

The theory of the Polyakov line interaction

R.A.Abramchuk^{*)}, Z.V.Khaidukov^{*) +)} and Yu.A.Simonov^{+))}

^{*)}Moscow Institute of Physics and Thechnology, Moscow, Russia,

^{+))}State Research Center

Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics,

Moscow, 117218 Russia

August 13, 2022

Abstract

Nonperturbative method of Field Correlators is exploited to calculate the Polyakov line $L(T)$ in the 2+1 flavor QCD with vanishingly small quark masses, when the only scale is given by the string tension σ . It is shown that the Polyakov line in the range $120\text{MeV} < T < 400\text{MeV}$ can be expressed via the heavy-light meson mass. The latter is decreasing with T together with $\sigma(T)$ as it is deduced from the gradually vanishing quark condensate. The resulting $L(T)$ is in good agreement with the recent lattice data.

1 Introduction

The Polyakov loop $L(T)$ has a long history and ever increasing role in the understanding of the QCD dynamics at nonzero temperature. The first studies of $L_i(T)$ $i = f, \text{adj}$, in connection with the renormalized free energy of the static quark [1, 2, 3, 4] have already discovered the dynamical mechanism which may explain its role in the confined and deconfined phases of SU(3), where $L_{\text{adj}}(T)$ can be considered as an order parameter. Indeed in this case $L_{\text{ren}}(T) = \exp(-F_Q/T)$ and the free energy of a static quark F_Q (isolated from antiquark) in the SU(3) theory is infinite in the confined phase due to the presence of the infinitely long string, while it is finite in the deconfined phase.

This analysis together with Casimir scaling studies was done in [5].

The study at $n_f = 2 + 1$ QCD has revealed a completely different behaviour of $L_f(T)$, since the isolated static quark can adjoin a dynamical antiquark thus making F_Q finite and $L_f(T)$ nonzero in the confined phase, which brings in a continuous T dependence. At the same time $L_i(T)$ can be connected to the $Q\bar{Q}$ free energy and the Debye screening mass [6] at higher T .

On the lattice the renormalized Polyakov loop was calculated repeatedly in 2+1 flavor QCD with physical quark masses [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. One can see in these results continuous curves $L_{\text{ren}}(T)$ strongly dependent on the lattice quark character and the assumed renormalisation procedure.

In spite of numerous studies, two main problems concerning $L_i(T)$ are left unanswered.

First of all, what is the theoretical dynamics behind the Polyakov loop, and how one can try to calculate it starting from the QCD Lagrangian? The lattice data on $L(T)$ of course are obtained numerically from the first principles, but they do not provide the dynamical mechanisms for both perturbative and nonperturbative interactions generating $L_i(T)$.

Secondly, it is not clear in the cited literature what is the explicit role of $L_i(T)$ in the expressions for the thermodynamic potentials both in SU(3) and in the $n_f = 2 + 1$ QCD.

The partial answers to both questions have been formulated in the framework of the nonperturbative approach, called the Field Correlator Method (FCM), [13, 14] in the QCD thermodynamic case in [15, 16, 17], see the basic theory papers [18, 19, 20] and the review paper [21]. Recently this formalism was generalized including the Color Magnetic Confinement (CMC) at $T \geq T_c$ [22, 23, 24, 25, 26].

It was argued in these papers, that $L_i(T)$ actually enters the resulting expressions for thermodynamic potentials in the deconfined phase, namely in the qgp pressure one can write [25]

$$P = \sum_f P_q^{(f)} + P_{gl}, \quad (1)$$

$$P_{gl} = \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^{3/2}} G_3(s) \sum_{n=0,1,2} e^{-\frac{n^2}{4T^2 s}} L_{\text{adj}}^{(n)}, \quad (2)$$

$$P_q^{(f)} = \frac{4N_c}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^{3/2}} e^{-m_f^2 s} S_3(s) \sum_{n=1,2} (-)^{n+1} e^{-\frac{n^2}{4T^2 s}} \cosh\left(\frac{\mu n}{T}\right) L_f^{(n)}. \quad (3)$$

Here $G_3(s)$ and $S_3(s)$ are 3d Green's function for gluon and quark respectively, which depend on CMC screening masses ($m_D \approx \text{const}\sqrt{\sigma_s}, \sigma_s$ – spatial string tension). At $T < 1$ GeV one can approximate

$$L_i^{(n)} \approx (L_i)^n = L_i^n, \quad i = f, \quad adj, \quad L_{adj} = L_f^{9/4}. \quad (4)$$

In this way $L_i(T)$ define to a large extent the thermodynamics of the quark gluon plasma (qgp). Specifically, in the SU(3) trace anomaly $I(T), T > T_c$, the behavior of $L_{adj}(T)$ defines the spectacular shoulder in the T dependence of $\frac{I(T)}{T^2 T_c^2}$, [22]. The important role of Polyakov lines is also considered in other approaches.

An effective formalism of the qgp thermodynamics is developed in the framework of Polyakov loop thermodynamics, e.g. in the Polyakov–Nambu–Jona–Lasinio model [27, 28], where $L_i(T)$ enter as factors in different powers, and in the Polyakov-quark-meson model [29, 30].

We are now coming back to the first question, what is the dynamics of $L_i(T)$ and how to calculate $L_i(T)$ theoretically. The answer to this particular question was given in the framework of the FCM, see e.g. [18, 19], where it was shown, that $L_i(T)$ can be connected to the nonperturbative (np) interaction $V_1(r, T)$ produced by the field correlator $D_1^E(z)$. The perturbative part of V_1 coincides with the standard color Coulomb interaction, while the long distance np part $V_1(\infty)$ produces $L_i(T)$ as

$$L_i(T) = \exp\left(-c_i \frac{V_1(\infty, T)}{2T}\right), \quad c_f = 1, \quad c_{adj} = \frac{9}{4}. \quad (5)$$

As was shown in [18, 19] and will be discussed below, V_1 can be obtained from the correlator $D_1^E(z)$, at $T = 0$ and D_1^E in its turn is obtained from the gluelump Green's functions, known both analytically [31] and on the lattice [32].

2 Calculation of the V_1 interaction

To understand how the $q\bar{q}$ or gg interaction is created in the nonperturbative vacuum, one can use the path integral representation of the $q\bar{q}$, or gg Green's function [33], which can be represented in the form [14].

$$G_{q\bar{q}, gg}(x, y) = \int d\Gamma_{q\bar{q}, gg} W(C_{xy}), \quad (6)$$

where $d\Gamma$ includes the integration over all paths of q and \bar{q} (g and g) connecting points x and y , these paths forming a closed loop C_{xy} , which defines the Wilson loop $W(C_{xy})$.

$$W(C) = \langle tr P \exp(ig \int_C A_\mu dz_\mu) \rangle, \quad (7)$$

with P the ordering operator. The use of the nonabelian Stokes theorem and the cluster expansion [34] yields

$$W(C) = tr \exp \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(ig)^n}{n!} \int d\sigma(1)d\sigma(2)\dots d\sigma(n) \langle \langle F(1)\dots F(n) \rangle \rangle \quad (8)$$

where the surface elements and field operators enter as $d\sigma(i)F(i) = d\sigma_{\mu\nu} \Phi(x_0, u_i) F_{\mu\nu}(u_i) \Phi(u_i, x_0)$, and $\Phi(a, b) = \exp(ig \int_b^a A_\mu(u) du_\mu)$ is the parallel transporter, which makes all construction gauge invariant.

As it was shown before in [35] and confirmed by the Casimir scaling on the lattice [36], one can keep in (8) the lowest term with $n = 2$ with accuracy $O(4\%)$, which yields the $q\bar{q}$, gg interaction potential

$$W_2(C_{xy}) = tr \exp \left(-\frac{g^2}{2} \int \int d\sigma(1)d\sigma(2) \langle \langle F(1)F(2) \rangle \rangle \right) = \exp \left(-\int_0^{x_4-y_4} \hat{V}(\mathbf{R}(\tau), \tau) d\tau \right). \quad (9)$$

Here the term $\hat{V}(\mathbf{R}, \tau)$ defines the instantaneous interaction between q and \bar{q} (g and g) at the time τ , when the distance between q and \bar{q} is $\mathbf{R}(\tau)$ on the trajectory loop C_{xy} .

In what follows we shall calculate $\hat{V}(\mathbf{R}(\tau), \tau)$ produced by the quadratic correlator $\langle F(u)F(v) \rangle$, following the procedure of basic papers [13, 14]. Note, that we consider the spin-independent interaction (for the spin-dependent part see [37]) and the minimal surfaces inside C_{xy} , which can be taken as flat on distances $\Delta r, \Delta\tau \lesssim \lambda$, $\lambda \approx 0.2$ fm.

We start with the standard definition of quadratic correlator [13]

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\mu\nu, \lambda\sigma}(x, 0) &= \frac{g^2}{N_c} \langle tr F_{\mu\nu}(x) \Phi(x, 0) F_{\lambda\sigma}(0) \rangle = \\ &= (\delta_{\mu\lambda} \delta_{\nu\sigma} - \delta_{\mu\sigma} \delta_{\nu\lambda}) D(x) + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} (x_\lambda \delta_{\nu\sigma} - x_\sigma \delta_{\nu\lambda}) + (\mu\lambda \rightarrow \nu\sigma) \right] D_1(x). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Using (9) one can express \hat{V} via D and D_1 one can write,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \hat{V}_{d\tau} &= \frac{1}{2} \int D_{14,14}(u-v) d^2 u d^2 v = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int d\left(\frac{u_4+v_4}{2}\right) d(u_4-v_4) d\left(\frac{u_1+v_1}{2}\right) d(u_1-v_1) D_{14,14}(u-v) = \\ &= 2 \int dt_4 \int_0^\infty d\nu \int_0^R d\eta (R-\eta) (D(\nu, \eta) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d\eta} (\eta D_1(\nu, \eta))), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $t_4 = \frac{u_4+v_4}{2}$, $\nu = |u_4 - v_4|$, $\eta = |u_1 - v_1|$, one finally obtains

$$V = V_D(R) + V_1(R), \quad V_D = 2 \int_0^\infty d\nu \int_0^R d\eta (R-\eta) D(\nu, \eta). \quad (12)$$

One can consider the colorelectric correlator $D_1^E(x)$ putting $\nu = \sigma = 4$, which produces the potential $V_1(r)$ at $T = 0$.

$$V_1(r) = \int_0^r \lambda d\lambda \int_0^\infty d\tau D_1^E(\sqrt{\lambda^2 + \tau^2}). \quad (13)$$

As a new step one must express $D_1(x)$ via the gluon Green's function to the lowest order in background perturbation theory. To this end one can extract from $F_{\mu\nu}(x) = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - ig[A_\mu, A_\nu]$ the part with derivatives, which contributes to D_1

$$D_{1\ \mu\nu, \lambda\sigma}^{(0)}(x, y) = \frac{g^2}{2N_c^2} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\lambda} \langle tr_a A_\nu(x) \Phi(x, y) A_\sigma(y) \rangle + \text{perm} \right\} \quad (14)$$

and denoting as $G^{(1g)}$ the structure in the angular brackets, one obtains for $\mu = \lambda = 4$.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_4} G_{\nu\sigma}^{(1g)}(x-y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} (x_4 - y_4) D_1(x-y) \delta_{\nu\sigma} + \text{perm}. \quad (15)$$

As a result one obtains that $G_{\nu\sigma}^{(1g)}(z) = \delta_{\nu\sigma} G^{(1g)}(z)$ and D_1^E is connected to $G^{(1g)}$ as

$$D_1^E(x) = -\frac{2g^2}{N_c^2} \frac{dG^{(1g)}}{dx^2}. \quad (16)$$

Here $G^{(1g)}(x-y)$ is the Green's function of the one-gluon gluon, i.e. the gauge invariant combination of the gluon propagator augmented with the parallel transporter $\Phi(x, y)$, as shown in Fig.1

This function and the corresponding gluelump spectrum was found analytically [31] in a good agreement with the lattice data[32].

Inserting (16) into (13), one obtains the relation between $V_1(r)$ and $G^{(1g)}$, namely

$$V_1(r) = -\frac{g^2}{N_c^2} \int_0^\infty d\tau (G^{(1g)}(\sqrt{r^2 + \tau^2}) - G^{(1g)}(\tau)). \quad (17)$$

Since $G^{(1g)}(x \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow 0$ one obtains

$$V_1(\infty) = \frac{g^2}{N_c^2} \int_0^\infty d\tau G^{(1g)}(\tau). \quad (18)$$

In what follows we shall consider three approximations for $G^{(1g)}(x)$ and $D_1(x)$:

- a) asymptotic form at large x ;
- b) “elementary” gluelump approximation with a definite gluelump mass;
- c) free gluon approximation.

From [31] we know, that gluelumps acquire mass M_{GP} due to confinement, $M_{GP} = O(1 \text{ GeV})$ and $G^{(1g)}$, $D_1^E(x)$ have the asymptotics

$$D_1^{as}(x) = \frac{6\alpha_s\sigma_f M_{GP}}{x} \exp(-M_{GP}x) \quad (19)$$

$$G_{as}^{1g}(x) = \frac{6N_c^2\sigma_f}{4\pi} \exp(-M_{GP}x) \quad (20)$$

and hence $V_1(\infty)$ in the case a) is

$$V_1^{(as)}(\infty) = \frac{6\alpha_s\sigma_f}{M_{GP}} \approx 0.23 \text{ GeV}, \quad (21)$$

for $\alpha_s \approx 0.3$, $M_{GP} = 1.4 \text{ GeV}$ [31, 32].

To understand behaviour of $G^{1g}(x)$ at small x , we consider the case of massive gluon, (case b). In the case b) the “massive gluon” Green’s function $G_m^{(1g)}$ has the form

$$G_m^{(1g)}(x) = \frac{N_c(N_c^2 - 1)}{4\pi^2} \frac{m}{x} K_1(m|x|), \quad m \sim M_{GP}, \quad (22)$$

with K_1 the modified Bessel function, hence

$$D_1^{(m)}(x) = \frac{m^2\alpha_s(N_c^2 - 1)}{\pi x^2 N_c} K_2(m|x|). \quad (23)$$

The case c) is obtained by putting $m = 0$ in (22),

$$G_0^{(1g)}(x) = \frac{N_c(N_c^2 - 1)}{4\pi^2 x^2}, \quad D_1^{(0)}(x) = \frac{2\alpha_s(N_c^2 - 1)}{\pi x^4 N_c}. \quad (24)$$

At this point it is important to test the small distance behavior of the gluelump Green's function $G_{\mu\nu}(x, y)$. Following [42] one can write the path integral representation

$$G_{\mu\nu}(x, y) = \text{tr}_a \int_0^\infty ds (Dz)_{xy} e^{-K} \langle W_{\mu\nu}(C_{xy}) \rangle \quad (25)$$

and as it is explained in [42], Appendix 1, it leads to the behavior at small $|x - y|$

$$G_{ik}(x, y) \approx \frac{N_c(N_c^2 - 1)}{4\pi^2(x - y)^2} \left(1 - \frac{\omega^2(x - y)^2}{4} + \dots \right), \quad (26)$$

where $\omega^2 = \frac{g^2}{12N_c} \langle \text{tr} F^2 \rangle (x - y)^2$. For $D_1(x)$ it gives the small distance behavior

$$D_1(x) = \frac{4C_2\alpha_s}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{x^4} + \frac{\pi^2 G_2}{24N_c} + \dots \right\}, \quad (27)$$

where $G_2 = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \langle F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu}^a \rangle$, so that $D(0) + D_1(0) = \frac{\pi^2}{18} G_2$.

One can deduce that the singular part of D_1 corresponds to the one-gluon-exchange (OGE) or color Coulomb part, while the non-singular part refers to the renormalized $V_1(r)$ interaction, which is finite at small r .

We now turn to the behavior $V_1(r)$ at finite and small r , where we can use Eq.(2) with $D_1^E(z)$ in three cases: 1) asymptotic, taking $D_1^{(as)}$ from (19), 2) "massive gluon" case, with $D_1^{(m)}(x)$ from (23), and 3) the free case with $D_1^{(0)}$ in (24).

Correspondingly in the case 1) one obtains

$$V_1^{(as)}(r) = 6\alpha_s \sigma_f \int_0^\infty d\nu (e^{-\nu M_{GP}} - e^{-\sqrt{r^2 + \nu^2} M_{GP}}), \quad V_1^{as}(0) = 0, \quad (28)$$

which supplement the behaviour of V_1^{as} at small r .

In the cases 2) and 3) one has a divergence at small values of r, t , e.g.

$$V_1^{(m)}(r) = \frac{\alpha_s(N_c^2 - 1)}{\pi N_c} \int_0^\infty d\nu \left[\frac{m}{\nu} K_1(m\nu) - \frac{m}{\sqrt{r^2 + \nu^2}} K_1(\sqrt{r^2 + \nu^2} m) \right]. \quad (29)$$

Therefore to define properly the perturbative Coulomb interaction one can write as in [33, 34],

$$V_1^{(\text{pert})}(r) = \frac{8\alpha_s}{3\pi} \int_0^\infty d\nu \left(\frac{1}{\nu^2} - \frac{1}{\nu^2 + r^2} \right) = V_1^{(\text{pert})}(\infty) - \frac{4\alpha_s}{3r} \quad (30)$$

and to renormalize (30) one can put $V_1^{(\text{pert})}(\infty) = 0$, similarly to [3].

This renormalization agrees with the one accepted in the lattice calculations [3, 40]. As a result we construct $V_1(r)$ as a sum of two terms: The Coulomb-like $V_1^{\text{pert}}(r) = -\frac{4\alpha_s}{3r}$ and $V_1^{as}(r)$ given in (28),

$$V_1(r) = -\frac{4\alpha_s}{3r} + V_1^{as}(r) \quad (31)$$

We now turn to the modification of $V_1(r)$ in the case of the finite temperature T , $V_1(r) \rightarrow V_1(r, T)$ and finally to the connection of $V_1(r, T)$ and the Polyakov line $L(T) = \hat{T}r \langle \exp(ig \int_0^{1/T} A_4(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau) \rangle$.

As we shall see, the T dependence can enter in $V_1(r, \tau)$ and $L(\tau)$ at least in two ways. The first is the simplest modification due to Matsubara reformulation of the path integral (19) and the resulting equations for P_q, P_g in (13), (16). The second source of the T dependence is of more fundamental character and it is connected to the string tension modification at growing T , $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma(T)$, which will be discussed in the next section.

We start with the simplest modification of the $V_1(r)$ into $V_1(r, T)$ due to the redefinition of the time variable and the introduction of the periodic Euclidean time at the temperature T , as it was done in [33]

$$V_1(r, T) = \int_0^{1/T} d\nu (1 - \nu T) \int_0^r \xi d\xi D_1^E(\sqrt{\xi^2 + \nu^2}). \quad (32)$$

Stricly speaking at this point one should take D_1^E in the equivalent periodic form [38], which would give corrections of the order of $\exp(-M/T)$, and we neglect it for $T \ll M, M \gtrsim 1$ GeV.

Finally we rewrite the form (32) taking for D_1^E the asymptotics (19), which yields [33]

$$V_1^{(as)}(\infty, T) = a \left(1 - \frac{T}{M_{GP}} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{M_{GP}}{T}} \right) \right), \quad (33)$$

$$a = \frac{6\alpha_s \sigma_f}{M_{GP}}$$

3 Polyakov lines in the confinement and transition regions

One can consider the Polyakov loop in the low T region, especially in the confinement region, where many lattice data are now available. As will be seen, in this case $L_i(T)$ does not enter directly in the thermodynamic potentials, and is expressed via heavy-light masses, $M_{Q\bar{q}}$ in the fundamental representation and M_{Gg} in the adjoint case,

$$L_f(T) = \exp\left(-\frac{M_{Q\bar{q}}}{T}\right), \quad L_a(T) = \exp\left(-\frac{M_{Gg}}{T}\right), \quad (34)$$

or $F_Q = M_{Q\bar{q}}$.

It is clear, that heavy-light objects are absent in the real QCD thermodynamics and therefore the behavior of $L_i(T)$, $i = f, a$ is irrelevant for the analysis of pressure and other potentials in the confinement region. Instead, all quarks and gluons in the this region are bound inside white hadrons, and we now shall consider the process of the gradual change of confinement string tension and the recovering of $V_1(r, T)$ with the growing T .

To this end one must disentangle two effects: 1) the compensation of $V_1(r, T)$ and $V_D^{\text{sat}}(r, T)$ which occurs at $T = 0$, as it was shown in [23], and the partial compensation in the region $0 < T < T^*$; 2) the possible transition from the confinement configuration of string connected quarks to the deconfined combination of liberated quarks and antiquarks, which occurs when the energy $V(\infty, T) \approx \sigma(T)R^*$, and $R^* \sim$ average interaction distance from the particle density: $n(T) = \frac{\partial P}{\partial T}$. It is understood, that hadron configurations are inadventagous at the temperatures $T > T^*$ from the point of view of the minimum of the free energy of the system.

To follow the development of dynamics in the hadron with temperature and its decomposition into quarks one can write the Hamiltonian, which follows from the path integral representation. For the meson with the orbital momentum $l = 0$ one has

$$H_{q\bar{q}} = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_q^2} + \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_{\bar{q}}^2} + V_D(r) + V_C(r) + \Delta V(r) + V_{ss} \quad (35)$$

where $V_D(r) = \sigma r$, $V_C(r) = -\frac{4\alpha_s}{3r}$; $\Delta V = V_1 - V_D^{\text{sat}}$, and V_{ss} is the spin-dependent part, defined in [37].

$$V_D^{\text{sat}}(r, T) = 2 \int_0^{1/T} d\nu (1 - \nu T) \int_0^r \xi d\xi D(\sqrt{\xi^2 + \nu^2}), \quad (36)$$

$$V_D^{\text{conf}}(r, T) = 2r \int_0^{1/T} d\nu(1 - \nu T) \int_0^r d\xi D(\sqrt{\xi^2 + \nu^2}), \quad (37)$$

$$V_1^{\text{sat}}(r, T) = \int_0^{1/T} d\nu(1 - \nu T) \int_0^r \xi d\xi D_1(\sqrt{\xi^2 + \nu^2}), \quad (38)$$

$$V_1^{\text{Coul}}(r, T) = -\frac{C_2 \alpha_s}{r} f(r, T); \quad f(r, t) = 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan(rT) - \frac{rT}{\pi} \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{(rT)^2} \right). \quad (39)$$

The Polyakov loop is defined via the static quark free energy $F_Q(T)$ as $L_f(T) = \exp\left(-\frac{F_Q(T)}{T}\right)$ and F_Q is created by all interactions of the static quark Q with the environment via potentials (36), (37), (38), (39). Correspondingly one can represent F_Q as a sum where $M_{Q\bar{q}}$ refers to the heavy-light mass, F_Q^{sat} contains the input of (36) plus (38), and $F_Q^{(\text{Coul})}$ takes into account the color Coulomb interaction, present in V_1 .

$$F_Q = M_{Q\bar{q}}(T) + F_Q^{\text{sat}} + F_Q^{\text{Coul}} \quad (40)$$

Here we taken into account that for the static quark Q distant from the static antiquark \bar{Q} , the total energy $E_Q(T)$ is the sum of the $Q\bar{q}$ energy eigenvalues ($E_{Q\bar{q}} + E_{\bar{Q}q}$) created by the confinement $V_D^{\text{conf}}(r, T)$, while

$$\begin{aligned} F_Q^{\text{sat}}(T) &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta V(\infty, T) = \frac{1}{2} (V_1^{\text{sat}}(\infty, T) - V_D^{\text{sat}}(\infty, T)) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/T} d\nu(1 - \nu T) \int_0^\infty \xi d\xi (D_1(\sqrt{\xi^2 + \nu^2}) - 2D(\sqrt{\xi^2 + \nu^2})) \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

To estimate $F_Q^{\text{sat}}(T)$ one can use $D_1^{as}(x) = A_1 \exp(-M_1 x)$ from (19) and

$$D^{as}(x) = \frac{g^4(N_c^2 - 1)}{2} 0.108 \sigma_f^2 e^{-M_2 x} = A_2 e^{-M_2 x} \quad (42)$$

from [41, 42]. As a result of integration in (41) one obtains for $T = 0$ with $M_1 = 1.4$ GeV and $M_2 = 2$ GeV

$$F_Q^{\text{sat}}(0) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{A_1}{M_1^2} - \frac{4A_2}{M_2^3} \right) = \frac{1}{2} (0.16 - 0.10) \text{ GeV} = 0.03 \text{ GeV}. \quad (43)$$

For $T > 0$ one can use (33) to write

$$F_Q^{\text{sat}}(T) = F_Q^{\text{sat}}(0) \left(1 - \frac{T}{M_{GP}(T)} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{M_{GP}(T)}{T}} \right) \right) \quad (44)$$

and take into account that both $F_Q^{\text{sat}}(0)$ and $M_{GP}(T)$ scale as $\sqrt{\sigma(T)}$.

From (43 and (44) one can deduce that $F_Q^{\text{sat}}(T)$ is small at all T and can be neglected, as well as F_Q^{Coul} except in the region of large T , $T > 400$ MeV where at high density $F_Q^{\text{Coul}} \sim -\alpha_s \langle \frac{1}{R} \rangle$ becomes important for $\langle \frac{1}{R} \rangle = O(1 \text{ GeV})$.

In addition to these potentials there are also spin-spin and spin-orbit interactions [37], which we disregard here. For the baryons the same potentials $V_D(r, T) \equiv V_D^{\text{conf}} - V_D^{\text{sat}}$ and $V_1(r, T) \equiv V_1^{\text{sat}}(r, T) + V_1^{\text{Coul}}(r, T)$ enter in the string junction configuration for V_D and in the triangular one for V_1 , namely as in [21, 43, 44].

$$V_{3q} = \sum_{i=1}^3 V_D(r_i, T) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i>j} V_1(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j, T). \quad (45)$$

We shall distinguish three temperature regions,

1. the pure confinement region, $T < T_1 \approx 130$ MeV;
2. The transition region $T_1 < T < T_2$, $T_2 \approx 200$ MeV;
3. the quark-gluon region, $T > T_2$.

In the first and the second regions we keep hadrons as noninteracting and consider D and D_1 dependent on T , so that V_1^{sat} and V_1^{Coul} , are as in (38), (39) and $V_D^{\text{sat}}(\infty, T)$ as in (36), while D is also T dependent, yielding $V_D^{\text{conf}}(r, T) = \sigma(T)r$, and similarly $V_D^{\text{sat}}(r, T) = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma(T)}{\sigma(0)}} V_D^{\text{sat}}(r, 0)$. At this point it is important to remember that in QCD the only scaleful constant (besides quark masses) is the string tension, which appears selfconsistently from the field correlators and gluelump masses [41, 42], (see also a discussion in [43, 44]). Another important possible source of the scale is the colormagnetic string tension $\sigma_s(T)$, however it is equal to σ at $T = 0$ and behaves as a $(\text{const } T^2 g^4(T))$ at large T , so it does not introduce the scale of its own. Finally the perturbative constant Λ_{QCD} can also be expressed via $\sqrt{\sigma}$ [41, 42].

As it is, one does not expect the appearance of an independent np scale in the deconfined region from D_1^E and $V_1(r, T)$. As a consequence one may

expect the relation $V_1^{as}(\infty, T) = \frac{6\alpha_s\sigma(T)}{M_{GP}(T)}$ to hold in the whole T region supplemented by the color Coulomb contribution $\langle V_1^{\text{Coul}}(r, T) \rangle$ in the deconfined region, where $\langle \frac{4\alpha_s(T)}{3r} \rangle$ is defined for a given density of qgp .

As a consequence we can reduce all contribution to $M_{Q\bar{q}}(T)$ as in (40), where the $M_{Q\bar{q}}$ is produced by confinement and is decreasing as $\sqrt{\sigma(T)}$ with the growing T . The most important question is to define $\sigma(T)$. The dependence of $\sigma(T)$ can be obtained from several sources. The direct evidence for the $\sigma(T)$ dependence was found in the numerous lattice calculations [45, 46, 47, 48].

The indirect data can be obtained from the T dependence of the chiral condensate $|\langle \bar{q}q \rangle|$, which is connected to the string tension [49, 50, 51] (in the massless quark limit), as $|\langle \bar{q}q \rangle| = \text{const}(\sigma(T))^{3/2}$. Writing $\sigma(T) = \sigma(0)a^2(T)$, one obtains

$$|\langle \bar{q}q \rangle_T| = |\langle \bar{q}q \rangle_0| \cdot a^3(T). \quad (46)$$

From the lattice data on $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle(T)$ in [52, 53] one extracts the values of $a(T)$, which are given in Table 1.

Table 1: The values of $a(T)$ from (46), obtained using data of [52] (a^{Bors}) and [53] (a^{Baz}), and the corresponding values of $M_{Q\bar{q}}(T) \equiv F_Q^S$. The Polyakov loop $L^S = \exp(-F_Q^S/T)$ is given in comparison with the lattice data L^{Baz} from [59]

T MeV	100	120	140	162	170	186.5	205	251	300
a^{Bors}	1	0.96	0.92	0.74	0.59	0.47	0.37 ÷ 0	0	0
a^{Baz}		0.93	0.81	0.68	0.48	0.38	0.304	0.27	0.25
F_Q^S GeV	0.46	0.44	0.41	0.33	0.30	0.22	0.18	0.14	0.11
F_Q^{Baz} GeV		0.48		0.413			0.268	0.182	0.121
L^S		0.025	0.06	0.13	0.18	0.30	0.42	0.57	0.69
L^{Baz}		0.021					0.26	0.48	0.67

The correlator D_1 does not vanish in the transition and in the qgp regions, as it is demonstrated in the direct lattice calculations in [54].

The potentials V_D^{sat} and V_1^{sat} obtain both “kinematic” T dependence, as shown in (36), (37), (38) and the “vacuum” T dependence due to $\sigma(T) = \sigma(0)a^2(T)$.

As a result the static free energy F_Q is reduced at low T to the heavy-light energy $M_{Q\bar{q}}(T)$ which scales as $\sqrt{\sigma(T)}$ and starts at low T with the realistic values $M_{Q\bar{q}}(0) \approx 500$ MeV and $M_{Q\bar{q}}(T = 100 \text{ MeV}) = 460$ MeV. The resulting behaviour of $F_Q(T)$ is shown in Table 1 together with the corresponding behaviour of the lattice measured values $F_Q^{\text{Baz}}(T)$ from [59]. We also show in Table 1 the corresponding values of the Polyakov loops, our $L(T)$ and $L_{\text{Baz}}(T)$.

Till now we have been discussing the potentials and their properties as functions of temperature T . At this point we start derivation of the Polyakov line and its connection with the potential V_1^{sat} , having in mind the appearance of $L_i(T)$ in the thermodynamic potentials as in (2),(3).

Following [23] one can write for quark or gluon pressure an expression via the quark or gluon Green’s function $S^{(n)}, G^{(n)}$ between the Matsubara points $y_4 = 0$ and $y_4^{(n)} = n/T$. E.g. for gluons one has

$$P_{gl} = 2(N_c^2 - 1) \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} \sum_{n=1,2,..} G^{(n)}(s), \quad (47)$$

where $G^{(n)}(s)$ is the proper-time dependent gluon Green’s function for the n -th Matsubara period, as was explained above, with the path C_n from $z_4 = 0$ to $z_4^{(n)} = n/T$, as shown in Fig. 4, so that $G^{(n)}(s)$ is proportional to the Wilson line $W(C_n)$.

$$G^{(n)}(s) = \int (Dz)_{on}^w e^{-K} \langle \hat{\text{tr}}_a W(C_n) \rangle, \quad (48)$$

$$K = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^s \left(\frac{dz_\mu(\tau)}{d\tau} \right)^2 d\tau. \quad (49)$$

Since points $z_4^{(n)}, n = 1, 2, 3$ are gauge equivalent with the point $z_4 = 0$, one close the contour C_n by adding Wilson-Polyakov lines along z_4 from 0 to $z_4^{(n)}$,

$$\langle \hat{\text{tr}}_a W(C_n) \rangle = \langle L_{\text{adj}}^{(n)}(T) \bar{W}(C_n) \rangle = \langle L_{\text{adj}}^{(n)}(T) \rangle \langle \bar{W}(C_n) \rangle + O(1/N_c^2), \quad (50)$$

where $\bar{W}(C_n)$ is the closed loop and

$$\langle L_{\text{adj}}^{(n)}(T) \rangle = \langle \hat{\text{tr}}_a \int_0^{z_4^{(n)}} \exp(igA_4(u)du_4) \rangle. \quad (51)$$

In this way the Polyakov line appears as a factor in the gluon pressure and similarly $\langle L_{\text{fund}}^{(n)}(T) \rangle$ enters the quark pressure, as it is shown in Eqs. (2), (3).

At this point one should find connection between the Polyakov line (51) and the potential V_1^{sat} , Eq. (38).

First we exploit the cluster expansion of (51), using the technic from [55]. Writing the exponent (51) as

$$\langle \Psi \rangle = \langle P \exp \int_0^1 a(t) dt \rangle, \quad (52)$$

one can write the cluster expansion as follows

$$\langle \Psi \rangle = \exp \left\{ \sum_n \{a\}_n \frac{1}{n!} \right\} = \exp \left(\{a\}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \{a\}_2 + \dots \right) \quad (53)$$

and taking into account that odd terms $\{a\}_{2k+1} = 0$ since contain odd powers of $a(t)$, one obtains to the fourth order

$$\langle \Psi \rangle = \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \{a\}_2 + \frac{1}{4!} \{a\}_4 + \dots \right) \quad (54)$$

$$\{a\}_2 = \int_0^1 dt_1 \int_0^1 dt_2 \langle a(t_1) a(t_2) \rangle \quad (55)$$

$$\{a\}_4 = \int_0^1 dt_1 \dots \int_0^1 dt_4 \langle a(t_1) a(t_2) a(t_3) a(t_4) \rangle - 3 \{a\}_2^2. \quad (56)$$

Since $a(t) = igA_4(u)$, one immediately obtains

$$\langle a(t_1) a(t_2) \rangle = -g^2 \int_0^{n/t} du \int_0^{n/t} du' \langle A_4(u) A_4(u') \rangle = -\frac{g^2 n}{N_c^2 T} \int_0^{n/T} d\nu \left(1 - \frac{\nu T}{n} \right) G^{1g}(\nu) \quad (57)$$

and as a result one obtains the standard form (5) with $V_1(\infty, T)$ given in (38) (one can use relation (16)). Taking into account that for $n/T \gg \nu_{\text{max}} \sim 1/M_{GP}$ the upper limit in (57) is not important, one finally obtains from (51)

$$\langle L_i^{(n)}(T) \rangle \cong \exp \left(-\frac{n F_Q C_i}{T} \right), \quad (58)$$

where $c_f = 1$, $c_{\text{adj}} = \frac{9}{4}$.

At this point it is interesting to look the next term $\{a\}_4$, which can be positive at larger T , since $\{a\}_2^2 = O(1/T^2)$, and give rise to the values of $L(T) > 1$, as it is observed on the lattice [56, 57, 58, 59].

It is important to stress that our expressions for $L(T)$ via only V_1 are valid in the deconfined phase $T > 400$ MeV, when each quark and gluon has its own Green's function with the corresponding Wilson line, and in this case the total pressure acquires $L_i^n(T)$ as factors in the Matsubara sum over n .

In the confinement regime there are no individual Wilson lines and hence Polyakov loop factors, and the pressure has dominantly the HRG form.

This means that the role of the $L_i(T)$ for $T < T^*$ is mostly the measuring of the heavy-light mass $M_{HL}^{(L)}$, and

$$L_i(T) = \exp\left(-\frac{M_{Q\bar{q}}^{(i)}}{T}\right), \quad i = \text{fund, adj}$$

where $M_{Q\bar{q}}^{\text{fund}} \approx 0.6$ GeV [60].

These values are in good agreement with recent lattice calculations [61, 62].

In conclusion of this section we compare in Fig.1 our $L_f(T)$ obtained in (40) as $L_f = \exp\left(-\frac{F_Q(T)}{T}\right)$ with lattice $L_f(T)$, calculated in [56, 57, 58] by the Wuppertal–Budapest group and by the hot QCD group in [59]. One can see a large difference between the results of both groups and our value of $L_f(T)$ lies in between these two curves.

4 Discussion of results

In this paper we have analysed the dynamical origin of Polyakov loops based on the colorelectric (CE) vacuum correlators. We have established above, that these correlators produce 4 types of interactions: confining $V_D(r)$, saturating confining $V_D^{\text{sat}}(r)$, vector-line saturating $V_1^{\text{sat}}(r)$ and color Coulomb interaction $V^{\text{Coul}}(r)$. We have given arguments that all interactions except V^{Coul} , are produced nonperturbatively by the confinement correlator $D^E(x)$, which yields explicitly the CE string tension $\sigma^E = \frac{1}{2} \int D^E(x) dx$.

The latter decreases with temperature, as the lattice data show, and we have used another lattice data on chiral condensate $\langle \bar{q}q(T) \rangle$ to find this T dependence $\sigma(T)$ explicitly. Meanwhile we show, that both V_P^{sat} and V_1^{sat}




Figure 1: The fundamental Polyakov line $L_f(T)$ vs T from (34) in comparison with the lattice data from [56] (upper shaded area) and from [59] (the lower line of points)

compensate each other, and we are left with the only CE based interaction $V_D(r)$ and $V^{\text{Coul}}(r)$, which define the np dynamics in the interval $120 \text{ MeV} \leq T \leq 400 \text{ MeV}$, and in particular define the Polyakov line $L(T)$ in this region.

As a result the only CE contribution to $L(T)$ is the heavy light mass contribution $M_{Q\bar{q}}(T)$ which decreases with T as $\sqrt{\sigma^E(T)}$. The resulting $L(T)$ in comparison with lattice data of [59] shows a very similar behavior¹, supporting the idea, that the direct measure of the Polyakov line on the lattice is to a large extent the measure of the heavy-light hadron mass, and this fact discovers the dynamical origin of the Polyakov loop measured in the $n_f = 2+1$ QCD as it is. However there is another important question: in the derivation of $P(T)$ in Eqs. (10), (13), (16) for the quark-gluon plasma (qgp) the concept of confinement is not present, moreover in the SU(3) case, where confinement is believed to be absent in the qgp (weak first order transition),

¹Note that we have used the renormalization procedure similar to that of [59], and different from [56], hence the large difference between our $L(T)$ and the data of [56] in Fig. 1, and a close similarity with [59]

the nonzero $L(T)$ cannot be explained by the heavy-light masses and should have another origin. This is another basic point of our paper and it calls for another origin of at least a part of the Polyakov line dynamics, which is now under consideration.

This work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation grant 16-12-10414.

References

- [1] L.McLerran and B.Svetitsky, Phys. Rev. **D 24** , 450 (1981).
- [2] S.Nadkarni, Phys. Rev. **D34**, 39904 (1986).
- [3] O.Kaczmarek, F.Karsch, P.Petreczky and F.Zantow, Phys. Lett. **B 543**, 41 (2002); hep-lat/0207002.
- [4] S.Digal, S.Fortunato and P.Petreczky Phys. Rev. **D68**, 034008 (2003), arXiv:hep-lat/0304017.
- [5] S. Gupta, K. Hübner and O. Kaczmarek, Phys. Rev. **D77**, 034503 (2008), arXiv:0711.2251.
- [6] P.Petreczky, Eur Phys. J. **C43**, 51 (2005), arXiv:hep-lat/0502008.
- [7] A. Bazavov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D80**, 014504 (2009), arXiv:0903.4379.
- [8] A. Bazavov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D85**, 054503 (2012), arXiv:1111.1710.
- [9] Y. Aoki, Z. Fodor, S. Katz, and K. Szabo, Phys. Lett. **B643**, 46 (2006), arXiv:hep-lat/0609068.
- [10] M. Cheng *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D77**, 014511 (2008), arXiv:0710.0354.
- [11] Y. Aoki *et al.*, JHEP **0906**, 088 (2009), arXiv:0903.4155.
- [12] S. Borsanyi *et al.*, JHEP **1009**, 073 (2010), arXiv:1005.3508.
- [13] H.G.Dosch, Yu.A.Simonov, Phys. Lett. **B 205** 339 (1988).
- [14] Yu.A.Simonov, Nucl. Phys. **B 307**, 512 (1988).
- [15] Yu.A.Simonov, Phys. At. Nucl. **58**, 309 (1995), arXiv:hep-ph/9311216.

- [16] Yu.A.Simonov, JETP Lett. **54**, 249 (1991); *ibid.* **55**, 627 (1992).
- [17] Yu.A. Simonov, Proceedings of “Selected Topics in Nonperturbative QCD”, Varenna, 1995, edited by A.DiGiacomo, D.Diakonov (IOS Press, Amsterdam, 1996) p.319, arXiv: hep-ph/9509404.
- [18] Yu.A. Simonov, Ann. Phys. **323**, 783 (2008), arXiv:hep-ph/0702266.
- [19] E.V.Komarov, Yu.A. Simonov, Ann. Phys. **323**, 1230 (2008), arXiv:hep-ph/0707.0781.
- [20] Yu.A. Simonov, M.A.Trusov, Phys. Lett. **B650**, 36 (2007), arXiv:hep-ph/0703277.
- [21] A.V. Nefediev, Yu.A. Simonov, M.A.Trusov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **E 18**, 549 (2009), arXiv:hep-ph/0902.0125.
- [22] N.O.Agasian, M.S.Lukashov and Yu.A.Simonov, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A 31**, 1050222 (2016); arXiv: 1610.01472.
- [23] N.O.Agasian, M.S.Lukashov and Yu.A.Simonov, Eur. Phys. J. **A53**, 138 (2017); arXiv: 1701.07959.
- [24] M.S.Lukashov and Yu.A.Simonov, JETP Lett. **105**, 691 (2017); arXiv: 1703.06666.
- [25] M.A. Andreichikov, M.S. Lukashov and Yu.A. Simonov, Int.J.Mod. Phys. **A 33**, 8 (2018), arXiv:1707.04631.
- [26] M.A. Andreichikov, and Yu.A. Simonov, Eur. Phys. J. **C78**, 5 (2018), arXiv:1712.02925.
- [27] C. Ratti, S. Roessner, M. A. Thaler and W. Weise, Eur. Phys. J. C **49**, 213 (2007) , arXiv:[hep-ph/0609218].
- [28] T.Hirakida, J.Sugano, H.Kouno, J.Takahashi and M.Yahiro, Phys. Rev. **D 96**, 074031 (2017), arXiv: 1705.00665.
- [29] B.J.Schaefer, J.M.Pavlovsky and J.Wambach, Phys. Rev. **D76**, 074023 (2007), arXiv:0704.3234.
- [30] B.W.Minz, R.Stiele, R.O.Ramos and J.S.Bielich, Phys. Rev. **D 87**, 036004 (2013),arXiv:1212.1184.

- [31] Yu.A.Simonov, Nucl. Phys. **B 592**, 350 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/0003114.
- [32] I.Jorysz and C.Michael, Nucl. Phys. **B 302**, 448 (1988);
N.Campbell,I.Jorysz and C.Michael, Phys. Lett. **B 167**, 91 (1986).
- [33] Yu.A.Simonov, Phys. Lett. **B 619**, 293 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0502078.
- [34] Yu.A.Simonov, Phys. Usp. **39**, 313 (1996), arXiv:hep-ph/9709344.
- [35] V.I. Shevchenko, Yu.A. Simonov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1811 (2000),
arXiv:hep-ph/0001299.
- [36] G.S. Bali, Phys.Rev. **D 62**, 114503 (2000), arXiv:hep-lat/9908021;
S.Deldar, Phys.Rev. **D62**, 034509 (2000), arXiv:hep-lat/9911008.
- [37] A.M.Badalian, A.V.Nefediev and Yu.A.Simonov, Phys Rev. **D 78**,
114020 (2008), arXiv: 0811.2599.
- [38] N.O.Agasian, Phys.Lett. **B 562**,257 (2003), arXiv: hep-ph/0303127.
- [39] N.O.Agasian and Yu.A.Simonov, Phys.Lett. **B 639**, 82 (2006),
arXiv:hep-ph/0604004.
- [40] O. Kaczmarek and F. Zantow, Phys. Rev. **D 71**, 114510
(2005),arXiv:hep-lat/0503017.
- [41] Yu.A. Simonov, V.I. Shevchenko, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2009**,
873051 (2009) [arXiv:0902.1405];
- [42] Yu.A. Simonov, Proc. Steklov Inst. of Math. **272**, 234 (2011), arXiv:
1003.3608.
- [43] A.Di Giacomo, E.Meggiolaro,Yu.A.Simonov and A.I.Veselov, Phys.
Atom. Nucl. **70**, 908 (2007), arXiv: hep-ph/0512125.
- [44] Yu.A.Simonov, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **66**, 338 (2003), arXiv:hep-
ph/0205334, Phys. Rev. **D 65**, 116004 (2002), arXiv:hep-ph/0203059.
- [45] O. Kaczmarek, et al., Phys. Rev. **D 62**, 034021 (2000), hep-lat/9908010.
- [46] P.Bicudo, and N.Caroso, Phys. Rev. **D 85**, 077501 (2012), arXiv:
111.1317.

- [47] P.Cea, L.Cosmai, F.Cuteri and A.Papa, JHEP, **6**, 2 (2016); arXiv:1511.01783.
- [48] A. Bazavov, Y. Burnier and P. Petreczky, Nucl. Phys. **A 932**, 117 (2014), arXiv:1404.4267.
- [49] Yu.A.Simonov, Phys. Rev. **D 65**, 094018 (2002); hep-ph/0201170.
- [50] Yu.A.Simonov, Phys. At. Nucl. **67**, 846 (2004); hep-ph/0302090.
- [51] Yu.A.Simonov, Phys. At. Nucl. **67**, 1027 (2004); hep-ph/0305281.
- [52] S. Borsanyi, Z. Fodor, C. Hoelbling, et al., PoS Lattice 2010 (2014) 185; arXiv:1011.4230 [hep-lat].
- [53] A. Bazavov, T. Bhattacharya, G. De Tar, et al, (Hot QCD Collaboration), arXiv:1407.6387 [hep-lat].
- [54] M.D'Elia, A.DiGiacomo and E.Meggiolaro, Phys. Rev. **D 67**, 114504 (2003), arXiv:hep-ph/0205018.
- [55] N.G.Van Kampen, Phys. Rept. **24 C**, 171 (1976).
- [56] S. Borsanyi, S. Durr, Z. Fodor, et al., JHEP **2012(08)**: 126 (2012), arXiv:1205.0440.
- [57] S. Borsanyi, Z. Fodor, S. Katz et al.,PoS Lattice 2015 (2016), 178; arXiv:1511.00032 .
- [58] S. Borsanyi, Z. Fodor, C.Hoelbling et al., Phys. Lett. **B 370**, 99 (2014), arXiv:1309.5258 .
- [59] A. Bazavov, N.Brambilla, H.-T.Ding et al., Phys. Rev. **D93** 114502 (2016), arXiv:1603.06637.
- [60] Yu.A.Simonov and J.A.Tjon, Phys. Rev **D70**, 114013 (2004), arXiv: hep-ph/0409361.
- [61] N.Brambilla, J.Konijani, A.S.Kronfeld and A.Vairo, Phys. Rev. **D 97**, 034503 (2018), arXiv:1712.04983.
- [62] A.Bazavov, C.Bernard, N.Brambilla et al., Phys. Rev. **D 98**, 054517(2018), arXiv:1802.04248.