tau \in \mathbb{H} \cup \{i\infty\} \cup \mathbb{Q}$, let Q_τ be the image of τ under the canonical map from $\mathbb{H} \cup \{i\infty\} \cup \mathbb{Q}$ to $X_0(N)$ and

$$D_\tau := Q_{i\infty} - Q_\tau \in \text{Div}_{X_0(N)}(\mathbb{C}).$$

If τ is a CM point, then D_τ is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Thus, there exists a differential $\psi_{D_\tau}^{alg}$ of the third kind associated to D_τ such that $\psi_{D_\tau}^{alg}$ is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Note that $\psi_{D_\tau}^{alg}$ can be written as $\psi_{D_\tau}^{alg} = 2\pi i f_{\psi_{D_\tau}^{alg}}(z) dz$ for some meromorphic modular form $f_{\psi_{D_\tau}^{alg}}$ of weight 2 on $\Gamma_0(N)$ (see Section 2.3 for details). Let $\left(\xi_0(f), f_{\psi_{D_\tau}^{alg}}\right)_{reg}$ be the regularized Petersson inner product of $\xi_0(f)$ and $f_{\psi_{D_\tau}^{alg}}$ (see Section 3 for the definition of the regularized Petersson inner product). The following theorem shows that, for each positive integer m prime to N , the period of $f(T_m.Q_\tau)$ can be expressed as the multiplication of $\left(\xi_0(f), f_{\psi_{D_\tau}^{alg}}\right)_{reg}$ and the eigenvalue of $\xi_0(f)$ for T_m .

Theorem 1.2. *Let N be a prime, and f be a harmonic weak Maass form of weight 0 on $\Gamma_0(N)$. Assume that f is an arithmetic Hecke eigenform, and that τ is a CM point. Let $\psi_{D_\tau}^{alg} := 2\pi i f_{\psi_{D_\tau}^{alg}}(z) dz$ be a differential of the third kind associated to D_τ defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then,*

$$f(T_m \cdot Q_\tau) - m^{-1} \lambda_m \left(\xi_0(f), f_{\psi_{D_\tau}^{alg}} \right)_{reg}$$

is algebraic for every positive integer m prime to N , where λ_m is the eigenvalue of $\xi_0(f)$ for T_m .

Theorem 1.2 is applied to study the transcendence of $f(T_m \cdot Q_\tau)$ for an algebraic number τ in \mathbb{H} . Then, we have the following theorem concerning to an extension of the above results of Kronecker, Schneider, Siegel, and Weber to a family of values of f on Hecke orbits of τ .

Theorem 1.3. *Let N and f be given as in Theorem 1.2. Assume that $(g, \xi_0(f)) \neq 0$ for each Hecke eigenform $g \in S_2(\Gamma)$. Let τ be an algebraic number in \mathbb{H} . Then, $f(T_m \cdot Q_\tau)$ is algebraic for every positive integer m prime to N if and only if τ is a CM point and nD_τ is rational on $X_0(N)$ for some positive integer n .*

Remark 1.4. *Assume that f and τ are given as in Theorem 1.3. In fact, if there is a positive integer m prime to N such that $f(T_m \cdot Q_\tau)$ is transcendental, then there are infinitely many such positive integers m prime to N (see the proof of Theorem 1.3 in Section 4).*

Let $J_{\Gamma_0(N)}$ be the Jacobian variety of the $X_0(N)$ defined over \mathbb{Q} . Then, an Albanese embedding $i_{Q_{i_\infty}} : X_0(N) \rightarrow J_{\Gamma_0(N)}$ can be defined by sending Q to $Q_{i_\infty} - Q$. Let us note that $m(Q_{i_\infty} - Q)$ is rational on $X_0(N)$ for some positive integer m if and only if $i_{Q_{i_\infty}}(Q)$ is a torsion point in $J_{\Gamma_0(N)}$. Let

$$T_{Q_{i_\infty}}(X_0(N)) := \{Q \in X_0(N)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \mid i_{Q_{i_\infty}}(Q) \text{ is a torsion point in } J_{\Gamma_0(N)}\}.$$

If the genus of $X_0(N)$ is larger than or equal to 2, then, by the Mumford-Manin conjecture (proved by Raynaud), $T_{Q_{i_\infty}}(X_0(N))$ is a finite set.

Let $X_0^+(N)$ denote the quotient of $X_0(N)$ by the Atkin-Lehner involution w_N . For primes N , Coleman, Kaskel, and Ribet [8] conjectured the following statement: for all prime numbers $N \geq 23$,

$$T_{Q_{i_\infty}}(X_0(N)) = \begin{cases} \{0, i_\infty\} & \text{if } g^+ > 0, \\ \{0, i_\infty\} \cup \{\text{hyperelliptic branch points}\} & \text{if } g^+ = 0, \end{cases}$$

where g^+ denotes the genus of $X_0(N)^+$. Baker [2] proved this conjecture. Furthermore, for $N \geq 23$, g^+ is zero if and only if $N \in \{23, 29, 31, 41, 47, 59, 71\}$. Thanks to these results on torsion points on the Jacobian of a modular curve, we obtain the following theorem from Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.5. *Under the assumption as in Theorem 1.3, assume that*

$$N \geq 23 \text{ and } N \notin \{23, 29, 31, 41, 47, 59, 71\}.$$

Then, $f(T_m \cdot Q_\tau)$ are transcendental for infinitely many positive integers m prime to N .

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some preliminaries for harmonic weak Maass forms, residues of meromorphic differentials on a modular curve, and differentials of the third kind on a complex curve. In Section 3, we review the definition of a regularized Petersson inner product and prove that the regularized Petersson inner product of a meromorphic modular form, associated with a canonical differential of the third kind of some

divisor on $X_0(N)$, with every cusp form of weight 2 on $\Gamma_0(N)$ is zero. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2 and 1.3.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall definitions and basic facts about harmonic weak Maass forms, residues of meromorphic differentials on a modular curve, and properties for differentials of the third kind on a complex curve.

2.1. Harmonic weak Maass forms. For details of harmonic weak Maass forms, we refer to [4] and [13]. Let k be an even integer. We recall the weight k slash operator

$$(f|_k\gamma)(z) := (cz + d)^{-k} f(\gamma z)$$

for any function f on \mathbb{H} and $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let Δ_k denote the weight k hyperbolic Laplacian defined by

$$\Delta_k := -y^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) +iky \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right),$$

where x (resp. y) denotes the real (resp. imaginary) part of z . Now, we give the definition of a harmonic weak Maass form.

Definition 2.1. *Let N be a positive integer. A smooth function f on \mathbb{H} is a harmonic weak Maass form of weight k on $\Gamma_0(N)$ if it satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1) $f|_k\gamma = f$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(N)$,
- (2) $\Delta_k f = 0$,
- (3) a linear exponential growth condition in terms of y at every cusp of $\Gamma_0(N)$.

We denote by $H_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ the space of harmonic weak Maass forms of weight k on $\Gamma_0(N)$.

Assume that t is a cusp of $\Gamma_0(N)$. Let $\sigma_t \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a matrix such that $\sigma_t(i\infty) = t$, and $\Gamma_0(N)_t$ denote the stabilizer of cusp t in $\Gamma_0(N)$. We define a positive integer α_t by

$$\sigma_t^{-1}\Gamma_0(N)_t\sigma_t = \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \ell\alpha_t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Recall that $f|_k\sigma_t$ has the Fourier expansion of the form $f|_k\sigma_t = f_t^+ + f_t^-$, where

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} f_t^+(z) &= \sum_{n \gg -\infty} a_f^t(n) e^{2\pi i n z / \alpha_t}, \\ f_t^-(z) &= b_f^t(0) y^{1-k} + \sum_{\substack{n \ll \infty \\ n \neq 0}} b_f^t(n) \Gamma(4\pi n y / \alpha_t, -k + 1) e^{2\pi i n z / \alpha_t}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma(x, s)$ denotes the incomplete gamma function defined as an analytic continuation of the function $\int_x^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt$. This Fourier series is called *the Fourier expansion of f at a cusp t* . The function $\sum_{n \leq 0} a_f^t(n) e^{2\pi i n z / \alpha_t}$ is called *the principal part of f at the cusp t* .

For a positive integer n , let T_n denote the n -th Hecke operator. Then, the Hecke operator T_n commutes with the differential operator ξ_{-k} in the following way

$$(2.2) \quad \xi_{-k}(f|_{-k}T_n) = n^{-k-1}(\xi_{-k}(f)|_{k+2}T_n)$$

for a harmonic weak Maass form f of weight $-k$.

2.2. Residues of a meromorphic differential on $X_0(N)$. Let ψ be a meromorphic differential on $X_0(N)$. Then, there exists a unique meromorphic modular form g of weight 2 on $\Gamma_0(N)$ such that $\psi = g(z)dz$. Assume that t is a cusp of $\Gamma_0(N)$. Assume that, for each cusp t , g has the Fourier expansion of the form

$$(g|_2\sigma_t)(z) = \sum a_g^t(n)q^{n/\alpha_t},$$

where $q := e^{2\pi iz}$ for $z \in \mathbb{H}$.

For $\tau \in \mathbb{H} \cup \{i\infty\} \cup \mathbb{Q}$, let Q_τ be the image of τ under the canonical map from $\mathbb{H} \cup \{i\infty\} \cup \mathbb{Q}$ to $X_0(N)$. Let $\text{Res}_{Q_\tau} g dz$ denote the residue of the differential $g(z)dz$ at Q_τ on $X_0(N)$, and $\text{Res}_\tau g$ be the residue of g at τ on \mathbb{H} . We describe $\text{Res}_{Q_\tau} g dz$ in terms of $\text{Res}_\tau g$ as follows. Let \mathcal{C}_N be the set of inequivalent cusps of $\Gamma_0(N)$. For $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, let e_τ be the order of the isotropy subgroup of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ at τ . Then, we have

$$\text{Res}_{Q_\tau} g dz = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{e_\tau} \text{Res}_\tau g & \text{if } \tau \in \mathbb{H}, \\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \alpha_\tau a_g^\tau(0) & \text{if } \tau \in \mathcal{C}_N. \end{cases}$$

2.3. Differentials of the third kind. In this subsection, we review properties for differentials of the third kind on a complex curve. For details, we refer to [5], [10], and [15]. A differential of the third kind on $X_0(N)$ means a meromorphic differential on $X_0(N)$ such that its poles are simple and its residues are integers. Let ϕ be a differential of the third kind on $X_0(N)$ such that ϕ has a pole at P_j with residue m_j and is holomorphic elsewhere.

Then, we define a linear map res for the space of differentials of the third kind on $X_0(N)$ to $\text{Div}_{X_0(N)}(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$res(\phi) = \sum_j m_j P_j.$$

The image of ϕ under the map res is called the residue divisor of ϕ . By the residue theorem, the residue divisor $res(\phi)$ has degree zero.

Conversely, if D is a divisor on $X_0(N)$ whose degree is zero, then there is a differential ψ_D of the third kind with $res(\psi_D) = D$ by the Riemann-Roch theorem and the Serre duality. The differential ψ_D is unique up to addition of a cusp form of weight 2 on $\Gamma_0(N)$.

Let D be a divisor of $X_0(N)$ with degree zero. Then, there is a unique differential Φ_D of the third kind such that $res(\Phi_D) = D$ and $\Phi_D = \partial_z h$, where h is a real harmonic function on $X_0(N)$ with some singularities. Here, the differential Φ_D of the third kind is called *the canonical differential of the third kind associated to D* (for example, see Section 1 in [15] for more details). Scholl proved the following theorem by using Waldschmidt's result on the transcendence of periods of differentials of the third kind.

Theorem 2.2. [15, Theorem 1] *With the above notation, assume that D is defined over a number field F . Then, Φ_D is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ if and only if some non-zero multiple of D is a principal divisor.*

For a differential ψ of the third kind, we may write $\psi = 2\pi i f(z)dz$, where f is a meromorphic modular form of weight 2 on $\Gamma_0(N)$. All poles of f are simple poles and lie on $Y_0(N)$, and their residues are integers. The residue of ψ at the cusp t is a constant term of the Fourier expansion of f at the cusp t . By the q -expansion principle, ψ is defined over a number field F if and only if

all Fourier coefficients of f at the cusp $i\infty$ are contained in F . Therefore, the following theorem [5, Theorem 3.3] was followed from Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. [5, Theorem 3.3] *Let F be a number field. Let D be a divisor of degree 0 on $X_0(N)$ defined over F . Let Φ_D be the canonical differential of the third kind associated to D and write $\Phi_D = 2\pi i f(z) dz$. If some non-zero multiple of D is a principal divisor, then all the coefficients $a(n)$ of f at the cusp $i\infty$ are contained in F . Otherwise, there exists an integer n such that $a(n)$ is transcendental.*

3. REGULARIZED PETERSSON INNER PRODUCT

Petersson introduced an inner product on the space of cusp forms, which is called the Petersson inner product. Borcherds [3] used a regularized integral to extend the Petersson inner product to the case that one of two modular forms is a weakly holomorphic modular form. In this section, we recall the definition of a regularized Petersson inner product of a cusp form and a meromorphic modular form with the same weight by following [3] and [7]. Furthermore, we prove that if g is a meromorphic modular form on $\Gamma_0(N)$ such that $2\pi i g(z) dz$ is the canonical differential of the third kind associated to some divisor, then the regularized Petersson inner product of g with every cusp form of weight 2 on $\Gamma_0(N)$ is zero.

Let g be a meromorphic modular form of weight k on $\Gamma_0(N)$. Let $\text{Sing}(g)$ be the set of singular points of g on \mathcal{F}_N , where \mathcal{F}_N denotes the fundamental domain for the action of $\Gamma_0(N)$ on \mathbb{H} . For a positive real number ε , an ε -disk $B_\tau(\varepsilon)$ at τ is defined by

$$B_\tau(\varepsilon) := \begin{cases} \{z \in \mathbb{H} : |z - \tau| < \varepsilon\} & \text{if } \tau \in \mathbb{H}, \\ \{z \in \mathcal{F}_N : \text{Im}(\sigma_\tau z) > 1/\varepsilon\} & \text{if } \tau \in \{i\infty\} \cup \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_N(g, \varepsilon)$ be a punctured fundamental domain for $\Gamma_0(N)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_N(g, \varepsilon) := \mathcal{F}_N - \cup_{\tau \in \text{Sing}(g) \cup \mathcal{C}_N} B_\tau(\varepsilon).$$

Let f be a cusp form of weight k on $\Gamma_0(N)$. The regularized Petersson inner product $(f, g)_{reg}$ of f and g is defined by

$$(f, g)_{reg} := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathcal{F}_N(g, \varepsilon)} f(z) \overline{g(z)} \frac{dx dy}{y^{k-2}}.$$

By using the Stokes' theorem, we obtain the following theorem (for example, see [4, Proposition 3.5] or [7, Lemma 3.1]).

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that f is a harmonic weak Maass form in $H_k^*(\Gamma_0(N))$ with singularities only at cusps equivalent to $i\infty$, and that g is a meromorphic modular form. Then*

$$(\xi_0(f), g)_{reg} = \sum_{m+n=0} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{C}_N} a_f^t(m) a_g^t(n) + \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{F}_N} \frac{2\pi i}{e_\tau} \text{Res}_\tau(g) f(\tau),$$

where $a_g^t(n)$ and $a_f^t(n)$ are n -th Fourier coefficients of g and f at the cusp t , respectively.

By using this lemma, we prove the following theorem, which states that the regularized Petersson inner product of a meromorphic modular form associated with a canonical differential of the third kind of some divisor on $X_0(N)$ with every cusp form of weight 2 on $\Gamma_0(N)$ is zero.

Proposition 3.2. *Let g be a meromorphic modular form of weight 2 on $\Gamma_0(N)$ associated with a canonical differential of the third kind. Then, for every cusp form f of weight 2 on $\Gamma_0(N)$,*

$$(f, g)_{reg} = 0$$

Proof. Let G be a harmonic function on \mathbb{H} with log-type singularities such that $\partial_z G = g$. Then, we have

$$d(f(z)\overline{G(z)}dz) = f(z)\overline{\partial_z G(z)}d\bar{z}dz = f(z)\overline{g(z)}(2i)dxdy.$$

To apply the Stokes' theorem, we give the description of the boundary of $\mathcal{F}_N(g, \varepsilon)$. For a subset D of \mathbb{C} , let ∂D denote the boundary of D . For a positive real number ε , we define

$$\gamma_\tau(\varepsilon) := \begin{cases} \{z \in \mathbb{H} : |z - \tau| = \varepsilon\} & \text{if } \tau \in \mathbb{H}, \\ \{z \in \mathcal{F}_N : \text{Im}(\sigma_\tau z) = 1/\varepsilon\} & \text{if } \tau \in \{i\infty\} \cup \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

Assume that ε is sufficiently small. If we let $\partial^* \mathcal{F}_N(g, \varepsilon)$ be the closure of the set $\partial \mathcal{F}_N(g, \varepsilon) - \partial \mathcal{F}_N$ in \mathbb{C} , then

$$(3.1) \quad \partial^* \mathcal{F}_N(g, \varepsilon) = \cup_{\tau \in \text{Sing}(g) \cup \mathcal{C}_N} \gamma_\tau(\varepsilon).$$

From (3.1), the Stokes' theorem implies

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}_N(g, \varepsilon)} f(z)\overline{g(z)}dxdy = \int_{\partial^* \mathcal{F}_N(g, \varepsilon)} \frac{1}{2i} f(z)\overline{G(z)}dz = \sum_{\tau \in \text{Sing}(g) \cup \mathcal{C}_N} \int_{\gamma_\tau(\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{2i} f(z)\overline{G(z)}dz.$$

For each $\gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the absolute value $|(f|_{2\gamma})(z)|$ exponentially decays as $\text{Im}(z) \rightarrow \infty$, since f is a cusp form. Thus, if $\tau \in \mathcal{C}_N$, then $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\gamma_\tau(\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{2i} f(z)\overline{G(z)}dz = 0$.

To complete the proof, we assume that $\tau \in \text{Sing}(g)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\gamma_\tau(\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{2i} f(z)\overline{G(z)}dz \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\gamma_\tau(\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{2} |f(z)| |\overline{G(z)}| |dz| \\ & \leq \max\{|G(z)| : z \in \gamma_\tau(\varepsilon)\} M_1 \int_{\gamma_\tau(\varepsilon)} |dz| \quad (\text{some constant } M_1) \\ & \leq \max\{|G(z)| : z \in \gamma_\tau(\varepsilon)\} M_1 (2\pi\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

The function G can be expressed around τ as

$$G(z) = -\log_e(|z - \tau|(z - \tau)) + G_0(z),$$

where $G_0(z)$ is a smooth function around τ . In the definition of \log_e , we use the principal branch. If ε is sufficiently small, then, for any $z \in \gamma_\tau(\varepsilon)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |G(z)| & \leq |\log_e(|z - \tau|(z - \tau))| + |G_0(z)| \\ & \leq |\log_e |z - \tau|| + |\log_e(z - \tau)| + M_2 \quad (\text{some fixed constant } M_2) \\ & \leq 2|\log_e \varepsilon| + \pi + M_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for sufficiently small ε , we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\gamma_\tau(\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{2i} |f(z)| \overline{G(z)} dz \right| \leq (2|\log \varepsilon| + \pi + M_2) M_1(2\pi\varepsilon).$$

This implies that, for $\tau \in \text{Sing}(g)$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\gamma_\tau(\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{2i} f(z) \overline{G(z)} dz = 0.$$

Thus, we complete the proof. \square

4. PROOFS

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2 and 1.3. In this section, we always assume that N is a prime. Let D be a divisor of $X_0(N)$. Let ψ_D be a differential of the third kind associated to D . Let A_D be the space of meromorphic differentials, with only simple poles, such that their poles are only at the support of D . If D is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, then there is a basis of A_D consisting of meromorphic differentials defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let us note that D is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ if and only if there exists ψ_D defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Thus, for a divisor D defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, let ψ_D^{alg} be a differential of the third kind associated to D defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let Φ_D be the canonical differential of the third kind associated to D and

$$F_D := \Phi_D - \psi_D^{alg}.$$

Note that F_D (resp. Φ_D and ψ_D^{alg}) can be written as $2\pi i f_{F_D}(z) dz$ (resp. $2\pi i f_{\Phi_D}(z) dz$ and $2\pi i f_{\psi_D^{alg}}(z) dz$) for some meromorphic modular forms f_{F_D} , f_{Φ_D} , and $f_{\psi_D^{alg}}$ of weight 2 on $\Gamma_0(N)$.

Let us consider special divisors D_τ , where

$$D_\tau = Q_{i\infty} - Q_\tau \in \text{Div}_{X_0(N)}(\mathbb{C})$$

for $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. Let us note that $Q_{i\infty}$ is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. A modular curve $Y_0(N)$ is defined by an equation $\Phi_N(X, Y) = 0$ such that $\Phi_N(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Q}[X, Y]$ and $\Phi_N(j(Nz), j(z)) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$. Thus, by Theorem A, $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ is a CM point if and only if Q_τ is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Thus, there exists $\psi_{D_\tau}^{alg}$ for D_τ if and only if τ is a CM point.

With these notations, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *With the above notation, assume that f is an arithmetic harmonic weak Maass form in $H_k^*(\Gamma_0(N))$, and that $D := Q_{i\infty} - Q_\tau$ is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let m be a positive integer prime to N . Then, the following statements are true.*

(1) *For each m ,*

$$f(T_m \cdot Q_\tau) - \left(\xi_0(f|T_m), f_{\psi_D^{alg}} \right)_{reg}$$

is algebraic. Especially, if f is a Hecke eigenform, then

$$f(T_m \cdot Q_\tau) - m^{-1} \lambda_m \left(\xi_0(f), f_{\psi_D^{alg}} \right)_{reg}$$

is algebraic. Here, λ_m is the eigenvalue of $\xi_0(f)$ for T_m .

(2) *For each m , $f(T_m \cdot Q_\tau)$ is algebraic if and only if $(\xi_0(f|T_m), f_{F_D})$ is algebraic.*

Proof. (1) By the definition of ψ_D^{alg} , the constant term of $f_{\psi_D^{alg}}$ at each cusp is an integer. Let

$$E_N(z) := E_2(z) - NE_2(Nz),$$

where $E_2(z) = 1 - 24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_1(d)q^n$ is the Eisenstein series of weight 2. Here, $\sigma_1(n)$ is a function over \mathbb{Q} defined by

$$\sigma_1(n) := \begin{cases} \sum_{d|n} d & \text{if } n \text{ is a positive integer,} \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Since N is a prime, $\Gamma_0(N)$ has only two inequivalent cusps. Thus, there is a rational number c_0 such that the constant term of

$$f_{\psi_D^{alg}} - c_0 E_N$$

is zero at each cusp inequivalent to $i\infty$. Let us note that E_N is orthogonal to $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$ with respect to the Petersson inner product, and that the principal part of $f_{\psi_D^{alg}}$ at the cusp $i\infty$ is constant since poles of ψ_D^{alg} are simple poles. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\xi_0(f|T_m), f_{\psi_D^{alg}} \right)_{reg} &= \left(\xi_0(f|T_m), f_{\psi_D^{alg}} - c_0 E_N \right)_{reg} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} a_{f|T_m}(-n) \left(c_{\psi_D^{alg}}(n) - 24c_0(\sigma(n) - N\sigma(n/N)) \right) + (f|T_m)(Q_\tau) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} a_{f|T_m}(-n) \left(c_{\psi_D^{alg}}(n) - 24c_0(\sigma(n) - N\sigma(n/N)) \right) + f(T_m \cdot Q_\tau), \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{f|T_m}(n)$ (resp. $c_{\psi_D^{alg}}$) denotes the n -th Fourier coefficient of $(f|T_m)^+$ (resp. $f_{\psi_D^{alg}}$) at the cusp $i\infty$. Note that the principal part of $f|T_m$ at the cusp $i\infty$ belongs to $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}[q^{-1}]$ since the principal part of f at the cusp $i\infty$ does. This proves the first part. The second part follows from (2.2).

(2) Let us note that $f_{F_D} = f_{\Phi_D} - f_{\psi_D^{alg}}$ is a cusp form. Thus, by Proposition 3.2 we have

$$\left(\xi_0(f|T_m), f_{F_D} \right) = \left(\xi_0(f|T_m), f_{\Phi_D} \right)_{reg} - \left(\xi_0(f|T_m), f_{\psi_D^{alg}} \right)_{reg} = - \left(\xi_0(f|T_m), f_{\psi_D^{alg}} \right)_{reg}.$$

From (1), we get the desired result. \square

Theorem 1.2 comes from Lemma 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It is immediately implied by Lemma 4.1. \square

For a harmonic weak Maass form f , we define

$$\text{Prin}(f) := \prod_{\substack{n > 1 \\ a_f(-n) \neq 0}} n,$$

where $a_f(n)$ is the n -th Fourier coefficient of f^+ at the cusp $i\infty$. For a positive integer m , we define the operators U_m and V_m for F , which are meromorphic functions on \mathbb{H} , by

$$(F|U_m)(z) := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} F\left(\frac{z+j}{m}\right)$$

and

$$(F|V_m)(z) := F(mz).$$

If $F = \sum_n a_F(n)q^n$ is a meromorphic modular form of weight k on $\Gamma_0(N)$, then

- (1) $F|U_m$ and $F|V_m$ are meromorphic modular forms of weight k on $\Gamma_0(mN)$,
- (2) $(F|U_m)(z) = \sum_n a_F(mn)q^n$ and $(F|V_m)(z) = \sum_n a_F(n)q^{mn}$

(for example, see Section 2.4 in [12] for more details on the operators U_m and V_m). To prove Theorem 1.3, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f \in H_0^*(\Gamma_0(N))$ be an arithmetic Hecke eigenform. Let τ be an algebraic number on \mathbb{H} . Assume that $D := Q_{i\infty} - Q_\tau$. If τ is not a CM point, then there are infinitely many positive integers m prime to $N \cdot \text{Prin}(f)$ such that*

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} a_f(-n)c_{\Phi_D}(mn)$$

is transcendental, where $a_f(n)$ (resp. $c_{\Phi_D}(n)$) is the n -th Fourier coefficient of f^+ (resp. f_{Φ_D}) at the cusp $i\infty$.

Proof. Let A be the set of positive integers n such that $a_f(-n) \neq 0$. Note that A is a finite set. Then, we define

$$F(z) := \sum_{n \in A} a_f(-n)(f_{\Phi_D}|U_n)(z).$$

The m -th Fourier coefficient $a_F(m)$ of F at the cusp $i\infty$ is equal to (4.1). Thus, we need to show that F has infinitely many transcendental Fourier coefficients $a_F(m)$ with $(m, N \cdot \text{Prin}(f)) = 1$.

Let $B = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ be the set of primes p such that $p|N \cdot \text{Prin}(f)$. By using the operators U_n and V_n , we will remove Fourier coefficients $a_F(m)$ with $(m, N \cdot \text{Prin}(f)) \neq 1$. We define $F_0 := F$ and $F_{i+1} := F_i - F_i|U_{p_{i+1}}|V_{p_{i+1}}$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. Then, it is enough to show that F_k has infinitely many transcendental Fourier coefficients at the cusp $i\infty$.

By the definition of f_{Φ_D} , we see that f_{Φ_D} has a singularity at the non-CM point τ in \mathbb{H} . We will prove that F_k also has a singularity at a non-CM point in \mathbb{H} . We define

$$f_{n,i,\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k}(z) := f_{\Phi_D} \left(\frac{z}{n} + \frac{i}{n} + \frac{\mu_1}{p_1 n} + \dots + \frac{\mu_k}{p_k n} \right)$$

for $n \in A, 0 \leq i \leq n-1, 0 \leq \mu_1 \leq p_1-1, \dots, 0 \leq \mu_k \leq p_k-1$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} F_k(z) &= F(z) - (F|U_{p_1}|V_{p_1})(z) - \dots - (F|U_{p_k}|V_{p_k})(z) + (F|U_{p_1}|V_{p_1}|U_{p_2}|V_{p_2})(z) \\ &\quad + \dots + (F|U_{p_{k-1}}|V_{p_{k-1}}|U_{p_k}|V_{p_k})(z) + \dots + (-1)^k (F|U_{p_1}|V_{p_1}|\dots|U_{p_k}|V_{p_k})(z) \\ &= \sum_{n \in A} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \mu_j \leq p_j-1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq k}} \alpha_{n,i,\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k} f_{n,i,\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k}(z) \end{aligned}$$

for some nonzero constants $\alpha_{n,i,\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k}$. We fix $n_0 \in A$. Let

$$\beta := n_0 \tau - \frac{1}{p_1} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k}.$$

Then, β is not a CM point since τ is not a CM point, and β is a singular point of the function $f_{n_0,0,1,\dots,1}$.

To prove that F_k has a singularity at β , it is enough to show that β is not a singular point of $f_{n,i,\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k}$ if $(n, i, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) \neq (n_0, 0, 1, \dots, 1)$. Note that the set of singular points of $f_{n,i,\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k}$ is a subset of

$$T_{n,i,\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k} := \left\{ n(\gamma\tau) - i - \frac{\mu_1}{p_1} - \dots - \frac{\mu_k}{p_k} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_0(N) \right\}.$$

Suppose that $\beta \in T_{n,i,\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k}$. Then, for some $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$, we have

$$n_0\tau - \frac{1}{p_1} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} = n(\gamma\tau) - i - \frac{\mu_1}{p_1} - \dots - \frac{\mu_k}{p_k}.$$

This implies that

$$n_0\tau - n \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} = -i - \frac{\mu_1 - 1}{p_1} - \dots - \frac{\mu_k - 1}{p_k}.$$

If $c \neq 0$, then τ satisfies a quadratic equation; this is not possible since τ is not a CM point. Thus, $c = 0$. Then, we may assume that $a = d = 1$. From this, we have

$$n_0\tau - n(\tau + b) = -i - \frac{\mu_1 - 1}{p_1} - \dots - \frac{\mu_k - 1}{p_k}.$$

Since τ is not a rational number, we see that $n = n_0$. Then, we obtain

$$-nb + i = -\frac{\mu_1 - 1}{p_1} - \dots - \frac{\mu_k - 1}{p_k}.$$

This holds only if $\mu_1 = \dots = \mu_k = 1$ and $b = i = 0$. Thus, β is a singular point only for $f_{n_0,0,1,\dots,1}$.

Let p be a prime. In a similar argument, we see that $F_k|U_p$ also has a singularity at a non-CM point for every prime p . By the Siegel-Schneider theorem, $F_k|U_p$ is not defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then, the q -expansion principle implies there is a Fourier coefficient of $F_k|U_p$ at the cusp $i\infty$ which is transcendental. Therefore, F_k has infinitely many transcendental Fourier coefficients. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $f \in H_0^*(\Gamma_0(N))$ be an arithmetic Hecke eigenform. Assume that $(g, \xi_0(f)) \neq 0$ for every Hecke eigenform $g \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$. Let D be a divisor of $X_0(N)$ defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Assume that N is a prime, and Φ_D is not defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then, there exist infinitely many positive integers m prime to N such that $f(T_m.D)$ are transcendental.*

Proof. Let $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ be the set of all normalized Hecke eigenforms in $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$. Assume that f_i has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$f_i(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{f_i}(n) e^{2\pi i n z}.$$

Then, we have

$$(\xi_0(f), f_i) = \sum_{m+n=0} a_{f_i}(m) a_f(n),$$

where $a_f(n)$ is the n -th Fourier coefficient of f^+ at the cusp $i\infty$. Thus, $(\xi_0(f), f_i)$ is algebraic

Note that f_{F_D} is a cusp form in $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$. Assume that $f_{F_D} = \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i f_i$ for some β_i , and that $\xi_0(f) = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i f_i$ for some α_i . By the assumption, $\alpha_i \neq 0$ for all i . Let m be a positive integer

prime to N . Then, we have

$$\xi_0(f|T_m) = m^{-1}\xi_0(f)|T_m = m^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \lambda_{i,m} f_i,$$

where $\lambda_{i,m}$ is the eigenvalue of f_i for T_m . From this, we obtain

$$(\xi_0(f|T_m), f_{F_D}) = m^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k (\alpha_i \lambda_{i,m} f_i, \beta_j f_j) = m^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \bar{\beta}_i \lambda_{i,m} (f_i, f_i).$$

We define

$$\tilde{\beta}_i := \alpha_i \bar{\beta}_i (f_i, f_i)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Since Φ_D is not defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we see that F_D is not defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. This implies that at least one of β_i is transcendental. Note that $\alpha_i(f_i, f_i)$ is a non-zero algebraic number for all i since $\alpha_i(f_i, f_i) = (\xi_0(f), f_i)$ and $\alpha_i \neq 0$ for all i . Thus, at least one of $\tilde{\beta}_i$ is transcendental. As a vector space over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, let W be the subspace of \mathbb{C} generated by $\tilde{\beta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_k$. Let $\{w_1, \dots, w_\ell\}$ be a basis of W , where $w_1 = 1$. We may assume that

$$(4.2) \quad \tilde{\beta}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \beta_{i,j} w_j$$

for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and for some $\beta_{i,j} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. From this, we have

$$(4.3) \quad (\xi_0(f|T_m), f_{F_D}) = m^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{\beta}_i \lambda_{i,m} = m^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \beta_{i,j} w_j \right) \lambda_{i,m} = m^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \beta_{i,j} \lambda_{i,m} \right) w_j.$$

By Lemma 4.1 (2) and (4.3), $f(T_m.D)$ is algebraic if and only if

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_{i,j} \lambda_{i,m} = 0$$

for every $j \geq 2$.

Suppose that $f(T_m.D)$ is algebraic for all positive integers m prime to N . Since at least one of $\tilde{\beta}_i$ is transcendental, at least one of $\beta_{i,j}$ with $j \geq 2$ is non-zero by (4.2). From this, there is a positive integer $j_0 \geq 2$ such that $(\beta_{1,j_0}, \dots, \beta_{\ell,j_0}) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$. We define a cusp form g in $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$ by

$$(4.5) \quad g := \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_{i,j_0} f_i.$$

Note that by (4.4), $a_g(m) = 0$ for all positive integers m prime to N , where $a_g(m)$ denotes the m -th Fourier coefficient of g . This implies that

$$g|U_N|V_N = g \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$$

since N is a prime. By Lemma 16 in [1], $g|U_N$ is a cusp form in $S_2(\Gamma_0(1))$. Since $S_2(\Gamma_0(1)) = \{0\}$, this implies that $g|U_N = 0$. From this, we have $g = 0$ since $a_g(m) \neq 0$ only when $N \mid m$. This

is a contradiction due to the fact that $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ is a basis of $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$ and $(\beta_{1,j_0}, \dots, \beta_{\ell,j_0}) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$. Thus, there is a positive integer m_0 prime to N such that $f(T_{m_0}.D)$ is transcendental.

By (4.4), there exists $j_0 \geq 2$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \beta_{i,j_0} \lambda_{i,m_0} \neq 0$. If we define g by (4.5), then $a_g(m_0) \neq 0$. Thus, the function

$$(g - g|U_N|V_N)(z) = \sum_{N \nmid m} a_g(m) e^{2\pi i m z}$$

is a non-zero cusp form of weight 2. Therefore, there exist infinitely many positive integer m prime to N such that $a_g(m) \neq 0$. With (4.4), this completes the proof. \square

Now we prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. First, we prove that if τ is not a CM point or nD is not rational on $X_0(N)$ for any positive integer n , then $f(T_m.Q_\tau)$ is not algebraic for some positive integer m prime to N . Suppose that τ is not a CM point. Then, by Lemma 4.2, there exists a positive integer m prime to $N \cdot \text{Prin}(f)$ such that (4.1) is transcendental. Since we have

$$f(T_m.Q_\tau) = - \sum_{n \geq 1} a_f(-n) c_{\Phi_D}(mn)$$

for positive integers m prime to $N \cdot \text{Prin}(f)$ by Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.2, we see that $f(T_m.Q_\tau)$ is transcendental for some positive integer m prime to N .

Suppose that τ is a CM point and that nD is not rational on $X_0(N)$ for any positive integer n . This implies that D is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and that D is not a principal divisor. By Theorem 2.2, Φ_D is not defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Therefore, there exists a positive integer m prime to N such that $f(T_m.Q_\tau)$ is not algebraic by Lemma 4.3.

Conversely, suppose that τ is a CM point and nD is rational on $X_0(N)$ for some positive integer n . Then, D is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and D is a principal divisor. By Theorem 2.2, Φ_D is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Thus, all the Fourier coefficients of f_{F_D} at the cusp $i\infty$ is algebraic. Let m be a positive integer prime to N . Then, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$(\xi_0(f|T_m), f_{F_D}) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_{f|T_m}(-n) c_{F_D}(n),$$

where $a_{f|T_m}(n)$ (resp. $c_{F_D}(n)$) is the n -th Fourier coefficient of $(f|T_m)^+$ (resp. f_{F_D}) at the cusp $i\infty$. This implies that $(\xi_0(f|T_m), f_{F_D})$ is algebraic. Therefore, by Lemma 4.1 (2), $f(T_m.Q_\tau)$ is algebraic. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. O. L. Atkin and J. Lehner, *Hecke operators on $\Gamma_0(m)$* , Math. Ann. **185** (1970), 134–160.
- [2] M. H. Baker, *Torsion points on modular curves*, Invent. Math. **140** (2000), no. 3, 487–509.
- [3] R. E. Borcherds, *Automorphic forms with singularities on Grassmannians*, Invent. Math. **132** (1998), no. 3, 491–562.
- [4] J. H. Bruinier and J. Funke, *On two geometric theta lifts*, Duke Math. J. **125** (1) (2004) 45–90.
- [5] J. H. Bruinier and K. Ono, *Heegner divisors, L-functions and harmonic weak Maass forms*, Ann. of Math. (2) **172** (2010), no. 3, 2135–2181.
- [6] J. H. Bruinier, K. Ono, and R. C. Rhoades, *Differential operators for harmonic weak Maass forms and the vanishing of Hecke eigenvalues*, Math. Ann. **342** (2008), 673–693.

- [7] D. Choi, *Poincaré series and the divisors of modular forms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 10, 3393–3403.
- [8] R. F. Coleman, B. Kaskel, and K. Ribet, *Torsion points on $X_0(N)$* , Automorphic forms, automorphic representations, and arithmetic (Fort Worth, TX, 1996), 2749, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 66, Part 1. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [9] F. Diamond and J. Im, *Modular forms and modular curves. Seminar on Fermat’s Last Theorem*, 39–133, CMS Conf. Proc., 17, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.
- [10] P. A. Griffiths, *Introduction to algebraic curves*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island (1989).
- [11] L. Kronecker, *Über die algebraisch auflösbaren Gleichungen*, Berlin K. Akad. Wiss., 365–374, Collected works volume 4.
- [12] K. Ono, *The Web of Modularity: Arithmetic of the Coefficients of Modular Forms and q -Series*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [13] K. Ono, *Unearthing the visions of a master: harmonic Maass forms and number theory*, Proceedings of the 2008 Harvard-MIT current Developments in Mathematics Conference, International Press, Somerville, MA, 2009, 347–454.
- [14] T. Schneider, *Arithmetische Untersuchungen elliptischer Integrale*, Math. Ann. 113 (1937), no. 1, 1–13.
- [15] A. J. Scholl, *Fourier coefficients of Eisenstein series on non-congruence subgroups*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **99** (1986), 11–17.
- [16] C. L. Siegel, *Über die Perioden elliptischer Funktionen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **167** (1932), 6269.
- [17] H. Weber, *Theorie der Abel’schen Zahlkörper*, Acta Math. **8** (1886), no. 1, 193263.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA UNIVERSITY, 145 ANAM-RO, SEONGBUK-GU, SEOUL 02841, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: dohoonchoi@korea.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, SUNGKYUNKWAN UNIVERSITY, JONGNO-GU, SEOUL 03063, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: subong@skku.edu