

**FINITE-TIME BLOW-UP PREVENTION IN A
TWO-DIMENSIONAL CHEMOTAXIS-CONSUMPTION MODEL
WITH WEAKLY SINGULAR SENSITIVITY**

GIUSEPPE VIGLIALORO

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica
Università di Cagliari
V. le Merello 92, 09123. Cagliari (Italy)
giuseppe.viglialoro@unica.it

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study a two-dimensional chemotaxis-consumption system with singular sensitivity and endowed with Neumann boundary conditions. Sufficient conditions on the data of the problem are given so that the globability of classical solutions is shown, thus excluding any finite-time blow-up scenario.

1. INTRODUCTION, MOTIVATION AND CLAIM OF THE MAIN THEOREM

This paper deals with a variant of the classical Keller–Segel system ([2]) which models chemotaxis phenomena of cells at the position x of their environment and at the time t when their motion is influenced by a chemical signal. We are interested in the situation where the distribution $u = u(x, t)$ of such cells, occupying an insulated domain, directs their movement in response to a substance $v = v(x, t)$ they consume, once the initial distribution of the cells and of the chemical concentration are known.

In particular, the mathematical formulation of the biological model described above is the initial-boundary value problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \chi \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{u}{v^\gamma} \nabla v \right) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ v_t = \Delta v - f(u)v & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad \text{and} \quad v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\chi > 0$,

$$(A) \quad \begin{cases} \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ is a smooth and bounded domain,} \\ \beta \in (0, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \gamma < 1, \\ f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq f(s) \leq s^\beta \quad \text{for all } s > 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2) \quad (u_0, v_0) \in C^0(\bar{\Omega}) \times W^{1,r}(\Omega) \text{ for some } r > 2, \text{ satisfy } u_0 \geq 0 \text{ and } v_0 > 0 \text{ in } \bar{\Omega}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q92, 35A01, 35K55, 35K51, 92C17.

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear parabolic systems, chemotaxis, singular sensitivity, global existence.

Acknowledgments: GV is member of the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM) and is partially supported by the research project *Integro-differential Equations and Non-Local Problems*, funded by Fondazione di Sardegna (2017).

The aim of this investigation is to extend [4, Theorem 2.1], where in (1) it is $\gamma = 1$, so that the sensitivity is reduced to u/v : For any $\chi \in (0, 1)$ and $\beta \neq 1$ in (A), and any initial data as in (2), the existence of global classical solutions is established. In accordance to this result, our main theorem shows that weakening the singularity of the sensitivity u/v at $v = 0$ to u/v^γ suffices to guarantee the same conclusions of [4, Theorem 2.1] even for arbitrarily large values of χ provided that smallness assumptions on the maximum of v_0 on $\bar{\Omega}$ are satisfied.

To be precise we will prove the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let (A) with $\beta \neq 1$. Then for any $\chi > 0$ and (u_0, v_0) as in (2) satisfying $\|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \chi^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}$, there exists a unique pair of functions (u, v) ,*

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} u \in C^0(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, \infty)) \cap C^{2,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times (0, \infty)), \\ v \in C^0(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, \infty)) \cap C^{2,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times (0, \infty)) \cap L_{loc}^\infty([0, \infty), W^{1,r}(\Omega)), \end{cases}$$

which solve problem (1).

1.1. Some preparatory inequalities. We will rely on these two lemmas.

Lemma 1.2 (Gagliardo-Nirenberg and Neumann heat semigroup inequalities). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a smooth and bounded domain, $0 < \beta \leq 1$, $p \in [2, 4]$ and $\theta = 1 - \frac{2}{p} \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Then there exist $C_{GN} = C_{GN}(\Omega) > 0$ and $C_S = C_S(\Omega, \beta) > 0$ such that*

$$(4) \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_{GN}(\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^\theta \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{1-\theta} + \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1(\Omega);$$

$$(5) \quad \|e^{t\Delta}\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_S(1 + t^{-\frac{\beta}{2}})\|\varphi\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\beta}}(\Omega)} \quad \forall \varphi \in L^{\frac{2}{\beta}}(\Omega).$$

Proof. See [5, p. 126] and [6, Lemma 1.3], respectively. \square

Lemma 1.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a smooth and bounded domain, let $T > 0$, $L_1 > 0$, $m > 0$ and C_{GN} the constant introduced in Lemma 1.2. If $0 \leq \varphi \in C^0(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]) \cap C^{2,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times (0, T))$ fulfills*

$$(6) \quad \int_{\Omega} \varphi(\cdot, t) = m \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi} \leq L_1(1+t) \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T),$$

then for $\mathcal{K} = 2mC_{GN}^4(L_1 + 4m)$ we have

$$(7) \quad \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \varphi^2 \leq \mathcal{K}(1+t) \quad \text{for all } t < T.$$

Proof. Inequality (4) and $(A+B)^4 \leq 8(A^4 + B^4)$, $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$, enable us to estimate

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi^2 = \|\sqrt{\varphi}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 \leq 8C_{GN}^4 \left[\frac{m}{4} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi} \right) + m^2 \right] \quad \text{on } (0, T),$$

and we conclude by virtue of the assumption on $\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}$. \square

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 AND ONE CONSEQUENCE

2.1. Toward the proof of the main theorem. Let us first claim a result on local-in-time existence of classical solutions to system (1), whose proof is standard (see, e.g., [1, Lemma 3.1] or [7, Lemma 2.2]).

Lemma 2.1. *Assume (A). For any $\chi > 0$ and (u_0, v_0) as in (2), there are $T_{max} \in (0, \infty]$ and a uniquely determined pair of functions (u, v) with regularity as in (3) which solve problem (1) in $\Omega \times (0, T_{max})$ and are such that if $T_{max} < \infty$ then*

$$(8) \quad \limsup_{t \nearrow T_{max}} (\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{W^{1,r}(\Omega)}) = \infty.$$

Moreover, we have $u \geq 0$ and $0 < v \leq \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ in $\bar{\Omega} \times (0, T_{max})$, as well as

$$(9) \quad \int_{\Omega} u(\cdot, t) = m = \int_{\Omega} u_0 \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T_{max}).$$

With the local solution to (1) in our hands, the suitable transformation (see e.g. [3, 7])

$$(10) \quad w := -\log\left(\frac{v}{\|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}\right), \quad w_0 := -\log\left(\frac{v_0}{\|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}\right),$$

implies $w \geq 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, T_{max})$ and that (u, w) also classically solves this problem

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u + \chi \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1-\gamma} \nabla \cdot (ue^{-(1-\gamma)w} \nabla w) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T_{max}), \\ w_t = \Delta w - |\nabla w|^2 + f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T_{max}), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega \times (0, T_{max}), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \geq 0 \quad w(x, 0) = w_0(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}. \end{cases}$$

Such transformed problem allows us, inter alia, to sharpen the extensibility criterion (8) so to warrant the globability of local solutions.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume (A). For any $\chi > 0$ and (u_0, v_0) as in (2), let (u, v) be the local-in-time classical solution of problem (1) provided by Lemma 2.1, and (u, w) that of problem (11), with w as in (10). If there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} \leq C(1+t) & \forall t \in (0, T_{max}), \\ \int_{\Omega} u(\cdot, t) \log u(\cdot, t) \leq C(1+t) & \forall t \in (0, T_{max}), \\ \|w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C(1+t) & \forall t \in (0, T_{max}), \end{cases}$$

then $T_{max} = \infty$.

Proof. If T_{max} were finite, from (10) we would have that $1/v = e^w / \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$, and the third assumption in (12) would guarantee that $1/v \leq L$ in $\Omega \times (0, T_{max})$, with some $L > 0$. For such a constant L , we introduce a smooth *cutoff function* $\xi_L : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, decreasing and verifying $\xi_L(v) = 1$ for $v \leq 1/(2L)$ and $\xi_L(v) = 0$ for $v \geq 1/L$. Subsequently, for any $(x, t, u, v) \in \bar{\Omega} \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ and for some $\omega \in (0, 1)$,

$$S_\gamma(x, t, u, v) = \xi_L(v) \frac{2\chi}{L} + (1 - \xi_L(v)) \frac{\chi}{v^\gamma} \in C_{loc}^{1+\omega}(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

and additionally satisfies $S_\gamma(x, t, u, v) \equiv \chi/v^\gamma$ for all $v \geq 1/L$. Hence, coherently with the nomenclature of [1, Sec. 3], setting

$$f(x, t, u, v) \equiv 0, \quad \text{and} \quad g(x, t, u, v) = v - f(u)v,$$

the two partial differential equations of problem (1) read

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u S_\gamma(x, t, u, v) \nabla v) + f(x, t, u, v) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T_{max}) \\ v_t = \Delta v - v + g(x, t, u, v) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T_{max}). \end{cases}$$

In particular, we have $S_\gamma \in C_{\text{loc}}^{1+\omega}(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2)$, for any $0 < \gamma < 1$, $f \in C_{\text{loc}}^{1-}(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $g \in C_{\text{loc}}^{1-}(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2)$, $f(x, t, 0, v) = 0$ for all $(x, t, v) \in \bar{\Omega} \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ and $g(x, t, u, 0) = 0$ for all $(x, t, u) \in \bar{\Omega} \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$.

On the other hand, the Young inequality, the second equation of (1), the estimate on f given in (A) and the upper bound for v in Lemma 2.1 provide

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 &= 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla v_t \leq -2 \int_{\Omega} (\Delta v)^2 + \int_{\Omega} (\Delta v)^2 + \int_{\Omega} u^{2\beta} v^2 \\ &\leq - \int_{\Omega} (\Delta v)^2 + \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \beta \int_{\Omega} u^2 + \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 (1 - \beta) |\Omega| \quad \text{in } (0, T_{max}), \end{aligned}$$

and, neglecting the nonpositive term $-\int_{\Omega} (\Delta v)^2$, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \leq \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \beta \int_{\Omega} u^2 + \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 (1 - \beta) |\Omega| \quad \text{in } (0, T_{max}).$$

Finally, by means of (7) with $\varphi = u$, an integration over $(0, t)$ in the previous step produces

$$(13) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v(\cdot, t)|^2 \leq C_2(1+t) \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T_{max}),$$

where

$$C_2 = \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \beta \mathcal{K} + \max \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_0|^2, \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 (1 - \beta) |\Omega| \right\}.$$

Subsequently, in view of the assumptions in (12), estimate (13), the regularity and boundedness of both S_γ and v and the expression of g given above, there exists a positive N such that for all $t \in (0, T_{max})$

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v(\cdot, t)|^2 \leq N, & \int_{\Omega} u(\cdot, t) \log u(\cdot, t) \leq N, \\ S_\gamma(x, t, u, v) \leq N, & |g(x, t, u, v)| \leq N(1+u). \end{cases}$$

From this, [1, Lemma 3.3] implies that $t \mapsto \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{W^{1,r}(\Omega)}$ is bounded on $(0, T_{max})$, contrasting the extensibility criterion (8): so $T_{max} = \infty$. \square

Now, we define and study the evolution in time of this functional

$$(14) \quad \mathfrak{F}(t) = \mathfrak{F}(u, w) := \int_{\Omega} u \log u + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} uw \quad \text{on } (0, T_{max}),$$

with initial value $\mathfrak{F}(0) = \int_{\Omega} u_0 \log u_0 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_0 w_0$.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume (A) with $\beta \neq 1$. For any $\chi > 0$ and (u_0, v_0) as in (2) satisfying*

$$(15) \quad \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \chi^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}},$$

let (u, v) be the local-in-time classical solution of problem (1) provided by Lemma 2.1, and (u, w) that of problem (11), with w as in (10). Then for some $L_1, L_2 > 0$, these relations hold:

$$(16) \quad \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} \leq L_1(1+t) \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T_{max});$$

$$(17) \quad \int_{\Omega} u(\cdot, t) \log u(\cdot, t) \leq L_2(1+t) \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T_{max}).$$

Proof. From (14), $s \log s \geq -\frac{1}{e}$ for all $s > 0$, and $u \geq 0$ and $w \geq 0$, we have

$$(18) \quad \mathfrak{F}(u, w) \geq -\frac{|\Omega|}{e} \quad \text{on } (0, T_{max}).$$

Using (11), a differentiation of (14) and the divergence theorem infer for $t < T_{max}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{F} \leq & - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} - \chi \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1-\gamma} \int_{\Omega} e^{-(1-\gamma)w} \nabla u \cdot \nabla w - \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla w \\ & - \frac{\chi \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1-\gamma}}{2} \int_{\Omega} u e^{-(1-\gamma)w} |\nabla w|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u |\nabla w|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we also considered (9) and the bound for f in (A). Then, in order to absorb the integrals involving $|\nabla w|^2$, we use the Young inequality in those containing $\nabla u \cdot \nabla w$: since $e^{-(1-\gamma)w} \leq 1$ for $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $w \geq 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, T_{max})$, we obtain

$$(19) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{F} \leq \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\chi \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1-\gamma}}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta+1} \quad \text{on } (0, T_{max}),$$

so that due to the hypothesis (15), $c_0 := \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\chi \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1-\gamma}}{2} > 0$ and (19) reads

$$(20) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{F} \leq -c_0 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta+1} \quad \text{on } (0, T_{max}).$$

As to $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta+1}$, (4) with $\varphi = \sqrt{u}$ and the mass conservation property (9) provide

$$(21) \quad \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta+1} = \|\sqrt{u}\|_{L^{2(\beta+1)}(\Omega)}^{2(\beta+1)} \leq 2^{2\beta+1} C_{GN}^{2(\beta+1)} \left[\frac{m}{4^\beta} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} \right)^\beta + m^{\beta+1} \right]$$

on the interval $(0, T_{max})$, where $(A+B)^k \leq 2^{k-1}(A^k + B^k)$, $A, B \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$ has been also employed. In account of Young's inequality

$$(22) \quad \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta+1} \leq c_0 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} + c_1 m^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} + 2^{2\beta+1} C_{GN}^{2(\beta+1)} m^{\beta+1} \quad \text{on } (0, T_{max}),$$

where $c_1 = 2(1-\beta) C_{GN}^{\frac{2(\beta+1)^2}{1-\beta}} \left(\frac{c_0}{2^\beta} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}}$. Hence, by inserting (22) into (20) and setting $c_2 = \frac{c_1}{2} + 4^\beta C_{GN}^{2(\beta+1)} m^{\beta+1}$ we get

$$(23) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{F} + \frac{c_0}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} \leq c_2 \quad \text{on } (0, T_{max}),$$

and an integration on $(0, t)$, for $t < T_{max}$, together with expression (18), gives

$$-\frac{|\Omega|}{e} \leq \mathfrak{F}(t) = \int_{\Omega} u(\cdot, t) \log u(\cdot, t) \leq \mathfrak{F}(0) + c_2 t \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T_{max}).$$

In view of this bound, another integration of (23) on $(0, t)$ yields

$$\frac{c_0}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} \leq c_2 t + \mathfrak{F}(0) + \frac{|\Omega|}{e} \quad \text{for } t \in (0, T_{max}),$$

and (16) and (17) hold for $L_1 := \frac{2}{c_0} \max \left\{ \mathfrak{F}(0) + \frac{|\Omega|}{e}, c_2 \right\}$ and $L_2 := \max \left\{ c_2, \mathfrak{F}(0) \right\}$. \square

After these preparations, we can give the **Proof of Theorem 1.1**:

Since the local-in-time classical solution (u, w) of problem (11) is such that w also solves $w_t \leq \Delta w + f(u)$ in $\Omega \times (0, T_{max})$, by using a representation formula and the third assumption in (A) we get

$$w(\cdot, t) \leq e^{t\Delta} w_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} u^\beta(\cdot, s) ds \quad \text{in } \Omega \text{ and for any } t \in (0, T_{max}).$$

As a result, (5) with $\varphi = u^\beta$ and the Young inequality lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &\leq \|e^{t\Delta}w_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \int_0^t \|e^{(t-s)\Delta}u^\beta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} ds \\
&\leq \|w_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + C_S \int_0^t (1 + (t-s)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}) \left(\int_\Omega u^2 \right)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} ds \\
(24) \quad &\leq \|w_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \frac{C_S}{2} \int_0^t (1 + (t-s)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}})^2 ds + \frac{C_S}{2} \int_0^t \left(\int_\Omega u^2 \right)^\beta ds \\
&\leq \|w_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \frac{C_S}{2} t + \frac{C_S}{2(1-\beta)} t^{1-\beta} + \frac{2C_S}{2-\beta} t^{\frac{2-\beta}{2}} \\
&\quad + \frac{C_S}{2} \beta \int_0^t \int_\Omega u^2 + \frac{C_S}{2} (1-\beta)t, \quad t \in (0, T_{max}).
\end{aligned}$$

From the hypothesis $\|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \chi^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}$, Lemma 2.3 implies relations (16) and (17); in particular, (16) and (9) make that inequality (7) of Lemma 1.3 can be applied with the choice $\varphi = u$: hence (24) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &\leq \|w_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \frac{C_S}{2} t + \frac{C_S}{2(1-\beta)} t^{1-\beta} \\
&\quad + \frac{2C_S}{2-\beta} t^{\frac{2-\beta}{2}} + \frac{C_S}{2} \beta \mathcal{K}(1+t) + \frac{C_S}{2} (1-\beta)t \leq L_3(1+t), \quad t < T_{max},
\end{aligned}$$

where $L_3 := \frac{C_S}{2(1-\beta)} + \frac{C_S \mathcal{K} \beta}{2} + \frac{2C_S}{2-\beta} + \max\{\|w_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \frac{C_S}{2} + \frac{C_S}{2}(1-\beta)\}$. We now conclude the proof by invoking Lemma 2.2 with $C = \max\{L_1, L_2, L_3\}$. \square

2.2. Miscellaneous. Even though for $\beta = 1$ Young's inequality is not applicable in (21), for c_0 defined in Lemma 2.3 relation (23) is transformed in

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{F} + (c_0 - C_{GN}^4 m) \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} \leq 4C_{GN}^4 m^2 \quad \text{on } (0, T_{max}),$$

so that the remaining proof of Lemma 2.3 continues to be valid if $m < \frac{c_0}{C_{GN}^4}$. Hence global existence of small-mass solutions to (1) are recovered even for $\beta = 1$:

Corollary 2.4. *Let (A) with $\beta \equiv 1$. Then for any $\chi > 0$ it is possible to find a constant $C_{GN} = C_{GN}(\Omega) > 0$ such that for any (u_0, v_0) as in (2) satisfying $\|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \chi^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}$ and $m = \int_\Omega u_0 < \frac{1-\chi\|v_0\|^{1-\gamma}}{2C_{GN}^4}$, there exists a unique pair of functions (u, v) as in (3) which solve problem (1).*

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Bellomo, A. Bellouquid, Y. Tao, and M. Winkler. Toward a mathematical theory of Keller–Segel models of pattern formation in biological tissues. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 25(09):1663–1763, 2015.
- [2] E. F. Keller and L. A. Segel. Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability. *J. Theor. Biol.*, 26(3):399–415, 1970.
- [3] J. Lankeit. Locally bounded global solutions to a chemotaxis consumption model with singular sensitivity and nonlinear diffusion. *J. Differential Equations*, 262(7):4052–4084, 2017.
- [4] J. Lankeit and G. Viglialoro. Global existence and boundedness of solutions to a chemotaxis–consumption model with singular sensitivity. Preprint, [arXiv:1805.09193](https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.09193).
- [5] L. Nirenberg. On elliptic partial differential equations. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa. Classe di Scienze, Série 3*, 2(13):115–162, 1959.
- [6] M. Winkler. Aggregation vs. global diffusive behavior in the higher-dimensional Keller–Segel model. *J. Differential Equations*, 248(12):2889–2905, 2010.
- [7] M. Winkler. The two-dimensional Keller–Segel system with singular sensitivity and signal absorption: Global large-data solutions and their relaxation properties. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 26(05):987–1024, 2016.