

A unifying approach to first-passage time distributions in diffusing diffusivity and switching diffusion models

Denis S. Grebenkov

E-mail: denis.grebenkov@polytechnique.edu
Laboratoire de Physique de la Matière Condensée (UMR 7643),
CNRS – Ecole Polytechnique, University Paris-Saclay, 91128 Palaiseau, France

Abstract. We propose a unifying theoretical framework for the analysis of first-passage time distributions in two important classes of stochastic processes in which the diffusivity of a particle evolves randomly in time. In the first class of “diffusing diffusivity” models, the diffusivity changes continuously via a prescribed stochastic equation. In turn, the diffusivity switches randomly between discrete values in the second class of “switching diffusion” models. For both cases, we quantify the impact of the diffusivity dynamics onto the first-passage time distribution of a particle via the moment-generating function of the integrated diffusivity. We provide general formulas and some explicit solutions for some particular cases of practical interest.

PACS numbers: 02.50.-r, 05.40.-a, 02.70.Rr, 05.10.Gg

Keywords: diffusing diffusivity; switching diffusion; escape problem; first-passage time; diffusion-limited reaction

Submitted to: *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*

1. Introduction

An accurate description of biochemical reactions occurring in a heterogeneous, dynamically re-arranging intracellular environment is a long-standing problem [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. Various theoretical aspects of the underlying intracellular transport have been intensively studied over the past twenty years [7, 8]. In particular, different theoretical models have been proposed to account for molecular caging in the overcrowded cytoplasm [9, 10, 11, 12], viscoelastic properties of the cytoskeleton polymer network [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18], structural organization inside the cell [19], random diffusivity [20], and intermittent character of the motion [21, 22]. All these mechanisms affect the dynamics of molecules inside the cell, determine the statistics of their first-passage times (FPT) to the binding sites, and thus control the associated biochemical reactions.

Recently, we proposed a theoretical framework for investigating diffusion-limited reactions in dynamic heterogeneous media [23]. Modeling the effect of a rapidly re-arranging medium as random changes of the amplitude of thermal fluctuations felt locally by the tracer is based on the concept of diffusing diffusivity introduced by Chubynsky and Slater [24] and later explored by several authors [25, 26, 27, 28, 29]. Here, the diffusivity D_t of the tracer is considered as a stochastic process, which is independent of the tracer's position. For this annealed model, we derived a general expansion for the propagator $P(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0, D_0)$ of the tracer, i.e., the probability density of finding the tracer, started from \mathbf{x}_0 at time 0 with the initial diffusivity D_0 , in a vicinity of a point \mathbf{x} at time t :

$$P(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0, D_0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n^*(\mathbf{x}_0) u_n(\mathbf{x}) \Upsilon(t; \lambda_n | D_0), \quad (1)$$

where the sum runs over all eigenvalues λ_n and L_2 -normalized eigenfunctions $u_n(\mathbf{x})$ of the Laplace operator Δ in a confined bounded medium $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $\Delta u_n + \lambda_n u_n = 0$, with mixed Dirichlet/Neumann boundary conditions on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of Ω [23]. As usual, the Dirichlet condition, $u_n(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ at $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma \subset \partial\Omega$, accounts for a perfectly reactive sink Γ on the boundary, whereas the Neumann condition $\partial_{\mathbf{n}_x} u_n(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ at $\mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma$ describes an inert reflecting wall (an obstacle) on the remaining part of the boundary (here $\partial_{\mathbf{n}_x}$ is the normal derivative at the boundary point \mathbf{x} directed outward the domain).

While the structural and reactive properties of the medium are captured via the Laplacian eigenfunctions and eigenvalues [30], the function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda | D_0)$ introduces the annealed disorder and couples it to the dynamics of the tracer. The function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda | D_0)$ was shown to be the Laplace transform of the probability density function of the integrated diffusivity

$$T_t = \int_0^t dt' D_{t'}, \quad (2)$$

with the initial value D_0 . For homogeneous diffusion with a constant diffusivity D_0 , one gets $\Upsilon(t; \lambda) = \exp(-D_0 t \lambda)$ and retrieves the standard spectral expansion of the

propagator [31, 32]. If the initial diffusivity D_0 is chosen randomly from a prescribed distribution (e.g., the stationary distribution), the average of Eq. (1) yields the spectral expansion for the conventional propagator $P(\mathbf{x}, t|\mathbf{x}_0)$

$$P(\mathbf{x}, t|\mathbf{x}_0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n^*(\mathbf{x}_0) u_n(\mathbf{x}) \Upsilon(t; \lambda_n), \quad (3)$$

in which $\Upsilon(t; \lambda_n)$ is the average of $\Upsilon(t; \lambda|D_0)$, see below.

From the expansion (1), one easily gets the survival probability of the tracer, the macroscopic reaction rate and other quantities of interest. For instance, for a tracer started at \mathbf{x}_0 , the probability density of the first-passage time to the binding site Γ reads

$$\rho(t|\mathbf{x}_0) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n^*(\mathbf{x}_0) \partial_t \Upsilon(t; \lambda_n) \int_{\Omega} d\mathbf{x} u_n(\mathbf{x}). \quad (4)$$

The impact of dynamic heterogeneities onto the first-passage time density is thus controlled by the function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$. When the diffusing diffusivity is modeled by a Feller process [33] (also known as the square root process or the Cox-Ingersoll-Ross process [34]), an explicit form of the function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$ was derived in [28] (see also Sec. 2.1). For this model, we obtained the asymptotic behavior of the probability density $\rho(t|\mathbf{x}_0)$ and showed how the annealed disorder broadens the first-passage time distribution [23].

In the present paper, we further develop this theoretical approach by considering a general form of the stochastic equation for the diffusing diffusivity. Relying on the Feynman-Kac formula for the function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda|D_0)$, we build a general framework for studying diffusion-limited reactions and the related first-passage time problems in the realm of diffusing diffusivity models (Sec. 2). In particular, we recall the main formulas for the Feller process and we derive new ones for the reflected Brownian motion on an interval. Moreover, we present similar results for another important class of models, in which a diffusing particle randomly switches between states with different diffusivities (Sec. 3). Such switching diffusion models are often employed to describe the dynamics in biological systems [22, 35, 36, 37, 38]. Quite naturally, switching diffusion models appear as discretized versions of diffusing diffusivity models. We formalize this connection by relating switching rates to the drift and volatility coefficients of the stochastic equation determining D_t . In this way, one gets a computationally efficient way to access the statistics of the first-passage time in both types of models.

2. Diffusing diffusivity models

We consider a particle diffusing in a d -dimensional dynamic heterogeneous environment, whose effect is modeled via a diffusing diffusivity D_t which obeys a general stochastic equation in the Itô convention:

$$dD_t = \mu(D_t, t)dt + \sigma(D_t, t)dW_t, \quad (5)$$

subject to the initial condition $D_{t_0} = D_0$, where W_t is the standard Wiener process, and functions $\mu(D, t)$ and $\sigma(D, t)$ represent drift and volatility of D_t , respectively. In turn, the position of the particle, \mathbf{X}_t , obeys another stochastic equation,

$$d\mathbf{X}_t = \sqrt{2D_t} d\mathbf{W}_t, \quad (6)$$

in which $\mathbf{W}_t = (W_t^1, \dots, W_t^d)$ is formed by independent Wiener processes W_t^i . In this basic setting that we employ throughout the paper, the particle undergoes locally isotropic diffusion driven by instantaneous interactions with the thermal bath (modeled by Gaussian noises dW_t^i) whose amplitude $\sqrt{2D_t}$ evolves with time. To account for inert impermeable walls or obstacles, a singular drift term should be added to the stochastic equation (6), see [39, 40] for technical details. More generally, one can include local anisotropy and external forces into Eq. (6) in a standard way [41]. These extensions would change the governing second-order differential operator and thus be incorporated via the modified eigenvalues and eigenfunctions, as for homogeneous diffusion. In contrast, the effect of rapid re-arrangements of the medium on larger length scales is captured by the diffusing diffusivity D_t , which is considered to be independent of local thermal noises dW_t^i . Most importantly, the stochastic equation (5) does not depend on the position \mathbf{X}_t of the tracer. As a consequence, one can study separately the dynamics of the diffusivity and then subordinate the dynamics of the tracer according to Eqs. (1, 4).

For this purpose, one needs to evaluate the moment-generating function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda|D_0, t_0)$, which is the Laplace transform of the probability density $Q(t, T|D_0, t_0)$ of the integrated diffusivity T_t defined in Eq. (2):

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon(t; \lambda|D_0, t_0) &= \mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left(-\lambda \int_{t_0}^t dt' D_{t'}\right) \middle| D_{t_0} = D_0\right\} \\ &= \int_0^\infty dT e^{-\lambda T} Q(t; T|D_0, t_0), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

given that the initial diffusivity at t_0 is D_0 . This function satisfies the Feynman-Kac formula

$$\left(\partial_{t_0} + \mu(D_0, t_0)\partial_{D_0} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(D_0, t_0)\partial_{D_0}^2 - \lambda D_0\right)\Upsilon(t; \lambda|D_0, t_0) = 0, \quad (8)$$

subject to the terminal condition

$$\Upsilon(t; \lambda|D_0, t_0 = t) = 1, \quad (9)$$

an appropriate boundary condition at $D_0 = 0$, and a regularity condition $\Upsilon(t; \lambda|D_0, t_0) \rightarrow 0$ as $D_0 \rightarrow \infty$. The boundary condition at $D_0 = 0$ should ensure that the diffusivity remains nonnegative. Following the discussion in [28, 23], we impose the no-flux boundary condition to maintain the normalization of the probability density for diffusivity. For the backward equation, this condition reads

$$\left(\partial_{D_0}\Upsilon(t; \lambda|D_0, t_0)\right)\Big|_{D_0=0} = 0. \quad (10)$$

We note that the terminal condition (9) postulates the average over the diffusivity at time t . If one was interesting in knowing the value of diffusivity at time t , $D_t = D$, the terminal condition would be replaced by $\Upsilon(t; \lambda | D_0, t_0 = t) = \delta(D - D_0)$. In particular, substituting such $\Upsilon(t; \lambda | D_0, t_0)$ into the spectral expansion Eq. (1) would yield the full propagator $P(\mathbf{x}, D, t | \mathbf{x}_0, D_0, t_0)$ characterizing both the position and the diffusivity of the particle. However, we do not consider this extension in the paper.

In the remaining part of the paper, we focus on the case of diffusing diffusivity that is homogeneous in time, i.e., the drift and volatility coefficients are time-independent:

$$\mu(D, t) = \mu(D), \quad \sigma(D, t) = \sigma(D). \quad (11)$$

In this case, the solution of Eq. (8) depends on the difference $t - t_0$, i.e., $\Upsilon(t; \lambda | D_0, t_0) = \Upsilon(t - t_0; \lambda | D_0, 0)$, so that one can replace ∂_{t_0} by $-\partial_t$ and then set $t_0 = 0$:

$$\left(\partial_t - \mu(D_0) \partial_{D_0} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(D_0) \partial_{D_0}^2 + \lambda D_0 \right) \Upsilon(t; \lambda | D_0) = 0, \quad (12)$$

subject to the initial condition $\Upsilon(t = 0; \lambda | D_0) = 1$ and the same boundary condition (10) and regularity condition (note that we omitted $t_0 = 0$ in $\Upsilon(t; \lambda | D_0)$). This equation for the function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda | D_0)$ can also be used to derive equations for the moments of the integrated diffusivity T_t . In a standard way, substituting the expansion

$$\Upsilon(t; \lambda | D_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \lambda^n \langle T_t^n | D_0 \rangle \quad (13)$$

into Eq. (12) and grouping the terms of the same order in λ yield a set of equations

$$\left(\partial_t - \mu(D_0) \partial_{D_0} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(D_0) \partial_{D_0}^2 \right) \langle T_t^n | D_0 \rangle = D_0 \langle T_t^{n-1} | D_0 \rangle \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots), \quad (14)$$

subject to the initial condition $\langle T_0^n | D_0 \rangle = 0$ and former boundary conditions. In particular, the mean integrated diffusivity obeys

$$\left(\partial_t - \mu(D_0) \partial_{D_0} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(D_0) \partial_{D_0}^2 \right) \langle T_t | D_0 \rangle = D_0. \quad (15)$$

When there exists a unique equilibrium distribution of diffusivity, $p_{\text{eq}}(D)$, at which the probability flux of the associated forward Fokker-Planck equation (with $\lambda = 0$) vanishes, i.e.

$$J_{\text{eq}}(D) = \mu(D) p_{\text{eq}}(D) - \partial_D \left(\frac{\sigma^2(D)}{2} p_{\text{eq}}(D) \right) = 0, \quad (16)$$

it is convenient to average over the initial diffusivity D_0 drawn from this equilibrium density:

$$\Upsilon(t; \lambda) = \int_0^{\infty} dD_0 \Upsilon(t; \lambda | D_0) p_{\text{eq}}(D_0). \quad (17)$$

2.1. Example: a Feller process

In [28, 23], we studied in detail the diffusing diffusivity modeled by a Feller process, for which

$$\mu(D, t) = (\bar{D} - D)/\tau, \quad \sigma(D, t) = \sigma\sqrt{2\bar{D}}, \quad (18)$$

with three parameters: the mean diffusivity \bar{D} , the relaxation time scale τ , and the amplitude of diffusivity fluctuations σ . In particular, we obtained‡

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon(t; \lambda|D_0) &= \left(\frac{2\omega e^{-(\omega-1)t/(2\tau)}}{\omega + 1 + (\omega - 1)e^{-\omega t/\tau}} \right)^\nu \\ &\times \exp \left(\frac{D_0(\omega + 1)}{2\sigma^2\tau} \left(1 - \frac{2\omega}{\omega + 1 + (\omega - 1)e^{-\omega t/\tau}} \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

and

$$\Upsilon(t; \lambda) = \left(\frac{4\omega e^{-(\omega-1)t/(2\tau)}}{(\omega + 1)^2 - (\omega - 1)^2 e^{-\omega t/\tau}} \right)^\nu, \quad (20)$$

with $\omega = \sqrt{1 + 4\sigma^2\tau^2\lambda}$, $\nu = \bar{D}/(\tau\sigma^2)$, and the equilibrium diffusivity is known to follow the Gamma distribution:

$$p_{\text{eq}}(D) = \frac{\nu^\nu D^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma(\nu)\bar{D}^\nu} \exp(-\nu D/\bar{D}). \quad (21)$$

The first-passage and extreme value properties of the Feller process itself were studied earlier in [42, 43, 44].

2.2. Example: reflected Brownian motion

Chubynsky and Slater first introduced the diffusing diffusivity qualitatively as reflected Brownian motion on the positive half-line [24]. To avoid an unlimited growth of diffusivity, it is more natural to consider Brownian motion on an interval $(0, D_m)$ with two reflecting endpoints. We explore this case with

$$\mu(D, t) = 0, \quad \sigma(D, t) = \sigma, \quad (22)$$

so that Eq. (12) becomes

$$\left(\partial_t - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \partial_{D_0}^2 + \lambda D_0 \right) \Upsilon(t; \lambda|D_0) = 0, \quad (23)$$

subject to the initial condition $\Upsilon(t=0; \lambda|D_0) = 1$ and two boundary conditions:

$$\left(\partial_{D_0} \Upsilon(t; \lambda|D_0) \right) \Big|_{D_0=0} = \left(\partial_{D_0} \Upsilon(t; \lambda|D_0) \right) \Big|_{D_0=D_m} = 0. \quad (24)$$

The amplitude of fluctuations, σ , strongly affects the diffusivity dynamics. In the limit $\sigma \rightarrow 0$, fluctuations are suppressed, and one deals with a constant initial diffusivity D_0 . In the opposite limit $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$, the diffusivity switches so rapidly between different values in $(0, D_m)$ that its behavior resembles a constant mean diffusivity $D_m/2$.

‡ Three misprints were found in [28] in inline equations after Eq. (6), compare them with our corrected Eq. (19). These misprints did not affect the remaining content of Ref. [28].

The equation (23) admits a standard spectral solution in terms of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the associated differential operator $\mathbf{L} = \partial_{D_0}^2 - \alpha^3 D_0$ (with $\alpha^3 = 2\lambda/\sigma^2$). One can search for such an eigenpair γ and $v(D_0)$ in the form

$$v(D_0) = C \left[\text{Bi}'(-\beta\gamma) \text{Ai}(\alpha D_0 - \beta\gamma) - \text{Ai}'(-\beta\gamma) \text{Bi}(\alpha D_0 - \beta\gamma) \right], \quad (25)$$

where $\text{Ai}(z)$ and $\text{Bi}(z)$ are two linearly independent Airy functions, prime denotes the derivative, $\beta = 1/\alpha^2$, and C is a normalization constant which is fixed by imposing the L_2 -normalization of the eigenfunction:

$$\int_0^{D_m} dD v^2(D) = 1 \quad (26)$$

(see Refs. [45, 46] for a more detailed analysis of a similar problem). This integral can be evaluated using the Airy equation and the reflecting boundary conditions:

$$\int_0^{D_m} dD v^2(D) = \frac{(\alpha D_m - \beta\gamma)v^2(D_m) + \beta\gamma v^2(0)}{\alpha}, \quad (27)$$

from which C can be expressed as

$$C = \pi\sqrt{\alpha} \left(\beta\gamma + (\alpha D_m - \beta\gamma) \left(\frac{\text{Bi}'(-\beta\gamma)}{\text{Bi}'(\alpha D_m - \beta\gamma)} \right)^2 \right)^{-1/2}, \quad (28)$$

where we used the Wronskian of Airy functions and reflected boundary conditions (note also that $v(0) = C/\pi$ and $v(D_m) = C\text{Bi}'(-\beta\gamma)/(\pi\text{Bi}'(\alpha D_m - \beta\gamma))$).

The form (25) already satisfies the reflecting boundary condition at $D_0 = 0$. The eigenvalue γ is determined from the second boundary condition at $D_0 = D_m$ that implies

$$\text{Ai}'(-\beta\gamma)\text{Bi}'(\alpha D_m - \beta\gamma) - \text{Ai}'(\alpha D_m - \beta\gamma)\text{Bi}'(-\beta\gamma) = 0. \quad (29)$$

As $-\alpha^3 D_0$ is a bounded perturbation of the double derivative operator on an interval, the spectrum of the operator \mathbf{L} is discrete, i.e., there are infinitely many solutions γ_k of Eq. (29) that we enumerate by index $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The associated eigenfunctions v_k form a complete orthonormal basis in $L_2(0, D_m)$. As a consequence, the solution of Eq. (23) can be decomposed on this basis as

$$\Upsilon(t; \lambda|D_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma^2 t \gamma_k / 2} v_k(D_0) \int_0^{D_m} dD v_k(D). \quad (30)$$

If the initial diffusivity D_0 is drawn from the equilibrium density p_{eq} (which is uniform in this setting), one gets

$$\Upsilon(t; \lambda) = \frac{1}{D_m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma^2 t \gamma_k / 2} \left(\int_0^{D_m} dD v_k(D) \right)^2. \quad (31)$$

From the moment-generating function, one can compute the moments of the integrated diffusivity. One can either perform the asymptotic analysis of Eq. (30) as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, or

solve directly Eq. (15) with $\mu = 0$, subject to the reflecting boundary conditions at 0 and D_m . In the latter case, an expansion of $\langle T_t | D_0 \rangle$ over the complete basis of cosine functions on $(0, D_m)$ leads to

$$\langle T_t | D_0 \rangle = \frac{D_m}{2}t + \frac{4D_m^3}{\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - (-1)^n)(1 - e^{-\pi^2 n^2 \sigma^2 t / (2D_m^2)})}{\pi^4 n^4} \cos(\pi n D_0 / D_m). \quad (32)$$

In the short-time limit, one retrieves $\langle T_t | D_0 \rangle \simeq D_0 t$, whereas in the long-time limit, one gets

$$\langle T_t | D_0 \rangle \simeq \frac{D_m}{2}t + \frac{4D_0^3 - 6D_0^2 D_m + D_m^3}{12\sigma^2}, \quad (33)$$

with the expected dominant behavior $D_m t / 2$. Higher-order moments obeying Eqs. (14) can be found in the same way.

In sharp contrast to the fully explicit solution (19) for the Feller process, the solution (30) requires a numerical computation of eigenvalues γ_k which depend implicitly on the parameter λ . As the propagator $P(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0)$ in Eq. (1) also involves a spectral decomposition over the Laplacian eigenvalues λ_n in a bounded domain, the eigenvalues γ_k should be evaluated for each λ_n that makes this solution computationally demanding and impractical. At the same time, this solution allows one to analyze the asymptotic behavior of $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$ and all related quantities as we briefly illustrate below.

For small λ , the term $-\alpha^3 D_0$ can be considered as a small perturbation of the double derivative in the operator $\mathbf{L} = \partial_{D_0}^2 - \alpha^3 D_0$ so that the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of \mathbf{L} are close to that of the double derivative operator. The perturbation theory yields thus

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k &= \pi^2 k^2 / D_m^2 + \frac{2 - \delta_{k,0}}{D_m} \int_0^{D_m} dD \cos^2(\pi k D / D_m) \alpha^3 D + O(\lambda^2) \\ &= \pi^2 k^2 / D_m^2 + \lambda D_m / \sigma^2 + O(\lambda^2) \quad (\lambda \ll \sigma^2 / D_m^3). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

As expected, the correction terms are small for the modes with $k = 1, 2, \dots$, whereas the correction term is dominant for the constant mode with $k = 0$. In this limit, one gets

$$\Upsilon(t; \lambda) \simeq e^{-\sigma^2 t \gamma_0 / 2} + O(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda t D_m / 2} + O(\lambda), \quad (35)$$

given that the contribution of other terms is small because the (unperturbed) eigenfunctions $\cos(\pi k D / D_m)$ are orthogonal to 1. This analysis is also applicable in the limit $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$, in which fluctuations are so strong that the model is reduced to homogeneous diffusion with the mean diffusivity $D_m / 2$.

In the opposite limit of large λ , one deals with large α and small β in Eq. (29) so that the function $\text{Bi}'(\alpha D_m - \beta \gamma)$ is exponentially large, whereas the function $\text{Ai}'(\alpha D_m - \beta \gamma)$ is exponentially small. As a consequence, zeros of Eq. (29) are very close to the zeros of $\text{Ai}'(-\beta \gamma)$, i.e.,

$$\gamma_k \simeq |a'_k| (\sigma^2 / 2)^{-2/3} \lambda^{2/3} \quad (\lambda \gg \sigma^2 / D_m^3), \quad (36)$$

where a'_k are the zeros of the derivative of the Airy function (e.g., $a'_0 \approx -1.0188$). We conclude that both $\Upsilon(t; \lambda|D_0)$ and $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$ decay with λ in a stretched-exponential way.

One can see that the ratio σ^2/D_m^3 , setting the borderline between two asymptotic limits (34, 36), introduces a characteristic length of dynamic disorder, $\sqrt{D_m^3/\sigma^2}$. This length scale is compared in Eqs. (1, 4) to the diffusion length $\sqrt{D_m t}$ and to the geometric length scales of the reactive medium determined by the eigenvalues $\lambda_n^{-1/2}$. In particular, various asymptotic limits of the first-passage time density can be deduced, in analogy with the results presented in [23] for the case of the diffusivity modeled by a Feller process.

It is instructive to look at the limit $\sigma = 0$, in which the diffusivity does not fluctuate, so that $\Upsilon(t; \lambda|D_0) = e^{-D_0 \lambda t}$, where D_0 is the initial diffusivity. If this diffusivity is randomly chosen from the equilibrium distribution, one gets

$$\Upsilon(t; \lambda) = \frac{1 - e^{-D_m t \lambda}}{D_m t \lambda}. \quad (37)$$

As a consequence, when either t or λ goes to infinity, the function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$ decays slowly (as a power law). This slow decay is a consequence of the superstatistical description: the average over D_0 includes the contribution from particles with arbitrarily small diffusivities. This is drastically different from the stretched-exponential decay with respect to λ and from the exponential decay with respect to t in the presence of fluctuations: even though small diffusivities are still accessible, it is unlikely that the particle keeps such a small diffusivity for a long time.

We also note that the solution of a more general problem of reflected Brownian motion on a shifted interval $(D_1, D_1 + D_m)$ (with $D_1 > 0$) can be easily reduced to our solution by shifting the diffusivity D_t , i.e., by considering $\hat{D}_t = D_t + D_1$, where D_t is modeled by reflected Brownian motion on $(0, D_m)$ as before. The shift by D_1 leads to a constant term $D_1 t$ in the integrated diffusivity so that $\hat{\Upsilon}(t; \lambda) = e^{-D_1 t \lambda} \Upsilon(t; \lambda)$. We note that the explicit factor $e^{-D_1 t \lambda}$ provides the dominant contribution to the decrease of the function $\hat{\Upsilon}(t; \lambda)$ as compared to $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$ in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

2.3. Moments of the position

In [28], the propagator $P(x, t|x_0)$ for diffusion on the line (without boundary) was expressed in terms of the moment-generating function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$ for the Feller process:

$$P(x, t|x_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq}{2\pi} e^{iq(x-x_0)} \Upsilon(t; q^2). \quad (38)$$

The subordination argument [23, 27] supports this relation for any model of diffusing diffusivity. This relation provides thus an additional interpretation of $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$ as the characteristic function of the one-dimensional displacement on a line. In particular, one can easily evaluate the moments of the displacement, e.g.,

$$\langle X_t \rangle = -i \left(\partial_q \Upsilon(t; q^2) \right)_{q=0} = -i \left(2\sqrt{\lambda} \partial_\lambda \Upsilon(t; \lambda) \right)_{\lambda=0} = 0, \quad (39)$$

$$\langle X_t^2 \rangle = - \left(\partial_q^2 \Upsilon(t; q^2) \right)_{q=0} = -2 \left(\partial_\lambda \Upsilon(t; \lambda) \right)_{\lambda=0}, \quad (40)$$

$$\langle X_t^3 \rangle = i \left(\partial_q^3 \Upsilon(t; q^2) \right)_{q=0} = i \left(12\sqrt{\lambda} \partial_\lambda^2 \Upsilon(t; \lambda) + 8\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} \partial_\lambda^3 \Upsilon(t; \lambda) \right)_{\lambda=0} = 0, \quad (41)$$

$$\langle X_t^4 \rangle = \left(\partial_q^4 \Upsilon(t; q^2) \right)_{q=0} = 12 \left(\partial_\lambda^2 \Upsilon(t; \lambda) \right)_{\lambda=0}. \quad (42)$$

As expected, odd moments vanish due to the symmetry of thermal noise dW_t (and independently of the diffusivity model), whereas even moments can be expressed through the moments of the integrated diffusivity

$$\langle X_t^{2n} \rangle = \frac{(2n)!}{n!} \langle T_t^n \rangle. \quad (43)$$

This is an extension of the basic relation for the moments of the homogeneous Gaussian diffusion, for which $\langle T_t^n \rangle = (D_0 t)^n$. From this relation, one easily gets the kurtosis, as well as the non-Gaussian parameter, $\gamma(t) = \langle X_t^4 \rangle / (3 \langle X_t^2 \rangle^2) - 1$. Note that the same relation holds for the moments with a prescribed initial diffusivity D_0 which can be found by solving Eqs. (14):

$$\langle X_t^{2n} | D_0 \rangle = \frac{(2n)!}{n!} \langle T_t^n | D_0 \rangle. \quad (44)$$

In contrast, there is no such a direct relation between the moments $\langle T_t^n \rangle$ and $\langle X_t^{2n} \rangle$ for restricted diffusion.

3. Switching diffusion model

A switching diffusion model, in which a diffusing particle randomly switches between internal states with distinct diffusivities, can be considered as a discrete version of diffusing diffusivity models. According to the subordination argument [23], it is enough to obtain the propagator for one-dimensional switching diffusion on a line, see Eq. (38), whereas its Fourier transform yields the function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$ and thus accesses general FPT problems in arbitrary confined reactive domains in \mathbb{R}^d via Eqs. (1, 4). We consider such a model with J states which are characterized by a set of diffusion coefficients D_i and switching rates k_{ij} (a rigorous mathematical formulation of switching models and some their properties can be found in [22, 37, 47, 48]). We introduce the probability density $P_{i,i_0}(x, t | x_0, t_0)$ of finding the particle in a vicinity of x in the state i at time t , given that it was started from x_0 in the state i_0 at time t_0 . This propagator satisfies the forward Fokker-Planck equation

$$\partial_t P_{i,i_0} = D_i \partial_x^2 P_{i,i_0} + \sum_{j=1}^J k_{ji} P_{j,i_0}, \quad (45)$$

subject to the initial condition: $P_{i,i_0}(x, t = t_0 | x_0, t_0) = \delta_{i,i_0} \delta(x - x_0)$, where we defined $k_{ii} = - \sum_{j \neq i} k_{ij}$. The first term on the right-hand side describes diffusion (with diffusivity D_i), while the second term accounts for switching between different states. In this class of switching models, the dynamics in each internal state is governed by the same

differential operator and differs only by its diffusivity. This is the crucial property that will allow for getting the propagator $P(\mathbf{x}, t|\mathbf{x}_0)$ in Eq. (1) for this model. Such an extension is not directly applicable to other intermittent processes, in which the governing operator changes between states. Moreover, modifications are needed even in the case when the operator remains the same (e.g., the Laplace operator) but the boundary condition changes between states (see, e.g., a two-state model developed in [38], in which the particle reacts with the target only when it is in an “active” state). Similarly, the models of surface-mediated diffusion [49, 50, 51, 52, 53] are not considered here as their switching mechanisms are different.

The Fourier transform reduces the partial differential equations (45) to a set of linear ordinary differential equations that can be solved in a matrix form, from which

$$P_{i,i_0}(x, t|x_0, t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq}{2\pi} e^{-iq(x-x_0)} \left[\exp(-(q^2\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{K}^\dagger)(t - t_0)) \right]_{i,i_0}, \quad (46)$$

where \mathbf{D} is the diagonal $J \times J$ matrix of diffusivities, $\mathbf{D}_{ij} = \delta_{ij}D_i$, and \mathbf{K} is the matrix of switching rates, $\mathbf{K}_{ij} = k_{ij}$. If p_i denotes the probability of starting in the initial state i , the marginal propagator averaged over the initial and arrival states reads

$$P(x, t|x_0, t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq}{2\pi} e^{-iq(x-x_0)} \Upsilon(t - t_0; q^2), \quad (47)$$

where

$$\Upsilon(t; \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \dots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}^\dagger \exp(-(\lambda\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{K}^\dagger)t) \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \\ \dots \\ p_J \end{pmatrix}. \quad (48)$$

Note that the function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda|D_0)$ admits the same form, with p_j being equal to 0 for all states, except for the state with D_0 (for which $p_j = 1$).

Using this relation, one can access the propagator $P(\mathbf{x}, t|\mathbf{x}_0)$ and the first-passage time density $\rho(t|x_0)$ in a general confining domain according to Eqs. (1, 4). We recall that the matrix exponential function in Eq. (48) can be evaluated via diagonalization of the matrix $\lambda\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{K}^\dagger$. A fully explicit solution can be obtained for the two-state switching model (see, e.g., [28, 54]):

$$\Upsilon(t; \lambda) = \frac{e^{-\gamma_+ t}(\bar{D}\lambda - \gamma_-) - e^{-\gamma_- t}(\bar{D}\lambda - \gamma_+)}{\gamma_+ - \gamma_-}, \quad (49)$$

where $\bar{D} = p_1D_1 + p_2D_2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\pm = & \frac{1}{2} \left((D_1 + D_2)\lambda + (k_{12} + k_{21}) \right. \\ & \left. \pm \sqrt{((D_2 - D_1)\lambda + (k_{21} - k_{12}))^2 + 4k_{12}k_{21}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

This rigorous result refines a former discussion of the two-state noise in [55]. For a larger number of states, formulas rapidly become too cumbersome and impractical. In

contrast, a numerical computation of the function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$ via the matrix form (48) remains efficient even for relatively large number of states (up to few thousand).

3.1. Relation to diffusing diffusivity models

In this subsection, we discuss how discretized versions of diffusing diffusivity models with time-independent coefficients $\mu(D)$ and $\sigma(D)$ are related to switching diffusion models. In fact, continuously varying diffusivity D_t can be replaced by a set of discrete values $D_i = i\epsilon$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$), with a discretization step ϵ . Variations of D_t can thus be seen as switching between neighboring states. We briefly discuss two equivalent approaches to formalize this connection.

In the first approach, the switching rates are determined by discretizing the forward Fokker-Planck equation for the probability density $p(D, t|D_0, t_0)$ of the diffusivity D_t (in the Itô convention)

$$\partial_t p(D, t|D_0, t_0) = -\partial_D(\mu(D)p) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_D^2(\sigma^2(D)p), \quad (51)$$

subject to the initial condition $p(D, t = t_0|D_0, t_0) = \delta(D - D_0)$. The discretization of the right-hand side of this equation with a diffusivity step ϵ reads

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t p(D, t) &= \frac{\sigma^2(D + \epsilon)}{2\epsilon^2} p(D + \epsilon, t) - \left[\frac{\sigma^2(D)}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{\mu(D)}{\epsilon} \right] p(D, t) \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{\sigma^2(D - \epsilon)}{2\epsilon^2} + \frac{\mu(D - \epsilon)}{\epsilon} \right] p(D - \epsilon, t). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, the original PDE is approximated by a set of linear ordinary differential equations. These discretized equations for $p(i\epsilon, t|D_0, t_0)$ can be seen as a switching model with multiple states $D_i = i\epsilon$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) and the switching rates

$$k_{i+1,i} = \frac{\sigma_{i+1}^2}{2\epsilon^2}, \quad k_{i-1,i} = \frac{\sigma_{i-1}^2}{2\epsilon^2} + \frac{\mu_{i-1}}{\epsilon}, \quad k_{i,i} = -\frac{\sigma_i^2}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{\mu_i}{\epsilon}, \quad (52)$$

and zero otherwise, where we used the shortcut notations $\sigma_i = \sigma(i\epsilon)$ and $\mu_i = \mu(i\epsilon)$. As the “first” equation for $p(\epsilon, t)$ involves the term $p(0, t)$, one needs to close this system by accounting for the reflecting boundary condition at $D = 0$:

$$0 = J(0) = \left(\mu p - \frac{1}{2}\partial_D(\sigma^2 p) \right) \Big|_{D=0} = \mu(0)p(0, t) - \frac{\sigma^2(\epsilon)p(\epsilon, t) - \sigma^2(0)p(0, t)}{2\epsilon}. \quad (53)$$

Expressing $p(0, t)$ in terms of $p(\epsilon, t)$ leads to a slight modification of the first diagonal element: $k_{1,1} = -\sigma_1^2/(2\epsilon^2) - \mu_1/\epsilon$.

As a numerical solution of an infinitely-dimensional system of equations is not feasible, one needs to truncate the original problem by imposing an additional reflecting boundary condition at some truncation level D_m . As in the case of $D = 0$, this boundary condition changes the coefficient $k_{J,J}$ in the “last” equation for $p(D_m, t)$, with $D_m = J\epsilon$. However, a simpler and more consistent way of closing the system of equations is to require $\sum_j k_{ij} = 0$ for $i = J$. This is a detailed balance condition for the matrix of switching rates, which is already satisfied for all rates from Eq. (52) with $i = 1, \dots, J-1$.

Combining these relations, one can write the set of discretized equations in a matrix form as

$$\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} p(\epsilon, t) \\ p(2\epsilon, t) \\ \dots \\ p(J\epsilon, t) \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{K}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} p(\epsilon, t) \\ p(2\epsilon, t) \\ \dots \\ p(J\epsilon, t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (54)$$

with the $J \times J$ three-diagonal matrix

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sigma_1^2}{2\epsilon^2} - \frac{\mu_1}{\epsilon} & \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2\epsilon^2} + \frac{\mu_1}{\epsilon} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2\epsilon^2} & -\frac{\sigma_2^2}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{\mu_2}{\epsilon} & \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2\epsilon^2} + \frac{\mu_2}{\epsilon} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sigma_3^2}{2\epsilon^2} & -\frac{\sigma_3^2}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{\mu_3}{\epsilon} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -\frac{\sigma_{J-1}^2}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{\mu_{J-1}}{\epsilon} & \frac{\sigma_{J-1}^2}{2\epsilon^2} + \frac{\mu_{J-1}}{\epsilon} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{\sigma_J^2}{2\epsilon^2} & -\frac{\sigma_J^2}{2\epsilon^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (55)$$

whereas $\mathbf{D}_{ij} = \delta_{ij}i\epsilon$. In other words, we identified the matrices \mathbf{D} and \mathbf{K} determining a switching diffusion model that is a discrete approximation of the diffusing diffusivity model with coefficients $\mu(D)$ and $\sigma(D)$. The relation (48) expresses the function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$ for this switching model.

Alternatively, one could directly discretize the backward equation (8):

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_{t_0} \Upsilon(t; \lambda | D_0, t_0) &= \left[\frac{\sigma^2(D_0)}{2\epsilon^2} + \frac{\mu(D_0)}{\epsilon} \right] \Upsilon(t; \lambda | D_0 + \epsilon, t_0) \\ &- \left[\frac{\sigma^2(D_0)}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{\mu(D_0)}{\epsilon} + \lambda D_0 \right] \Upsilon(t; \lambda | D_0, t_0) + \frac{\sigma^2(D_0)}{2\epsilon^2} \Upsilon(t; \lambda | D_0 - \epsilon, t_0) \end{aligned}$$

(here for convenience we adopted another discretization scheme for the first derivative). The solution of this discretized equation with the terminal condition $\Upsilon(t; \lambda | D_0, t_0 = t) = 1$ reads

$$\Upsilon(t; \lambda | i\epsilon, t_0) = \left[\exp(-(\lambda \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{K})(t - t_0)) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \dots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right]_i, \quad (56)$$

with the matrices \mathbf{D} and \mathbf{K} defined above. Averaging this solution over the initial states chosen with probabilities p_j and setting $t_0 = 0$ yield

$$\Upsilon(t; \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \\ \dots \\ p_J \end{pmatrix}^\dagger \exp(-(\lambda \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{K})t) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \dots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (57)$$

which is just a transposed re-writing of Eq. (48).




Figure 1. Comparison of the function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$ for the Feller diffusing diffusivity model and its approximations by switching diffusion models. Drift and volatility coefficients are set by Eq. (18) with parameters $\bar{D} = 1$, $\sigma = 1$, $\tau = 1$, and $t = 1$ (arbitrary units). Solid line shows the explicit solution (20) whereas two other lines present approximate solutions from Eq. (48) for switching diffusion models with $\epsilon = 0.1$ (dashed line) and $\epsilon = 0.01$ (dash-dotted line) and $D_m = 10$.

3.2. Numerical illustrations

Figure 1 illustrates a comparison between a diffusing diffusivity model and its approximation by switching diffusion. We set the drift and the volatility terms according to Eq. (18) for the Feller process, with $\bar{D} = 1$, $\sigma = 1$, and $\tau = 1$ (arbitrary units). On one hand, the function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$ is computed via explicit analytical solution (20). On the other hand, a discrete approximation of this process by switching diffusion allows one to compute the function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$ by Eq. (48). This computation depends on the discretization step ϵ and the truncation threshold D_m . We set $D_m = 10$ and checked that further increase of this value does not almost affect the computation. This is not surprising given that the equilibrium distribution of diffusivities, Eq. (21), decays exponentially fast for the Feller process. The discretization step has also relatively weak impact on the solution, if it is small enough (see Fig. 1). We emphasize, however, the quality of the approximation depends in general on the chosen model and its parameters. For instance, setting $\tau = 10$ (while keeping $\bar{D} = 1$ and $\sigma = 1$) yields $\nu = 0.1$ in the Feller model and thus an integrable but divergent at $D = 0$ equilibrium density in Eq. (21). As a consequence, a much finer discretization is needed to accurately capture the behavior of this density near zero and thus to get an accurate representation via a switching diffusion model. In general, one needs to undertake the convergence analysis or at least to compute $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$ with various discretization steps ϵ to check its convergence.

Left panels of Fig. 2 show the behavior of the function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$ for a diffusing diffusivity modeled by reflected Brownian motion on $(0, D_m)$. Although the exact solution is provided in Sec. 2.2, it is much faster and easier to use the approximate solution via the switching diffusion model. As expected, the function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$ approaches

1 as $t \rightarrow 0$ or $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. In turn, when either of these variables is getting large, $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$ decreases. According to Eq. (37), the decay is slow for the case without diffusivity dynamics ($\sigma = 0$), see Fig. 2(a). In turn, much faster decay is observed for other cases with $\sigma > 0$, in agreement with the asymptotic analysis of Sec. 2.2.

To get a closer look into the behavior of $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$, it is convenient to plot $-\ln(\Upsilon(t; \lambda))/(t\lambda)$ as a function of t . At small t , one has $T_t \approx D_0 t$ so that $\Upsilon(t; \lambda) \simeq \langle e^{-t\lambda D_0} \rangle \simeq 1 - t\lambda \langle D_0 \rangle$, where $\langle D_0 \rangle$ is the mean initial diffusivity, which is equal to $D_m/2$ in this model. As a consequence, the ratio $-\ln(\Upsilon(t; \lambda))/(t\lambda)$ approaches $D_m/2$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. The opposite limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ is less universal: for instance, Eq. (37) exhibits $\ln(t)/t$ decay, whereas Eq. (31) leads to a constant. Right panels of Fig. 2 illustrate this behavior. All shown curves start from the mean diffusivity $D_m/2 = 0.5$ at $t = 0$. As t grows, all curves decrease but the speed of decrease depends on λ and σ . For $\sigma = 0$, the ratio vanishes as $t \rightarrow \infty$ whereas it reaches a nonzero limit $\sigma^2 \gamma_0(\lambda)/(2\lambda)$ for $\sigma > 0$, where $\gamma_0(\lambda)$ is the smallest eigenvalue of the operator $\partial_{D_0}^2 - (2\lambda/\sigma^2)D_0$, see Sec. 2.2. As a consequence, this limit changes from $D_m/2$ for small λ to $|a'_0|(\sigma^2/2)^{1/3}\lambda^{-1/3}$ for large λ . One can see that the range of variations of $-\ln(\Upsilon(t; \lambda))/(t\lambda)$ is getting narrower as σ increases. Indeed, strong fluctuations rapidly mix all diffusivities in $(0, D_m)$, restoring the behavior with the mean diffusivity $D_m/2$, as at short times.

4. Conclusion

We formulated a unifying approach for studying first-passage time distributions and related diffusion-limited reactions in the realm of diffusing diffusivity and switching diffusion models. In both cases, the dynamics of randomly changing diffusivity D_t is assumed to be independent from the particle's position, so that the subordination argument yields a general spectral expansion for the propagator and the first-passage time probability density. The key element coupling the stochastic diffusivity to the motion of the particle is the moment-generating function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda|D_0)$ of the integrated diffusivity.

In diffusing diffusivity models, continuous changes of D_t are governed by a stochastic differential equation, and the function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda|D_0)$ can be calculated by using the Feynman-Kac formula. We illustrated this formalism for the case when the diffusivity is modeled by reflected Brownian motion on an interval with reflecting endpoints. In turn, when the diffusivity randomly switches between discrete values, we derived a matrix representation of the function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda|D_0)$ involving the matrix of switching rates. We also formalized the connection between these two classes of models by relating the coefficients of the stochastic differential equation, $\mu(D)$ and $\sigma(D)$, to the switching rates. With the help of this formalism, one can extend former results on diffusive search problems [2, 5, 32, 56, 57, 58] to heterogeneous diffusion, compute the related first-passage time distributions [3, 59, 60, 61] and investigate diffusion-limited reactions in heterogeneous media.

While continuously changing diffusivity may represent the effect of rapidly re-




Figure 2. (Left panels) The function $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$ for the diffusing diffusivity modeled by reflected Brownian motion on $(0, D_m)$, with $D_m = 1$ and $\sigma = 0$ (a), $\sigma = 0.1$ (b), and $\sigma = 1$ (c) (arbitrary units). For $\sigma = 0$, Eq. (37) was used, whereas for $\sigma > 0$, $\Upsilon(t; \lambda)$ was computed from Eq. (48) for an approximate switching diffusion model with $\epsilon = 0.01$. We checked that a smaller value of ϵ yielded similar results (not shown). The vertical axis is truncated at 10^{-4} . (Right panels) The ratio $-\ln(\Upsilon(t; \lambda))/(t\lambda)$ as a function of t for the same model and parameters. Each of 64 curves corresponds to a value λ sampled between 10^{-2} and 10^2 at logarithmic scale (see colorbar).

arranging medium onto the motion of particles, discrete changes of the diffusivity can mimic switching between conformational states of a polymer or reversible binding of the diffusing molecule to other constituents (static or mobile) of the medium. In particular, the state with zero diffusivity can incorporate trapping events. While former studies involving stochastic diffusivity were focused on a specific choice of the Feller process (which includes as a particular case the square of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process used in [25, 26, 27]), the general formalism of the present paper opens the door to study a very broad class of various processes in a unified way. As the microscopic theory expressing the impact of rapidly re-arranging media onto the particle's dynamics in terms of an appropriate diffusing diffusivity model is still missing, the possibility of dealing with a broad range of "candidate processes" is particularly valuable for future research.

- [1] Luby-Phelps K 2000 *Int. Rev. Cytology* **192** 189-221
- [2] Loverdo C, Bénichou O, Moreau M, and Voituriez R 2008 *Nat. Phys.* **4** 134-137
- [3] Bénichou O, Chevalier C, Klafter J, Meyer B, and Voituriez R 2010 *Nat. Chem.* **2** 472-477
- [4] Barkai E, Garini Y, and Metzler R 2012 *Phys. Today* **65** 29-35
- [5] Bénichou O and Voituriez R 2014 *Phys. Rep.* **539** 225-284
- [6] He W, Song H, Su Y, Geng L, Ackerson BJ, Peng HB, and Tong P 2016 *Nat. Commun.* **7** 11701
- [7] Bressloff PC and Newby J 2013 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **85** 135-196
- [8] Höfling F and Franosch T 2013 *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **76** 046602
- [9] Bouchaud J-P and Georges A 1990 *Phys. Rep.* **195** 127
- [10] Metzler R and Klafter J 2000 *Phys. Rep.* **339** 1-77
- [11] Sokolov IM 2012 *Soft Matter* **8** 9043-9052
- [12] Metzler R, Jeon J-H, Cherstvy AG, and Barkai E 2014 *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **16** 24128-24164
- [13] Guigas G, Kalla C, and Weiss M 2007 *Biophys. J.* **93** 316-323
- [14] Szymanski J and Weiss M 2009 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** 038102
- [15] Weber SC, Spakowitz AJ, and Theriot JA 2010 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104** 238102
- [16] Goychuk I *Adv. Chem. Phys.* **150** 187-253
- [17] Bertseva E, Grebenkov DS, Schmidhauser P, Gribkova S, Jeney S, and Forró L 2012 *Eur. Phys. J. E* **35** 63
- [18] Grebenkov DS, Vahabi M, Bertseva E, Forró L, and Jeney S 2013 *Phys. Rev. E* **88** 040701R
- [19] Sadegh S, Higgins JL, Mannion PC, Tamkun MM, and Krapf D 2017 *Phys. Rev. X* **7** 11031
- [20] Manzo C, Torreno-Pina JA, Massignan P, Lapeyre JC Jr., Lewenstein M, and Garcia Parajo MF 2015 *Phys. Rev. X* **5** 011021
- [21] Bénichou O, Loverdo C, Moreau M, and Voituriez R 2011 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83** 81-130
- [22] Bressloff PC 2017 *J. Phys. A.* **50** 133001
- [23] Lanoiselée Y, Moutal N, and Grebenkov DS 2018 *Nature Commun.* **9** 4398
- [24] Chubynsky MV and Slater GW 2014 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** 098302
- [25] Jain R and Sebastian KL 2016 *J. Phys. Chem. B* **120** 3988-3992
- [26] Jain R and Sebastian KL 2016 *J. Phys. Chem. B* **120** 9215-9222
- [27] Chechkin AV, Seno F, Metzler R, and Sokolov IM 2017 *Phys. Rev. X* **7** 021002
- [28] Lanoiselée Y and Grebenkov DS 2018 *J. Phys. A.* **51** 145602
- [29] Sposini V, Chechkin AV, Seno F, Pagnini G, and Metzler R 2018 *New. J. Phys.* **20** 043044
- [30] Grebenkov DS and Nguyen B-T 2013 *SIAM Rev.* **55** 601-667
- [31] Gardiner CW 1985 *Handbook of Stochastic Methods for Physics, Chemistry and the Natural Sciences* (Springer: Berlin).
- [32] Redner S 2001 *A Guide to First Passage Processes* (Cambridge: Cambridge University press).
- [33] Feller W 1951 *Ann. Math.* **54** 173-182

- [34] Cox JC, Ingersoll JE, and Ross SA 1985 *Econometrica* **53** 385-408
- [35] Sungkaworn T, Jobin M-L, Burnecki K, Weron A, Lohse MJ, and Calebiro D 2017 *Nature* **550** 543-547
- [36] Weron A, Burnecki K, Akin EJ, Solé L, Balcerak M, Tamkun MM, and Krapf D 2017 *Scient. Rep.* **7** 5404
- [37] Yin G and Zhu C 2010 *Hybrid Switching Diffusions: Properties and Applications* (Springer, New York).
- [38] Godec A and Metzler R 2017 *J. Phys. A* **50** 084001
- [39] Freidlin M 1985 *Functional Integration and Partial Differential Equations*, Annals of Mathematics Studies (Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press).
- [40] Grebenkov DS 2006 “Partially Reflected Brownian Motion: A Stochastic Approach to Transport Phenomena”, in “Focus on Probability Theory”, Ed. L. R. Velle, pp. 135-169 (Nova Science Publishers).
- [41] Risken H 1996 *The Fokker-Planck equation: methods of solution and applications*, 3rd Ed. (Berlin: Springer).
- [42] Masoliver J and Perelló J 2012 *Phys. Rev. E* **86** 041116
- [43] Masoliver J 2014 *Phys. Rev. E* **89** 042106
- [44] Gan X and Waxman D 2015 *Phys. Rev. E* **91** 012123
- [45] Stoller SD, Happer W, and Dyson FJ 1991 *Phys. Rev. A* **44** 7459
- [46] Grebenkov DG 2014 *J. Magn. Reson.* **248** 164-176
- [47] Yin G and Zhu C 2010 *J. Diff. Eq.* **249** 2409-2439
- [48] Baran NA, Yin G, and Zhu C 2013 *Adv. Diff. Eq.* **315**
- [49] Bénichou O, Grebenkov DS, Levitz P, Loverdo C, and Voituriez R 2010 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** 150606
- [50] Bénichou O, Grebenkov DS, Levitz P, Loverdo C, and Voituriez R 2011 *J. Stat. Phys.* **142** 657-685
- [51] Rojo F and Budde CE 2011 *Phys. Rev. E* **84** 021117
- [52] Rupprecht J-F, Bénichou O, Grebenkov DS, and Voituriez R 2012 *J. Stat. Phys.* **147** 891-918
- [53] Rupprecht J-F, Bénichou O, Grebenkov DS, and Voituriez R 2012 *Phys. Rev. E* **86** 041135
- [54] Kärger J 1985 *Adv. Coll. Int. Sci.* **23** 129-148
- [55] N. Tyagi and B. J. Cherayil, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **121**, 7204-7209 (2017).
- [56] Metzler R, Oshanin G, and Redner S (Eds.) 2014 *First-passage phenomena and their applications* (World Scientific Press).
- [57] Holcman D and Schuss Z 2013 *Phys. Progr. Rep.* **76** 074601
- [58] Holcman D and Schuss Z 2014 *SIAM Rev.* **56** 213-257
- [59] Godec A and Metzler R 2016 *Sci. Rep.* **6** 20349
- [60] Grebenkov DS, Metzler R, and Oshanin G 2018 *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **20** 16393-16401
- [61] Grebenkov DS, Metzler R, and Oshanin G 2018 *Commun. Chem.* **1** 96