

# MATRIX PRODUCT SOLUTION TO THE REFLECTION EQUATION ASSOCIATED WITH A COIDEAL SUBALGEBRA OF $U_q(A_{n-1}^{(1)})$

ATSUO KUNIBA, MASATO OKADO, AND AKIHITO YONEYAMA

## Abstract

We present a new solution to the reflection equation associated with a coideal subalgebra of  $U_q(A_{n-1}^{(1)})$  in the symmetric tensor representations and their dual. Elements of the  $K$  matrix are expressed by a matrix product formula involving terminating  $q$ -hypergeometric series in  $q$ -boson generators. At  $q = 0$ , our result reproduces a known set-theoretical solution to the reflection equation connected to the crystal base theory.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Reflection equation [5, 20, 11] is a characteristic structure in quantum integrable systems in the presence of boundaries. It combines the  $K$  matrix encoding the boundary interaction with the  $R$  matrix, another fundamental object governing the integrability in the bulk [3]. A variety of solutions to the reflection equation have been constructed up to now. See for example [2, 16, 18, 19, 15] and references therein. In this Letter we present a new solution to the reflection equation having a number of outstanding features described below.

First, it is associated with the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum affine algebra  $U_q(A_{n-1}^{(1)})$  in the symmetric tensor representation  $V_{l,z}$  and its dual  $V_{l,z}^*$  with *general degree*  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Here  $z$  denotes the (multiplicative) spectral parameter and  $q$  is assumed to be generic throughout. Both representations  $V_{l,z}, V_{l,z}^*$  have the bases  $\{v_\alpha\}, \{v_\alpha^*\}$  labeled with an array  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$  satisfying  $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = l$ . They include the vector representation as the simplest case  $V_{l=1,z}$ . Our  $K$  matrix  $K(z) = K^{(l)}(z, q)$  is a linear operator reflecting the “particles” into their duals as  $K(z) : V_{l,z} \rightarrow V_{l,z-1}^*$ . As such, there are three kinds of  $R$  matrices  $R(z), R^*(z)$  and  $R^{**}(z)$  (12)–(14) coming naturally into the game. They are all well-understood conceptually, and admit explicit formulas owing to the recent works [13, 4, 12]. The reflection equation takes the form

$$K_1(x)R^*((xy)^{-1})K_1(y)R(xy^{-1}) = R^{**}(xy^{-1})K_1(y)R^*((xy)^{-1})K_1(x),$$

where  $K_1(x) = K^{(l)}(x, q) \otimes 1$  and  $K_1(y) = K^{(m)}(y, q) \otimes 1$ . This is an equality of linear maps from  $V_{l,x} \otimes V_{m,y}$  to  $V_{l,x-1}^* \otimes V_{m,y-1}^*$ , where the pair  $(l, m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$  is arbitrary. See (35) and (36) for a more concrete description.

Second, let us write the action of our  $K$  matrix on the basis as  $K(z)v_\alpha = \sum_\beta K(z)_\alpha^\beta v_\beta^*$ . Then, it is *dense* in the sense that *all* the matrix elements  $K(z)_\alpha^\beta$  are nontrivial rational function of  $z$  and  $q$ . Put plainly, our  $K(z)$  is trigonometric, dense, and of type  $A$  with general rank  $n$  and general “spin”  $l$ . These are distinct features from previous works for type  $A$  which are mostly devoted to diagonal  $K$ ’s or to the situation  $\min(n-1, l) = 1^1$ .

Third, our  $K(z)$  is characterized, up to normalization, as the intertwiner of the coideal subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}_q$  of  $U_q(A_{n-1}^{(1)})$  generated by the elements

$$b_i = -e_i + q^2 k_i f_i + \frac{q}{1-q} k_i \in U_q(A_{n-1}^{(1)}) \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}_n).$$

Indeed, it is easy to check the right coideal nature  $\Delta\mathcal{B}_q \subset \mathcal{B}_q \otimes U_q(A_{n-1}^{(1)})$  by applying the coproduct  $\Delta$  in (2) to  $b_i$ . The idea to characterize the spectral parameter dependent  $K$  matrices in terms of coideal subalgebras of quantum affine algebras was proposed long ago in the context of affine Toda field theory with boundaries. See for example [6], more recent [10, 19] and references therein. Our result may be

<sup>1</sup>There are important exceptions [14, 15] related to this work although.

viewed as a systematic implementation of it for the pair  $\mathcal{B}_q \subset U_q(A_{n-1}^{(1)})$  and the representations  $V_{l,z}, V_{l,z}^*$ . We note that the above  $b_i$  has also appeared in the generalized  $q$ -Onsager algebra [1] up to convention.

Last but perhaps most intriguingly, our  $K$  matrix has the elements that admit an explicit *matrix product* formula

$$K(z)_\alpha^\beta = \varrho(z) \text{Tr}(z^{-\mathbf{h}} \hat{G}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots \hat{G}_{\alpha_n}^{\beta_n})$$

with a scalar  $\varrho(z)$ . The trace is taken over a  $q$ -boson Fock space on which  $\mathbf{h}$  acts as the number operator. In terms of the creation  $\mathbf{a}^+$ , the annihilation  $\mathbf{a}^-$  and the  $q$ -counting generator  $\mathbf{k} = q^{\mathbf{h}}$  of the  $q$ -boson, the matrix product operator is given as  $\hat{G}_i^j = q^{-\frac{1}{2}i^2} \mathbf{k}^{-i} G_i^j$  with

$$G_i^j = (-q; q)_s (\mathbf{a}^+)^{(j-i)_+} {}_2\phi_1 \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-t}, -q^{-t} \\ -q^{-s} \end{matrix}; q, q\mathbf{k} \right) (\mathbf{a}^-)^{(i-j)_+}, \quad s = i + j, \quad t = \min(i, j),$$

where  ${}_2\phi_1$  denotes the  $q$ -hypergeometric function and  $(m)_+ = \max(m, 0)$ . A matrix product solution to the reflection equation of this kind was first obtained in [15]. It covered all the fundamental representations of  $U_q(A_{n-1}^{(1)})$  whose simplest case goes back to [7]. According to [15], the matrix product structure is a signal of three dimensional (3D) integrability. It is an interesting open problem to elucidate such features for the solution in this Letter. In this regard we note that all the  $R$  matrices appearing in the reflection equation (37) are known to admit a matrix product formula originating in the tetrahedron equation [12].

There are further notable properties in our  $K$  matrix  $K(z)$ . At  $z = q^{-l}$ , elements of  $K^{(l)}(z, q)$  exhibit a neat factorization (59). Combined with the similar property of the  $R$  matrices [13, Th.2], it allows us to merge the spectral parameter to the spins  $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  thereby upgrading the latter to generic parameters. Consequently we get a parametric generalization of the solution to the reflection equation. This achieves a boundary analogue of the result concerning the Yang-Baxter equation [13, sec.2.3]. Another feature of interest occurs at  $q = 0$ , where our  $K$  matrix and reflection equation (84) survive quite nontrivially. In fact they are frozen exactly to the set-theoretical (combinatorial) counterparts introduced in [14] to formulate the box-ball system with reflecting end.

The outline of the Letter is as follows. In the next section we recapitulate the relevant representations of  $U_q(A_{n-1}^{(1)})$  and the three kinds of  $R$  matrices. In Section 3 we introduce the coideal subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}_q$  and characterize the  $K$  matrix as the intertwiner. The reflection equation is formulated, which corresponds to a twisted one in the terminology of [19]. The proof of uniqueness of the intertwiner and the irreducibility of  $V_{l,x} \otimes V_{m,y}$  as a  $\mathcal{B}_q$  module will be given elsewhere. In Section 4 we present the matrix product solution to the intertwining relation. The proof becomes local in the direction of rank, and reduces to some quadratic relations of (nonterminating)  $q$ -hypergeometric series. In Section 5 a generalization of integer spins (degrees of symmetric tensors and their dual) to continuous parameters is described. In Section 6 we present the results in yet another gauge and elucidate the connection to the work [14] at  $q = 0$ . Section 7 contains a brief summary and an outlook. The associated commuting double row transfer matrices (cf. [20]) are left for future study. We set  $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and use the following notations:

$$[u] = \frac{q^u - q^{-u}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad (z; q)_m = \prod_{k=1}^m (1 - zq^{k-1}), \quad \binom{l}{m}_q = \frac{(q; q)_l}{(q; q)_{l-m} (q; q)_m},$$

$$\theta(\text{true}) = 1, \quad \theta(\text{false}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{e}_j = (0, \dots, 0, \overset{j}{1}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \quad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

## 2. $U_q(A_{n-1}^{(1)})$ AND RELEVANT $R$ MATRICES

**2.1.  $U_q(A_{n-1}^{(1)})$  and relevant representations.** Let  $U_q = U_q(A_{n-1}^{(1)})$  be the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum affine algebra (without the derivation operator) generated by  $e_i, f_i, k_i^{\pm 1}$  ( $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ ) obeying the relations

$$k_i k_i^{-1} = k_i^{-1} k_i = 1, \quad [k_i, k_j] = 0, \quad k_i e_j k_i^{-1} = q^{a_{ij}} e_j, \quad k_i f_j k_i^{-1} = q^{-a_{ij}} f_j, \quad [e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} \frac{k_i - k_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}},$$

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^\nu e_i^{(1-a_{ij}-\nu)} e_j e_i^{(\nu)} = 0, \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^\nu f_i^{(1-a_{ij}-\nu)} f_j f_i^{(\nu)} = 0 \quad (i \neq j), \quad (1)$$

where  $\delta_{ij} = \theta(i = j)$ ,  $e_i^{(\nu)} = e_i^\nu / [\nu]!$ ,  $f_i^{(\nu)} = f_i^\nu / [\nu]!$  and  $[m]! = \prod_{j=1}^m [j]$ . The Cartan matrix  $(a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_n}$  is given by  $a_{ij} = 2\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j-1}$ <sup>2</sup>. We employ the coproduct  $\Delta$  and the antipode  $S$  of the form

$$\Delta k_i^{\pm 1} = k_i^{\pm 1} \otimes k_i^{\pm 1}, \quad \Delta e_i = 1 \otimes e_i + e_i \otimes k_i, \quad \Delta f_i = f_i \otimes 1 + k_i^{-1} \otimes f_i, \quad (2)$$

$$S(k_i) = k_i^{-1}, \quad S(e_i) = -e_i k_i^{-1}, \quad S(f_i) = -k_i f_i. \quad (3)$$

For integer arrays  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k), \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$  of *any* length  $k$ , we use the notation

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \alpha_i, \quad \{\alpha\} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} i \alpha_i, \quad \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \alpha_i \beta_j, \quad (4)$$

$$\sigma(\alpha) = (\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k, \alpha_1), \quad \rho(\alpha) = (\alpha_k, \dots, \alpha_2, \alpha_1), \quad (5)$$

where  $\sigma$  is a cyclic shift and  $\rho$  is the reverse ordering. We will be concerned with the two irreducible representations of  $U_q$  labeled with  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ :

$$\pi_{l,z} : U_q \rightarrow \text{End}(V_{l,z}), \quad V_{l,z} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in B_l} \mathbb{C}(q, z) v_\alpha, \quad (6)$$

$$\pi_{l,z}^* : U_q \rightarrow \text{End}(V_{l,z}^*), \quad V_{l,z}^* = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in B_l} \mathbb{C}(q, z) v_\alpha^*, \quad (7)$$

where  $B_l$  is a finite set of *length*  $n$  arrays specified as

$$B_l = \{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \mid |\alpha| = l\}. \quad (8)$$

The index  $i$  of  $\alpha = (\alpha_i) \in B_l$  should always be understood as elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Now the representations (6) and (7) are specified as

$$e_j v_\alpha = z^{\delta_{j,0}} [\alpha_{j+1}] v_{\alpha + \mathbf{e}_j - \mathbf{e}_{j+1}}, \quad e_j v_\alpha^* = -z^{\delta_{j,0}} [\alpha_{j+1} + 1] q^{-\alpha_j + \alpha_{j+1} + 2} v_{\alpha - \mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_{j+1}}^*, \quad (9)$$

$$f_j v_\alpha = z^{-\delta_{j,0}} [\alpha_j] v_{\alpha - \mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_{j+1}}, \quad f_j v_\alpha^* = -z^{-\delta_{j,0}} [\alpha_j + 1] q^{\alpha_j - \alpha_{j+1}} v_{\alpha + \mathbf{e}_j - \mathbf{e}_{j+1}}^*, \quad (10)$$

$$k_j v_\alpha = q^{\alpha_j - \alpha_{j+1}} v_\alpha, \quad k_j v_\alpha^* = q^{-\alpha_j + \alpha_{j+1}} v_\alpha^*, \quad (11)$$

where  $\pi_{l,z}(g), \pi_{l,z}^*(g)$  with  $g \in U_q$  are denoted by  $g$  for simplicity. In the RHS,  $v_\beta, v_\beta^*$  with  $\beta \notin B_l$  should be understood as 0. The representation  $\pi_{l,z}$  is the (affinization of) degree  $l$  symmetric tensor representation, and  $\pi_{l,z}^*$  is its antipode dual. Namely,  $(\pi_{l,z}^*(g)v_\alpha^*, v_\beta) = (v_\alpha^*, \pi_{l,z}(S(g))v_\beta)$  holds for any  $\alpha, \beta \in B_l$  and  $g \in U_q$  with respect to the bilinear pairing  $(v_\alpha^*, v_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ . In terms of the classical part  $U_q(A_{n-1})$ , they are the irreducible representations labeled with the rectangular Young diagrams of shape  $1 \times l$  and  $(n-1) \times l$ , respectively.

**2.2.  $R$  matrices.** For simplicity denote the tensor product representation  $(\pi_{l,x}^* \otimes \pi_{m,y}) \circ \Delta$  just by  $\pi_{l,x}^* \otimes \pi_{m,y}$ , etc. Consider the three types of quantum  $R$  matrices which are characterized, up to normalization, by the commutativity with  $U_q$  as

$$R(x/y) : V_{l,x} \otimes V_{m,y} \rightarrow V_{m,y} \otimes V_{l,x}, \quad (\pi_{m,y} \otimes \pi_{l,x})R(x/y) = R(x/y)(\pi_{l,x} \otimes \pi_{m,y}), \quad (12)$$

$$R^*(x/y) : V_{l,x}^* \otimes V_{m,y} \rightarrow V_{m,y} \otimes V_{l,x}^*, \quad (\pi_{m,y} \otimes \pi_{l,x}^*)R^*(x/y) = R^*(x/y)(\pi_{l,x}^* \otimes \pi_{m,y}), \quad (13)$$

$$R^{**}(x/y) : V_{l,x}^* \otimes V_{m,y}^* \rightarrow V_{m,y}^* \otimes V_{l,x}^*, \quad (\pi_{m,y}^* \otimes \pi_{l,x}^*)R^{**}(x/y) = R^{**}(x/y)(\pi_{l,x}^* \otimes \pi_{m,y}^*). \quad (14)$$

Note that dependence on  $l, m, q$  is suppressed in the  $R$  matrices. We specify the matrix elements by

$$R(z)(v_\alpha \otimes v_\beta) = \sum_{\gamma \in B_l, \delta \in B_m} R(z)_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} v_\delta \otimes v_\gamma, \quad (15)$$

$$R^*(z)(v_\alpha^* \otimes v_\beta) = \sum_{\gamma \in B_l, \delta \in B_m} R^*(z)_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} v_\delta \otimes v_\gamma^*, \quad (16)$$

$$R^{**}(z)(v_\alpha^* \otimes v_\beta^*) = \sum_{\gamma \in B_l, \delta \in B_m} R^{**}(z)_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} v_\delta^* \otimes v_\gamma^* \quad (17)$$

and the normalization

$$R(z)_{l\mathbf{e}_1, m\mathbf{e}_1}^{l\mathbf{e}_1, m\mathbf{e}_1} = R^*(z)_{l\mathbf{e}_1, m\mathbf{e}_1}^{l\mathbf{e}_1, m\mathbf{e}_1} = R^{**}(z)_{l\mathbf{e}_1, m\mathbf{e}_1}^{l\mathbf{e}_1, m\mathbf{e}_1} = 1. \quad (18)$$

<sup>2</sup>Note  $a_{n-1,0} = a_{0,n-1} = -1$  because of  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ .

In order to provide explicit formulas for the  $R$  matrices, we prepare their building blocks. For complex parameters  $\lambda, \mu$  and arrays  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k), \gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$  with *any* length  $k$ , define

$$\Phi_q(\gamma|\beta; \lambda, \mu) = q^{\langle \beta - \gamma, \gamma \rangle} \left( \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \right)^{|\gamma|} \bar{\Phi}_q(\gamma|\beta; \lambda, \mu), \quad (19)$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_q(\gamma|\beta; \lambda, \mu) = \theta(\gamma \leq \beta) \frac{(\lambda; q)_{|\gamma|} (\frac{\mu}{\lambda}; q)_{|\beta| - |\gamma|}}{(\mu; q)_{|\beta|}} \prod_{i=1}^k \binom{\beta_i}{\gamma_i}_q, \quad (20)$$

where  $\theta(\gamma \leq \beta)$  stands for  $\prod_{i=1}^k \theta(\gamma_i \leq \beta_i)$ . The function  $\Phi_q(\gamma|\beta; \lambda, \mu)$  was introduced in [13, eq.(19)] in the study of a stochastic  $R$  matrix for  $U_q$ . Following [4] we define a quadratic combination of (19) as

$$A(z)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} = q^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle - \langle \delta, \gamma \rangle} \sum_{\bar{\xi} + \bar{\eta} = \bar{\gamma} + \bar{\delta}} \Phi_{q^2}(\bar{\xi} - \bar{\delta} | \bar{\xi}; q^{m-l} z, q^{-l-m} z) \Phi_{q^2}(\bar{\eta} | \bar{\beta}; q^{-l-m} z^{-1}, q^{-2m}), \quad (21)$$

where  $\alpha, \gamma \in B_l$  and  $\beta, \delta \in B_m$  and  $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$  stands for the truncation of  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . The sum in (21) extends over  $\bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}$  satisfying  $\bar{\xi} + \bar{\eta} = \bar{\gamma} + \bar{\delta}$ . There are finitely many such  $\bar{\xi}$  and  $\bar{\eta}$ . The function  $A(z)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}$  satisfies

$$A(z)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} = A(z)_{\rho(\gamma), \rho(\delta)}^{\rho(\alpha), \rho(\beta)} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(q^2; q^2)_{\alpha_i} (q^2; q^2)_{\beta_i}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\gamma_i} (q^2; q^2)_{\delta_i}} = z^{\beta_1 - \delta_1} A(z)_{\sigma(\gamma), \sigma(\delta)}^{\sigma(\alpha), \sigma(\beta)}. \quad (22)$$

Now the elements of  $R$  matrices are expressed as follows ( $\delta_\alpha^\beta = \theta(\alpha = \beta)$ ):

$$R(z)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} = \delta_{\alpha + \beta}^{\gamma + \delta} A(z)_{\beta, \alpha}^{\delta, \gamma}, \quad (23)$$

$$R^*(z)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} = \delta_{\alpha - \beta}^{\gamma - \delta} A(z^{-1})_{\rho(\beta), \rho(\gamma)}^{\rho(\delta), \rho(\alpha)}, \quad (24)$$

$$R^{**}(z)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} = \delta_{\alpha + \beta}^{\gamma + \delta} A(z)_{\rho(\gamma), \rho(\delta)}^{\rho(\alpha), \rho(\beta)}. \quad (25)$$

See the comments after (79) for the origin of these formulas. The  $R$  matrices satisfy the Yang-Baxter equations [3] reversing the components of the tensor products  $V_{l_1, z_1} \otimes V_{l_2, z_2} \otimes V_{l_3, z_3}, V_{l_1, z_1}^* \otimes V_{l_2, z_2} \otimes V_{l_3, z_3}^*, V_{l_1, z_1}^* \otimes V_{l_2, z_2}^* \otimes V_{l_3, z_3}, V_{l_1, z_1}^* \otimes V_{l_2, z_2}^* \otimes V_{l_3, z_3}^*$ . In terms of  $x = z_1/z_2, y = z_2/z_3$ , they read

$$(1 \otimes R(x))(R(xy) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes R(y)) = (R(y) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes R(xy))(R(x) \otimes 1), \quad (26)$$

$$(1 \otimes R^*(x))(R^*(xy) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes R(y)) = (R(y) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes R^*(xy))(R^*(x) \otimes 1), \quad (27)$$

$$(1 \otimes R^{**}(x))(R^{**}(xy) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes R^*(y)) = (R^*(y) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes R^{**}(xy))(R^{**}(x) \otimes 1), \quad (28)$$

$$(1 \otimes R^{**}(x))(R^{**}(xy) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes R^{**}(y)) = (R^{**}(y) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes R^{**}(xy))(R^{**}(x) \otimes 1). \quad (29)$$

### 3. A COIDEAL SUBALGEBRA AND $K$ MATRIX

Consider the element

$$b_i = -e_i + q^2 k_i f_i + \frac{q}{1-q} k_i \in U_q \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}_n) \quad (30)$$

and let  $\mathcal{B}_q$  be the subalgebra of  $U_q$  generated by  $\{b_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$ . From  $\Delta(b_i) = b_i \otimes k_i + 1 \otimes (-e_i + q^2 k_i f_i)$ , we see  $\Delta \mathcal{B}_q \subset \mathcal{B}_q \otimes U_q$ , meaning that  $\mathcal{B}_q$  is a right coideal subalgebra of  $U_q$ . Consider the operator  $K(z) = K^{(l)}(z, q)$

$$K(z) : V_{l, z} \rightarrow V_{l, z^{-1}}^*, \quad K(z)v_\alpha = \sum_{\gamma \in B_l} K(z)_{\alpha}^{\gamma} v_{\gamma}^*, \quad (31)$$

which satisfies the intertwining relation

$$K(z)\pi_{l, z}(b) = \pi_{l, z^{-1}}^*(b)K(z) \quad (b \in \mathcal{B}_q). \quad (32)$$

It suffices to impose (32) for the generators  $b = b_i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ ). From (9)–(11), it reads explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned} & -z^{\delta_{i0}} [\alpha_{i+1}] K(z)_{\alpha + \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_{i+1}}^{\gamma} + z^{-\delta_{i0}} [\alpha_i] q^{\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1}} K(z)_{\alpha - \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_{i+1}}^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{1-q} q^{\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1} + 1} K(z)_{\alpha}^{\gamma} \\ & = z^{-\delta_{i0}} q^{-\gamma_i + \gamma_{i+1}} [\gamma_{i+1}] K(z)_{\alpha}^{\gamma + \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_{i+1}} + z^{\delta_{i0}} [\gamma_i] K(z)_{\alpha}^{\gamma - \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_{i+1}} + \frac{1}{1-q} q^{-\gamma_i + \gamma_{i+1} + 1} K(z)_{\alpha}^{\gamma}, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where  $|\alpha| = |\gamma| = l$  and  $K(z)_{\alpha}^{\gamma} = 0$  unless  $\alpha, \gamma \in B_l$ .

The essentials for our construction are the following claim.

**Theorem 1.** *The solution  $K(z)$  to the intertwining relation (32) or equivalently (33) ( $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ ) is unique up to normalization. Moreover,  $V_{l,x} \otimes V_{m,y}$  is irreducible as a  $\mathcal{B}_q$  module for generic  $x$  and  $y$ .*

We will prove this for a more general setting elsewhere based partly on the existence of the crystal base [8]. In what follows,  $K(z)$  denotes the unique intertwiner normalized as

$$K(z)_{l\mathbf{e}_1}^{l\mathbf{e}_1} = 1. \quad (34)$$

Consider the intertwiner  $V_{l,x} \otimes V_{m,y} \rightarrow V_{l,x-1}^* \otimes V_{m,y-1}^*$  of the  $\mathcal{B}_q$  modules constructed in two ways as

$$\begin{aligned} V_{l,x} \otimes V_{m,y} &\xrightarrow{R(xy^{-1})} V_{m,y} \otimes V_{l,x} \xrightarrow{K_1(y)} V_{m,y-1}^* \otimes V_{l,x} \\ &\xrightarrow{R^*((xy)^{-1})} V_{l,x} \otimes V_{m,y-1}^* \xrightarrow{K_1(x)} V_{l,x-1}^* \otimes V_{m,y-1}^*, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_{l,x} \otimes V_{m,y} &\xrightarrow{K_1(x)} V_{l,x-1}^* \otimes V_{m,y} \xrightarrow{R^*((xy)^{-1})} V_{m,y} \otimes V_{l,x-1}^* \\ &\xrightarrow{K_1(y)} V_{m,y-1}^* \otimes V_{l,x-1}^* \xrightarrow{R^*(xy^{-1})} V_{l,x-1}^* \otimes V_{m,y-1}^*, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$


where  $K_1(x) = K^{(l)}(x, q) \otimes 1$  and  $K_1(y) = K^{(m)}(y, q) \otimes 1$ . The dependence of each  $R$  matrix on  $l, m$  should be understood appropriately. The composition of (35) and the inverse of (36) gives a map on  $V_{l,x} \otimes V_{m,y}$  commuting with  $\Delta\mathcal{B}_q$ . Then the second assertion in Theorem 1 tells that it must be a scalar multiple of the identity operator. The scalar is 1 due to the normalization (18) and (34). In this way, we obtain the reflection equation

$$K_1(x)R^*((xy)^{-1})K_1(y)R(xy^{-1}) = R^*(xy^{-1})K_1(y)R^*((xy)^{-1})K_1(x) \quad (37)$$

of the linear operators  $V_{l,x} \otimes V_{m,y} \rightarrow V_{l,x-1}^* \otimes V_{m,y-1}^*$  for the intertwiner  $K(z)$  characterized by the first assertion in Theorem 1. In short, Theorem 1 achieves *linearization*; the reflection equation which is quadratic in  $K(z)$  becomes a corollary of the linear intertwining relation (32). In terms of matrix elements (37) reads

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum K(x)_{a_2}^{a_3} R^*((xy)^{-1})_{b_2, a_1}^{b_3, a_2} K(y)_{b_1}^{b_2} R(xy^{-1})_{a_0, b_0}^{a_1, b_1} \\ &= \sum R^*(xy^{-1})_{b_2, a_2}^{b_3, a_3} K(y)_{b_1}^{b_2} R^*((xy)^{-1})_{a_1, b_0}^{a_2, b_1} K(x)_{a_0}^{a_1}, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where  $a_0, a_3 \in B_l, b_0, b_3 \in B_m$  and the sums range over  $a_1, a_2 \in B_l, b_1, b_2 \in B_m$  on the both sides. On the LHS (resp. RHS), they are to obey the weight conservation  $a_1 + b_1 = a_0 + b_0, a_1 - b_2 = a_2 - b_3$  (resp.  $a_1 - b_0 = a_2 - b_1, a_2 + b_2 = a_3 + b_3$ ).



**Remark 2.** For the coideal subalgebra generated by  $-e_i + c_i k_i f_i + d_i k_i$  with  $c_i d_i \neq 0$  ( $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ ), a necessary condition for the existence of  $K(z) : V_{l,z} \rightarrow V_{l,w-1}^*$  with  $n \geq 3$  is

$$\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} c_i = q^{2n} z w^{-1}, \quad d_i^2 = \frac{c_i}{(1-q)^2}. \quad (39)$$

Such cases can always be reduced to (30) by applying an algebra automorphism  $\omega : e_i \mapsto \mu_i e_i, f_i \mapsto \mu_i^{-1} f_i, k_i^{\pm 1} \mapsto k_i^{\pm 1}$  of  $U_q$  for appropriate constants  $\mu_i$ . For  $n = 2$ , the intertwiner exists without assuming the right constraint in (39). However a matrix product formula for such a case is not known in general.

#### 4. MATRIX PRODUCT CONSTRUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{A}_q$  be the algebra generated by  $\mathbf{a}^+, \mathbf{a}^-, \mathbf{k}$  obeying the relations

$$\mathbf{k} \mathbf{a}^+ = q \mathbf{a}^+ \mathbf{k}, \quad \mathbf{k} \mathbf{a}^- = q^{-1} \mathbf{a}^- \mathbf{k}, \quad \mathbf{a}^+ \mathbf{a}^- = 1 - \mathbf{k}, \quad \mathbf{a}^- \mathbf{a}^+ = 1 - q \mathbf{k}. \quad (40)$$

The algebra  $\mathcal{A}_q$  will be called  $q$ -boson. It is equipped with an anti-algebra automorphism

$$\iota : \mathbf{a}^{\pm} \mapsto \mathbf{a}^{\mp}, \quad \mathbf{k} \mapsto \mathbf{k}. \quad (41)$$

Let  $F_q = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \mathbb{C} |m\rangle$  and  $F_q^* = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \mathbb{C} \langle m|$  be the Fock space and its dual equipped with the bilinear pairing  $\langle m | m' \rangle = (q; q)_m \delta_{m, m'}$ . They can be endowed with an  $\mathcal{A}_q$  module structure by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}^+ |m\rangle &= |m+1\rangle, & \mathbf{a}^- |m\rangle &= (1-q^m) |m-1\rangle, & \mathbf{k} |m\rangle &= q^m |m\rangle, \\ \langle m | \mathbf{a}^- &= \langle m+1|, & \langle m | \mathbf{a}^+ &= \langle m-1| (1-q^m), & \langle m | \mathbf{k} &= \langle m | q^m. \end{aligned}$$

It satisfies  $(\langle m | X) | m' \rangle = \langle m | (X | m' \rangle)$ . We also use  $\mathbf{h}$  acting on the Fock spaces as  $\mathbf{h} |m\rangle = m |m\rangle$  and  $\langle m | \mathbf{h} = \langle m | m$ . Thus one may set  $\mathbf{k} = q^{\mathbf{h}}$ . By definition, the trace on  $F_q$  means  $\text{Tr}(w^{\mathbf{h}} X) = \sum_{m \geq 0} w^m \frac{\langle m | X | m \rangle}{(q; q)_m}$  when convergent. The traces appearing in the sequel are always reduced to and evaluated by  $\text{Tr}(w^{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{k}^r) = \frac{1}{1-q^r w}$  for some  $w$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  by relation (40).

For each pair  $(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ , define an element  $G_i^j \in \mathcal{A}_q$  by

$$\begin{aligned} G_i^j &= (-q; q)_{i+j} \phi \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-j}, -q^{-j} \\ -q^{-i-j} \end{matrix}; q \mathbf{k} \right) (\mathbf{a}^-)^{i-j} \quad (i \geq j), \\ &= (-q; q)_{i+j} (\mathbf{a}^+)^{j-i} \phi \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-i}, -q^{-i} \\ -q^{-i-j} \end{matrix}; q \mathbf{k} \right) \quad (i \leq j), \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where  $\phi$  is a shorthand for the  $q$ -hypergeometric series

$$\phi \left( \begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix}; z \right) = {}_2\phi_1 \left( \begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix}; q, z \right) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(a; q)_m (b; q)_m}{(q; q)_m (c; q)_m} z^m. \quad (43)$$

The RHS of (42) is terminating and actually involves finitely many terms. Note the properties

$$G_i^j = \iota(G_j^i), \quad w^{\mathbf{h}} G_i^j = G_i^j w^{j-i+\mathbf{h}}. \quad (44)$$

**Theorem 3.** *The  $K$  matrix characterized by (32) and (34) has the elements expressed by the matrix product formula:*

$$K(z)_{\alpha}^{\gamma} = \frac{q^{\langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle} (q^{-l} z^{-1}; q)_{l+1}}{(q^2; q^2)_{l(-qz^{-1}; q)_l}} \text{Tr} \left( (q^l z)^{-\mathbf{h}} G_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1} \cdots G_{\alpha_n}^{\gamma_n} \right) \quad (\alpha, \gamma \in B_l). \quad (45)$$

Due to the right property in (44) and  $l^2 = |\alpha|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 + 2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$  for  $\alpha \in B_l$ , formula (45) is also written as:

$$K(z)_{\alpha}^{\gamma} = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}l^2} (q^{-l} z^{-1}; q)_{l+1}}{(q^2; q^2)_{l(-qz^{-1}; q)_l}} \text{Tr} \left( z^{-\mathbf{h}} \hat{G}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1} \cdots \hat{G}_{\alpha_n}^{\gamma_n} \right), \quad \hat{G}_i^j = q^{-\frac{1}{2}i^2} \mathbf{k}^{-i} G_i^j, \quad (46)$$

where the prefactor of the trace is independent of  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ . Let us sketch a (rather brute force) proof. Substitute (45) into (33). Applying the right relation in (44) and  $\langle \gamma \pm \mathbf{e}_i \mp \mathbf{e}_{i+1}, \alpha \rangle - \langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle = \pm(\alpha_{i+1} - l\delta_{i0})$ ,  $\langle \gamma, \alpha \pm \mathbf{e}_i \mp \mathbf{e}_{i+1} \rangle - \langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle = \pm(-\gamma_i + l\delta_{i0})$ , we find that (33) follows from the  $\delta_{i0}$ -free relation:

$$\begin{aligned} & -q^{-\gamma_1} [\alpha_2] G_{\alpha_1+1}^{\gamma_1} G_{\alpha_2-1}^{\gamma_2} + q^{\gamma_1+\alpha_1-\alpha_2} [\alpha_1] G_{\alpha_1-1}^{\gamma_1} G_{\alpha_2+1}^{\gamma_2} + \frac{q^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2+1}}{1-q} G_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1} G_{\alpha_2}^{\gamma_2} \\ & = q^{\alpha_2-\gamma_1+\gamma_2} [\gamma_2] G_{\alpha_1+1}^{\gamma_1} G_{\alpha_2-1}^{\gamma_2} - q^{-\alpha_2} [\gamma_1] G_{\alpha_1-1}^{\gamma_1} G_{\alpha_2+1}^{\gamma_2} + \frac{q^{-\gamma_1+\gamma_2+1}}{1-q} G_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1} G_{\alpha_2}^{\gamma_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Substitute (42) into (47) and remove a common factor after applying the  $q$ -commutation relations in (40). Regarding integer powers of  $q$  as generic variables, one is left to show quadratic relations of the

$q$ -hypergeometric series. Below we illustrate a typical case  $\alpha_1 > \gamma_1$  and  $\alpha_2 < \gamma_2$ . (The invariance of (47) by  $\iota$  in (41) reduces the task in the proof to some extent.) The relevant quadratic relation reads

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 = & u_1(u_2 - u_2^{-1})(-v_1^{-1}; q)_2 (q^{-1}u_1^2v_1^{-1}w; q)_2 \phi\left(\begin{matrix} u_1, -u_1 \\ -q^{-1}v_1 \end{matrix}; w\right) \phi\left(\begin{matrix} qu_2, -qu_2 \\ -qv_2 \end{matrix}; y\right) \\
 & + v_1^{-1}u_2(u_1v_1^{-1} - u_1^{-1}v_1)(-v_2^{-1}; q)_2 \phi\left(\begin{matrix} u_1, -u_1 \\ -qv_1 \end{matrix}; w\right) \phi\left(\begin{matrix} q^{-1}u_2, -q^{-1}u_2 \\ -q^{-1}v_2 \end{matrix}; y\right) \\
 & - u_1v_2^{-1}(u_2v_2^{-1} - u_2^{-1}v_2)(-v_1^{-1}; q)_2 \phi\left(\begin{matrix} q^{-1}u_1, -q^{-1}u_1 \\ -q^{-1}v_1 \end{matrix}; w\right) \phi\left(\begin{matrix} u_2, -u_2 \\ -qv_2 \end{matrix}; y\right) \\
 & - u_2(u_1 - u_1^{-1})(-v_2^{-1}; q)_2 (q^{-1}u_1^2v_1^{-1}w; q)_2 \phi\left(\begin{matrix} qu_1, -qu_1 \\ -qv_1 \end{matrix}; w\right) \phi\left(\begin{matrix} u_2, -u_2 \\ -q^{-1}v_2 \end{matrix}; y\right) \\
 & - (1+q)u_1u_2(v_1^{-1} - v_2^{-1})(1+v_1^{-1})(1+v_2^{-1})(1-q^{-1}u_1^2v_1^{-1}w) \phi\left(\begin{matrix} u_1, -u_1 \\ -v_1 \end{matrix}; w\right) \phi\left(\begin{matrix} u_2, -u_2 \\ -v_2 \end{matrix}; y\right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

with  $y = u_1^2v_1^{-1}u_2^{-2}v_2w$ . Applying Heine's contiguous relations to the factors  $\phi\left(\begin{matrix} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{matrix}; w\right)$ , one can rewrite the RHS as  $A\phi\left(\begin{matrix} q^{-1}u_1, -u_1 \\ -q^{-1}v_1 \end{matrix}; w\right) + B\phi\left(\begin{matrix} u_1, -q^{-1}u_1 \\ -q^{-1}v_1 \end{matrix}; w\right)$  with  $A, B$  being *linear* combinations in  $\phi\left(\begin{matrix} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{matrix}; y\right)$ . Then it is straightforward, though tedious, to check  $A = 0, B = 0$  by (43). We remark that all the relations like (48) hold for generic  $u_i, v_i$ , hence for nonterminating  $q$ -hypergeometric series.

**4.1. Basic properties and examples.** From the matrix product formula (45) it is easy to derive

$$K(z)_\alpha^\gamma = z^{\alpha_1 - \gamma_1} K(z)_{\sigma(\alpha)}^{\sigma(\gamma)} = K(z)_{\rho(\gamma)}^{\rho(\alpha)}, \tag{49}$$

$$K(z)_\alpha^\gamma = K(z)_{\alpha^{(i)}}^{\gamma^{(i)}} \quad \text{if } \alpha_i = \gamma_i = 0. \tag{50}$$

The array  $\alpha^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}$  is obtained from  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$  by dropping the  $i$ th component  $\alpha_i$ . The equality (50) is due to  $G_0^0 = 1$  and  $\langle \gamma^{(i)}, \alpha^{(i)} \rangle = \langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle$  when  $\alpha_i = \gamma_i = 0$ . It implies a reduction with respect to rank  $n$  when some components are simultaneously 0. In what follows we present the result of an explicit evaluation of (45) for a few typical cases.

**Example 4.** Consider  $U_q(A_1^{(1)})$  for general  $l$ . Due to (49),  $K(z)_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} = z^{\gamma_2 - \alpha_2} K(z)_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$  holds. Thus, we present the result assuming  $s := \gamma_1 - \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 - \gamma_2 \geq 0$  without loss of generality.

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(z)_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} = & q^{\alpha_1 \gamma_2} z^{\alpha_1 - \gamma_1} \frac{(q^{-l}z^{-1}; q)_{l+1} (q; q)_s (-q; q)_{\alpha_1 + \gamma_1} (-q; q)_{\alpha_2 + \gamma_2}}{(q^2; q^2)_l (-qz^{-1}; q)_l} \\
 & \times \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \alpha_1} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \gamma_2} \frac{q^{j+k} (q^{-2\alpha_1}; q^2)_j (q^{-2\gamma_2}; q^2)_k}{(q^{j+k-l}z^{-1}; q)_{s+1} (q; q)_j (q; q)_k (-q^{-\alpha_1 - \gamma_1}; q)_j (-q^{-\alpha_2 - \gamma_2}; q)_k}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

**Example 5.** Consider  $U_q(A_{n-1}^{(1)})$  with  $l = 1$ . The relevant matrix product operators are

$$G_0^0 = 1, \quad G_0^1 = (1+q)\mathbf{a}^+, \quad G_1^0 = (1+q)\mathbf{a}^-, \quad G_1^1 = (1+q)(1+q^2) \left(1 - \frac{q(1+q)}{1+q^2} \mathbf{k}\right).$$

Thus, formula (45) yields

$$K(z)_{\mathbf{e}_i}^{\mathbf{e}_j} = \frac{z^{\delta_{ij}} + q}{z+q} z^{\theta(i < j)}. \tag{52}$$

In fact this is the  $l = 1$  case of more general

$$K(z)_{l\mathbf{e}_i}^{l\mathbf{e}_j} = \frac{(-qz^{-\delta_{ij}}; q)_l}{(-qz^{-1}; q)_l} z^{-l\theta(i > j)}. \tag{53}$$

**Example 6.** Consider  $U_q(A_{n-1}^{(1)})$  with  $l = 2$ . In view of (49) and (50), the matrix elements that are not covered by Examples 4 and 5 are reduced to the following cases of  $n = 3$ :

$$K(z)_{011}^{200} = \frac{(1+q)^2}{(q+z)(q^2+z)}, \quad K(z)_{011}^{110} = \frac{(1+q)(1+q+q^2+qz)}{(1+q^2)(q+z)(q^2+z)}, \quad K(z)_{101}^{110} = \frac{(1+q)(q+z+qz+q^2z)}{(1+q^2)(q+z)(q^2+z)}.$$

Let us close the section with the conjecture

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} K(z)_\alpha^\gamma = z^{-Q_0(\gamma, \alpha)}, \tag{54}$$

where  $Q_0(\gamma, \alpha)$  is defined after (87). This indicates that the present gauge as well as the one treated in Section 6 also has a curious connection to the crystal theory [8, 9, 17, 14].

## 5. PARAMETRIC GENERALIZATION

5.1. **Factorization at special point.** The function (19) has two simplifying points:

$$\Phi_q(\gamma|\beta; 1, \mu) = \delta_{\gamma,0}, \quad \Phi_q(\gamma|\beta; \mu, \mu) = \delta_{\gamma,\beta}. \quad (55)$$

Applying it to (21) and (23)–(25), we get

$$R(q^{m-l})_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} = \delta_{\alpha+\beta}^{\gamma+\delta} \theta(\delta \leq \alpha) q^{\langle \beta, \alpha-\delta \rangle + \langle \alpha-\delta, \delta \rangle} \binom{l}{m}_{q^2}^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{\alpha_i}{\delta_i}_{q^2} \quad (l \geq m), \quad (56)$$

$$R^*(q^{m+l})_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} = \delta_{\alpha-\beta}^{\gamma-\delta} q^{\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle + \langle \gamma, \beta \rangle} \binom{l+m}{m}_{q^2}^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{\alpha_i + \delta_i}{\alpha_i}_{q^2}, \quad (57)$$

$$R^{**}(q^{l-m})_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} = \delta_{\alpha+\beta}^{\gamma+\delta} \theta(\alpha \leq \delta) q^{\langle \alpha, \beta-\gamma \rangle + \langle \beta-\gamma, \gamma \rangle} \binom{m}{l}_{q^2}^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{\delta_i}{\alpha_i}_{q^2} \quad (l \leq m), \quad (58)$$

where we assume  $\alpha, \gamma \in B_l$  and  $\beta, \delta \in B_m$  in all the cases. Up to an overall factor, (58) is due to [13, Th.2]. By the argument similar to the proof of it there, one can show that the  $K$  matrix also has the factorization

$$K(q^{-l})_{\alpha}^{\gamma} = \frac{q^{\langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^n (-q; q)_{\alpha_i + \gamma_i}}{(-q; q)_{2l}}, \quad K(1)_{\alpha}^{\gamma} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (-q; q)_{\alpha_i} (-q; q)_{\gamma_i}}{(-q; q)_l^2} \quad (\alpha, \gamma \in B_l). \quad (59)$$

5.2. **Upgrading  $\lambda = q^{-l}, \mu = q^{-m}$  to generic parameters.** In the reflection equation (37), specialize the spectral parameters to  $x = q^{-l}, y = q^{-m}$ . Assuming  $l \geq m$ , one finds that all the  $R$  and  $K$  matrices have the factorized elements given in the previous subsection. (Note that (58) should be applied after the exchange  $l \leftrightarrow m$ .) Apart from the powers of  $q$ , (56)–(58) consist of the  $q^2$ -multinomial  $(q^2; q^2)_l / \prod_{i=1}^n (q^2; q^2)_{\alpha_i} = (-q^{2l-|\bar{\alpha}|+1})^{|\bar{\alpha}|} (q^{-2l}; q^2)_{|\bar{\alpha}|} / \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (q^2; q^2)_{\bar{\alpha}_i}$  for  $\alpha \in B_l$ . Here  $\bar{\alpha}$  is a truncation of  $\alpha$  explained after (21). Similar rewriting is possible also for (59). The powers of  $q$  are handled by  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta} \rangle + |\bar{\alpha}|(m - |\bar{\beta}|)$  for  $\beta \in B_m$ . Then from the argument similar to [13, Sec.2.3], it follows that the reflection equation, as well as the Yang-Baxter equation, holds as an identity of a rational function in which  $\lambda = q^{-l}$  and  $\mu = q^{-m}$  are regarded as generic parameters independent of  $q$ . Local spin variables in such a setting range over  $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}$  rather than  $\alpha \in B_l$ . Below we describe the resulting  $R$  and  $K$  matrices resetting  $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}$  to a simpler notation  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ .

For  $k \geq 1$ , introduce the infinite dimensional space

$$W = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k} \mathbb{C}(q, \lambda, \mu) u_{\alpha}. \quad (60)$$

Consider the linear operators depending on the continuous parameters  $\lambda, \mu$  as

$$\mathcal{K}(\lambda) \in \text{End}(W), \quad \mathcal{R}(\lambda, \mu), \mathcal{R}^*(\lambda, \mu), \mathcal{R}^{**}(\lambda, \mu) \in \text{End}(W \otimes W), \quad (61)$$

$$\mathcal{K}(\lambda) u_{\alpha} = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k} \mathcal{K}(\lambda)_{\alpha}^{\gamma} u_{\gamma}, \quad \mathcal{Q}(\lambda, \mu)(u_{\alpha} \otimes u_{\beta}) = \sum_{\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k} \mathcal{Q}(\lambda, \mu)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} u_{\delta} \otimes u_{\gamma}, \quad (62)$$

where  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}^*, \mathcal{R}^{**}$ . The matrix elements are defined by

$$\mathcal{K}(\lambda)_{\alpha}^{\gamma} = q^{\langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle + \frac{1}{2}|\alpha|(|\alpha|-1) + \frac{1}{2}|\gamma|(|\gamma|-1)} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k (-q; q)_{\alpha_i + \gamma_i}}{(-\lambda^2; q)_{|\alpha+\gamma|}}, \quad (63)$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{**}(\lambda, \mu)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} = \mathcal{R}(\mu, \lambda)_{\rho(\delta), \rho(\gamma)}^{\rho(\beta), \rho(\alpha)} = \delta_{\alpha+\beta}^{\gamma+\delta} q^{\langle \beta-\gamma, \gamma \rangle + \langle \alpha, \beta-\gamma \rangle + |\alpha||\beta| - |\gamma||\delta|} \lambda^{2|\delta-\alpha|} \bar{\Phi}_{q^2}(\alpha|\delta; \lambda^2, \mu^2), \quad (64)$$

$$\mathcal{R}^*(\lambda, \mu)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} = \delta_{\alpha-\beta}^{\gamma-\delta} q^{\langle \gamma, \beta \rangle + \langle \delta, \alpha \rangle + |\alpha||\delta| - |\beta||\gamma|} \bar{\Phi}_{q^2}(\alpha|\alpha + \delta; \lambda^2, \lambda^2 \mu^2), \quad (65)$$

where  $\bar{\Phi}_{q^2}$  is given by (20). Then, the Yang-Baxter equations and the reflection equation are valid:

$$(1 \otimes \mathcal{R}(\lambda, \mu))(\mathcal{R}(\lambda, \nu) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \mathcal{R}(\mu, \nu)) = (\mathcal{R}(\mu, \nu) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \mathcal{R}(\lambda, \nu))(\mathcal{R}(\lambda, \mu) \otimes 1), \quad (66)$$

$$(1 \otimes \mathcal{R}^*(\lambda, \mu))(\mathcal{R}^*(\lambda, \nu) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \mathcal{R}(\mu, \nu)) = (\mathcal{R}(\mu, \nu) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \mathcal{R}^*(\lambda, \nu))(\mathcal{R}^*(\lambda, \mu) \otimes 1), \quad (67)$$

$$(1 \otimes \mathcal{R}^{**}(\lambda, \mu))(\mathcal{R}^*(\lambda, \nu) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \mathcal{R}^*(\mu, \nu)) = (\mathcal{R}^*(\mu, \nu) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \mathcal{R}^*(\lambda, \nu))(\mathcal{R}^{**}(\lambda, \mu) \otimes 1), \quad (68)$$

$$(1 \otimes \mathcal{R}^{**}(\lambda, \mu))(\mathcal{R}^{**}(\lambda, \nu) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \mathcal{R}^{**}(\mu, \nu)) = (\mathcal{R}^{**}(\mu, \nu) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \mathcal{R}^{**}(\lambda, \nu))(\mathcal{R}^{**}(\lambda, \mu) \otimes 1), \quad (69)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_1(\lambda) \mathcal{R}^*(\mu, \lambda) \mathcal{K}_1(\mu) \mathcal{R}(\lambda, \mu) = \mathcal{R}^{**}(\mu, \lambda) \mathcal{K}_1(\mu) \mathcal{R}^*(\lambda, \mu) \mathcal{K}_1(\lambda). \quad (70)$$



The Yang-Baxter (resp. reflection) equations hold as identities of the operators on  $W^{\otimes 3}$  (resp.  $W^{\otimes 2}$ ). The result (69) was obtained in [13, Sec.2.3] up to a gauge of  $\mathcal{R}^{**}(\lambda, \mu)$ . Two remarks are in order.

(i)  $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{R}^*(\lambda, \mu)$  are *not* locally finite in that the corresponding RHS of (62) contains infinitely many terms. However, the Yang-Baxter and the reflection equations make sense as the identities of matrix elements which are finite for any prescribed transitions  $u_\alpha \otimes u_\beta \otimes u_\gamma \mapsto u_{\alpha'} \otimes u_{\beta'} \otimes u_{\gamma'}$  and  $u_\alpha \otimes u_\beta \mapsto u_{\alpha'} \otimes u_{\beta'}$ .

(ii) The Yang-Baxter equations (66) – (69) remain valid under the replacement

$$\mathcal{R}(\lambda, \mu)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mapsto q^{\varphi_1(\delta, \gamma) - \varphi_1(\alpha, \beta)} (\lambda/\mu)^{\varphi_2(\gamma - \alpha)} \mathcal{R}(\lambda, \mu)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}, \quad (71)$$

$$\mathcal{R}^*(\lambda, \mu)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mapsto q^{\varphi_1(\alpha, \delta) - \varphi_1(\beta, \gamma)} \lambda^{\varphi_3(\gamma - \alpha)} \mu^{\varphi_2(\delta - \beta)} \mathcal{R}^*(\lambda, \mu)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}, \quad (72)$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{**}(\lambda, \mu)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mapsto q^{\varphi_1(\beta, \alpha) - \varphi_1(\gamma, \delta)} (\lambda/\mu)^{\varphi_3(\gamma - \alpha)} \mathcal{R}^{**}(\lambda, \mu)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}, \quad (73)$$

where  $\varphi_1$  (resp.  $\varphi_2, \varphi_3$ ) is any bilinear (resp. linear) function. This can be utilized to simplify (64) and (65) to some extent. However there is no bilinear function  $\varphi'(\cdot, \cdot)$  such that the transformation  $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)_\alpha^\gamma \mapsto q^{\varphi'(\gamma, \alpha)} \mathcal{K}(\lambda)_\alpha^\gamma$  combined with (71)–(73) preserves the reflection equation.

## 6. ANOTHER GAUGE

The results in Sections 2 and 3 can also be stated in another gauge which suits the study of the limit  $q \rightarrow 0$  in relation to the crystal theory [8].

**6.1. Representation  $\pi_{l,z}^\vee$  and associated  $R$  matrices.** Consider the representation ([13, eq.(2)], [12, eq.(3.14)])

$$\pi_{l,z}^\vee : U_q \rightarrow \text{End}(V_{l,z}^\vee), \quad V_{l,z}^\vee = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in B_l} \mathbb{C}(q, z) v_\alpha^\vee, \quad (74)$$

$$e_j v_\alpha^\vee = z^{\delta_{j0}} [\alpha_j] v_{\alpha - \mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_{j+1}}^\vee, \quad f_j v_\alpha^\vee = z^{-\delta_{j0}} [\alpha_{j+1}] v_{\alpha + \mathbf{e}_j - \mathbf{e}_{j+1}}^\vee, \quad k_j v_\alpha^\vee = q^{-\alpha_j + \alpha_{j+1}} v_\alpha^\vee, \quad (75)$$

where again  $\pi_{l,z}^\vee(g)$  are abbreviated to  $g$ . It is easy to see the equivalence

$$\pi_{l,z}^* \simeq \pi_{l,(-q)^{n_z}}^\vee \quad \text{via the identification } v_\alpha^\vee = (-q)^{\{\alpha\}} \prod_{i=1}^n (q^2; q^2)_{\alpha_i} v_\alpha^* \quad (76)$$

by means of  $\{\alpha \pm (\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_{i+1})\} - \{\alpha\} = \pm(-1 + n\delta_{i0})$ . See (4) for the definition of the symbol  $\{\alpha\}$ . Denote the counterparts of the  $R$  matrices in (13) and (14) by

$$R^\vee(x/y) : V_{l,x}^\vee \otimes V_{m,y} \rightarrow V_{m,y} \otimes V_{l,x}^\vee, \quad (\pi_{m,y} \otimes \pi_{l,x}^\vee) R^\vee(x/y) = R^\vee(x/y) (\pi_{l,x}^\vee \otimes \pi_{m,y}), \quad (77)$$

$$R^{\vee\vee}(x/y) : V_{l,x}^\vee \otimes V_{m,y}^\vee \rightarrow V_{m,y}^\vee \otimes V_{l,x}^\vee, \quad (\pi_{m,y}^\vee \otimes \pi_{l,x}^\vee) R^{\vee\vee}(x/y) = R^{\vee\vee}(x/y) (\pi_{l,x}^\vee \otimes \pi_{m,y}^\vee). \quad (78)$$

Under the normalization  $R^\vee(z)_{l\mathbf{e}_1, m\mathbf{e}_1}^{l\mathbf{e}_1, m\mathbf{e}_1} = R^{\vee\vee}(z)_{l\mathbf{e}_1, m\mathbf{e}_1}^{l\mathbf{e}_1, m\mathbf{e}_1} = 1$  as in (18), their matrix elements are given by

$$R^\vee(z)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} = \delta_{\alpha - \beta}^{\gamma - \delta} (-q)^{\{\beta - \delta\}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(q^2; q^2)_{\beta_i}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\delta_i}} A(( -q)^n z^{-1})_{\delta, \alpha}^{\beta, \gamma}, \quad R^{\vee\vee}(z)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} = \delta_{\alpha + \beta}^{\gamma + \delta} A(z)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}. \quad (79)$$

The above formula for  $R^{\vee\vee}(z)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}$  was obtained in [4] extending the result of [13]. The one for  $R^\vee(z)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}$  and (23)–(25) can be deduced from it by applying the crossing symmetry and the results in [12] especially eqs.(2.7), (2.42) and Th.3.1 therein. The Yang-Baxter equations (26)–(29) with  $*$  replaced by  $\vee$  are valid.

**6.2.  $K$  matrix and reflection equation.** From now on, we set

$$q = -p^2$$

but allow coexistence of  $q$  and  $p$  when it eases the presentation. Let  $\mathcal{B}'_q$  be the right coideal subalgebra of  $U_q$  generated by

$$b'_i = e_i + qk_i f_i + \frac{p}{1 - q} k_i \in U_q \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}_n). \quad (80)$$

This is related to  $b_i$  in (30) via  $b'_i = -p^{-1}\omega(b_i)$  where  $\omega$  denotes the automorphism mentioned in Remark 2 with  $\forall \mu_i = p$ . Let

$$K'(z) : V_{l,z} \rightarrow V_{l,z^{-1}}^\vee, \quad K'(z)v_\alpha = \sum_{\gamma \in B_l} K'(z)_{\alpha}^{\gamma} v_\gamma^\vee \quad (81)$$

be the unique map satisfying the intertwining relation

$$K'(b)\pi_{l,z}(b) = \pi_{l,z^{-1}}^\vee(b)K'(z) \quad (b \in \mathcal{B}'_q) \quad (82)$$

and the normalization  $K'(z)_{l\mathbf{e}_1}^{l\mathbf{e}_1} = 1$ . From the construction so far we find that its matrix elements are related to those of  $K(z)$  as

$$K'(z)_\alpha^\gamma = p^{\{\alpha-\gamma\}} \frac{(q^2; q^2)_l}{\prod_{i=1}^n (q^2; q^2)_{\gamma_i}} K(p^n z)_\alpha^\gamma \quad (\alpha, \gamma \in B_l). \quad (83)$$

Similarly to (37), it satisfies the reflection equation

$$K'_1(x)R^\vee((xy)^{-1})K'_1(y)R(xy^{-1}) = R^{\vee\vee}(xy^{-1})K'_1(y)R^\vee((xy)^{-1})K'_1(x) \quad (84)$$

as linear operators  $V_{l,x} \otimes V_{m,y} \rightarrow V_{l,x^{-1}}^\vee \otimes V_{m,y^{-1}}^\vee$ .

**6.3. Combinatorial  $R$  and  $K$  at  $q = 0$ .** At  $q = 0$ , the  $R$  matrices survive nontrivially as

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} R(z)_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} = \theta(R(\beta \otimes \alpha) = \gamma \otimes \delta) z^{-Q_0(\beta,\alpha)}, \quad (85)$$

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} R^\vee(z)_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} / R^\vee(z)_{l\mathbf{e}_1, m\mathbf{e}_2}^{l\mathbf{e}_1, m\mathbf{e}_2} = \theta(R^\vee(\beta \otimes \alpha) = \gamma \otimes \delta) z^{-P_0(\beta,\alpha)}, \quad (86)$$

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} R^{\vee\vee}(z)_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} = \theta(R^{\vee\vee}(\beta \otimes \alpha) = \gamma \otimes \delta) z^{-Q_0(\alpha,\beta)}, \quad (87)$$

where  $P_i(\alpha, \beta) = \min(\alpha_{i+1}, \beta_{i+1})$ ,  $Q_i(\alpha, \beta) = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j < k} \alpha_{i+j} + \sum_{k < j \leq n} \beta_{i+j} \right\}$ . The denominator in the second formula is given by  $R^\vee(z)_{l\mathbf{e}_1, m\mathbf{e}_2}^{l\mathbf{e}_1, m\mathbf{e}_2} = ((-q)^{1-n} z)^m \frac{(q^{l-m+n} z^{-1}; q^2)_m}{(q^{l-m-n+z}; q^2)_m}$  from (79). In the RHS, we regard  $\alpha, \gamma \in B_l, \beta, \delta \in B_m$  as elements of *crystals* [8], and  $R, R^\vee, R^{\vee\vee}$  denote the classical part of the *combinatorial R*'s defined in eqs.(2.1), (2.2) and (2.4) in [14], respectively. They are nontrivial bijections  $B_m \times B_l \rightarrow B_l \times B_m$  obeying the Yang-Baxter equations [14, eq.(2.7)]. The quantities  $P_i(\alpha, \beta), Q_i(\alpha, \beta)$  are versions of *energy functions* and known to play an important role [9, 17, 14].

As for the  $K$  matrix (83), it has the following behavior at  $q = -p^2 = 0$ :

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} K'(z)_\alpha^\gamma / K'(z)_{l\mathbf{e}_2}^{l\mathbf{e}_1} = \theta(\gamma = \sigma(\alpha)) z^{\alpha^1}. \quad (88)$$

The denominator here can be written down explicitly from (53) and (83). The transformation  $\alpha \mapsto \gamma = \sigma(\alpha)$  viewed as a bijection on  $B_l$  essentially reproduces the combinatorial  $K$  matrix introduced in [14, eq.(2.8)] to formulate the box-ball system with reflecting end. Together with the combinatorial  $R$ 's in the above, it forms a set-theoretical solution to the reflection equation. The latter is known to admit a further generalization to the birational maps [14, App.A]. We conclude that the reflection equation (84), after exchange of the two components, achieves a *q-melting* of the combinatorial reflection equation [14, eq.(2.13)].

**Example 7.** Let  $n = 5$ . We denote  $v_{(2,1,0,2,0)} \in V_{5,z}$  by one-row semistandard tableau 11244 and similarly  $v_{(0,1,0,3,1)}^\vee \in V_{5,z}^\vee$  by  $\bar{2}\bar{4}\bar{4}\bar{4}\bar{5}$ , etc. With a proper normalization at  $q = 0$ , the action of the two sides of (84) <sub>$x=y=1$</sub>  on a base vector  $12235 \otimes 124 \in V_{5,1} \otimes V_{3,1}$  proceed, according to (85)–(88), as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 12235 \otimes 124 &\xrightarrow{R} 235 \otimes 11224 \xrightarrow{K'_1} \bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{4} \otimes 11224 \xrightarrow{R^\vee} 11235 \otimes \bar{1}\bar{3}\bar{5} \xrightarrow{K'_1} \bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{4}\bar{5}\bar{5} \otimes \bar{1}\bar{3}\bar{5}, \\ 12235 \otimes 124 &\xrightarrow{K'_1} \bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{4}\bar{5} \otimes 124 \xrightarrow{R^\vee} 135 \otimes \bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{3}\bar{5}\bar{5} \xrightarrow{K'_1} \bar{2}\bar{4}\bar{5} \otimes \bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{3}\bar{5}\bar{5} \xrightarrow{R^{\vee\vee}} \bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{4}\bar{5}\bar{5} \otimes \bar{1}\bar{3}\bar{5}. \end{aligned}$$

The agreement of the output is an example of the set-theoretical reflection equation [14].

## 7. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

In Theorem 1 we have characterized a  $K$  matrix as the intertwiner of the coideal subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}_q$  of  $U_q(A_{n-1}^{(1)})$  generated by (30). By construction, it satisfies the reflection equation (37). In Theorem 3 we have constructed it in a matrix product form in terms of terminating  $q$ -hypergeometric series of  $q$ -boson generators.

At  $q = 0$ , the  $K$  matrix here reproduces one of the set-theoretical  $K$  matrices called ‘‘Rotateleft’’ in [14, eq.(2.10)]. When  $n$  is even, there are further solutions known as ‘‘Switch<sub>1 $n$</sub> ’’ and ‘‘Switch<sub>1 $2$</sub> ’’ [14, eqs.(2.11), (2.12)] which also admit decent generalizations into geometric versions [14, app.A]. To incorporate them into the framework of this Letter, possibly with some other coideal subalgebra, is a natural problem to be addressed. Another important theme is to explore the 3D aspects of the matrix

product (Theorem 3) from the viewpoint of [15]. It amounts to embedding the relations among the operators  $G_i^j$  (42) into some sort of *quantized* reflection equation. We hope to report on these issues elsewhere.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Vladimir Mangazeev, Zengo Tsuboi and Bart Vlaar for comments. A.K. is supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 18H01141 from JSPS. M.O. is supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 15K13429 and No. 16H03922 from JSPS.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Baseilhac, S. Belliard, Generalized  $q$ -Onsager algebras and boundary affine Toda field theories, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **93** 213–228 (2010).
- [2] M. T. Batchelor, V. Fridkin, A. Kuniba, Y. K. Zhou, Solutions of the reflection equation for face and vertex models associated with  $A_n^{(1)}$ ,  $B_n^{(1)}$ ,  $C_n^{(1)}$ ,  $D_n^{(1)}$  and  $A_n^{(2)}$ , *Phys. Lett.* **B376** 266–274 (1996).
- [3] R. J. Baxter, *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics*, Dover, New York City (2007).
- [4] G. Bosnjak, V. V. Mangazeev, Construction of  $R$ -matrices for symmetric tensor representations related to  $U_q(\widehat{sl}_n)$ , *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **49** 495204 (2016).
- [5] I. V. Cherednik, Factorizing particles on a half-line and root systems, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **61** 35–44 (1984).
- [6] G. W. Delius, N. J. MacKay, Affine quantum groups, *Encyclopedia of Mathematical Physics*, Elsevier, Amsterdam (2006).
- [7] G. M. Gandenberger, New non-diagonal solutions to the  $a_n^{(1)}$  boundary Yang-Baxter equation, arXiv:hep-th/9911178.
- [8] M. Kashiwara, On crystal bases of the  $q$ -analogue of universal enveloping algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **63** 465–516 (1991).
- [9] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, K. C. Misra, T. Miwa, T. Nakashima and A. Nakayashiki, Affine crystals and vertex models, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **7** (suppl. 1A), 449–484 (1992).
- [10] S. Kolb, Quantum symmetric Kac-Moody pairs. *Adv. Math.* **267** 395–469 (2014).
- [11] P. P. Kulish, *Yang-Baxter equation and reflection equations in integrable models*, In: *Low-dimensional models in statistical physics and quantum field theory Lecture Notes Physics*, **469** 125–144, Schladming (1995).
- [12] A. Kuniba, Tetrahedron equation and quantum  $R$  matrices for  $q$ -oscillator representations mixing particles and holes, *SIGMA* **14** (067), 23 pages (2018).
- [13] A. Kuniba, V. V. Mangazeev, S. Maruyama, M. Okado, Stochastic  $R$  matrix for  $U_q(A_n^{(1)})$ , *Nucl. Phys.* **B913** 248–277 (2016).
- [14] A. Kuniba, M. Okado, Y. Yamada, Box-ball system with reflecting end, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **12** 475–507 (2005).
- [15] A. Kuniba, V. Pasquier, Matrix product solutions to the reflection equation from three dimensional integrability, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **51** (255204) 26 pages, (2018).
- [16] R. Malara, A. Lima-Santos, On  $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $B_n^{(1)}$ ,  $C_n^{(1)}$ ,  $D_n^{(1)}$ ,  $A_{2n}^{(2)}$ ,  $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$  and  $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$  reflection  $K$ -matrices, *J. Stat. Mech.* **0609** P09013 (2006).
- [17] A. Nakayashiki, Y. Yamada, Kostka polynomials and energy functions in solvable lattice models, *Selecta Mathematica, New Ser.* **3** 547–599 (1997).
- [18] R. I. Nepomechie, A. L. Retore, Surveying the quantum group symmetries of integrable open spin chains, arXiv:1802.04864.
- [19] V. Regelskis, B. Vlaar, Reflection matrices, coideal subalgebras and generalized Satake diagrams of affine type, arXiv:1602.08471.
- [20] E. K. Sklyanin, Boundary conditions for integrable quantum systems, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **21** 2375–2389 (1988).

ATSUO KUNIBA, INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KOMABA, TOKYO 153-8902, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* atsuo.s.kuniba@gmail.com

MASATO OKADO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY, OSAKA, 558-8585, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* okado@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

AKIHITO YONEYAMA, INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KOMABA, TOKYO 153-8902, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* yoneyama@gokutan.c.u-tokyo.ac.jp