

An interior boundedness result for an elliptic equation.

Samy Skander Bahoura*

Equipe d'Analyse Complexe et Géométrie.
Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 75005 Paris, France.

Abstract

We derive a local uniform boundedness result for an equation with weight having interior singularity.

Keywords: C^0 weight, interior singularity, a priori estimate, maximum principle.

MSC: 35J60, 35B44, 35B45, 35B50

1 Introduction and Main Results

We set $\Delta = \partial_{11} + \partial_{22}$ on open set Ω of \mathbb{R}^2 with a smooth boundary.

We consider the following equation:

$$(P) \begin{cases} -\Delta u = \frac{1}{-\log \frac{|x|}{2d}} V e^u & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here:

$$0 \leq V \leq b, \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{-\log \frac{|x|}{2d}} e^u dx \leq C, \quad u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega),$$

and,

$$d = \text{diam}(\Omega), \quad 0 \in \Omega$$

Equations of the previous type were studied by many authors, with or without the boundary condition, also for Riemannian surfaces, see [1–19], where one can find some existence and compactness results.

Among other results, we can see in [11] the following important Theorem

Theorem A (Brezis-Merle [11]). *If (u_i) is a sequence of solutions of problem (P) with (V_i) satisfying $0 < a \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty$ and without the term $\frac{1}{-\log \frac{|x|}{2d}}$,*

then, for any compact subset K of Ω , it holds:

*e-mails: samybahoura@yahoo.fr, samybahoura@gmail.com

$$\sup_K u_i \leq c,$$

with c depending on a, b, K, Ω

One can find in [11] an interior estimate if we assume $a = 0$, but we need an assumption on the integral of e^{u_i} , namely, we have:

Theorem B (Brezis-Merle [11]). For $(u_i)_i$ and $(V_i)_i$ two sequences of functions relative to the problem (P) without the term $\frac{1}{-\log \frac{|x|}{2d}}$ and with,

$$0 \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} e^{u_i} dy \leq C,$$

then for all compact set K of Ω it holds;

$$\sup_K u_i \leq c,$$

with c depending on b, C, K and Ω .

If we assume V with more regularity, we can have another type of estimates, a sup + inf type inequalities. It was proved by Shafrir see [18], that, if $(u_i)_i$ is a sequence of functions solutions of the previous equation without assumption on the boundary with V_i satisfying $0 < a \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty$, then we have a sup + inf inequality.

Here, we have:

Theorem For sequences $(u_i)_i$ and $(V_i)_i$ of the Problem (P), for all compact subsets K of Ω we have:

$$\|u_i\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq c(b, C, K, \Omega),$$

Remark: Remark that we have a C^0 weight $\frac{1}{-\log \frac{|x|}{2d}}$, the solutions are not

C^2 , but if we add some assumptions on V_i one can consider C^2 solutions and C^2 convergence of sequences.

One can have the regularity C^2 of the solutions and the C^2 convergence of the solutions if we suppose for example $V_i \in C^{0,\epsilon}$, $\epsilon > 0$ and for the convergence $V_i \rightarrow V$ in the space $C^{0,\epsilon}$, $\epsilon > 0$. Indeed, one can reduce the problem to regularity and convergence of the Newtonian potential of a radial distribution $f(x) = f(|x|) = \frac{V_i(0)e^{u_i(0)}}{-\log \left(\frac{|x|}{2d} \right)} \eta(|x|)$, with η a cutoff function ($\eta \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of 0

with compact support and radial), see for example the book of Dautray-Lions, chapter 2, Laplace operator.

By a duality theorem one can prove that (see [12]):

$$\|\nabla u_i\|_q \leq C_q, \quad \forall 1 \leq q < 2.$$

If we add the assumption that

$$\|\nabla V_i\|_\infty \leq A,$$

then by a result of Chen-Li of "moving-plane" we have a compactness of $(u_i)_i$ near the boundary, see [13].

We ask the following question about inequality of type sup + inf, as in the work of Tarantello, see [19] and Bartolucci-Tarantello, see [8]:

Problems. 1) Consider the Problem (P) without the boundary condition (without Dirichlet condition) and assume that:

$$0 < a \leq V \leq b < +\infty,$$

Does exist constants $C_1 = C_1(a, b, K, \Omega), C_2 = C_2(a, b, K, \Omega)$ such that:

$$\sup_K u + C_1 \inf_\Omega u \leq C_2,$$

for all solution u of (P) ?

2) If we add the condition $\|\nabla V\|_\infty \leq A$, can we have a sharp inequality:

$$\sup_K u + \inf_\Omega u \leq c(a, b, A, K, \Omega)?$$

2 Proof of the Theorem

We have:

$$u_i \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega), \text{ and } \frac{1}{-\log \frac{|x|}{2d}} e^{u_i} \in L^1(\Omega).$$

Thus, by corollary 1 of Brezis and Merle we have:

$$e^{u_i} \in L^k(\Omega), \forall k > 2.$$

Using the elliptic estimates and the Sobolev embedding, we have:

$$u_i \in W^{2,k}(\Omega) \cap C^{1,\epsilon}(\bar{\Omega}).$$

By the maximum principle $u_i \geq 0$.

Also, by a duality theorem or a result of Brezis-Strauss, we have:

$$\|\nabla u_i\|_q \leq C_q, \quad 1 \leq q < 2.$$

Since,

$$\int_\Omega \frac{1}{-\log \frac{|x|}{2d}} V_i e^{u_i} dx \leq C,$$

We have a convergence to a nonnegative measure μ :

$$\int_\Omega \frac{1}{-\log \frac{|x|}{2d}} V_i e^{u_i} \phi dx \rightarrow \int_\Omega \phi d\mu, \quad \forall \phi \in C_c(\Omega).$$

We set S the following set:

$$S = \{x \in \Omega, \exists (x_i) \in \Omega, x_i \rightarrow x, u_i(x_i) \rightarrow +\infty\}.$$

We say that x_0 is a regular point of μ if there function $\psi \in C_c(\Omega)$, $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, with $\psi = 1$ in a neighborhood of x_0 such that:

$$\int \psi d\mu < 4\pi. \quad (1)$$

We can deduce that a point x_0 is non-regular if and only if $\mu(x_0) \geq 4\pi$.

A consequence of this fact is that if x_0 is a regular point then:

$$\exists R_0 > 0 \text{ such that one can bound } (u_i) = (u_i^+) \text{ in } L^\infty(B_{R_0}(x_0)). \quad (2)$$

We deduce (2) from corollary 4 of Brezis-Merle paper, because we have by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality:

$$\|u_i^+\|_1 = \|u_i\|_1 \leq c_q \|u_i\|_{q^*} \leq C'_q \|\nabla u_i\|_q \leq C_q, \quad 1 \leq q < 2.$$

We denote by Σ the set of non-regular points.

Step 1: $S = \Sigma$.

We have $S \subset \Sigma$. Let's consider $x_0 \in \Sigma$. Then we have:

$$\forall R > 0, \lim \|u_i^+\|_{L^\infty(B_R(x_0))} = +\infty. \quad (3)$$

Suppose contrary that:

$$\|u_i^+\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_0}(x_0))} \leq C.$$

Then:

$$\|e^{u_{i_k}}\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_0}(x_0))} \leq C, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{1}{-\log \frac{|x|}{2d}} V_{i_k} e^{u_{i_k}} = o(1).$$

For R small enough, which imply (1) for a function ψ and x_0 will be regular, contradiction. Then we have (3). We choose $R_0 > 0$ small such that $B_{R_0}(x_0)$ contain only x_0 as non-regular point. Σ . Let's $x_i \in B_R(x_0)$ such that:

$$u_i^+(x_i) = \max_{B_R(x_0)} u_i^+ \rightarrow +\infty.$$

We have $x_i \rightarrow x_0$. Else, there exists $x_{i_k} \rightarrow \bar{x} \neq x_0$ and $\bar{x} \notin \Sigma$, i.e. \bar{x} is a regular point. It is impossible because we would have (2).

Since the measure is finite, if there are blow-up points, or non-regular points, $S = \Sigma$ is finite.

Step 2: $\Sigma = \{\emptyset\}$.

Now: suppose contrary that there exists a non-regular point x_0 . We choose a radius $R > 0$ such that $B_R(x_0)$ contain only x_0 as non-regular point. Thus outside Σ we have local uniform boundedness of u_i , also in C^1 norm. Also, we have weak *-convergence of V_i to $V \geq 0$ with $V \leq b$.

Let's consider (by a variational method):

$$z_i \in W_0^{1,2}(B_R(x_0)),$$

$$-\Delta z_i = f_i = \frac{1}{-\log \frac{|x|}{2d}} V_i e^{u_i} \text{ in } B_R(x_0), \text{ et } z_i = 0 \text{ on } \partial B_R(x_0).$$

By a duality theorem:

$$z_i \in W_0^{1,q}(B_R), \|\nabla z_i\|_q \leq C_q.$$

By the maximum principle, $u_i \geq z_i$ in $B_R(x_0)$.

$$\int \frac{1}{-\log \frac{|x|}{2d}} e^{z_i} \leq \int \frac{1}{-\log \frac{|x|}{2d}} e^{u_i} \leq C. \quad (4)$$

On the other hand, $z_i \rightarrow z$ a.e. (uniformly on compact sets of $B_R(x_0) - \{x_0\}$) with z solution of :

$$-\Delta z = \mu \text{ in } B_R(x_0), \text{ et } z = 0 \text{ on } \partial B_R(x_0).$$

Also, we have up to a subsequence, $z_i \rightarrow z$ in $W_0^{1,q}(B_R(x_0)), 1 \leq q < 2$ weakly, and thus $z \in W_0^{1,q}(B_R(x_0))$.

Then by Fatou lemma:

$$\int \frac{1}{-\log \frac{|x|}{2d}} e^z \leq C. \quad (5)$$

As $x_0 \in S$ is not regular point we have $\mu(\{x_0\}) \geq 4\pi$, which imply that, $\mu \geq 4\pi\delta_{x_0}$ and by the maximum principle in $W_0^{1,1}(B_R(x_0))$ (obtained by Kato's inequality)

$$z(x) \geq 2 \log \frac{1}{|x - x_0|} + O(1) \text{ if } x \rightarrow x_0.$$

Because,

$$z_1 \equiv 2 \log \frac{1}{|x - x_0|} + 2 \log R \in W_0^{1,s}(B_R(x_0)), \quad 1 \leq s < 2.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{-\log \frac{|x|}{2d}} e^z \geq \frac{C}{-|x - x_0|^2 \log \frac{|x|}{2d}}, \quad C > 0.$$

Both in the cases $x_0 = 0$ and $x_0 \neq 0$ we have:

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{1}{-\log \frac{|x|}{2d}} e^z = \infty.$$

But, by (5):

$$\int \frac{1}{-\log \frac{|x|}{2d}} e^z \leq C.$$

which a contradiction.

References

- [1] T. Aubin. Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry. Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [2] C. Bandle. Isoperimetric Inequalities and Applications. Pitman, 1980.
- [3] Bahoura, S.S. About Brezis Merle problem with Lipschitz condition. arXiv:0705.4004.
- [4] Bartolucci, D. A "sup+Cinf" inequality for Liouville-type equations with singular potentials. Math. Nachr. 284 (2011), no. 13, 1639-1651.
- [5] Bartolucci, D. A "sup+Cinf" inequality for the equation $-\Delta u = V e^u / |x|^{2\alpha}$. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 140 (2010), no. 6, 1119-1139.
- [6] Bartolucci, D. A sup+inf inequality for Liouville type equations with weights. J. Anal. Math. 117 (2012), 29-46.
- [7] Bartolucci, D. A sup \times inf-type inequality for conformal metrics on Riemann surfaces with conical singularities. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 403 (2013), no. 2, 571-579.
- [8] Bartolucci, D. Tarantello. G. The Liouville equation with singular data: a concentration-compactness principle via a local representation formula, Journal of Differential Equations 185 (2002), 161-180.
- [9] L. Boccardo, T. Gallouet. Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations involving measure data. J. Funct. Anal. 87 no 1, (1989), 149-169.
- [10] H. Brezis, YY. Li and I. Shafrir. A sup+inf inequality for some nonlinear elliptic equations involving exponential nonlinearities. J.Funct.Anal.115 (1993) 344-358.
- [11] H. Brezis, F. Merle. Uniform estimates and Blow-up behavior for solutions of $-\Delta u = V(x)e^u$ in two dimension. Commun. in Partial Differential Equations, 16 (8 and 9), 1223-1253(1991).
- [12] H. Brezis, W. A. Strauss. Semi-linear second-order elliptic equations in L1. J. Math. Soc. Japan 25 (1973), 565-590.
- [13] W. Chen, C. Li. A priori estimates for solutions to nonlinear elliptic equations. Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal. 122 (1993) 145-157.
- [14] C-C. Chen, C-S. Lin. A sharp sup+inf inequality for a nonlinear elliptic equation in \mathbb{R}^2 . Commun. Anal. Geom. 6, No.1, 1-19 (1998).
- [15] R. Dautray. J-L. Lions. Part 2, Laplace operator.

- [16] YY. Li, I. Shafrir. Blow-up analysis for solutions of $-\Delta u = Ve^u$ in dimension two. *Indiana. Math. J.* Vol 3, no 4. (1994). 1255-1270.
- [17] YY. Li. Harnack Type Inequality: the method of moving planes. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 200,421-444 (1999).
- [18] I. Shafrir. A sup+inf inequality for the equation $-\Delta u = Ve^u$. *C. R. Acad.Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 315 (1992), no. 2, 159-164.
- [19] G. Tarantello. A Harnack inequality for Liouville-type equation with Singular sources. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal.* Vol 54, No 2 (2005). pp 599-615.