

Nature of the a_1 meson in lattice quantum chromodynamics studied with chiral fermions

Yuko Murakami,¹ Shin Muroya,² Atsushi Nakamura,^{3,4,5} Chiho Nonaka,^{6,7}
Motoo Sekiguchi,⁸ Hiroaki Wada,⁸ and Masayuki Wakayama⁵

(SCALAR Collaboration)

¹*Research and Development Laboratory, Seikow Chemical Engineering & Machinery, LTD, Akashi 674-0093, Japan*

²*Matsumoto University, Matsumoto 390-1295, Japan*

³*School of Biomedicine, Far Eastern Federal University, 690950 Vladivostok, Russia*

⁴*Theoretical Research Division, Nishina Center, RIKEN, Wako 351-0198, Japan*

⁵*Research Center for Nuclear Physics (RCNP), Osaka University, Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0047, Japan*

⁶*Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan*

⁷*Kobayashi Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan*

⁸*School of Science and Engineering, Kokushikan University, Tokyo 154-8515, Japan*

(Dated: December 27, 2021)

We study the a_1 meson using a quenched lattice quantum chromodynamics simulation with the truncated overlap fermions formalism based on the domain wall fermions. The obtained lightest mass of the a_1 meson, 1272(45) MeV, is consistent with the experimental value for $a_1(1260)$. Thus, $a_1(1260)$ can be identified to have a simple two-body constituent-quark structure. Our quenched simulation result of $a_1(1420)$ can not explain the experimental mass value, which suggests $a_1(1420)$ is not a simple $q\bar{q}$ two quark state.

PACS numbers: 11.15.Ha 12.38.Gc 14.40.Be

Introduction

In hadron spectroscopy, the fundamental ingredients are light-meson sector, whose understanding both from the theoretical and experimental aspects are indispensable. And yet, the classification of light axial-vector mesons (a_1) is a long-standing issue in the meson spectroscopy. Recently, the resonance of $a_1(1260)$ was observed clearly in the COMPASS experiment at CERN¹. Moreover, a new a_1 meson was discovered in the $f_0\pi$ channel with a mass of 1420 MeV and a narrow width by the COMPASS collaboration². Currently the particle data group lists three a_1 mesons³: $a_1(1260)$, $a_1(1420)$, and $a_1(1640)$; however, this is a richer spectrum than that in the usual $q\bar{q}$ mesons in a constituent quark model. In the conventional constituent quark model³, the $a_1(1260)$ meson is assigned to a $I = 1$, 3P_1 state. If $a_1(1260)$ is the ground state for a_1 meson, the mass of the next radial excitation becomes at least 1.7 GeV⁴. This suggests that the radial excitation of $a_1(1260)$ can not be $a_1(1420)$, but $a_1(1640)$. Consequently, the structure of $a_1(1420)$ cannot be understood as a simple two-quark state. It is a possible candidate for the exotic multi-quark state⁵⁻⁸ or the dynamical effect due to a singularity in the triangle diagram⁹⁻¹².

There have been several interpretations for the structure of the $a_1(1260)$ meson: i) in the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model¹³, the $a_1(1260)$ meson is the chiral partner of the ρ meson as $q\bar{q}$ state, ii) it could also be interpreted as the gauge boson of the hidden local symmetry¹⁴⁻¹⁷, iii) in the coupled-channel approaches based on chiral effective theory¹⁸, it is described as the dynamically generated resonance in $\pi\rho$ scattering, and iv) Nagahiro *et al.* discussed the mixing properties of $a_1(1260)$ of the quark composite state and the hadronic composite state¹⁹.

In this report, we present the structure of the lightest a_1 meson determined with lattice quantum chromodynamics (QCD), a first-principles approach. Our objective is to clarify the relation between the nature of the a_1 meson and the chiral symmetry associated with the chiral partner of the ρ meson and dynamical chiral symmetry breaking, as is the case for π and the chiral partner of the σ meson¹³. We, therefore, employ the truncated overlap fermion formalism by Boriçi²⁰ based on domain wall fermions formalism^{21,22}, which holds good chiral symmetry. The truncated overlap fermion formalism is classified into lattice chiral fermions²¹⁻²⁴.

In the previous work²⁵, we investigated the σ meson based on the full QCD with dynamical Wilson quarks that has an explicit chiral symmetry breaking term, using $q\bar{q}$ interpolating operators. Our work indicates the existence of the light σ state, whose mass is in $m_\pi < m_\sigma \leq m_\rho$. The disconnected diagram plays an essential role in the σ meson mass becoming small. Unlike the σ meson, the a_1 meson propagator does not have a disconnected diagram. Thus, the quenched lattice simulation is able to show whether the a_1 meson can fit the simple constituent quark model.

Lattice simulations of the a_1 meson have been previously conducted. Wingate *et al.* were the first to measure the mass of the a_1 meson using lattice QCD with two flavors of dynamical staggered quarks²⁶. Their result was in agreement with the experiment value for $a_1(1260)$. Recently, Gatttringer *et al.* determined the mass of the a_1 meson utilizing the chirally improved Dirac operator in the quenched approximation with the Lüscher-Weisz gauge action²⁷. These simulations demonstrated a clear improvement in the description of the ground state employing interpolators with derivative quark sources.




FIG. 1. (color online). Time dependence of the propagators at $m_f a = 0.08$. Open circles, triangles, and diamonds represent the propagators of π meson, ρ meson, and a_1 meson, respectively.

Their obtained mass of the ground state a_1 meson is close to $a_1(1420)$, instead of $a_1(1260)$, i.e., these simulations are inconsistent.

Here, we perform quenched simulations for the a_1 meson using the truncated overlap fermion formalism with $q\bar{q}$ interpolating operators. We will show that the lightest a_1 meson is the $q\bar{q}$ state composed of u and d quarks.

Lattice simulation

We perform quenched lattice QCD calculations using truncated overlap fermions²⁰ with the plaquette gauge action. We use point sources and sinks when calculating hadron propagators, which leads to larger masses on a relatively small lattice because of a mixture of higher mass states. The masses obtained in our simulation should thus be considered as the upper limits. The a_1 meson propagator is more noisy than those of π and ρ mesons, and therefore more statistics are required. Since truncated overlap fermions are a variant of domain wall fermions, we use the same simulation parameters as those used by Blum *et al.*²⁸, except for the temporal lattice size ($N_t = 24$ is here used, instead of $N_t = 32$): $\beta = 5.7$, the length of the fifth dimension $N_5 = 32$ for which m_π^2 is stable, the five-dimensional mass $m_5 = 1.65$, and the three-dimensional spatial lattice size $N_s^3 = 8^3$.

We adopt the following interpolating operator for creating the a_1 meson with $I = 1$ and $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$,

$$O_{a_1} = \bar{q}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5q, \quad (1)$$

where q denotes the u or d quark operator. We generate gauge configurations based on the plaquette gauge ac-




FIG. 2. (color online). Time dependence of the effective masses at $m_f a = 0.08$. Open circles, triangles, and diamonds represent the propagators of π meson, ρ meson, and a_1 meson, respectively.

tion by using the pseudo heat-bath method. After 20000 thermalization iterations, we start to save gauge configurations every 1000 sweeps. We calculate meson propagators on the stored gauge configurations for each of the quark mass values, $m_f a = 0.08, 0.06$, and 0.04 , where a is the lattice spacing. We use 3000 (7964) configurations for the calculation of the meson propagators with $m_f a = 0.08$ and 0.06 ($m_f a = 0.04$).

The propagators of π , ρ , and a_1 mesons for $m_f a = 0.08$ are shown in Fig. 1. The effective masses, $m_{\text{eff}}a$, of these mesons are displayed in Fig. 2, which are determined as

$$\frac{G(t)}{G(t+1)} = \frac{e^{-m_{\text{eff}}(t)t} + e^{-m_{\text{eff}}(t)(T-t)}}{e^{-m_{\text{eff}}(t)(t+1)} + e^{-m_{\text{eff}}(t)(T-(t+1))}}, \quad (2)$$

where $G(t)$ represents the propagators of the mesons. We estimate the statistical errors using the jackknife method. Thanks to the large enough statistics, we obtain very clear propagators and effective masses for the a_1 meson. The masses of the π , ρ , and a_1 mesons for $m_f a = 0.80, 0.06$, and 0.04 are listed in Table I. The π and ρ masses are evaluated from effective masses in the range of $6 \leq$

TABLE I. Masses of π , ρ , and a_1 mesons, mass ratios and numbers of configurations.

$m_f a$	$m_\pi a$	$m_\rho a$	m_π/m_ρ	m_{a_1}/m_ρ	N_{config}^a
0.08	0.667(1)	0.950(2)	0.702(2)	1.480(13)	3000
0.06	0.589(1)	0.904(2)	0.652(3)	1.511(19)	3000
0.04	0.503(1)	0.861(2)	0.584(2)	1.540(19)	7964

^a Number of configurations separated by 1000 sweeps.

TABLE II. Masses of π and ρ mesons, mass ratio and number of configurations reported by Blum *et al.*²⁸. Simulation parameters are $\beta = 5.7$, $8^3 \times 32$, $N_5 = 32$, and $m_5 = 1.65$.

$m_f a$	$m_\pi a$	$m_\rho a$	m_π/m_ρ	N_{config}
0.06	0.595(9)	0.92(2)	0.65(2)	94
0.04	0.502(5)	0.87(4)	0.58(3)	184

$t/a \leq 9$. The a_1 mass, on the other hand, is obtained in the range of $5 \leq t/a \leq 8$, because the effective masses of a_1 suffer from large errors at large t .

In Table I, the results for meson masses and mass ratios are summarized, while those of Blum *et al.*²⁸ are shown in Table II. The masses of π and ρ mesons obtained in our simulation on a small lattice show good agreement with those on a large lattice ($8^3 \times 32$), though our results are less than 2 percent higher than their results. Figure 3 shows that $m_\rho a$ and $m_{a_1} a$ vary linearly with $(m_\pi a)^2$.

In the chiral limit, $(m_\pi a)^2 = 0$. Using $m_\rho = 775$ MeV as the input, we obtain $a = 0.190(2)$ fm. In this limit, the difference between the chiral extrapolations $m_f a \rightarrow 0$ and $m_f a \rightarrow -m_{res} a$ is negligible due to the smallness of $m_{res} a = 1.27 \times 10^{-2}$, where m_{res} is the residual mass. Therefore, we apply $m_f a \rightarrow 0$. We estimate the mass ratio m_{a_1}/m_ρ to be 1.64(6) and the mass of the a_1 meson to be $m_{a_1} = 1272(45)$ MeV. Our result is consistent with the experimental value of 1230(40) MeV³.




FIG. 3. (color online). Dependences of ρ meson masses $m_\rho a$ (open triangles) and a_1 meson masses $m_{a_1} a$ (open diamonds) on $(m_\pi a)^2$. Lines for $m_\rho a$ and $m_{a_1} a$ show linear fits. Stars represent the experimental values of $a_1(1260)$ and $a_1(1420)$ ³.

Conclusion and Discussion

We studied the lightest a_1 meson based on a quenched approximation using truncated overlap fermions. We es-

timated the mass of the a_1 meson to be 1272(45) MeV, which is in good agreement with the experimental value for $a_1(1260)$ ³. The masses obtained in our simulation should be considered as the upper limits. Our results are consistent with those of Wingate *et al.*²⁶ who employed a full QCD simulation without chiral symmetry. Our simulation used truncated overlap fermions, and thus respects chiral symmetry, but in the quench approximation.

Gattringer *et al.* determined the mass of the a_1 meson using the chirally improved Dirac operator in the quenched approximation with the Lüscher-Weisz gauge action²⁷. The ground state of a_1 meson in their calculation is close to $a_1(1420)$. Possible reason for the difference between our result and theirs is the difference of statistics: our statistics are 30 or 80 times as large as theirs. We succeeded in obtaining the lowest state of a_1 meson, in spite of utilizing a simple two-quark interpolator.

Our lattice study and quark model analysis support that the simple two-body constituent-quark structure of $a_1(1260)$ is consistent with the experimentally observed $a_1(1260)$. Our a_1 meson does not agree with $a_1(1420)$. A quench simulation is a clean theoretical experiment in which virtual intermediate states such as $qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$ are highly suppressed. Therefore, $a_1(1420)$ may contain an unconventional state, such as $qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$. In the $qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$ case, dynamical quarks may play an essential role. Note that there have been arguments to consider $a_1(1420)$ as a dynamical effect of the triangle diagram⁹⁻¹². Also, $a_1(1640)$ might be a radial excitation of $a_1(1260)$, according to the quark model analysis. We leave it to the future task to complete a_1 meson spectroscopy with the lattice QCD simulation.

acknowledgments

This work could not be completed without valuable advices by T. Kunihiro. This work was completed with the support of RSF grant 15-12-20008.

It was also supported in part by the JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) Grant Number JP26220707, the JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) Grant Number JP17K05438, Research Activity of Matsumoto University (No.14111048, No.16111048), and Scientific Research (Kakenhi) Numbers 24340054 and 26610072. The simulation was performed on an NEC SX-ACE supercomputer at RCNP and the Cybermedia Center, Osaka University, and was conducted using the Fujitsu PRIMEHPC FX10 System (Oakleaf-FX, Oakbridge-FX) at the Information Technology Center, The University of Tokyo. This work was supported by “Joint Usage/Research Center for Interdisciplinary Large-scale Information Infrastructures” in Japan (Project ID: EX17706 and jh180053-NAJ).

¹ M. Alekseev *et al.* [COMPASS Collaboration], “Observation of a $J^{**}PC = 1-+$ exotic resonance in diffractive dis-

sociation of 190-GeV/c pi- into pi- pi- pi+,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 241803 (2010) [arXiv:0910.5842 [hep-ex]].

- ² C. Adolph *et al.* [COMPASS Collaboration], “Observation of a New Narrow Axial-Vector Meson $a_1(1420)$,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, no. 8, 082001 (2015) [arXiv:1501.05732 [hep-ex]].
- ³ M. Tanabashi *et al.* [Particle Data Group], “The Review of Particle Physics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 030001 (2018).
- ⁴ D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, “Mass spectra and Regge trajectories of light mesons in the relativistic quark model,” *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 114029 [arXiv:0903.5183 [hep-ph]].
- ⁵ H. X. Chen, E. L. Cui, W. Chen, T. G. Steele, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, “ $a_1(1420)$ resonance as a tetraquark state and its isospin partner,” *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 094022 (2015) [arXiv:1503.02597 [hep-ph]].
- ⁶ T. Gutsche, V. E. Lyubovitskij and I. Schmidt, “Tetraquarks in holographic QCD,” *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, no. 3, 034030 (2017) [arXiv:1706.07716 [hep-ph]].
- ⁷ T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner, V. E. Lyubovitskij and K. Xu, “Test of the multi-quark structure of $a_1(1420)$ in strong two-body decays,” *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, no. 11, 114004 (2017) [arXiv:1710.02357 [hep-ph]].
- ⁸ H. Sundu, S. S. Agaev and K. Azizi, “New $a_1(1420)$ state: Structure, mass, and width,” *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, no. 5, 054001 (2018) [arXiv:1711.05977 [hep-ph]].
- ⁹ M. Mikhasenko, B. Ketzner and A. Sarantsev, “Nature of the $a_1(1420)$,” *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, no. 9, 094015 (2015) [arXiv:1501.07023 [hep-ph]].
- ¹⁰ F. Aceti, L. R. Dai and E. Oset, “ $a_1(1420)$ peak as the $\pi f_0(980)$ decay mode of the $a_1(1260)$,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, no. 9, 096015 (2016) [arXiv:1606.06893 [hep-ph]].
- ¹¹ J. L. Basdevant and E. L. Berger, “Peak locations and relative phase of different decay modes of the a_1 axial vector resonance in diffractive production,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, no. 19, 192001 (2015) [arXiv:1504.05955 [hep-ph]].
- ¹² W. Wang and Z. X. Zhao, “Production of a_1 in heavy meson decays,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, no. 2, 59 (2016) [arXiv:1511.06998 [hep-ph]].
- ¹³ Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, “Dynamical Model of Elementary Particles Based on an Analogy with Superconductivity. I,” *Phys. Rev.* **122**, 345 (1961); “Dynamical Model of Elementary Particles Based on an Analogy with Superconductivity. II,” *Phys. Rev.* **124**, 246 (1961).
- ¹⁴ M. Bando, T. Kugo and K. Yamawaki, “On the Vector Mesons as Dynamical Gauge Bosons of Hidden Local Symmetries,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **259**, 493 (1985).
- ¹⁵ K. Yamawaki, “Hidden Local Symmetry Versus Massive Yang-Mills in the Nonlinear Chiral Lagrangian,” *Phys. Rev. D* **35**, 412 (1987).
- ¹⁶ M. Bando, T. Fujiwara and K. Yamawaki, “Generalized Hidden Local Symmetry and the A_1 Meson,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **79**, 1140 (1988).
- ¹⁷ N. Kaiser and U. G. Meissner, “Generalized hidden symmetry for low-energy hadron physics,” *Nucl. Phys. A* **519**, 671 (1990).
- ¹⁸ L. Roca, E. Oset and J. Singh, “Low lying axial-vector mesons as dynamically generated resonances,” *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 014002 (2005) [hep-ph/0503273].
- ¹⁹ H. Nagahiro, K. Nawa, S. Ozaki, D. Jido and A. Hosaka, “Composite and elementary natures of $a_1(1260)$ meson,” *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 111504(R) (2011) [arXiv:1101.3623 [hep-ph]].
- ²⁰ A. Boriçi, “Truncated overlap fermions,” *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **83**, 771 (2000) [hep-lat/9909057].
- ²¹ D. B. Kaplan, “A Method for simulating chiral fermions on the lattice,” *Phys. Lett. B* **288**, 342 (1992) [hep-lat/9206013].
- ²² V. Furman and Y. Shamir, “Axial symmetries in lattice QCD with Kaplan fermions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **439**, 54 (1995) [hep-lat/9405004].
- ²³ R. Narayanan and H. Neuberger, “Chiral fermions on the lattice,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, no. 20, 3251 (1993) [hep-lat/9308011].
- ²⁴ R. C. Brower, H. Neff and K. Orginos, “Mobius fermions: Improved domain wall chiral fermions,” *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **140**, 686 (2005) [hep-lat/0409118].
- ²⁵ T. Kunihiro *et al.* [SCALAR Collaboration], “Scalar mesons in lattice QCD,” *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 034504 (2004) [hep-ph/0310312].
- ²⁶ M. Wingate, T. A. DeGrand, S. Collins and U. M. Heller, “Properties of the a_1 meson from lattice QCD,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 4596 (1995) [hep-ph/9502274].
- ²⁷ C. Gattringer, L. Y. Glozman, C. B. Lang, D. Mohler and S. Prelovsek, “Derivative sources in lattice spectroscopy of excited mesons,” *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 034501 (2008) [arXiv:0802.2020 [hep-lat]].
- ²⁸ T. Blum *et al.*, “Quenched lattice QCD with domain wall fermions and the chiral limit,” *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 074502 (2004) [hep-lat/0007038].