

# Large gap asymptotics for Airy kernel determinants with discontinuities

Christophe Charlier and Tom Claeys

November 15, 2021

## Abstract

We obtain large gap asymptotics for Airy kernel Fredholm determinants with any number  $m$  of discontinuities. These  $m$ -point determinants are generating functions for the Airy point process and encode probabilistic information about eigenvalues near soft edges in random matrix ensembles. Our main result is that the  $m$ -point determinants can be expressed asymptotically as the product of  $m$  1-point determinants, multiplied by an explicit constant pre-factor which can be interpreted in terms of the covariance of the counting function of the process.

## 1 Introduction

**Airy kernel Fredholm determinants.** The Airy point process or Airy ensemble [37, 40] is one of the most important universal point processes arising in random matrix ensembles and other repulsive particle systems. It describes among others the eigenvalues near soft edges in a wide class of ensembles of large random matrices [23, 38, 19, 20, 14], the largest parts of random partitions or Young diagrams with respect to the Plancherel measure [5, 12], and the transition between liquid and frozen regions in random tilings [30]. It is a determinantal point process, which means that correlation functions can be expressed as determinants involving a correlation kernel, which characterizes the process. This correlation kernel is given in terms of the Airy function by

$$K^{\text{Ai}}(u, v) = \frac{\text{Ai}(u)\text{Ai}'(v) - \text{Ai}'(u)\text{Ai}(v)}{u - v}. \quad (1.1)$$

Let us denote  $N_A$  for the number of points in the process which are contained in the set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ , let  $A_1, \dots, A_m$  be disjoint subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , with  $m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ , and let  $s_1, \dots, s_m \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then, the general theory of determinantal point processes [10, 31, 40] implies that

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m s_j^{N_{A_j}} \right) = \det \left( 1 - \chi_{\cup_j A_j} \sum_{j=1}^m (1 - s_j) \mathcal{K}^{\text{Ai}} \chi_{A_j} \right), \quad (1.2)$$

where the right hand side of this identity denotes the Fredholm determinant of the operator  $\chi_{\cup_j A_j} \sum_{j=1}^m (1 - s_j) \mathcal{K}^{\text{Ai}} \chi_{A_j}$ , with  $\mathcal{K}^{\text{Ai}}$  the integral operator associated to the Airy kernel and  $\chi_A$  the projection operator from  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  to  $L^2(A)$ . The integral kernel operator  $\mathcal{K}^{\text{Ai}}$  is trace-class when acting on bounded real intervals or on unbounded intervals of the form  $(x, +\infty)$ .

In what follows, we take the special choice of subsets

$$A_j = (x_j, x_{j-1}), \quad +\infty =: x_0 > x_1 > \dots > x_m > -\infty,$$

we restrict to  $s_1, \dots, s_m \in [0, 1]$ , and we study the function

$$F(\vec{x}; \vec{s}) = F(x_1, \dots, x_m; s_1, \dots, s_m) := \det \left( 1 - \chi_{(x_m, +\infty)} \sum_{j=1}^m (1 - s_j) \mathcal{K}^{\text{Ai}} \chi_{(x_j, x_{j-1})} \right). \quad (1.3)$$

The case  $m = 1$  corresponds to the Tracy-Widom distribution [41], which can be expressed in terms of the Hastings-McLeod [27] (if  $s_1 = 0$ ) or Ablowitz-Segur [1] (if  $s_1 \in (0, 1)$ ) solutions of the Painlevé II equation. It follows directly from (1.2) that  $F(x; 0)$  is the probability distribution of the largest particle in the Airy point process. The function  $F(x; s)$  for  $s \in (0, 1)$  is the probability distribution of the largest particle in the thinned Airy point process, which is obtained by removing each particle independently with probability  $s$  [13]. For  $m \geq 1$ ,  $F(\vec{x}; \vec{s})$  is the probability to observe a gap on  $(x_m, +\infty)$  in the piecewise constant thinned Airy point process, where each particle on  $(x_j, x_{j-1})$  is removed with probability  $s_j$  (see [16] for a similar situation, with more details provided). It was shown recently that the  $m$ -point determinants  $F(\vec{x}; \vec{s})$  for  $m > 1$  can be expressed identically in terms of solutions to systems of coupled Painlevé II equations [17, 42], which are special cases of integro-differential generalizations of the Painlevé II equations which are connected to the KPZ equation [2, 18]. We refer the reader to [17] for an overview of other probabilistic quantities that can be expressed in terms of  $F(\vec{x}; \vec{s})$  with  $m > 1$ .

**Large gap asymptotics.** Since  $F(\vec{x}; \vec{s})$  is a transcendental function, it is natural to try to approximate it for large values of components of  $\vec{x}$ . Generally speaking, the asymptotics as components of  $\vec{x}$  tend to  $+\infty$  is relatively easy to understand and can be deduced directly from asymptotics for the kernel, but the asymptotics as components of  $\vec{x}$  tend to  $-\infty$  are much more challenging. The problem of finding such *large gap asymptotics* for universal random matrix distributions has a rich history, for an overview see e.g. [33] and [24]. In general, it is particularly challenging to compute the multiplicative constant arising in large gap expansions explicitly. In the case  $m = 1$  with  $s = 0$ , it was proved in [21, 4] that

$$F(x; 0) = 2^{\frac{1}{24}} e^{\zeta'(-1)} |x|^{-\frac{1}{8}} e^{-\frac{|x|^3}{12}} (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow -\infty, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\zeta'$  denotes the derivative of the Riemann zeta function. Tracy and Widom had already obtained this expansion in [41], but without rigorously proving the value  $2^{\frac{1}{24}} e^{\zeta'(-1)}$  of the multiplicative constant. For  $m = 1$  with  $s > 0$ , it is notationally convenient to write  $s = e^{-2\pi i \beta}$  with  $\beta \in i\mathbb{R}$ , and it was proved only recently by Bothner and Buckingham [13] that

$$F(x; s = e^{-2\pi i \beta}) = G(1 + \beta) G(1 - \beta) e^{-\frac{3}{2} \beta^2 \log |4x|} e^{-\frac{4i\beta}{3} |x|^{3/2}} (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow -\infty, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $G$  is Barnes'  $G$ -function, confirming a conjecture from [8]. The error term in (1.5) is uniform for  $\beta$  in compact subsets of the imaginary line.

We generalize these asymptotics to general values of  $m$ , for  $s_2, \dots, s_m \in (0, 1]$ , and  $s_1 \in [0, 1]$ , and show that they exhibit an elegant multiplicative structure. To see this, we need to make a change of variables  $\vec{s} \mapsto \vec{\beta}$ , by defining  $\beta_j \in i\mathbb{R}$  as follows. If  $s_1 > 0$ , we define  $\vec{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$  by

$$e^{-2\pi i \beta_j} = \begin{cases} \frac{s_j}{s_{j+1}} & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ s_m & \text{for } j = m, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

and if  $s_1 = 0$ , we define  $\vec{\beta}_0 = (\beta_2, \dots, \beta_m)$  with  $\beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$  again defined by (1.6). We then denote, if  $s_1 > 0$ ,

$$E(\vec{x}; \vec{\beta}) := \mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m e^{-2\pi i \beta_j N_{(x_j, +\infty)}} \right) = F(\vec{x}; \vec{s}), \quad (1.7)$$

and if  $s_1 = 0$ ,

$$E_0(\vec{x}; \vec{\beta}_0) := \mathbb{E}' \left( \prod_{j=2}^m e^{-2\pi i \beta_j N(x_j, x_1)} \right) = \frac{F(\vec{x}; \vec{s})}{F(x_1; 0)}, \quad (1.8)$$

where  $\mathbb{E}'$  denotes the expectation associated to the law of the particles  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots$  conditioned on the event  $\lambda_1 \leq x_1$ .

**Main result for  $s_1 > 0$ .** We express the asymptotics for the  $m$ -point determinant  $E(\vec{x}; \vec{\beta})$  in two different but equivalent ways. First, we write them as the product of the determinants  $E(x_j; \beta_j)$  with only one singularity (for which asymptotics are given in (1.5)), multiplied by an explicit pre-factor which is bounded in the relevant limit. Secondly, we write them in a more explicit manner.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ , and let  $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$  be of the form  $\vec{x} = r\vec{\tau}$  with  $\vec{\tau} = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m)$  and  $0 > \tau_1 > \tau_2 > \dots > \tau_m$ . For any  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in i\mathbb{R}$ , we have the asymptotics*

$$E(\vec{x}; \vec{\beta}) = e^{-4\pi^2 \sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq m} \beta_j \beta_k \Sigma(\tau_k, \tau_j)} \prod_{j=1}^m E(x_j; \beta_j) \left( 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right) \right), \quad (1.9)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , where  $\Sigma(\tau_k, \tau_j)$  is given by

$$\Sigma(\tau_k, \tau_j) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \log \frac{\left( |\tau_k|^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\tau_j|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2}{\tau_k - \tau_j}. \quad (1.10)$$

The error term is uniformly small for  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$  in compact subsets of  $i\mathbb{R}$ , and for  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m$  such that  $\tau_1 < -\delta$  and  $\min_{1 \leq k < m-1} \{\tau_k - \tau_{k+1}\} > \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Equivalently,

$$E(\vec{x}, \vec{\beta}) = \exp \left( -2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \mu(x_j) - 2\pi^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j^2 \sigma^2(x_j) - 4\pi^2 \sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq m} \beta_j \beta_k \Sigma(\tau_k, \tau_j) + \sum_{j=1}^m \log G(1 + \beta_j) G(1 - \beta_j) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right) \right), \quad (1.11)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , with

$$\mu(x) := \frac{2}{3\pi} |x|^{3/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^2(x) := \frac{3}{4\pi^2} \log |4x|. \quad (1.12)$$

**Remark 1.** The above asymptotics have similarities with the asymptotics for Hankel determinants with  $m$  Fisher-Hartwig singularities studied in [15]. This is quite natural, since the Fredholm determinants  $E(\vec{x}; \vec{\beta})$  and  $E_0(\vec{x}; \vec{\beta}_0)$  can be obtained as scaling limits of such Hankel determinants. However, the asymptotics from [15] were not proved in such scaling limits and cannot be used directly to prove Theorem 1.1. An alternative approach to prove Theorem 1.1 could consist of extending the results from [15] to the relevant scaling limits. This was in fact the approach used in [21] to prove (1.4) in the case  $m = 1$ , but it is not at all obvious how to generalize this method to general  $m$ . Instead, we develop a more direct method to prove Theorem 1.1 which uses differential identities for the Fredholm determinants  $F(\vec{x}; \vec{s})$  with respect to the parameter  $s_m$  together with the known asymptotics for  $m = 1$ . Our approach also allows us to compute the  $r$ -independent prefactor  $e^{-4\pi^2 \sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq m} \beta_j \beta_k \Sigma(\tau_k, \tau_j)}$  in a direct way.

Let us give a more probabilistic interpretation to this result. For  $m = 1$ , we recall that  $E(x; \beta) = \mathbb{E}e^{-2\pi i\beta N_{(x,+\infty)}}$ , and we note that, as  $\beta \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}e^{-2\pi i\beta N_{(x,+\infty)}} = 1 - 2\pi i\beta \mathbb{E}N_{(x,+\infty)} - 2\pi^2\beta^2 \mathbb{E}N_{(x,+\infty)}^2 + \mathcal{O}(\beta^3).$$

Comparing this to the small  $\beta$  expansion of the right hand side of (1.11), we see that the average and variance of  $N_{(x,+\infty)}$  behave as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$  like  $\mu(x)$  and  $\sigma^2(x)$ . More precisely, by expanding the Barnes'  $G$ -functions (see [36, formula 5.17.3]), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}N_{(x,+\infty)} = \frac{2}{3\pi}|x|^{3/2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right), \quad \text{Var}N_{(x,+\infty)} = \frac{3}{4\pi^2} \log |4x| + \frac{1 + \gamma_E}{2\pi^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right),$$

where  $\gamma_E$  is Euler's constant, and asymptotics for higher order moments can be obtained similarly. At least the leading order terms in the above are in fact well-known, see e.g. [6, 26, 39]<sup>1</sup>. For  $m = 2$ , (1.9) implies that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}e^{-2\pi i\beta N_{(x_1,+\infty)}} e^{-2\pi i\beta N_{(x_2,+\infty)}}}{\mathbb{E}e^{-2\pi i\beta N_{(x_1,+\infty)}} \mathbb{E}e^{-2\pi i\beta N_{(x_2,+\infty)}}} = e^{-4\pi^2\beta^2 \Sigma(\tau_1, \tau_2)}.$$

If we expand the above for small  $\beta$  (note that our result holds uniformly for  $\beta \in i\mathbb{R}$  small), we recover the logarithmic covariance structure of the process  $N_{(x,+\infty)}$  (see e.g. [10, 11, 32]), namely we then see that the covariance of  $N_{(x_1,+\infty)}$  and  $N_{(x_2,+\infty)}$  converges as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  to  $\Sigma(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Note in particular that  $\Sigma(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  blows up like a logarithm as  $\tau_1 - \tau_2 \rightarrow 0$ , and that such log-correlations are common for processes arising in random matrix theory and related fields. We also infer that, given  $0 > \tau_1 > \tau_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}N_{(r\tau_2, r\tau_1)} &= \text{Var}N_{(r\tau_1, \infty)} + \text{Var}N_{(r\tau_2, \infty)} - 2\text{Cov}(N_{(r\tau_1, \infty)}, N_{(r\tau_2, \infty)}) \\ &= \frac{3}{2\pi^2} \log r + \frac{3}{4\pi^2} \log |16\tau_1\tau_2| + \frac{1 + \gamma_E}{\pi^2} - 2\Sigma(\tau_1, \tau_2) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ .

We also mention that asymptotics for the first and second exponential moments  $\mathbb{E}e^{-2\pi i\beta N_{(x,+\infty)}}$  and  $\mathbb{E}e^{-2\pi i\beta N_{(x_1,+\infty)} - 2\pi i\beta N_{(x_2,+\infty)}}$  of counting functions are generally important in the theory of multiplicative chaos, see e.g. [3, 7, 35], which allows to give a precise meaning to limits of random measures like  $\frac{e^{-2\pi i\beta N_{(x,+\infty)}}}{\mathbb{E}e^{-2\pi i\beta N_{(x,+\infty)}}}$ , and which provides efficient tools for obtaining global rigidity estimates and statistics of extreme values of the counting function.

**Main result for  $s_1 = 0$ .** The asymptotics for the determinants  $F(\vec{x}; \vec{s})$  if one or more of the parameters  $s_j$  vanish are more complicated. If  $s_j = 0$  for some  $j > 1$ , we expect asymptotics involving elliptic  $\theta$ -functions, but we do not investigate this situation here. The case where the parameter  $s_1$  associated to the rightmost interval  $(x_1, +\infty)$  vanishes is somewhat simpler, and we obtain asymptotics for  $E_0(\vec{x}; \vec{\beta}_0) = F(\vec{x}; \vec{s})/F(x_1; 0)$  in this case. We first express the asymptotics for  $E_0(\vec{x}; \vec{\beta}_0)$  in terms of a Fredholm determinant of the form  $E(\vec{y}; \vec{\beta}_0)$  with  $m - 1$  jump discontinuities, for which asymptotics are given in Theorem 1.1. Secondly, we give an explicit asymptotic expansion for  $E_0(\vec{x}; \vec{\beta}_0)$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>The leading order of the variance does not correspond exactly with the value obtained in [40]. It does correspond to the value obtained by Hagg in [26, Theorem 3.4]. Hagg mentioned the error in [40] in the footnote on p16 of [26].

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ , let  $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$  be of the form  $\vec{x} = r\vec{\tau}$  with  $\vec{\tau} = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m)$  and  $0 > \tau_1 > \tau_2 > \dots > \tau_m$ , and define  $\vec{y} = (y_2, \dots, y_m)$  by  $y_j = x_j - x_1$ . For any  $\beta_2, \dots, \beta_m \in i\mathbb{R}$ , we have as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$E_0(\vec{x}; \vec{\beta}_0) = E(\vec{y}; \vec{\beta}_0) \prod_{j=2}^m \left[ \left( \frac{2(x_1 - x_j)}{x_1 - 2x_j} \right)^{\beta_j^2} e^{-2i\beta_j |x_1| |x_1 - x_j|^{1/2}} \right] \left( 1 + \left( \frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}} \right) \right). \quad (1.13)$$

The error term is uniformly small for  $\beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$  in compact subsets of  $i\mathbb{R}$ , and for  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m$  such that  $\tau_1 < -\delta$  and  $\min_{1 \leq k \leq m-1} \{\tau_k - \tau_{k+1}\} > \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ .

Equivalently,

$$E_0(\vec{x}, \vec{\beta}_0) = \exp \left( -2\pi i \sum_{j=2}^m \beta_j \mu_0(x_j) - 2\pi^2 \sum_{j=2}^m \beta_j^2 \sigma_0^2(x_j) - 4\pi^2 \sum_{2 \leq k < j \leq m} \beta_j \beta_k \Sigma_0(\tau_k, \tau_j) + \sum_{j=2}^m \log G(1 + \beta_j) G(1 - \beta_j) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right) \right), \quad (1.14)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , with

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0(x) &:= \frac{2}{3\pi} |x_1 - x|^{3/2} + \frac{|x_1|}{\pi} |x_1 - x|^{1/2} = \mu(x - x_1) + \frac{|x_1|}{\pi} |x_1 - x|^{1/2}, \\ \sigma_0^2(x) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \log \left( 8|x_1 - x|^{3/2} + 4|x_1| |x_1 - x|^{1/2} \right) = \sigma^2(x - x_1) - \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \log \frac{2(x_1 - x)}{x_1 - 2x}, \\ \Sigma_0(\tau_k, \tau_j) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \log \frac{\left( |\tau_k - \tau_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\tau_j - \tau_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2}{\tau_k - \tau_j} = \Sigma(\tau_k - \tau_1, \tau_j - \tau_1). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.** We can again give a probabilistic interpretation to this result. In a similar way as explained in the case  $s_1 > 0$ , we can expand the above result for  $m = 2$  as  $\beta_2 \rightarrow 0$  to conclude that the mean and variance of the random counting function  $N'_{(x_2, x_1)}$ , conditioned on the event  $\lambda_1 \leq x_1$ , behave, in the asymptotic scaling of Theorem (1.2), like  $\mu_0(x)$  and  $\sigma_0^2(x)$ . Doing the same for  $m = 3$  implies that the covariance of  $N'_{(x_2, x_1)}$  and  $N'_{(x_3, x_1)}$  converges to  $\Sigma_0(\tau_2, \tau_3)$ .

**Remark 3.** Another probabilistic interpretation can be given through the thinned Airy point process, which is obtained by removing each particle in the Airy point process independently with probability  $s = e^{-2\pi i\beta}$ ,  $s \in (0, 1)$ . We denote  $\mu_1^{(s)}$  for the maximal particle in this thinned process. It is natural to ask what information a thinned configuration gives about the parent configuration. For instance, suppose that we know that  $\mu_1^{(s)}$  is smaller than a certain value  $x_2$ , then what is the probability that the largest overall particle  $\lambda_1 = \mu_1^{(0)}$  is smaller than  $x_1$ ? For  $x_1 > x_2$ , we have that the joint probability of the events  $\mu_1^{(s)} < x_2$  and  $\lambda_1 < x_1$  is given by (see [17, Section 2])

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \mu_1^{(s)} < x_2 \text{ and } \lambda_1 < x_1 \right) = F(x_1, x_2; 0, s) = E_0((x_1, x_2); \beta) F(x_1; 0).$$

If we set  $0 > x_1 = r\tau_1 > x_2 = r\tau_2$  and let  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , Theorem 1.2 implies that

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \mu_1^{(s)} < x_2 \text{ and } \lambda_1 < x_1 \right) = F(x_1; 0) E(x_2 - x_1; \beta) \left( \frac{x_1 - 2x_2}{2(x_1 - x_2)} \right)^{-\beta^2} e^{-2i\beta |x_1| |x_1 - x_2|^{1/2}} \left( 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right) \right),$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\mu_1^{(s)} < x_2 \text{ and } \lambda_1 < x_1\right) &= \mathbb{P}(\lambda_1 < x_1)\mathbb{P}(\mu_1^{(s)} < x_2 - x_1) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{x_1 - 2x}{2(x_1 - x)}\right)^{-\beta^2} e^{-2i\beta|x_1||x_1 - x_2|^{1/2}} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

This describes the tail behavior of the joint distribution of the largest particle distribution of the Airy point process and the associated largest thinned particle.

**Outline.** In Section 2, we will derive a suitable differential identity, which expresses the logarithmic partial derivative of  $F(\vec{x}; \vec{s})$  with respect to  $s_m$  in terms of a Riemann-Hilbert (RH) problem. In Section 3, we will perform an asymptotic analysis of the RH problem to obtain asymptotics for the differential identity as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$  in the case where  $s_1 = 0$ . This will allow us to integrate the differential identity asymptotically and to prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 4. In Section 5 and in Section 6, we do a similar analysis, but now in the case  $s_1 > 0$  to prove Theorem 1.1.

**Acknowledgements.** C.C. was supported by the Swedish Research Council, Grant No. 2015-05430. T.C. was supported by the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique-FNRS under EOS project 0013018F.

## 2 Differential identity for $F$

**Deformation theory of Fredholm determinants.** In this section, we will obtain an identity for the logarithmic derivative of  $F(\vec{x}; \vec{s})$  with respect to  $s_m$ , which will be the starting point of our proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. To do this, we follow a general procedure known as the Its-Izergin-Korepin-Slavnov method [28], which applies to integral operators of *integrable type*, which means that the kernel of the operator can be written in the form  $K(x, y) = \frac{f^T(x)g(y)}{x-y}$  where  $f(x)$  and  $g(y)$  are column vectors which are such that  $f^T(x)g(x) = 0$ . The operator  $\mathcal{K}_{\vec{x}, \vec{s}}$  defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_{\vec{x}, \vec{s}} f(x) = \chi_{(x_m, +\infty)}(x) \sum_{j=1}^m (1 - s_j) \int_{x_j}^{x_{j-1}} K^{\text{Ai}}(x, y) f(y) dy \quad (2.1)$$

is of this type, since we can take

$$f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Ai}(x)\chi_{(x_m, +\infty)}(x) \\ \text{Ai}'(x)\chi_{(x_m, +\infty)}(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad g(y) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^m (1 - s_j) \text{Ai}'(y)\chi_{(x_j, x_{j-1})}(y) \\ -\sum_{j=1}^m (1 - s_j) \text{Ai}(y)\chi_{(x_j, x_{j-1})}(y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using general theory of integral kernel operators, if  $s_m \neq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{s_m} \log \det(1 - \mathcal{K}_{\vec{x}, \vec{s}}) &= -\text{Tr} \left( (1 - \mathcal{K}_{\vec{x}, \vec{s}})^{-1} \partial_{s_m} \mathcal{K}_{\vec{x}, \vec{s}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - s_m} \text{Tr} \left( (1 - \mathcal{K}_{\vec{x}, \vec{s}})^{-1} \mathcal{K}_{\vec{x}, \vec{s}} \chi_{(x_m, x_{m-1})} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - s_m} \text{Tr} \left( \mathcal{R}_{\vec{x}, \vec{s}} \chi_{(x_m, x_{m-1})} \right) = \frac{1}{1 - s_m} \int_{x_m}^{x_{m-1}} R_{\vec{x}, \vec{s}}(\xi, \xi) d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_{\vec{x}, \vec{s}}$  is the resolvent operator defined by

$$1 + \mathcal{R}_{\vec{x}, \vec{s}} = (1 - \mathcal{K}_{\vec{x}, \vec{s}})^{-1},$$




Figure 1: Jump contours for the model RH problem for  $\Psi$  with  $m = 3$ .

and where  $R_{\vec{x}, \vec{s}}$  is the associated kernel. Using the Its-Izergin-Korepin-Slavnov method, it was shown in [17, proof of Proposition 1] that the resolvent kernel  $R_{\vec{x}, \vec{s}}(\xi; \xi)$  can be expressed in terms of a RH problem. For  $\xi \in (x_m, x_{m-1})$ , we have

$$R_{\vec{x}, \vec{s}}(\xi, \xi) = \frac{1 - s_m}{2\pi i} (\Psi_+^{-1} \Psi'_+)_{21}(\zeta = \xi - x_m; x = x_m, \vec{y}, \vec{s}), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\Psi(\zeta)$  is the solution, depending on parameters  $x, \vec{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}), \vec{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_m)$ , to the following RH problem. The relevant values of the components  $y_j$  of  $\vec{y}$  are given as  $y_j = x_j - x_m > 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, m-1$ , and the relevant value of  $x$  is  $x = x_m$ .

### RH problem for $\Psi$

- (a)  $\Psi : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$  is analytic, with

$$\Gamma = \mathbb{R} \cup e^{\pm \frac{2\pi i}{3}}(0, +\infty) \quad (2.3)$$

and  $\Gamma$  oriented as in Figure 1.

- (b)  $\Psi(\zeta)$  has continuous boundary values as  $\zeta \in \Gamma \setminus \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$  is approached from the left (+ side) or from the right (- side) and they are related by

$$\begin{cases} \Psi_+(\zeta) = \Psi_-(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{for } \zeta \in e^{\pm \frac{2\pi i}{3}}(0, +\infty), \\ \Psi_+(\zeta) = \Psi_-(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{for } \zeta \in (-\infty, 0), \\ \Psi_+(\zeta) = \Psi_-(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s_j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{for } \zeta \in (y_j, y_{j-1}), j = 1, \dots, m, \end{cases}$$

where we write  $y_m = 0$  and  $y_0 = +\infty$ .

- (c) As  $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ , there exist matrices  $\Psi_1, \Psi_2$  depending on  $x, \vec{y}, \vec{s}$  but not on  $\zeta$  such that  $\Psi$  has the asymptotic behavior

$$\Psi(\zeta) = (I + \Psi_1 \zeta^{-1} + \Psi_2 \zeta^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-3})) \zeta^{\frac{1}{4} \sigma_3} M^{-1} e^{-(\frac{2}{3} \zeta^{3/2} + x \zeta^{1/2}) \sigma_3}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $M = (I + i\sigma_1)/\sqrt{2}$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ , and where principal branches of  $\zeta^{3/2}$  and  $\zeta^{1/2}$  are taken.

(d)  $\Psi(\zeta) = \mathcal{O}(\log(\zeta - y_j))$  as  $\zeta \rightarrow y_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ .

We can conclude from this result that

$$\partial_{s_m} \log \det(1 - \mathcal{K}_{\vec{x}, \vec{s}}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{x_m}^{x_{m-1}} (\Psi_+^{-1} \Psi'_+)_{21}(\zeta = \xi - x_m; x = x_m, \vec{y}, \vec{s}) d\xi. \quad (2.5)$$

From here on, we could try to obtain asymptotics for  $\Psi$  as  $\vec{y} \mapsto r\vec{y}$  with  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . However, we can simplify the right-hand side of the above identity and evaluate the integral explicitly. To do this, we follow ideas similar to those of [13, Section 3].

**Lax pair identities.** We know from [17, Section 3] that  $\Psi$  satisfies a Lax pair. More precisely, if we define

$$\Phi(\zeta; x) = e^{\frac{1}{4}\pi i \sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\Psi_{1,21} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Psi(\zeta; x), \quad (2.6)$$

then we have the differential equation

$$\partial_\zeta \Phi(\zeta; x) = A(\zeta; x) \Phi(\zeta; x),$$

where  $A$  is traceless and takes the form

$$A(\zeta; x) = \zeta \sigma_+ + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\partial_x \Psi_{1,21} + \frac{x}{2} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\zeta - y_j} A_j(x), \quad (2.7)$$

for some matrices  $A_j$  independent of  $\zeta$ , and where  $\sigma_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Therefore, we have

$$\partial_\zeta \Psi(\zeta; x) = \widehat{A}(\zeta; x) \Psi(\zeta; x),$$

and we can use the relation  $-i\partial_x \Psi_{1,21} + \Psi_{1,21}^2 = 2\Psi_{1,11}$  (see [17, (3.20)]) to see that  $\widehat{A}$  takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{A}(\zeta; x) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \Psi_{1,21} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4} \sigma_3} A(\zeta; x) e^{\frac{\pi i}{4} \sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\Psi_{1,21} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= i \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{1,21} & -\frac{x}{2} - \zeta - 2\Psi_{1,11} \\ 1 & -\Psi_{1,21} \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\widehat{A}_j(x)}{\zeta - y_j}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where the matrices  $\widehat{A}_j(x)$  are independent of  $\zeta$  and have zero trace. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi^{-1} \Psi')_{21} &= (\Psi^{-1} \widehat{A} \Psi)_{21} = \Psi_{11}^2 \widehat{A}_{21} - \Psi_{21}^2 \widehat{A}_{12} - 2\Psi_{11} \Psi_{21} \widehat{A}_{11} \\ &= (\Psi \sigma_+ \Psi^{-1})_{12} \left( i + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\widehat{A}_{j,21}}{\zeta - y_j} \right) + 2(\Psi \sigma_+ \Psi^{-1})_{11} \left( i\Psi_{1,21} + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\widehat{A}_{j,11}}{\zeta - y_j} \right) \\ &\quad + (\Psi \sigma_+ \Psi^{-1})_{21} \left( -i \left( \frac{x}{2} + \zeta + 2\Psi_{1,11} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\widehat{A}_{j,12}}{\zeta - y_j} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Next, using the RH conditions for  $\Psi$ , we can show that

$$\widehat{F}(\zeta) := \partial_{s_m} \Psi(\zeta) \Psi^{-1}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{y_{m-1}} \Psi_-(\xi) \sigma_+ \Psi_-(\xi)^{-1} \frac{d\xi}{\xi - \zeta}.$$



As  $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ , this implies

$$\widehat{F}(\zeta) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i \zeta} \int_0^{y_{m-1}} \Psi_-(\xi) \sigma_+ \Psi_-(\xi)^{-1} d\xi - \frac{1}{2\pi i \zeta^2} \int_0^{y_{m-1}} \Psi_-(\xi) \sigma_+ \Psi_-(\xi)^{-1} \xi d\xi + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-3}).$$

But we can also express  $\widehat{F}$  at infinity in terms of the matrices  $\Psi_1, \Psi_2$  in the expansion of  $\Psi$  at infinity:

$$\widehat{F}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\zeta} \partial_{s_m} \Psi_1 + \frac{1}{\zeta^2} (\partial_{s_m} \Psi_2 - \partial_{s_m} \Psi_1 \Psi_1) + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-3}).$$

The compatibility of these expansions yields the identities

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{y_{m-1}} \Psi_-(\xi) \sigma_+ \Psi_-(\xi)^{-1} d\xi = \partial_{s_m} \Psi_1, \quad (2.10)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{y_{m-1}} \Psi_-(\xi) \sigma_+ \Psi_-(\xi)^{-1} \xi d\xi = \partial_{s_m} \Psi_2 - \partial_{s_m} \Psi_1 \Psi_1. \quad (2.11)$$

Following again [17], see in particular formula (3.15) in that paper, we can express  $\Psi$  in a neighborhood of  $y_j$  as

$$\Psi(\zeta) = G_j(\zeta) \left( I + \frac{s_{j+1} - s_j}{2\pi i} \sigma_+ \log(\zeta - y_j) \right), \quad (2.12)$$

for  $0 < \arg(\zeta - y_j) < \frac{2\pi}{3}$  and with  $G_j$  analytic at  $y_j$ . This implies that

$$\widehat{A}_j = \frac{s_{j+1} - s_j}{2\pi i} G_j(y_j) \sigma_+ G_j(y_j)^{-1} = \frac{s_{j+1} - s_j}{2\pi i} \begin{pmatrix} -G_{j,11}(y_j) G_{j,21}(y_j) & G_{j,11}^2(y_j) \\ -G_{j,21}^2(y_j) & G_{j,11}(y_j) G_{j,21}(y_j) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.13)$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , where we denoted  $s_{m+1} = 1$ , and also that

$$\widehat{F}(y_j) = \partial_{s_m} G_j(y_j) G_j(y_j)^{-1}, \quad \text{if } j \neq m, m-1, \quad (2.14)$$

$$\widehat{F}(\zeta) = \partial_{s_m} G_m(y_m) G_m(y_m)^{-1} - \frac{\log(\zeta - y_m)}{1 - s_m} \widehat{A}_m + o(1), \quad \text{as } \zeta \rightarrow y_m, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\widehat{F}(\zeta) = \partial_{s_m} G_{m-1}(y_{m-1}) G_{m-1}(y_{m-1})^{-1} + \frac{\log(\zeta - y_{m-1})}{s_m - s_{m-1}} \widehat{A}_{m-1} + o(1), \quad \text{as } \zeta \rightarrow y_{m-1}. \quad (2.16)$$

Using (2.10)–(2.11), (2.13) (in particular the fact that  $\det \widehat{A}_j = 0$ ) and (2.14)–(2.16) while substituting (2.9) into (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{s_m} \log \det(1 - \mathcal{K}_{\vec{x}, \vec{s}}) &= i \partial_{s_m} \left( \Psi_{2,21} - \Psi_{1,12} + \frac{x}{2} \Psi_{1,21} \right) + i \partial_{s_m} \Psi_{1,21} \Psi_{1,11} - i \partial_{s_m} \Psi_{1,11} \Psi_{1,21} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{s_{j+1} - s_j}{2\pi i} \left[ - [\partial_{s_m} G_j G_j^{-1}]_{12} G_{j,21}^2 + [\partial_{s_m} G_j G_j^{-1}]_{21} G_{j,11}^2 - 2 [\partial_{s_m} G_j G_j^{-1}]_{11} G_{j,11} G_{j,21} \right]_{\zeta=y_j}. \end{aligned}$$

The above sum can be simplified using the fact that  $\det G_j \equiv 1$ , and we finally get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{s_m} \log \det(1 - \mathcal{K}_{\vec{x}, \vec{s}}) &= i \partial_{s_m} \left( \Psi_{2,21} - \Psi_{1,12} + \frac{x}{2} \Psi_{1,21} \right) + i \partial_{s_m} \Psi_{1,21} \Psi_{1,11} - i \partial_{s_m} \Psi_{1,11} \Psi_{1,21} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{s_{j+1} - s_j}{2\pi i} [G_{j,11} \partial_{s_k} G_{j,21} - G_{j,21} \partial_{s_k} G_{j,11}]_{\zeta=y_j}, \quad (2.17) \end{aligned}$$

where  $s_{m+1} = 1$ . The only quantities appearing at the right hand side are  $\Psi_1, \Psi_{2,21}$  and  $G_j$ . In the next sections, we will derive asymptotics for these quantities as  $\vec{x} = r\vec{\tau}$  with  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ .

### 3 Asymptotic analysis of RH problem for $\Psi$ with $s_1 = 0$

We now scale our parameters by setting  $\vec{x} = r\vec{\tau}$ ,  $\vec{y} = r\vec{\eta}$ , with  $\eta_j = \tau_j - \tau_m$ . We assume that  $0 > \tau_1 > \dots > \tau_m$ . The goal of this section is to obtain asymptotics for  $\Psi$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . This will also lead us to large  $r$  asymptotics for the differential identity (2.17). In this section, we deal with the case  $s_1 = 0$ . The general strategy in this section has many similarities with the analysis in [15], needed in the study of Hankel determinants with several Fisher-Hartwig singularities.

#### 3.1 Re-scaling of the RH problem

Define the function  $T(\lambda) = T(\lambda; \vec{\eta}, \tau_m, \vec{s})$  as follows,

$$T(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{i}{4}(\eta_1^2 + 2\tau_m\eta_1)r^{3/2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} r^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} \Psi(r\lambda + r\eta_1; x = r\tau_m, r\vec{\eta}, \vec{s}). \quad (3.1)$$

The asymptotics (2.4) of  $\Psi$  then imply after a straightforward calculation that  $T$  behaves as

$$T(\lambda) = \left( I + T_1 \frac{1}{\lambda} + T_2 \frac{1}{\lambda^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^3}\right) \right) \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} M^{-1} e^{-r^{3/2}(\frac{2}{3}\lambda^{3/2} + (\tau_m + \eta_1)\lambda^{1/2})\sigma_3}, \quad (3.2)$$

as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , where the principal branches of the roots are chosen. The entries of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are related to those of  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  in (2.4): we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{1,11} &= \frac{\Psi_{1,11}}{r} + \Psi_{1,21} \frac{iA}{4} r + \frac{\eta_1}{4} - \frac{A^2 r^3}{32} = -T_{1,22}, \\ T_{1,12} &= \frac{\Psi_{1,12}}{r^{3/2}} - \frac{iA}{2} \Psi_{1,11} r^{1/2} - \frac{i\eta_1^2}{24} (2\eta_1 + 3\tau_m) r^{3/2} + \frac{A^2}{16} \Psi_{1,21} r^{5/2} + \frac{iA^3}{192} r^{9/2}, \\ T_{1,21} &= \frac{\Psi_{1,21}}{r^{1/2}} + \frac{iAr^{3/2}}{4}, \\ T_{2,21} &= \frac{\Psi_{2,21}}{r^{3/2}} - \frac{3\eta_1}{4r^{1/2}} \Psi_{1,21} - \frac{iA}{4} \Psi_{1,11} r^{1/2} - \frac{i\eta_1^2}{48} (5\eta_1 + 12\tau_m) r^{3/2} \\ &\quad + \frac{A^2}{32} \Psi_{1,21} r^{5/2} + \frac{iA^3}{384} r^{9/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$A = (\eta_1^2 + 2\tau_m\eta_1).$$

The singularities in the  $\lambda$ -plane are now located at the (non-positive) points  $\lambda_j = \eta_j - \eta_1 = \tau_j - \tau_1$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ .

#### 3.2 Normalization with $g$ -function and opening of lenses

In order to normalize the RH problem at  $\infty$ , in view of (3.2), we define the  $g$ -function by

$$g(\lambda) = -\frac{2}{3}\lambda^{3/2} - \tau_1\lambda^{1/2}, \quad (3.4)$$

once more with principal branches of the roots. Also, around each interval  $(\lambda_j, \lambda_{j-1})$ ,  $j = 2, \dots, m$ , we will split the jump contour in three parts. This procedure is generally called the opening of the lenses. Let us consider lens-shaped contours  $\gamma_{j,+}$  and  $\gamma_{j,-}$ , lying in the upper and lower half plane

respectively, as shown in Figure 2. Let us also denote  $\Omega_{j,+}$  (resp.  $\Omega_{j,-}$ ) for the region inside the lenses around  $(\lambda_j, \lambda_{j-1})$  in the upper half plane (resp. in the lower half plane). Then we define  $S$  by

$$S(\lambda) = T(\lambda)e^{-r^{3/2}g(\lambda)\sigma_3} \prod_{j=2}^m \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -s_j^{-1}e^{-2r^{3/2}g(\lambda)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } \lambda \in \Omega_{j,+}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ s_j^{-1}e^{-2r^{3/2}g(\lambda)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } \lambda \in \Omega_{j,-}, \\ I, & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Omega_{j,+} \cup \Omega_{j,-}). \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

In order to derive RH conditions for  $S$ , we need to use the RH problem for  $\Psi$ , the definitions (3.1) of  $T$  and (3.5) of  $S$ , and the fact that  $g_+(\lambda) + g_-(\lambda) = 0$  for  $\lambda \in (-\infty, 0)$ . This allows us to conclude that  $S$  satisfies the following RH problem.

### RH problem for $S$

(a)  $S : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$  is analytic, with

$$\Gamma_S = (-\infty, 0] \cup (\lambda_m + e^{\pm \frac{2\pi i}{3}}(0, +\infty)) \cup \gamma_+ \cup \gamma_-, \quad \gamma_{\pm} = \bigcup_{j=2}^m \gamma_{j,\pm}, \quad (3.6)$$

and  $\Gamma_S$  oriented as in Figure 2.

(b) The jumps for  $S$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_+(\lambda) &= S_-(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_j \\ -s_j^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in (\lambda_j, \lambda_{j-1}), \quad j = 2, \dots, m+1, \\ S_+(\lambda) &= S_-(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ s_j^{-1}e^{-2r^{3/2}g(\lambda)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \gamma_{j,+} \cup \gamma_{j,-}, \quad j = 2, \dots, m, \\ S_+(\lambda) &= S_-(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-2r^{3/2}g(\lambda)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \lambda_m + e^{\pm \frac{2\pi i}{3}}(0, +\infty), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda_{m+1} = -\infty$  and  $s_{m+1} = 1$ .

(c) As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$S(\lambda) = \left( I + \frac{T_1}{\lambda} + \frac{T_2}{\lambda^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^3}\right) \right) \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} M^{-1}. \quad (3.7)$$

(d)  $S(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(\log(\lambda - \lambda_j))$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ .

Let us now take a closer look at the jump matrices on the lenses  $\gamma_{j,\pm}$ . By (3.4), we have

$$\Re g(re^{i\theta}) = -\frac{2}{3}r^{3/2} \cos\left(\frac{3\theta}{2}\right) - (\eta_1 + \tau_m)r^{1/2} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right), \quad \text{for } \theta \in (-\pi, \pi], \quad r > 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Since  $\eta_1 + \tau_m = \tau_1 < 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re g(re^{i\theta}) &> -\frac{2}{3}r^{3/2} \cos\left(\frac{3\theta}{2}\right) > 0, & \frac{\pi}{3} < |\theta| < \pi, \\ \Re g(re^{i\theta}) &= 0, & |\theta| = \pi. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the jumps for  $S$  are exponentially close to  $I$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$  on the lenses, and on  $\lambda_m + e^{\pm \frac{2\pi i}{3}}(0, +\infty)$ . This convergence is uniform outside neighborhoods of  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ , but is not uniform as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$  and simultaneously  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_j$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ .




Figure 2: Jump contours  $\Gamma_S$  for  $S$  with  $m = 3$  and  $s_1 = 0$ .

### 3.3 Global parametrix

We will now construct approximations to  $S$  for large  $r$ , which will turn out later to be valid in different regions of the complex plane. We need to distinguish between neighborhoods of each of the singularities  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  and the remaining part of the complex plane. We call the approximation to  $S$  away from the singularities the *global parametrix*. To construct it, we ignore the jump matrices near  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  and the exponentially small entries in the jumps as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$  on the lenses  $\gamma_{j,\pm}$ . In other words, we aim to find a solution to the following RH problem.

#### RH problem for $P^{(\infty)}$

- (a)  $P^{(\infty)} : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$  is analytic.
- (b) The jumps for  $P^{(\infty)}$  are given by

$$P_+^{(\infty)}(\lambda) = P_-^{(\infty)}(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_j \\ -s_j^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda \in (\lambda_j, \lambda_{j-1}), \quad j = 2, \dots, m+1.$$

- (c) As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$P^{(\infty)}(\lambda) = \left( I + \frac{P_1^{(\infty)}}{\lambda} + \frac{P_2^{(\infty)}}{\lambda^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^3}\right) \right) \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} M^{-1}. \quad (3.9)$$

The solution to this RH problem is not unique unless we specify its local behavior as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  and as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_j$ . We will construct a solution  $P^{(\infty)}$  which is bounded as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_j$  for  $j = 2, \dots, m$ , and which is  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}})$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . We take it of the form

$$P^{(\infty)}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & id_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} M^{-1} D(\lambda)^{-\sigma_3}, \quad (3.10)$$

with  $D$  a function depending on the  $\lambda_j$ 's and  $\vec{s}$ , and where we define  $d_1$  below. In order to satisfy the above RH conditions, we need to take

$$D(\lambda) = \exp \left( \frac{\lambda^{1/2}}{2\pi} \sum_{j=2}^m \log s_j \int_{\lambda_j}^{\lambda_{j-1}} (-u)^{-1/2} \frac{du}{\lambda - u} \right). \quad (3.11)$$

For later use, let us now take a closer look at the asymptotics of  $P^{(\infty)}$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  and as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_j$ . For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{N>0}$ , as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  we have,

$$D(\lambda) = \exp\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^k \frac{d_\ell}{\lambda^{\ell-\frac{1}{2}}} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-k-\frac{1}{2}})\right) = 1 + d_1 \lambda^{-1/2} + \frac{d_1^2}{2} \lambda^{-1} + \left(\frac{d_1^3}{6} + d_2\right) \lambda^{-3/2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-2}), \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$d_\ell = \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1} \log s_j}{2\pi} \int_{\lambda_j}^{\lambda_{j-1}} (-u)^{\ell-\frac{3}{2}} du = \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1} \log s_j}{\pi(2\ell-1)} \left(|\lambda_j|^{\ell-\frac{1}{2}} - |\lambda_{j-1}|^{\ell-\frac{1}{2}}\right), \quad (3.13)$$

and this also defines the value of  $d_1$  in (3.10). A long but direct computation shows that

$$P_1^{(\infty)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{d_1^2}{2} & \frac{i}{3}(d_1^3 - 3d_2) \\ id_1 & \frac{d_1^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_2^{(\infty)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{d_1^4}{8} & \frac{i}{30}(d_1^5 + 15d_2d_1^2 - 30d_3) \\ \frac{i}{6}(d_1^3 + 6d_2) & \frac{d_1^4}{24} + d_2d_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.14)$$

To study the local behavior of  $P^{(\infty)}$  near  $\lambda_j$ , it is convenient to use a different representation of  $D$ , namely

$$D(\lambda) = \prod_{j=2}^m D_j(\lambda), \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$D_j(\lambda) = \frac{\left(\frac{(\sqrt{\lambda} - i\sqrt{|\lambda_{j-1}|})(\sqrt{\lambda} + i\sqrt{|\lambda_j|})}{(\sqrt{\lambda} - i\sqrt{|\lambda_j|})(\sqrt{\lambda} + i\sqrt{|\lambda_{j-1}|})}\right)^{\frac{\log s_j}{2\pi i}}}{\left(\frac{(\sqrt{\lambda} - i\sqrt{|\lambda_{j-1}|})(\sqrt{\lambda} + i\sqrt{|\lambda_j|})}{(\sqrt{\lambda} - i\sqrt{|\lambda_j|})(\sqrt{\lambda} + i\sqrt{|\lambda_{j-1}|})}\right)^{\frac{\log s_j}{2\pi i}}}. \quad (3.16)$$

From this representation, it is straightforward to derive the following expansions. As  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_j$ ,  $j \in \{2, \dots, m\}$ ,  $\Im \lambda > 0$ , we have

$$D_j(\lambda) = \sqrt{s_j} T_{j,j}^{\frac{\log s_j}{2\pi i}} (\lambda - \lambda_j)^{-\frac{\log s_j}{2\pi i}} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda - \lambda_j)), \quad T_{j,j} = \frac{4|\lambda_j|(\sqrt{|\lambda_j|} - \sqrt{|\lambda_{j-1}|})}{\sqrt{|\lambda_j|} + \sqrt{|\lambda_{j-1}|}}.$$

As  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{j-1}$ ,  $j \in \{3, \dots, m\}$ ,  $\Im \lambda > 0$ , we have

$$D_j(\lambda) = T_{j,j-1}^{\frac{\log s_j}{2\pi i}} (\lambda - \lambda_{j-1})^{\frac{\log s_j}{2\pi i}} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda - \lambda_{j-1})), \quad T_{j,j-1} = \frac{\sqrt{|\lambda_j|} + \sqrt{|\lambda_{j-1}|}}{4|\lambda_{j-1}|(\sqrt{|\lambda_j|} - \sqrt{|\lambda_{j-1}|})}.$$

For  $j \in \{2, \dots, m\}$ , as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_k$ ,  $k \in \{2, \dots, m\}$ ,  $k \neq j, j-1$ ,  $\Im \lambda > 0$ , we have

$$D_j(\lambda) = T_{j,k}^{\frac{\log s_j}{2\pi i}} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda - \lambda_k)), \quad T_{j,k} = \frac{(\sqrt{|\lambda_k|} - \sqrt{|\lambda_{j-1}|})(\sqrt{|\lambda_k|} + \sqrt{|\lambda_j|})}{(\sqrt{|\lambda_k|} - \sqrt{|\lambda_j|})(\sqrt{|\lambda_k|} + \sqrt{|\lambda_{j-1}|})}. \quad (3.17)$$

Note that  $T_{j,k} \neq T_{k,j}$  for  $j \neq k$  and  $T_{j,k} > 0$  for all  $j, k$ . From the above expansions, we obtain, as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_j$ ,  $\Im \lambda > 0$ ,  $j \in \{2, \dots, m\}$ , that

$$D(\lambda) = \sqrt{s_j} \left( \prod_{k=2}^m T_{k,j}^{\frac{\log s_k}{2\pi i}} \right) (\lambda - \lambda_j)^{\beta_j} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda - \lambda_j)), \quad (3.18)$$

where  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m$  are as in (1.6). The first two terms in the expansion of  $D(\lambda)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_1 = 0$  are given by

$$D(\lambda) = \sqrt{s_2} \left( 1 - d_0 \sqrt{\lambda} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda) \right), \quad (3.19)$$

where

$$d_0 = \frac{\log s_2}{\pi \sqrt{|\lambda_2|}} - \sum_{j=3}^m \frac{\log s_j}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\lambda_{j-1}|}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\lambda_j|}} \right). \quad (3.20)$$

The above expressions simplify if we write them in terms of  $\beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$  defined by (1.6). For all  $\ell \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , we have

$$d_\ell = \frac{2i(-1)^\ell}{2\ell - 1} \sum_{j=2}^m \beta_j |\lambda_j|^{\ell - \frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.21)$$

We also have the identity

$$\prod_{k=2}^m T_{k,j}^{\frac{\log s_k}{2\pi i}} = (4|\lambda_j|)^{-\beta_j} \prod_{\substack{k=2 \\ k \neq j}}^m \tilde{T}_{k,j}^{-\beta_k}, \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{T}_{k,j} = \frac{\left( \sqrt{|\lambda_j|} + \sqrt{|\lambda_k|} \right)^2}{|\lambda_j - \lambda_k|}, \quad (3.22)$$

which will turn out useful later on.

### 3.4 Local parametrices

As a local approximation to  $S$  in the vicinity of  $\lambda_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , we construct a function  $P^{(\lambda_j)}$  in a fixed but sufficiently small (such that the disks do not intersect or touch each other) disk  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}$  around  $\lambda_j$ . This function should satisfy the same jump relations as  $S$  inside the disk, and it should match with the global parametrix at the boundary of the disk. More precisely, we require the matching condition

$$P^{(\lambda_j)}(\lambda) = (I + o(1))P^{(\infty)}(\lambda), \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (3.23)$$

uniformly for  $\lambda \in \partial\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}$ . The construction near  $\lambda_1$  is different from the ones near  $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$ .

#### 3.4.1 Local parametrices around $\lambda_j$ , $j = 2, \dots, m$

For  $j \in \{2, \dots, m\}$ ,  $P^{(\lambda_j)}$  can be constructed in terms of Whittaker's confluent hypergeometric functions. This type of construction is well understood and relies on the solution  $\Psi_{\text{HG}}(z)$  to a model RH problem, which we recall in Appendix A.3 for the convenience of the reader. For more details about it, we refer to [29, 25, 15]. Let us first consider the function

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\lambda_j}(\lambda) &= -2 \begin{cases} g(\lambda) - g_+(\lambda_j), & \text{if } \Im\lambda > 0, \\ -(g(\lambda) - g_-(\lambda_j)), & \text{if } \Im\lambda < 0, \end{cases} \\ &= -\frac{4i}{3} \left( (-\lambda)^{3/2} - (-\lambda_j)^{3/2} \right) + 2\tau_1 i \left( (-\lambda)^{1/2} - (-\lambda_j)^{1/2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

defined in terms of the  $g$ -function (3.4). This is a conformal map from  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}$  to a neighborhood of 0, which maps  $\mathbb{R} \cap \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}$  to a part of the imaginary axis. As  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_j$ , the expansion of  $f_{\lambda_j}$  is given by

$$f_{\lambda_j}(\lambda) = ic_{\lambda_j}(\lambda - \lambda_j)(1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda - \lambda_j)), \quad \text{with} \quad c_{\lambda_j} = \frac{2|\lambda_j| - \tau_1}{\sqrt{|\lambda_j|}} > 0. \quad (3.25)$$

We need moreover that all parts of the jump contour  $\Sigma_S \cap \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}$  are mapped on the jump contour  $\Gamma$  for  $\Phi_{\text{HG}}$ , see Figure 6. We can achieve this by choosing  $\Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_5, \Gamma_6$  in such a way that  $f_{\lambda_j}$  maps the parts of the lenses  $\gamma_{j,+}, \gamma_{j,-}, \gamma_{j+1,+}, \gamma_{j+1,-}$  inside  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}$  to parts of the respective jump contours  $\Gamma_2, \Gamma_6, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_5$  for  $\Phi_{\text{HG}}$  in the  $z$ -plane.

We can construct a suitable local parametrix  $P^{(\lambda_j)}$  in the form

$$P^{(\lambda_j)}(\lambda) = E_{\lambda_j}(\lambda) \Phi_{\text{HG}}(r^{3/2} f_{\lambda_j}(\lambda); \beta_j) (s_j s_{j+1})^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} e^{-r^{3/2} g(\lambda) \sigma_3}. \quad (3.26)$$

If  $E_{\lambda_j}$  is analytic in  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}$ , then it follows from the RH conditions for  $\Phi_{\text{HG}}$  and the construction of  $f_{\lambda_j}$  that  $P^{(\lambda_j)}$  satisfies exactly the same jump conditions as  $S$  on  $\Sigma_S \cap \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}$ . In order to satisfy the matching condition (3.23), we are forced to define  $E_{\lambda_j}$  by

$$E_{\lambda_j}(\lambda) = P^{(\infty)}(\lambda)(s_j s_{j+1})^{\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{s_j}{s_{j+1}}}, & \Im \lambda > 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \Im \lambda < 0 \end{cases} e^{r^{3/2} g_+(\lambda) \sigma_3} (r^{3/2} f_{\lambda_j}(\lambda))^{\beta_j \sigma_3}. \quad (3.27)$$

Using the asymptotics of  $\Phi_{\text{HG}}$  at infinity given in (A.13), we can strengthen the matching condition (3.23) to

$$P^{(\lambda_j)}(\lambda) P^{(\infty)}(\lambda)^{-1} = I + \frac{1}{r^{3/2} f_{\lambda_j}(\lambda)} E_{\lambda_j}(\lambda) \Phi_{\text{HG},1}(\beta_j) E_{\lambda_j}(\lambda)^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}), \quad (3.28)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , uniformly for  $\lambda \in \partial \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}$ , where  $\Phi_{\text{HG},1}$  is a matrix specified in (A.14). Also, a direct computation shows that

$$E_{\lambda_j}(\lambda_j) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & id_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{\frac{\pi i}{4} \sigma_3} |\lambda_j|^{\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} M^{-1} \Lambda_j^{\sigma_3}, \quad (3.29)$$

where

$$\Lambda_j = \left( \prod_{\substack{k=2 \\ k \neq j}}^m \tilde{T}_{k,j}^{\beta_k} \right) (4|\lambda_j|)^{\beta_j} e^{r^{3/2} g_+(\lambda_j)} r^{\frac{3}{2} \beta_j} c_{\lambda_j}^{\beta_j}. \quad (3.30)$$

### 3.4.2 Local parametrix around $\lambda_1 = 0$

For the local parametrix  $P^{(0)}$  near 0, we need to use a different model RH problem whose solution  $\Phi_{\text{Be}}(z)$  can be expressed in terms of Bessel functions. We recall this construction in Appendix A.2, and refer to [34] for more details. Similarly as for the local parametrices from the previous section, we first need to construct a suitable conformal map which maps the jump contour  $\Sigma_S \cap \mathcal{D}_0$  in the  $\lambda$ -plane to a part of the jump contour  $\Sigma_{\text{Be}}$  for  $\Phi_{\text{Be}}$  in the  $z$ -plane. This map is given by

$$f_0(\lambda) = \frac{g(\lambda)^2}{4}, \quad (3.31)$$

and it is straightforward to check that it indeed maps  $\mathcal{D}_0$  conformally to a neighborhood of 0. Its expansion as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  is given by

$$f_0(\lambda) = \frac{\tau_1^2}{4} \lambda \left( 1 + \frac{4}{3\tau_1} \lambda + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2) \right). \quad (3.32)$$

We can choose the lenses  $\gamma_{2,\pm}$  in such a way that  $f_0$  maps them to the jump contours  $e^{\pm \frac{2\pi i}{3}} \mathbb{R}^+$  for  $\Phi_{\text{Be}}$ .

If we take  $P^{(0)}$  of the form

$$P^{(0)}(\lambda) = E_0(\lambda) \Phi_{\text{Be}}(r^3 f_0(\lambda)) s_2^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{2}} e^{-r^{3/2} g(\lambda) \sigma_3}, \quad (3.33)$$

with  $E_0$  analytic in  $\mathcal{D}_0$ , then it is straightforward to verify that  $P^{(0)}$  satisfies the same jump relations as  $S$  in  $\mathcal{D}_0$ . In addition to that, if we let

$$E_0(\lambda) = P^{(\infty)}(\lambda) s_2^{\frac{\sigma_3}{2}} M^{-1} \left( 2\pi r^{3/2} f_0(\lambda)^{1/2} \right)^{\frac{\sigma_3}{2}}, \quad (3.34)$$

then matching condition (3.23) also holds. It can be refined using the asymptotics for  $\Phi_{\text{Be}}$  given in (A.7): we have

$$P^{(0)}(\lambda)P^{(\infty)}(\lambda)^{-1} = I + \frac{1}{r^{3/2}f_0(\lambda)^{1/2}}P^{(\infty)}(\lambda)s_2^{\frac{\sigma_3}{2}}\Phi_{\text{Be},1}s_2^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{2}}P^{(\infty)}(\lambda)^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}), \quad (3.35)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$  uniformly for  $z \in \partial\mathcal{D}_0$ . Also, a direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} E_0(0) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{s_2}}{D(\lambda)} - \frac{D(\lambda)}{\sqrt{s_2}} \right] (\lambda f_0(\lambda))^{\frac{1}{4}} & -i \left[ \frac{\sqrt{s_2}}{D(\lambda)} + \frac{D(\lambda)}{\sqrt{s_2}} \right] \left( \frac{\lambda}{f_0(\lambda)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ -i \left[ \frac{\sqrt{s_2}}{D(\lambda)} + \frac{D(\lambda)}{\sqrt{s_2}} \right] \left( \frac{f_0(\lambda)}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} & \left[ \frac{D(\lambda)}{\sqrt{s_2}} - \frac{\sqrt{s_2}}{D(\lambda)} \right] (\lambda f_0(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{4}} \end{pmatrix} (2\pi r^{\frac{3}{2}})^{\frac{\sigma_3}{2}} \\ &= -i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & id_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -id_0 \end{pmatrix} (\pi|\tau_1|r^{\frac{3}{2}})^{\frac{\sigma_3}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

### 3.5 Small norm problem

Now that the parametrices  $P^{(\lambda_j)}$  and  $P^{(\infty)}$  have been constructed, it remains to show that they indeed approximate  $S$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . To that end, we define

$$R(\lambda) = \begin{cases} S(\lambda)P^{(\infty)}(\lambda)^{-1}, & \text{for } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^m \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}, \\ S(\lambda)P^{(\lambda_j)}(\lambda)^{-1}, & \text{for } \lambda \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}, j = 1, \dots, m. \end{cases} \quad (3.37)$$

Since the local parametrices were constructed in such a way that they satisfy the same jump conditions as  $S$ , it follows that  $R$  has no jumps and is hence analytic inside each of the disks  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_m}$ . Also, we already knew that the jump matrices for  $S$  are exponentially close to  $I$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$  outside the local disks on the lips of the lenses, which implies that the jump matrices for  $R$  are exponentially small there. On the boundaries of the disks, the jump matrices are close to  $I$  with an error of order  $\mathcal{O}(r^{-3/2})$ , by the matching conditions (3.35) and (3.28). The error is moreover uniform in  $\vec{\tau}$  as long as the  $\tau_j$ 's remain bounded away from each other and from 0, and uniform for  $\beta_j$ ,  $j = 2, \dots, m$ , in a compact subset of  $i\mathbb{R}$ . By standard theory for RH problems [19], it follows that  $R$  exists for sufficiently large  $r$  and that it has the asymptotics

$$R(\lambda) = I + \frac{R^{(1)}(\lambda)}{r^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}), \quad R^{(1)}(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad (3.38)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , uniformly for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_R$ , where

$$\Gamma_R = \bigcup_{j=1}^m \partial\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j} \cup \left( \Gamma_S \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^m \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j} \right)$$

is the jump contour for the RH problem for  $R$ , and with the same uniformity in  $\vec{\tau}$  and  $\beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$  as explained above. The remaining part of this section is dedicated to computing  $R^{(1)}(\lambda)$  explicitly for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^m \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}$  and for  $\lambda = 0$ . Let us take the clockwise orientation on the boundaries of the disks, and let us write  $J_R(\lambda) = R_-^{-1}(\lambda)R_+(\lambda)$  for the jump matrix of  $R$  as  $\lambda \in \Gamma_R$ . Since  $R$  satisfies the equation

$$R(\lambda) = I + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_R} \frac{R_-(s)(J_R(s) - I)}{s - \lambda} ds,$$

and since  $J_R$  has the expansion

$$J_R(\lambda) = I + \frac{J_R^{(1)}(\lambda)}{r^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}), \quad (3.39)$$



as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$  uniformly for  $\lambda \in \bigcup_{j=1}^m \partial \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}$ , while it is exponentially small elsewhere on  $\Gamma_R$ , we obtain that  $R^{(1)}$  can be written as

$$R^{(1)}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\bigcup_{j=1}^m \partial \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}} \frac{J_R^{(1)}(s)}{s - \lambda} ds. \quad (3.40)$$

If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^m \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}$ , by a direct residue calculation, we have

$$R^{(1)}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \text{Res}(J_R^{(1)}(s), s = 0) + \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_j} \text{Res}(J_R^{(1)}(s), s = \lambda_j). \quad (3.41)$$

By (3.35) and (A.7),

$$\text{Res}\left(J_R^{(1)}(s), s = 0\right) = \frac{d_1}{8|\tau_1|} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -id_1 \\ -id_1^{-1} & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.42)$$

Similarly, by (3.28)–(3.30) and (A.13), for  $j \in \{2, \dots, m\}$ , we have

$$\text{Res}\left(J_R^{(1)}(s), s = \lambda_j\right) = \frac{\beta_j^2}{ic\lambda_j} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & id_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}\sigma_3} |\lambda_j|^{\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \tilde{\Lambda}_{j,1} \\ -\tilde{\Lambda}_{j,2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} M |\lambda_j|^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}\sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -id_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{j,1} = \frac{-\Gamma(-\beta_j)}{\Gamma(\beta_j + 1)} \Lambda_j^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_{j,2} = \frac{-\Gamma(\beta_j)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta_j)} \Lambda_j^{-2}. \quad (3.43)$$

We will also need asymptotics for  $R(0)$ . By a residue calculation, we obtain

$$R^{(1)}(0) = -\text{Res}\left(\frac{J_R^{(1)}(s)}{s}, s = 0\right) - \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \text{Res}(J_R^{(1)}(s), s = \lambda_j). \quad (3.44)$$

The above residue at 0 is more involved to compute, but after a careful calculation we obtain

$$\text{Res}\left(\frac{J_R^{(1)}(s)}{s}, s = 0\right) = \frac{1}{12\tau_1^2} \begin{pmatrix} -6d_1\tau_1 d_0^2 - 6\tau_1 d_0 + d_1 & -i(-6\tau_1 d_0^2 d_1^2 + d_1^2 - 12\tau_1 d_0 d_1 - \frac{9}{2}\tau_1) \\ -i(-6\tau_1 d_0^2 + 1) & 6d_1\tau_1 d_0^2 + 6\tau_1 d_0 - d_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.45)$$

In addition to asymptotics for  $R$ , we will also need asymptotics for  $\partial_{s_m} R$ . For this, we note that  $\partial_{s_m} R(\lambda)$  tends to 0 at infinity, that it is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_R$ , and that it satisfies the jump relation

$$\partial_{s_m} R_+ = \partial_{s_m} R_- J_R + R_- \partial_{s_m} J_R, \quad \lambda \in \Gamma_R.$$

This implies the integral equation

$$\partial_{s_m} R(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_R} (\partial_{s_m} R_-(\xi)(J_R(\xi) - I) + R_-(\xi)\partial_{s_m} J_R(\xi)) \frac{d\xi}{\xi - \lambda}.$$

Next, we observe that  $\partial_{s_m} J_R(\xi) = \partial_{s_m} J_R^{(1)}(\xi)r^{-3/2} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3} \log r)$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , where the extra logarithm in the error term is due to the fact that  $\partial_{s_m} |\lambda_j|^{\beta_j} = \mathcal{O}(\log r)$ . Standard techniques then allow one to deduce from the integral equation that

$$\partial_{s_m} R(\lambda) = \partial_{s_m} R^{(1)}(\lambda)r^{-3/2} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3} \log r) \quad (3.46)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ .

## 4 Integration of the differential identity

The differential identity (2.17) can be written as

$$\partial_{s_m} \log \det(1 - \mathcal{K}_{r, \vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}) = A_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}(r) + \sum_{j=1}^m B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j)}(r), \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$A_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}(r) = i \partial_{s_m} \left( \Psi_{2,21} - \Psi_{1,12} + \frac{r \tau_m}{2} \Psi_{1,21} \right) + i \partial_{s_m} \Psi_{1,21} \Psi_{1,11} - i \partial_{s_m} \Psi_{1,11} \Psi_{1,21},$$

and, by (2.12),

$$B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j)}(r) = \frac{s_{j+1} - s_j}{2\pi i} (G_j^{-1} \partial_{s_m} G_j)_{21} (r \eta_j) = \frac{s_{j+1} - s_j}{2\pi i} (\Psi^{-1} \partial_{s_m} \Psi)_{21} (r \eta_j),$$

where we set  $s_{m+1} = 1$  as before.

### 4.1 Asymptotics for $A_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}(r)$

For  $|\lambda|$  large, more precisely outside the disks  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$  and outside the lens-shaped regions, we have

$$S(\lambda) = R(\lambda) P^{(\infty)}(\lambda),$$

by (3.37). As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we can write

$$R(\lambda) = I + \frac{R_1}{\lambda} + \frac{R_2}{\lambda^2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-3}), \quad (4.2)$$

for some matrices  $R_1, R_2$  which may depend on  $r$  and the other parameters of the RH problem, but not on  $\lambda$ . Thus, by (3.7) and (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= R_1 + P_1^{(\infty)}, \\ T_2 &= R_2 + R_1 P_1^{(\infty)} + P_2^{(\infty)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.38) and the above expressions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= P_1^{(\infty)} + \frac{R_1^{(1)}}{r^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}), \\ T_2 &= P_2^{(\infty)} + \frac{R_1^{(1)} P_1^{(\infty)} + R_2^{(1)}}{r^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}), \end{aligned}$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , where  $R_1^{(1)}$  and  $R_2^{(1)}$  are defined through the expansion

$$R^{(1)}(\lambda) = \frac{R_1^{(1)}}{\lambda} + \frac{R_2^{(1)}}{\lambda^2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-3}), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

After a long computation with several cancellations using (3.3), we obtain that  $A_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}(r)$  has large  $r$  asymptotics given by

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}(r) &= i \partial_{s_m} \left( \Psi_{2,21} - \Psi_{1,12} + \frac{r \tau_m}{2} \Psi_{1,21} \right) + i \Psi_{1,11} \partial_{s_m} \Psi_{1,21} - i \Psi_{1,21} \partial_{s_m} \Psi_{1,11} \\ &= -i \left( P_{1,21}^{(\infty)} \partial_{s_m} P_{1,11}^{(\infty)} + \partial_{s_m} P_{1,12}^{(\infty)} - \frac{\tau_1}{2} \partial_{s_m} P_{1,21}^{(\infty)} - P_{1,11}^{(\infty)} \partial_{s_m} P_{1,21}^{(\infty)} - \partial_{s_m} P_{2,21}^{(\infty)} \right) r^{3/2} \\ &\quad - i \left( P_{1,21}^{(\infty)} \partial_{s_m} R_{1,11}^{(1)} + \partial_{s_m} R_{1,12}^{(1)} - \frac{\tau_1}{2} \partial_{s_m} R_{1,21}^{(1)} - R_{1,11}^{(1)} \partial_{s_m} P_{1,21}^{(\infty)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - R_{1,22}^{(1)} \partial_{s_m} P_{1,21}^{(\infty)} - 2 P_{1,11}^{(\infty)} \partial_{s_m} R_{1,21}^{(1)} - P_{1,21}^{(\infty)} \partial_{s_m} R_{1,22}^{(1)} - \partial_{s_m} R_{2,21}^{(1)} \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right). \quad (4.3) \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.6), (3.14) and (3.41)–(3.45), we can rewrite this more explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}(r) &= \left( -\frac{\tau_1}{2} \partial_{s_m} d_1 - 2\partial_{s_m} d_2 \right) r^{3/2} - \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{2|\lambda_j|^{1/2}}{c_{\lambda_j}} \partial_{s_m} (\beta_j^2) \\
&\quad - \partial_{s_m} d_1 \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{\beta_j^2}{c_{\lambda_j}} (\tilde{\Lambda}_{j,1} + \tilde{\Lambda}_{j,2}) + \tau_1 \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{\partial_{s_m} [\beta_j^2 (\tilde{\Lambda}_{j,1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{j,2} + 2i)]}{4ic_{\lambda_j} |\lambda_j|^{1/2}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right), \quad (4.4)
\end{aligned}$$

where we recall the definition (3.13) of  $d_1 = d_1(\vec{s})$  and  $d_2 = d_2(\vec{s})$ .

## 4.2 Asymptotics for $B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j)}(r)$ with $j \neq 1$

Now we focus on  $\Psi(\zeta)$  with  $\zeta$  near  $y_j$ . Inverting the transformations (3.37) and (3.5), and using the definition (3.26) of the local parametrix  $P^{(\lambda_j)}$ , we obtain that for  $z$  outside the lenses and inside  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}$ ,  $j \in \{2, \dots, m\}$ ,

$$T(\lambda) = R(\lambda) E_{\lambda_j}(\lambda) \Phi_{\text{HG}}(r^{3/2} f_{\lambda_j}(\lambda); \beta_j) (s_j s_{j+1})^{-\frac{\sigma_j}{4}}. \quad (4.5)$$

By (3.1), we have

$$B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j)}(r) = \frac{s_{j+1} - s_j}{2\pi i} (T^{-1} \partial_{s_m} T)_{21}(\lambda_j) = B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j,1)}(r) + B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j,2)}(r) + B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j,3)}(r), \quad (4.6)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j,1)}(r) &= \frac{s_{j+1} - s_j}{2\pi i \sqrt{s_j s_{j+1}}} (\Phi_{\text{HG}}^{-1}(0; \beta_j) \partial_{s_m} \Phi_{\text{HG}}(0; \beta_j))_{21}, \\
B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j,2)}(r) &= \frac{s_{j+1} - s_j}{2\pi i \sqrt{s_j s_{j+1}}} \left( \Phi_{\text{HG}}^{-1}(0; \beta_j) E_{\lambda_j}^{-1}(\lambda_j) (\partial_{s_m} E_{\lambda_j}(\lambda_j)) \Phi_{\text{HG}}(0; \beta_j) \right)_{21}, \\
B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j,3)}(r) &= \frac{s_{j+1} - s_j}{2\pi i \sqrt{s_j s_{j+1}}} \left( \Phi_{\text{HG}}^{-1}(0; \beta_j) E_{\lambda_j}^{-1}(\lambda_j) R^{-1}(\lambda_j) (\partial_{s_m} R(\lambda_j)) E_{\lambda_j}(\lambda_j) \Phi_{\text{HG}}(0; \beta_j) \right)_{21}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Evaluation of  $B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j,3)}(r)$ .** The last term  $B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j,3)}(r)$  is the easiest to evaluate asymptotically as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . By (3.38) and (3.46), we have that

$$R^{-1}(\lambda_j) (\partial_{s_m} R(\lambda_j)) = \mathcal{O}(r^{-3/2} \log r), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Moreover, from (3.29), since  $\beta_j \in i\mathbb{R}$ , we know that  $E_{\lambda_j}(\lambda_j) = \mathcal{O}(1)$ . Using also the fact that  $\Phi_{\text{HG}}(0; \beta_j)$  is independent of  $r$ , we obtain that

$$B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j,3)}(r) = \mathcal{O}(r^{-3/2} \log r), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (4.7)$$

**Evaluation of  $B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j,1)}(r)$ .** To compute  $B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j,1)}(r)$ , we need to use the explicit expression for the entries in the first column of  $\Phi_{\text{HG}}$  given in (A.19). Together with (1.6), this implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j,1)}(r) &= \frac{s_{j+1} - s_j}{2\pi i \sqrt{s_j s_{j+1}}} (\Phi_{\text{HG}}^{-1}(0; \beta_j) \partial_{s_m} \Phi_{\text{HG}}(0; \beta_j))_{21} \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{m-j+1} \sin \pi \beta_j}{2\pi i s_m} \frac{1}{\pi} (\Gamma(1 + \beta_j) \Gamma'(1 - \beta_j) + \Gamma'(1 + \beta_j) \Gamma(1 - \beta_j)), & \text{for } j \geq \max\{2, m-1\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Using also the  $\Gamma$  function relations

$$\Gamma(1 + \beta)\Gamma(1 - \beta) = \frac{\pi\beta}{\sin \pi\beta}, \quad \partial_\beta \log \frac{\Gamma(1 + \beta)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta)} = \frac{\Gamma'(1 + \beta)}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)} + \frac{\Gamma'(1 - \beta)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta)},$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{j=2}^m B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j,1)}(r) = \frac{\beta_{m-1}}{2\pi i s_m} \partial_{\beta_{m-1}} \log \frac{\Gamma(1 + \beta_{m-1})}{\Gamma(1 - \beta_{m-1})} - \frac{\beta_m}{2\pi i s_m} \partial_{\beta_m} \log \frac{\Gamma(1 + \beta_m)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta_m)}, \quad (4.8)$$

for  $m \geq 3$ ; for  $m = 2$  the formula is correct only if we set  $\beta_1 = 0$ , which we do here and in the remaining part of this section, such that the first term vanishes.

**Evaluation of  $B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j,2)}(r)$ .** We use (3.29) and obtain

$$E_{\lambda_j}^{-1}(\lambda_j) \partial_{s_m} E_{\lambda_j}(\lambda_j) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{s_m} \log \Lambda_j - \frac{i}{2} |\lambda_j|^{-1/2} \partial_{s_m} d_1 & \frac{1}{2} |\lambda_j|^{-1/2} \Lambda_j^{-2} \partial_{s_m} d_1 \\ \frac{1}{2} |\lambda_j|^{-1/2} \Lambda_j^2 \partial_{s_m} d_1 & -\partial_{s_m} \log \Lambda_j + \frac{i}{2} |\lambda_j|^{-1/2} \partial_{s_m} d_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (3.43), we get

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j,2)}(r) &= -2\beta_j \partial_{s_m} \log \Lambda_j + \frac{1}{2} |\lambda_j|^{-1/2} (\partial_{s_m} d_1) \left( 2i\beta_j - \frac{\beta_j^2 \Gamma(-\beta_j)}{2\Gamma(1 + \beta_j)} \Lambda_j^2 - \frac{\beta_j^2 \Gamma(\beta_j)}{2\Gamma(1 - \beta_j)} \Lambda_j^{-2} \right) \\ &= -2\beta_j \partial_{s_m} \log \Lambda_j + \frac{1}{2} |\lambda_j|^{-1/2} \partial_{s_m} d_1 (2i\beta_j + \beta_j^2 (\tilde{\Lambda}_{j,1} + \tilde{\Lambda}_{j,2})). \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

By (4.9), (4.8), and (4.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=2}^m B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j)}(r) &= \frac{\beta_{m-1}}{2\pi i s_m} \partial_{\beta_{m-1}} \log \frac{\Gamma(1 + \beta_{m-1})}{\Gamma(1 - \beta_{m-1})} - \frac{\beta_m}{2\pi i s_m} \partial_{\beta_m} \log \frac{\Gamma(1 + \beta_m)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta_m)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=2}^m \left( -2\beta_j \partial_{s_m} \log \Lambda_j + \frac{\partial_{s_m} d_1}{2|\lambda_j|^{1/2}} (2i\beta_j + \beta_j^2 (\tilde{\Lambda}_{j,1} + \tilde{\Lambda}_{j,2})) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

### 4.3 Asymptotics for $B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j)}(r)$ with $j = 1$

For  $j = 1$ , we have near  $\lambda_1 = 0$  that

$$T(\lambda) = R(\lambda) E_0(\lambda) \Phi_{\text{Be}}(r^{3/2} f_0(\lambda)) s_2^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{2}}. \quad (4.11)$$

By (3.1), we have

$$B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(1)}(r) = \frac{s_2}{2\pi i} (T^{-1} \partial_{s_m} T)_{21}(0) = B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(1,1)}(r) + B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(1,2)}(r) + B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(1,3)}(r), \quad (4.12)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(1,1)}(r) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} (\Phi_{\text{Be}}^{-1}(0) \partial_{s_m} \Phi_{\text{Be}}(0))_{21}, \\ B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(1,2)}(r) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} (\Phi_{\text{Be}}^{-1}(0) E_0^{-1}(0) (\partial_{s_m} E_0(0)) \Phi_{\text{Be}}(0))_{21}, \\ B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(1,3)}(r) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} (\Phi_{\text{Be}}^{-1}(0) E_0^{-1}(0) R^{-1}(0) (\partial_{s_m} R(0)) E_0(0) \Phi_{\text{Be}}(0))_{21}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Phi_{\text{Be}}(0)$  is independent of  $s_m$ , we have  $B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(1,1)}(r) = 0$ . For  $B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(1,2)}(r)$ , we use the explicit expressions for the entries in the first column of  $\Phi_{\text{Be}}$  given in (A.11) and (3.36) to obtain

$$B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(1,2)}(r) = -\frac{\tau_1}{2} r^{3/2} \partial_{s_m} d_1. \quad (4.13)$$

The computation of  $B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(1,3)}(r)$  is more involved. Using (3.38) and (3.46), we have

$$R^{-1}(0) \partial_{s_m} R(0) = \partial_{s_m} R^{(1)}(0) r^{-3/2} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3} \log r), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Now we use again (A.11) and (3.36) together with (3.44) in order to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(1,3)}(r) &= \frac{-\tau_1}{2} \left( d_1 \partial_{s_m} (R_{11}^{(1)}(0) - R_{22}^{(1)}(0)) - i d_1^2 \partial_{s_m} R_{21}^{(1)}(0) - i \partial_{s_m} R_{12}^{(1)}(0) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right) \\ &= \frac{d_0 \partial_{s_m} d_1}{2} - \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{\tau_1 |\lambda_j|^{-1/2}}{4i c_{\lambda_j}} \partial_{s_m} (\beta_j^2 (\tilde{\Lambda}_{j,1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{j,2} - 2i)) \\ &\quad + \partial_{s_m} d_1 \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{\tau_1 \beta_j^2}{2c_{\lambda_j} |\lambda_j|} (\tilde{\Lambda}_{j,1} + \tilde{\Lambda}_{j,2}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . Substituting (4.13) and (4.14) into (4.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(1)}(r) &= -\frac{\tau_1}{2} r^{3/2} \partial_{s_m} d_1 + \frac{d_0 \partial_{s_m} d_1}{2} - \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{\tau_1 |\lambda_j|^{-1/2}}{4i c_{\lambda_j}} \partial_{s_m} (\beta_j^2 (\tilde{\Lambda}_{j,1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{j,2} - 2i)) \\ &\quad + \partial_{s_m} d_1 \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{\tau_1 \beta_j^2}{2c_{\lambda_j} |\lambda_j|} (\tilde{\Lambda}_{j,1} + \tilde{\Lambda}_{j,2}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ .

#### 4.4 Asymptotics for the differential identity

We now substitute (4.4), (4.10), and (4.15) into (4.1) and obtain after a straightforward calculation in which we use (3.25),

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{s_m} \log F(r\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}) &= \left( -\tau_1 \partial_{s_m} d_1 - 2\partial_{s_m} d_2 \right) r^{3/2} - 2 \sum_{j=2}^m \beta_j \partial_{s_m} \log \Lambda_j - \sum_{j=2}^m \partial_{s_m} (\beta_j^2) \\ &\quad + \beta_{m-1} \partial_{s_m} \log \frac{\Gamma(1 + \beta_{m-1})}{\Gamma(1 - \beta_{m-1})} + \beta_m \partial_{s_m} \log \frac{\Gamma(1 + \beta_m)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta_m)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , where we recall that  $\beta_1 = 0$  if  $m = 2$ . Now we note that

$$-\tau_1 \partial_{s_m} d_1 - 2\partial_{s_m} d_2 = \frac{1}{\pi s_m} \left( -\tau_1 (|\lambda_m|^{1/2} - |\lambda_{m-1}|^{1/2}) + \frac{2}{3} (|\lambda_m|^{3/2} - |\lambda_{m-1}|^{3/2}) \right)$$

by (3.13). Next, by (3.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -2 \sum_{j=2}^m \beta_j \partial_{s_m} \log \Lambda_j &= \frac{1}{\pi i s_m} \beta_m \log(4|\lambda_m| c_{\lambda_m} r^{3/2}) - \frac{1}{\pi i s_m} \beta_{m-1} \log(4|\lambda_{m-1}| c_{\lambda_{m-1}} r^{3/2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\pi i s_m} \left( \sum_{j=2}^{m-2} \beta_j \left( \log(\tilde{T}_{m,j}) - \log(\tilde{T}_{m-1,j}) \right) + \beta_{m-1} \log(\tilde{T}_{m,m-1}) - \beta_m \log(\tilde{T}_{m-1,m}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

We substitute this in (4.16) and integrate in  $s_m$ . For the integration, we recall the relation (1.6) between  $\vec{\beta}$  and  $\vec{s}$ , and we note that letting the integration variable  $s'_m = e^{-2\pi i\beta'_m}$  go from 1 to  $s_m = e^{-2\pi i\beta_m}$  boils down to letting  $\beta'_m$  go from 0 to  $-\frac{\log s_m}{2\pi i}$ , and at the same time (unless if  $m = 2$ ) to letting  $\beta'_{m-1}$  go from  $\hat{\beta}_{m-1} := -\frac{\log s_{m-1}}{2\pi i}$  to  $\beta_{m-1} = \frac{\log s_m}{2\pi i} - \frac{\log s_{m-1}}{2\pi i}$ . If  $m = 2$ , we set  $\hat{\beta}_1 = \beta_1 = 0$ . We then obtain, also using (3.25) and writing  $\vec{s}_0 := (s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}, 1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{F(r\vec{\tau}; \vec{s})}{F(r\vec{\tau}; \vec{s}_0)} &= -2i\beta_m \left( -\tau_1(|\lambda_m|^{1/2} - |\lambda_{m-1}|^{1/2}) + \frac{2}{3}(|\lambda_m|^{3/2} - |\lambda_{m-1}|^{3/2}) \right) r^{3/2} \\ &\quad - \beta_m^2 - \beta_{m-1}^2 + \hat{\beta}_{m-1}^2 + \int_{\hat{\beta}_{m-1}}^{\beta_{m-1}} x \partial_x \log \frac{\Gamma(1+x)}{\Gamma(1-x)} dx + \int_0^{\beta_m} x \partial_x \log \frac{\Gamma(1+x)}{\Gamma(1-x)} dx \\ &\quad - \beta_m^2 \log(4|\lambda_m|^{1/2}(\tau_1 - 2\tau_m)r^{3/2}) + (\hat{\beta}_{m-1}^2 - \beta_{m-1}^2) \log(4|\lambda_{m-1}|^{1/2}(\tau_1 - 2\tau_{m-1})r^{3/2}) \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{j=2}^{m-2} \beta_j \beta_m \left( \log(\tilde{T}_{m,j}) - \log(\tilde{T}_{m-1,j}) \right) - 2\beta_m \beta_{m-1} \log(\tilde{T}_{m-1,m}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . Now we use the following identity for the remaining integrals in terms of Barnes'  $G$ -function,

$$\int_0^\beta x \partial_x \log \frac{\Gamma(1+x)}{\Gamma(1-x)} dx = \beta^2 + \log G(1+\beta)G(1-\beta). \quad (4.19)$$

Noting that  $\lambda_j = \tau_j - \tau_1$  and  $-\beta_m = \beta_{m-1} - \hat{\beta}_{m-1}$ , we find after a straightforward calculation that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{F(r\vec{\tau}; \vec{s})}{F(r\vec{\tau}; \vec{s}_0)} &= -2i\beta_m \left( |\tau_1| |\lambda_m|^{1/2} + \frac{2}{3} |\lambda_m|^{3/2} \right) r^{3/2} - 2i(\beta_{m-1} - \hat{\beta}_{m-1}) \left( |\tau_1| |\lambda_{m-1}|^{1/2} + \frac{2}{3} |\lambda_{m-1}|^{3/2} \right) r^{3/2} \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{2}(\beta_m^2 + \beta_{m-1}^2 - \hat{\beta}_{m-1}^2) \log r + \log \frac{G(1+\beta_{m-1})G(1-\beta_{m-1})}{G(1+\hat{\beta}_{m-1})G(1-\hat{\beta}_{m-1})} + \log G(1+\beta_m)G(1-\beta_m) \\ &\quad - \beta_m^2 \log \left( 8|\tau_m - \tau_1|^{3/2} - 4\tau_1|\tau_m - \tau_1|^{1/2} \right) + (\hat{\beta}_{m-1}^2 - \beta_{m-1}^2) \log \left( 8|\tau_{m-1} - \tau_1|^{3/2} - 4\tau_1|\tau_{m-1} - \tau_1|^{1/2} \right) \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{j=2}^{m-2} \left( \beta_j \beta_m \log(\tilde{T}_{m,j}) + \beta_j(\beta_{m-1} - \hat{\beta}_{m-1}) \log(\tilde{T}_{m-1,j}) \right) - 2\beta_{m-1}\beta_m \log(\tilde{T}_{m-1,m}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , uniformly in  $\vec{\tau}$  as long as the  $\tau_j$ 's remain bounded away from each other and from 0, and uniformly for  $\beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$  in a compact subset of  $i\mathbb{R}$ .

## 4.5 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We now prove Theorem 1.2 by induction on  $m$ . For  $m = 1$ , the result (1.4) is proved in [13], and we work under the hypothesis that the result holds for values up to  $m - 1$ . We can thus evaluate  $F(r\vec{\tau}; \vec{s}_0)$  asymptotically, since this corresponds to an Airy kernel Fredholm determinant with only  $m - 1$  discontinuities. In this way, we obtain after another straightforward calculation the large  $r$  asymptotics, uniform in  $\vec{\tau}$  and  $\beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$ ,

$$F(r\vec{\tau}; \vec{s}) = C_1 r^3 + C_2 r^{3/2} + C_3 \log r + C_4 + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3/2} \log r)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &= -\frac{|\tau_1|^3}{12}, \quad C_2 = -\sum_{j=2}^m 2i\beta_j \left( |\tau_1| |\tau_j - \tau_1|^{1/2} + \frac{2}{3} |\tau_j - \tau_1|^{3/2} \right), \quad C_3 = -\frac{1}{8} - \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{3}{2} \beta_j^2, \\
C_4 &= -2 \sum_{2 \leq j < k \leq m} \beta_j \beta_k \log \tilde{T}_{j,k} - \sum_{j=2}^m \beta_j^2 \log \left( 4(2|\tau_j - \tau_1|^{3/2} + |\tau_1| |\tau_j - \tau_1|^{1/2}) \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=2}^m \log G(1 + \beta_j) G(1 - \beta_j) + \frac{\log 2}{24} + \zeta'(-1) - \frac{1}{8} \log |\tau_1|.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies the explicit form (1.14) of the asymptotics for  $E_0(r\vec{\tau}; \vec{\beta}_0) = F(r\vec{\tau}; \vec{s})/F(r\tau_1; 0)$ . The recursive form (1.9) of the asymptotics follows directly by relying on (1.4) and (1.11). Note that we prove (1.11) independently in the next section.

## 5 Asymptotic analysis of RH problem for $\Psi$ with $s_1 > 0$

We now analyze the RH problem for  $\Psi$  asymptotically in the case where  $s_1 > 0$ . Although the general strategy of the method is the same as in the case  $s_1 = 0$  (see Section 3), several modifications are needed, the most important ones being a different  $g$ -function and the construction of a different local Airy parametrix instead of the local Bessel parametrix which we needed for  $s_1 = 0$ . We again write  $\vec{x} = r\vec{\tau}$  and  $\vec{y} = r\vec{\eta}$ , with  $\eta_j = \tau_j - \tau_m$ .

### 5.1 Re-scaling of the RH problem

We define  $T$ , in a slightly different manner than in (3.1), as follows,

$$T(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{i}{4}\tau_m^2 r^{3/2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} r^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} \Psi(r(\lambda - \tau_m); x = r\tau_m, r\vec{\eta}, \vec{s}). \quad (5.1)$$

Similarly as in the case  $s_1 = 0$ , we then have

$$T(\lambda) = \left( I + \frac{T_1}{\lambda} + \frac{T_2}{\lambda^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^3}\right) \right) \lambda^{\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} M^{-1} e^{-\frac{2}{3}r^{3/2}\lambda^{3/2}\sigma_3}, \quad (5.2)$$

as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , but with modified expressions for the entries of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ :

$$T_{1,11} = \frac{\Psi_{1,11}}{r} - \frac{i\tau_m^2}{4} r \Psi_{1,21} - \frac{\tau_m}{4} - \frac{\tau_m^4 r^3}{32} = -T_{1,22}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$T_{1,12} = \frac{\Psi_{1,12}}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{i\tau_m^2}{2} \Psi_{1,11} r^{1/2} - \frac{i\tau_m^3}{24} r^{3/2} + \frac{\tau_m^4}{16} \Psi_{1,21} r^{5/2} - \frac{i\tau_m^6}{192} r^{9/2}, \quad (5.4)$$

$$T_{1,21} = \frac{\Psi_{1,21}}{r^{1/2}} - \frac{i\tau_m^2}{4} r^{3/2}, \quad (5.5)$$

$$T_{2,21} = \frac{\Psi_{2,21}}{r^{3/2}} + \frac{3\tau_m}{4r^{1/2}} \Psi_{1,21} + \frac{i\tau_m^2}{4} \Psi_{1,11} r^{1/2} - \frac{7i\tau_m^3}{48} r^{3/2} + \frac{\tau_m^4}{32} \Psi_{1,21} r^{5/2} - \frac{i\tau_m^6}{384} r^{9/2}. \quad (5.6)$$

The singularities of  $T$  now lie at the negative points  $\lambda_j = \tau_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ .

## 5.2 Normalization with $g$ -function and opening of lenses

Instead of the  $g$ -function defined in (3.4), we can now use the simpler function  $-\frac{2}{3}\lambda^{3/2}$  with principal branch of  $\lambda^{3/2}$ , and define

$$S(\lambda) = T(\lambda)e^{\frac{2}{3}(r\lambda)^{3/2}\sigma_3} \prod_{j=1}^m \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -s_j^{-1}e^{\frac{4}{3}(r\lambda)^{3/2}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } \lambda \in \Omega_{j,+}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ s_j^{-1}e^{\frac{4}{3}(r\lambda)^{3/2}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } \lambda \in \Omega_{j,-}, \\ I, & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Omega_{j,+} \cup \Omega_{j,-}), \end{cases} \quad (5.7)$$

where  $\Omega_{j,\pm}$  are lens-shaped regions around  $(\lambda_j, \lambda_{j-1})$  as before, but where we note that the index  $j$  now starts at  $j = 1$  instead of at  $j = 2$ , and where we define  $\lambda_0 := 0$ , see Figure 3 for an illustration of these regions. Note that  $\lambda_0$  is not a singular point of the RH problem for  $T$ , but since  $\Re\lambda^{3/2} = 0$  on  $(-\infty, 0)$ , it plays a role in the asymptotic analysis for  $S$ .  $S$  satisfies the following RH problem.

### RH problem for $S$

(a)  $S : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$  is analytic, with

$$\Gamma_S = (-\infty, 0] \cup (\lambda_m + e^{\pm \frac{2\pi i}{3}}(0, +\infty)) \cup \gamma_+ \cup \gamma_-, \quad \gamma_{\pm} = \bigcup_{j=1}^m \gamma_{j,\pm}, \quad (5.8)$$

and  $\Gamma_S$  oriented as in Figure 3.

(b) The jumps for  $S$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_+(\lambda) &= S_-(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_j \\ -s_j^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in (\lambda_j, \lambda_{j-1}), \quad j = 1, \dots, m+1, \\ S_+(\lambda) &= S_-(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ s_j^{-1}e^{\frac{4}{3}(r\lambda)^{3/2}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \gamma_{j,+} \cup \gamma_{j,-}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \\ S_+(\lambda) &= S_-(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{\frac{4}{3}(r\lambda)^{3/2}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \lambda_m + e^{\pm \frac{2\pi i}{3}}(0, +\infty), \\ S_+(\lambda) &= S_-(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s_1 e^{-\frac{4}{3}(r\lambda)^{3/2}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in (0, +\infty), \end{aligned}$$

where we set  $\lambda_{m+1} := -\infty$  and  $\lambda_0 := 0$ .

(c) As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$S(\lambda) = \left( I + \frac{T_1}{\lambda} + \frac{T_2}{\lambda^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^3}\right) \right) \lambda^{\frac{1}{3}\sigma_3} M^{-1}. \quad (5.9)$$

(d)  $S(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(\log(\lambda - \lambda_j))$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $S(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(1)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ .

Inspecting the sign of the real part of  $\lambda^{3/2}$  on the different parts of the jump contour, we observe that the jumps for  $S$  are exponentially close to  $I$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$  on the lenses, and also on the rays  $\lambda_m + e^{\pm \frac{2\pi i}{3}}(0, +\infty)$ . This convergence is uniform outside neighborhoods of  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ , but breaks down as we let  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_j$ ,  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ .






Figure 3: Jump contours  $\Gamma_S$  for  $S$  with  $m = 3$  and  $s_1 > 0$ .

### 5.3 Global parametrix

The RH problem for the global parametrix is as follows.

**RH problem for  $P^{(\infty)}$**

(a)  $P^{(\infty)} : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$  is analytic.

(b) The jumps for  $P^{(\infty)}$  are given by

$$P_+^{(\infty)}(\lambda) = P_-^{(\infty)}(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_j \\ -s_j^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda \in (\lambda_j, \lambda_{j-1}), j = 1, \dots, m+1.$$

(c) As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$P^{(\infty)}(\lambda) = \left( I + \frac{P_1^{(\infty)}}{\lambda} + \frac{P_2^{(\infty)}}{\lambda^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^3}\right) \right) \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} M^{-1}. \quad (5.10)$$

As  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $P^{(\infty)}(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}})$ . As  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_j$  with  $j \in \{2, \dots, m\}$ , we have  $P^{(\infty)}(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(1)$ .

This RH problem is of the same form as the one in the case  $s_1 = 0$ , but with an extra jump on the interval  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_0)$ . We can construct  $P^{(\infty)}$  in a similar way as before, by setting

$$P^{(\infty)}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & id_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} M^{-1} D(\lambda)^{-\sigma_3}, \quad (5.11)$$

with

$$D(\lambda) = \exp \left( \frac{\lambda^{1/2}}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^m \log s_j \int_{\lambda_j}^{\lambda_{j-1}} (-u)^{-1/2} \frac{du}{\lambda - u} \right). \quad (5.12)$$

We emphasize that the sum in the above expression now starts at  $j = 1$ . For any positive integer  $k$ , as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  we have

$$D(\lambda) = \exp \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^k \frac{d_\ell}{\lambda^{\ell-\frac{1}{2}}} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-k-\frac{1}{2}}) \right) = 1 + d_1 \lambda^{-1/2} + \frac{d_1^2}{2} \lambda^{-1} + \left( \frac{d_1^3}{6} + d_2 \right) \lambda^{-3/2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-2}), \quad (5.13)$$

where

$$d_\ell = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1} \log s_j}{2\pi} \int_{\lambda_j}^{\lambda_{j-1}} (-u)^{\ell-\frac{3}{2}} du = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1} \log s_j}{\pi(2\ell-1)} \left( |\lambda_j|^{\ell-\frac{1}{2}} - |\lambda_{j-1}|^{\ell-\frac{1}{2}} \right). \quad (5.14)$$

This defines the value of  $d_1$  in (5.11), and with these values of  $d_1, d_2$ , the expressions (3.14) for  $P_1^{(\infty)}$  and  $P_2^{(\infty)}$  remain valid. As before, we can also write  $D$  as

$$D(\lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^m D_j(\lambda), \quad D_j(\lambda) = \left( \frac{(\sqrt{\lambda} - i\sqrt{|\lambda_{j-1}|})(\sqrt{\lambda} + i\sqrt{|\lambda_j|})}{(\sqrt{\lambda} - i\sqrt{|\lambda_j|})(\sqrt{\lambda} + i\sqrt{|\lambda_{j-1}|})} \right)^{\frac{\log s_j}{2\pi i}}.$$

This expression allows us, in a similar way as in Section 3, to expand  $D(\lambda)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_j$ ,  $\Im \lambda > 0$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , and to show that

$$D(\lambda) = \sqrt{s_j} \left( \prod_{k=1}^m T_{k,j}^{\frac{\log s_k}{2\pi i}} \right) (\lambda - \lambda_j)^{\beta_j} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda - \lambda_j)), \quad (5.15)$$

with  $T_{k,j}$  as in (3.17) and the equations just above (3.17) (which are now defined for  $k, j \geq 1$ ). The first two terms in the expansion of  $D(\lambda)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0 = 0$  are given by

$$D(\lambda) = \sqrt{s_1} \left( 1 - d_0 \sqrt{\lambda} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda) \right), \quad (5.16)$$

where

$$d_0 = \frac{\log s_1}{\pi \sqrt{|\lambda_1|}} - \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{\log s_j}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\lambda_{j-1}|}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\lambda_j|}} \right). \quad (5.17)$$

Note again, for later use, that for all  $\ell \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , we can rewrite  $d_\ell$  in terms of the  $\beta_j$ 's as follows,

$$d_\ell = \frac{2i(-1)^\ell}{2\ell-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j |\lambda_j|^{\ell-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (5.18)$$

and that

$$\prod_{k=1}^m T_{k,j}^{\frac{\log s_k}{2\pi i}} = (4|\lambda_j|)^{-\beta_j} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^m \tilde{T}_{k,j}^{-\beta_k}, \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{T}_{k,j} = \frac{(\sqrt{|\lambda_j|} + \sqrt{|\lambda_k|})^2}{|\lambda_j - \lambda_k|}. \quad (5.19)$$

## 5.4 Local parametrices

The local parametrix around  $\lambda_j$ ,  $j \in \{0, \dots, m\}$ , denoted by  $P^{(\lambda_j)}$ , should satisfy the same jumps as  $S$  in a fixed (but sufficiently small) disk  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}$  around  $\lambda_j$ . Furthermore, we require that

$$P^{(\lambda_j)}(\lambda) = (I + o(1))P^{(\infty)}(\lambda), \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (5.20)$$

uniformly for  $\lambda \in \partial \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}$ .

#### 5.4.1 Local parametrices around $\lambda_j$ , $j = 1, \dots, m$

For  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $P^{(\lambda_j)}$  can again be explicitly expressed in terms of confluent hypergeometric functions. The construction is the same as in Section 3, with the only difference being that  $f_{\lambda_j}$  is now defined as

$$f_{\lambda_j}(\lambda) = -\frac{4i}{3} \left( (-\lambda)^{3/2} - (-\lambda_j)^{3/2} \right), \quad (5.21)$$

where the principal branch of  $(-\lambda)^{3/2}$  is chosen. This is a conformal map from  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}$  to a neighborhood of 0, satisfies  $f_{\lambda_j}(\mathbb{R} \cap \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}) \subset i\mathbb{R}$ , and its expansion as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_j$  is given by

$$f_{\lambda_j}(\lambda) = ic_{\lambda_j}(\lambda - \lambda_j)(1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda - \lambda_j)), \quad \text{with} \quad c_{\lambda_j} = 2|\lambda_j|^{1/2} > 0. \quad (5.22)$$

Similarly as in Section 3.4.1, we define

$$P^{(\lambda_j)}(\lambda) = E_{\lambda_j}(\lambda) \Phi_{\text{HG}}(r^{3/2} f_{\lambda_j}(\lambda); \beta_j) (s_j s_{j+1})^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} e^{\frac{2}{3}(r\lambda)^{3/2} \sigma_3}, \quad (5.23)$$

where  $\Phi_{\text{HG}}$  is the confluent hypergeometric model RH problem presented in Appendix A.3 with parameter  $\beta = \beta_j$ . The function  $E_{\lambda_j}$  is analytic inside  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}$  and is given by

$$E_{\lambda_j}(\lambda) = P^{(\infty)}(\lambda) (s_j s_{j+1})^{\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{s_j}{s_{j+1}}} e^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{4} \Im \lambda}, & \Im \lambda > 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \Im \lambda < 0 \end{cases} e^{-\frac{2}{3}(r\lambda)_+^{3/2} \sigma_3} (r^{3/2} f_{\lambda_j}(\lambda))^{\beta_j \sigma_3}. \quad (5.24)$$

We will need a more detailed matching condition than (5.20), which we can obtain from (A.13):

$$P^{(\lambda_j)}(\lambda) P^{(\infty)}(\lambda)^{-1} = I + \frac{1}{r^{3/2} f_{\lambda_j}(\lambda)} E_{\lambda_j}(\lambda) \Phi_{\text{HG},1}(\beta_j) E_{\lambda_j}(\lambda)^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}), \quad (5.25)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$  uniformly for  $\lambda \in \partial \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}$ . Moreover, we note for later use that

$$E_{\lambda_j}(\lambda_j) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & id_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{\frac{\pi i}{4} \sigma_3} |\lambda_j|^{\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} M^{-1} \Lambda_j^{\sigma_3}, \quad (5.26)$$

with

$$\Lambda_j = \left( \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^m \tilde{T}_{k,j}^{\beta_k} \right) (4|\lambda_j|)^{\beta_j} e^{-\frac{2}{3}(r\lambda_j)_+^{3/2}} r^{\frac{3}{2}\beta_j} c_{\lambda_j}^{\beta_j}. \quad (5.27)$$

#### 5.4.2 Local parametrices around $\lambda_1 = 0$

The local parametrix  $P^{(0)}$  can be explicitly expressed in terms of the Airy function. Such a construction is fairly standard, see e.g. [19, 20]. We can take  $P^{(0)}$  of the form

$$P^{(0)}(\lambda) = E_0(\lambda) \Phi_{\text{Ai}}(r\lambda) s_1^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{2}} e^{\frac{2}{3}(r\lambda)^{3/2} \sigma_3}, \quad (5.28)$$

for  $\lambda$  in a sufficiently small disk  $\mathcal{D}_0$  around 0, and where  $\Phi_{\text{Ai}}$  is the Airy model RH problem presented in Appendix A.1. The function  $E_0$  is analytic inside  $\mathcal{D}_0$  and is given by

$$E_0(\lambda) = P^{(\infty)}(\lambda) s_1^{\frac{\sigma_3}{2}} M^{-1} (r\lambda)^{\frac{\sigma_3}{4}}. \quad (5.29)$$

A refined version of the matching condition (5.20) can be derived from (A.2): one shows that

$$P^{(0)}(\lambda) P^{(\infty)}(\lambda)^{-1} = I + \frac{1}{r^{3/2} \lambda^{3/2}} P^{(\infty)}(\lambda) s_1^{\frac{\sigma_3}{2}} \Phi_{\text{Ai},1} s_1^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{2}} P^{(\infty)}(\lambda)^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}), \quad (5.30)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$  uniformly for  $z \in \partial \mathcal{D}_0$ , where  $\Phi_{\text{Ai},1}$  is given below (A.2). An explicit expression for  $E_0(0)$  is given by

$$E_0(0) = -i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & id_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -id_0 \end{pmatrix} r^{\frac{\sigma_3}{4}}. \quad (5.31)$$

## 5.5 Small norm problem

As in Section 3.5, we define  $R$  as

$$R(\lambda) = \begin{cases} S(\lambda)P^{(\infty)}(\lambda)^{-1}, & \text{for } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^m \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}, \\ S(\lambda)P^{(\lambda_j)}(\lambda)^{-1}, & \text{for } \lambda \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}, j \in \{0, \dots, m\}, \end{cases} \quad (5.32)$$

and we can conclude in the same way as in Section 3.5 that (3.38) and (3.46) hold, uniformly for  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$  in compact subsets of  $i\mathbb{R}$ , and for  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m$  such that  $\tau_1 < -\delta$  and  $\min_{1 \leq k \leq m-1} \{\tau_k - \tau_{k+1}\} > \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , with

$$R^{(1)}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\bigcup_{j=0}^m \partial \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}} \frac{J_R^{(1)}(s)}{s - \lambda} ds, \quad (5.33)$$

where  $J_R$  is the jump matrix for  $R$  and  $J_R^{(1)}$  is defined by (3.39).

A difference with Section 3.5 is that  $J_R^{(1)}$  now has a double pole at  $\lambda = 0$ , by (5.30). At the other singularities  $\lambda_j$ , it has a simple pole as before. If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^m \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_j}$ , a residue calculation yields

$$R^{(1)}(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{1}{\lambda^j} \text{Res}(J_R^{(1)}(s)s^{j-1}, s=0) + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_j} \text{Res}(J_R^{(1)}(s), s = \lambda_j). \quad (5.34)$$

From (5.30), we deduce

$$\text{Res}\left(J_R^{(1)}(s)s, s=0\right) = \frac{5d_1}{48} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & id_1 \\ id_1^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.35)$$

and

$$\text{Res}\left(J_R^{(1)}(s), s=0\right) = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -d_1 d_0^2 - d_0 & i(d_0^2 d_1^2 + 2d_0 d_1 + \frac{7}{12}) \\ id_0^2 & d_1 d_0^2 + d_0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.36)$$

By (5.25)–(5.27), for  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , we have

$$\text{Res}\left(J_R^{(1)}(s), s = \lambda_j\right) = \frac{\beta_j^2}{ic\lambda_j} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & id_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}\sigma_3 |\lambda_j|^{\frac{\sigma_3}{4}}} M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \tilde{\Lambda}_{j,1} \\ -\tilde{\Lambda}_{j,2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} M |\lambda_j|^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}\sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -id_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{j,1} = \frac{-\Gamma(-\beta_j)}{\Gamma(1+\beta_j)} \Lambda_j^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_{j,2} = \frac{-\Gamma(\beta_j)}{\Gamma(1-\beta_j)} \Lambda_j^{-2}. \quad (5.37)$$

## 6 Integration of the differential identity for $s_1 > 0$

Like in Section 4, (2.17) yields

$$\partial_{s_m} \log F(r\vec{\tau}; \vec{s}) = A_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}(r) + \sum_{j=1}^m B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j)}(r), \quad (6.1)$$

with

$$A_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}(r) = i\partial_{s_m} \left( \Psi_{2,21} - \Psi_{1,12} + \frac{r\tau_m}{2} \Psi_{1,21} \right) + i\partial_{s_m} \Psi_{1,21} \Psi_{1,11} - i\partial_{s_m} \Psi_{1,11} \Psi_{1,21},$$

$$B_{\vec{\tau}, \vec{s}}^{(j)}(r) = \frac{s_{j+1} - s_j}{2\pi i} (G_j^{-1} \partial_{s_m} G_j)_{21}(r\eta_j) = \frac{s_{j+1} - s_j}{2\pi i} (\Psi^{-1} \partial_{s_m} \Psi)_{21}(r\eta_j),$$

where we set  $s_{m+1} = 1$ . We assume in what follows that  $m \geq 2$ .

For the computation of  $A_{\vec{r}, \vec{s}}(r)$ , we start from the expansion (4.3), which continues to hold for  $s_1 > 0$ , but now with  $P_1^{(\infty)}$  and  $P_2^{(\infty)}$  as in Section 5 (i.e. defined by (3.14) but with  $d_1, d_2$  given by (5.18)), and with  $R_1^{(1)}$  and  $R_2^{(1)}$  defined through the expansion

$$R^{(1)}(\lambda) = \frac{R_1^{(1)}}{\lambda} + \frac{R_2^{(1)}}{\lambda^2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-3}), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty, \quad (6.2)$$

corresponding to the function  $R^{(1)}$  from Section 5, given in (5.33).

Using (3.14), (3.38), (3.46), (5.3)–(5.6), (5.22) and (5.34), we obtain after a long computation the following explicit large  $r$  expansion

$$A_{\vec{r}, \vec{s}}(r) = -2\partial_{s_m} d_2 r^{3/2} + \frac{d_0 \partial_{s_m} d_1}{2} - \partial_{s_m} d_1 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j^2}{c_{\lambda_j}} (\tilde{\Lambda}_{j,1} + \tilde{\Lambda}_{j,2}) - \sum_{j=1}^m \partial_{s_m} (\beta_j^2) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right). \quad (6.3)$$

For the terms  $B_{\vec{r}, \vec{s}}^{(j)}(r)$ , we proceed as before by splitting this term in the same way as in (4.6). We can carry out the same analysis as in Section 4 for each of the terms. We note that the terms corresponding to  $j = 1$  can now be computed in the same way as the terms  $j = 2, \dots, m$ . This gives, analogously to (4.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^m B_{\vec{r}, \vec{s}}^{(j)}(r) &= \frac{\beta_{m-1}}{2\pi i s_m} \partial_{\beta_{m-1}} \log \frac{\Gamma(1 + \beta_{m-1})}{\Gamma(1 - \beta_{m-1})} - \frac{\beta_m}{2\pi i s_m} \partial_{\beta_m} \log \frac{\Gamma(1 + \beta_m)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta_m)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m \left( -2\beta_j \partial_{s_m} \log \Lambda_j + \frac{\partial_{s_m} d_1}{2|\lambda_j|^{1/2}} (2i\beta_j + \beta_j^2 (\tilde{\Lambda}_{j,1} + \tilde{\Lambda}_{j,2})) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Summing up (6.3) and (6.4) and using the expressions (5.22) for  $c_{\lambda_j}$  and (5.18) for  $d_0$ , we obtain the large  $r$  asymptotics

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{s_m} \log F(r\vec{r}; \vec{s}) &= -2\partial_{s_m} d_2 r^{3/2} - \sum_{j=1}^m \partial_{s_m} (\beta_j^2) - \sum_{j=1}^m 2\beta_j \partial_{s_m} \log \Lambda_j \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta_{m-1}}{2\pi i s_m} \partial_{\beta_{m-1}} \log \frac{\Gamma(1 + \beta_{m-1})}{\Gamma(1 - \beta_{m-1})} - \frac{\beta_m}{2\pi i s_m} \partial_{\beta_m} \log \frac{\Gamma(1 + \beta_m)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta_m)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

uniformly for  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$  in compact subsets of  $i\mathbb{R}$ , and for  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m$  such that  $\tau_1 < -\delta$  and  $\min_{1 \leq k \leq m-1} \{\tau_k - \tau_{k+1}\} > \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Next, we observe that (5.27) implies the identity

$$-\sum_{j=1}^m 2\beta_j \partial_{s_m} \log \Lambda_j = -2 \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \partial_{s_m} (\beta_j) \log(8|\lambda_j|^{3/2} r^{3/2}) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^m \partial_{s_m} (\beta_\ell) \log(\tilde{T}_{\ell,j}). \quad (6.6)$$

Substituting this identity and the fact that  $\lambda_j = \tau_j$ , we find after a straightforward calculation (using

also (1.6)) that, uniformly in  $\vec{\tau}$  and  $\vec{\beta}$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{s_m} \log F(r\vec{\tau}; \vec{s}) &= -2\partial_{s_m} d_2 r^{3/2} - \sum_{j=1}^m \partial_{s_m} (\beta_j^2) + \frac{\beta_m}{\pi i s_m} \log(8|\tau_m|^{3/2} r^{3/2}) \\ &\quad - \frac{\beta_{m-1}}{\pi i s_m} \log(8|\tau_{m-1}|^{3/2} r^{3/2}) + \frac{\beta_{m-1}}{2\pi i s_m} \partial_{\beta_{m-1}} \log \frac{\Gamma(1+\beta_{m-1})}{\Gamma(1-\beta_{m-1})} - \frac{\beta_m}{2\pi i s_m} \partial_{\beta_m} \log \frac{\Gamma(1+\beta_m)}{\Gamma(1-\beta_m)} \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^m \partial_{s_m} (\beta_\ell) \log(\tilde{T}_{\ell,j}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

We are now ready to integrate this in  $s_m$ . Recall that we need to integrate  $s'_m = e^{-2\pi i \beta'_m}$  from 1 to  $s_m = e^{-2\pi i \beta_m}$ , which means that we let  $\beta'_m$  go from 0 to  $-\frac{\log s_m}{2\pi i}$ , and at the same time  $\beta'_{m-1}$  go from  $\hat{\beta}_{m-1} := -\frac{\log s_{m-1}}{2\pi i}$  to  $\beta_{m-1} = \frac{\log s_m}{2\pi i} - \frac{\log s_{m-1}}{2\pi i}$ . We then obtain, using (4.19) and (5.18), and writing  $\vec{s}_0 := (s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}, 1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{F(r\vec{\tau}; \vec{s})}{F(r\vec{\tau}; \vec{s}_0)} &= -2\pi i \beta_m \mu(r\tau_m) - \beta_m^2 \log(8|\tau_m|^{3/2} r^{3/2}) - (\beta_{m-1}^2 - \hat{\beta}_{m-1}^2) \log(8|\tau_{m-1}|^{3/2} r^{3/2}) \\ &\quad + \log G(1+\beta_m)G(1-\beta_m) + \log \frac{G(1+\beta_{m-1})G(1-\beta_{m-1})}{G(1+\hat{\beta}_{m-1})G(1-\hat{\beta}_{m-1})} \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \beta_m \left( \log(\tilde{T}_{m,j}) - \log(\tilde{T}_{m-1,j}) \right) - 2\beta_m \beta_{m-1} \log(\tilde{T}_{m-1,m}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log r}{r^{3/2}}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , where  $\mu(x)$  is as in Theorem 1.1.

We can now conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1 by induction on  $m$ . For  $m = 1$ , we have (1.5). Assuming that the result (1.11) holds for  $m-1$  singularities, we know the asymptotics for  $F(r\vec{\tau}; \vec{s}_0) = E(r\tau_1, \dots, r\tau_{m-1}; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-2}, \hat{\beta}_{m-1})$ . Substituting these asymptotics in (6.8) and using (5.19), we obtain

$$\log F(r\vec{\tau}; \vec{s}) = C_1 r^{3/2} + C_2 \log r + C_3, \quad r \rightarrow +\infty,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= -2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \mu(\tau_j), & C_2 &= -\frac{3}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j^2, \\ C_3 &= \sum_{j=1}^m \log G(1+\beta_j)G(1-\beta_j) - \frac{3}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j^2 \log(4|\tau_j|) - 2 \sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq m} \beta_j \beta_k \log \tilde{T}_{j,k}. \end{aligned}$$

From this expansion, it is straightforward to derive (1.11). The expansion (1.9) follows from (1.5) after another straightforward calculation. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## A Model RH problems

In this section, we recall three well-known RH problems: 1) the Airy model RH problem, whose solution is denoted  $\Phi_{\text{Ai}}$ , 2) the Bessel model RH problem, whose solution is denoted by  $\Phi_{\text{Be}}$ , and 3) the confluent hypergeometric model RH problem, which depends on a parameter  $\beta \in i\mathbb{R}$  and whose solution is denoted by  $\Phi_{\text{HG}}(\cdot) = \Phi_{\text{HG}}(\cdot; \beta)$ .




Figure 4: The jump contour  $\Sigma_A$  for  $\Phi_{Ai}$ .

### A.1 Airy model RH problem

(a)  $\Phi_{Ai} : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$  is analytic, and  $\Sigma_A$  is shown in Figure 4.

(b)  $\Phi_{Ai}$  has the jump relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{Ai,+}(z) &= \Phi_{Ai,-}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^-, \\
\Phi_{Ai,+}(z) &= \Phi_{Ai,-}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^+, \\
\Phi_{Ai,+}(z) &= \Phi_{Ai,-}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on } e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} \mathbb{R}^+, \\
\Phi_{Ai,+}(z) &= \Phi_{Ai,-}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on } e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}} \mathbb{R}^+.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

(c) As  $z \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $z \notin \Sigma_A$ , we have

$$\Phi_{Ai}(z) = z^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} M \left( I + \frac{\Phi_{Ai,1}}{z^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \right) e^{-\frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}\sigma_3}, \quad \Phi_{Ai,1} = \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{6} & i \\ i & -\frac{1}{6} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.2}$$

As  $z \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\Phi_{Ai}(z) = \mathcal{O}(1). \tag{A.3}$$

The Airy model RH problem was introduced and solved in [22] (see in particular [22, equation (7.30)]). We have

$$\Phi_{Ai}(z) := M_A \times \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Ai}(z) & \text{Ai}(\omega^2 z) \\ \text{Ai}'(z) & \omega^2 \text{Ai}'(\omega^2 z) \end{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{6}\sigma_3}, & \text{for } 0 < \arg z < \frac{2\pi}{3}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \text{Ai}(z) & \text{Ai}(\omega^2 z) \\ \text{Ai}'(z) & \omega^2 \text{Ai}'(\omega^2 z) \end{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{6}\sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{for } \frac{2\pi}{3} < \arg z < \pi, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \text{Ai}(z) & -\omega^2 \text{Ai}(\omega z) \\ \text{Ai}'(z) & -\text{Ai}'(\omega z) \end{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{6}\sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{for } -\pi < \arg z < -\frac{2\pi}{3}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \text{Ai}(z) & -\omega^2 \text{Ai}(\omega z) \\ \text{Ai}'(z) & -\text{Ai}'(\omega z) \end{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{6}\sigma_3}, & \text{for } -\frac{2\pi}{3} < \arg z < 0, \end{cases} \tag{A.4}$$




Figure 5: The jump contour  $\Sigma_{\text{Be}}$  for  $\Phi_{\text{Be}}$ .

with  $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$ ,  $\text{Ai}$  the Airy function and

$$M_A := \sqrt{2\pi} e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

## A.2 Bessel model RH problem

- (a)  $\Phi_{\text{Be}} : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\text{Be}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$  is analytic, where  $\Sigma_{\text{Be}}$  is shown in Figure 5.  
(b)  $\Phi_{\text{Be}}$  satisfies the jump conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\text{Be},+}(z) &= \Phi_{\text{Be},-}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & z \in \mathbb{R}^-, \\ \Phi_{\text{Be},+}(z) &= \Phi_{\text{Be},-}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & z \in e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \Phi_{\text{Be},+}(z) &= \Phi_{\text{Be},-}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & z \in e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}} \mathbb{R}^+. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

- (c) As  $z \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $z \notin \Sigma_{\text{Be}}$ , we have

$$\Phi_{\text{Be}}(z) = (2\pi z^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{2}} M \left( I + \frac{\Phi_{\text{Be},1}}{z^{1/2}} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}) \right) e^{2z^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_3}, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$\text{where } \Phi_{\text{Be},1} = \frac{1}{16} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -2i \\ -2i & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (d) As  $z$  tends to 0, the behavior of  $\Phi_{\text{Be}}(z)$  is

$$\Phi_{\text{Be}}(z) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(\log z) \\ \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(\log z) \end{pmatrix}, & |\arg z| < \frac{2\pi}{3}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(\log z) & \mathcal{O}(\log z) \\ \mathcal{O}(\log z) & \mathcal{O}(\log z) \end{pmatrix}, & \frac{2\pi}{3} < |\arg z| < \pi. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.8})$$



This RH problem was introduced and solved in [34]. Its unique solution is given by

$$\Phi_{\text{Be}}(z) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} I_0(2z^{\frac{1}{2}}) & \frac{i}{\pi}K_0(2z^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ 2\pi iz^{\frac{1}{2}}I_0'(2z^{\frac{1}{2}}) & -2z^{\frac{1}{2}}K_0'(2z^{\frac{1}{2}}) \end{pmatrix}, & |\arg z| < \frac{2\pi}{3}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}H_0^{(1)}(2(-z)^{\frac{1}{2}}) & \frac{1}{2}H_0^{(2)}(2(-z)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ \pi z^{\frac{1}{2}}(H_0^{(1)})'(2(-z)^{\frac{1}{2}}) & \pi z^{\frac{1}{2}}(H_0^{(2)})'(2(-z)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \end{pmatrix}, & \frac{2\pi}{3} < \arg z < \pi, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}H_0^{(2)}(2(-z)^{\frac{1}{2}}) & -\frac{1}{2}H_0^{(1)}(2(-z)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ -\pi z^{\frac{1}{2}}(H_0^{(2)})'(2(-z)^{\frac{1}{2}}) & \pi z^{\frac{1}{2}}(H_0^{(1)})'(2(-z)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \end{pmatrix}, & -\pi < \arg z < -\frac{2\pi}{3}, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where  $H_0^{(1)}$  and  $H_0^{(2)}$  are the Hankel functions of the first and second kind, and  $I_0$  and  $K_0$  are the modified Bessel functions of the first and second kind.

By [36, Section 10.30(i)], as  $z \rightarrow 0$  we have

$$I_0(z) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(z^2), \quad I_0'(z) = \mathcal{O}(z). \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Therefore, as  $z \rightarrow 0$  from the sector  $|\arg z| < \frac{2\pi}{3}$ , we have

$$\Phi_{\text{Be}}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \mathcal{O}(z) & * \\ \mathcal{O}(z) & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

where \* denotes entries whose values are unimportant for us.

### A.3 Confluent hypergeometric model RH problem

(a)  $\Phi_{\text{HG}} : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\text{HG}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$  is analytic, where  $\Sigma_{\text{HG}}$  is shown in Figure 6.

(b) For  $z \in \Gamma_k$  (see Figure 6),  $k = 1, \dots, 6$ ,  $\Phi_{\text{HG}}$  has the jump relations

$$\Phi_{\text{HG},+}(z) = \Phi_{\text{HG},-}(z)J_k, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

where

$$J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\pi\beta} \\ -e^{i\pi\beta} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\pi\beta} \\ -e^{-i\pi\beta} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{i\pi\beta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-i\pi\beta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-i\pi\beta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{i\pi\beta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(c) As  $z \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $z \notin \Sigma_{\text{HG}}$ , we have

$$\Phi_{\text{HG}}(z) = \left( I + \frac{\Phi_{\text{HG},1}(\beta)}{z} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-2}) \right) z^{-\beta\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{z}{2}\sigma_3} \begin{cases} e^{i\pi\beta\sigma_3}, & \frac{\pi}{2} < \arg z < \frac{3\pi}{2}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & -\frac{\pi}{2} < \arg z < \frac{\pi}{2}, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

where

$$\Phi_{\text{HG},1}(\beta) = \beta^2 \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \tau(\beta) \\ -\tau(-\beta) & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau(\beta) = \frac{-\Gamma(-\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

In (A.13),  $z^\beta = |z|^\beta e^{i\arg z}$  with  $\arg z \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2})$ .

As  $z \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\Phi_{\text{HG}}(z) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(\log z) \\ \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(\log z) \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } z \in II \cup V, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(\log z) & \mathcal{O}(\log z) \\ \mathcal{O}(\log z) & \mathcal{O}(\log z) \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } z \in I \cup III \cup IV \cup VI. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.15})$$




Figure 6: The jump contour  $\Sigma_{\text{HG}}$  for  $\Phi_{\text{HG}}$ . The ray  $\Gamma_k$  is oriented from 0 to  $\infty$ , and forms an angle with  $\mathbb{R}^+$  which is a multiple of  $\frac{\pi}{4}$ .

This RH problem was introduced and solved in [29]. Consider the matrix

$$\widehat{\Phi}_{\text{HG}}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma(1-\beta)G(\beta; z) & -\frac{\Gamma(1-\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta)}H(1-\beta; ze^{-i\pi}) \\ \Gamma(1+\beta)G(1+\beta; z) & H(-\beta; ze^{-i\pi}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.16})$$

where  $G$  and  $H$  are related to the Whittaker functions:

$$G(a; z) = \frac{M_{\kappa, \mu}(z)}{\sqrt{z}}, \quad H(a; z) = \frac{W_{\kappa, \mu}(z)}{\sqrt{z}}, \quad \mu = 0, \quad \kappa = \frac{1}{2} - a. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

The solution  $\Phi_{\text{HG}}$  is given by

$$\Phi_{\text{HG}}(z) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\Phi}_{\text{HG}}(z)J_2^{-1}, & \text{for } z \in I, \\ \widehat{\Phi}_{\text{HG}}(z), & \text{for } z \in II, \\ \widehat{\Phi}_{\text{HG}}(z)J_3^{-1}, & \text{for } z \in III, \\ \widehat{\Phi}_{\text{HG}}(z)J_2^{-1}J_1^{-1}J_6^{-1}J_5, & \text{for } z \in IV, \\ \widehat{\Phi}_{\text{HG}}(z)J_2^{-1}J_1^{-1}J_6^{-1}, & \text{for } z \in V, \\ \widehat{\Phi}_{\text{HG}}(z)J_2^{-1}J_1^{-1}, & \text{for } z \in VI. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

We can now use classical expansions as  $z \rightarrow 0$  for the Whittaker functions, see [36, Section 13.14 (iii)], to conclude that, as  $z \rightarrow 0$  from sector II, we have

$$\Phi_{\text{HG}}(z; \beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma(1-\beta) & * \\ \Gamma(1+\beta) & * \end{pmatrix} (I + \mathcal{O}(z)), \quad (\text{A.19})$$

where the stars denote entries whose values are unimportant for us. This implies that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} [\Phi_{\text{HG}}^{-1}(z) \partial_\beta \Phi_{\text{HG}}(z)]_{21} = \Gamma(1-\beta)\Gamma'(1+\beta) + \Gamma'(1-\beta)\Gamma(1+\beta). \quad (\text{A.20})$$

## References

- [1] M.J. Ablowitz and H. Segur, Asymptotic solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **57** (1976/77), no. 1, 13–44.

- [2] G. Amir, I. Corwin, and J. Quastel, Probability distribution of the free energy of the continuum directed random polymer in  $1 + 1$  dimensions, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **64** (2011), 466–537.
- [3] L.-P. Arguin, D. Belius, and P. Bourgade, Maximum of the Characteristic Polynomial of Random Unitary Matrices. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **349** (2017), 703–751.
- [4] J. Baik, R. Buckingham, and J. Di Franco, Asymptotics of Tracy-Widom distributions and the total integral of a Painlevé II function, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **280** (2008), 463–497.
- [5] J. Baik, P. Deift, and E. Rains, A Fredholm determinant identity and the convergence of moments for random Young tableaux, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **223** (2001), no. 3, 627–672.
- [6] E. Basor and H. Widom, Determinants of Airy operators and applications to random matrices, *J. Statist. Phys.* **96** (1999), no. 1–2, 1–20.
- [7] N. Berestycki, C. Webb, and M. D. Wong, Random Hermitian Matrices and Gaussian Multiplicative Chaos, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **172** (2018), no. 172, 103–189.
- [8] A. Bogatskiy, T. Claeys, and A. Its, Hankel determinant and orthogonal polynomials for a Gaussian weight with a discontinuity at the edge, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **347** (2016), no. 1, 127–162.
- [9] A. Borodin, CLT for spectra of submatrices of Wigner random matrices, *Mosc. Math. J.* **14** (2014), no. 1, 29–38, 170.
- [10] A. Borodin, Determinantal point processes, *In Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory*, Oxford University Press, New York (2011), 231–249.
- [11] A. Borodin and P. Ferrari, Anisotropic growth of random surfaces in  $2+1$  dimensions, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **325** (2014), 603–684.
- [12] A. Borodin, A. Okounkov, and G. Olshanski, Asymptotics of Plancherel measures for symmetric groups, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (2000), no. 3, 481–515.
- [13] T. Bothner and R. Buckingham, Large deformations of the Tracy-Widom distribution I. Non-oscillatory asymptotics, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **359** (2018), 223–263.
- [14] P. Bourgade, L. Erdős, and H.-T. Yau, Edge universality of beta ensembles, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **332** (2014), 261–353.
- [15] C. Charlier, Asymptotics of Hankel determinants with a one-cut regular potential and Fisher-Hartwig singularities, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* rny009, <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rny009>.
- [16] C. Charlier, Large gap asymptotics in the piecewise thinned Bessel point process, preprint.
- [17] T. Claeys and A. Doeraene, The generating function for the Airy point process and a system of coupled Painlevé II equations, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **140** (2018), no. 4, 403–437.
- [18] I. Corwin and P. Ghosal, Lower tail of the KPZ equation, arXiv:1802.03273.
- [19] P. Deift, Orthogonal Polynomials and Random Matrices: A Riemann-Hilbert Approach, *American Mathematical Society*, 1999.
- [20] P. Deift, D. Gioev, Universality at the edge of the spectrum for unitary, orthogonal and symplectic ensembles of random matrices, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **60** (2007), 867–910.

- [21] P. Deift, A. Its, and I. Krasovsky, Asymptotics for the Airy-kernel determinant, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **278** (2008), 643–678.
- [22] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K. McLaughlin, S. Venakides, and X. Zhou, Strong asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials with respect to exponential weights, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **52** (1999), 1491–1552.
- [23] P. J. Forrester, The spectrum edge of random matrix ensembles, *Nuclear Phys. B* **402** (1993), 709–728.
- [24] P.J. Forrester, Asymptotics of spacing distributions 50 years later, *MSRI Publications* **65**, (2014) 199–222.
- [25] A. Foulquie Moreno, A. Martinez-Finkelshtein, and V. L. Sousa, Asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials for a weight with a jump on  $[-1,1]$ , *Constr. Approx.* **33** (2011), 219–263.
- [26] J. Hagg, Gaussian fluctuations in some determinantal processes, PhD thesis, 2007, ISBN 978-91-7178-603-6, <http://kth.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:11902/FULLTEXT01.pdf> .
- [27] S.P. Hastings and J.B. McLeod, A boundary value problem associated with the second Painlevé transcendent and the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **73** (1980), 31–51.
- [28] A. Its, A.G. Izergin, V.E. Korepin, and N.A. Slavnov, Differential equations for quantum correlation functions, *In proceedings of the Conference on Yang-Baxter Equations, Conformal Invariance and Integrability in Statistical Mechanics and Field Theory*, Volume **4**, (1990) 1003–1037.
- [29] A. Its and I. Krasovsky, Hankel determinant and orthogonal polynomials for the Gaussian weight with a jump, *Contemporary Mathematics* **458** (2008), 215–247.
- [30] K. Johansson, The arctic circle boundary and the Airy process, *Ann. Prob.* **33** (2005), no. 1, 1–30.
- [31] K. Johansson, Random matrices and determinantal processes, *Mathematical statistical physics*, 1–55, Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [32] A. Krajenbrink, P. Le Doussal, and S. Prohac, Systematic time expansion for the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation, linear statistics of the GUE at the edge and trapped fermions, *Nucl. Phys. B* **936** (2018), 239–305.
- [33] I. Krasovsky, Large gap asymptotics for random matrices, in "New Trends in Mathematical Physics", XVth International Congress on Mathematical Physics, *Springer*, 2009.
- [34] A.B.J. Kuijlaars, K. T-R McLaughlin, W. Van Assche, M. Vanlessen, The Riemann-Hilbert approach to strong asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials on  $[-1,1]$ , *Adv. Math.* **188** (2004), 337–398.
- [35] G. Lambert, D. Ostrovsky and N. Simm, Subcritical Multiplicative Chaos for Regularized Counting Statistics from Random Matrix Theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **360** (2018), no. 1, 1–54
- [36] F.W.J. Olver, D.W. Lozier, R.F. Boisvert and C.W. Clark, NIST handbook of mathematical functions (2010), *Cambridge University Press*.
- [37] M. Praehofer and H. Spohn, Scale invariance of the PNG droplet and the Airy process, *J. Statist. Phys.* **108** (2002), 1076–1106.

- [38] A. Soshnikov, Universality at the edge of the spectrum in Wigner random matrices, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **207** (1999), 697–733.
- [39] A. Soshnikov, Gaussian fluctuation for the number of particles in Airy, Bessel, sine, and other determinantal random point fields, *J. Statist. Phys.* **100** (2000), 491–522.
- [40] A. Soshnikov, Determinantal random point fields, *Russian Math. Surveys* **55** (2000), no. 5, 923–975.
- [41] C. Tracy and H. Widom, Level-spacing distributions and the Airy kernel, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **159** (1994), 151–174.
- [42] S.-X. Xu and D. Dai, Tracy-Widom distributions in critical unitary random matrix ensembles and the coupled Painlevé II system, *Comm. Math. Phys.* (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-018-3257-y>.